1 Nitro-Oleic Acid Induced Reactive Oxygen Species Formation and

2 Plant Defense Signaling in Tomato Cell Suspensions

- 3
- 4 Andrés Arruebarrena Di Palma¹, Luciano M. Di Fino¹, Sonia R. Salvatore²,
- 5 Juan Martín D'Ambrosio¹, Gustavo Esteban Gergoff Grozeff³, Carlos
- 6 García-Mata¹, Francisco J. Schopfer²⁺, Ana M. Laxalt¹⁺
- 7
- 8 +Corresponding authors:
- 9 Francisco J. Schopfer, Ph.D. <u>fis2@pitt.edu</u>. Department of Pharmacology
- 10 & Chemical Biology, Thomas E. Starzl Biomedical Science Tower E1340,
- 11 200 Lothrop St, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA; Tel:
- 12 648-9319; Fax: (412) 648-2229
- 13 Ana M. Laxalt, Ph. D. amlaxalt@mdp.edu,ar Instituto de Investigaciones
- 14 Biológicas, CONICET-Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Mar del
- 15 Plata, Argentina Tel: +54 223 4753030; Fax: +54 223 4724143.
- 16
- 17 ¹ Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas, CONICET-Universidad Nacional
- 18 de Mar del Plata, Mar del Plata, Argentina
- ² Department of Pharmacology & Chemical Biology, University of
 Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ³ Instituto de Fisiología Vegetal CCT CONICET La Plata. Universidad
 Nacional de La Plata, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales. Diag.
- 23 113 Nº 495, 1900 La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 24

25 *One-sentence summary*: Nitrated fatty acids act as signaling molecules in 26 tomato cells inducing ROS, reducing glutathione cellular pool, reacting 27 with protein thiols and free GSH and triggering plant defense responses.

28

List of author contribution: AML and FJS conceived the project. AADP performed most of the experiment and analyzed the data. LDF, JMD, SRS and GG performed some of the experiment. CGM, AML and FJS designed and supervised the experiments and analyzed the data. AADP and AML

- 33 wrote the article with contributions of all the authors.
- 34

Funding information: This work was supported by the UNMdP, Consejo
Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, CONICET: PIP 219
and CONICET-NIH (to AML), Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y
Tecnológica, ANPCyT; PICT-Raices 2013-0800 (to AML), NIH GM125944
(to FJS) and AHA 17GRN33660955 (to FJS).

- 40
- 41 Corresponding authors emails: <u>fis2@pitt.edu</u>; <u>amlaxalt@mdp.edu,ar</u>
- 42
- 43 Key words: nitro-oleic acid, tomato cell suspension, ROS, glutathione,
- 44 signalling, plant defense
- 45

46 **Abbreviations**

- 47 •NO2: nitrogen dioxide
- 48 •NO: nitric oxide
- 49 FA: fatty acid
- 50 GSH: reduced glutathione
- 51 H₂O₂: hydrogen peroxyde
- 52 NO₂-FA: nitro fatty acids
- 53 NO₂-Ln: nitro-linolenic acid
- 54 NO₂-OA: nitro-oleic acid
- 55 OA: oleic acid
- 56 ROS: reactive oxygen species
- 57
- 58

59 **ABSTRACT**

60 Nitrated fatty acids (NO₂-FAs) are formed by the addition reaction of nitric oxide- and nitrite-derived nitrogen dioxide with unsaturated fatty acids. 61 Nitrated fatty acids act as signaling molecules in mammals through the 62 formation of covalent adducts with cellular thiols. The study of NO₂-FAs in 63 64 plant systems constitutes an interesting and emerging area. The presence of NO₂-FA has been reported in olives, peas, rice and in Arabidopsis. To 65 66 gain a better understanding of the role of NO₂-FA on plant physiology, we analyzed the effects of exogenous application of nitro-oleic acid (NO₂-OA) 67 68 to tomato cell cultures. We found that NO₂-OA induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in a dose-dependent manner via activation of 69 70 NADPH oxidases, which requires calcium entry from the extracellular compartment and protein kinase activation, a mechanism that resembles 71 72 the plant defense responses. NO2-OA induced ROS production, 73 expression of plant defense genes and led to cell death. The mechanism of action of NO₂-OA involves a reduction in the glutathione cellular pool 74 75 and covalently addition reactions with protein thiols and reduced 76 glutathione. Altogether, these results indicate that NO₂-OA triggers responses associated with plant defense, revealing its possible role as a 77 78 signal molecule in biotic stress.

79

81 INTRODUCTION

Fatty acids (FA) not only provide structural integrity and energy for various metabolic processes to the plant cell but can also function as signal transduction mediators (Lim et al., 2017). As an example, oxylipins are oxygenated FAs, many of which are electrophilic species involved in plant defense against biotic and abiotic stresses (Lim et al., 2017; Farmer and Mueller, 2013).

88 Electrophilic nitro-fatty acids (NO₂-FAs) are formed by the addition 89 reaction of nitric oxide (•NO)- and nitrite (NO2)-derived nitrogen dioxide 90 ('NO₂) to unsaturated fatty acids, in particular those containing conjugated 91 double bonds (Schopfer et al., 2011; Baker et al., 2009). Electrophiles contain an electron-poor moiety, conferring attraction to electron-rich 92 nucleophiles that donate electrons to form reversible covalent bonds via 93 Michael additions (Chattaraj et al., 2006). In this regard, the electrophilic 94 95 reactivity of nitroalkenes facilitates reversible addition reaction with cellular 96 nucleophilic targets (e.g. protein Cys and His residues and reduced 97 glutathione, GSH, Baker et al., 2007; Batthyany et al., 2006). This 98 reactivity supports the post-translational modification of proteins, affecting 99 their distribution and/or function. In addition, NO2-FA has been reported to 100 act as •NO donors under certain conditions (Schopfer et al., 2005; 101 Gorczynsk et al., 2007; Mata-Perez et al., 2016).

