Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Tasir Sharief Per, Aligarh Muslim University, India

#### REVIEWED BY

Aqeel Ahmad, University of Florida, United States Bhanu Prakash Petla, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), India

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Faisal Zulfiqar ch.faisal.zulfiqar@gmail.com Kadambot H. M. Siddique kadambot.siddique@uwa.edu.au

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

#### RECEIVED 20 July 2022 ACCEPTED 23 November 2022 PUBLISHED 16 December 2022

#### CITATION

Athar H-u-R, Zulfiqar F, Moosa A, Ashraf M, Zafar ZU, Zhang L, Ahmed N, Kalaji HM, Nafees M, Hossain MA, Islam MS, El Sabagh A and Siddique KHM (2022) Salt stress proteins in plants: An overview. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:999058. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.999058

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Athar, Zulfiqar, Moosa, Ashraf, Zafar, Zhang, Ahmed, Kalaji, Nafees, Hossain, Islam, El Sabagh and Siddique. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author (s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Salt stress proteins in plants: An overview

Habib-ur-Rehman Athar<sup>1,2</sup>, Faisal Zulfiqar<sup>3\*</sup>, Anam Moosa<sup>4</sup>, Muhammad Ashraf<sup>5</sup>, Zafar Ullah Zafar<sup>1</sup>, Lixin Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Nadeem Ahmed<sup>2,6</sup>, Hazem M. Kalaji<sup>7</sup>, Muhammad Nafees<sup>3</sup>, Mohammad Anwar Hossain<sup>8</sup>, Mohammad Sohidul Islam<sup>9</sup>, Ayman El Sabagh<sup>10,11</sup> and Kadambot H. M. Siddique<sup>12\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan, <sup>2</sup>College of Life Sciences, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Horticultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan, <sup>4</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Pakistan, <sup>6</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan, <sup>6</sup>Department of Botany, Mohy-ud-Din Islamic University, Nerian Sharif, Pakistan, <sup>7</sup>Department of Plant Physiology, Institute of Biology, Warsaw University of Life Sciences SGGW, Warsaw, Poland, <sup>8</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh, <sup>9</sup>Department of Agronomy, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Siirt, Türkiye, <sup>11</sup>Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt, <sup>12</sup>The UWA Institute of Agriculture, The University of Western Australia, Petrth WA, Australia

Salinity stress is considered the most devastating abiotic stress for crop productivity. Accumulating different types of soluble proteins has evolved as a vital strategy that plays a central regulatory role in the growth and development of plants subjected to salt stress. In the last two decades, efforts have been undertaken to critically examine the genome structure and functions of the transcriptome in plants subjected to salinity stress. Although genomics and transcriptomics studies indicate physiological and biochemical alterations in plants, it do not reflect changes in the amount and type of proteins corresponding to gene expression at the transcriptome level. In addition, proteins are a more reliable determinant of salt tolerance than simple gene expression as they play major roles in shaping physiological traits in salt-tolerant phenotypes. However, little information is available on salt stress-responsive proteins and their possible modes of action in conferring salinity stress tolerance. In addition, a complete proteome profile under normal or stress conditions has not been established yet for any model plant species. Similarly, a complete set of low abundant and key stress regulatory proteins in plants has not been identified. Furthermore, insufficient information on posttranslational modifications in salt stress regulatory proteins is available. Therefore, in recent past, studies focused on exploring changes in protein expression under salt stress, which will complement genomic, transcriptomic, and physiological studies in understanding mechanism of salt tolerance in plants. This review focused on recent studies on proteome profiling in plants subjected to salinity stress, and provide synthesis of updated literature about how salinity regulates various salt stress proteins involved in the plant salt tolerance mechanism. This review also highlights the recent reports on regulation of salt stress proteins using transgenic approaches with enhanced salt stress tolerance in crops.

#### KEYWORDS

differentially expressed proteins, omics, dehydrins, osmotin, ion transporters, LEA proteins, receptor-like kinases, photosynthesis

#### 1 Introduction

Salinity is a major environmental stressor, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions of the world, causing substantial crop losses (Ashraf and Foolad, 2013; Rengasamy, 2016; Mujeeb-Kazi et al., 2019; Naeem et al., 2020; Zulfigar and Ashraf, 2021; Ashraf and Munns, 2022). According to some reliable estimates, more than 6% of the world's land is considered saline (Wicke et al., 2011; Rengasamy, 2016), and of the total irrigated lands, 20% are saline, resulting in estimated agricultural losses of US\$27.3 billion annually (Munns et al., 2020a). The extent of saline agricultural land continues to increase mainly due to poor management practices (Chartres and Noble, 2015; Rengasamy, 2016), as do the yield gaps between demand and production. Moreover, agricultural production must increase to feed the rapidly increasing world population estimated to be 9.6 billion by 2050 (Fischer et al., 2009; Arzani and Ashraf, 2016; Fischer et al., 2017; Zafar et al., 2017; Hickey et al., 2019). Efficient utilization of marginal saline lands for crop production could help in meeting demand for crop productivity (Munns and Gilliham, 2015). Another option could be developing salt-tolerant crop plants using conventional breeding or advanced molecular biologybased techniques that can sustain growth on salt-affected soils (Flowers, 2004; Flowers et al., 2010; Ashraf and Foolad, 2013; Flowers et al., 2019). However, success in developing salt-tolerant crop plants has not been particularly successful, mainly due to a poor understanding of salt tolerance mechanisms in plants (Flowers et al., 2010; Mujeeb-Kazi et al., 2019; Ashraf and Munns, 2022). Many scientists thought that salt-tolerant crop plants could be engineered using one gene with one protein acting as a master switch or regulator for a wide variety of physiological and biochemical processes, such as pyrophosphatase (AVP1) (Gaxiola et al., 2016), WRKY transcription factors (Jiang et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019b), and DREB (Lata and Prasad, 2011). Others thought that specific proteins controlling adaptation or developmental processes to tolerate, avoid or minimize the influence of salt stress in crop plants could be used in traditional breeding or transgenic approaches to increase plant growth and yield on salt-affected land (Munns, 2005; Ashraf et al., 2008; Roy et al., 2014; Dissanayake et al., 2022).

It is widely known that salt stress reduces crop growth through osmotic stress, specific ion toxicity, nutritional imbalance, and impaired hormonal regulation (Munns and Tester, 2008; Zörb et al., 2019). Plants adapt to salinity stress by regulating the uptake and transport of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> ions, activating enzymes to scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS), and improving osmotic adjustment (Kalaji and Pietkiewicz, 1993; Ashraf, 2009; Athar and Ashraf, 2009; Munns et al., 2020b). Proteins regulate these processes under normal and salt stress conditions (Li et al., 2017b; Li et al., 2017c; Iqbal et al., 2019), which are responsible for regulating cellular metabolism, organic and inorganic solute transport, water transport, osmoregulation, redox balance, sensing and signalling, hormonal balance, cell division, cell enlargement, and growth and development (Zhang et al., 2012a; Li et al., 2017c). In this review, we discussed the effect of salt stress on total soluble proteins, composition of proteins of different molecular weights, proteins related to various physiological and biochemical processes in halophytes and glycophytes or salt tolerant and salt sensitive cultivars of same species (Bose et al., 2017; Negrão et al., 2017; Pailles et al., 2020). Due to nonavailability of sophisticated techniques for proteins, in mid and late 20<sup>th</sup> century, only total soluble proteins and onedimensional SDS-PAGE were used. However, in 21st century, 2-D electrophoresis coupled with LC-MS techniques at various platforms (gel-based, with or without label) are being used to describe protein profiles and post-translational modifications. The evolution in use of diverse techniques in proteome profiling under salt has also been reviewed. This review presented recent knowledge about functional specificity of range of promising candidate proteins in response to salt stress, which will help in devising strategies to improve salt tolerance in crops.

# 2 Salt stress proteins: A general account

Several proteins accumulate in plants in response to salinity stress. Plant tissues normally respond to salt stress by degrading proteins or producing abundant salt stress related proteins

(Wang et al., 2015b). Moreover, more proteins have been observed in salt-tolerant cultivars than salt-sensitive cultivars of many crops, including sunflower, barley, rice, and wheat and references therein). Thus, the quality and type of proteins may be more important than the quantity of total soluble proteins for salt tolerance (Dissanayake et al., 2022). For example, Ashraf and O'Leary (1999) demonstrated that salt-tolerant wheat cultivar S-24 had fewer total soluble proteins than salt-sensitive Potohar. Moreover, SDS-PAGE revealed that both cultivars had similar protein expression patterns. However, Potohat had lower expression of 29 and 48 kDa proteins than S-24. With advanced molecular biology-based techniques, such as 2-D electrophoresis, MALDI-TOFF, and LC-MS, complete protein profiling can be performed in salt-stressed plants. Bioinformatics tools can further help identify specific salt-stress proteins. Changes in protein expression under salt stress are mainly associated with several biological functions and posttranscriptional and post-translational changes (Chiconato et al., 2021; Jha, 2022). These proteins are directly involved in determining new phenotypes that can adapt to salt-stressed environments by contributing to vital metabolic processes. Thus, the contribution of specific proteins is more important for salt tolerance mechanisms than protein quantity.

Based on their functions in salinity or general stress tolerance, these proteins are grouped as salt stress and stressassociated proteins (Figure 1). Salt stress proteins accumulate only in response to salt stress, whereas stress-associated proteins accumulate under any stress.

It is well-known that salt stress has a similar osmotic effect to drought stress in plants. Soluble proteins that accumulate in plants under salt stress play a key role in osmoregulation, including osmotin in *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* (Thomas and Bohnert, 1993; Qun et al., 2017) and tomato (Goel et al., 2010), salt shock protein in sugar cane (Gomathi and Vasantha, 2006), germin in barley (Hurkman et al., 1991),



Schematic representation of physiological and biochemical events in plants exposed to salt stress over time and the salt stress proteins expressed in different plant parts to execute the salinity stress tolerance mechanism. and osmotin-like proteins in sesame (Kosová et al., 2013; Chowdhury et al., 2017; Qun et al., 2017). Some proteins are enzyme complexes responsible for regulating the biosynthesis of soluble sugars, which play a role in osmoregulation under salt stress (Zhao et al., 2019a). For example, salt stress reduced fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase levels in salt-stressed rice leaves, increasing sucrose accumulation, which regulated cell osmolarity and thus improved salt tolerance (Udomchalothorn et al., 2009). While many proteins are not involved in osmoregulation, they protect cell membranes, structural proteins, and enzymes from Na<sup>+</sup> toxicity and Na<sup>+</sup>-induced dehydration, e.g., heat shock proteins (HSPs) and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins (Chourey et al., 2003; Park et al., 2005; Song and Ahn, 2011; Derevyanchuk et al., 2015). Recently, Rodriguez et al. (2021) reported that salt stressinduced post-translational modifications in 245 proteins by phosphorylation and in 35 proteins by lysine acetylation played a significant role in salt tolerance. They further added that changes in abundance of 107 proteins involved in various metabolic pathways such as osmo-protection, sulfur metabolism of Arabidopsis thaliana could be candidate proteins for salt tolerance. Similarly, maintenance of ion homeostasis is a key component of salt tolerance, regulated by several membrane proteins responsible for ion transport, including K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> channels and pumps (Huang et al., 2008; Ji et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2020), and transport-facilitating cytosolic proteins involved in intra-cellular communication, such as CIPK6 (Roy et al., 2013). Such proteins increase when plants are exposed to salt stress (Ji et al., 2013; Qun et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2019).

Some proteins play a dynamic role in sensing and conferring salt tolerance, including receptor-like protein kinases (RLKs) (Yang et al., 2010; Ye et al., 2017) and primary cell wall 'sensors' such as caffeoyl-CoA *O*-methyltransferase (COMT) (Wang et al., 2019b). While working with salt-tolerant and saltsensitive rice cultivars (FL530-IL, KDML105), Saeng-Ngam et al. (2012) found 24 and seven-fold increase in transcript and protein abundance of calmodulin protein (*OsCam1-1*) within 30 minutes. In addition, over-expression of calmodulin protein in *OsCam1-1* rice transgenic plants showed a greater salt tolerance, which indicated that *OsCam1-1* act as salt sensor protein.

Different cellular organelles possess different types of proteins that play various roles in metabolism (Jacoby et al., 2011; Bose et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2017; Goussi et al., 2021). For example, chloroplasts contain a myriad of proteins (e.g., thylakoidal photosystem II, cytochrome b6-f complex, ironsulfur proteins, oxygen-evolving enhancer proteins (OEE), photosystems-I, ATP synthase) and stromal proteins (e.g., ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (rubisco) and fructosebisphosphate aldolase (pFBA)) (Meng et al., 2016a; Xu et al., 2016; Yousuf et al., 2016; Suo et al., 2017) that are integral parts of key metabolic processes. Similarly, various proteins have been identified in mitochondria under salt stress, including Mnsuperoxide dismutase (Mn-SOD) and oxidase (AOX) (Jacoby et al., 2011; Wojtyla et al., 2013; Che-Othman et al., 2017; Goussi et al., 2021). Likewise, vacuoles play a vital role in maintaining ion homeostasis, osmotic adjustment, and cell turgor under salt stress (Barkla et al., 2009; Barkla et al., 2013a; Barkla et al., 2013b). Barkla et al. (2009) reported that salt stress altered tonoplast-associated glycolytic enzymes, such as aldolase (ALDO), enolase (ENO), V-ATPase, and H-ATPase in Mesembryanthemum crystallinum and upregulated H<sup>+</sup>-pump activity to maintain ion homeostasis. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is involved in mediating salt tolerance through calcium ion (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) homeostasis, lipid metabolism and synthesis, and the folding, assembly, transport, and modification of secretory and transmembrane proteins responsible for sensing environmental cues (Liu and Li, 2019; Park and Park, 2019; Yu et al., 2019). For example, Wang et al. (2011) reported that salt stress accumulated unfolded proteins in ER in Arabidopsis plants. Similarly, Zhan et al. (2019) found 317 of 5,774 proteins were differentially expressed in salt stressed okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.), with most associated with 'response to stress' and 'protein processing in the ER'. Zhu et al. (2019) demonstrated that an ER protein, ZmSep15-like-2, which interacts with ZmUGGT1 (UDP-glucose: glycoprotein glucosyltransferase1, UGGT1) induced salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana by mitigating salt-induced oxidative stress. Moreover, the authors revealed that interrupting this interaction caused salt sensitivity in Arabidopsis plants. Thus, each cellular compartment comprises specific proteins that undergo differential regulation on exposure to salt stress.

However, a protein isolated from one species may have different functional capabilities than that in other plant species. For example, significant genetic diversity exists in the amino acid sequence of selective pore-loops in the HKT1 gene family with variable capability to induce salt tolerance in plants (Shohan et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Dissanayake et al., 2022). Protein profiling and their characterization under salt stress with different physio-biochemical functions will provide more information about candidate proteins (Figure 1). Next, we discuss different types of proteins and their physiobiochemical functions under salt stress.

# 3 How stress proteins enable plants to resist salt stress

Salt stress affects plant growth and development, and plants have evolved intricate mechanisms to cope with these environmental cues. Successfully executing plant stress tolerance processes requires coordinated activity between each component (Morton et al., 2019; Pailles et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2022). For example, salt stress causes ROS generation and changes the cellular redox balance, affecting cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> by

activating calcium channels, an activity connected to Ca<sup>2</sup> +-dependent sensing and signalling pathways. Plants convert this salt stress signal by activating another group of salt stress proteins (protein kinases and phosphatases) to trigger target proteins (transcription factors or switches) in different metabolic networks, such as biosynthetic enzymes for different phytohormones, enzymes for nutrient metabolism (e.g., N metabolism), enzymes and cell wall proteins for cell division and enlargement, enzymes for carbohydrate metabolism in photosynthesis and respiration, and proteins for regulating plant growth and development, such as transcription factors in the MADS-box class for flowering (Yokthongwattana et al., 2012; Long et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016; Jusovic et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2022). In the cross-talk between different signaling networks, various salt-stress proteins regulate osmoregulation and ion transport and compartmentalize toxic ions in the vacuole. Such activities require the coordinated activity of vesicles and proteins trafficking in different cellular organelles (Nam et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015b; Zhao et al., 2018; Gan et al., 2021). Thus, plants likely express specific proteins to perform particular functions (Figure 1). The next section discusses the different types of salt stress proteins.

# 3.1 Salt stress proteins involved in different metabolisms

Plants reprogram their metabolism to enhance salinity tolerance (Barajas-Lopez et al., 2018; Jardak et al., 2021) by using specific pathways that are salt stress imprints (Schwachtje et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2022). For example, two inbred maize lines had 57 differentially expressed proteins under salt stress, related to energy metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, antioxidant system, secondary metabolite biosynthesis, protein refolding, protein translation, and transcriptional regulation. This specific network of metabolic pathways can be used as salt stress imprints (Cheng et al., 2014). Such changes in metabolism are due to salt stress sensor proteins and metabolic biosynthesis enzymes directly involved in the acquisition of salinity tolerance (Hey et al., 2009; Barajas-Lopez et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019; Jha et al., 2022).

## 3.1.1 Salt stress proteins involved in nitrogen metabolism

Several studies have demonstrated that SnRK kinases sense the cellular energy state, activating the biosynthesis of proline and soluble sugars such as sucrose, trehalose, and fructans that can stabilize cellular structures under salt stress (Figueroa and Lunn, 2016; Baena-González and Hanson, 2017; Barajas-Lopez et al., 2018; Belghith et al., 2022). Proline accumulation in saltstressed plants is mainly associated with *de novo* synthesis from glutamate and N metabolism (Zulfiqar and Ashraf, 2022), e.g., in watermelon (Yang et al., 2013) and cucumber (Shao et al., 2015).

While plants can uptake N as nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) or ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$ , nitrates are the main source of nitrogen for agricultural productivity (Taiz et al., 2015). Therefore, nitrate uptake by nitrate transporters and its transport are sensitive to salinity stress (Flores et al., 2000; Flores et al., 2004). Similarly, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> assimilation is affected by salt stress, affecting key N metabolic pathways such as the biosynthesis of amino acids, protein, and secondary metabolites (Popova et al., 2002; Debouba et al., 2006). The assimilated N from NO3-or NH4+ sources is invested in structural proteins related to plant photosynthetic machinery. All N uptake, transport, and metabolism processes impact photosynthetic activity and plant growth under abiotic stress, including salt stress (Chen et al., 2012). For example, while assessing the impact of  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  sources on sunflower growth and photosynthetic activity, Ashraf (1999) found that NH4<sup>+</sup>-N inhibited the growth of non-stressed sunflower plants, while NO3<sup>-</sup>N had a greater inhibitory effect on salt-stressed plants; these inhibitory effects were associated with an inhibited photosynthetic rate, suggesting that N metabolism is linked to C metabolism. Similarly, Tian et al. (2022) found the similar findings with a leguminous tree species Sophora japonica. However, they reasoned that over-expression of N-assimilation enzymes like nitrate reductase, glutamine synthase, nitrate transporters etc. While assessing effects of salt stress on proteome changes in halophyte Cakile maritima Belghith et al. (2022) found changes in protein expression related to N-metabolism played a major role in degree of salt tolerance. Thus, changes in the proteins associated with N and C metabolism may alter the degree of salt tolerance in plants.

