

Durham E-Theses

An assessment of tropical dryland forest ecosystem biomass and climate change impacts in the Kavango-Zambezi (KAZA) region of Southern Africa

DAVID, RUUSA-MAGANO

How to cite:

DAVID, RUUSA-MAGANO (2022) An assessment of tropical dryland forest ecosystem biomass and climate change impacts in the Kavango-Zambezi (KAZA) region of Southern Africa, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/14741/

Use policy

 $The full-text\ may\ be\ used\ and/or\ reproduced,\ and\ given\ to\ third\ parties\ in\ any\ format\ or\ medium,\ without\ prior\ permission\ or\ charge,\ for\ personal\ research\ or\ study,\ educational,\ or\ not-for-profit\ purposes\ provided\ that:$

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in Durham E-Theses
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the full Durham E-Theses policy for further details.

Academic Support Office, Durham University, University Office, Old Elvet, Durham DH1 3HP e-mail: e-theses.admin@dur.ac.uk Tel: +44 0191 334 6107 http://etheses.dur.ac.uk An assessment of tropical dryland forest ecosystem biomass and climate change impacts in the Kavango-Zambezi (KAZA) region of Southern Africa.

Ruusa-Magano David

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Geography

Durham University

2021



Abstract

The dryland forests of the Kavango-Zambezi (KAZA) region in Southern Africa are highly susceptible to disturbances from an increase in human population, wildlife pressures and the impacts of climate change. In this environment, reliable forest extent and structure estimates are difficult to obtain because of the size and remoteness of KAZA (519,912 km²). Whilst satellite remote sensing is generally well-suited to monitoring forest characteristics, there remain large uncertainties about its application for assessing changes at a regional scale to quantify forest structure and biomass in dry forest environments. This thesis presents research that combines Synthetic Aperture Radar, multispectral satellite imagery and climatological data with an inventory from a ground survey of woodland in Botswana and Namibia in 2019. The research utilised a multi-method approach including parametric and non-parametric algorithms and change detection models to address the following objectives: (1) To assess the feasibility of using openly accessible remote sensing data to estimate the dryland forest above ground biomass (2) to quantify the detail of vegetation dynamics using extensive archives of time series satellite data; (3) to investigate the relationship between fire, soil moisture, and drought on dryland vegetation as a means of characterising spatiotemporal changes in aridity. The results establish that a combination of radar and multispectral imagery produced the best fit to the ground observations for estimating forest above ground biomass. Modelling of the time-series shows that it is possible to identify abrupt changes, longer-term trends and seasonality in forest dynamics. The time series analysis of fire shows that about 75% of the study area burned at least once within the 17-year monitoring period, with the national parks more frequently affected than other protected areas. The results presented show a significant increase in dryness over the past 2 decades, with arid and semiarid regions encroaching at the expense of dry sub-humid, particularly in the south of the region, notably between 2011-2019.

Keywords: Above ground biomass, Remote sensing, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), Multispectral data, Climate change, Dryland forest change, Burned area mapping, Biodiversity

DECLARATION

I confirm that no part of the material presented in this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree in this or any other university. In all cases the words of others, where relevant, have been fully acknowledged.

Ruusa-Magano David

Durham University – 2021

copyright © 2021 David Ruusa

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author. No quotation from it should be published without the author's prior written consent and information derived from it should be acknowledged."

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I have received a great deal of support during my PhD from many people that I would like to thank. Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. Danny Donoghue and Prof. Nick Rosser for their continued support, assistance and guidance throughout my PhD. Without my supervisor's valuable scientific zeal, intellectual input, encouragement and most importantly their patience, the completion of this research would not have been possible. It has been an amazing privilege to work with you both.

My PhD was funded by the *Commonwealth Scholarship Commission (CSC)*, for which I am most grateful. I would also like to thank the *Royal Geographical Society (with IBG) - Monica Cole Research Grant* and *WWF Namibia Mike Knight* for the fieldwork travel and financial support for my project. I received additional support during my PhD from the *NERC*, which provided me with a loan and training for the Field Spectroscopy equipment (FSF). I would like to thank the *Durham Research Trust* who kindly funded my attendance at 8th European space agency (ESA) advanced training course on land remote sensing, Leicester University, UK, *Geography department Postgraduate Conference Fund at Durham University* for funding my attendance to Google Earth Engine Summit training in Dublin, Ireland and *Funds for Women Graduates (FFWG)* for the support grant to in my writing year.

I am thankful to WWF KAZA Secretariat Dr. Nyambe Nyambe, Chobe National Park Authority Dr. Michael Flyman, and University of Namibia (Katima Branch) Dr. Ekkehard Klingelhoeffer, for their friendliness and the huge amount of logistical advice they have given me during fieldwork, which made the research experience so enjoyable. Additionally, my thanks go to Dr. Jonathan Kamwi, Morgan Kamwi, Nawa Kamwi, for helping me with data collection during the fieldwork. I would like to thank fellow PhD students, and support staff across the Geography Department for their encouragement, friendship and support throughout my time in Durham University. I will never forget your kindness.

My sincere thanks are once again due to my supervisor Prof. Danny for joining me on fieldwork and providing me with financial assistance for my field visits. Finally, I would like to thank my Dad David Joseph, and my family for their encouragement, Page | iii support and prayer over the last four years. Above all, I am grateful to the Lord Almighty who is my source of strength. Dedicate to my parents Mrs Helena Johannes and Mr David Joseph

"Let the b-pressure go up, it will surely come down"

Publications arising from the thesis

This thesis is presented as a collection of papers and chapters. The Supplementary information is presented at the end of each paper/chapter. The reference list and appendices are presented at the end of the thesis. The analytical codes of the thesis have been written in R and Google Earth Engine (Appendix B), and the substantial codes will be uploaded to GitHub. Details and the current status of each paper are shown below:

Remote sensing for monitoring tropical dryland forests: A review of current research, knowledge gaps and future directions for Southern Africa

Chapter 2 is published by *Environment Research Communications, DOI:* <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ac5b84</u>

Chapter 2 is also published as a policy brief by n8agrifood for policy makers, https://policyhub.n8agrifood.ac.uk/activity/rapid-evidence-synthesis-training/, DOI: 10.5281/ZENOD0.5566492

The estimation of above ground biomass is improved by combining Sentinel-1 SAR and Sentinel-2 multispectral imagery in the dryland forests of Southern Africa.

Chapter 3 is published in Remote Sensing of Environment: DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113232

Identifying and understanding dryland forest changes and disturbances in Southern Africa using Landsat and MODIS time series and field vegetation data

In progress: Intended for submission to International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

A spatio-temporal drought and fire analysis for semi-arid dryland ecosystems in

southern Africa using moderate resolution satellite imagery.

In progress: Intended for submission to *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abst	ract		i
Decl	arati	on	ii
Ackı	nowle	edgement	iii
1	Int	roduction and Research Context	. 25
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Bac Con Imp Thre	kground and Motivation ceptual frameworks ortance of dryland forests eats to tropical dryland forests	25 26 29 29
1	.4.1	Degradation/Deforestation	29
1	.4.2	Climate and drought	32
1.5	App	lication of remote sensing	35
1	.5.1	Optical and Synthetic-Aperture Radar (SAR) remote sensing in drylar forests	1d 35
1	.5.2	Vegetation Indices	37
1	.5.3	Forest biomass and structural parameters	40
1		The benefits and challenges of remote sensing in dryland forests	43
1	.5.5	Google Earth Engine platform	45
1.6	1.6 The world's largest conservation park		46
1	6.1	Rationale of the study	47
1.7 1.8	Aim The	as and Objectivessis Structure	50 52
2	Rei	mote sensing for monitoring tropical dryland forests: A	
	rev	iew of current research, knowledge gaps and future	
	dir	ections for Southern Africa	. 54
Abs	stract.	aduation	56
2.1	11111 1 1	Tropical dryland forest	
2	. 1 7	Recent research trends on tronical dry forests	
2	.1.2	Review focus justification	
22	Ren	note sensing applications in dryland forest	
2.2 7	2.1	Ontical data	
2	.2.2	Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)	
2	2.2.2 Elimitations of optical and radar, and benefits of combining sensors		

