# BMJ Open Application of Dialectical Behaviour Therapy in treating common psychiatric disorders: study protocol for a scoping review

Michelle Yan Ling Tan , 1,2 Bridget McConnell, 3 Joanna Barlas<sup>2</sup>

To cite: Tan MYL, McConnell B, Barlas J. Application of **Dialectical Behaviour Therapy** in treating common psychiatric disorders: study protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open 2022;12:e058565. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-058565

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-058565).

Received 22 October 2021 Accepted 14 July 2022



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

<sup>1</sup>Psychology, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, James Cook University, Singapore

<sup>3</sup>Psychology, National University of Singapore, Singapore

#### **Correspondence to**

Ms Michelle Yan Ling Tan; michelleyl.tan@my.jcu.edu.au

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction Dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT) is a well-known intervention for treating borderline personality disorder, and has been increasingly adapted for other disorders. Standard DBT consists of four treatment modes, delivered over a year. Adaptations to DBT include changes to modes of delivery, treatment length, and skills modules taught to clients, or incorporating interventions from other evidence-based therapies. There is a need to synthesise existing evidence on DBT so that stakeholders—clinicians, researchers and policymakers—can understand how it has been provided for various psychiatric conditions, and whether it has been effective.

Methods and analysis This study proposes a scoping review conducted according to Arksey and O'Malley's (2005) procedures, to map and summarise the literature on DBT interventions for treating a range of psychiatric concerns. Electronic databases (ie, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, PsycINFO, SCOPUS, EBSCOhost and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses), conference proceedings and the US National Institutes of Health Ongoing Trial Register will be searched for intervention studies that involve a control or comparison group, and that report quantitative data on pre/postmeasures for psychiatric symptom severity. The initial search was conducted on 18 September 2020, and data charting has not commenced. An update will be performed in September 2022, pending this protocol's publication. Data charting will collect individual studies' characteristics. methodology and reported findings. Outcomes will be reported by following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines for Scoping Reviews.

Ethics and dissemination No ethical approval is required for this study. The goal of dissemination is to keep DBT stakeholders abreast on latest updates in clinical applications of DBT. Findings from this research are intended to inform a more specific topic of study (eg, a meta-analysis), to further aid in the development of DBT interventions for psychiatric populations.

Registration details The study protocol was preregistered with the Open Science Framework on 24 August 2021 (https://osf.io/vx6gw).

#### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Use of a scoping review format will allow for this proposed study to generate a summary of the available research to date on broader applications of dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT), and includes a range of clinical presentations as well as variations of DBT.
- ⇒ Findings arising from the proposed study will clarify how DBT has been adapted to suit the needs of treatment practitioners and patients over time.
- ⇒ The findings will be useful in informing future research on DBT, for example, by identifying areas where there are sufficient data and adequate rigour in the studies, so that subsequent meta-analyses can be designed.
- ⇒ Based on the study selection criteria that specify the inclusion of studies only if client self-reported data were collected, there will be certain client populations that may be excluded, such as persons with intellectual disabilities, since research on this population usually uses clinician-rated or caregiverrated assessment measures, rather than client self-reports.
- ⇒ In line with the above, certain adaptations such as DBT for parents or families of psychiatric patients will likely also not be included, unless the study administers assessments to clients themselves.

#### INTRODUCTION

Dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT) is a comprehensive treatment for pervasive emotion dysregulation, originally developed for highly suicidal and self-injurious individuals who showed poor outcomes from existing psychotherapies.2 It is based on dialectical philosophy, that is, the resolution of two opposite views into a synthesis. The main dialectic in DBT is balancing between changing what is within control (consistent with traditional therapies) and acceptance of events or one's inner experiences. With roots in behaviourism, DBT also employs social learning theory and behavioural principles to address clients' problems.3



Consultation team

Table 1 Modes and corre	sponding functions of standard DBT
Modes	Functions
Individual psychotherapy	Improve client motivation to change; structure clients' treatment and natural environments to support client capabilities
Group skills training	Enhance client capabilities for regulating emotion effectively (ie, acquiring skills for effective responding)
Telephone coaching	Facilitate generalisation/transfer clients' new capabilities (ie, skilful responses) to their natural

Structuring the environment can occur through additional methods, for example, case management, interactions with administrative or clinical staff (contingency management within the treatment programme), and family and couples' interventions (contingency management within clients' communities).

DBT, dialectical behaviour therapy.

In DBT, the treatment environment is structured according to clients' levels of disorder, that is, the complexity of each case.<sup>4</sup> The standard treatment takes 1 year, and comprises four treatment modes aimed at fulfilling five main functions, as in table 1, which is modified from Koerner.<sup>5</sup>

therapist capabilities

#### **Individual psychotherapy**

Individual psychotherapy involves mutual verbal commitment to DBT, including jointly organising a hierarchy of treatment targets with clients. These are behaviours requiring change (to increase or decrease) and other client goals. Behaviours to decrease are ordered as (1) life-threatening behaviours, (2) therapy-interfering behaviours and (3) quality-of-life-interfering behaviours. Behavioural interventions are used to implement structure, such as tracking target behaviours and emotions using diary cards, facilitating behavioural analyses (termed chain analyses and solution analyses), contingency management, behavioural rehearsal of skills or exposure tasks. Key DBT techniques are commitment strategies to assess and enhance motivation for change, acceptance strategies to strengthen therapeutic alliances and dialectical strategies to synthesise seemingly opposing views in response to high-emotion situations. These are continually employed in sessions, especially as clients begin applying skills to reduce target behaviours like angry outbursts or substance use.

#### **Group skills training**

Skills training is typically conducted in groups by two DBT skills trainers, resembling a class. Skills training equips clients with skills in a psychoeducational manner, freeing individual therapists to flexibly cater sessions to clients' needs. The group setting helps members learn from each other and practise skills when interpersonal situations arise, allowing for immediate coaching on applying what was taught. Four modules of skills are covered, namely, mindfulness, distress tolerance, emotion regulation skills and interpersonal effectiveness skills.