Plants comprise low- and high-affinity nitrate transporter families, namely NRT1 and NRT2, which sense soil nitrate concentrations via a phosphorylation dephosphorylation mechanism (Tsay et al., 2007). Decreased expression or disruption in their function due to abiotic stress can reduce nitrate uptake in plants (Li et al., 2007). Nitrates taken up into roots are transported via two long-distance nitrate transporters (NRT1.5 and NRT1.8) to leaf mesophyll cells and assimilated in chloroplasts (Li et al., 2010). The NRT1.5 nitrate transporter is expressed in the root pericycle cells, while NRT1.8 is expressed mainly in xylem parenchyma cells. However, they both transport nitrates from roots to shoots (Lin et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Meng et al., 2016b; Ashraf et al., 2018). Nitrate assimilation is an energyintensive process. Plants transport nitrates from roots to shoots, where photosynthetic reductants such as ATP, NADPH, and Fd are involved in nitrate assimilation; thus, shoot nitrate assimilation is more efficient than root nitrate assimilation (Taiz et al., 2015). Several studies have shown that abiotic stresses, including salt stress, reallocate nitrates to roots by downregulating NRT1.5 and NRT1.8 transporters (Li et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Ashraf et al., 2018). In addition, functional disruption of NRT1.5 in nrt1.5 mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana plants changed the expression of salt-stressed marker genes, particularly those regulating Na<sup>+</sup> distribution (e.g., HKT1 and SOS1) and osmolyte synthesis (e.g., *P5CS1* and *AtPCS1*) (Chen et al., 2012). Thus, changes in the shoot and root N assimilation patterns, due to the availability of different N sources or salt stress, change the degree of salt tolerance in plants.

### 3.1.2 Salt stress proteins involved in photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism

Carbon or carbohydrate metabolism occurs in the chloroplast, mitochondria, and cytosol of plant cells via the Calvin cycle, pentose phosphate pathway, glycolysis, and Krebs cycle (Barkla et al., 2013b; Taiz et al., 2015). Therefore, salt stress downregulates or upregulates several proteins associated with these metabolic pathways, as observed in rice (Nam et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014), sorghum (Ngara et al., 2012), alfalfa (Xiong et al., 2017), canola (Iqbal et al., 2019), chickpea (Arefian et al., 2019) and Eutrema salsugineum (Goussi et al., 2021). Several studies have reported that salt stress reduces proteins related to chlorophyll biosynthesis, but increases thylakoidal proteins related to the light reaction (Barkla et al., 2013b; and references there in). Similarly, Li et al. (2017c) reported that the expression of thylakoidal proteins (Psb27, PsaO, PetC, and LHCs) increased in the leaves of salt-stressed Carex rigescens, contributing to salt tolerance. Arefian et al. (2019) reported the upregulation of chlorophyll a/b binding proteins and OEE proteins in chickpea under salt stress. Liu et al. (2019) reported overexpression of the D2 protein of photosystem II and chlorophyll a/b binding protein in the Chinese herbal medicine Spica prunellae under salt stress. Ji et al. (2019) found three chlorophyll a/b binding proteins of 13 photosynthesis-related proteins overexpressed in banana plants (Musa paradisiaca) under salt stress. In contrast, Xiong et al. (2017) reported the downregulation of thylakoidal proteins carrying out light reactions, such as chlorophyll a/b proteins, cytochrome b6-f complex, and OEE proteins, salt-stressed alfalfa. While working Eutrema salsugineum Goussi et al. (2021) found changes in abundance of 58 proteins related with thylakoidal reactions. The major changes occurred in core protein of PSII (D1, D2, CP43, CP47, PsbE and PsbH), whereas changes in subunits of oxygen evolving complex and cytochrome b6-f complex remained constant. The downregulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis proteins and upregulation of chlorophyll a/b binding proteins, as part of the light harvesting complex of photosystem II, help adjust antenna size of PSII and light absorption to avoid ROS generation. Downregulation of proteins such as cytochrome b6-f complex and photosystem I regulate electron transport to reduce electron transfer to oxygen, thus avoiding ROS generation. Moreover, overexpression of the D2 protein of PSII, as part of the PSII repair cycle, helped plants to maintain PSII functionality under salt stress.

 $CO_2$  fixation into sugars occurs *via* the RuBisCo enzyme in the Calvin cycle. Salt stress reduces plant growth by affecting the rate and amount of  $CO_2$  fixation by RuBisCo and other enzymes in the Calvin cycle. For example, Wang et al. (2015a) reported that salt stress upregulated the protein expression of RuBisCo small

subunit 1, RuBisCo large subunit 1, and beta-carbonic anhydrase in the halophyte Halogeton glomeratus, but downregulated the expression of Calvin cycle related enzymes, such as phosphoribulokinase, putative transketolase, sedo-heptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase, and RuBisCo activase. In contrast, Kamal et al. (2012) reported that salt stress inhibited the expression of RuBisCo in wheat. Fan et al. (2011) reported that the abundance of RuBisCo in halophytic Salicornia europaea was similar under non-saline and moderately saline conditions but decreased under high salt stress (200 mM NaCl). Moreover, at the high salt level, the abundance of RuBisCo activase increased, helping convert RuBisCo from inactive to active form, thus increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate. The same authors found that moderate salt stress increased the abundance of proteins related to Calvin cycle enzymes (e.g., phosphoglycerate kinase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase subunit B, sedoheptulose, and 1-7 bisphosphatase, and transketolase), whereas high salt stress decreased these proteins. Ji et al. (2019) reported 13 photosynthetic proteins, including RuBisCo and ribose-5phosphate isomerase, overexpressed in Musa paradisiaca subjected to moderate salt stress (60 mM NaCl). In a comparative proteome analysis, Pang et al. (2010) reported that RuBisCo, RuBisCo activase, and other Calvin cycle enzymes were downregulated in glycophyte Arabidopsis thaliana but upregulated in halophyte Thellungiella halophyla under salt stress, and suggested that these differences were mainly due to the maintenance of RuBisCo activity by RuBisCo activase. While working with castor bean plants (Ricinus communis) Wang et al. (2021) found a total of 15 alkali-responsive proteins in the leaves that are involved in CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. It can be inferred that the extent of salt stress effects on photosynthetic activity of glycophytic and halophytic plants mainly depends on their ability to balance the reducing power generation through the light reaction and its use in CO2 fixation via the Calvin cycle. In addition, the ability of plant species to manage excess reducing power production relates to their degree of salt tolerance. The differential expression of photosynthetic proteins under salt stress ranges from 17-38% of the total, and depends on the species involved and intensity of salt stress. In most studies, proteomic analysis is undertaken on 2-3week-old seedlings. Some plant species reach their maximal photosynthetic rate at three to six weeks of vegetative growth; hence, comparative proteome analyses at different developmental stages are required to better understand the changes in photosynthetic proteins under salt stress.

### 3.1.3 Salt stress proteins involved in cellular respiration

Efficient energy production is pivotal for plant adaptation to salt stress as various adaptive responses are energy-requiring processes, e.g., biosynthesis of organic osmolytes for osmotic adjustments, activation of antioxidant enzymes for ROS scavenging, compartmentation of toxic ions into vacuoles, and transport of metabolites, hormones, and proteins (Barkla et al.,

2013a; Kosova et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017b; Li et al., 2017c; Luo et al., 2018; Ji et al., 2019; Zhan et al., 2019). In addition, plant growth under salt stress requires more ATP than no stress. Cellular respiration is responsible for the regulated release of energy from carbohydrates in different cellular compartments, and it occurs in the cytosol (glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway) and mitochondria (Krebs cycle and oxidative phosphorylation) (Barkla et al., 2013a; Kosova et al., 2013). While working with castor bean plants (Ricinus communis) Wang et al. (2021) found a total of 25 alkaliresponsive proteins in the roots that are involved in energy metabolism in cytosol and mitochondria. Likewise, Yildiz and Terzi (2021) found increase in abundance of 19 proteins that are related with glycolysis and TCA in a xero-halophyte Salsa crassa. Over-expression of mitochondrial proteins and energy metabolism have also been observed in glycophyte rice (López-Cristoffanini et al., 2021). Salt stress upregulates several glycolysis enzymes, including phospho-fructokinase, enolase, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, to generate energy for repairing salt-induced damage or adapting to salt stress (Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019). For example, salt stress increased the protein abundance of alcohol dehydrogenase in soybean genotypes (Ma et al., 2012). Alcohol dehydrogenase reduces acetaldehyde to ethanol through NAD+ re-oxidation and is essential for glycolysis (Ma et al., 2012; Taiz et al., 2015). The energy status of a cell is also related to the activity of enzymes in the Krebs cycle. For example, Jiang et al. (2007) found that salt stress decreased the expression and activity of malate dehydrogenase in Arabidopsis roots. Similarly, Liu et al. (2019) reported the upregulation and increased activity of glycolytic enzymes and Krebs cycle enzymes in salt-tolerant Spica prunellae. In contrast, Li et al. (2015) reported that salt stress downregulated proteins associated with the glycolytic pathway and Krebs cycle in cotton seedlings. Salt stress also affects several ATP-generating enzymes, such as ATP synthase, which was downregulated in glycophytic banana plants exposed to 60 mM NaCl (Ji et al., 2019), but upregulated in halophytic Halogeton glomeratus (Wang et al., 2015a), Salicornia europaea (Wang et al., 2009), and Aeluropis lagopoides (Sobhanian et al., 2010). Moreover, Wang et al. (2015a) reported that salt stress upregulated NAD(P)H-quinone oxido-reductase, triosephosphate isomerase, and lactoyl-glutathione lyase-like in H. glomeratus, increasing ATP generation in cells and thus improving salt tolerance. In another study, salt tolerance in canola genotypes was related to an over-accumulation of proteins related to glycolysis (phosphoglycerate kinase 3, fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, and glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase) and energy metabolism (ATP synthase subunit B) (Kholghi et al., 2019). Plants sensitive to salt stress cannot maintain cellular respiration and produce lower amount of energy than required for salt stress adaptation.

Plant metabolic and biosynthetic pathways are linked to mitochondrial activity and oxidative phosphorylation.

Moreover, organic acids and their intermediates are shuttled to different cellular compartments, such as the photorespiratory pathway (Taiz et al., 2015). Salt stress imposes deleterious effects on mitochondrial processes, including oxidative phosphorylation, metabolite transport carrier proteins, and antioxidant enzymes. Some reports have demonstrated that mitochondrial respiration is involved in mediating salt stress tolerance in different plants, e.g., wheat (Jacoby et al., 2010), barley (Widodo et al., 2009), Rubinia pseudoacacia (Luo et al., 2017), and Arabidopsis thaliana (Smith et al., 2009). Mitochondrial activity thus plays a pivotal role in plant adaptive responses to salt stress (Jacoby et al., 2011; Che-Othman et al., 2017). For example, in wheat, Jacoby et al. (2010) found that salt stress caused a marked overaccumulation of proteins responsible for ROS scavenging, including Mn-SOD, cysteine synthase, nucleotide di-phosphate kinase, and voltage-dependent anion channels. Moreover, these proteins are differentially overexpressed in the salt-tolerant wheat cultivar Wyalkatchem and salt-sensitive wheat cultivar Janz. Similarly, Chen et al. (2009) found that salt stress upregulated glycoside hydrolase, mitochondrial HSP 70, and Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase and downregulated ATP synthase beta-subunit and cytochrome c oxidase subunit 6b.

Various studies have demonstrated that mitochondrial proteins are salt stress responsive proteins linked to Krebs cycle related proteins, metabolism-related proteins, membrane transport proteins, antioxidant defense related proteins, and HSPs. Thus, salt stress differentially regulates mitochondrial proteins, convincing evidence that an increase in mitochondrial antioxidant defense proteins plays a significant role in plant salt tolerance.

# 3.2 Stress proteins involved in water and ion transport

Biological membranes are barriers to water and ion transport in plant cells. Sustained plant growth requires tight control of ions, particularly Na<sup>+</sup> uptake, its redistribution among different organs, and accumulation into cell vacuoles, especially under saline stress (Munns and Tester, 2008). While it is wellknown that Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion from shoots or leaves and maintenance of K<sup>+</sup> homeostasis are characteristics of salt tolerance in several crop species (Naeem et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021), Cl- exclusion may be another important component for protecting photosynthetic tissues (Li et al., 2017a). Some proteins play an integral role in Cl<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, and K<sup>+</sup> transport from roots to shoots and within cells (cytosol to vacuoles) (Roy et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017a; Munns et al., 2020a). Regulation of Na<sup>+</sup> transport is mediated by sodium loading and unloading in the xylem of parenchyma cells, sodium exclusion from roots to soil, and sodium compartmentation in vacuoles (Roy et al., 2014; Ismail and Horie, 2017; Li et al., 2017a; Huang et al., 2020; Munns et al., 2020a).

### 3.2.1 Salt stress proteins involved in Na<sup>+</sup> transport and exclusion

Sodium exclusion from roots is undertaken mainly by the plasma membrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter -a well-known gene SOS1. Silencing or mutation of this gene increased salt sensitivity in different crop species, indicating its putative role in Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion and hence salt tolerance, e.g., rice (Martinez-Atienza et al., 2007), tomato (Olías et al., 2009), wheat (Cuin et al., 2011), Chrysanthemum (Gao et al., 2016), and Arabidopsis (Feki et al., 2014a). Structural and functional analyses of Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters or SOS1 gene suggest that it is a membrane protein of 127 kDa with 12 trans-membrane domains, cytosolic N-terminal end, and long cytosolic C-terminal hydrophilic domain (Shi et al., 2000). The C-terminal long tail contains the phosphorylation site, autoinhibitory domain, and cyclic nucleotide-binding domains (Figure 2) (Feki et al., 2011). Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter activation requires inhibition of the autoinhibitory domain and phosphorylation at the C-terminal (Feki et al., 2011). The AtNHX8 protein, similar to SOS1, lacks the C-terminal end and did not increase salt tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana plants (An et al., 2007). However, deletion of the autoinhibitory domain from the Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter (SOS1) produced a constitutively active form of the antiporter, increased water and K<sup>+</sup> uptake, reduced Na<sup>+</sup> uptake, and thus increased salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana (Feki et al., 2014b) and tobacco (Zhou et al., 2016). Phosphorylation of Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters



Salt stress is sensed by calcium channel that increased the cytosolic calcium. Increase in cytosolic calcium activates CBL (SOS3) which interacts with serine-threonine protein kinase CIPK (SOS2) that phosphorylates at serine residues of cytosolic C-terminal domain of SOS1 (Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter). Upon activation, SOS1 exclude the Na<sup>+</sup> using pH gradient as a source of energy. Structure of Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter with 12 transmembrane domains (TMD; 1-440), cytosolic functional domain (CNBD; 741-925) and C-terminal auto-inhibitory domain (998-1146). The red mark at the end of C-terminus represents DSPS, a conservative phosphorylation site recognized by the calcineurin B-like protein (CBL)-CIPK protein kinase complexes. A serine threonine protein kinase (CIPK; SOS2) phosphorylates on three serine sites at the C-terminal end and highlighted as "S" in red with circle (Adapted from Ismail and Horie, 2017; Shohan et al., 2019; Mansour and Hassan, 2022).

(SOS1) is regulated by two other protein kinase complexes, CIPK24 (SOS2) and CBL4 (SOS3) (Quintero et al., 2011). SOS2 (CIPK) is a kinase of serine-threonine kinase type belonging to the SnRK3 family (Barajas-Lopez et al., 2018), while CBL is a member of the calcium-binding proteins that sense hyper-cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  (Roy et al., 2013; Roy et al., 2014). Mutations in both genes cause hypersensitivity to salt stress, indicating their potential role in Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion and salt tolerance (Ismail and Horie, 2017). However, Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion is an energy-consuming process coupled with H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity. For example, salt-tolerant wheat cultivars (Kharchia-65 and S-24) had greater H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase expression and activity in epidermal roots cells than the salt-sensitive cultivar (Potohar), which was associated with Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion (Ayala et al., 1997; Cuin et al., 2011).

Compartmentation of Na<sup>+</sup> in the vacuole by Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters localized on the vacuolar membrane helps maintain low Na<sup>+</sup> in the cytosol (Apse et al., 1999; Apse et al., 2003; Apse and Blumwald, 2007; El Mahi et al., 2019). Overexpression of vacuolar Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters increased salt tolerance in wheat (Cuin et al., 2011), Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. (Mishra et al., 2014), apple (Li et al., 2013), and several other crops (Roy et al., 2014). Several studies have shown that vacuolar Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exhibited K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange activity in addition to Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> activity (Leidi et al., 2010; Bassil et al., 2011; Bassil et al., 2012; Ismail and Horie, 2017), supported by vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases and pyrophosphatases and important for salt tolerance (Shen et al., 2015; Gaxiola et al., 2016). Plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase pumps in the tonoplast pump H<sup>+</sup> into the vacuoles, providing adequate protons for Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters, as evidenced by overexpressing V-PPases related to the pyrophosphate-driven proton pump (NbVHP) in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves showing improved salinity tolerance (Graus et al., 2018).

# 3.2.2 Salt stress proteins involved in $\rm K^+$ transport and maintenance of the $\rm K^+/Na^+$ ratio

The high-affinity potassium transporter (HKTs) gene family and proteins of the salt overly sensitive pathway have a potential role in regulating Na<sup>+</sup> transport and K<sup>+</sup> homeostasis (Roy et al., 2014). Of the two sub-families of HKTs, HKT1 members have great potential to improve salt tolerance (Ren et al., 2005; Rus et al., 2006; Ahmadi et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015c; Ali et al., 2016; Jaime-Pérez et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019a). Members of the HKT1 family are Na<sup>+</sup> transporters (channel-like Na<sup>+</sup> uniport) are present on the plasma membrane of root xylem parenchyma cells. They retrieve Na<sup>+</sup> ions from the xylem stream to reduce sodium transport to shoots (Roy et al., 2014; Tounsi et al., 2016; Shohan et al., 2019). The sodium selectivity over potassium in HTK1s is due to the presence of a serine residue at the first pore-loop domain (Davenport et al., 2007; Ali et al., 2016; Ismail and Horie, 2017). In contrast, members of the HKT2 sub-family

have a glycine residue at the first pore-loop domain, permeable to  $K^+$  and  $Na^+$  ( $Na^+/K^+$  symport) (Figure 3). The HKT2 transporters help cereal crops take up  $K^+$  under salt stress (Horie et al., 2007; Horie et al., 2009; Ismail and Horie, 2017). Some reports suggest that dicot plant species have fewer HKT genes, belonging mainly to the HKT sub-class-I family. In contrast, monocot plant species have several HKT genes from both sub-gene families. For example, TmHKT1;4, TmHKT1;5, and OsHKT1;4, OsHKT1;5 in wheat belong to sub-class I family and mediate  $Na^+$  retrieval from xylem loading to restrict  $Na^+$ transport from roots to shoots or from leaf sheaths to leaf blades (Cotsaftis et al., 2012; Byrt et al., 2014; Ali et al., 2016; Suzuki et al., 2016).