2.3 2.4	Me Re	ethodology sults	74
22	4 1	Temporal development of publications and author affiliations	78
2	.4.2	Spatial coverage, spatial extent, and investigated protected areas	
2	.4.3	Research topics	
2.5	Dis	scussion	93
2	.5.1	Temporal extent	93
2	.5.2	Spatial scale	
2	.5.3	Accuracy assessment	94
2	.5.4	Research topics and geographical focus	95
2	.5.5	Vegetation indices, optical, SAR, and fusion of optical and SAR sensors	
2	.5.6	Remote sensing platforms and cloud-based computing	97
2.6	Co	nclusion	98
2.7	Ac	knowledgments	100
Ahst	mi tract	muspectral imagery	101 103
Abs	tract		103
3.1	Int	roduction	103
3.2	Ma	terials and methods	110
3.	.2.1	Study area	110
3.	.2.2	Fieldwork and sampling design	112
3.	.2.3	Satellite image data collection	115
3	.2.4	Methods and modelling	118
3.3	Re	sults	125
3.	.3.1	Land cover classification	125
3	.3.2	Simple linear regression (SLR)	125
3.	.3.3	Multivariate linear (ML) regression models	126
3.	.3.4	Comparing parametric and non-parametric machine learning for estim stand parameters	1ating 129
3	.3.5	Spatial distribution of AGB	131
3.4	Dis	scussion	138
3	.4.1	Relationship between S1 SAR, S2, and LC8 with AGB	138
3	.4.2	Selection of suitable algorithms and methods	140
3	.4.3	Comparing regional AGB estimates with pan-tropical maps	141
3	.4.4	Suitability of different models for land and wildlife management	144
3.5	Co	nclusion	147
3.6	Ac	knowledgments	148
3.1	Su	ppiementary information 1	149

4	Ide dis	entifying and understanding dryland forest changes and sturbances in Southern Africa using Landsat and MODIS	
	tin	ne series and field vegetation data	. 153
Abs	tract	-	155
4.1	Inti	roduction	156
4.2	Ma	terials and methods	159
4	.2.1	Study area	159
4	.2.2	Fieldwork and sampling design	163
4	.2.3	CHIRPS precipitation data	164
4	.2.4	Vegetation indices from remote sensing imagery	165
4	.2.5	Landsat Imagery	167
4	.2.6	Validating data	167
4.3	Me	thods	168
4	.3.1	Preparation of high-quality MODIS datasets	169
4	.3.2	Vegetation and precipitation time series anomaly	171
4	.3.3	Change detection algorithms	172
4	.3.4	Land cover classification	174
4	.3.5	Accuracy assessment	175
4	.3.6	Validation of estimated forest changes and disturbance	176
4.4	Res	sults	178
4	.4.1	Spatial pattern of vegetation and drought stress in KAZA TFCA	178
4	.4.2	Comparison of the sensitivity of BFAST and BEAST algorithms	180
4	.4.3	Robustness of predicting forest dynamics using breakpoints and chamagnitude	ange 191
4	.4.4	Spatial pattern of predicted forest changes using breakpoints magnitude	and 193
4	.4.5	Validation of spatial pattern of predicted forest changes and disturbance	s195
4	.4.6	Land cover classification	198
4	.4.7	Land cover change detection	201
4.5	Dis	scussion	202
4	.5.1	Effectiveness of BFAST and BEAST algorithms for characterising changed dryland forests	ge in 202
4	.5.2	Spectral index sensitivity in dryland forests	205
4	.5.3	Land cover classification and spatial pattern of forest changes u breakpoints and magnitude	sing 207
4.6	Co	nclusion	210
4.7 4.8	Acl Sup	knowledgments oplementary Information 2	212 213

5	1	A s	patio-temporal drought and fire analysis for semi-ari	d
	(dry	land ecosystems in southern Africa using moderate	
]	res	olution satellite imagery.	
A 5.	bstra 1 I	nct . Intro	oduction	224 225
	5.1.	1	Drought stress on dryland vegetating	225
	5.1.	2	Fire impacts on dryland vegetation	227
5. 5.	2 <i>1</i> 3 1	Aim Mat	ns and Objectives erials and methods	231 232
	5.3.	1	Study Area	232
	5.3.	2	Fieldwork and Sampling Design	234
	5.3.	3	Ground-based Climate Data	234
	5.3.	4	Remote sensing based rainfall - Climate Hazards Group Precipitation with Station Data (CHIRPS)	Infrared 237
	5.3.	5	Root Soil Moisture (GLEAM)	238
	5.3.	6	Vegetation Indices from Remote Sensing Imagery	238
	5.3.	7	Product of burnt area MODIS MCD64A1	239
	5.3.	8	MODIS MCD14ML Active Fire Product	240
5.	4 I	Met	hods	243
	5.4.	1	Calculating the standardised precipitation evapotranspiration ind from ground observation	ex (SPEI) 243
	5.4.	2	Calculation of the satellite-based aridity index (AI)	245
	5.4.	3	Evaluation Criteria	246
5.	5 I	Res	ults	248
	5.5.	1	Temporal analyses drought and water stress	248
	5.5.	2	Spatial analyses of drought and water stress on vegetation	252
	5.5.	3	Temporal analyses of fire	257
	5.5.	4	Spatial analyses of fire seasonality and extent	259
	5.5.	5	Fire frequency index	261
	5.5.	6	Spatiotemporal changes in the Aridity Index	265
5.	6 I	Disc	cussion	
	5.6.	1	Drought impacts on vegetation	267
	5.6.	2	Fire	268
	5.6.	3	Changes in aridity	272
5. 5.	7 (8 \$	Con Sup	clusion plementary Information 3	274 275
6]	Dis	cussion	
6. 6.	1 I 2 S	Intro Suit	oductionability of remote sensing data	281 283

App	pendiz	х В	
Ph	Jenar	Δ / 1	
A nr	oondi	v A	346
Ref	erenc	es	
6.5	Con	iclusion	
0.4	гин		
6.0	Eut	and produce initiality and produce initiality and produce initiality in the produce initiality	204
6.3	Rec	ommendation for policy and practice	
6	6.2.4	Ecological relevance of mapping changes	290
6	5.2.3	Temporal scale	
6	.2.2	Spatial scale	
6	6.2.1	Combining sensors	283

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1

Fig. 1. 1 🛛	Гhe graphic	illustration	shows the	relative	distributi	on of trop	ical dry fores	ts.
Se	ource: FAO,	(1999). Rep	roduced w	ith permi	ission			28

- Fig. 1. 3 Conceptual framework depicting the key abiotic factors (disturbance and soil resource availability) and biotic factors (vegetation/forest structure, diversity, and trait composition) controlling temporal and spatial heterogeneity of demographic processes (biomass growth, and degradation). Physical damage by wildfire, mega-herbivores, e.g., elephants, and deforestation e.g., logging/coppicing are one of the main disruptions to the ecosystems. Forest structure (e.g., plot basal area, tree density) is based on all alive trees in the selected plots, while diversity and trait composition are based on the individuals of that demographic group (i.e., vegetation recruits). The dryland forests ecosystem has an option of closed woodland form and open grass form depending on the soil resource availability, climate, disturbances, and anthropogenic disruption e.g., fire. (Reproduced from Van-der-Sande et al., 2017).