#### **Telephone coaching**

Maintain and enhance therapist capabilities and their motivation for treating complex, multiproblem clients; also to structure clients' treatment environment to support client and

Through telephone coaching, clients are guided in applying skills to replace dysfunctional responses in actual circumstances. This allows generalisation of learning beyond therapy settings. Agreements are set beforehand to establish understanding of when and how calls are made, and time limits of calls. Typically, calls are disallowed for 24 hours after life-threatening behaviours to prevent reinforcing them.

#### **Consultation team**

Finally, DBT therapists join a peer-consultation team, aimed at maintaining therapists' motivation and clinical skills. Consultation teams usually meet weekly and follow a set of agreements surrounding clients, therapists and therapy. Therapists assume different roles during meetings (eg, rotating leadership), helping each other with case conceptualisation and addressing difficult personal emotions and cognitions that may interfere with effective DBT.

Providing all four treatment modes is known as comprehensive or standard DBT. That said, the treatment developer has acknowledged that 'in principle, DBT can be applied in any treatment mode'. Modes are sometimes condensed due to resource or cost constraints, or supplemented by ancillary treatments (eg, pharmacotherapy, involvement of clients' families). Other reasons for modifications to standard DBT or preferred modes of service delivery include the need to target specific problems, and are briefly outlined below.

A well-established evidence-based treatment, DBT has become the standard of care in treating borderline personality disorder (BPD).<sup>8 9</sup> It has been adapted in recent years for treating various psychiatric disorders. Adaptations have been made to DBT for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) related to child sexual abuse and co-occurring problems in emotion regulation by combining trauma-focused cognitive–behavioural techniques with DBT elements (eg, DBT-PTSD).<sup>10-12</sup> A

Table 2 Examples of known	n DBT adaptations
Psychiatric diagnosis/ concern(s)	Types of DBT studied
Depression	Radically open DBT (RO-DBT), <sup>34 35</sup> also known in earlier studies as DBT <sup>D+PD36 37</sup> ; 16-week DBT skills training (DBT-ST) <sup>38</sup>
Bipolar disorder	12-week DBT-ST with telephone check-ins <sup>39</sup> ; DBT for adolescents (DBT-A; as in Goldstein <i>et al</i> <sup>40</sup> based on Miller <i>et al</i> 's <sup>41</sup> model)
Anorexia nervosa (including restricting and binge-purge subtypes)	RO-DBT (eg, Lynch $et\ al^{42}$ ); DBT-A with two further adaptations to treatment length and the addition of a supplementary module <sup>43</sup> ; 3-month adapted inpatient DBT programme with eating disorder-specific modifications <sup>44</sup>
Binge eating disorder	The Stanford Model, known in earlier studies as DBT for bulimia nervosa (DBT-BN) or DBT for binge eating disorder (first published by Telch <i>et al</i> <sup>45 46</sup> ); guided self-help in DBT (as in Carter <i>et al</i> 's <sup>47</sup> and Masson <i>et al</i> 's <sup>48</sup> studies, which administered the self-help manual 'The DBT Solution for Emotional Eating') <sup>49</sup>
Bulimia nervosa	Stanford Model (DBT-BN; eg, Safer $et\ al^{50}$ ); standard DBT with and without eating disorder-specific modifications (eg, Chen $et\ al^{51}$ ); appetite-focused DBT <sup>52</sup>
Multidiagnostic or complex eating disorders	Multidiagnostic eating disorders for DBT $^{53}$ $^{54}$ ; DBT-based partial hospitalisation programmes (eg, Brown <i>et al</i> $^{55-57}$ )
Post-traumatic stress disorder	DBT for post-traumatic stress disorder <sup>10–12 58</sup> ; standard DBT with a DBT-prolonged exposure protocol <sup>59–61</sup> ; modified DBT-A <sup>62</sup>
Substance abuse and dependence	DBT for substance use disorder <sup>14 15 63</sup> ; 20-week (shortened) standard DBT (as in Axelrod <i>et al</i> <sup>64</sup> ) 3-month DBT-ST <sup>65</sup>
Intellectual disability or developmental disabilities	DBT for special populations <sup>66</sup> ; skills system (SS/DBT-SS) <sup>67 68</sup>
DBT, dialectical behaviour therap	ру.

treatment protocol for treating patients with comorbid BPD and PTSD has also been developed by Harned *et al*<sup>12</sup> by combining standard DBT with another well-researched trauma-focused treatment, prolonged exposure therapy (PE), <sup>13</sup> known as DBT PE. <sup>12</sup> Standard DBT was also adapted for treating substance use disorders (SUDs) to develop DBT-SUD <sup>14</sup> <sup>15</sup> by incorporating concepts and skills specific to managing substance abuse. Systematic reviews examining applications of DBT with intellectual disabilities <sup>16</sup> and eating disorders <sup>17</sup> found some evidence that DBT can be modified to target symptoms unique to these conditions, although further research on efficacy is needed. The names of some of these adaptations are summarised in table 2.

The effect of DBT on such a wide range of clinical concerns has not been investigated in detail, despite it being increasingly employed to treat many psychiatric and behaviour problems as outlined above.

#### THE PRESENT STUDY

As mentioned earlier, systematic reviews on DBT for treating specific types of disorders have been conducted. However, there are more psychiatric conditions for which DBT has been used, which have not been systematically reviewed, including PTSD and substance use. Other systematic reviews focused on a mode of DBT (eg, of DBT skills training as a standalone treatment), BBT delivered in a single-treatment setting

(eg, DBT skills training groups in schools)<sup>19</sup> or DBT provided for one age group of clients (eg, DBT for self-harm and suicidal ideation in adolescents).<sup>20</sup>

Mapping and summarising the literature are needed to understand how DBT is used as a treatment for various types of psychiatric conditions. To achieve this, this study protocol describes a proposal for a scoping review, a format that would allow a summary of the available research to date on broader applications of DBT to be generated. The proposed scoping review instead aims to examine the effects of all types or variations of DBT on a range of clinical presentations. This study will thus clarify how DBT has been delivered to suit the needs of treatment practitioners and clients over time.