It seems likely that the major net influx of  $Na^+$  is not controlled by a single transport protein but by several transport proteins. However,  $Na^+/H^+$  antiporters and HKT1 transport proteins might determine net  $Na^+$  uptake and transport from roots to shoots of salt-stressed plants.

### 3.2.3 Salt stress proteins involved in water balance

Water balance in crops under salt stress is an adaptive response, with the proteins associated with uptake and maintenance of water homeostasis substantially altered (Li et al., 2017d; McGaughey et al., 2018). Water uptake is regulated mainly by non-selective cation channels (NSCCs), i.e., water transport proteins or aquaporins (Byrt et al., 2017; Kourghi et al., 2017; McGaughey et al., 2018). Aquaporins include seven sub-families; the four main sub-families are plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs), tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs), NOD26-like intrinsic proteins (NIPs), and small basic intrinsic proteins (Maurel et al., 2015; Maurel et al., 2016). Aquaporins play a significant role in passive bidirectional selective transport of small molecules, including water, CO2, salicylic acid, boron, urea, silicon, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and sodium ions (Byrt et al., 2017; Kourghi et al., 2017; McGaughey et al., 2018). Recent studies and some comprehensive reviews suggest that aquaporins are involved in maintaining water homeostasis in plants and play crucial roles in abiotic stress tolerance, stress signaling, nutrient uptake, transpiration, photosynthesis, and plant development (Maurel et al., 2016; Chaumont and Tyerman, 2017; McGaughey et al., 2018). For example, Qin et al. (2019) found that several aquaporins (PIPs) in halophyte Eutrema salsugineum had greater expression in roots than shoots under salt stress, consistent with root and leaf hydraulic conductivity. Moreover, they found that this differential expression in plant organs improved root water transport but decreased leaf transpiration under salt stress. Similarly, overexpression of plasma membrane or tonoplast intrinsic membrane aquaporins in rice (Katsuhara et al., 2003), tobacco (Aharon et al., 2003), and Arabidopsis thaliana (Wang et al., 2011) increased dehydration and salt stress sensitivity. More recently, Li et al. (2017d) reported that Na<sup>+</sup> pretreatment to



filter in class 1 has Ser-Gly-Gly-Gly, whereas members of class 2 have selective pore with Gly-Gly-Gly-Gly. Four amino acids are placed on top of the four short loops or PD loop and make it as selectivity filter. The selective filters transport the Na<sup>+</sup> ion selectively from xylem vessel to xylem parenchyma cells as xylem-Na-unloading process. **(B)**. Class 1 HKT channel act as Na<sup>+</sup> uniporter only, whereas Class 2 HKT channel as Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> channel (Adapted from Ismail and Horie, 2017; Naeem et al., 2020; Mansour and Hassan, 2022).

white clover plants enhanced aquaporin-mediated water transport from roots to leaves, improving stress tolerance. Overexpression of aquaporin genes from Medicago sativa increased salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana by maintaining a higher K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio and upregulating genes related to ABA and cytokinin and proline metabolism (Li et al., 2019). Regulation of aquaporin expression under salt stress depends on the intensity and duration of salt stress, plant organ, developmental stage, and species involved. For example, Arabidopsis plants subjected to 140 mM NaCl exhibited increased expression of most aquaporin genes (Geng et al., 2013). In contrast, Lee and Zwiazek (2015) reported that three-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to 10 mM NaCl for 1 h had decreased expression of all aquaporin genes except AtPIP2;6, whose expression did not change. Likewise, Kayum et al. (2017) found that 24 h of 200 mM NaCl increased the expression of BrPIP genes in Brassica rapa, but decreased the expression of BrPIP1;3a/b, BrPIP2;7b/c, and BrPIP2;4a/b beyond 24 h. In another study, 100 mM NaCl increased the expression of aquaporins (PIPs and TIPs) after 2-48 h in maize roots, whereas 200 mM NaCl decreased their expression after 24 h. Regulation of aquaporins under salt stress also occurs at the posttranslational level by phosphorylation, glycosylation, methylation, ubiquitination, and deamination, affecting the opening and closing of aquaporins (Byrt et al., 2017; Kourghi et al., 2017; McGaughey et al., 2018). Moreover, several studies have demonstrated that signalling molecules and secondary messengers, such as pH,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $H_2O_2$  signals, regulate the opening and closing of aquaporins in response to salt stress (Bellati et al., 2010; Wudick et al., 2015; Bellati et al., 2016; Rodrigues et al., 2017). In sum, aquaporins regulate root hydraulic conductivity and water transport in plants under salt stress through two to three layers of translational and posttranslational regulatory factors.

#### 3.3 Proteins involved in osmoregulation (e.g., osmotin and many small-sized soluble proteins) for maintaining cell water content under saline stress

Several plant species accumulate compatible solutes and osmolytes, such as glycinebetaine, proline and trehalose, under salt stress with significant roles in osmotic adjustment, osmoprotection of cellular membranes and enzymes, and ROS detoxification (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007). In addition, several stress-related proteins are biosynthesized that play a vital role in plant adaptation to salt stress, acting as nitrogen storage and reused during recovery from salt stress. Several years back, a saltinduced 26 kDa protein in tobacco was characterized and named as osmotin (Singh et al., 1987). Osmotin facilitates osmotolerance in plants (Barthakur et al., 2001) and modulates metabolism for osmotic adjustment (Raghothama et al., 1993). Thomas and Bohnert (1993) found greater accumulation of osmotin-like proteins (OLPs) in a halophyte Mesembryanthemum crystallinum under salt stress. OLPs (24-26 kDa) also help plants maintain cellular osmolarity by compartmentalizing solutes or through structural and metabolic alterations (Choi et al., 2013; Weber et al., 2014; Chowdhury et al., 2017). While osmotin and OLP-mediated salt tolerance occur in different crop species by improving plant water status and photosynthetic activity and reducing oxidative damage (Barthakur et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2016; Hakim et al., 2018), the detailed molecular mechanism involved remains unexplored. In another study with halophyte Chenopodium quinoa Rasouli et al. (2021) found increase in 50, 13 and 8-fold increase in expression of 29B, osmotin like protein (OSML13) and dehydrin early responsive protein (ERD14), respectively. While assessing salt stress specific proteins in rice, López-Cristoffanini et al. (2021) found over expression of dehydrins in leaves that may play a key role in salt tolerance in rice.

Hurkman et al. (1991) found another group of proteins, germin-like 26 kDa proteins, in salt-stressed barley roots. The germins protein family has diverse roles in plants, including seed germination and ROS scavenging due to the presence of certain biochemical characteristics such as homo-polymer formation, cell wall localization, different enzyme activities, and glycosylation (Nakata et al., 2002; Davidson et al., 2009; Banerjee et al., 2017). Germins have the germin motif, which helps develop a jellyroll β-barrel structure (Caliskan, 2011). Germin-like proteins were upregulated in salt-stressed barley roots but downregulated in the coleoptile (Hurkman and Tanaka, 1996). Similarly, salt stress increased the biosynthesis of germins (GLP9, At4g14630; oxalate oxidase-like proteins) in Arabidopsis thaliana (Jiang et al., 2007). Several other proteomic studies on different plant species under salt stress suggest that the upregulation of germins or germin-like proteins involves cell wall cross-linking to maintain cellular anatomy and ROSscavenging activity in the apoplastic region, e.g., barley (Ozturk et al., 2002; Fatehi et al., 2012), wheat (Kamal et al., 2012), rice (Banerjee et al., 2017; Frukh et al., 2020), spinach (Bai et al., 2014), and grapes (Cramer et al., 2007). In contrast, germin biosynthesis decreased in the roots of halophytic *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* (Michalowski and Bohnert, 1992). Thus, osmotin, OLPs, germins, and germin-like proteins likely protect plants from salt-induced dehydration stress through osmotic adjustment in the leaves or modifying root cell walls to protect them from dehydration. However, changes in the expression of these proteins in plants under salt stress depend on the plant species and developmental stage.

# 3.4 Stress proteins involved in the maintenance of ultrastructure

Salinity stress causes osmotic stress by reducing water availability and thus inhibiting plant growth. During this process, salt stress poses substantial mechanical stress on plant cells by increasing the threshold of pressure for cell walls in expanding cells in root and stem meristematic tissues (Neumann, 2011). Such mechanical stress changes various cytoskeletal proteins, such as tubulin, actin, and kinesins, which help plants adapt to salt stress (Cheng et al., 2009; Barkla et al., 2013a; Kosova et al., 2013). For example, in rice roots, salt stress increased the cytoskeletal-cell wall linker proteins, which bind callose synthase in the plasma membrane and remodel cell wall properties of root cells (Cheng et al., 2009). Cell wall properties can be remodelled by various proteins with enzyme activities, such as glycosyl hydrolase family proteins, glycine-rich proteins, germins and germin-like proteins, and extensins (Bray, 2004; Jiang et al., 2007; Taiz et al., 2015). The abundance of proteins  $\beta$ glucosidases and β-1,3-glucanase from the glucosyl hydrolase family increased in salt-stressed Arabidopsis thaliana roots (Jiang et al., 2007). In creeping bentgrass, increased  $\beta$ -D-glucan exohydrolase was associated with enhanced cell wall plasticity under saline conditions (Xu et al., 2010). Similarly, glycine-rich proteins with more than 60% glycine residues are important structural components of cell walls, with vital roles in plant resistance mechanisms against abiotic stresses, including salt stress (Mousavi and Hotta, 2005; Jiang et al., 2007). For example, salinity stress increased cell wall associated glycinerich proteins associated with mechanical and defense properties of rice (Dooki et al., 2006) and cucumber (Du et al., 2010). Similarly, salt stress increased the expression of cellulose synthase in wild halophytic rice Porteresia coarctata (Sengupta and Majumder, 2009). In contrast, the cell wall elongation enzyme, xyloglucan endotransglycosylase, decreased in grapevine cultivar Chardonnay under salt stress and was associated with reduced growth (Vincent et al., 2007). These reports suggest that plant adaptations to salt stress require cytoskeletal proteins and plasma membrane associated proteins to improve cell wall mechanical properties under stressful environments.

# 3.5 Proteins involved in the protection of biomolecules such as LEAs and HSPs

Salt stress causes osmotic stress, i.e., water depletion in living cells resulting in cellular dehydration (Munns, 2002) and thus damaging macromolecular and cellular structures (Hoekstra et al., 2001). Osmotic stress tolerance in organisms requires several enzymes and biomolecules to prevent oxidative damage and maintain the native structure of enzymes, macromolecules, and membranes (Hasegawa et al., 2000; Maggio et al., 2002; Maggio et al., 2006; Shoji et al., 2006). Under normal conditions, macromolecules and membranes are hydrated, but under saltinduced osmotic stress, plants accumulate non-reducing oligosaccharides, compatible solutes, osmoprotectants, and osmoprotective proteins as a water replacement to protect macromolecular structures (Mansour, 1998; Hasegawa et al., 2000; Hoekstra et al., 2001; Ashraf and Foolad, 2007; Mansour and Ali, 2017). Osmo-protective proteins are hydrophilic proteins that include late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins and HSPs (Rontein et al., 2002; Bhardwaj et al., 2013; Jacob et al., 2017). The extent of accumulation of these hydrophilic proteins is consistent with the degree of plant salt tolerance (Barkla et al., 2013a; Kosova et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2013). LEA proteins are categorized into seven subgroups based on sequence similarity and amino acid composition. The group 2 LEA proteins are referred to as dehydrins. LEA proteins protect proteins and membranes by replacing water, scavenging ROS, and binding with ions (Battaglia et al., 2008). Overexpression of LEA proteins enhances salt tolerance in various plant species, including Arabidopsis (Brini et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012b; Jia et al., 2014), rice (Hu et al., 2016), tomato (Muñoz-Mayor et al., 2012) and Salsa crassa (Yildiz and Terzi, 2021).

Although the detailed mechanism of action of LEA proteins is not known, we present a brief summary of current research here. The LEA proteins are unstructured in a hydrated state and known as intrinsically unstructured or disordered proteins (Tompa, 2005; Tompa and Kovacs, 2010; Pauwels et al., 2017). The intrinsically unstructured or disordered nature of LEA proteins is reportedly due to their high proportion of glycine and glutamine residues. In addition, LEA proteins possess unique characteristics, including excellent hydration potential, amplified speed of interaction with other proteins to support folding, and prevention of protein aggregation, i.e., they act as chaperones (Tompa and Kovacs, 2010; Liu et al., 2017), antioxidants (Hara et al., 2004), and cryoprotectants (Hughes and Graether, 2011). In the past decade, several reports and comprehensive reviews from Professor Peter Tompa's group indicate that LEA proteins have several cellular regulatory functions in the cell cycle and transcription, translation, and post-translational modifications of LEA proteins, such as phosphorylation, methylation, and ubiquitination (Tompa, 2005; Tompa and Kovacs, 2010; Tompa and Han, 2012; Bhardwaj et al., 2013; Kovacs et al., 2013; Tompa et al., 2015; Tompa, 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Pauwels et al., 2017). Posttranslational modifications change the folding characteristics of other proteins and their cellular localization (Liu et al., 2017). For example, phosphorylation of group-2 LEA proteins (dehydrin protein) was related to stress tolerance in *Craterostigma plantagineum* (Röhrig et al., 2006) and *Thellungiella salsuginea* (Rahman et al., 2011). Similarly, phosphorylation to 11-amino acid motif in group-3 LEA proteins enhanced salt and drought tolerance in *Escherichia coli* (Liu et al., 2010). More recently, phosphorylation at three sites of PM18 (group 3 LEA proteins) enhanced the protective effect in soybean cells under salt stress and a simulated dehydration state (Liu et al., 2017).

HSPs or chaperones are another group of powerful buffer proteins produced in plants that protect proteins from stressinduced misfolding (Carey et al., 2006; Swindell et al., 2007). In the past decade, this group of proteins has been studied extensively; it is now clear that the function of these proteins is not limited to protein folding, with a pivotal role in protein targeting and degradation to regulate signaling cascades under abiotic stresses, including salt stress (Al-Whaibi, 2011; Kadota and Shirasu, 2012; Kriechbaumer et al., 2012; Fu, 2014; Huang et al., 2014; Niforou et al., 2014; Jacob et al., 2017).

In summary, proteins initially discovered as membrane and macromolecule stabilizers have several physiological roles in stress tolerance and could be good targets for developing salt stress resistance in crop pants. However, more research is required to uncover their putative roles in stress tolerance.

# 3.6 Proteins involved in signal transduction

All land plants face continuously changing environments; thus, they require strategies to rapidly sense environmental changes such as increased salinity in the rhizosphere (Taiz et al., 2015). Plants should be able to detect multiple components of salt stress, such as toxic ions (Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>) in soil solution or plants, changes in osmotic potential in soil and plants, nutrient imbalance, and ROS generation (Shabala et al., 2015; Shabala et al., 2016). Sensing environmental changes and signaling to respond to these changes involves sensor proteins and response regulator proteins; signaling molecules such as secondary messengers play a role in signal amplifications and translation into a broad array of physiological alterations to optimize plant performance under salt stress (Taiz et al., 2015). Putative salt stress sensor proteins include plasma membrane localized ion transporter proteins, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> channels, mechanosensory proteins, H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases, and cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding proteins (e.g., calmodulin, calmodulin-like, calcineurin B like, and Ca<sup>2</sup> +-dependent protein kinases), and are comprehensively discussed elsewhere (Shabala et al., 2015). Overexpression or knock-down mutants of these proteins influence salt tolerance in plants (Wu et al., 1996; Liu and Zhu, 1998; Zhu et al., 1998; Zhu, 2000; Zhu, 2001a; Zhu, 2001b; Shi and Zhu, 2002; Shi et al., 2003; Gong et al., 2004; Chinnusamy et al., 2006; Maggio et al., 2006; Bai et al., 2013; Roy et al., 2013; Roy et al., 2014). The wellknown signaling pathway for salt stress, i.e., SOS (salt overly sensitive pathway) and its components SOS3 (CBL, Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding protein), SOS2 (CIPK24, calcineurin B like interacting protein kinase), SOS1 (Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters) are discussed at length in the salt exclusion section. However, other components include calcium sensor proteins, such as calmodulin and calmodulinlike proteins, detect changes in cytosolic Ca<sup>2+</sup> under salt stress (Parre et al., 2007; Dodd et al., 2010; Laohavisit et al., 2013; Manishankar et al., 2018). These Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding proteins or Ca<sup>2</sup> +-dependent protein kinases bind to calcium only under elevated calcium concentrations (Halfter et al., 2000; Kiegle et al., 2000; Dodd et al., 2010), executing downstream physiological responses or developmental changes (Charpentier and Oldroyd, 2013; Laohavisit et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2014; Manishankar et al., 2018) that are important in plant salinity tolerance, as all sensing mechanisms (channels, ATPases, mechano-sensors, etc.) operate in parallel and are integrated at the central calcium signaling hub (Charpentier and Oldroyd, 2013; Shabala et al., 2015; Manishankar et al., 2018). Recently, Chen et al. (2022) found major changes in expression of proteins related with ABA and GA metabolism and signaling pathways in maize under salt stress at the germination stage. Such kind of studies indicated that plant adjust hormonal homeostasis and signaling at the germination stage to adjust with salt stress. Such studies will definitely help in identification of master regulator in response to salt stress at the specific developmental stage. In sum, prominent salinity stress sensing mechanisms enable plants to decode information on the intensity, severity, and nature of salinity stress into stress-specific H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> signatures to distinguish between osmotic and specific ion-toxic stresses. However, the sodium-specific sensor protein is not known, nor is information on tissue-specific sensing and signaling at different time scales (instantaneous hydraulic, slow hormonal).

#### 3.6 Salt stress proteins involved in posttranscriptional and post-translational modifications

Expression of several genes related to defense, energy metabolism or photosynthesis are regulated by upstream transcriptional regulatory factors. In addition, appropriate folding and functioning of proteins require some more regulatory proteins at post-transcriptional, translational and post-translational level. For example, protein splicing factors or maturases help in plant group-II introns in self-splicing (Shevtsov-Tal et al., 2021). Salinity stress (200 mM NaCl) reduced the expression of maturase in alfalfa (Xiong et al., 2017). Down-regulation of maturase by salt stress indicated poor translation of proteins in shoots and roots of alfalfa. Expression of another protein with DNA chaperone activity and bind with single stranded DNA, nucleic acid binding protein (NABP), reduced in shoots and roots of alfalfa (Xiong et al., 2017). Nucleic acid binding protein is small and highly conserved protein across plant species. Like NABP, RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) also played vital role in cellular functions of protein transport and protein localization. RBPs play key roles in RNA splicing, mRNA stabilization, mRNA transport to targeted site and translation. Abundance of three RBPs has been observed in shoots and roots of salt stressed plants of alfalfa. These reports suggested that increase in abundance of nucleic acid binding proteins for RNA-post-transcriptional regulation is proposed to be important for improved salt tolerance in plants.