Fig. 1. 4 Spectral signatures as functions of wavelength for vegetation, soil and water. 38

- Fig. 1. 6 Example of ground data captured during a field campaign in February to May 2019; (A) deforestation in Zambezi state forest in Namibia; (B) forest degradation in Chobe National Park in Botswana; (C) Burned forest for cultivation near the protected area of Mudumu NP, Namibia; (D) elephant browsing; (E) Sampling diameter at breast height of all tree per plot; (F) Meeting and interviews with community members concerning dryland forests.... 49

Chapter 2

 Fig. 2. 1 (a) Projected biome change from the periods 1961–1990 to 2071–2100 using the MC1 Dynamic Vegetation Model. (b) Vulnerability of ecosystems to biome shifts based on historical climate (1901–2002) and projected vegetation (2071–2100) (source: IPCC, 2014).
Fig. 2. 2 Interaction mechanisms for dryland forest canopies and source of variability and challenges related to each stage of remote sensing monitoring tropical dryland forest extents. Adapted from Barbosa et al., 2014
Fig. 2. 3 PRISMA follow diagram (Moher et al., 2009) showing the flow of information through the different phases of the systematic review
Fig. 2. 4. Number of papers included in the review integrating remote sensing and dryland forests in Southern Africa published annually between 1997 and 202078
Fig. 2. 5. Number of papers by research institutions
Fig. 2. 6. Spatial extent of investigated studies
 Fig. 2. 7. Number of studies per country and National Park in Southern Africa. (Note: The data are not scaled to the proportion of dryland forest area of countries, and National Parks with fewer or no publications are not shown. Source: FAO, (1999). Reproduced with permission)
Fig. 2. 8. Temporal duration of studies included in the review integrating remote sensing and dryland forests in Southern Africa between 1997 and 2020
Fig. 2. 9. Research topic categories of reviewed articles between 1997 and 2020. Note that some studies cover different topics, which may result in multiple entries 86
Fig. 2. 10. Number of studies based upon platform and sensor type. Note that studies investigating forest change with multiple platforms were counted multiple times
Fig. 2. 11. Research topic by country. Note that the order of the mentioned topics has changed when compared to Fig. 2.9 as some studies were conducted in several countries

Chapter 3

- Fig. 3. 5. Modelled AGB maps of a dryland forest landscape of the study area and the RGB 432 S2 image (10 m)......132
- Fig. 3. 7. A: AGB maps and histograms with the A: S1S2 model. B: S1 VV model. C: Modelled AGB map with NDRE1 model. D: Modelled AGB map with the NDVI model (the NDVI model saturates at values >80 Mg/ha)......135
- Fig. 3. 8. Comparison between A: This Study AGB estimates and the AGB estimates from Bouvet et al. (2018). B: This Study AGB estimates and the AGB estimates from Baccini et al. (2017). C: This Study AGB estimates and the AGB estimates from Avitabile et al. (2016).
- Fig. 3. 9. Comparison of AGB distribution (Mg/ha) among the different AGB estimates from this study, Avitabile et al. (2016), Baccini et al. (2017), and Bouvet et al. (2018). The models are arranged from the highest median AGB to the lowest. The horizontal line of the box plot for each model represents the median and

- Fig. 3. 10. Biomass map in a subset of forests in the (I) northern part of the study area and (II) Shimwanza valley. A: estimated AGB map by this study. B: estimated AGB map by Bouvet et al. (2018). C: estimated AGB map by Baccini et al. (2017).
 D: estimated AGB map by Avitabile et al. (2016). E: RGB 432 S2 image......143

Chapter 4

Fig. 4. 1. Location of the study area in KAZA TFCA. The yellow circles show sampling sites in Zambezi ST, and Mudumu NP Namibia (top), and Chobe NP (bottom). Examples of sample plots representing disturbance types and recent degradation activities captured during a field campaign in 2019 are shown, A) clear-cut deforestation of forest area in Zambezi ST Namibia, B) Burned forest for cultivation near protected area of Mudumu NP, Namibia, C) the visible forest loss, especially the woodland along the Chobe riverfront, D) high population of Fig. 4. 2. Flow chart of data and methods......169 Fig. 4. 3. Time series representing forest, grassland, altered forest, and agricultural Fig. 4. 4. Spatial pattern of ndvi and gndvi and precipitation anomalies for the 21st century from 2010 through 2019......179 Fig. 4. 5. Example of the corresponding BFAST algorithm output for NDVI and GNDVI extracted from a forest stand that underwent conversion from clear-cut to nonforest vegetation. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints, while the red horizontal bars represent the associated confidential intervals. The raw time series (Yt), the seasonal component (St), the trend component (Tt), and the noise (et) component, are also shown. The location of the corresponding pixels, field photo taken in Namibia in 2019 and Landsat

time series images illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary

- Fig. 4. 6. Example of the decomposition generated by the application of BEAST algorithm for the NDVI and GNDVI time series extracted from a forest stand that underwent conversion from clear-cut to non-forest vegetation. Seasonal and Trend represent the best fitted seasonal and trend signals (red line), respectively. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints in the trend/seasonal components, while the black lines at the bottom panels represent the probabilities of the changepoint in the seasonal/trend components. The location of the corresponding pixels, field photo taken in Namibia in 2019 and Landsat time series images illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 1 and B. 2).....185

- Fig. 4. 9. Example of the corresponding BFAST algorithm output for NDVI and GNDVI extracted from a forest stand that considered stable. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints, while the red horizontal bars represent the associated confidential intervals. The raw time series (Yt), the seasonal component (St), the trend component (Tt), and the noise (et) component, are also shown. The location of the corresponding pixels, field

photo taken in Namibia in 2019 and Landsat time series images illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 5 and 4. 6).....189

- Fig. 4. 12. A. shows the magnitude of change in the trend component and the predicted time of change generated by BFAST; red colour represents negative breakpoint typically associated with vegetation loss. Green colour represents positive breakpoint associated with vegetation gain. The turquoise polygon shows Zambezi ST, and the black polygon shows Mudumu NP. B: shows the estimated year of change from 2010 to 2019.

Fig. 4. 13. Land cover classification in	2004 and 2019; panel A	A1 and A2 are zoom in of
land cover in 2004 and 2019		

Fig. 4. 14. Changed areas for the epoch (2004–2019) in the study area......202

Chapter 5

- Fig. 5. 3. Monthly (top) and annual (bottom) precipitation (mm) for the period 1983 to 2019 using data obtained from Kasane meteorological station in Botswana.......235
- Fig. 5. 4. Climograph of average monthly precipitation and temperature from 1983 to 2019 using data obtained from Kasane meteorological station in Botswana.......236
- Fig. 5. 5. SPEI for 37 years calculated from ground precipitation and temperature at different timescales. SPEI index scale is given as, extreme drought (\leq -2), severe drought (-2 to -1.5) and moderate drought (-1.5 to -1)......249
- Fig. 5. 7. Top: SPEI from 2002 through 2019 calculated from ground precipitation and temperature at 3 months timescales. SPEI index scale is given as, extreme drought (≤-2), severe drought (-2 to -1.5), and moderate drought (-1.5 to -1). The different vertical line colours represent the drought scale (yellow colour shows mild drought and red colour shows extreme drought). Bottom: Temporal variation of the NDVI (black circles) and inverted monthly precipitation from ground station data (red squares) from 2002 through 2019.
- Fig. 5. 8. Spatial distribution of PRECIPITATION, NDVI, SPEI and RSM anomalies expressed as numbers of standard deviations sampled from the monthly data in

the growing season from 2010 to 2019. Extreme droughts (\leq -2), severe drought	t
(-2 to -1.5) and moderate drought $(-1.5 to -1)$, mild droughts $(-1 to -0.5)$ and	ł
no drought (-0.5 to 0.5). The map shows the whole of KAZA region as	5
represented by the study area in Fig. 5.2.	254

- Fig. 5. 11. Total area burnt annually for each country of KAZA from 2002 to 2019 in km² based on the MODIS Burnt Area product data......258

- Fig. 5. 14. The area affected by fire determined from monthly using MODIS Burnt Area data from 2002 to 2019 for different land categories in the region. Colours indicate the number of times pixels were classified as burnt. White areas represent pixels that were classified as unburnt over the time period......263

Supplementary Figures

- Fig. A. 4. Linear and Bootstrap regression of Sentinel 2 Band 3 on a standardised scale....2131
- Fig. A.5. Land cover classification map of Zambezi region in Namibia and Chobe District in Botswana for 2019......2131

No table of figures entries found.