The study of NO₂-FAs in plant systems constitutes an interesting 102 103 and emerging area of investigation. The presence of nitroalkenes in plants 104 was first reported in extra-virgin olive oil and linked to the beneficial effects 105 of the Mediterranean diet on human health (Fazzari et al., 2014). In 106 addition, NO₂-FAs were later detected in Pea (*Pisum sativum*) and Rice 107 (Oryza sativa) (Mata-Perez et al., 2017). Likewise, in cell suspensions of the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, Mata-Perez et al., (2015) reported 108 109 the presence of the nitroalkene nitro-linolenic acid (NO₂-Ln). The levels of 110 these NO₂-FAs were modulated by both developmental stages and abiotic stresses (NaCl, low temperatures, cadmium or wounding). Moreover, 111 112 treatments of Arabidopsis cell cultures with exogenous NO₂-Ln induced

differential gene expression related to oxidative stress responses as well
as up-regulation of several heat shock response genes (Mata-Perez et al.,
2015). In addition, in Arabidopsis roots and cell suspensions, NO₂-Ln
treatments induced •NO production (Mata-Perez et al., 2016).

117 Nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are signaling 118 molecules involved in abiotic and biotic stress responses in plants. In this 119 regard, tomato cell suspensions treated with pathogen-derived molecules, 120 called elicitors like xylanase or chitosan displayed increased ROS and •NO production and induced plant-defense gene expression and cell death 121 122 (Laxalt et al., 2007; Raho et al., 2011). During plant defense, NADPH oxidase activity of the Ca²⁺ and phosphorylation-dependent RBOHD (from 123 124 respiratory burst oxidase homolog D) is upregulated, leading to increases in ROS production (Kadota et al., 2015). Thus, these physiological 125 conditions where •NO and ROS are produced, provide a favorable 126 chemical environment for the nitration of unsaturated fatty acids. Herein, 127 128 we analyzed the signaling effects of exogenous treatment of tomato cell 129 cultures with NO₂-OA, with a particular focus on the induction of plant 130 defense responses.

131

132

133 **RESULTS**

134 NO₂-OA is Internalized and Metabolized in Tomato Cells.

135 NO₂-FAs are hydrophobic fatty acids with poor solubility in aqueous 136 solutions. Thus, we first sought to analyze binding and internalization of 137 NO₂-OA by tomato cell suspensions. Figure 1A shows that NO₂-OA 138 effectively bound to tomato cells, reducing the remaining levels in media. 139 Moreover, analysis of metabolic products of NO₂-OA in treated cells revealed that NO₂-OA is internalized and metabolized. In this regard, β-140 141 oxidation products and nitroalkene reduction products were detected 142 (Figure 1B). These metabolites are a consequence of enzymatic reactions that take place in the cytoplasm and mitochondria of cells. These results 143 144 indicate that NO₂-OA is effectively internalized into the cell and therefore



- 173 suspensions. As a control, we compared the response to oleic acid (OA),
- the non-nitrated backbone of NO₂-OA. Figure 2A shows an increase in the
- 175 fluorescence signal of NO₂-OA-treated cells in a dose-dependent manner.
- 176 Time course analysis showed that extending incubation times led to an

177 increase in ROS production, with the exception of the 16 h treatment at 178 100 μ M NO₂-OA, where a decrease in ROS production was observed 179 compared to 6 h. In the case of OA, none of the assayed conditions 180 displayed any change in ROS production (Figure 2A). Fluorescence 181 microscopy of tomato cells treated with 100 μ M of NO₂-OA for 6 h showed 182 a significant increased in the fluorescent signal (Figure 2B).

In order to further validate ROS production in NO₂-OA-treated cells, 183 184 we used two alternative methodologies. First, H₂O₂ production was analyzed using the pyranine guenching assay (Gonorazky et al., 2008). 185 186 Figure 2C shows a rapid quenching of pyranine fluorescence in 100 µM NO₂-OA-treated cells. To further confirm this increase in ROS, a second 187 188 method based on 3,3 diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining to detect H₂O₂ was used (Daudi and O`Brien, 2012). Again, NO2-OA treated cells showed 189 190 positive staining with DAB when compared to OA-treated tomato cells (Figure 2D). Altogether these results show that NO₂-OA but not OA 191 192 triggers a dose- and time-dependent production of ROS in tomato cell 193 suspensions.

194 Previous reports suggest that NO₂-FA could act as a •NO donor in both, mammals and plants, a mechanism responsible for its physiological 195 196 responses in cells (review in Baker et al., 2009, Mata-Perez et al., 2016). 197 To test this hypothesis, tomato cells were treated for 1 and 6 h with NO₂-OA and •NO production analyzed using the fluorescent probe DAF-FM-198 199 DA. NO₂-OA was unable to induce •NO production in tomato cell 200 suspensions at 1 h (data not shown) or 6 h of treatment (Supplemental 201 Figure S2). These results indicate that under our experimental conditions 202 NO₂-OA does not act as a •NO donor and/or induce •NO production.

- 203
- 204
- 205
- 206
- 207
- 208



Figure 2. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in tomato cell suspensions treated with NO₂-OA. A, Tomato cell suspensions were treated with OA or NO₂-OA, or non-treated as a control. At 0, 3, 6 or 16 h of treatment 4 μ M H₂DCF-DA was added and the fluorescence was measured. The fluorescence was determined as the area under the curve (accumulated fluorescence within one hour). Data represents media and error standard of 4 independent experiments. * indicated significant difference (p<0.05) from control for each time (One way ANOVA post-hoc Holm-Sidak). B, ROS production on tomato cells suspensions treated for 6 h with 100 μ M OA or NO₂-OA and then incubated with 4 μ M H₂DCF-DA for 1 h. A representative light and epifluorescense microscope picture of experiments is shown. C, Oxidative burst. Cell suspensions were treated for 6 h with 100 μ M OA or NO₂-OA and then the quenching of pyranine fluorescence was recorded as a measure of the oxidative burst. Data represent media and error standard of 2 independent experiments. D, H₂O₂ detection by DAB stain on tomato cells treated with 100 μ M OA or NO₂-OA for 6h. Bars= 5 μ m in panels B and D.