Ribosomes (ribonucleoprotein complex), translation initiation factors, elongation factors, and tRNA synthases are meant for protein translation, and help in post-translational modifications. Salt stress decreased the ribosomal proteins, while ribosomal components increased in Arabidopsis thaliana (Huang et al., 2018) and Gossypium hirsutum (Li et al., 2015) under salt stress. Likewise, 100 mM NaCl salinity caused upregulation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor (eIF 5A-2), while 200 mM NaCl salt stress down-regulated this ribonucleoprotein in alfalfa (Xiong et al., 2017). Similar downregulation of expression of eIF 5A-2 due to salt stress has been observed in rice leaf lamina (Parker et al., 2006), and SnRK2 transgenic rice (Nam et al., 2012). Proteins of other translation initiation factor (e.g., eIF3I) were down-regulated in the roots of salt stressed plants of Arabidopsis thaliana (Jiang et al., 2007) and Gossypium hirsutum (Li et al., 2015). Similarly, salt stress upregulated eIF5A1 in salt tolerant cultivar of barley suggesting its role in general translation under salt stress and its contribution in salt tolerance (Mostek et al., 2015). While working with halophytes (Suaeda maritima, Salicornia brachiata) Benjamin et al. (2020) found up-regulation of eIF4A in S. brachiata under 200 mM NaCl salinity stress conditions, which indicated its involvement in salt tolerance of halophyte. Substantial increase in abundance of eIFs, EFs and ribosomal proteins in salt tolerant cultivar as compared to that in salt sensitive cultivar of rice (Frukh et al., 2020) and maize (Cheng et al., 2014). Protein translation effector (EF1B) regulates translation fidelity was up-regulated under salt stress in salt tolerant tomato accession than that in salt sensitive accession (Nveawiah-Yoho et al., 2013). Protein elongation factor (GmEF4) was up-regulated in salt tolerant soybean which is positively associated with it salt tolerance (Zhao et al., 2019). While working cotton Li et al. (2015) found increase in abundance of two ribosomal proteins and elongation factor under salt stress conditions. Based on these results, it is suggested that salt tolerant cultivars had better capability to maintain protein translation efficiency by regulating different components of translation machinery under salt stress conditions.

After protein synthesis, post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation, dephosphorylation, acetylation, methylation,

glycosylation and ubiquitination are involved in correct folding, cellular localization, protein half-life, interaction with other proteins, cell signaling and fine-tuning of protein functioning (Krishnamurthy et al., 2018). It has been observed that salt stress increased the protein glycosylation and phosphorylation in canola Shokri-Gharelo and Noparvar, 2018). While comparative phosphor-proteomic analysis of salt tolerant and salt sensitive inbred lines of maize Zhao et al. (2019) reported that phosphoproteins associated with degree of salt tolerance in maize were up-regulated in salt tolerant inbred maize line. Kinases cause the phosphorylation of proteins to activate them and phosphatases dephosphorylate the proteins to de-activate the proteins. Çakır Aydemir et al. (2020) reported that salt stress changed the expression of 33 protein kinases and 16 phosphatases of grapevine rootstock. Post-translational events also include the appropriate folding of the proteins. Large number of proteins are meant for protein folding for their proper functioning and to avoid aggregation of nascent proteins. In addition, proteins with in appropriate folding or damaged during folding were detected and removed via 26S proteosome. Protein disulfide-isomerase A6 (PDI A6), a chaperone protein, helps inappropriate folded proteins in a correctly folded proteins without affecting disulfide shuffling (Gruber et al., 2006). Salt stress increased the abundance of PDI A6 proteins in rice (Nohzadeh et al., 2017) and cotton (Li et al., 2015). In contrast, 200 mM NaCl salinity stress down-regulated PDI A6 proteins in alfalfa (Xiong et al., 2017). As described earlier, post-translational modifications of proteins include ubiquitination to degrade the targeted negativeregulator proteins via 26S proteosome. Zhao et al. (2013) reported that salt stress increased the ubiquitination with subsequent degradation of targeted proteins and this plays a key role in maintaining level of proteins of key metabolic enzymes under salt stress. Similarly, salt stress increased the expression of protein post-translational modification regulator, transglutamase (TGase), in tobacco plants which improved the stability of chloroplastic proteins, photosynthetic capacity of tobacco plants and salt tolerance (Zhong et al., 2019). These reports suggested that regulation of salt stress proteins in different parts of different plant species is complicated in terms of translation and posttranslational modifications but play a key role in salt tolerance.

# 3.7 Proteins involved in salt stress memory networks

Plants save the stress events as molecular memory. The general mechanism of saving stress memory event occurs as over-accumulation of osmo-regulatory metabolites and phytohormones, synthesis of protective proteins, histone protein modification *via* DNA methylation and chromatin remodeling. Upon relieving from stress event, this stored memory initiates a quick and strong response for phenotypic variation of suitable traits that are responsible for long-term

adaptation (Parejo-Farnés et al., 2019; Villagómez-Aranda et al., 2022). Stress memory can remain save over days, weeks or months in somatic cells (Mitotically stable) and it may remain stable and inheritable too (meiotically stable). Changes in gene expression by genome modification occurs through epigenetic marks and these include DNA methylation, histone protein posttranscriptional modification, and small RNAs (micro-RNAs, miRNA; small interfering RNA, siRNAs). Recently, while working with Salvia miltiorrhiza Yang et al., (2018) reported that proteins responsible for DNA-methylation (DNA methyltransferases DNTM1, DNTM3A, DNTM3B) were overexpressed affected phenolic acid biosynthesis and stress tolerance. However, treatment with inhibitor of DNA methylation affected the gene expression of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway and phenolic acid production (Figure 4). Similarly, in transgenic tomato for ERF1 (Ethylene response factor), DNA methylation at several genes initiate ethylene synthesis and signaling for stress tolerance (Zuo et al., 2018). Likewise, in another study with grapevine, salt stress reduced three-fold expression of 13 genes histone proteins (H2AX, H2A, H4, H3.2) (Çakır Aydemir et al., 2020). In contrast, salt stress activated global histone acetylation levels in maize genome by over-expression of histone acetyl-transferases (HATs), and these changes in histone protein modifications and DNA methylations were positively correlated with salt tolerance in maize (Li et al., 2014). Application of 500 mM NaCl cause the over-accumulation of histone H2A in halophyte Salicornia brachiata and its greater accumulation was positively associated with appropriate assembly of centromeres of chromosome, changes in gene expression and repair of DNA breaks. These reports suggested that establishing and resetting stress memory during plant life cycle is driven by post-transcriptional gene silencing via DNAmethylation or histone protein modifications that can be non-



Salt stress modify the histone proteins by acetylation and methylation which activates the expression of genes such as HKT (high-affinity K<sup>+</sup> channel) as long-term salt stress memory for rapid response to salt stress. In addition, histone acetylation and deacetylation played role in fine tuning of salt-stress memory (Adapted from Çakır Aydemir et al., 2020; Roy and Soni, 2022). inheritable or inheritable. Since very few reports are available on this aspect, further research is need on this topic.

# 4 Mechanics and supra molecular kinetics of salt-stress proteins

Proteins perform a variety of functions in different parts of cell that include sensing and signaling, metabolism, transport of mineral nutrient and biomolecules, and transfer of genetic information (Nelson and Cox, 2021). Majority of proteins functions as homo-oligomer or hetero-oligomer assemblies. Proteins form tertiary structure by forming folding of globular domains consisting  $\alpha$  helices,  $\beta$ -sheets and random coils. Proteins have two or more than two domains that are connected with coils or joints (Onck, 2017). These domains have ligand binding domains or other domains for various functions. Sometime ligand binding domain is present within several domains and other domains facilitate the ligand in interaction with ligand binding domain (Nelson and Cox, 2021). It is widely accepted that appropriate 3-D structure of the protein is responsible for proper functioning. However, any biotic or abiotic stress cause the changes in 3-D structure of protein from biologically active state to biologically inactive or biologically ill-function form. Changes in 3-D structure of proteins due to physical factors such as heat stress, salt stress etc. include domain unfolding, domain deformation, domain hinge motion and domain sliding motion. Domain hinge motion is very low deformation protein and mainly it consists of domain motion around a flexible hinge and it occurs in loops that joins  $\alpha$ -helices or  $\beta$ -sheets (Nelson and Cox, 2021). All these changes in 3-D structure of proteins resulted in changed downstream biochemical, physiological and phenotypic effects on plants.

Of various salt stress proteins, high affinity potassium channel (HKT) has been studied in more detail in the mechanism of salt exclusions and salt tolerance. Based on transport and amino acid sequences, HKT family has been divided into two groups. The selective pore or selectivity filter in class 1 has Ser-Gly-Gly-Gly, whereas members of class 2 have selective pore with Gly-Gly-Gly-Gly. Four amino acids are placed on top of the four short loops or P-loop and make it as selectivity filter (Figure 3). The selective filters transport the Na<sup>+</sup> ion selectively from xylem vessel to xylem parenchyma cells as xylem-Na-unloading process.

The position of serine and glycine in selective pore is highly important in its ion transport capacity. For example, presence of serine favors the transport of Na<sup>+</sup> only, whereas presence of glycine facilitates the transport of both K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> ions. However, some studies have shown that HKT protein from class one has ability to transport of both K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> ions in opposite directions. Similarly, TmHKT1;5 and TaHKT1;5 improved the salt tolerance by increasing Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion. It has been observed that class 1 HKT channel played role in maintenance of K<sup>+</sup>, and Na<sup>+</sup> unloading from root xylem parenchyma cells (Xu et al., 2018). In addition, class 1 HKT channel played role in salt tolerance of salt tolerant cultivar but not in salt sensitive cultivar. These results indicated that Na<sup>+</sup> and/K<sup>+</sup> selectivity for transport through HKT channel is not determined by selective pore alone, but it requires functioning of some other protein motifs as it determines the ion-transport capacity. Sequence comparison and amino-acid sequence of protein from both cultivars indicated variation in amino acid sequence at 140 (P/A/T/I), 184 (H/R), 332 (D/H) and at position 395 (V/L). Two amino acid variation occur in loop region between transmembrane domain 2 and 3 (TMD2 and TMD3), one in between TMD4 and TMD5 and one in between TMD5 and TMD6. While assessing association of amino acid substitution in class 1 HKT gene with salt tolerance in rice genotypes Shohan et al. (2019) found that amino acid substitution at position 140 (P/A/T/ I) and 184 (R/H) were present in both salt tolerant and salt sensitive cultivars and thus these are not conserved in the context salt tolerance. In addition, aspartate at 332 and valine at 395 position is highly conserved in salt tolerant rice and wheat cultivars (Shohan et al., 2019). Three-dimensional structural analysis of HKT1;5 showed that both these amino acids (aspratic acid at 332 and valine at 395) lie on the opening ends of channel but at the opposite sides. For example, valine is located at the opening of channel towards xylem vessel, whereas aspartic acid lies at the opening channel toward xylem parenchyma cell. Position of both these amino acids is very strategic in controlling K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> transport and salt tolerance in plants. For example, histidine at position 332 lies in vicinity of large extra-cellular loop and at constriction of channel opening, which make Na<sup>+</sup> passage difficult for entry in salt sensitive cultivar (Figure 5). However, aspartate make the extra cellular loop more dynamic and away from the channel opening thus it is helpful in Na<sup>+</sup> efflux under normal or salt stress conditions (Shohan et al., 2019; Pulipati et al., 2022).

#### 5 Conclusion and prospects

This review discussed various proteins involved in the complex salt tolerance mechanism of plants, with functions ranging from metabolism to ion homeostasis, maintaining water status to protect cellular structures, ROS scavenging to integrate signals at ROS and Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling hubs, and modulating physiological responses for developmental changes. Based on review of these studies, several promising protein indicators for salt tolerance are suggested, which include photosynthetic proteins (Psb27, LHCs, D2, rubisco, rubisco activase, sedoheptulose-1,7 bisphosphatase), antioxidant enzymes (Cu/Znsuperoxide dismutase, peroxidase, glutathione reductase, catalase), mitochondrial and cellular respiration related proteins (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphofructokinase, NAD(P)H-quinone oxido-reductase), ion transport related proteins (NHX, HKT1, HKT2, NRT1, NRT2), water transport related proteins (aquaporins, PIPs, NIPs), osmotic adjustment related proteins (P5CS, BADH, osmotin like protein,



lie on the opening ends of channel but at the opposite sides. (A) Replacement of aspartate with histidine at position 332 that lies at the constriction of channel opening make  $Na^+$  passage difficult for entry – inhibit the  $Na^+$  exclusion. (B). Surface view of HKT channel as open or closed for  $Na^+$  entry. (C). Saline growth medium favors the entry of  $Na^+$  into root and then transport  $Na^+$  to leaves/shoots via xylem. HKT channel restrict the  $Na^+$  transport from root to shoot by excluding  $Na^+$  from xylem vessel to xylem parenchyma cells. Presence of valine at 395 and aspartate at 332 enhance the  $Na^+$  exclusion and thus induces salt sensitivity (Adapted from Shohan et al., 2019; Pulipati et al., 2022).

OSML13; dehydrin early responsive protein, ERD14; germins and germin like proteins, GLP9 etc.), cell wall modifications related (CCOMT, Extensins, expansins), salt stress sensors (RLKs, CaM, CBL, CIPKs) and transcription factors (MYB, NAC, WRKY, SnRK, bZIP). Several studies of comparative proteome profiling have shown abundance of salt stress proteins in halophytes or salt tolerant genotypes of same species under salt stress, which indicate their strong contribution in plant salt tolerance. Genes of these candidate protein markers can be utilized for the development of transgenics to improve salt tolerance in crops. A very few examples have been found about development of salt tolerant transgenics by overexpression of genes of these candidate proteins. Despite a Web of Science search on proteome profiling under salt stress revealing more than 2,000 reports, few success stories are available (Mansour and Hassan, 2022). Possible reasons for such poor success include the lack of interest in translating research into goods and services due to impecunious and improper research policies in universities (Gilliham et al., 2017), serious doubts for gene discovery research and experimental flaws (Flowers, 2004; Blum, 2014; Ashraf and Munns, 2022), and a misunderstanding that a single gene product will yield a desirable degree of salt tolerance (Flowers, 2004; Ashraf and Foolad, 2013; Shabala et al., 2016; De Costa, 2018). Molecular marker-assisted selection in breeding programs and pyramiding genes have been recently advocated for developing salt tolerance in crops (Ashraf and Foolad, 2013; Mujeeb-Kazi et al., 2019; Ashraf and Munns, 2022). However, some technological limitations (only a few genes can be transferred) have made it difficult to develop salt-tolerant genotypes using this approach (Shabala et al., 2016). This review discusses several salt stress proteins, only a few salt-stress proteins have gained attention for breeders in developing salt tolerance, including  $H^+$ -ATPase,  $H^+$ -PPase, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> transporters, and some regulatory proteins of these systems. Identifying proteins responsible for salt stress sensing will offer insights into understanding their functions in salt tolerance mechanisms. Nevertheless, understanding the detailed biochemical modes of action and physiological roles of different proteins related to metabolism, sensing and signaling, antioxidants, and membrane stabilizers may add a new dimension to programs aimed at breeding salt stress tolerant crops.

### Author contributions

Conceptualization, MA, FZ, and HRA; first draft preparation, MA, FZ, LZ, NA, ZUZ, and HRA; final draft preparation, review and editing, HRA, AM, MA, MSI, HMK, MAH, AES and KMHS. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

#### References

Aharon, R., Shahak, Y., Wininger, S., Bendov, R., Kapulnik, Y., and Galili, G. (2003). Overexpression of a plasma membrane aquaporin in transgenic tobacco improves plant vigor under favorable growth conditions but not under drought or salt stress. *Plant Cell* 15, 439–447. doi: 10.1105/tpc.009225

Ahmadi, N., Negrão, S., Katsantonis, D., Frouin, J., Ploux, J., Letourmy, P., et al. (2011). Targeted association analysis identified japonica rice varieties achieving Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> homeostasis without the allelic make-up of the salt tolerant indica variety Nona bokra. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 123, 881–895. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1634-4

Ali, A., Raddatz, N., Aman, R., Kim, S., Park, H. C., Jan, M., et al. (2016). A single amino-acid substitution in the sodium transporter HKT1 associated with plant salt tolerance. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 2112–2126. doi: 10.1104/ pp.16.00569

Al-Whaibi, M. H. (2011). Plant heat-shock proteins: A mini review. J. King Saud Univ. Sci. 23, 139–150. doi: 10.1016/j.jksus.2010.06.022

An, R., Chen, Q. J., Chai, M. F., Lu, P. L., Su, Z., Qin, Z. X., et al. (2007). AtNHX8, a member of the monovalent cation : proton antiporter-1 family in arabidopsis thaliana, encodes a putative Li+/H+ antiporter. *Plant J.* 49, 718–728. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02990.x

Apse, M. P., Aharon, G. S., Snedden, W. A., and Blumwald, E. (1999). Salt tolerance conferred by overexpression of a vacuolar Na+/H+ antiport in arabidopsis. *Science* 285, 1256–1258. doi: 10.1126/science.285.5431.1256

Apse, M. P., and Blumwald, E. (2007). Na+ transport in plants. *FEBS Lett.* 581, 2247–2254. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.04.014

Apse, M. P., Sottosanto, J. B., and Blumwald, E. (2003). Vacuolar cation/H+ exchange, ion homeostasis, and leaf development are altered in a T-DNA insertional mutant of AtNHX1, the arabidopsis vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporter. *Plant J.* 36, 229–239. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01871.x

Arefian, M., Vessal, S., Malekzadeh-Shafaroudi, S., Siddique, K. H. M., and Bagheri, A. (2019). Comparative proteomics and gene expression analyses revealed responsive proteins and mechanisms for salt tolerance in chickpea genotypes. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19, 300. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1793-z

Arzani, A., and Ashraf, M. (2016). Smart engineering of genetic resources for enhanced salinity tolerance in crop plants. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 35, 146–189. doi: 10.1080/07352689.2016.1245056

Ashraf, M. (1999). Interactive effect of salt (NaCl) and nitrogen form on growth, water relations and photosynthetic capacity of sunflower (Helianthus annuus l.). *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 135, 509–513. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1999.tb00881.x

Ashraf, M. (2009). Biotechnological approach of improving plant salt tolerance using antioxidants as markers. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 27, 84–93. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2008.09.003

Ashraf, M., Athar, H. R., Harris, P. J. C., and Kwon, T. R. (2008). Some prospective strategies for improving crop salt tolerance. *Adv. Agron.* 97, 45–110. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(07)00002-8

Ashraf, M., and Foolad, M. R. (2007). Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 59, 206–216. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.12.006

Ashraf, M., and Foolad, M. R. (2013). Crop breeding for salt tolerance in the era of molecular markers and marker-assisted selection. *Plant Breed*. 132, 10–20. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12000

Ashraf, M., and Munns, R. (2022). Evolution of approaches to increase the salt tolerance of crops. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 41, 128–160. doi: 10.1080/07352689.2022.2065136

Ashraf, M., and O'Leary, J. W. (1999). Changes in soluble proteins in spring wheat stressed with sodium chloride. *Biol. Plant* 42, 113–117. doi: 10.1023/A:1002183829178

Ashraf, M., Shahzad, S. M., Imtiaz, M., and Rizwan, M. S. (2018). Salinity effects on nitrogen metabolism in plants – focusing on the activities of nitrogen metabolizing enzymes: A review. *J. Plant Nutr.* 41, 1065–1081. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2018.1431670

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Athar, H. R., and Ashraf, M. (2009). "Strategies for crop improvement against salinity and drought stress: An overview," in *Salinity and water stress: Improving crop efficiency*. Eds. M. Ashraf, M. Ozturk and H. R. Athar (Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer Netherlands), 1–16.