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 2

Table 2. 1 Parameters used to extract relevant information for this review	77
--	----

Chapter 3

Table 3. 1. Summary statistics for field sample data in Chobe	National Park114
---	------------------

- Table 3. 2. Description of predictor variables for the AGB estimation......117

Table 3. 6. Confidence intervals (95 %) of linear and bootstrap regression for AGB......129

Table 3. 7. Summary diagnostics for the AGB models developed by ML and RFR regression methods using the S1S2 model. In this study, the best model throughout the study was the RF regression model, highlighted in grey......130

Chapter 4

Table 4. 1. Characteristics of the main datasets used in this study 166
Table 4. 2. Dates of trend and seasonal breakpoint detection relative to BFAST and
BEAST algorithms. The Bold date represents the seasonal shift with the highest
probability with a vertical dotted line180
Table 4. 3. Analysis of area estimates for each class changes
Table 4. 4. Mean negative break and non-disturbance per hectare (ha)
Table 4. 5. Confusion matrix of land cover classification in 2004 and 2019 using
Random Forest

Chapter 5

Table 5. 1. Weather stations in the study area.	236
Table 5. 2. Characteristics of the main datasets used in this study	241
Table 5. 3. Categories of dry and wet conditions indicated by SPEI values.	245
Table 5. 4. UNESCO (1979) aridity classification and bioclimatic index thresholds	246

Supplementary Tables

Table A 1. Image acquisition date and scene ID149
Table A 2. Area statistics of the Land cover classes.
Table A 3. Accuracy assessment of the Land cover classification
Table B 1. Land cover areas in the study area per year (2004 and 2019) in km2 and hectares. 217
Table B 2. Area changes of BFAST (2002-2019) using sample-based estimates and the
observed disturbance change rates in hectares

Table B 3. Area changes for the Random Forest classification in the Zambezi region in
hectares218
Table B 4. Area-based transition among land cover categories for the Random Forest classification for the period 2004–2019 in the Zambezi region in hectares
Table C 1. Estimates of the total area of burnt and unburnt areas in km² and their %from 2002 to 2017 in KAZA region
Table C 2. Recorded areal fire frequencies of burnt and unburnt areas in km ² and their
% from 2002 to 2017 in KAZA region277
Table C 3. KAZA shifts of AI per class from 2001-2010 to 2011-2020278
Table C 4 Drought years and drought categories of SPEI at different time scales

1 1 INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH CONTEXT

2

3 1.1 Background and Motivation

Tropical forests play an important role in global carbon storage and are therefore 4 an important natural component of climate change mitigation (Baccini et al., 2017). 5 Tropical dryland forests (TDFs) make up ca. 40% of all tropical forest region, 6 7 however, they are facing threats both from human-induced and natural factors (Murphy et al., 1986). During the 20th century, substantial change in TDFs through 8 9 land-cover conversion and modification has been unprecedented throughout Sub-Saharan Africa, resulting in loss of forest biodiversity and land degradation (Eva et 10 al., 2006; Petheram et al., 2006). Brink et al. (2009) noted that the greatest amount 11 12 of deforestation in Africa is taking place in dryland forests, accounting for about 13 70% of forest loss between 1975 and 2000 compared to moist tropical forest loss which accounted for 16% of forest loss. Deforestation in Southern Africa is a major 14 concern, with ca. 1.4 million ha of net forest loss annually, contributing to 15 increased land degradation and the ensuant impacts on the balance of ecosystem 16 function (Lesolle, 2012). According to Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 17 18 (IPCC), these changes have impacts on carbon emissions to the atmosphere and 19 forest biodiversity loss, reducing the region's adaptive capacity and resilience to 20 the impact of high temperatures and varying precipitation (IPCC, 2014).

Tropical countries are beginning to develop policies and initiate projects to reduce 21 22 greenhouse-gas emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (e.g., 23 REDD+), seeing forests both as environmental resources and carbon sinks (Gibbs et al., 2007; UNCCD, 2015). For these, resource managers, stakeholders, 24 governments, and United Nations (UN) agencies need high-quality reliable 25 information on biomass carbon stocks, forest structure, and the REDD+ -related 26 27 research in TDFs monitoring (Gizachew et al., 2017; UNCCD, 2009). Recently, the UN called for all to mobilise to deliver 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 28 29 by 2030, including the aim to ensure the conservation, restoration, and sustainable use of forests (SDG 15; UN, 2015). These objectives require the ability to localise,
measure, and monitor forest change at both community and regional levels.

The UN argues that to mitigate climate change and biodiversity loss, and to stop 32 33 degradation and deforestation processes, action must be taken at all levels: people, local, regional, global, and by all countries: poor, middle-income, and rich (UN, 34 2011). Recently, ecologists have embraced remote sensing to study forest change 35 36 and biodiversity and have used this to prepare conservation responses to potential threats (Schulte to Bühne & Pettorelli 2018; Dawson et al., 2016). However, remote 37 38 sensing in tropical forests faces challenges including accessibility to and/or the suitability of different remote sensing data; methods for relating vegetation 39 40 structural changes to remotely sensed proxies across different ecosystem types; 41 and access to suitable data for validating the estimates of forest changes to detect 42 trends in dryland forests (Lehmann et al., 2015; Privette et al., 2005).

This study was designed and undertaken to further understand the large-spatial 43 44 and temporal-scale variation of dryland forests dynamics, focussing on the development of an integrated assessment method for use in the context of climate 45 change. In line with the multiple threats forced by climate change and 46 47 anthropogenic activities, and the challenges of using remote sensing in these landscapes, this research examined these issues in Kavango Zambezi Conservation 48 49 Area (KAZA) in Southern Africa. This focus constitutes the research gap that this 50 study addresses, by assessing and estimating forest biomass and structural 51 parameters, fire, and climatic impacts at a regional scale using novel application of 52 remote sensing.

53 This chapter introduces with fundamental aspects of the research problem and 54 aims to demonstrate the appropriateness of remote sensing as the best tool to 55 address fundamental questions about changes in dryland forests.

56 1.2 Conceptual frameworks

Many of the unique properties of TDFs relate to their rainfall regimes. TDFs are
 characterised by prolonged dry seasons of six months or more, with rainfall less
 than 100 mm, which in turn determines the distinctive phenology of the forest
 Page | 25

(Murphy et al., 1986). The definition of "dryland forest" remains debatable and 60 61 controversial, which contributes to be difficulty in accurately assessing and 62 measuring its distribution patterns and status (Blackie et al., 2014). The lack of a 63 clear and comprehensive understanding of general terms including "drylands" and "forests" makes it a challenge to explicitly define dryland forests (Charles-D et al., 64 2015). Given the fact that dryland forests progressively grade into other vegetation 65 types such as wet forests, woodlands and savannas, also makes clear definitions 66 complex (Putz et al., 2010). Walter et al. (1971) noted that the accuracy of 67 68 estimates of all tropical forest areas is constrained by uncertainty in the distribution of open woodlands in dryland areas, which are extensive in Africa, 69 Australia, and Latin America. 70

71 In the general literature, many different names have been applied to TDFs, 72 including savanna forests, Sudanian woodland and miombo woodland in Africa, 73 monsoon forest in Asia, neotropical dry forests in South America (Chidumayo, 74 2013; Linares-Palomino et al., 2011; Suresh et al., 2011). The neotropical dry forests in South America have a plethora of names from "caatinga" in northeast 75 Brazil, to "bosque tropical caducifolio" in Mexico, and "cuabal" in Cuba, which in 76 part hinders comparisons (Mayes et al., 2017; Sánchez-Azofeifa et al., 2005). For 77 example, Dexter et al. (2015) identified dry deciduous forest in India (Suresh et al., 78 79 2011), miombo woodland in southern Africa (Chidumayo, 2013), and deciduous 80 dipterocarp forest in continental Asia (Bunyavejchewin et al., 2011) as a form of savanna, and not TDFs, despite the formal classification as TDFs by these studies, 81 82 and the FAO (FAO, 2001).