229

223

224

225

226

227

228

230

231 NADPH Oxidase is Involved in NO₂-OA-induced ROS Production

232 In plants, NADPH oxidase activation during plant defense is a key 233 enzymatic source of ROS formation (Kadota et al., 2015). To specifically 234 evaluate the role of NADPH oxidases as a source of ROS production 235 triggered by NO₂-OA, tomato cell suspensions were treated with the diphenyleneiodonium (DPI). DPI treatments 236 inhibitor have been 237 successfully used previously in cell suspensions and entire plant systems 238 (Piedras et al., 1998; Govrin and Levine 2000; Orozco-Cárdenas et al., 239 2001; De Jong et al., 2004). In this regard, Figure 3 shows that addition of DPI to NO₂-OA treated cells decreased ROS production in a dose-240

241 dependent manner.

267

242 NADPH oxidase-dependent ROS production is finely tuned by several signaling components, among them Ca²⁺, protein kinases and 243 •NO-dependent posttranslational modifications (Kadota et al., 2015; Yun et 244 245 al., 2011). Thus, we used a pharmacological experimental approach to assess the role of these signaling mechanisms on NO₂-OA-induced ROS 246 247 production. Both, the calcium channel blocker Cl₃La and extracellular 248 calcium chelator EGTA reduced ROS production triggered by NO2-OA 249 (Figure 3). Thus, we conclude that ROS production in response to NO₂-OA 250 triggered by Ca²⁺ entry from the extracellular compartment. is 251 Furthermore, the protein kinase inhibitor staurosporine decreased NO₂-252 OA-induced ROS production (Figure 3) highlighting the requirement of 253 phosphorylation events for the NO₂-OA-dependent activation of NADPH 254 oxidase. Finally, incubation of cells with the •NO scavenger cPTIO did not 255 affect NO₂-OA-induced ROS production (Figure 3). In aggregate, our 256 results suggest that •NO is not involved in signaling responses leading to 257 increased ROS formation elicited by NO₂-OA in tomato cell suspensions.



Figure 3. NO_2 -OA induced ROS production requires NADPH oxidase, Ca²⁺ and phosphorylation.

268Tomato cell suspensions were incubated with 100 μ M NO2-OA for 6 hours (+) and as
control, non-treated cells were incubated the same time (-). To 5 hours NO2-OA treated
cells, different concentrations of NADPH oxidase inhibitor (DPI), calcium channel blocker
(Cl_3La), extracellular calcium chelator (EGTA), protein kinase inhibitor staurosporine
(Stau) or •NO scavenger (cPTIO) were added for another hour. Then, cells were
incubated with 4 μ M H2DCF-DA and the accumulated fluorescence was determined. Data
is presented by box-plot were the box is bound by the 25th to 75th percentile, whiskers
span to minimum and maximum values, and the line in the middle is de median of 6
experiments. * indicated significant difference from NO2-OA treated cells (One way
ANOVA, post-hoc Holm-Sidak test, p <0.05).</th>

Induction of Plant Defense Gene Expression and Cell Death by NO₂OA

275 In tomato cells, we reported a rapid ROS production associated 276 with the induction of gene expression and cell death upon treatments with the fungal elicitor xylanase (Laxalt et al., 2007; Gonorazky et al., 2014). 277 278 Figure 4 shows the expression pattern of salicylic acid (SA)-dependent 279 gene SLPR1a, a gene marker for hypersensitive response SIHSR203J 280 and a jasmonic acid (JA)-dependent gene SIPAL at 3 h or 6 h upon 281 treatment with NO₂-OA or OA. No significant differences were found for 282 any of the genes analyzed 3 h post treatment with NO₂-OA. However, an 283 increase in gene expression was observed for SIPAL and SIPR1a at 6 h.

The ROS burst and the increased expression of the aboveanalyzed genes suggest that NO₂-OA could induce cell death. To evaluate the role of NO₂-OA in this pathway, we determined cell death in tomato cells upon treatment with 50 or 100 μ M NO₂-OA or OA for 4, 7 and 17 h (Figure 5). Cells treated with NO₂-OA at both tested concentrations lea to an increased rapid cell death rate compared to the corresponding OA treatment.

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304



Figure 4. NO₂-OA induces plant defence gene expression.

Tomato cells suspensions were treated with 100 μ M OA or NO₂-OA. Cells were incubated for 3 or 6 h and total RNA was extracted. Transcripts levels of *SIPR1a*, *SIHSR203J* and *SIPAL* were analyzed by qPCR. *SIACT* (Actin) was used as a housekeeping gene. Data were analyzed by $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method and fold change was calculated. Error bars represent standard deviations of media from 4 independent experiments. P values for each comparison are indicated in figure (One way ANOVA, post-hoc Holm-Sidak test).



cellular nucleophiles, in particular with GSH and protein thiols (Freeman et al., 2008). Thus, we quantified the GSH pool (reduced and oxidized) in 326 327 cells treated with 100 µM NO₂-OA or OA for 3 h or 6 h to evaluate the 328 extent of these reactions. Figure 6A shows that NO₂-OA treatment led to a 329 ~50 % decrease in total GSH. As this decrease was most likely associated 330 with the formation of glutathione-NO₂-OA adduct (GS-NO₂-OA), we sought 331 to detect their formation in tomato cells suspensions. In this regard, HPLC-332 MSMS analysis demonstrated the presence GS-NO₂-OA adducts in NO₂-333 OA treated cells (Figure 6B).