Ayala, F., Ashraf, M., and O'leary, J. W. (1997). Plasma membrane h<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive lines of spring wheat. *Acta Bot. Neerl.* 46, 315–324. doi: 10.1111/plb.1997.46.3.315

Baena-González, E., and Hanson, J. (2017). Shaping plant development through the SnRK1–TOR metabolic regulators. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 35, 152–157. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.12.004

Bai, X., Liu, J., Tang, L., Cai, H., Chen, M., Ji, W., et al. (2013). Overexpression of GsCBRLK from glycine soja enhances tolerance to salt stress in transgenic alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Funct. Plant Biol.* 40, 1048–1056. doi: 10.1071/FP12377

Bai, X. G., Long, J., Li, S., Li, K. Z., and Xu, H. N. (2014). Molecular cloning and characterisation of a germin-like protein gene in spinach (SoGLP). *J. Horticult. Sci. Biotechnol.* 89, 592–598. doi: 10.1080/14620316.2014.11513125

Banerjee, J., Gantait, S., and Maiti, M. K. (2017). Physiological role of rice germin-like protein 1 (OsGLP1) at early stages of growth and development in indica rice cultivar under salt stress condition. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 131, 127–137. doi: 10.1007/s11240-017-1270-z

Barajas-Lopez, J. D. D., Moreno, J. R., Gamez-Arjona, F. M., Pardo, J. M., Punkkinen, M., Zhu, J.-K., et al. (2018). Upstream kinases of plant SnRKs are involved in salt stress tolerance. *Plant J.* 93, 107–118. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13761

Barkla, B. J., Castellanos-Cervantes, T., Diaz De León, J. L., Matros, A., Mock, H.-P., Perez-Alfocea, F., et al. (2013a). Elucidation of salt stress defense and tolerance mechanisms of crop plants using proteomics-current achievements and perspectives. *Proteomics* 13, 1885–1900. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201200399

Barkla, B. J., Vera-Estrella, R., Hernández-Coronado, M., and Pantoja, O. (2009). Quantitative proteomics of the tonoplast reveals a role for glycolytic enzymes in salt tolerance. *Plant Cell* 21, 4044–4058. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.069211

Barkla, B. J., Vera-Estrella, R., and Pantoja, O. (2013b). Progress and challenges for abiotic stress proteomics of crop plants. *Proteomics* 13, 1801–1815. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201200401

Barthakur, S., Babu, V., and Bansal, K. C. (2001). Over-expression of osmotin induces proline accumulation and confers tolerance to osmotic stress in transgenic tobacco. *J. Plant Biochem. Biotechnol.* 10, 31–37. doi: 10.1007/BF03263103

Bassil, E., Coku, A., and Blumwald, E. (2012). Cellular ion homeostasis: emerging roles of intracellular NHX Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters in plant growth and development. J. Exp. Bot 63, 5727–5740. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers250

Bassil, E., Tajima, H., Liang, Y. C., Ohto, M. A., Ushijima, K., Nakano, R., et al. (2011). The arabidopsis Na+/H+ antiporters NHX1 and NHX2 control vacuolar pH and k+ homeostasis to regulate growth, flower development, and reproduction. *Plant Cell* 23, 3482–3497. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.089581

Battaglia, M., Olvera-Carrillo, Y., Garciarrubio, A., Campos, F., and Covarrubias, A. A. (2008). The enigmatic LEA proteins and other hydrophilins. *Plant Physiol.* 148, 6–24. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.120725

Belghith, I., Senkler, J., Abdelly, C., Braun, H.-P., and Debez, A. (2022). Changes in leaf ecophysiological traits and proteome profile provide new insights into variability of salt response in the succulent halophyte *Cakile maritima*. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 49, 613–624. doi: 10.1071/FP21151

Bellati, J., Alleva, K., Soto, G., Vitali, V., Jozefkowicz, C., and Amodeo, G. (2010). Intracellular pH sensing is altered by plasma membrane PIP aquaporin coexpression. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 74, 105–118. doi: 10.1007/s11103-010-9658-8

Bellati, J., Champeyroux, C., Hem, S., Rofidal, V., Krouk, G., Maurel, C., et al. (2016). Novel aquaporin regulatory mechanisms revealed by interactomics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 15, 3473–3487. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M116.060087

Benjamin, J. J., Miras-Moreno, B., Araniti, F., Salehi, H., Bernardo, L., Parida, A., et al. (2020). Proteomics revealed distinct responses to salinity between the halophytes suaeda maritima (L.) dumort and salicornia brachiata (Roxb). *Plants* 9, 227. doi: 10.3390/plants9020227

Bhardwaj, R., Sharma, I., Kanwar, M., Sharma, R., Handa, N., Kaur, H., et al. (2013). "LEA proteins in salt stress tolerance," in *Salt stress in plants: Signalling, omics and adaptations.* Eds. P. Ahmad, M. M. Azooz and M. N. V. Prasad (New York, NY: Springer New York), 79–112.

Blum, A. (2014). Genomics for drought resistance–getting down to earth. *Funct. Plant Biol* 41, 1191–1198. doi: 10.1071/FP14018

Bose, J., Munns, R., Shabala, S., Gilliham, M., Pogson, B., and Tyerman, S. D. (2017). Chloroplast function and ion regulation in plants growing on saline soils: lessons from halophytes. *J. Exp. Bot* 68, 3129–3143. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx142

Bray, E. A. (2004). Genes commonly regulated by water-deficit stress in arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 55, 2331–2341. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erh270

Brini, F., Hanin, M., Lumbreras, V., Amara, I., Khoudi, H., Hassairi, A., et al. (2007). Overexpression of wheat dehydrin DHN-5 enhances tolerance to salt and osmotic stress in arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell Rep.* 26, 2017–2026. doi: 10.1007/s00299-007-0412-x

Byrt, C. S., Xu, B., Krishnan, M., Lightfoot, D. J., Athman, A., Jacobs, A. K., et al. (2014). The na+ transporter, TaHKT1;5-d, limits shoot na+ accumulation in bread wheat. *Plant J.* 80, 516–526. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12651

Byrt, C. S., Zhao, M. C., Kourghi, M., Bose, J., Henderson, S. W., Qiu, J. E., et al. (2017). Non-selective cation channel activity of aquaporin AtPIP2;1 regulated by Ca2+ and pH. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 802–815. doi: 10.1111/pce.12832

Çakır Aydemir, B., Yüksel Özmen, C., Kibar, U., Mutaf, F., Büyük, P. B., Bakır, M., et al. (2020). Salt stress induces endoplasmic reticulum stress-responsive genes in a grapevine rootstock. *PLoS One* 15, e0236424. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236424

Caliskan, M. (2011). "The roles of germin gene products in plants under salt stress, abiotic stress response in plants," in *Physiological, biochemical and genetic perspectives.* Eds. A. Shanker and B. Venkateswarlu (Rijeka, Croatia: IntechOpen). doi: 10.5772/23313

Carey, C. C., Gorman, K. F., and Rutherford, S. (2006). Modularity and Intrinsic Evolvability of Hsp90-Buffered Change. *PLoS One* 1, e76.

Charpentier, M., and Oldroyd, G. E. D. (2013). Nuclear calcium signaling in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 163, 496–503. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.220863

Chartres, C. J., and Noble, A. (2015). Sustainable intensification: overcoming land and water constraints on food production. *Food Secur.* 7, 235–245. doi: 10.1007/s12571-015-0425-1

Chaumont, F., and Tyerman, S. D. (eds.). (2017). *Plant aquaporins* (New York, USA: Springer International Publishing). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-49395-4

Cheng, Y., Chen, G., Hao, D., Lu, H., Shi, M., Mao, Y., et al. (2014). Salt-induced root protein profile changes in seedlings of maize inbred lines with differing salt tolerances. *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* 74, 468–476. doi: 10.4067/S0718-58392014000400014

Cheng, Y. W., Qi, Y. C., Zhu, Q., Chen, X., Wang, N., Zhao, X., et al. (2009). New changes in the plasma-membrane-associated proteome of rice roots under salt stress. *Proteomics* 9, 3100–3114. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200800340

Chen, M.-X., Lu, C.-C., Sun, P.-C., Nie, Y.-X., Tian, Y., Hu, Q.-J., et al. (2021). Comprehensive transcriptome and proteome analyses reveal a novel sodium chloride responsive gene network in maize seed tissues during germination. *Plant Cell Environ.* 44, 88–101. doi: 10.1111/pce.13849

Chen, Q., Xiao, Y., Ming, Y., Peng, R., Hu, J., Wang, H.-B., et al. (2022). Quantitative proteomics reveals redox-based functional regulation of photosynthesis under fluctuating light in plants. *J. Integ. Plant Biol.* 64, 2168–2186.

Chen, C.-Z., Lv, X.-F., Li, J.-Y., Yi, H.-Y., and Gong, J.-M. (2012). Arabidopsis NRT1.5 is another essential component in the regulation of nitrate reallocation and stress tolerance. *Plant Physiol.* 159, 1582–1590. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.199257

Chen, X., Wang, Y., Li, J. Y., Jiang, A. L., Cheng, Y. W., and Zhang, W. (2009). Mitochondrial proteome during salt stress-induced programmed cell death in rice. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47, 407–415. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2008.12.021

Che-Othman, M. H., Millar, A. H., and Taylor, N. L. (2017). Connecting salt stress signalling pathways with salinity-induced changes in mitochondrial metabolic processes in C3 plants. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 2875–2905. doi: 10.1111/pce.13034

Chiconato, D. A., De Santana Costa, M. G., Balbuena, T. S., Munns, R., and Dos Santos, D. M. M. (2021). Proteomic analysis of young sugarcane plants with contrasting salt tolerance. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 48, 588–596. doi: 10.1071/FP20314

Chinnusamy, V., Zhu, J., and Zhu, J.-K. (2006). Salt stress signaling and mechanisms of plant salt tolerance. *Genet. Eng.* 27, 141–177. doi: 10.1007/0-387-25856-6\_9

Choi, D. S., Hong, J. K., and Hwang, B. K. (2013). Pepper osmotin-like protein 1 (CaOSM1) is an essential component for defense response, cell death, and oxidative burst in plants. *Planta* 238, 1113–1124. doi: 10.1007/s00425-013-1956-3

Choi, W.-G., Toyota, M., Kim, S.-H., Hilleary, R., and Gilroy, S. (2014). Salt stress-induced  $Ca^{2+}$  waves are associated with rapid, long-distance root-to-shoot signaling in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 6497–6502. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319955111

Chourey, K., Ramani, S., and Apte, S. K. (2003). Accumulation of LEA proteins in salt (NaCl) stressed young seedlings of rice (Oryza sativaL.) cultivar bura rata and their degradation during recovery from salinity stress. *J. Plant Physiol.* 160, 1165–1174. doi: 10.1078/0176-1617-00909

Chowdhury, S., Basu, A., and Kundu, S. (2017). Overexpression of a new osmotin-like protein gene (SindOLP) confers tolerance against biotic and abiotic stresses in sesame. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00410

Cotsaftis, O., Plett, D., Shirley, N., Tester, M., and Hrmova, M. (2012). A twostaged model of na+ exclusion in rice explained by 3D modeling of HKT transporters and alternative splicing. *PLoS One* 7, e39865. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0039865

Cramer, G. R., Ergul, A., Grimplet, J., Tillett, R. L., Tattersall, E., Bohlman, M. C., et al. (2007). Water and salinity stress in grapevines: early and late changes in transcript and metabolite profiles. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 7, 111–134. doi: 10.1007/s10142-006-0039-y

Cuin, T. A., Bose, J., Stefano, G., Jha, D., Tester, M., Mancuso, S., et al. (2011). Assessing the role of root plasma membrane and tonoplast Na+/H+ exchangers in salinity tolerance in wheat: in planta quantification methods. *Plant Cell Environ*. 34, 947–961. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02296.x

Davenport, R. J., Munoz-Mayor, A., Jha, D., Essah, P. A., Rus, A., and Tester, M. (2007). The na+ transporter AtHKT1;1 controls retrieval of na+ from the xylem in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Environ.* 30, 497–507. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007. 01637.x

Davidson, R. M., Reeves, P. A., Manosalva, P. M., and Leach, J. E. (2009). Germins: A diverse protein family important for crop improvement. *Plant Sci.* 177, 499–510. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.08.012

Debouba, M., Gouia, H., Suzuki, A., and Ghorbel, M. H. (2006). NaCl Stress effects on enzymes involved in nitrogen assimilation pathway in tomato "Lycopersicon esculentum" seedlings. *J. Plant Physiol.* 163, 1247–1258. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2005.09.012

De Costa, W. (2018). Raising crop yields: The missing links from molecular biology to plant breeding. *Ceylon J. Sci. (Biol. Sci.)* 47, 213–220. doi: 10.4038/ cjs.v47i3.7528

Derevyanchuk, M., Litvinovskaya, R., Khripach, V., and Kravets, V. (2015). Brassinosteroid-induced *de novo* protein synthesis in zea mays under salinity and bioinformatic approach for identification of heat shock proteins. *Plant Growth Regul.* 78, 297–305. doi: 10.1007/s10725-015-0093-3

Dissanayake, B. M., Staudinger, C., Munns, R., Taylor, N. L., and Millar, A. H. (2022). Distinct salinity-induced changes in wheat metabolic machinery in different root tissue types. *J. Proteom.* 256, 104502. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2022. 104502

Dodd, A. N., Kudla, J., and Sanders, D. (2010). The language of calcium signaling. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 593–620. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-070109-104628

Dooki, A. D., Mayer-Posner, F. J., Askari, H., Zaiee, A.-A., and Salekdeh, G. H. (2006). Proteomic responses of rice young panicles to salinity. *Proteomics* 6, 6498–6507. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200600367

Du, C.-X., Fan, H.-F., Guo, S.-R., Tezuka, T., and Li, J. (2010). Proteomic analysis of cucumber seedling roots subjected to salt stress. *Phytochemistry* 71, 1450–1459. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.05.020

El Mahi, H., Pérez-Hormaeche, J., De Luca, A., Villalta, I., Espartero, J., Gámez-Arjona, F., et al. (2019). A critical role of sodium flux *via* the plasma membrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger SOS1 in the salt tolerance of rice. *Plant Physiol.* 180, 1046–1065. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00324

Fan, P., Feng, J., Jiang, P., Chen, X., Bao, H., Nie, L., et al. (2011). Coordination of carbon fixation and nitrogen metabolism in salicornia europaea under salinity: Comparative proteomic analysis on chloroplast proteins. *Proteomics* 11, 4346–4367. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201100054

Fatehi, F., Hosseinzadeh, A., Alizadeh, H., Brimavandi, T., and Struik, P. C. (2012). The proteome response of salt-resistant and salt-sensitive barley genotypes to long-term salinity stress. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39, 6387–6397. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-1460-z

Feki, K., Quintero, F. J., Khoudi, H., Leidi, E. O., Masmoudi, K., Pardo, J. M., et al. (2014a). A constitutively active form of a durum wheat Na+/H+ antiporter SOS1 confers high salt tolerance to transgenic arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 277–288. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1528-9

Feki, K., Quintero, F. J., Khoudi, H., Leidi, E. O., Masmoudi, K., Pardo, J. M., et al. (2014b). A constitutively active form of a durum wheat Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter SOS1 confers high salt tolerance to transgenic arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 277–288. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1528-9

Feki, K., Quintero, F. J., Pardo, J. M., and Masmoudi, K. (2011). Regulation of durum wheat Na+/H+ exchanger TdSOS1 by phosphorylation. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 76, 545–556. doi: 10.1007/s11103-011-9787-8

Figueroa, C. M., and Lunn, J. E. (2016). A tale of two sugars: Trehalose 6-phosphate and sucrose. *Plant Physiol.* 172, 7–27. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00417

Fischer, J., Abson, D. J., Bergsten, A., French Collier, N., Dorresteijn, I., Hanspach, J., et al. (2017). Reframing the food-biodiversity challenge. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 335–345. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.009

Fischer, R., Byerlee, D., and Edmeades, G. O. (2009). "Can technology deliver on the yield challenge to 2050," in *Expert meeting on how to feed the world in 2050* (FAO: Rome), 46, 389–462.