83 There are several definitions currently available for TDFs, but there is still a lack of consensus in developing a common understanding. Mooney et al. (1995) defined 84 TDFs as forests occurring in the tropical regions characterised by pronounced 85 seasonality in rainfall, where there are several months of severe, or even absolute 86 drought. A widely accepted definition is that of the FAO, that has identified TDFs as 87 88 a Global Ecological Zone (GEZ), which includes the drier type of miombo and Sudanian woodlands, savannah (Africa), caatinga and chaco (South America), and 89 90 dry deciduous dipterocarp forest and woodlands (Asia) (FAO, 2001). Sánchez-Azofeifa et al. (2005) broadly defined TDFs as a vegetation type typically 91

92 dominated by deciduous trees (at least 50% of trees present are drought 93 deciduous), where the mean annual temperature is ≥ 25 °C, total annual 94 precipitation ranges between 700 and 2000 mm, and there are three or more dry 95 months every year (precipitation < 100 mm per month).

For the scope of this present study, TDFs are defined as forests occurring in 96 97 tropical regions which include the drier type of miombo and Sudanian woodlands, 98 savanna forests (Africa), caatinga and chaco (South America), and dry deciduous 99 dipterocarp forest and woodlands as defined by FAO (see: Fig. 1.1). The thesis adopted the definition of FAO because it recognises forests occurring in the dry 100 tropical climate globally, then those based entirely on climate definitions. The 101 102 current climate does not define the biogeography of TDFs, particularly in the 103 context of future unprecedented climate change (IPCC, 2007). If climates become sufficiently warmer and drier in the tropics, dry forests may expand into areas that 104 105 are currently dominated by rain forests (Putz et al., 2010). The research however 106 acknowledges the diverse definitions and views of different researchers on the 107 topic, such as those pointed out by Dexter et al. (2015) and Murphy et al. (1986).

108



109

- 110 Fig. 1. 1 The graphic illustration shows the relative distribution of tropical dry forests.
- 111 Source: FAO, (1999). Reproduced with permission.
- 112
- 113

114 **1.3 Importance of dryland forests**

TDFs provide ecosystem services to more than two billion people, including 115 providing habitat for numerous rare and endemic organisms, supporting 116 significant crop production, and forage for wildlife and domestic livestock 117 118 (Petheram et al., 2006). The dryland ecosystem (including dry forests) harbors considerable biodiversity in terms of species richness, endemism, and functional 119 120 diversity of plants and animals that sometimes even exceeds that of moist forests (Pennington et al., 2018). Furthermore, TDFs are known to play an important role 121 122 in supporting the agricultural systems on which millions of rural subsistence farmers depend, and so TDFs are central to achieving broader food security 123 124 (Chidumayo et al., 2010; Sunderland et al., 2015).

Beyond subsistence farming, TDFs contribute to the direct and indirect provision 125 of various products, including timber and non-timber products to their inhabitants 126 (Petheram et al., 2006). Other ecosystem services provided include flood control, 127 tourism revenue, pollination, local diets with wild fruits, bushmeat, and medicinal 128 129 plants (Djoudi et al., 2015; Safriel et al., 2006). In dry forested regions, majority of 130 people use firewood and charcoal from TDFs as a source of energy (Sunderland et al., 2015). Drylands have major global climate benefits; their carbon storage 131 132 (including soil carbon) accounts for more than one-third of global stocks (Durant et al., 2012; Pennington et al., 2018). The capacity to store carbon depends on 133 many factors including climate, past land use, and opportunity for management 134 135 change (UN, 2011). Growing pressure on dryland forests to meet human and socioeconomic development needs means that TDFs are increasingly being utilised 136 137 unsustainably, and so the degradation of these resources poses a serious problem 138 (Petheram et al., 2006).

139 1.4 Threats to tropical dryland forests

140 1.4.1 Degradation/Deforestation

For more than 20 years, TDFs have been recognised among the world's mostthreatened ecosystems when compared across all major tropical forest types

(Janzen, 1988). These activities may take place either abruptly (land cover
conversion) or gradually (land cover modification) (Hayward et al., 2001; Lambin
et al., 2003). Land cover conversion is defined as a shift from one land cover class
to another, whilst modification is subtle changes in continuous properties within
classes (e.g., plant biomass, canopy cover, leaf area) (Hansen et al., 2012). Human
activity causes deforestation through logging of timber and clearing of the forest
where extraction exceeds regeneration.

Land degradation, which is sometimes used synonymously with desertification in 150 151 dryland areas, is a term that refers to the many processes that drive the decline or loss in biodiversity, ecosystem functions or productivity (Scholes et al., 2018). 152 153 Land degradation includes the degradation of all terrestrial ecosystems (e.g., dry 154 land, semi-arid land, rain-soiled areas in sub-humid areas or grassland, rangeland, forest, and wetland) (Xie et al., 2020). Forest degradation is land degradation that 155 156 occurs within forest land and is most often loosely defined as a loss of particular 157 forest attributes that negatively affect the structure or function of the stand or site 158 (IPCC, 2003; ITTO, 2003; Scholes et al., 2018; Simula, 2009). Lund, (2009) provides 159 a detailed review of more than 50 definitions of forest degradation. FAO, (2011) 160 defines forest degradation as the change process caused by natural disturbance, and human-induced that leads to the reduction of the capacity of a forest to 161 provide goods and services. Services might include biomass, carbon sequestration, 162 water regulation, soil protection, and biodiversity conservation. According to 163 Simula, (2009) land degradation acts synergistically with forest degradation. 164 165 Figure 1.2 shows degradation thresholds which shows that degradation can usually be reversible through restoration and management interventions. On the 166 167 other hand, degradation is sometimes long-term or permanent leading to the irreversible loss of forest (Lund 2009). As shown in Fig. 1.2, it's considered forest 168 169 degradation when there is a reduction of the canopy cover or carbon stock within a forest, provided that the canopy cover stays above 10% (FAO, 2000). The status of 170 171 degraded areas is distinguished in terms of the degree of degradation (e.g., slightly/moderately/severely degraded), as it could help identify priority areas for 172 173 preventive or corrective action when monitoring changes. The ability to identify a 174 degraded forest is essential to help develop techniques to establish systems for 175 monitoring forest degradation and practical approaches to restore forest cover and Page | 29

structure, species composition and forest regeneration as well as rehabilitation (see: Fig. 1.2 and 1.3) (Chazdon et al., 2016;). In this study, land degradation and vegetation degradation are used to describe degradation taking place in forests and non-forests, while forest degradation was used to refer to degradation largely taking place in forested areas.

Biggs et al. (2008) reported that degradation of dryland landscapes in Southern 181 182 Africa happen through alteration of intact ecosystems, for example, the fragmentation of habitats, the modifications of forests to pasture, and conversion 183 184 of extensive land uses to intensive ones, causes a severe loss in biodiversity. Forest degradation has been described using variables such as changes in canopy cover, 185 186 understory tree density, plant or animal species richness, biomass loss from 187 extensive standing forests, and changes in vegetation attributes as measured against a baseline undisturbed condition (Thompson et al., 2013; Washington-188 189 Allen et al., 2008). These changes can be caused by natural disturbance such as 190 wildfire, storms or drought, and also can be human-induced such as via harvesting, 191 road construction, poor agricultural practices, or grazing, which may each vary in 192 extent, severity, and frequency. While deforestation is the rapid transformation 193 from forest to the non-forest area, forest degradation is usually a gradual process though it may be induced by quick, single events such as hurricanes, and it is 194 typically more difficult to discern and quantify than deforestation (Thompson et 195 196 al., 2013).