Given the detection of GS-NO₂-OA adducts, we sought to evaluate the formation of protein-NO₂-OA adducts in tomato cell suspensions. To this end, cells were incubated with NO₂-OA conjugated to biotin for

different times and the formation of protein-NO₂-OA-biotin adducts was
assessed at different times using western blot. Supplemental Figure S3
shows several tagged proteins in treated cells, indicating that cellular
proteins are targets of NO₂-OA. This further supports a role for protein
covalent modification induced by NO₂-OA in the signaling activities
identified for this post-translational modification.

в

GS-15NO2-d₄OA

GS-NO2-OA

standard NO₂-OA

2 3 4 5

Retention time (min)

Α

Total glutathione

(nmol.grFW⁻¹)

was used.

600

400

200

Control

ñ

forms GS-NO2-OA adducts.

5

Figure 6. NO2-OA modifies glutathione cellular pool and

Tomato cell suspensions were treated with 100 µM NO₂-OA

or OA for 3 or 6 h. As control non treated cells were used. A, Total GSH pool was extracted and determinate by enzymatic GSH recycling method. Data represent media and standard

error of 3 independent experiments. * indicated significant difference from control (One way ANOVA, post-hoc Holm-Sidak test, p < 0.05). B, Detection of GS-NO₂-OA

adducts by HPLC-MSMS in tomato cell suspension treated

with 100 μ M OA or NO₂-OA or without treatment for 3 h. Representative chromatograph form one of four independent

experiments is show. As internal standard GS-¹⁵NO₂-d₄OA

OA
 NO₂-OA

- 343
- 344 345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

- 358
- 359 360

361 **DISCUSSION**

Lipids function as signaling mediators in various plant processes with an important role in signal transduction. Signaling lipids in plants include a wide range of molecules such as glycerolipids, sphingolipids, fatty acids, oxylipins and sterols that participates in the response to different stresses like temperature, drought, wounding, nutrition starvation and pathogens among others (Wang, 2004). In this regard, NO₂-FA represent a new class of lipid molecules involved in plant signaling.

369 Sanchez-Calvo et al., (2013) proposed them to be novel mediators of •NO-370 dependent signaling pathways and metabolic processes in plant 371 physiology. Later 9-NO₂-cLA and 12-NO₂-cLA isomers, were found for the 372 first time in extra-virgin olive oil and NO₂-OA was identified in whole olives 373 adducted to cysteines (Cys-NO2-OA, Fazzari et al., 2014). In addition, 374 NO₂-Ln was detected in Pea, Rice and Arabidopsis. In the later, its levels 375 changed during development and abiotic stress (Mata-Perez et al., 2015; 376 Mata-Perez et al., 2017). Our attempts to detect free endogenous NO₂-377 FAs in tomato cells suspension were unsuccessful. The source of plant 378 fatty acids substrates to form nitroalkenes is an important aspect of these 379 reactions that could involve membrane, mitochondrial and/or chloroplast 380 phospholipids or triglycerides. In our experimental system, tomato cells are grown under dark conditions and have non-green plastids (Sello et al., 381 2017). Functional chloroplasts are very important for lipid signaling, 382 383 particularly in defense responses to biotic stress (Serrano et al., 2016). In 384 this sense, the fact that tomato cells have non-green plastids provides a 385 plausible explanation for absence of NO₂-FA in our measurements. We 386 were unable to detected free NO2-FA in cells elicited with molecules derived from pathogens, such as xylanase, a condition that generates an 387 388 oxidative and nitrosative stress (Laxalt et al., 2007) or during •NO donor 389 treatments (data not show). However, when cells were pre-incubated with 390 conjugated linoleic acid (cLA) and then treated with xylanase or •NO 391 donors. cellular detection of NO₂-cLA formation was observed 392 (Supplemental Figure S4). This result indicates that tomato cells have the 393 chemical environment required to endogenously nitrate fatty acids and 394 generate electrophilic nitroalkenes. In humans cells, >99% of nitroalkenes 395 are predicted to be covalently bound to thiols (Turell et al., 2017). The fact 396 that we were unable to detect free NO₂-FA could be due to the low levels 397 of these nitro-lipids, their rapid metabolism, and/or the reversible chemical 398 equilibrium established with thiols which favors adduct formation under 399 cellular conditions. Given the uptake and metabolism of NO₂-OA in tomato 400 cell suspensions, we used it as a model system to study the effects of

401 nitrolipids on plant defense responses.

402 NO₂-OA induced ROS production in tomato cell suspension. This 403 observation is in line with enhanced expression of several genes 404 associated to H₂O₂ and ROS responses observed in Arabidopsis cell cultures (Mata-Perez et al. 2015). The inquiry of signaling downstream 405 406 components of NO₂-OA but upstream to ROS production, led us to find 407 that calcium and phosphorylation events are required for ROS production. 408 In plants, Ca²⁺ regulates ROS formation by NAPDH oxidase, through 409 direct interaction with the Ct region of the protein, or by modulation of its 410 activity through the action of CDPks (Kadota et al., 2015; Sagi and Fluhr 411 2006). Our results show that ROS production is independent of •NO, and occurs via activation of the NADPH oxidase, which requires Ca2+ and 412 phosphorylation events. The presence of both signaling components in 413 414 plant resembles the signaling pathway described in mammalian cells for NO₂-FAs (Rudolph et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). 415

416 ROS burst can lead to the up-regulation of several defense genes 417 and cell death in tomato cell suspensions (Gonorazky et al., 2014). 418 Particularly, we have previously demonstrated that upon xylanase 419 treatment, there is an induction of plant-defense gene expression and cell 420 death (Laxalt, et al., 2001; Laxalt et al., 2007). As mentioned above, in the 421 presence of cLA, xylanase treatments provided the chemical environment 422 required to generate electrophilic nitroalkenes. Exogenous addition of 423 NO₂-OA triggered the expression of defense response genes and cell 424 death. Thus, under this condition, NO2-OA could be considered as a 425 signaling component in plant immune response.