Flores, P., Botella, M.Á., Cerdá, A., and Martínez, V. (2004). Influence of nitrate level on nitrate assimilation in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) plants under saline stress. *Can. J. Bot.* 82, 207–213. doi: 10.1139/b03-152

Flores, P., Botella, M. A., Martínez, V., and Cerdá, A. (2000). Ionic and osmotic effects on nitrate reductase activity in tomato seedlings. *J. Plant Physiol.* 156, 552–557. doi: 10.1016/S0176-1617(00)80172-8

Flowers, T. J. (2004). Improving crop salt tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 55, 307–319. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erh003

Flowers, T. J., Gaur, P. M., Gowda, C. L. L., Krishnamurthy, L., Samineni, S., Siddique, K. H. M., et al. (2010). Salt sensitivity in chickpea. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33, 490–509. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02051.x

Flowers, T. J., Glenn, E. P., and Volkov, V. (2019). Could vesicular transport of Na+ and Cl- be a feature of salt tolerance in halophytes? *Ann. Bot.* 123, 1–18. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcy164

Frukh, A., Siddiqi, T. O., Khan, M. I. R., and Ahmad, A. (2020). Modulation in growth, biochemical attributes and proteome profile of rice cultivars under salt stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 146, 55–70. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.11.011

Fu, X. M. (2014). Chaperone function and mechanism of small heat-shock proteins. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* 46, 347–356. doi: 10.1093/abbs/gmt152

Gan, T., Lin, Z., Bao, L., Hui, T., Cui, X., Huang, Y., et al. (2021). Comparative proteomic analysis of tolerant and sensitive varieties reveals that phenylpropanoid biosynthesis contributes to salt tolerance in mulberry. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 9402. doi: 10.3390/ijms22179402

Gao, J. J., Sun, J., Cao, P. P., Ren, L. P., Liu, C., Chen, S. M., et al. (2016). Variation in tissue Na+ content and the activity of SOS1 genes among two species and two related genera of chrysanthemum. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 98. doi: 10.1186/ s12870-016-0781-9

Gaxiola, R. A., Regmi, K., and Hirschi, K. D. (2016). Moving on up: H<sup>+</sup>-PPase mediated crop improvement. *Trends Biotechnol.* 34, 347–349. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.12.016

Geng, Y., Wu, R., Wee, C. W., Xie, F., Wei, X., Chan, P. M. Y., et al. (2013). A spatio-temporal understanding of growth regulation during the salt stress response in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 25, 2132–2154. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.112896

Gilliham, M., Able, J. A., and Roy, S. J. (2017). Translating knowledge about abiotic stress tolerance to breeding programmes. *Plant J.* 90, 898–917. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13456

Goel, D., Singh, A. K., Yadav, V., Babbar, S. B., and Bansal, K. C. (2010). Overexpression of osmotin gene confers tolerance to salt and drought stresses in transgenic tomato (Solanum lycopersicum l.). *Protoplasma* 245, 133–141. doi: 10.1007/s00709-010-0158-0

Gomathi, R., and Vasantha, S. (2006). Change in nucleic acid content and expression of salt shock proteins in relation to salt tolerance in sugarcane. *Sugar Tech* 8, 124–127. doi: 10.1007/BF02943645

Gong, D. M., Guo, Y., Schumaker, K. S., and Zhu, J. K. (2004). The SOS3 family of calcium sensors and SOS2 family of protein kinases in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 134, 919–926. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.037440

Goussi, R., Manfredi, M., Marengo, E., Derbali, W., Cantamessa, S., Barbato, R., et al. (2021). Thylakoid proteome variation of eutrema salsugineum in response to drought and salinity combined stress. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 148482. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2021.148482

Graus, D., Konrad, K. R., Bemm, F., Nebioglu, M. G. P., Lorey, C., Duscha, K., et al. (2018). High V-PPase activity is beneficial under high salt loads, but detrimental without salinity. *New Phytol.* 219, 1421–1432. doi: 10.1111/nph.15280

Gruber, C. W., Čemažar, M., Heras, B., Martin, J. L., and Craik, D. J. (2006). Protein disulfide isomerase: the structure of oxidative folding. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 31, 455–464. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2006.06.001

Hakim,, Ullah, A., Hussain, A., Shaban, M., Khan, A. H., Alariqi, M., et al. (2018). Osmotin: A plant defense tool against biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 123, 149–159. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.12.012

Halfter, U., Ishitani, M., and Zhu, J. K. (2000). The arabidopsis SOS2 protein kinase physically interacts with and is activated by the calcium-binding protein SOS3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 3735–3740. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.7.3735

Hara, M., Fujinaga, M., and Kuboi, T. (2004). Radical scavenging activity and oxidative modification of citrus dehydrin. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 42, 657–662. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2004.06.004

Hasegawa, P. M., Bressan, R. A., Zhu, J. K., and Bohnert, H. J. (2000). Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 51, 463–499. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.51.1.463

Hey, S. J., Byrne, E., and Halford, N. G. (2009). The interface between metabolic and stress signalling. *Ann. Bot.* 105, 197–203. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcp285

Hickey, L. T., Hafeez, N., Robinson, H., Jackson, S. A., Leal-Bertioli, S. C. M., Tester, M., et al. (2019). Breeding crops to feed 10 billion. *Nat. Biotechnol* 37, 744– 754. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0152-9

Hoekstra, F. A., Golovina, E. A., and Buitink, J. (2001). Mechanisms of plant desiccation tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 431–438. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(01) 02052-0

Horie, T., Costa, A., Kim, T. H., Han, M. J., Horie, R., Leung, H. Y., et al. (2007). Rice OsHKT2;1 transporter mediates large na+ influx component into k+-starved roots for growth. *EMBO J.* 26, 3003–3014. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601732

Horie, T., Hauser, F., and Schroeder, J. (2009). HKT transporter-mediated salinity resistance mechanisms in arabidopsis and monocot crop plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14, 660–668. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.08.009

Huang, L., Kuang, L., Wu, L., Shen, Q., Han, Y., Jiang, L., et al. (2020). The HKT transporter HvHKT1;5 negatively regulates salt tolerance. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 584–596. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00882

Huang, K.-C., Lin, W.-C., and Cheng, W.-H. (2018). Salt hypersensitive mutant 9, a nucleolar APUM23 protein, is essential for salt sensitivity in association with the ABA signaling pathway in Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 40.

Huang, S., Monaghan, J., Zhong, X. H., Lin, L., Sun, T. J., Dong, O. X., et al. (2014). HSP90s are required for NLR immune receptor accumulation in arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 79, 427–439. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12573

Huang, S., Spielmeyer, W., Lagudah, E. S., and Munns, R. (2008). Comparative mapping of HKT genes in wheat, barley, and rice, key determinants of na+transport, and salt tolerance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59, 927–937. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern033

Hughes, S., and Graether, S. P. (2011). Cryoprotective mechanism of a small intrinsically disordered dehydrin protein. *Protein Sci.* 20, 42–50. doi: 10.1002/ pro.534

Hurkman, W. J., Rao, H. P., and Tanaka, C. K. (1991). Germin-like polypeptides increase in barley roots during salt stress. *Plant Physiol.* 97, 366–374. doi: 10.1104/pp.97.1.366

Hurkman, W. J., and Tanaka, C. K. (1996). Effect of salt stress on germin gene expression in barley roots. *Plant Physiol.* 110, 971–977. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.3.971

Hu, T., Zhu, S., Tan, L., Qi, W., He, S., and Wang, G. (2016). Overexpression of OsLEA4 enhances drought, high salt and heavy metal stress tolerance in transgenic rice (Oryza sativa l.). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 123, 68–77. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2015.10.002

Iqbal, M., Athar, H.-U.-R., Ibrahim, M., Javed, M., Zafar, Z. U., and Ashraf, M. (2019). Leaf proteome analysis signified that photosynthesis and antioxidants are key indicators of salinity tolerance in canola (Brassica napus L.). *Pak. J. Bot.* 52, 1955–1968. doi: 10.30848/PJB2019-6(38)

Ismail, A. M., and Horie, T. (2017). Genomics, physiology, and molecular breeding approaches for improving salt tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 68, 405–434. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-040936

Jacob, P., Hirt, H., and Bendahmane, A. (2017). The heat shock protein/ chaperone network and multiple stress resistance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 15, 405– 414. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12659

Jacoby, R. P., Millar, A. H., and Taylor, N. L. (2010). Wheat mitochondrial proteomes provide new links between antioxidant defense and plant salinity tolerance. *J. Proteome Res.* 9, 6595–6604. doi: 10.1021/pr1007834

Jacoby, R. P., Taylor, N. L., and Millar, A. H. (2011). The role of mitochondrial respiration in salinity tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 614–623. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.08.002

Jaime-Pérez, N., Pineda, B., García-Sogo, B., Atares, A., Athman, A., Byrt, C. S., et al. (2017). The Na+ transporter encoded by the HKT1;2 gene modulates Na+/K+ homeostasis in tomato shoots under salinity. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40, 658–671. doi: 10.1111/pce.12883

Jardak, R., Riahi, J., Dallagi, W., Planchon, S., Boubakri, H., Bouamama, B., et al. (2021). Proteomic analysis of salt-responsive proteins in the leaves of two contrasting Tunisian barley landraces. *Plant Growth Regul.* 95, 65–82. doi: 10.1007/s10725-021-00726-4

Jha, S. (2022). Proteome responses of pearl millet genotypes under salinity. *Plant Gene* 29, 100347. doi: 10.1016/j.plgene.2021.100347

Jha, S., Maity, S., Singh, J., Chouhan, C., Tak, N., and Ambatipudi, K. (2022). Integrated physiological and comparative proteomics analysis of contrasting genotypes of pearl millet reveals underlying salt-responsive mechanisms. *Physiol. Plant* 174, e13605. doi: 10.1111/ppl.13605

Jiang, J., Ma, S., Ye, N., Jiang, M., Cao, J., and Zhang, J. (2017). WRKY transcription factors in plant responses to stresses. *J. Integ. Plant Biol.* 59, 86–101. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12513

Jiang, Y., Yang, B., Harris, N. S., and Deyholos, M. K. (2007). Comparative proteomic analysis of NaCl stress-responsive proteins in arabidopsis roots. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 3591–3607. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm207

Jia, F., Qi, S., Li, H., Liu, P., Li, P., Wu, C., et al. (2014). Overexpression of late embryogenesis abundant 14 enhances arabidopsis salt stress tolerance. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 454, 505–511. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.10.136

Ji, H., Pardo, J. M., Batelli, G., Van Oosten, M. J., Bressan, R. A., and Li, X. (2013). The salt overly sensitive (SOS) pathway: Established and emerging roles. *Mol. Plant* 6, 275–286. doi: 10.1093/mp/sst017

Ji, F.-S., Tang, L., Li, Y.-Y., Wang, W.-C., Yang, Z., Li, X.-G., et al. (2019). Differential proteomic analysis reveals the mechanism of musa paradisiaca responding to salt stress. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 46, 1057–1068. doi: 10.1007/s11033-018-4564-2

Jusovic, M., Velitchkova, M. Y., Misheva, S. P., Börner, A., Apostolova, E. L., and Dobrikova, A. G. (2018). Photosynthetic responses of a wheat mutant (Rht-B1c) with altered DELLA proteins to salt stress. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 37, 645–656. doi: 10.1007/s00344-017-9764-9

Kadota, Y., and Shirasu, K. (2012). The HSP90 complex of plants. *Bba-Mol Cell Res.* 1823, 689–697. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2011.09.016

Kalaji, H., and Pietkiewicz, S. (1993). Salinity effect on plant growth and other physiological processes. Acta Physiol. Plant 15, 89–124.

Kamal, A. H. M., Cho, K., Kim, D.-E., Uozumi, N., Chung, K.-Y., Lee, S. Y., et al. (2012). Changes in physiology and protein abundance in salt-stressed wheat chloroplasts. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39, 9059–9074. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-1777-7

Katsuhara, M., Koshio, K., Shibasaka, M., Hayashi, Y., Hayakawa, T., and Kasamo, K. (2003). Over-expression of a barley aquaporin increased the shoot/ root ratio and raised salt sensitivity in transgenic rice plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 44, 1378–1383. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcg167

Kayum, M. A., Park, J. I., Nath, U. K., Biswas, M. K., Kim, H. T., and Nou, I. S. (2017). Genome-wide expression profiling of aquaporin genes confer responses to abiotic and biotic stresses in brassica rapa. *BMC Plant Biol.* 17, 23. doi: 10.1186/s12870-017-0979-5

Kholghi, M., Toorchi, M., Bandehagh, A., Ostendorp, A., Ostendorp, S., Hanhart, P., et al. (2019). Comparative proteomic analysis of salt-responsive proteins in canola roots by 2-DE and MALDI-TOF MS. *Bba-Proteins Proteom* 1867, 227–236. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2018.12.009

Kiegle, E., Moore, C. A., Haseloff, J., Tester, M. A., and Knight, M. R. (2000). Cell-type-specific calcium responses to drought, salt and cold in the arabidopsis root. *Plant J.* 23, 267–278. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00786.x

Kosova, K., Prail, I. T., and Vitamvas, P. (2013). Protein contribution to plant salinity response and tolerance acquisition. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 6757–6789. doi: 10.3390/ijms14046757

Kosová, K., Prášil, I. T., and Vítámvás, P. (2013). Protein contribution to plant salinity response and tolerance acquisition. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 6757–6789. doi: 10.3390/ijms14046757

Kourghi, M., Nourmohammadi, S., Pei, J. X. V., Qiu, J. E., Mcgaughey, S., Tyerman, S. D., et al. (2017). Divalent cations regulate the ion conductance properties of diverse classes of aquaporins. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18, 2323. doi: 10.3390/ijms18112323

Kovacs, D., Szabo, B., Pancsa, R., and Tompa, P. (2013). Intrinsically disordered proteins undergo and assist folding transitions in the proteome. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 531, 80–89. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2012.09.010

Kriechbaumer, V., Von Loffelholz, O., and Abell, B. M. (2012). Chaperone receptors: guiding proteins to intracellular compartments. *Protoplasma* 249, 21–30. doi: 10.1007/s00709-011-0270-9

Krishnamurthy, P., Qingsong, L., and Kumar, P. P. (2018). "Proteomics perspectives in post-genomic era for producing salinity stress-tolerant crops," in Salinity responses and tolerance in plants, volume 2: Exploring RNAi, genome editing and systems biology. Eds. V. Kumar, S. H. Wani, P. Suprasanna and L.-S. P. Tran (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 239–266.

Kumar, S. A., Kumari, P. H., Jawahar, G., Prashanth, S., Suravajhala, P., Katam, R., et al. (2016). Beyond just being foot soldiers – osmotin like protein (OLP) and chitinase (Chi11) genes act as sentinels to confront salt, drought, and fungal stress tolerance in tomato. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 132, 53–65. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.08.007

Laohavisit, A., Richards, S. L., Shabala, L., Chen, C., Colaço, R. D. D. R., Swarbreck, S. M., et al. (2013). Salinity-induced calcium signaling and root adaptation in arabidopsis require the calcium regulatory protein Annexin1. *Plant Physiol.* 163, 253–262. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.217810

Lata, C., and Prasad, M. (2011). Role of DREBs in regulation of abiotic stress responses in plants. J. Exp. Bot. 62, 4731-4748. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err210

Lee, S. H., and Zwiazek, J. J. (2015). Regulation of aquaporin-mediated water transport in arabidopsis roots exposed to NaCl. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 56, 750–758. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcv003

Leidi, E. O., Barragán, V., Rubio, L., El-Hamdaoui, A., Ruiz, M. T., Cubero, B., et al. (2010). The AtNHX1 exchanger mediates potassium compartmentation in vacuoles of transgenic tomato. *Plant J.* 61, 495–506. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04073.x

Liang, J., Zhou, M., Zhou, X., Jin, Y., Xu, M., and Lin, J. (2013). JcLEA, a novel LEA-like protein from jatropha curcas, confers a high level of tolerance to dehydration and salinity in arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS One* 8, e83056. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083056

Li, J., Cui, G., Hu, G., Wang, M., Zhang, P., Qin, L., et al. (2017b). Proteome dynamics and physiological responses to short-term salt stress in leymus chinensis leaves. *PLoS One* 12, e0183615. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0183615

Li, J.-Y., Fu, Y.-L., Pike, S. M., Bao, J., Tian, W., Zhang, Y., et al. (2010). The *Arabidopsis* nitrate transporter NRT1.8 functions in nitrate removal from the xylem sap and mediates cadmium tolerance. *Plant Cell* 22, 1633–1646. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.075242

Li, S., Liu, J., An, Y., Cao, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., et al. (2019). MsPIP2;2, a novel aquaporin gene from medicago sativa, confers salt tolerance in transgenic arabidopsis. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 165, 39–52. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.05.020

Lin, S.-H., Kuo, H.-F., Canivenc, G., Lin, C.-S., Lepetit, M., Hsu, P.-K., et al. (2008). Mutation of the *Arabidopsis NRT1.5* nitrate transporter causes defective root-to-Shoot nitrate transport. *Plant Cell* 20, 2514–2528. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.060244

Li, Z., Peng, D., Zhang, X., Peng, Y., Chen, M., Ma, X., et al. (2017d). Na+ induces the tolerance to water stress in white clover associated with osmotic adjustment and aquaporins-mediated water transport and balance in root and leaf. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 144, 11–24. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.09.011

Li, B., Tester, M., and Gilliham, M. (2017a). Chloride on the move. *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 236–248. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2016.12.004

Liu, C.-W., Chang, T.-S., Hsu, Y.-K., Wang, A. Z., Yen, H.-C., Wu, Y.-P., et al. (2014). Comparative proteomic analysis of early salt stress responsive proteins in roots and leaves of rice. *Proteomics* 14, 1759–1775. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201300276

Liu, L., and Li, J. (2019). Communications between the endoplasmic reticulum and other organelles during abiotic stress response in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00749

Liu, Y., Yang, M., Cheng, H., Sun, N., Liu, S., Li, S., et al. (2017). The effect of phosphorylation on the salt-tolerance-related functions of the soybean protein PM18, a member of the group-3 LEA protein family. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1865, 1291–1303. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2017.08.020

Liu, Y., Zheng, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., and Li, R. (2010). Soybean PM2 protein (LEA3) confers the tolerance of escherichia coli and stabilization of enzyme activity under diverse stresses. *Curr. Microbiol.* 60, 373–378. doi: 10.1007/s00284-009-9552-2

Liu, J., and Zhu, J. K. (1998). A calcium sensor homolog required for plant salt tolerance. *Science* 280, 1943–1945. doi: 10.1126/science.280.5371.1943

Liu, Z., Zou, L., Chen, C., Zhao, H., Yan, Y., Wang, C., et al. (2019). iTRAQbased quantitative proteomic analysis of salt stress in *Spica prunellae. Sci. Rep.* 9, 9590. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-46043-9

Li, W., Wang, Y., Okamoto, M., Crawford, N. M., Siddiqi, M. Y., and Glass, A. D. M. (2007). Dissection of the *AtNRT2.1:AtNRT2.2* inducible high-affinity nitrate transporter gene cluster. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 425–433. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.091223

Li, C., Wei, Z., Liang, D., Zhou, S., Li, Y., Liu, C., et al. (2013). Enhanced salt resistance in apple plants overexpressing a malus vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporter gene is associated with differences in stomatal behavior and photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 70, 164–173. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.05.005

Li, H., Yan, S., Zhao, L., Tan, J., Zhang, Q., Gao, F., et al. (2014). Histone acetylation associated up-regulation of the cell wall related genes is involved in salt stress induced maize root swelling. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 105. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-105

Li, M., Zhang, K., Long, R., Sun, Y., Kang, J., Zhang, T., et al. (2017c). iTRAQbased comparative proteomic analysis reveals tissue-specific and novel early-stage molecular mechanisms of salt stress response in carex rigescens. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 143, 99–114. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.08.010

Li, W., Zhao, F. A., Fang, W. P., Xie, D. Y., Hou, J. N., Yang, X. J., et al. (2015). Identification of early salt stress responsive proteins in seedling roots of upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum l.) employing iTRAQ-based proteomic technique. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00732

Long, R., Li, M., Zhang, T., Kang, J., Sun, Y., Cong, L., et al. (2016). Comparative proteomic analysis reveals differential root proteins in medicago sativa and medicago truncatula in response to salt stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00424

López-Cristoffanini, C., Bundó, M., Serrat, X., San Segundo, B., López-Carbonell, M., and Nogués, S. (2021). A comprehensive study of the proteins involved in salinity stress response in roots and shoots of the FL478 genotype of rice (*Oryza sativa* l. ssp. indica). *Crop J.* 9, 1154–1168. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2020.10.009

Luo, Q., Peng, M., Zhang, X., Lei, P., Ji, X., Chow, W., et al. (2017). Comparative mitochondrial proteomic, physiological, biochemical and ultrastructural profiling reveal factors underpinning salt tolerance in tetraploid black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia l.). *BMC Genomics* 18, 648. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-4038-2