The findings generated from this research are also intended to be used to inform future research on DBT—in particular, to design a future systematic review by identifying gaps in the evidence base so that further development of a more specific topic of study can be facilitated. After determining areas where there are sufficient data and adequate rigour in the studies, subsequent meta-analyses can be designed. In the long term, this study can also be used to further inform the development of DBT or modified DBT interventions for psychiatric populations.

The study objectives are as follows:

1. To outline the range of psychiatric concerns where DBT has been applied in treatment, such as the most

8

- common disorders of mood and anxiety, including comorbid disorders.
- 2. To summarise the reported effects of DBT (according to the existing evidence base) in addressing these clients' psychiatric symptoms.
- 3. To index any modifications or adaptations to DBT, specific to the respective client population (eg, DBT for eating disorders).

#### **METHODS**

As it is important to follow an established approach, the scoping review will be conducted according to the procedures outlined by Arksey and O'Malley,<sup>21</sup> who first published a methodological framework for scoping reviews that includes clear stages of conducting the review. Following their seminal work, other authors have built on this framework as a foundation to provide recommendations for strengthening scoping studies. We follow the recommendation by Levac et  $al^{2}$  for further defining the research question (the first stage of Arksey and O'Malley's framework) to specify a target population and health outcomes. We also follow another recommendation by Pham et al<sup>23</sup> for reporting outcomes (the fifth stage of Arksey and O'Malley's framework) according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR).<sup>24</sup>

The review protocol was pre-registered on the Open Science Framework database on 24 August 2021, and may be refined through the study screening phase.

## Stage 1: identification of the research question

The review questions are as follows:

- What psychiatric diagnoses or problems have been treated with DBT in clinical treatment settings? This includes standard DBT, as well as any other forms of DBT interventions.
- 2. What are the reported effects of DBT interventions on symptom severity for these psychiatric problems?
- 3. What adaptations, if any, have been made to standard DBT for the treatment of each of these psychiatric conditions?

# Stage 2: identification of relevant literature

#### Selection criteria

#### Types of participants

Participants of all ages and in any clinical or health setting (including community settings) will be included in the review. Studies involving participants of all ages identified with psychiatric concerns (variously defined by study authors) will be included. Participants with subclinical symptoms, or reporting clinically significant distress, will also be included. The levels of symptomatology will be identified by a diagnostic interview or self-report measure (see table 3 for a non-exhaustive list that was generated based on an earlier literature review). A closer examination will be undertaken to establish whether these studies involve participants with

a diagnosis of a psychiatric illness using any recognised diagnostic criteria such as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition<sup>25</sup> or the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems.<sup>26</sup> Studies involving comorbid conditions, if any, will also be eligible for inclusion. Studies involving non-clinical participants will be excluded.

#### Types of studies

First, the results of this scoping review will be used to identify areas of DBT outcome research suitable for conducting a systematic review and/or meta-analysis. Second, an objective of this study is to summarise the reported effectiveness of DBT and its adaptations. Due to these reasons, only empirical studies that report quantitative data and that involve pre/post-measures for symptom severity will be eligible for inclusion in the scoping review. This includes randomised controlled trials and quasi-experimental studies that involve a control or comparison group. We will examine whether DBT adaptations may also be compared with standard DBT or with other types of DBT interventions. Review articles will be collected in order to review the reference list and will not contribute to the total number of studies, unless these also report original data. Theoretical literature, studies using qualitative designs, single-sample case studies and case reports will be excluded. There will be no date or country restrictions.

# Types of interventions Experimental interventions

Studies employing one or more of the four treatment modes will be included in this review, as well as any modifications to or adaptations of DBT. Examples of adaptations to skills training include delivering it on an individual basis instead of a group setting as specified in the treatment developer's manual, or delivering a shortened programme over 20 weeks instead of the usual 26 weeks in standard DBT, etc. The scoping review will examine the adaptations outlined in table 2. What constitutes a mode of DBT is specified in a full-text screening tool (see online supplemental file 1) that was developed by the lead author, through consultation with the study team.

# Comparator interventions

Control conditions can comprise a participant group receiving no interventions, treatment as usual or other types of treatment apart from DBT, as well as wait-list controls. Excluding uncontrolled studies ensures that the final sample of included studies has sufficient internal validity, so that the second research question can be adequately answered. This also helps with identifying areas (eg, with a specific psychiatric disorder) where there may be sufficient evidence to design a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Table 3   Examples of measures of sy	Examples of measures of symptomatology employed in DBT-related studies	
Psychiatric diagnosis/ concern(s)	Clinician-administered measures (eg, structured or semistructured interview)	Client self-report measures
General psychopathology	Structured Clinical Interview for Axis I DSM-IV Disorders (SCID-I) <sup>69</sup> ; Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Disorders (SCID-II) <sup>70</sup> ; Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview <sup>71</sup>	Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment forms <sup>72</sup> ; Brief Symptom Inventory <sup>73</sup> ; Symptom Checklist 90–Revised <sup>74</sup>
Affective disorders (eg, depressive symptoms, manic symptoms)	Hamilton-Depression Rating Scale <sup>75</sup> ; Kiddie Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia – Present and Lifetime <sup>76</sup>	Beck-Depression Inventory-II <sup>77</sup> ; Beck Hopelessness Scale <sup>78</sup> ; Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) <sup>79</sup> ; Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale <sup>80</sup> ; Young Mania Rating Scale <sup>81</sup> ; Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale <sup>82</sup>
Borderline personality disorder (BPD)	Borderline section of the SCID-II; Borderline Disorder Severity Index <sup>83</sup> ; Borderline Evaluation of Severity over Time <sup>87</sup> ; Borderline International Personality Disorder Examination-BPD Section <sup>84</sup> ; Symptom List (BSL) <sup>88</sup> ; BSL-23 <sup>59</sup> Structured Interview for DSM-IV Personality <sup>85</sup> ; Zanarini BPD Rating Scale <sup>86</sup>	Borderline Evaluation of Severity over Time <sup>87</sup> ; Borderline Symptom List (BSL) <sup>88</sup> ; BSL-23 <sup>89</sup>
Anxiety disorders	Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-Child and Parent version90	Beck Anxiety Inventory <sup>91</sup> ; DASS-21; Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale <sup>92</sup> ; State-Trait Anxiety Inventory <sup>93</sup>
Eating disorder symptomatology	Eating Disorder Examination <sup>94</sup>	Binge Eating Scale <sup>95</sup> ; Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (version 6) <sup>94</sup> ; Eating Disorder Inventory-2 <sup>96</sup> ; Emotional Eating Scale <sup>97</sup> ; Emotional Eating Scale for Children and Adolescents <sup>98</sup> ; Eating in the Absence of Hunger Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents <sup>99</sup> ; Preoccupation with Eating Weight and Shape Scale <sup>100</sup> ; Three Factor Eating Questionnaire <sup>101</sup>
Pathological anger	ı	State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory-II <sup>102</sup>
Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)	Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale <sup>103</sup>	Dissociative Experiences Scale-II <sup>104</sup> ; PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 <sup>105</sup>
Substance abuse and dependence	Drug Abuse Screening Test <sup>106</sup>	Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test <sup>107</sup> ; Drug Use Disorders Identification Test <sup>108</sup>
Suicidality, suicidal or parasuicidal behaviours	Parasuicide History Interview <sup>109</sup> , Suicide Attempt and Self-Injury Interview <sup>110</sup>	Beck Suicide Ideation Scale <sup>111</sup> ; Lifetime Parasuicidal Count <sup>112</sup> ; Suicide Risk Scale <sup>113</sup>
DBT, dialectical behaviour therapy; DSM, I	DBT, dialectical behaviour therapy; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058565 on 26 September 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on November 29, 2022 at James Cook University. Protected by copyright.

