Poster

Feeding management and milk production in organic and conventional buffalo farms

A. Di Francia, F. Masucci, G. De Rosa, F. Grasso, V. Proto

Dipartimento di Scienze del Suolo, della Pianta, dell'Ambiente e delle Produzioni Animali, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

Corresponding author: A. Di Francia. DISSPAPA, via Università 133, 80055 Portici Naples, Italy - Tel. +39 0812539304 - Fax +39 0817762886 – Email: antonio.difrancia@unina.it

ABSTRACT: The feeding management, milk yield and milk composition were investigated in two adjacent buffalo farms, one organic certified (on average, 220 lactating buffalo cows) and one conventional (on average, 314 lactating buffalo cows) located in the Sele Plain (southern Italy). Milk samples from the two farm were collected twice a month during the period from June to November 2006. Milk production was also recorded. The investigated milk components were the content of protein, fat, lactose, urea and the number of somatic cells. The following features about the feeding management of lactating buffalo cows were recorded during monthly farm visits: feed used, herbage utilization, ration composition. Fat and protein correct milk yield was higher in conventional farm than in organic one, but milk components were similar between the farms. The greatest differences were found in the somatic cell count, lower in organic milk than in conventional one, and the urea content, which was higher in organic milk than in conventional farm, but still within the normal range reported for buffalo milk.

Key words: Milk, Organic dairy farm, feeding management, Bubalus bubalis.

INTRODUCTION - There are only a 3 organic buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) farms in Italy, located in the traditional areas of buffalo breeding (Latina province, the Sele Plain and the Volturno Plain). About the several reasons of this low number, two are of great importance. First, the conventional mozzarella cheese market that still offers a good price premium to the buffalo breeders and dairy producers. Second, as the most of the buffalo farms are small and scattered and the herds are reared under intensive conditions, there are great concerns among commercial farmers in relation to the standards required in organic regulation for diseases treatment, animal welfare and feed supply. In conventional farming soybean and sunflower meals became the main protein sources in the nutrition of dairy buffalo cows where, usually, they are incorporated in the rations as a high-protein complement to silage maize. Nevertheless solvent extracted meals are not allowed in organic farming (2092/91/EEC, Annex 1, Paragraph 4.2). Differences in farm management and cow nutrition in the organic system may potentially affect milk yield and composition. The aim of this study was to compare the milk yield and milk composition from one organic certified and one conventional buffalo farms.

MATERIAL AND METHODS - The study was carried out over six months (June – November 2006) in two adjacent buffalo farms, one organic certified (on average, 220 lactating

buffalo cows, 3.7 parity number, 171 days in milk) and one conventional (on average, 314 lactating buffalo cows, 2.8 parity number, 168 day in milk), representative of the Sele Plain, in southern Italy. For each herd, bulk milk samples from a consecutive morning and evening milking were collected every two weeks, along with the collection of feed samples and the recording of milk production. Farm production and management data were collected each month by farmer. Milk samples were analysed for fat, protein, lactose, non-fat solid (Milkoscan 605, Foss Electric, Sweden), urea (CL 10, Eurochem) content and somatic cell count (SCC; Fossomatic 250, Foss Electric, Sweden). The AOAC (1990) official methods were used to determine chemical composition of feedstuffs. Milk production was standardised to 8.3% of fat and 4.73% of protein (fat-protein corrected milk - FPCM) according to CMBC (2002).

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS – Milk traits of the two herds are reported in Table 1. The average milk yield in organic herd was lower than in conventional herd (6.9 vs. 8.6 kg/head/d). The same was for FPCM. Fat, lactose and protein percentages were very similar between the two herds. The urea content was higher in organic milk, but still within the normal range of buffalo milk (Di Francia *et al.*, 2003a). The mean bulk tank somatic cell count (SCC) was higher on conventional farm than on organic farm, but none of milk samples exceeded the critic threshold of 400,000 somatic cells/ml, which is associated with increased rennet coagulation time and a slower rate of curd firming during mozzarella cheese making (Terramoccia *et al.*, 2001).

		Farm		
		Organic	Conventional	
Milk yield	kg/head/d	6.9±0.7	8.6±1.0	
FPCM ¹ yield	w	7.0±0.8	8.4±1.0	
Fat	%	8.47±0.35	8.26±0.61	
Protein	w	4.56±0.15	4.46±0.20	
Lactose	w	4.75±0.08	4.75±0.18	
Non fat solid	n	10.32±0.25	10.20±0.23	
Somatic cell count	n	79,455±53,101	90.152±50,097	
Cryoscopy index		-0.526±0.01	-0.537±0.01	
pH		6.74±0.06	6.72±0.09	
Urea	ml/dl	38.78±9.4	33.96±7.2	

Table 1.Milk traits and fatty acid composition in the organic and the conventional
farms.

Both the herds adopted the total mixed ration (TMR) system. Chemical compositions of the feedstuffs used are listed in Table 2. The TMR components on the organic farm were similar to those typically adopted in dairy buffalo conventional farms in the Sele Plain (Di Francia *et al.*, 2003b). Maize silage (substituted in late spring by grass silage) was the main ingredient of rations, in which high- energy and high-protein complements were incorporated.