Luo, M., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Shi, Z., Zhang, P., Zhang, Y., et al. (2018). Comparative proteomics of contrasting maize genotypes provides insights into salt-stress tolerance mechanisms. *J. Proteome Res.* 17, 141–153. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00455

Maggio, A., Miyazaki, S., Veronese, P., Fujita, T., Ibeas, J. I., Damsz, B., et al. (2002). Does proline accumulation play an active role in stress-induced growth reduction? *Plant J.* 31, 699–712. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01389.x

Maggio, A., Zhu, J.-K., Hasegawa, P. M., and Bressan, R. A. (2006). Osmogenetics: Aristotle to arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 18, 1542–1557. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.105.040501

Manishankar, P., Wang, N., Köster, P., Alatar, A. A., and Kudla, J. (2018). Calcium signaling during salt stress and in the regulation of ion homeostasis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69, 4215–4226. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery201

Mansour, M. M. F. (1998). Protection of plasma membrane of onion epidermal cells by glycinebetaine and proline against NaCl stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 36, 767–772. doi: 10.1016/S0981-9428(98)80028-4

Mansour, M. M. F., and Ali, E. F. (2017). Evaluation of proline functions in saline conditions. *Phytochemistry* 140, 52-68. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2017.04.016

Mansour, M. M. F., and Hassan, F. (2022). How salt stress-responsive proteins regulate plant adaptation to saline conditions. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 108, 175–224. doi: 10.1007/s11103-021-01232-x

Martinez-Atienza, J., Jiang, X., Garciadeblas, B., Mendoza, I., Zhu, J.-K., Pardo, J. M., et al. (2007). Conservation of the salt overly sensitive pathway in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 1001–1012. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.092635

Ma, H., Song, L., Shu, Y., Wang, S., Niu, J., Wang, Z., et al. (2012). Comparative proteomic analysis of seedling leaves of different salt tolerant soybean genotypes. *J. Proteom.* 75, 1529–1546. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2011.11.026

Maurel, C., Boursiac, Y., Luu, D. T., Santoni, V., Shahzad, Z., and Verdoucq, L. (2015). Aquaporins in plants. *Physiol. Rev.* 95, 1321–1358. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00008.2015

Maurel, C., Verdoucq, L., and Rodrigues, O. (2016). Aquaporins and plant transpiration. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39, 2580–2587. doi: 10.1111/pce.12814

Ma, Y., Wang, L., Wang, J., Zhong, Y., and Cheng, Z.-M. (2019). Isolation and expression analysis of salt overly sensitive gene family in grapevine (Vitisvinifera) in response to salt and PEG stress. *PLoS One* 14, e0212666. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212666

McGaughey, S. A., Qiu, J., Tyerman, S. D., and Byrt, C. S. (2018). "Regulating root aquaporin function in response to changes in salinity," in *Annual plant reviews online*. Ed. J. A Roberts. (New York, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd), 381–416. doi: 10.1002/9781119312994.apr0626

Meng, F., Luo, Q., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Qi, Z., Xu, F., et al. (2016a). Physiological and proteomic responses to salt stress in chloroplasts of diploid and tetraploid black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia l.). *Sci. Rep.* 6, 23098. doi: 10.1038/srep23098

Meng, S., Su, L., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, C., and Zhao, Z. (2016b). Nitrate and ammonium contribute to the distinct nitrogen metabolism of populus simonii during moderate salt stress. *PLoS One* 11, e0150354. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0150354

Michalowski, C. B., and Bohnert, H. J. (1992). Nucleotide-sequence of a rootspecific transcript encoding a germin-like protein from the halophyte mesembryanthemum-crystallinum. *Plant Physiol.* 100, 537–538. doi: 10.1104/ pp.100.1.537

Mishra, S., Behura, R., Awasthi, J., Dey, M., Sahoo, D., Das Bhowmik, S., et al. (2014). Ectopic overexpression of a mungbean vacuolar Na+/H+ antiporter gene (VrNHX1) leads to increased salinity stress tolerance in transgenic vigna unguiculata l. walp. *Mol. Breed.* 34, 1345–1359. doi: 10.1007/s11032-014-0120-5

Morton, M. J. L., Awlia, M., Al-Tamimi, N., Saade, S., Pailles, Y., Negrão, S., et al. (2019). Salt stress under the scalpel – dissecting the genetics of salt tolerance. *Plant J.* 97, 148–163. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14189

Mostek, A., Börner, A., Badowiec, A., and Weidner, S. (2015). Alterations in root proteome of salt-sensitive and tolerant barley lines under salt stress conditions. *J. Plant Physiol.* 174, 166–176. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2014.08.020

Mousavi, A., and Hotta, Y. (2005). Glycine-rich proteins - a class of novel proteins. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 120, 169–174. doi: 10.1385/ABAB:120:3:169

Mujeeb-Kazi, A., Munns, R., Rasheed, A., Ogbonnaya, F. C., Ali, N., Hollington, P., et al. (2019). "Chapter four - breeding strategies for structuring salinity tolerance in wheat," in *Adv. Agron.* Ed. D. L. Sparks (Massachusettes, USA: Academic Press), 121–187. doi: 10.1016/bs.agron.2019.01.005

Munns, R. (2002). Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. Plant Cell Environ. 25, 239-250. doi: 10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00808.x

Munns, R. (2005). Genes and salt tolerance: bringing them together. *New Phytol.* 167, 645–663. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01487.x

Munns, R., Day, D. A., Fricke, W., Watt, M., Arsova, B., Barkla, B. J., et al. (2020a). Energy costs of salt tolerance in crop plants. *New Phytol.* 225, 1072–1090. doi: 10.1111/nph.15864

Munns, R., and Gilliham, M. (2015). Salinity tolerance of crops - what is the cost? New Phytol. 208, 668-673. doi: 10.1111/nph.13519Park

Munns, R., Passioura, J. B., Colmer, T. D., and Byrt, C. S. (2020b). Osmotic adjustment and energy limitations to plant growth in saline soil. *New Phytol.* 225, 1091–1096. doi: 10.1111/nph.15862

Munns, R., and Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59, 651–681. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092911

Muñoz-Mayor, A., Pineda, B., Garcia-Abellán, J. O., Antón, T., Garcia-Sogo, B., Sanchez-Bel, P., et al. (2012). Overexpression of dehydrin tas14 gene improves the osmotic stress imposed by drought and salinity in tomato. *J. Plant Physiol.* 169, 459–468. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2011.11.018

Naeem, M., Iqbal, M., Shakeel, A., Ul-Allah, S., Hussain, M., Rehman, A., et al. (2020). Genetic basis of ion exclusion in salinity stressed wheat: implications in improving crop yield. *Plant Growth Regul.* 92, 479–496. doi: 10.1007/s10725-020-00659-4

Nakata, M., Shiono, T., Watanabe, Y., and Satoh, T. (2002). Salt stress-induced dissociation from cells of a germin-like protein with Mn-SOD activity and an increase in its mRNA in a moss, barbula unguiculata. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 43, 1568–1574. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcf178

Nam, M. H., Huh, S. M., Kim, K. M., Park, W. J., Seo, J. B., Cho, K., et al. (2012). Comparative proteomic analysis of early salt stress-responsive proteins in roots of SnRK2 transgenic rice. *Proteome Sci.* 10, 25. doi: 10.1186/1477-5956-10-25

Negrão, S., Schmöckel, S. M., and Tester, M. (2017). Evaluating physiological responses of plants to salinity stress. Ann. Bot. 119, 1–11. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcw191

Nelson, D. L., and Cox, M. M. (2021). Lehninger principles of biochemistry. 8th edition. Ed. W. H Freeman. (New York, USA: Freeman and Company).

Neumann, P. M. (2011). "R%ecent advances in understanding the regulation of whole-plant growth inhibition by salinity, drought and colloid stress," in Adv. Bot. Res. Ed. T. Ismail (Massachusetts, USA: Academic Press), 33–48. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387692-8.00002-3

Ngara, R., Ndimba, R., Borch-Jensen, J., Jensen, O. N., and Ndimba, B. (2012). Identification and profiling of salinity stress-responsive proteins in sorghum bicolor seedlings. *J. Proteom.* 75, 4139–4150. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2012.05.038

Nguyen, V. Q., Sreewongchai, T., Siangliw, M., Roytrakul, S., and Yokthongwattana, C. (2022). Comparative proteomic analysis of chromosome segment substitution lines of Thai jasmine rice KDML105 under short-term salinity stress. *Planta* 256, 12. doi: 10.1007/s00425-022-03929-9

Niforou, K., Cheimonidou, C., and Trougakos, I. P. (2014). Molecular chaperones and proteostasis regulation during redox imbalance. *Redox Biol.* 2, 323–332. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2014.01.017

Nohzadeh Malakshah, S., Habibi Rezaei, M., Heidari, M., and Hosseini Salekdeh, G. (2007). Proteomics reveals new salt responsive proteins associated with rice plasma membrane. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 71, 2144–2154. doi: 10.1271/bbb.70027

Nveawiah-Yoho, P., Zhou, J., Palmer, M., Sauve, R., Zhou, S., Howe, K. J., et al. (2013). Identification of proteins for salt tolerance using a comparative proteomics analysis of tomato accessions with contrasting salt tolerance. *J. Am. Soc Hortic. Sci.* 138, 382–394. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.138.5.382

Olías, R., Eljakaoui, Z., Li, J., De Morales, P. A., Marin-Manzano, M. C., Pardo, J. M., et al. (2009). The plasma membrane Na+/H+ antiporter SOS1 is essential for salt tolerance in tomato and affects the partitioning of na+ between plant organs. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32, 904–916. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01971.x

Onck, P. (2017). Protein mechanics: From amino acid to swimming cells. Proc. IUTAM 20, 73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.piutam.2017.03.010

Ozturk, Z. N., Talame, V., Deyholos, M., Michalowski, C. B., Galbraith, D. W., Gozukirmizi, N., et al. (2002). Monitoring large-scale changes in transcript abundance in drought- and salt-stressed barley. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 48, 551–573. doi: 10.1023/A:1014875215580

Pailles, Y., Awlia, M., Julkowska, M. M., Passone, L., Zemmouri, K., Negrão, S., et al. (2020). Diverse traits contribute to salinity tolerance of wild tomato seedlings from the Galapagos islands. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 534–546. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00700

Pang, Q., Chen, S., Dai, S., Chen, Y., Wang, Y., and Yan, X. (2010). Comparative proteomics of salt tolerance in arabidopsis thaliana and thellungiella halophila. *J. Proteome Res.* 9, 2584–2599. doi: 10.1021/pr100034f

Parejo-Farnés, C., Aparicio, A., and Albaladejo, R.G (2019). An approach to the ecological epigenetics in plants. *Ecosistemas* 28, 69–74.

Park, B.-J., Liu, Z., Kanno, A., and Kameya, T. (2005). Genetic improvement of Chinese cabbage for salt and drought tolerance by constitutive expression of a b. napus LEA gene. *Plant Sci.* 169, 553–558. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2005.05.008

Park, C.-J., and Park, J. M. (2019). Endoplasmic reticulum plays a critical role in integrating signals generated by both biotic and abiotic stress in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00399

Parker, R., Flowers, T. J., Moore, A. L., and Harpham, N. V. J. (2006). An accurate and reproducible method for proteome profiling of the effects of salt stress in the rice leaf lamina. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57, 1109–1118.

Parre, E., Ghars, M. A., Leprince, A. S., Thiery, L., Lefebvre, D., Bordenave, M., et al. (2007). Calcium signaling *via* phospholipase c is essential for proline accumulation upon ionic but not nonionic hyperosmotic stresses in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 144, 503–512. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.095281

Pauwels, K., Lebrun, P., and Tompa, P. (2017). To be disordered or not to be disordered: is that still a question for proteins in the cell? *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 74, 3185–3204. doi: 10.1007/s00018-017-2561-6

Popova, O. V., Ismailov, S. F., Popova, T. N., Dietz, K.-J., and Golldack, D. (2002). Salt-induced expression of NADP-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase and ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase in mesembryanthemum crystallinum. *Planta* 215, 906–913. doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0827-0

Pulipati, S., Somasundaram, S., Rana, N., Kumaresan, K., Shafi, M., Civáň, P., et al. (2022). Diversity of sodium transporter HKT1;5 in genus oryza. *Rice Sci.* 29, 31–46. doi: 10.1016/j.rsci.2021.12.003

Qin, S. H., Liu, Y. Y., Han, Y., Xu, G. X., Wan, S. B., Cui, F., et al. (2019). Aquaporins and their function in root water transport under salt stress conditions in eutrema salsugineum. *Plant Sci.* 287, 110199. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110199

Quintero, F. J., Martinez-Atienza, J., Villalta, I., Jiang, X. Y., Kim, W. Y., Ali, Z., et al. (2011). Activation of the plasma membrane Na/H antiporter salt-Overly-Sensitive 1 (SOS1) by phosphorylation of an auto-inhibitory c-terminal domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. States America* 108, 2611–2616. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1018921108

Qun, W., Shao, H., Xu, Z., Liu, J., Zhang, D., and Huang, Y. (2017). Salinity tolerance mechanism of osmotin and osmotin-like proteins: A promising candidate for enhancing plant salt tolerance. *Curr. Genomics* 18, 553–556. doi: 10.2174/1389202918666170705153045

Raghothama, K. G., Liu, D., Nelson, D. E., Hasegawa, P. M., and Bressan, R. A. (1993). Analysis of an osmotically regulated pathogenesis-related osmotin gene promoter. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 23, 1117–1128. doi: 10.1007/BF00042346

Rahman, L. N., Smith, G. S. T., Bamm, V. V., Voyer-Grant, J., Moffatt, B. A., Dutcher, J. R., et al. (2011). Phosphorylation of thellungiella salsuginea dehydrins TsDHN-1 and TsDHN-2 facilitates cation-induced conformational changes and actin assembly. *Biochemistry* 50, 9587–9604. doi: 10.1021/bi201205m

Rasouli, F., Kiani-Pouya, A., Shabala, L., Li, L., Tahir, A., Yu, M., et al. (2021). Salinity effects on guard cell proteome in *Chenopodium quinoa. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 428. doi: 10.3390/ijms22010428

Ren, Z.-H., Gao, J.-P., Li, L.-G., Cai, X.-L., Huang, W., Chao, D.-Y., et al. (2005). A rice quantitative trait locus for salt tolerance encodes a sodium transporter. *Nat. Genet.* 37, 1141–1146. doi: 10.1038/ng1643

Rengasamy, P. (2016). Soil salinization (USA: Oxford University Press).

Rodrigues, O., Reshetnyak, G., Grondin, A., Saijo, Y., Leonhardt, N., Maurel, C., et al. (2017). Aquaporins facilitate hydrogen peroxide entry into guard cells to mediate ABA- and pathogen-triggered stomatal closure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 114, 9200–9205. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1704754114

Rodriguez, M. C., Mehta, D., Tan, M., and Uhrig, R. G. (2021). Quantitative Proteome and PTMome Analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana Root Responses to Persistent Osmotic and Salinity Stress. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 62, 1012–1029.

Röhrig, H., Schmidt, J., Colby, T., Bräutigam, A., Hufnagel, P., and Bartels, D. (2006). Desiccation of the resurrection plant craterostigma plantagineum induces dynamic changes in protein phosphorylation. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29, 1606–1617. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01537.x

Rontein, D., Basset, G., and Hanson, A. D. (2002). Metabolic engineering of osmoprotectant accumulation in plants. *Metab. Eng.* 4, 49–56. doi: 10.1006/mben.2001.0208

Roy, S. J., Huang, W., Wang, X. J., Evrard, A., Schmöckel, S. M., Zafar, Z. U., et al. (2013). A novel protein kinase involved in na+ exclusion revealed from positional cloning. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36, 553–568. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012.02595.x

Roy, S. J., Negrão, S., and Tester, M. (2014). Salt resistant crop plants. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 26, 115–124. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2013.12.004

Roy, S., and Soni, P. (2022). Unraveling the epigenetic landscape for salt tolerance in plants. *Int. J. Plant Biol.* 13, 443-462. doi: 10.3390/ijpb13040036

Rus, A., Baxter, I., Muthukumar, B., Gustin, J., Lahner, B., Yakubova, E., et al. (2006). Natural variants of AtHKT1 enhance na+ accumulation in two wild populations of arabidopsis. *PloS Genet.* 2, 1964–1973. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020210

Saeng-Ngam, S., Takpirom, W., Buaboocha, T., and Chadchawan, S. (2012). The role of the OsCam1-1 salt stress sensor in ABA accumulation and salt tolerance in rice. *J. Plant Biol.* 55, 198–208. doi: 10.1007/s12374-011-0154-8

Schwachtje, J., Whitcomb, S. J., Firmino, A., Zuther, E., Hincha, D. K., and Kopka, J. (2019). Induced, imprinted, and primed responses to changing environments: Does metabolism store and process information? *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00106

Sengupta, S., and Majumder, A. L. (2009). Insight into the salt tolerance factors of a wild halophytic rice, porteresia coarctata: a physiological and proteomic approach. *Planta* 229, 911–929. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0878-y

Shabala, S., Bose, J., Fuglsang, A. T., and Pottosin, I. (2016). On a quest for stress tolerance genes: membrane transporters in sensing and adapting to hostile soils. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 1015–1031. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv465

Shabala, S., Wu, H., and Bose, J. (2015). Salt stress sensing and early signalling events in plant roots: Current knowledge and hypothesis. *Plant Sci.* 241, 109–119. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.10.003

Shao, Q. S., Shu, S., Du, J., Xing, W. W., Guo, S. R., and Sun, J. (2015). Effects of NaCl stress on nitrogen metabolism of cucumber seedlings. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 62, 595–603. doi: 10.1134/S1021443715050155

Shen, G., Wei, J., Qiu, X., Hu, R., Kuppu, S., Auld, D., et al. (2015). Co-Overexpression of AVP1 and AtNHX1 in cotton further improves drought and salt tolerance in transgenic cotton plants. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 33, 167–177. doi: 10.1007/s11105-014-0739-8

Shevtsov-Tal, S., Best, C., Matan, R., Chandran, S. A., Brown, G. G., and Ostersetzer-Biran, O. (2021). nMAT3 is an essential maturase splicing factor required for holo-complex I biogenesis and embryo development in arabidopsis thaliana plants. *Plant J.* 106, 1128–1147. doi: 10.1111/tpj.15225

Shi, H., Ishitani, M., Kim, C., and Zhu, J. K. (2000). The arabidopsis thaliana salt tolerance gene SOS1 encodes a putative Na+/H+ antiporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 6896–6901. doi: 10.1073/pnas.120170197

Shi, H. Z., Kim, Y., Guo, Y., Stevenson, B., and Zhu, J. K. (2003). The arabidopsis SOS5 locus encodes a putative cell surface adhesion protein and is required for normal cell expansion. *Plant Cell* 15, 19–32. doi: 10.1105/tpc.007872