### Search strategy Databases

Electronic databases to be searched will include the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, PsycINFO, SCOPUS, EBSCOhost and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Only articles published in English will be included, as the study team members are unable to read information written in other languages. Both articles in peer-reviewed journals and unpublished (eg, dissertations) will be included, as long as the inclusion criteria elaborated below are met.

Additionally, manual reviews of conference proceedings from a list of conferences recommended for researchers by Behavioural Tech<sup>27</sup> and of reference lists in relevant papers will also be conducted to identify papers not captured in electronic database searches. Relevant trials will be searched for on the US National Institutes of Health Ongoing Trials Register (www.clinicaltrials.gov). Corresponding and/or primary authors will be contacted where necessary, to locate any unpublished studies.

All steps taken will be documented in a Microsoft Excel file, by recording the search terms used, dates of searches and number of findings for each database. If required, screenshots of searches will be saved.

#### Search string

A search strategy has been devised to combine the following domains: (1) clinical presentation and (2) treatment approach. A third domain, study population, was initially considered and then excluded, after preliminary scoping searches were conducted to identify the number of articles retrieved by specific keywords, including wild-cards, in various databases. Including population into the search string was found to have a negligible impact on the number of search results generated. The finalised search string is listed below, which will be modified as appropriate for various databases (also see online supplemental file 2 for specific search strategies for each database):

("Abus\*" OR "Addict\*" OR "ADHD" OR "Affect\*" OR "Aggressi\*" OR "Agitat\*" OR "Alzheimer" OR "Anger" OR "Anxi\*" OR "ASD" OR "Attenti\*" OR "Autis\*" OR "Behavior\*" OR "Behaviour\*" OR "Binge" OR "Binging" OR "Bipolar" OR "Borderline" OR "BPD" OR "Challeng\*" OR "Cogniti\*" OR "Compulsi\*" OR "Conduct" OR "Control" OR "Cope" OR "Coping" OR "Cut" OR "Dementia" OR "Dependen\*" OR "Depressi\*" OR "Disab\*" OR "Disorder\*" OR "Distress" OR "Dysregulat\*" OR "Eating" OR "Emot\*" OR "Empath\*" OR "Externalis\*" OR "Externaliz\*" OR "Function\*" OR "Hyper\*" OR "Hypo\*" OR "Impuls\*" OR "Injur\*" OR "Internalis\*" OR "Internaliz\*" OR "Interpersonal" OR "Irritab\*" OR "Maladaptive" OR "Mania" OR "Manic" OR "Mental" OR "Mood" OR "Negative" OR "Neuro\*" OR "Non-suicidal" OR "NOS" OR "Not otherwise specified" OR "NSSI" OR "Obsessi\*" OR "OCD" OR "Offen\*" OR "Oppositional" OR "Panic" OR "Para-suicidal" OR "Patholog\*" OR "Personality" OR "Phobia" OR "Posttraumatic" OR "Post-traumatic" OR "Problem\*" OR "PTSD" OR

"Psychological" OR "Psychopath\*" OR "Psychosis" OR "Psychosocial" OR "Psychotic" OR "Regulat\*" OR "Quality of life" OR "Risk\*" OR "Schizo\*" OR "Self-esteem" OR "Self-harm\*" OR "Shame" OR "Social" OR "Socioemotional" OR "Stalk\*" OR "Stealing" OR "Stress" OR "Suicid\*" OR "Substance" OR "Symptom\*" OR "Theft" OR "Trait\*" OR "Trauma" OR "Trichotillomani\*" OR "Violen\*" OR "Well-being") AND ("DBT" OR "Dialectical Behaviour Therapy" OR "Dialectical Behavioral Therapy")

#### Stage 3: study selection

There are three steps for the selection of appropriate studies.

Searches are first conducted by the lead author based on title, abstract and keywords within the above-stated electronic databases. The initial search was conducted on 18 September 2020, and data charting has not yet commenced. An update is planned to be performed in May 2022, pending the publication of this study protocol. It is estimated that a further 9 months would be required for study completion thereafter.

All articles will be uploaded to EndNote, and duplicate references identified using EndNote as well as via manual checking will be removed. Articles identified through hand-searches (eg, of reference lists) will be considered for inclusion into data synthesis based on their title.