One mechanism of action of NO₂-FAs involves their reactivity as electrophiles through Michael addition reactions with cellular thiols. We show evidence that NO₂-OA modify the GSH cellular pool forming adducts with this NO₂-FA. A similar response to sulforaphane, an electrophilic molecule was reported by Andersson et al., (2015) in Arabidopsis. Sulforaphane is a naturally occurring isothiocyanate derived from cruciferous vegetables that is present in widely consumed vegetables and

433 has a particularly high concentration in broccoli. Sulforaphane reduced the 434 GSH pool in Arabidopsis and increased cell leakage and cell death probably associated with ROS burst (Andersson et al., 2015). We 435 436 determined that in tomato cells, sulforaphane induced ROS production in a 437 similar way as NO₂-OA does (Figure S5). Interestingly, as we demostrated 438 for NO₂-OA, sulforaphane can form adducts with cellular thiols thus 439 generating post-translational modifications due to their electrophile nature 440 (Groeger and Freeman, 2010). In summary, the post-translational 441 modification of proteins and the GSH pool by Michael addition reactions of 442 nitroalkene reveals a novel mechanism of action by which NO2-OA exert 443 their activity in tomato cells. Future work will focus on the identification of 444 protein targets adducted to NO₂-FA. Altogether, we unravel the role of 445 NO₂-FA as a signal molecule in plant immune response.

446

447

448 MATERIALS AND METHODS

449 Tomato Cell Suspensions Culture Conditions

Tomato cell suspensions (*Solanum lycopersicum*, line Msk8) were grown at 25°C in dark in MS medium (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands) as previously described (Laxalt et al., 2007). Cells of fourday-old cultures were used for all experiments.

454

455 **Chemicals and Reagents**

456 OA was purchased from Nu-Chek Prep (Elysian, MN). NO₂-OA and 457 biotinylated NO₂-OA were synthesized and purified as previously 458 described (Woodcock el at., 2013; Bonacci et al., 2011; respectively). GS-459 ¹⁵NO₂-d₄-OA standard was generated by the reaction of 200 mM reduced gluthatione with 100 µM ¹⁵NO₂-d₄-OA in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8 at 460 461 37°C) for 3 h. The lipid conjugates were loaded on a C18 SPE column pre-462 equilibrated with 10% methanol and then eluted with methanol. Solvents 463 used for extractions and mass spectrometric analyses were of HPLC 464 grade or higher from Burdick and Jackson (Muskegon, MI).

465

466 Lipid Extraction

Lipid extraction from 100 mg of tomato cells were carried out using hexane:isopropanol:1M formic acid (2:1:0.1, v/v/v). As internal standard samples were spike with $^{15}NO_2$ -d₄-OA (100 nM). The organic phase was dried under N₂ and reconstituted in methanol before MS analysis.

471

472 Chromatography

Nitro-FA and GS-NO₂-OA were analyzed by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS 473 474 using gradient solvent systems consisting of water containing 0.1% acetic 475 acid (solvent A) and acetonitrile containing 0.1% acetic acid (solvent B), 476 and were resolved using a reverse phase HPLC column (100 \times 2 mm x 5 µm C18 Luna column; Phenomenex) at a 0.65 ml/min flow rate. NO₂-FA 477 478 samples were applied to the column at 30% B (0.3min) and eluted with a 479 linear increase in solvent B (100% B in 14.7min) and GSH adducts were 480 applied to the column at 20% B (1.1 min) and eluted with a linear increase 481 in solvent B (20–100% solvent B in 5.9 min).

482

483 Mass Spectrometry

484 The NO₂-FA detection was performed using multiple reactions 485 monitoring (MRM) on an AB5000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, San Jose, CA) equipped with an electrospray 486 487 ionization source. MS analyses for NO₂-FA used electrospray ionization in 488 the negative ion mode with the collision gas set at 4 units, curtain gas 40, 489 ion source gas #1 55 and #260, ion spray voltage -4500 V, and 490 temperature 600 °C. The declustering potential was -100, entrance 491 potential -5, collision energy -35, and the collision exit potential -18.4. 492 MRM was used for sample analysis of nitrated fatty acids following the 493 charged loss of a nitro group (m/z 46) upon collision-induced dissociation. 494 An AB6500+ Q-trap triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, San Jose, CA) was used for GSH adducts detection in 495 496 positive ion mode using the following parameters: electrospray voltage 5.5

kV, declustering potential 60 eV, collision energy 30, gas1 45 and gas2 50
and de source temperature was set at 550°C. The following transitions
635.2/506.2 and 640.2/511.2 were used for detecting GS-NO₂-OA and
GS-¹⁵NO₂-d₄-OA respectively.

501

502 **Determination of ROS and •NO Production**

503 Tomato cells (90 µL per well in 96-well microtitre plate, DeltaLab) 504 were treated with 1, 10, 25, 50 or 100 µM of OA or NO₂-OA for 1, 4, 7 or 17 h. Plates were incubated at 25°C in darkness. ROS production was 505 506 detected by incubating cells with 4 µM H₂DCF-DA probe (Ubezio and Civoli, 1994; Molecular Probe, Eugene, OR, USA) during the last hour of 507 508 each treatment. As an example, for 7 h treatment, at 6 h 4 µM of H₂DCF-DA was added and ROS production was measured as follow. Cells were 509 510 immediately introduced in Fluoroskan Acsent microwell fluorometer (Thermo Electron Company, Vantaa, Finland) and fluorescence (ex 511 512 485nm, em 525nm) was recorded every 2 minutes for 60 minutes. The area under the curve (AUC, accumulated fluorescence) was calculated 513 514 according to equation showed in supplemental data and taken as an accumulated florescence value (see supplemental Figure 1S). For •NO 515 516 determination 10 µM DAF-FM-DA was used as a probe (Kojima et al., 517 1999, Molecular Probe, Eugene, OR, USA) and production was calculated 518 as indicated above for H₂DCF-DA.