Shi, H. Z., and Zhu, J. K. (2002). SOS4, a pyridoxal kinase gene, is required for root hair development in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 129, 585–593. doi: 10.1104/pp.001982

Shohan, M. U. S., Sinha, S., Nabila, F. H., Dastidar, S. G., and Seraj, Z. I. (2019). HKT1;5 transporter gene expression and association of amino acid substitutions with salt tolerance across rice genotypes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2019.01420

Shoji, T., Suzuki, K., Abe, T., Kaneko, Y., Shi, H., Zhu, J.-K., et al. (2006). Salt stress affects cortical microtubule organization and helical growth in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 47, 1158–1168. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcj090

Shokri-Gharelo, R., and Noparvar, P. M. (2018). Molecular response of canola to salt stress: insights on tolerance mechanisms. *PeerJ* 6, e4822. doi: 10.7717/ peerj.4822

Singh, N. K., Bracken, C. A., Hasegawa, P. M., Handa, A. K., Buckel, S., Hermodson, M. A., et al. (1987). Characterization of osmotin. a thaumatin-like protein associated with osmotic adjustment in plant cells. *Plant Physiol.* 85, 529– 536. doi: 10.1104/pp.85.2.529

Smith, C. A., Melino, V. J., Sweetman, C., and Soole, K. L. (2009). Manipulation of alternative oxidase can influence salt tolerance in arabidopsis thaliana. *Physiol. Plant* 137, 459–472. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01305.x

Sobhanian, H., Motamed, N., Jazii, F. R., Nakamura, T., and Komatsu, S. (2010). Salt stress induced differential proteome and metabolome response in the shoots of aeluropus lagopoides (Poaceae), a halophyte C4 plant. *J. Proteome Res.* 9, 2882– 2897. doi: 10.1021/pr900974k

Song, N. H., and Ahn, Y. J. (2011). DcHsp17.7, a small heat shock protein in carrot, is tissue-specifically expressed under salt stress and confers tolerance to salinity. *New Biotechnol.* 28, 698–704. doi: 10.1016/j.nbt.2011.04.002

Suo, J., Zhao, Q., David, L., Chen, S., and Dai, S. (2017). Salinity response in chloroplasts: Insights from gene characterization. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18, 1011. doi: 10.3390/ijms18051011

Suzuki, K., Costa, A., Nakayama, H., Katsuhara, M., Shinmyo, A., and Horie, T. (2016). OsHKT2;2/1-mediated na+ influx over k+ uptake in roots potentially increases toxic na+ accumulation in a salt-tolerant landrace of rice Nona bokra upon salinity stress. *J. Plant Res.* 129, 67–77. doi: 10.1007/s10265-015-0764-1

Swindell, W. R., Huebner, M., and Weber, A. P. (2007). Transcriptional profiling of Arabidopsis heat shock proteins and transcription factors reveals extensive overlap between heat and non-heat stress response pathways. *BMC Genomics* 8, 125.

Taiz, L., Zeiger, E., Moller, I. S., and Murphy, A. (Eds.) (2015). Plant physiology and development (Massachusetts, USA: Sinauer Associates Inc).

Tan, T., Cai, J., Zhan, E., Yang, Y., Zhao, J., Guo, Y., et al. (2016). Stability and localization of 14-3-3 proteins are involved in salt tolerance in arabidopsis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 92, 391–400. doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0520-5

Tian, J., Pang, Y., Yuan, W., Peng, J., and Zhao, Z. (2022). Growth and nitrogen metabolism in Sophora japonica (L.) as affected by salinity under different nitrogen forms. *Plant Sci.* 322, 111347.

Thomas, J. C., and Bohnert, H. J. (1993). Salt stress perception and plant growth regulators in the halophyte mesembryanthemum crystallinum. *Plant Physiol.* 103, 1299–1304. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.4.1299

Tompa, P. (2005). The interplay between structure and function in intrinsically unstructured proteins. *FEBS Lett.* 579, 3346–3354. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2005. 03.072

Tompa, P. (2016). The principle of conformational signaling. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 45, 4252–4284. doi: 10.1039/C6CS00011H

Tompa, P., and Han, K.-H. (2012). Intrinsically disordered proteins. *Phys. Today* 65, 64–65. doi: 10.1063/PT.3.1689

Tompa, P., and Kovacs, D. (2010). Intrinsically disordered chaperones in plants and animals. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 88, 167–174. doi: 10.1139/O09-163

Tompa, P., Schad, E., Tantos, A., and Kalmar, L. (2015). Intrinsically disordered proteins: emerging interaction specialists. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 35, 49–59. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2015.08.009

Tounsi, S., Ben Amar, S., Masmoudi, K., Sentenac, H., Brini, F., and Véry, A.-A. (2016). Characterization of two HKT1;4 transporters from triticum monococcum to elucidate the determinants of the wheat salt tolerance Nax1 QTL. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 57, 2047–2057. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcw123

Tsay, Y. F., Chiu, C. C., Tsai, C. B., Ho, C. H., and Hsu, P. K. (2007). Nitrate transporters and peptide transporters. *FEBS Lett.* 581, 2290–2300. doi: 10.1016/ j.febslet.2007.04.047

Udomchalothorn, T., Maneeprasobsuk, S., Bangyeekhun, E., Boon-Long, P., and Chadchawan, S. (2009). The role of the bifunctional enzyme, fructose-6-phosphate-2-kinase/fructose-2.6-bisphosphatase, in carbon partitioning during salt stress and salt tolerance in rice (Oryza sativa l.). *Plant Sci.* 176, 334–341. doi: 10.1016/ j.plantsci.2008.11.009

Villagómez-Aranda, A. L., Feregrino-Pérez, A. A., García-Ortega, L. F., González-Chavira, M. M., Torres-Pacheco, I., and Guevara-González, R. G. (2022). Activating stress memory: eustressors as potential tools for plant breeding. *Plant Cell Rep.* 41, 1481–1498. doi: 10.1007/s00299-022-02858-x

Vincent, D., Ergul, A., Bohlman, M. C., Tattersall, E. a. R, Tillett, R. L., Wheatley, M. D., et al. (2007). Proteomic analysis reveals differences between vitis vinifera l. cv. Chardonnay and cv. Cabernet sauvignon and their responses to water deficit and salinity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58, 1873–1892. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm012

Wang, X. C., Fan, P. X., Song, H. M., Chen, X. Y., Lil, X. F., and Li, Y. X. (2009). Comparative proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins in shoots of salicornia europaea under different salinity. *J. Proteome Res.* 8, 3331–3345. doi: 10.1021/pr801083a

Wang, Q., Guan, C., Wang, P., Lv, M.-L., Ma, Q., Wu, G.-Q., et al. (2015c). AtHKT1;1 and AtHAK5 mediate low-affinity na+ uptake in arabidopsis thaliana under mild salt stress. *Plant Growth Regul.* 75, 615–623. doi: 10.1007/s10725-014-9964-2

Wang, X., Li, Y., Ji, W., Bai, X., Cai, H., Zhu, D., et al. (2011). A novel glycine soja tonoplast intrinsic protein gene responds to abiotic stress and depresses salt and dehydration tolerance in transgenic arabidopsis thaliana. *J. Plant Physiol.* 168, 1241–1248. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2011.01.016

Wang, L., Liu, Y., Li, D., Feng, S., Yang, J., Zhang, J., et al. (2019a). Improving salt tolerance in potato through overexpression of AtHKT1 gene. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19, 357. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1963-z

Wang, J., Meng, Y., Li, B., Ma, X., Lai, Y., Si, E., et al. (2015a). Physiological and proteomic analyses of salt stress response in the halophyte halogeton glomeratus. *Plant Cell Environ.* 38, 655–669. doi: 10.1111/pce.12428

Wang, L., Pan, D., Li, J., Tan, F., Hoffmann-Benning, S., Liang, W., et al. (2015b). Proteomic analysis of changes in the kandelia candel chloroplast proteins reveals pathways associated with salt tolerance. *Plant Sci.* 231, 159–172. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.11.013

Wang, J., Peng, X., Gao, Y., Wang, Y., Lin, J., and Yan, X. (2021). Physiological and proteomics analyses reveal the resistance response mechanism to alkali stress in the early seedlings (cotyledons vs. roots) of castor plant (*Ricinus communis* 1.). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 185, 104414. doi: 0.1016/j.envexpbot. 2021.104414

Wang, M., Xu, Q., and Yuan, M. (2011a). "Chapter eighteen - the unfolded protein response induced by salt stress in arabidopsis," in *Methods enzymol.* Ed. P. M. Conn (Academic Press), 319–328.

Wang, Z., Zhao, Z., Fan, G., Dong, Y., Deng, M., Xu, E., et al. (2019b). A comparison of the transcriptomes between diploid and autotetraploid paulownia fortunei under salt stress. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 25, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s12298-018-0578-4

Weber, R. L. M., Wiebke-Strohm, B., Bredemeier, C., Margis-Pinheiro, M., De Brito, G. G., Rechenmacher, C., et al. (2014). Expression of an osmotin-like

protein from solanum nigrumconfers drought tolerance in transgenic soybean. BMC Plant Biol. 14, 343. doi: 10.1186/s12870-014-0343-y

Wicke, B., Smeets, E., Dornburg, V., Vashev, B., Gaiser, T., Turkenburg, W., et al. (2011). The global technical and economic potential of bioenergy from salt-affected soils. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 4, 2669–2681. doi: 10.1039/C1EE01029H

Widodo,, Patterson, J. H., Newbigin, E., Tester, M., Bacic, A., and Roessner, U. (2009). Metabolic responses to salt stress of barley (Hordeum vulgare l.) cultivars, Sahara and clipper, which differ in salinity tolerance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 4089–4103. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp243

Wojtyla, Ł., Kosmala, A., and Garnczarska, M. (2013). Lupine embryo axes under salinity stress. II. mitochondrial proteome response. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 35, 2383–2392. doi: 10.1007/s11738-013-1273-2

Wudick, M. M., Li, X. J., Valentini, V., Geldner, N., Chory, J., Lin, J. X., et al. (2015). Subcellular redistribution of root aquaporins induced by hydrogen peroxide. *Mol. Plant* 8, 1103–1114. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015.02.017

Wu, S. J., Ding, L., and Zhu, J. K. (1996). SOS1, a genetic locus essential for salt tolerance and potassium acquisition. *Plant Cell* 8, 617–627. doi: 10.2307/3870339

Xiong, J. B., Sun, Y., Yang, Q. C., Tian, H., Zhang, H. S., Liu, Y., et al. (2017). Proteomic analysis of early salt stress responsive proteins in alfalfa roots and shoots. *Proteome Sci.* 15, 19. doi: 10.1186/s12953-017-0127-z

Xu, W., Lv, H., Zhao, M., Li, Y., Qi, Y., Peng, Z., et al. (2016). Proteomic comparison reveals the contribution of chloroplast to salt tolerance of a wheat introgression line. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 32384. doi: 10.1038/srep32384

Xu, C., Sibicky, T., and Huang, B. (2010). Protein profile analysis of saltresponsive proteins in leaves and roots in two cultivars of creeping bentgrass differing in salinity tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* 29, 595–615. doi: 10.1007/s00299-010-0847-3

Xu, B., Waters, S., Byrt, C. S., Plett, D., Tyerman, S. D., Tester, M., et al. (2018). Structural variations in wheat HKT1;5 underpin differences in na+ transport capacity. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 75, 1133–1144. doi: 10.1007/s00018-017-2716-5

Yang, D., Huang, Z., Jin, W., Xia, P., Jia, Q., and Yang, Z. (2018). DNA methylation: A new regulator of phenolic acids biosynthesis in Salvia miltiorrhiza. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 124, 402–411.

Yang, L., Ji, W., Zhu, Y., Gao, P., Li, Y., Cai, H., et al. (2010). GsCBRLK, a calcium/calmodulin-binding receptor-like kinase, is a positive regulator of plant tolerance to salt and ABA stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61, 2519–2533. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq084

Yang, Y., Lu, X., Yan, B., Li, B., Sun, J., Guo, S., et al. (2013). Bottle gourd rootstock-grafting affects nitrogen metabolism in NaCl-stressed watermelon leaves and enhances short-term salt tolerance. *J. Plant Physiol.* 170, 653–661. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.12.013

Yang, G., Yu, Z., Gao, L., and Zheng, C. (2019). SnRK2s at the crossroads of growth and stress responses. *Trends Plant Sci.* 24, 672–676. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.05.010

Ye, Y., Ding, Y., Jiang, Q., Wang, F., Sun, J., and Zhu, C. (2017). The role of receptor-like protein kinases (RLKs) in abiotic stress response in plants. *Plant Cell Rep.* 36, 235–242. doi: 10.1007/s00299-016-2084-x

Yıldız, M., and Terzi, H. (2021). Comparative analysis of salt-induced changes in the root physiology and proteome of the xero-halophyte salsola crassa. *Braz. J. Bot.* 44, 33–42. doi: 10.1007/s40415-020-00695-4

Yokthongwattana, C., Mahong, B., Roytrakul, S., Phaonaklop, N., Narangajavana, J., and Yokthongwattana, K. (2012). Proteomic analysis of salinity-stressed chlamydomonas reinhardtii revealed differential suppression and induction of a large number of important housekeeping proteins. *Planta* 235, 649– 659. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-1594-1

Yousuf, P., Ahmad, A., Aref, I., Ozturk, M., Ganie, A., et al. (2016). Salt-stressresponsive chloroplast proteins in brassica juncea genotypes with contrasting salt tolerance and their quantitative PCR analysis. *Protoplasma* 253, 1565–1575. doi: 10.1007/s00709-015-0917-z

Yu, X., Wang, T., Zhu, M., Zhang, L., Zhang, F., Jing, E., et al. (2019). Transcriptome and physiological analyses for revealing genes involved in wheat response to endoplasmic reticulum stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 19, 193. doi: 10.1186/ s12870-019-1798-7

Zafar, Z. U., Manzoor, H., Rasul, S., Noreen, S., Ali, Q., Iqbal, M., et al. (2017). "Strategies to improve crop salt and drought tolerance: Success and limitations," in *Quality and quantum improvement in field crops.* Eds. C. P. Malik, S. H. Wani, H. B. Kushwaha and R. Kaur (New Delhi, India: Agribios), 265–298.

Zhang, M., Chen, Z., Yuan, F., Wang, B., and Chen, M. (2022). Integrative transcriptome and proteome analyses provide deep insights into the molecular mechanism of salt tolerance in limonium bicolor. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 108, 127–143. doi: 10.1007/s11103-021-01230-z

Zhang, H., Han, B., Wang, T., Chen, S., Li, H., Zhang, Y., et al. (2012a). Mechanisms of plant salt response: Insights from proteomics. *J. Proteome Res.* 11, 49–67. doi: 10.1021/pr200861w Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Lai, J., Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Liang, L., et al. (2012b). Ectopic expression of a LEA protein gene TsLEA1 from thellungiella salsuginea confers salt-tolerance in yeast and arabidopsis. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39, 4627–4633.

Zhang, W.-D., Wang, P., Bao, Z., Ma, Q., Duan, L.-J., Bao, A.-K., et al. (2017). SOS1, HKT1;5, and NHX1 synergistically modulate na+ homeostasis in the halophytic grass puccinellia tenuiflora. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00576

Zhan, Y., Wu, Q., Chen, Y., Tang, M., Sun, C., Sun, J., et al. (2019). Comparative proteomic analysis of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus l.) seedlings under salt stress. *BMC Genomics* 20, 381. doi: 10.1186/s12864-019-5737-7

Zhao, X., Bai, X., Jiang, C., and Li, Z. (2019). Phosphoproteomic analysis of two contrasting maize inbred lines provides insights into the mechanism of salt-stress tolerance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 1886. doi: 10.3390/ijms20081886

Zhao, C., Zayed, O., Yu, Z., Jiang, W., Zhu, P., Hsu, C.-C., et al. (2018). Leucinerich repeat extensin proteins regulate plant salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115, 13123–13128. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1816991115

Zhao, C., Zayed, O., Zeng, F., Liu, C., Zhang, L., Zhu, P., et al. (2019a). Arabinose biosynthesis is critical for salt stress tolerance in arabidopsis. *New Phytol.* 224, 274–290. doi: 10.1111/nph.15867

Zhao, K., Zhang, D., Lv, K., Zhang, X., Cheng, Z., Li, R., et al. (2019b). Functional characterization of poplar WRKY75 in salt and osmotic tolerance. *Plant Sci.* 289, 110259. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110259

Zhao, Q., Zhang, H., Wang, T., Chen, S., and Dai, S. (2013). Proteomics-based investigation of salt-responsive mechanisms in plant roots. *J. Proteom.* 82, 230–253. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2013.01.024

Zhong, M., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Shu, S., Sun, J., and Guo, S. (2019). Overexpression of transglutaminase from cucumber in tobacco increases salt tolerance through regulation of photosynthesis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 894. doi: 10.3390/ijms20040894 Zhou, Y., Lai, Z., Yin, X., Yu, S., Xu, Y., Wang, X., et al. (2016). Hyperactive mutant of a wheat plasma membrane Na+/H+ antiporter improves the growth and salt tolerance of transgenic tobacco. *Plant Sci.* 253, 176–186. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.09.016

Zhu, J. K. (2000). Genetic analysis of plant salt tolerance using arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol*. 124, 941–948. doi: 10.1104/pp.124.3.941

Zhu, J. K. (2001a). Cell signaling under salt, water and cold stresses. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 4, 401–406. doi: 10.1016/s1369-5266(00)00192-8

Zhu, J. K. (2001b). Plant salt tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 6, 66–71. doi: 10.1016/s1360-1385(00)01838-0

Zhu, J. K., Liu, J. P., and Xiong, L. M. (1998). Genetic analysis of salt tolerance in arabidopsis: Evidence for a critical role of potassium nutrition. *Plant Cell* 10, 1181–1191. doi: 10.2307/3870720

Zhu, J., Wang, G., Li, C., Li, Q., Gao, Y., Chen, F., et al. (2019). Maize Sep15-like functions in endoplasmic reticulum and reactive oxygen species homeostasis to promote salt and osmotic stress resistance. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42, 1486–1502. doi: 10.1111/pce.13507

Zörb, C., Geilfus, C.-M., and Dietz, K.-J. (2019). Salinity and crop yield. *Plant Biol. J.* 21, 31–38. doi: 10.1111/plb.12884

Zulfiqar, F., and Ashraf, M. (2021). Nanoparticles potentially mediate salt stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 160, 257–268. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.01.028

Zulfiqar, F., and Ashraf, M. (2022). Proline alleviates abiotic stress induced oxidative stress in plants. *J. Plant Growth Regul.*, 1–23. doi: 10.1007/s00344-022-10839-3

Zuo, J., Wang, Y., Zhu, B., Luo, Y., Wang, Q., Gao, L., et al. (2018). Comparative Analysis of DNA Methylation Reveals Specific Regulations on Ethylene Pathway in Tomato Fruit. *Genes* 9, 266.