The second step involves screening the abstracts of articles to ensure that they meet the inclusion criteria. An online platform for citation screening, Abstrackr, will be used to facilitate this process—that is, two independent reviewers will screen the articles for inclusion based on a predetermined rubric (see online supplemental file 3). Any discrepancies will be resolved in consultation with a third, senior reviewer who is experienced in conducting scoping reviews. Reasons for excluding any studies will be logged. Abstracts that meet the following criteria will be eligible for full-text screening:

- a. Is written in English.
- b. Is an empirical article; excluding single-sample case studies.
- c. Sample participants presenting with clinical or subclinical symptoms of any psychiatric disorder.
- d. Is based in a clinical setting, including but not limited to health, psychiatric, community health and forensic mental health settings. Studies based in non-clinical and/or non-psychiatric settings, such as schools or correctional settings, will be excluded.
- e. Studies DBT as the primary intervention or as a comparison/control condition, where DBT refers to at least one of the four treatment modes being delivered; the article is excluded if an integrative therapy is being studied, that is, a different treatment that merely incorporates elements of DBT.
- f. Evaluates symptom severity for any psychiatric condition using psychometric measures.

g. Administers at least two assessment time points (ie, pre-treatment and post-treatment assessments).

In the third step, the remaining articles will be similarly screened for inclusion by two independent reviewers using the full texts. Eligibility criteria for full-text screening were also developed a priori, and include the above criteria as well as the following (also see online supplemental files 1 and 4 for more detailed information):

- a. Closer examination of what constitutes a DBT mode, as defined by the study team. For example, the skills training mode must involve teaching of at least three of the four core DBT skills; that is, interventions that provide training in only one skill area (eg, mindfulness) would be excluded.
- b. Includes clients' self-reported data for any measures (full scales and/or subscales) of psychiatric symptoms or concerns.
- c. Includes a comparator condition.

Each step commences with a pilot round. As mentioned earlier, pilot searches were conducted prior to the finalisation of this proposal. Abstract screening and full-text screening procedures will each also be preceded by a pilot screening round involving at least three different reviewers (including all the listed authors). In the abstract screening and full-text screening pilot rounds, 200 abstracts (approximately 2.5% of search results) and 20 full-text articles will be randomly selected and screened, respectively.

The final dataset of selected studies will be collated in EndNote (as per recommendations by Peters<sup>29</sup>) and listed in Microsoft Excel.

#### Stage 4: data charting

In line with the recommended methodology for data charting, <sup>21 30</sup> data will be collected from the articles using a predetermined data extraction guidebook. Data charting forms have been drafted (see online supplemental file 5) and will be piloted by at least two authors, for the first 10 articles in the final dataset, according to recommendations by Levac *et al.*<sup>22</sup> Subsequently, the forms may be modified based on feedback from the pilot results. Each article will be read by only one reviewer for data collection, but a random sample making up 10% of the data will be spot-checked by a second reviewer for validation purposes.

The following data will be extracted from studies meeting inclusion criteria (see online supplemental file 5 for more details):

- a. Study characteristics (eg, title, authors, year of publication, country, language, treatment setting, sample size and demographics including baseline characteristics and diagnoses).
- b. Study methodology (eg, research questions or aims, study design, intervention description, comparisons or control groups, duration of therapy and number of sessions, study completion rates, treatment outcome measures and measurement time points).

c. Outcomes (eg, results of analyses including numerical information where relevant, suggested mechanisms of the intervention or other limitations).

No formal assessment of the quality of included studies will be made, in line with most published scoping reviews, <sup>23 31 32</sup> although debate on this topic is noted. <sup>22 33</sup> Nevertheless, the data collected or chartered will include information related to this such as allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, study completion rate, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, etc (see online supplemental file 5).

Study authors will be contacted in the event of missing data on methods or results, and correspondence will be tabulated.

#### Stage 5: collation, summary and reporting of results

A flow diagram will be included to report on each stage of the review process, as per PRISMA-ScR guidelines.<sup>24</sup> Findings from the review will be presented in a narrative synthesis, where studies are summarised according to the broad category of psychiatric concerns being treated. Information required to answer the research questions will be outlined, that is, the formats of DBT being delivered for each psychiatric condition, including the context such as treatment setting, population and specific adaptations being made to DBT. Consistent with other scoping reviews,<sup>21</sup> 32 tabular information will also be provided for the extracted data, describing the clinical and methodological characteristics (as per the data that have been extracted, including psychometric measures) of the included studies, outcomes, strengths and limitations of individual studies and patterns across studies, and the relationship between study characteristics and reported findings.

The above information will serve as a reference for researchers and practitioners alike. By compiling a full list of the types of DBT (ie, both standard DBT and how it has been modified to suit different treatment needs) employed in clinical settings for psychiatric conditions, readers will be able to understand how DBT has been applied and the current state of DBT research for each condition (eg, types of studies conducted, how effective it has been reported to be). This information also allows readers to identify gaps in the existing literature—for example, whether more rigorous studies are required, or where a low effect size has been reported—in consideration of how studies on DBT and its adaptations may be furthered.

#### Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in this study.

#### **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

Ethics approval was not required for a scoping review, which involves examining data from published literature. The finalised dataset will be made available on the Dryad repository. Results from this review will be disseminated through conventional means, such as publication in an open-access

peer-reviewed journal and presentations at scientific conferences.

The target audiences for the final study would be DBT practitioners and researchers, to keep them abreast on the latest updates in DBT-related literature. The results of the review will be used to inform service providers and policymakers in developing their clinical application of DBT based on the existing state of research—for example, by understanding the extent to which any adaptation of DBT is evidence-based before making clinical decisions on whether to adopt standard DBT or adapt it to their own treatment setting. Findings arising from the review can also be used to aid researchers in generating future systematic reviews and/or meta-analyses based on a narrowed scope of interest and more specific research questions.