197 These alterations in land-cover/land-use could also impact global and regional climate through alterations in the length of the growing seasons, changes in the 198 199 climatic regimes, including extreme high temperatures or rainfall, and increases in perturbation regimes such as fires, which in turn impact the structure and function 200 201 of the dryland forest (Le Houérou, 1996; Naik, 2015). Along with deforestation, 202 forest degradation contributes to global carbon emissions, and reporting on both is 203 required by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 204 (UNFCCC) through incentives for developing countries through the REDD+ programme (UNFCCC, 2009). 205



206

Fig. 1. 2 Illustration of the degradation thresholds within forest and non-forest typically caused by disturbances which vary in terms of the extent, severity, quality, origin, and frequency (Simula, 2009).

1.4.2 Climate and drought

TDFs are known to be extremely vulnerable to predicted changes in climate 211 212 (Huang et al., 2017), and the effects of these changes are already being experienced 213 in biodiversity showing significant shifts in species ranges in Africa (McClean et al., 214 2005). There is now abundant evidence from models and observations that 215 suggest rainfall regimes in the seasonal tropics are changing to hotter and drier 216 conditions, with predicted elevated temperatures (Chadwick et al., 2016; Dai, 217 2013), likely exacerbating the risk of further land degradation (Huang et al., 2016). Dryland CO2 uptake is strongly associated with variations of both precipitation 218 219 and temperature, and changes in aridity. The effectiveness of each is impacted by 220 deforestation, widespread increases in plant disturbances, and declines in ecosystem function (Williams et al., 2013). Dryland vegetation responses to 221 222 environmental perturbations depends upon the frequency and magnitude of disturbances (e.g., temperature, precipitation, fire, land use), and the resilience of 223 224 the ecosystem concerned (see: Fig. 1.2) (Lambin et al., 2010).

African dryland forests are identified as the most threatened and least protected ecosystem on the continent, largely as a result of population growth, climate change, and poor environmental governance and policy frameworks (Brink et al., 228 2009). The IPCC reported that when climate threats are coupled with a growing 229 population and future changes in land use could lead to severe dry forest biome 230 shifts and biomass degradation, particularly in Southern Africa (King, 2014; Niang 231 et al., 2014). The role of climatic variation, land-use practices, and disturbance 232 regimes, such as herbivory, has been identified by several studies to be among the 233 main drivers of ongoing changes in dryland ecosystems leading to forest 234 degradation and land cover change in Southern Africa (Fig. 1.3) (Anyamba et al., 2002; Prince, 2012; Privette et al., 2005; Shackleton et al., 2010). Biodiversity in 235 236 the region has responded with significant recorded shifts in species ranges, 237 impacting species composition and productivity (IPCC, 2014; King, 2014). Given that the availability of water is a determinant of forest resources in drylands, these 238 types of change affect forest tree cover, demographic processes, biological 239 diversity, trait composition, habitat quality, and in turn movements of wildlife 240 241 (Naidoo et al., 2016). Fig. 1.3 provide a schematic representation of factors 242 controlling temporal and spatial heterogeneity of biomass plants. This schematic is 243 not exhaustive but provides a framework of changes in vegetation land cover and 244 main dryland forest attributes, i.e., composition, structure and function, which is 245 addressed in this research. This thesis report on the development of open access 246 codes to map forest structural parameters such as biomass and monitor changes in 247 dryland forests because of climate change and other disturbances such as fire/logging. The changes are mapped using a combination of ground and Earth 248 observation data including multispectral and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) 249 250 satellite imagery at a regional scale of Kavango Zambezi region.

251 On a regional level, few studies have evaluated the forest structural parameters 252 and changes in dryland forests of Southern Africa (David et al., 2022a). Majority of 253 these studies are done in Republic of South Africa, for example, Mathieu et al. 2013 254 and Naidoo et al. (2015) found in dryland forests in Kruger National Park that 255 Woody vegetation cover is accurately mapped with Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data, however these studies observe an overestimation of woody cover 256 257 below 20% as a results of surface contributions to the signal, such as roughness in 258 radar retrievals (Mathieu et al. 2013; Santoro et al. 2011). There is, however, very 259 limited spatial information on structural parameters such as above ground 260 biomass distribution and forest changes in other part of Southern Africa. To date in Page | 32

261 most of Southern Africa, most quantitative spatial data on forests are available 262 from products developed globally, such as the pantropical African savanna 263 biomass map (Bouvet et al., 2018), tree density map (Glick et al., 2016), global 264 forest height map (Simard et al., 2011), Global Land Cover Map (Arino et al., 2012), and global tree cover maps (Hansen et al., 2013; Sexton et al. 2013). However, 265 266 there is unreliability regarding the accuracies of these maps at regional scales, 267 particularly in open forest ecosystems such as savannas and dry forests, because these products were developed primarily to track tropical forest losses (Bastin et 268 269 al., 2017). Underestimation for the woody cover above 60% has been observed likewise in other studies (Bouvet et al. 2018) because of saturation in dense 270 271 canopies.

272 To identify changes to dryland forest, and their drivers, and to separate these from long- and short-term trends, it is essential to select remote sensing data with good 273 temporal coverage (time series data) but also with a sufficiently frequent revisit 274 275 period and spatial resolution. This is however not an easy task, since the availability of remote sensing data for long-term monitoring purposes is 276 277 constrained by sensor characteristics (e.g., revisit time) and then the data utility 278 can be significantly influenced by environmental factors (e.g., cloud cover) (Donoghue, 2000; Kuplich et al., 2013). 279


281

282 Fig. 1. 3 Conceptual framework depicting the key abiotic factors (disturbance and soil 283 resource availability) and biotic factors (vegetation/forest structure, diversity, and trait 284 composition) controlling temporal and spatial heterogeneity of demographic processes 285 (biomass growth, and degradation). Physical damage by wildfire, mega-herbivores, e.g., 286 elephants, and deforestation e.g., logging/coppicing are one of the main disruptions to the 287 ecosystems. Forest structure (e.g., plot basal area, tree density) is based on all alive trees 288 in the selected plots, while diversity and trait composition are based on the individuals of 289 that demographic group (i.e., vegetation recruits). The dryland forests ecosystem has an 290 option of closed woodland form and open grass form depending on the soil resource 291 availability, climate, disturbances, and anthropogenic disruption e.g., fire. (Reproduced 292 from Van-der-Sande et al., 2017).

293 1.5 Application of remote sensing

1.5.1 Optical and Synthetic-Aperture Radar (SAR) remote sensing in dryland forests

Remote sensing has contributed greatly to the mapping and understanding of the
 tropical forest ecosystems in relation to local and global environmental change
 Page | 34

298 (Foody, 2003). Advances in the remote detection of burned areas (Zhang et al., 299 2011), land-use and land-cover (De Oliveira et al., 2019), forest structure (Hyde et 300 al., 2006), biomass (Cutler et al., 2012) and biodiversity (Rampheri et al., 2020) 301 have also changed the understanding with regards to forest functioning. From the 302 TDF resources perspective, satellite remote sensing has been used to provide three 303 levels of information. The first is information on the spatial extent of forest cover 304 and forest change patterns; the second level comprises information on forest type; and the third provides information on the biophysical and biochemical properties 305 306 of forests (Boggs, 2010; Higginbottom et al., 2018; Wood et al., 2012). Several 307 studies have established the many advantages of remote sensing over traditional field investigation methods for measuring and monitoring tropical forests (Hyde et 308 309 al., 2006; Puhr et al., 2000). The most obvious advantages include the potential to survey large areas rapidly or over longer periods at low cost, especially in remote, 310 311 inaccessible, and sometimes dangerous environments (Rumiano et al., 2020).