I	used	in the	organic	ions and c (O) and	d the co						
	mont	Farm	DM	period. UFL	СР	Fat	Ash	NDF	ADF	ADL	Starch
Alfalfa hay		0	93.1	0.54	12.6	2.1	9.3	58.6	47.0	10.4	-
Alfalfa hay		С	92.7	0.60	16.1	1.9	9.9	51.0	39.6	9.3	-
Alfalfa silage		0	59.6	0.56	8.7	1.3	11.5	69.8	47.9	5.9	-
Maize silage		0	36.3	0.78	5.4	2.8	6.2	51.3	32.3	3.9	26.4
Maize silage		С	33.3	0.72	6.6	1.9	5.9	56.4	37.1	4.8	22.1
Barley and Triti silage	icale	0	44.0	0.73	5.7	1.8	5.4	48.5	29.7	4.2	14.0
Ryegrass and C silage	Clover	С	39.0	0.64	10.8	2.5	10.4	52.8	40.1	5.8	-
Wheat straw		С	90.7	0.40	3.8	1.5	10.0	81.0	57.2	8.9	-
Wheat straw		0	90.0	0.40	4.1	1.5	10.5	80.0	55.2	8.5	-
Organic Concer	ntrate	0	89.2	1.01	23.9	6.3	8.0	25.5	17.3	4.8	21.9
Flaked barley		С	86.0	1.3	11.6	2.3	2.7	17.4	6.4	1.2	58.1
Flaked soybear	า	С	88.0	1.39	41.1	21.0	6.0	14.1	9.5	0.9	8.1
Soybean meal		С	88.7	1.14	47.8	1.7	7.2	16.1	10.4	5.8	-
Maize meal		С	88.7	1.25	11.7	4.0	2.0	19.3	4.2	1.5	66.0
Wheat middling	js	С	87.0	0.96	15.3	4.1	3.6	22.0	6.9	2.1	36.0

Table 2 negitions and nutritional abarrateristics of the foodstuffs

The quality of silages was quite good. Cereals, soybean and wheat middlings were used as high energy feedstuffs and protein sources in the conventional farm; a commercial concentrate was instead used in the organic farm, in contrast with one of the principles of organic farming that is to produce all feeds in site.

Chemical composition of the rations utilised in the two farms is reported in Table 3. The rations used in the organic herd, compared to those used in the conventional one, were characterized by lower energy density, crude protein concentration and starch content. By contrast, the NDF percentage and the forage/concentrate ratio were higher, as a consequence of the fact that one of the basic principles of the organic farming prescribes the formulation of forage based diets. The low energy and protein densities and the high forage/concentrate ratio forage might in turn adversely affected the milk yield. Nevertheless, the ratio UFL/kg FPCM was better in organic farm probably due to the reduced amounts of concentrate fed to buffalo cows in organic herd.

Although the protein content of organic diets was lower than that observed in conventional farm, the milk urea content was higher, probably because the protein solubility and the non structural carbohydrate degradability of organic diets were unbalanced. In conclusion, our data show that nutritional factors critically affect the milk yield in organic herd.

	Farm		
	Organic	Conventional	
DM intake	18.6±0.93	20.7±1.0	
UFL	14.5±0.56	17.8±0.9	
UFL%DM	0.78±0.01	0.87±0.01	
UFL/kg FPCM	1.30 ± 0.14	1.50 ± 0.12	
CP g	2227±89	2984±209	
CP %DM	12.0±0.28	14.4±1.0	
NDF%DM	46.2±1.3	39.4±1.7	
Starch	3160±251	4581±617	
Starch% DM	17.4±2.1	22.1±2.6	
Forage/concentrate ratio	71/29	57/43	

Table 3.	Chemical composition of rations used in the organic and the conventional
	farms.

REFERENCES - **AOAC**, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC, USA. **CMBC**, Consorzio Mozzarella Bufala Campana 2002. Modello di Regolamento per la gestione igienica ed alimentare dell'allevamento bufalino in relazione alla produzione della Mozzarella di Bufala Campana DOP. Tipolitografia Incisivo, Salerno 63 p. **Di Francia**, A., Masucci, F., Maresca di Serracapriola, M.T., Gioffré, F., Proto, V. 2003 a: Nutritional factors influencing milk urea in buffaloes. Ital. J. Anim., Sci. 2 (suppl. 1) 225-227. **Di Francia**, A., Masucci, F., Maresca di Serracapriola, M.T., Proto, V. 2003 b. Alimentazione e qualità del latte in alcune aziende bufaline di Caserta e Salerno. Atti 2° Congresso Nazionale sull'Allevamento del Bufalo - Istituto Sperimentale per la Zootecnia - Monterotondo (Roma), 28-30 August. **Terramoccia**, S., Bartocci, S., Tripaldi, C., Danese, V. 2001. Difficoltà alla coagulazione del latte di bufala: caratteristiche chimico – fisiche e sanitarie. Atti I Congresso Nazionale sull'Allevamento del Bufalo 256 - 259.