519 For observation of ROS production, cells were treated with 100 μ M 520 of OA or NO₂-OA for 6 h and then incubated with H₂DCF-DA for 1 h and 521 visualized under the epifluorescence microscopy with an excitation filter of 522 495 nm and a barrier filter of 515 nm according to Gonorazky et al., 523 (2008).

524 Hydrogen peroxide determination was carried out by Pyranine 525 quenching assay according to Gonorazky et al., (2008, Pyranine Sigma-526 Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Fluorescence quenching was recorded every 527 2 minutes for 60 minutes using Fluoroskan Acsent microwell fluorometer.

528 *In situ* hydrogen peroxide production was assayed by DAB staining.

529 Briefly, 100 µl of treated cells were incubated with 50 µl of 0.2% DAB 530 solution (Sigma-Aldrich) prepared according to Daudi and O`Brien, (2012).

531 Cells were incubated over night and observed under microscope.

532

533 Inhibition Assays of ROS Production

534 Tomato cell culture were treated in 96-well microtitre plate (90 µL per well) for 5 h with 100 µM of NO₂-OA and then incubated with different 535 536 concentrations of NADPH oxidase inhibitor (DPI: 1, 5 or 10 µM, Sigma), calcium channel blocker (Cl₃La: 1, 5 or 10 mM, Sigma-Aldrich), 537 538 extracellular calcium chelator (EGTA: 1, 5 or 10 mM, Sigma-Aldrich), protein kinase inhibitor (staurosporine: 0.2, 1 or 2 µM, Sigma-Aldrich) or 539 540 •NO scavenger (cPTIO: 0.1, 0.5 or 1 mM, Invitrogene, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for an additional hour in presence of 4 µM H₂DCF-DA. Control cells (no 541 542 treatment, negative control) and NO2-OA-only treated cells (positive control) were incubated under the same conditions. Determination of ROS 543 544 production was performed as indicated above.

545

546 **qPCR Analysis of Gene Expression**

Three ml of tomato cells cultures were treated with 100 µM OA, 100 547 548 µM NO₂-OA or DMSO (Merk, Darmstadt, Germany) as a control for 3 or 6 549 h. Cells were washed with phosphate buffer (pH 7.5, 50 mM), frozen in liquid nitrogen and total RNA was extracted using the Trizol method. cDNA 550 551 was synthesized according to manufactured instruction using M-MLV 552 enzyme (Invitrogene). Transcripts levels of SIPR1a, SIHSR203J, SIPAL, 553 and SIACT (Actin) genes were analyzed by gPCR (StepOne, Thermo). 554 Expression data are expressed as $\triangle \triangle C_t$ and SIACT was used a housekeeping gene. Primers used are listed in supplemental Table S1. 555

556

557 Cell Death Quantification

558 Tomato cells were treated with 50 μ M or 100 μ M of OA or NO₂-OA 559 for 4, 7 or 17 h on 96-well microtitre plate (90 μ L per well). At each time, 560 50 μ l of 1% $^{w}/_{v}$ Evans Blue solution (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) were

added to cells in wells, incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes and
observed under light microscope. Live (none stained) and dead (blue
stained) cells were manually counted on at least 10 random optical fields
(40x) for each treatment.

565

566 GSH and GS-NO₂-OA Adduct Detection

567 Three ml of tomato cell culture were treated with 100 μ M OA, 100 568 μ M NO₂-OA or DMSO as control for 3 or 6 h. Cells were collected, washed 569 and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total GSH was evaluated using 570 the enzymatic GSH recycling method (Griffith, 1980).

GS-NO₂-OA adducts were assessed by HPLC-MSMS. A mass of 571 572 0.4 mg of cell was spike with 30 fmol of GS-¹⁵NO₂-d₄-OA as internal standard before extraction. GSH adducts was extracted using C18 SPE 573 574 columns. Columns were conditioned with 100% methanol, followed by 2 575 column volumes of 10% methanol. Samples were loaded into the SPE column and washed with 2 column volumes of 10% methanol and the 576 column was dried under vacuum for 30 min. GSH adducts were eluted 577 578 with 3 ml methanol, solvent was evaporated, and samples were dissolved methanol for analysis by HPLC-electrospray ionization mass 579 in 580 spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS).

581

582 Western Blot of Protein-NO₂-OA Adducts

583 Tomato cell cultures (500 µl) were treated with NO₂-OA-biotin at a 584 final concentration of 25 µM for 4, 7, and 17 h. As a control, 500 µl of cell 585 cultures were treated with DMSO. The cells were collected, subjected to 586 three cycles of freeze/thawed and ground under liquid nitrogen for 587 mechanical disruption. Proteins were extracted using phosphate buffer (50 mM pH 7.5) containing 20 mM NEM (Fluka). Total protein concentration 588 589 was determined by the bicinchoninic acid method (Smith et al., 1985, 590 bicinchoninic Sigma) and 100 µg of proteins for each sample were reduced by incubation with 10 mM BME (BioBasic, Ontario, Canada) for 5 591 592 minutes at 70°C (Schopfer et al., 2009). As a positive control, 100 µg of

593 tomato proteins cell extract were treated with an excess of NO₂-OA-biotin 594 (125 µM final concentration) to induced nitroalkylation (room temperature 595 for 30 minutes in phosphate buffer). Samples were treated with BME and heat as indicated above. All samples were mixed with protein loading 596 597 buffer without BME, separated in polyacrylamide gels, transferred to 598 nitrocellulose membrane and incubated with mouse anti-biotin primary 599 antibody overnight (Sigma-Aldrich). The membrane was incubated with a 600 secondary antibody coupled to phosphatase alkaline enzyme (Sigma-601 Aldrich) for 3 h and developed over 5 minutes or 2 h (see supplemental 602 Figure S3).