**Contributors** MYLT conceptualised the study and drafted the manuscript; all authors jointly designed the study. BM and JB substantively revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### ORCID iD

Michelle Yan Ling Tan http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1565-1343

#### **REFERENCES**

- Linehan MM. Cognitive behavioral treatment of borderline personality disorder. New York: Guilford Press, 1993: 101.
- 2 Linehan MM. A social-behavioral analysis of suicide and parasuicide: Implications for clinical assessment and treatment. In: Glazer H, Clarkin JF, eds. *Depression, behavioral and directive* intervention strategies. New York: Garland Press, 1981: 229–94.
- 3 Linehan MM, Wilks CR. The course and evolution of dialectical behavior therapy. Am J Psychother 2015;69:97–110.
- 4 Linehan MM. *Dbt skills training manual*. 44. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press, 2015.
- 5 Koerner K. Doing Dialectical behavior therapy: a practical guide. 19. New York: Guilford Press, 2012.
- 6 Linehan MM. Skills training manual for treating borderline personality disorder. New York: Guilford Press, 1993.
- 7 DuBose AP, Botanov Y, Ivanoff A. International implementation of Dialectical Behaviour Therapy: The challenge of training therapists across cultures. In: Swales MA, ed. *The Oxford Handbook of Dialectical behaviour therapy*. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press, 2018: 909–30.

- 8 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Borderline personality disorder: recognition and management. London: NICE, 2009. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg78
- 9 Oldham JM, Gabbard GO, Goin MK. Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients with borderline personality disorder. Am J Psychiatry 2010;158:1–52.
- Steil R, Dyer A, Priebe K, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder related to childhood sexual abuse: a pilot study of an intensive residential treatment program. J Trauma Stress 2011;24:102–6.
- 11 Steil R, Dittmann C, Müller-Engelmann M, et al. Dialectical behaviour therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder related to childhood sexual abuse: a pilot study in an outpatient treatment setting. Eur J Psychotraumatol 2018;9:1423832.
- 12 Harned MS, Korslund KE, Linehan MM. A pilot randomized controlled trial of Dialectical behavior therapy with and without the Dialectical behavior therapy prolonged exposure protocol for suicidal and self-injuring women with borderline personality disorder and PTSD. Behav Res Ther 2014;55:7–17.
- 13 Foa EB, Hembree EA, Rothbaum BO. Prolonged exposure therapy for PTSD: emotional processing of traumatic experiences. New York: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- 14 Linehan MM, Schmidt H, Dimeff LA, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for patients with borderline personality disorder and drugdependence. Am J Addict 1999;8:279–92.
- 15 Linehan MM, Dimeff LA, Reynolds SK, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy versus comprehensive validation therapy plus 12step for the treatment of opioid dependent women meeting criteria for borderline personality disorder. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2002;67:13–26.
- 16 McNair L, Woodrow C, Hare D. Dialectical behaviour therapy [DBT] with people with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review and narrative analysis. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil 2017;30:787–804.
- 17 Bankoff SM, Karpel MG, Forbes HE, et al. A systematic review of dialectical behavior therapy for the treatment of eating disorders. Eat Disord 2012;20:196–215.
- 18 Valentine SE, Bankoff SM, Poulin RM, et al. The use of dialectical behavior therapy skills training as stand-alone treatment: a systematic review of the treatment outcome literature. J Clin Psychol 2015;71:1–20.
- 19 Day CM, Smith A, Short EJ. Dialectical behavior therapy skills groups for youth in schools: a systematic review. Adolesc Res Rev 2021:1–18.
- 20 Kothgassner OD, Goreis A, Robinson K, et al. Efficacy of dialectical behavior therapy for adolescent self-harm and suicidal ideation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychol Med 2021;51:1057–67.
- 21 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol* 2005;8:19–32.
- 22 Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci* 2010;5:69.
- 23 Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, et al. A scoping review of scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. Res Synth Methods 2014;5:371–85.
- 24 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 2018;169:46–73.
- 25 edAmerican Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-V*. 5th. American Psychiatric Publishing, 2013.
- 26 World Health Organisation. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders. Geneva: WHO, 1993.
- 27 Behavioral Tech. Resources for researchers, 2019. Available: https://behavioraltech.org/resources/researchers/ [Accessed 1 Jun 2020].
- 28 Abstrackr. Available: http://abstrackr.cebm.brown.edu/ [Accessed Oct 2020].
- 29 Peters MDJ. Managing and coding references for systematic reviews and scoping reviews in endnote. *Med Ref Serv Q* 2017;36:19–31.
- 30 Peters MD, Godfrey CM, McInerney P. The Joanna Briggs Institute reviewers' manual 2015: methodology for JBI scoping reviews. Adelaide, SA: The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2015.
- 31 Rumrill PD, Fitzgerald SM, Merchant WR. Using scoping literature reviews as a means of understanding and interpreting existing literature. *Work* 2010;35:399–404.
- 32 Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Info Libr J* 2009;26:91–108.
- 33 Daudt HML, van Mossel C, Scott SJ. Enhancing the scoping study methodology: a large, inter-professional team's experience