312 In general terms, Earth Observation (EO) platforms have carried two types of 313 sensor: optical and active SAR. The optical systems measure reflected radiation of 314 one or more discrete wavelengths located in the spectral range 400-3000 nm, wherein the wavelengths are notably several orders of magnitude smaller than the 315 leaves, needles, and branches that make up a forest canopy, and so these 316 components absorb and scatter radiation (Boyd et al., 2005). Synthetic-Aperture 317 318 Radar (SAR) systems measure backscattered microwave radiation at wavelengths between 1 cm and 1000 cm, characterising scattering from leaves, branches, stems 319 320 trunks and the ground (Mitchard et al., 2009). Optical remote sensing systems may provide information on the amount of foliage and its biochemical properties, while 321 322 SAR (microwave) systems provide information on woody biomass and forest 323 structure (Armston et al., 2009; Higginbottom et al., 2018). Many SAR sensors can 324 both transmit and receive microwaves with two different polarisations, which enhances the information provided, particularly that which describes surface 325 roughness and geometric regularities in the forest stand (Kasischke et al., 1997). 326 Therefore, satellite remote sensing signals provide additional proxy information 327 328 that can be linked to forest parameters and health indicators, as well as 329 disturbance factors when using vegetation indices.

1.5.2 Vegetation Indices

In satellite remote sensing for forests, vegetation indices, biophysical variables, 331 and data transformations are often used for data analyses (Morley et al., 2019; 332 Yengoh et al., 2015). The various materials of the earth's surface absorb and reflect 333 334 different amounts of energy at different wavelengths. The magnitude of energy 335 that an object reflects or emits across a range of wavelengths is called its spectral response pattern (Aggarwal, 2004). The graph below illustrates the spectral 336 337 response patterns of soils, water, and vegetation (Fig. 1.4). The healthy vegetation has a unique spectral reflectance signature that is dictated by various plant 338 339 attributes. The visible reflectance of plants is mainly characterised by absorption of the leaf pigments like chlorophyll, carotenoids and xanthophylls (Gibson et al., 340 2013). Stressed vegetation will give off different spectral 341 а signature corresponding to the effect of the stress on the various leaf pigments. 342 Knowing the typical spectral response characteristics makes it possible to 343 distinguish forests, crops, and soils, and to evaluate their condition (e.g., stressed 344 345 plants) using remotely sensed images (Ranjan et al., 2012). In the case of vegetation, the measured spectral reflectance values from two or more 346 wavelengths are usually used to estimate vegetation indices. NDVI is one of such 347 348 indices, commonly used to distinguish live green plant canopies, calculated as a ratio of near-infrared to red vegetation reflectance (Rouse, 1974; Tucker, 1979). 349 350 NDVI has been used as a proxy of vegetation greenness and has been shown to 351 relate closely to leaf area index (LAI), biomass, and the fraction of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by vegetation (fAPAR) (Curran, 352 1980). 353



354

Fig. 1. 4 Spectral signatures as functions of wavelength for vegetation, soil and water.

Source: https://seos-project.eu/classification/classification-c01-p05.html/ (accessed 02
May 2021).

358 Ringrose et al. (1994) and Turner et al. (1999) indicate that the strength of the 359 relationships between forest LAI and vegetation indices, such as the NDVI, is site-, time- and species-specific and that above a LAI of about 5 or 6, NDVI may not be 360 sensitive to LAI variation. Several well-known limitations of NDVI for robust 361 362 estimation of biomass in drylands exist. NDVI is sensitive to green components and insensitive to woody components where the majority of carbon is stored (Tucker, 363 364 1979). Also, Above Ground Biomass (AGB) production is not always uniformly linked to either greenness or plant structure (herbaceous and woody 365 compositions), as moisture content and vegetation species composition have been 366 367 shown to impact the biomass-NDVI relationship (Asner et al., 2009; Wessels et al., 368 2006). These observations may help explain reportedly weak relationships 369 between NDVI and tropical forest canopies, particularly for areas with complex 370 and high vegetation amounts as in TDFs (Foody et al., 2001; Sader et al., 1989). For 371 example, Madonsela et al. (2018) investigated the interactions between seasonal NDVI and woody canopy cover in the savanna of the Kruger National Park (NP) to 372 373 model tree species diversity using a factorial model and found that the interaction 374 between NDVI and woody canopy cover was insignificant. It is also widely 375 reported that the NDVI signal is influenced by woody canopy foliage, underlying 376 canopy background, and soil moisture in sparse vegetative areas (LAI <3), which Page | 37

377 reduces the apparent NDVI signal and seasonal variations in vegetation phenology
378 (Pettorelli et al., 2005; Wagenseil et al., 2006).

These challenges have led to the development of alternative formulations which 379 380 include correction factors or constants introduced to account for or minimise, the varying background reflectance (Gitelson et al., 1996; Huete et al., 1999). The 381 Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) is a modification of NDVI that provides 382 383 complementary information about the spatial and temporal variations of vegetation while minimising many of the contamination problems present in the 384 NDVI, such as those associated with canopy background and atmospheric 385 influences (Huete et al., 2002). Other closely related indices include the Simple 386 387 Ratio (SR), the Green Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (GNDVI), Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI) amongst others. Xue et al. (2017) provides a 388 detailed review of vegetation indices. Critically, an increase in availability of EO 389 390 data with improved spatial, spectral, and radiometric resolution combined with 391 the machine or deep learning techniques and development in computational 392 resources would enhance the potential dryland forest information to be exploited 393 (Ali et al., 2015). The constraint in spectral, spatial, and radiometric resolutions of 394 remote sensing data may result in different saturation values of AGB depending on 395 vegetation characteristics (Zhao et al., 2016). The spatial resolution of images such 396 as NOAA AVHRR, SPOT Vegetation, and MODIS imagery data particularly at 1-8 km 397 spatial resolution has been reported to result in poor spectral purity and limited identification of broad forest types such as coniferous and lack sufficient spatial 398 399 details, particularly for less abundant species broad-leafed forests (Immitzer et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2021). Stratoulias et al. (2015) showed that the 10 m spatial 400 401 resolution of Sentinel 2 allows for detecting fragmented patches in the lakeshore 402 ecosystems but argued that enhanced spectral and spatial capabilities provide 403 further potential in habitat monitoring and classification of environmentally complex areas. Other studies such as Wulder et al. (2004) and Xu et al. (2021) 404 405 concluded that medium-high resolution Earth observation satellites can be used to produce more accurate results of forest species composition and land cover use 406 407 classification by providing detailed spectral features of the canopy of tree species (Salajanu and Olson, 2001). Dube et al. (2014) have concluded that fine spatial 408 409 resolution data with improved spectral bands (e.g., red edge) contains more Page | 38

410 spectral information critical for accurately predicting forest metrics such as 411 biomass in South Africa. Other remotely sensed studies estimated forest biomass 412 at different scales and concluded that coarse spatial resolution optical sensors are 413 useful for biomass mapping at continental and global scales rather than at local scales because the limited spatial detail of these coarse-resolution images misses 414 the biomass variability in heterogeneous forests (Avitabile et al., 2012; Dube et al., 415 416 2014; van der Wer et al., 2006; Zhang and Kondragunta, 2006; Zhu and Liu, 2015). Lu (2006) demonstrated that the use of coarse spatial resolution sensors (i.e., 417 418 Landsat, MODIS etc.) for AGB estimation resulted in poor prediction accuracy due 419 to the presence of mixed pixels together with a mismatch between the size of field 420 measurements and the pixel (Avitabile et al., 2012). Various statistical methods, 421 vegetation indices and textures have been explored to reduce the impacts of data saturation in Landsat imagery on AGB estimation accuracy (Lu et al., 2016). 422 423 Studies such as Basuki et al. (2013) and Kajisa et al. (2009) observed that the 424 application of statistical methods, spectral mixture analysis and integrating radar 425 data with Landsat images improves forest AGB estimation accuracy significantly. 426 Time series of Landsat imagery is another alternative explored that can result in 427 more accurate AGB estimation and reduce saturation effects compared to the use of a single NDVI (Gasparri et al., 2010; Zhu and Liu, 2015). 428

429

430 1.5.3 Forest biomass and structural parameters

431 1.5.3.1 Forest biomass estimation in dryland forests

Biomass, in general, includes the above-ground and below-ground living mass, and 432 433 is usually expressed as dry weight (Lu, 2006). AGB includes all living biomass above the soil surface that includes the stem, stump, branches, bark, seeds, and 434 foliage. Measuring forest biomass and its change acts as an indicator of climate 435 change and forest health (Pause et al., 2016), however, the majority of studies on 436 437 biomass have focused on boreal and temperate forests (Dong et al., 2003; Naidoo 438 et al., 2006). Studies on TDFs are limited because they are dynamic with complex species composition and structure, coupled with environmental conditions which 439 440 are difficult to assess and model (McElhinny et al., 2005). AGB estimation requires Page | 39

field measurements as a prerequisite for developing estimation models, but field measurements are often difficult to implement, especially in remote areas (Lu, 2006; Wingate et al., 2018), and they cannot provide the spatial distribution of biomass across large areas. Thus, remote sensing techniques offer the most practical approach to estimating dryland forest biomass and monitoring changes in forest structure, overcoming the limitations of sample size, timeliness, expense, and access (Lu, 2006; Lucas et al., 2015).