603

604

605 LITERATURE CITED

606

Andersson MX, Nilsson AK, Johansson ON, Boztaş G,
 Adolfsson LE, Pinosa F, Petit CG, Aronsson H, Mackey D, Tör M, et al
 (2015) Involvement of the electrophilic isothiocyanate sulforaphane in
 Arabidopsis local defense responses. Plant Physiol 167: 251–261

Baker LMS, Baker PRS, Golin-Bisello F, Schopfer FJ, Fink M,
Woodcock SR, Branchaud BP, Radi R, Freeman BA (2007) Nitro-fatty
acid reaction with glutathione and cysteine: Kinetic analysis of thiol
alkylation by a Michael addition reaction. J Biol Chem 282: 31085–31093

617Baker PRS, Schopfer FJ, Donnell VBO, Freeman BA (2009)618Convergence of nitric oxide and lipid signaling: anti-inflammatory nitro-fatty619acids. Free Radic Biol Med 46(8): 989–1003.620doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2008.11.021

Batthyany C, Schopfer FJ, Baker PRS, Durán R, Baker LMS,
Huang Y, Cerveñansky C, Branchaud BP, Freeman BA (2006)
Reversible post-translational modification of proteins by nitrated fatty acids
in vivo. J Biol Chem 281: 20450–20463

Bonacci G, Schopfer FJ, Batthyany CI, Rudolph TK, Rudolph
 V, Khoo NK, Kelley EE, Freeman BA (2011) Electrophilic fatty acids
 regulate matrix metalloproteinase activity and expression. J Blol Chem
 286(18):16074–16081

632 Chattaraj PK, Sarkar U, Roy DR (2006) Electrophilicity index.
633 Chem Rev 106: 2065–2091

634

621

635 Daudi A, O'Brien JA (2012) Detection of hydrogen peroxide by 636 DAB staining in Arabidopsis leaves. Bio-protocol 2(18): e263 637 638 De Jong CF, Laxalt AM, Bargmann BOR, De Wit PJGM, Joosten MHAJ, Munnik T (2004) Phosphatidic acid accumulation is an early 639 640 response in the Cf-4/Avr4 interaction. Plant J 39: 1-12 641 642 Farmer EE, Mueller MJ (2013) ROS-mediated lipid peroxidation 643 and RES-activated signaling. Annu Rev Plant Biol 64: 429-450 644 Fazzari M, Trostchansky A, Schopfer FJ, Salvatore SR, 645 Sánchez-Calvo B, Vitturi D, Valderrama R, Barroso JB, Radi R, 646 Freeman BA, et al (2014) Olives and olive oil are sources of electrophilic 647 648 fattv acid nitroalkenes. PLoS One. **9**(1) e84884 doi: 649 10.1371/journal.pone.0084884 650 651 Freeman BA, Baker PRS, Schopfer FJ, Woodcock SR, 652 Napolitano A, D'Ischia M (2008) Nitro-fatty acid formation and signaling. J Biol Chem 283: 15515–15519 653 654 655 Gonorazky G, Distefano AM, Garcia-Mata C, Lamattina L, Laxalt 656 AM (2014) Phospholipases in nitric oxide-mediated plant signaling. In Signaling and communication in plants. Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, 657 p135–157 658 659 Gonorazky G, Laxalt AM, Testerink C, Munnik T, De La Canal L 660 (2008) Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate accumulates extracellularly upon 661 xylanase treatment in tomato cell suspensions. Plant, Cell Environ 31: 662 1051-1062 663 664 665 Gonorazky G, Ramirez L, Abd-El-Haliem A, Vossen JH, Lamattina L, ten Have A, Joosten MHAJ, Laxalt AM (2014) The tomato 666 phosphatidylinositol-phospholipase C2 (SIPLC2) is required for defense 667 668 gene induction by the fungal elicitor xylanase. J Plant Physiol 171: 959-669 965 670 671 Gorczynski MJ, Huang J, Lee H, King SB (2007) Evaluation of 672 nitroalkenes as nitric oxide donors. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 17: 2013-673 2017 674 675 Govrin EM, Levine A (2000) The hypersensitive response 676 facilitates plant infection by the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis cinerea. 677 Curr Biol 10: 751–757 678 679 Griffith OW (1980) Determination of glutathione and glutathione 680 disulfide using glutathione reductase and 2-vinylpyridine. Anal Biochem 106: 207-212 681 682