- with Arksey and O'Malley's framework. BMC Med Res Methodol
- Lynch TR, Hempel RJ, Dunkley C. Radically open-dialectical behavior therapy for disorders of over-control: signaling matters. Am J Psychother 2015;69:141-62.
- Lynch TR, Hempel RJ, Whalley B, et al. Radically open dialectical behaviour therapy for refractory depression: the RefraMED RCT. Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2018;5:1-112.
- 36 Lynch TR, Cheavens JS. Dialectical behavior therapy for depression with comorbid personality disorder: An extension of standard dialectical behavior therapy with a special emphasis on the treatment of older adults. In: Dimeff LA, Koerner K, eds. Dialectical behavior therapy in clinical practice: applications across disorders and settings. New York: Guilford Press, 2007: 264-97.
- Lynch TR, Cheavens JS. Dialectical behavior therapy for comorbid personality disorders. J Clin Psychol 2008;64:154-67.
- Harley R. Sprich S. Safren S. et al. Adaptation of dialectical behavior therapy skills training group for treatment-resistant depression. J Nerv Ment Dis 2008;196:136-43.
- Eisner L, Eddie D, Harley R, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy group skills training for bipolar disorder. Behav Ther 2017;48:557-66.
- Goldstein TR, Fersch-Podrat RK, Rivera M, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for adolescents with bipolar disorder: results from a pilot randomized trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2015:25:140-9.
- Miller AL, Rathus JH, DuBose AP. Dialectical behavior therapy for adolescents. In: Dimeff LA, Koerner K, eds. Dialectical behavior therapy in clinical practice: applications across disorders and settings. New York: Guilford Press, 2007: 245-63.
- Lynch TR, Gray KLH, Hempel RJ, et al. Radically opendialectical behavior therapy for adult anorexia nervosa: feasibility and outcomes from an inpatient program. BMC Psychiatry 2013;13:1-17.
- Salbach-Andrae H, Bohnekamp I, Pfeiffer E, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy of anorexia and Bulimia nervosa among adolescents: a case series. Cogn Behav Pract 2008;15:415-25.
- Kröger C, Schweiger U, Sipos V, et al. Dialectical behaviour therapy and an added cognitive behavioural treatment module for eating disorders in women with borderline personality disorder and anorexia nervosa or Bulimia nervosa who failed to respond to previous treatments. an open trial with a 15-month follow-up. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 2010;41:381-8.
- Telch CF, Agras WS, Linehan MM. Group dialectical behavior therapy for binge-eating disorder: a preliminary, uncontrolled trial. Behav Ther 2000;31:569-82.
- Telch CF, Agras WS, Linehan MM. Dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder. J Consult Clin Psychol 2001;69:1061-5.
- Carter JC, Kenny TE, Singleton C, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy self-help for binge-eating disorder: a randomized controlled study. Int J Eat Disord 2020;53:451-60.
- Masson PC, von Ranson KM, Wallace LM, et al. A randomized waitlist controlled pilot study of dialectical behaviour therapy guided self-help for binge eating disorder. Behav Res Ther 2013;51:723-8.
- Safer DL, Adler S, Masson PC. The DBT solution for emotional eating: a proven program for breaking the cycle of bingeing and outof-control eating. New York: Guilford Press, 2018.
- Safer DL, Telch CF, Agras WS. Dialectical behavior therapy for Bulimia nervosa. Am J Psychiatry 2001;158:632-4.
- Chen EY, Cacioppo J, Fettich K, et al. An adaptive randomized trial of dialectical behavior therapy and cognitive behavior therapy for binge-eating. Psychol Med 2017;47:703-17.
- Hill DM, Craighead LW, Safer DL. Appetite-focused dialectical behavior therapy for the treatment of binge eating with purging: a preliminary trial. Int J Eat Disord 2011;44:249-61.
- Federici A, Wisniewski L, Ben-Porath D. Description of an intensive dialectical behavior therapy program for multidiagnostic clients with eating disorders. Journal of Counseling Development 2012;90:330-8.
- Federici A, Wisniewski L. An intensive DBT program for patients with multidiagnostic eating disorder presentations: a case series analysis. Int J Eat Disord 2013;46:322-31.
- Brown TA, Cusack A, Anderson LK, et al. Efficacy of a partial Hospital programme for adults with eating disorders. Eur Eat Disord Rev 2018;26:241-52.
- Brown TA, Cusack A, Anderson L, et al. Early versus later improvements in dialectical behavior therapy skills use and treatment outcome in eating disorders. Cognit Ther Res 2019:43:759-68.
- Brown TA, Cusack A, Berner LA, et al. Emotion regulation difficulties during and after partial hospitalization treatment across eating disorders. Behav Ther 2020;51:401-12.

- 58 Bohus M, Kleindienst N, Hahn C, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder (DBT-PTSD) compared with cognitive processing therapy (CPT) in complex presentations of PTSD in women survivors of childhood abuse: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry 2020;77:1235-45.
- Harned MS, Linehan MM. Integrating dialectical behavior therapy and prolonged exposure to treat co-occurring borderline personality disorder and PTSD: two case studies. Cogn Behav Pract 2008;15:263-76.
- Harned MS, Korslund KE, Foa EB, et al. Treating PTSD in suicidal and self-injuring women with borderline personality disorder: development and preliminary evaluation of a Dialectical behavior therapy prolonged exposure protocol. Behav Res Ther 2012:50:381-6
- Harned MS, Wilks CR, Schmidt SC, et al. Improving functional outcomes in women with borderline personality disorder and PTSD by changing PTSD severity and post-traumatic cognitions. Behav Res Ther 2018:103:53-61.
- Geddes K, Dziurawiec S, Lee CW. Dialectical behaviour therapy for the treatment of emotion dysregulation and trauma symptoms in self-injurious and suicidal adolescent females: a pilot programme within a community-based child and adolescent mental health service. Psychiatry J 2013;2013:1–10.
- 63 Dimeff L, Rizvi SL, Brown M, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy for substance abuse: a pilot application to methamphetaminedependent women with borderline personality disorder. Cogn Behav Pract 2000:7:457-68
- Axelrod SR, Perepletchikova F, Holtzman K, et al. Emotion regulation and substance use frequency in women with substance dependence and borderline personality disorder receiving dialectical behavior therapy. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 2011;37:37-42.
- Maffei C, Cavicchioli M, Movalli M, et al. Dialectical behavior therapy skills training in alcohol dependence treatment: findings based on an open trial. Subst Use Misuse 2018;53:2368-85.
- Charlton M, Dykstra EJ. Dialectical behaviour therapy for special populations: treatment with adolescents and their caregivers. Adv Ment Health Intellect Disabil 2011;5:6–14.
- Brown JF. The emotion regulation skills system for cognitively challenged clients: a DBT-Informed approach. New York: Guilford Press, 2016.
- Brown JF, Brown MZ, Dibiasio P. Treating individuals with intellectual disabilities and challenging behaviors with adapted Dialectical behavior therapy. J Ment Health Res Intellect Disabil 2013:6:280-303.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders, research version, patient edition (SCID--I/P. New York: Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute, 2002.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis II personality disorders, (SCID-II). 1997. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press. 1997.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International neuropsychiatric interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1998;59 Suppl 20:22-57.
- Achenbach T, Rescorla L. Manual for the ASEBA Adult Forms & Profiles. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, & Families, 2003.
- Derogatis LR, Melisaratos N. The brief symptom inventory: an introductory report. Psychol Med 1983;13:595-605.
- Derogatis L. SCL-90-R: administration, scoring and procedures Manual-II (revision. Towson, MD: Clinical Psychometrics Research Unit, 1984.
- Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1960:23:56-62.
- Geller BG, Williams M, Zimerman B. WASH-U-KSADS (Washington University in St. Louis Kiddie schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia. St Louis, Mo: Washington University, 1996.
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 1996.
- Beck AT, Weissman A, Lester D, et al. The measurement of pessimism: the hopelessness scale. J Consult Clin Psychol 1974;42:861-5.
- Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. Manual for the depression anxiety stress scales. Sydney: Psychology Foundation of Australia, 1995.
- Montgomery SA, Åsberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry 1979;134:382-9.
- Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, et al. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry 1978;133:429-35.