683 Groeger AL, Freeman BA (2010) Signaling action of electrophiles: 684 Anti-inflamatory therapeutics candidates. Mol Interv 10: 39–50 685 Kadota Y, Shirasu K, Zipfel C (2015) Regulation of the NADPH 686 oxidase RBOHD during plant immunity. Plant Cell Physiol 56: 1472–1480 687 688 Kojima H, Urano Y, Kikuchi K, Higuchi T, Hirata Y, Nagano T 689 (1999) Fluorescent indicators for imaging nitric oxide production. Angew 690 691 Chem Int Ed Engl 38: 3209–3212 692 Laxalt AM, Riet B, Verdonk JC, Parigi L, Tameling WIL, Vossen 693 694 J, AI. E (2001) Characterization of five tomato phospholipase D cDNAs: rapid and specific expression of LePLD^{β1} on elicitation with xylanase. 695 Plant J 26(3) 237-247 696 697 Laxalt AM, Raho N, Ten Have A, Lamattina L (2007) Nitric oxide 698 699 is critical for inducing phosphatidic acid accumulation in xylanase-elicited 700 tomato cells. J Biol Chem 282: 21160-21168 701 702 Lim G-H, Singhal R, Kachroo A, Kachroo P (2017) Fatty acid-703 and lipid-mediated signaling in plant defense. Annu Rev Phytopathol Annu 704 Rev Phytopathol 55: 505–36 705 706 Mata-Pérez C, Sánchez-Calvo B, Begara-Morales JC, Carreras 707 A, Padilla MN, Melguizo M, Valderrama R, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB 708 (2016) Nitro-linolenic acid is a nitric oxide donor. Nitric Oxide - Biol Chem **57**: 57–63 709 710 Mata-Pérez C, Sánchez-Calvo B, Begara-Morales JC, Padilla 711 MN, Valderrama R, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB (2016) Nitric oxide release 712 713 from nitro-fatty acids in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Signal Behav 11: 3-6 714 715 Mata-Pérez C, Sánchez-Calvo B, Padilla MN, Begara-Morales 716 JC, Lugue F, Melguizo M, Jiménez-Ruiz J, Fierro-Risco J, Peñas-717 Sanjuán A, Valderrama R, et al (2016) Nitro-fatty acids in plant signaling: 718 nitro-linolenic acid induces the molecular chaperone network in 719 Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 170: 686–701 720 721 Mata-Pérez C, Sánchez-Calvo B, Padilla MN, Begara-Morales 722 JC, Valderrama R, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB (2017) Nitro-fatty acids in 723 plant signaling: New key mediators of nitric oxide metabolism. Redox Biol **11**: 554–561 724 725 726 Orozco-Cardenas ML, Narvaez-Vasquez J, Ryan CA (2001) 727 Hydrogen Peroxide acts as a second messenger for the induction of 728 defense genes in tomato plants in response to wounding, systemin, and 729 methyl jasmonate. Plant Cell 13: 179-191

Piedras P, Hammond-Kosack KE, Harrison K, Jones JDG
(1998) Rapid, Cf-9- and Avr9- dependent production of active oxygen
species in tobacco suspension cultures. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 11:
1155–1166

Raho N, Ramirez L, Lanteri ML, Gonorazky G, Lamattina L, ten
Have A, Laxalt AM (2011) Phosphatidic acid production in chitosanelicited tomato cells, via both phospholipase D and phospholipase
C/diacylglycerol kinase, requires nitric oxide. J Plant Physiol 168: 534–539

Rudolph TK, Rudolph V, Edreira MM, Cole MP, Bonacci G,
Schopfer FJ, Woodcock SR, Franek A, Pekarova M, Khoo NKH, et al
(2010) Nitro-fatty acids reduce atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-deficient
mice. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 30: 938–945

746 Sagi M, Fluhr R (2006) Production of reactive oxygen species by
 747 plant NADPH oxidases. Plant Physiol 141: 336–340

748

757

763

766

770

- Sánchez-Calvo B, Barroso JB, Corpas FJ (2013) Hypothesis:
 nitro-fatty acids play a role in plant metabolism. Plant Sci 199–200: 1–6
- Schopfer FJ, Baker PR, Giles G, Chumley P, Batthyany C,
 Crewford J, Patel RP, Hogg N, Branchaud BP, Lancaster JR Jr, et al
 (2005) Fatty acid transduction of nitric oxide signaling. Nitrolinoleic acid is
 a hydrophobically stabilized nitric oxide donor. J Biol Chem 280(19):
 19289–19297

Schopfer FJ, Batthyany C, Baker PRS, Bonacci G, Cole MP,
Rudolph V, Groeger AL, Rudolph TK, Nadtochiy S, Brookes PS, et al
(2009) Detection and quantification of protein adduction by electrophilic
fatty acids: mitochondrial generation of fatty acid nitroalkene derivatives.
Free Radic Biol Med 46: 1250–1259

764Schopfer FJ, Cipollina C, Freeman BA (2011) Formation and765signaling actions of electrophilic lipids. Chem Rev 111: 5997–6021

Sello S, Moscatiello R, La Rocca N, Baldan B, Navazio L (2017)
 A rapid and efficient method to obtain photosynthetic cell suspension
 cultures of Arabidopsis thaliana. Front Plant Sci 8: 1–8

771 **Serrano I, Audran C, Rivas S** (2016) Chloroplasts at work during 772 plant innate immunity. J Exp Bot **67**: 3845–3854

Smith PK, Krohn RI, Hermanson GT, Mallia AK, Gartner FH,
Provenzano MD, Fujimoto EK, Goeke NM, Olson BJ, Klenk DC (1985)
Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. Anal Biochem 150: 76–
85

Turell L, Vitturi DA, Coitiño EL, Lebrato L, Möller MN, Sagasti C, Salvatore SR, Woodcock SR, Alvarez B, Schopfer FJ (2017) The chemical basis of thiol addition to nitro-conjugated linoleic acid, a protective cell-signaling lipid. J Biol Chem 292: 1145-1159 Ubezio P, Civoli F (1994) Flow cytometric detection of hydrogen peroxide production induced by doxorubicin in cancer cells. Free Radic Biol Med **16**: 509–516 Wang X (2004) Lipid signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7: 329–336 Woodcock SR, Bonacci G, Gelhaus SL, Schopfer FJ (2013) Nitrated fatty acids: Synthesis and measurement. Free Radic Biol Med. 59: 14 - 26Yun B-W, Feechan A, Yin M, Saidi NBB, Le Bihan T, Yu M, Moore JW, Kang J-G, Kwon E, Spoel SH, et al (2011) S-nitrosylation of NADPH oxidase regulates cell death in plant immunity. Nature 478: 264-Zhang J, Villacorta L, Chang L (2010) Nitro-oleic acid inhibits angiotensin II-induced hypertension. Circ Res. 107: 540-548