- 82 Zung WW. A self-rating depression scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1965;12:63–70.
- 83 Arntz A, van den Hoorn M, Cornelis J, et al. Reliability and validity of the borderline personality disorder severity index. J Pers Disord 2003:17:5–59.
- 84 Loranger AW. International personality disorder examination (IPDE) manual. New York: Cornell Medical Center, 1995.
- 85 Pfohl B, Blum N, Zimmerman M. Structured interview for DSM-IV personality (SIDP-IV. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 1997.
  86 Zanarini MC, Vujanovic AA, Parachini EA, et al. Zanarini rating
- 86 Zanarini MC, Vujanovic AA, Parachini EA, et al. Zanarini rating scale for borderline personality disorder (ZAN-BPD): a continuous measure of DSM-IV borderline psychopathology. J Pers Disord 2003:17:233–42.
- 87 Pfohl B, Blum N, St John D, et al. Reliability and validity of the borderline evaluation of severity over time (best): a self-rated scale to measure severity and change in persons with borderline personality disorder. J Pers Disord 2009;23:281–93.
- 88 Bohus M, Limberger MF, Frank U, et al. Psychometric properties of the borderline symptom list (BSL). Psychopathology 2007;40:126–32.
- 89 Bohus M, Kleindienst N, Limberger MF, et al. The short version of the borderline symptom list (BSL-23): development and initial data on psychometric properties. *Psychopathology* 2009;42:32–9.
- 90 Silverman WK, Albano AM. Anxiety disorders interview schedule for children (ADIS-IV-C/P) child and parent interview schedules. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- 91 Beck AT, Steer RA. *Beck Anxiety Inventory Manual*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation, 1993.
- 92 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67:361–70.
- 93 Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. STAI manual for the State-Trait anxiety inventory. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1983.
- 94 Fairburn C, Beglin S. Eating disorder examination questionnaire (EDE-Q 6.0). In: Fairburn CG, ed. Cognitive behavior therapy and eating disorders. New York: Guilford Press, 2008: 309–13.
- 95 Gormally J, Black S, Daston S, et al. The assessment of binge eating severity among obese persons. Addict Behav 1982;7:47–55.
- 96 Garner DM. Eating disorder Inventory-2 manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, 1991.
- 97 Arnow B, Kenardy J, Agras WS. The emotional eating scale: the development of a measure to assess coping with negative affect by eating. Int J Eat Disord 1995;18:79–90.
- 98 Tanořsky-Kraff M, Theim KR, Yanovski SZ, et al. Validation of the emotional eating scale adapted for use in children and adolescents (EES-C). Int J Eat Disord 2007;40:232–40.

- 99 Tanofsky-Kraff M, Ranzenhofer LM, Yanovski SZ, et al. Psychometric properties of a new questionnaire to assess eating in the absence of hunger in children and adolescents. *Appetite* 2008;51:148–55.
- 100 Craighead LW, Niemeier HM. Preoccupation with eating, weight, and shape scale. Boulder, CO: University of Colorado, 1999.
- 101 Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three-factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger. J Psychosom Res 1985;29:71–83.
- 102 Spielberger CD. State-Trait anger expression Inventory-2: professional manual. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, 1999.
- 103 Weathers FW, Blake DD, Schnurr PP. The Clinician-Administered PTSD scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5). Washington, DC: National Center for PTSD, 2013.
- 104 Carlson EB, Putnam FW. An update on the dissociative experiences scale. Dissociation: Progress in the Dissociative Disorders 1993;6:16–27.
- 105 Weathers FW, Litz BT, Keane TM. The PTSD checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). Washington, DC: National Center for PTSD, 2013.
- 106 Skinner HA. The drug abuse screening test. Addict Behav 1982;7:363–71.
- 107 Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, et al. Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption--II. Addiction 1993;88:791–804.
- 108 Berman AH, Bergman H, Palmstierna T, et al. Evaluation of the drug use disorders identification test (DUDIT) in criminal justice and detoxification settings and in a Swedish population sample. Eur Addict Res 2005;11:22–31.
- 109 Linehan MM, Wagner AW, Cox G. Parasuicide history interview: comprehensive assessment of Parasuicidal behavior. Seattle: University of Washington, 1989.
- 110 Linehan MM, Comtois KA, Brown MZ, et al. Suicide attempt self-injury interview (SASII): development, reliability, and validity of a scale to assess suicide attempts and intentional self-injury. Psychol Assess 2006:18:303–12.
- 111 Beck AT, Kovacs M, Weissman A. Assessment of suicidal intention: the scale for suicide ideation. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1979;47:343–52.
- 112 Comtois KA, Linehan MM. Lifetime parasuicide count: description and psychometrics. 9th Annual Conference of the American Association of Suicidology, Houston, TX, 1999.
- 113 Plutchik R, van Praag HM, Conte HR, et al. Correlates of suicide and violence risk 1: the suicide risk measure. Compr Psychiatry 1989;30:296–302.