With increasing concern regarding greenhouse gas emissions, there is a need to 448 449 better quantify the biomass of forests associated with regeneration and clearance (FAO, 2011; UN, 2011). Such information needs to be obtained at scales ranging 450 451 from entire regions to individual forest stands (e.g., for carbon accounting 452 purposes). However, assessments of biomass are typically obtained by applying species-specific allometric equations to forest inventory data (Chave et al., 2005). 453 454 Although many studies have investigated the ability to estimate the biomass of 455 forests, including tropical moist forests (Asner et al., 2009), dryland forests 456 (Gizachew et al., 2016), temperate forests, and boreal forests (Dong et al., 2003) 457 from remotely sensed data, a number of problems have been encountered. Of key concern is the generalisation of relationships derived for the accurate prediction of 458 459 biomass at a specific location or time period (e.g., generalisation between images of one location acquired over a period of time to estimate characteristics at 460 another location) (Woodcock et al., 2001). This problem is common in less well 461 studied ecosystems such as dryland forests and can substantially limit the 462 463 contribution remote sensing can make to environmental studies. Overall, regional variations in forest biomass arise as a result of differences in tree stem density, 464 465 growth and disturbances rates, and other species-specific attributes, such as wood 466 density (Asner et al., 2009).

467 1.5.3.2 Application of optical and SAR sensor in forest biomass

Different remote sensing sensors have been successful in forest biomass studies (Gizachew et al., 2016; Powell et al., 2010). However, in the tropics, where the cloud cover is common, optical data could not be used over large areas. Optical sensors are also less sensitive to variations within dense forests, and can only 472 provide spectral and horizontal distribution and not the vertical distribution (e.g. tree height or difference between single-story and multi-story vertical structural 473 474 classes) of canopy elements in forests (Joshi et al., 2016). Under these conditions, 475 radar remote sensing provides an alternative (Michelakis et al., 2014; Paradzayi et al., 2013). SAR has the advantage that it includes: the ability to collect data in all 476 weathers, and during day and night; the sensor penetrates cloud, vegetation, dry 477 478 soil, sand, dry snow; the data is sensitive to surface roughness, dielectric properties and moisture content; and the reflected signal is sensitive to 479 480 polarisation and frequency (HH, VV, HV, and VH), and can be used for volumetric analysis (Balzter, 2001; Mitchard et al., 2009). However, radar remote sensing also 481 has limitations including uncertainties in estimation, expensive datasets, 482 difficulties in data processing, and data saturation problems (Balzter, 2001; 483 Mitchard et al., 2009). Furthermore, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) has 484 485 become popular for deriving tree height variables closely related to the AGB (Unger et al., 2014), and a few studies have combined optical and LiDAR for AGB 486 487 mapping (Lu et al., 2012). However, the applicability of this technique is limited to 488 local regions because of its high economic costs and labour-intensive collection 489 (Gibbs et al., 2007). Alternatively, other authors have explored the combination of optical and SAR (e.g., Cutler et al., 2012; Wingate et al., 2018). Combining 490 491 frequently available SAR observations with less frequent (due to cloud cover) optical remote-sensing data may provide a sound information source in the 492 tropics, but there remain few studies of this nature in tropical dryland forests. 493

494 Accurate delineation of biomass distribution at scales from local (ca. 1 x 10-1 km) to pantropical is significant in reducing the uncertainty of carbon emissions and 495 496 sequestration, understanding their roles in influencing land degradation, and wider environmental processes (Foody, 2003). However, the lack of spatially 497 explicit maps of biomass and forest structural parameters over dryland forests 498 areas in Southern Africa is one of the largest sources of uncertainty in estimates of 499 500 carbon emissions (Midgley et al., 2011; Timothy et al., 2016). With regards to tropical forests, forest biomass and structure are often relatively well studied in 501 502 the tropical rainforests as compared to dryland forests, but rainforests are progressively shifting to TDFs, especially in South America and Africa, often 503

irreversibly because of fire events (Zhao et al., 2021). This phenomenon justifiesthe importance of studying TDF carbon stocks.

506

507 1.5.4 The benefits and challenges of remote sensing in508 dryland forests

509 The development of the Earth observation satellites during the past decades has 510 enhanced our ability to assess the status and dynamics of vegetation change as well as impacts of climate change at a large scale (Nicholson, 2011). In forest 511 512 ecosystems, identifying changes in canopy cover with remote sensing generally re-513 quires data at frequent intervals because the spectral signature changes rapidly 514 with regrowth. Optical sensors provide the best alternative for vegetation change 515 mapping and biomass estimation to field sampling due to global coverage and 516 repeatability, given the ability to estimate characteristics such as forest type and leaf area index (LAI) (Lu, 2006; Symeonakis et al., 2018). Such sensors are 517 however limited in the degree to which they can generate structural information 518 519 and are restricted by cloud occlusion which is particularly problematic in tropical 520 regions (Herold, 2007; Symeonakis et al., 2018). Light Detection Ranging (LIDAR) 521 and Hyperspatial data can observe tree crowns, basal area, tree height and biomass but cannot cover large areas (Falkowski et al., 2008, Blackburn, 2007). 522 523 The selection of suitable satellite data depends on the ecological characteristics of 524 the ecosystems, spatial and temporal scales of interest (Estes et al., 2018). As the 525 region of interest and temporal extent increases, the volume of data, and the complexity of image-processing becomes significant and an obstacle to many 526 527 researchers and operational users with limited access to high-performance 528 computing infrastructures (Smith et al., 2019).

529 Due to the inherent trade-offs between spatial and temporal resolution in EO data, 530 and geographic coverage, the vegetation patterns on both spatial and temporal 531 domains have been revealed by various technological advances resulted in the 532 growing availability of remote sensing data and methods (Toth and Jóźków, 2016; 533 Zhou et al., 2020). The application of non-parametric machine learning regression 534 algorithms, such as decision trees, random forests (RF), support vector machines (SVMs), and k-nearest neighbour have become more predominant and 535 536 demonstrate the ability to outperform widely used parametric approaches, such as 537 polynomial and multiple linear regression variables used with remotely sensed 538 data in a forest environment (Breiman, 2001; Latifi et al., 2010). Non-parametric 539 machine and deep learning models are sufficiently versatile to uncover 540 complicated nonlinear relationships and able to extract combinations of the input 541 data that are difficult to describe explicitly by humans, particularly, in areas with 542 high structural variability such as dryland forests (Hastie et al., 2009; Shao et al., 543 2017). Machine and deep learning have been used by many remote sensing studies 544 to provide in-depth forest investigation from the perspectives of hyperspectral image analysis, interpretation of SAR/ LiDAR images, interpretation of high-545 resolution satellite images and classification, and multimodal data fusion (e.g., the 546 547 fusion of Hyperspectral, SAR, LiDAR and optical data (Guirado et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2017; Trier et al., 2018). Improved techniques in remote sensing such as 548 549 Vegetation Indices, VOD, and machine and deep learning have been utilised to 550 estimate dryland forest attributes globally and other dryland ecosystems, 551 however, very few of these focused on the local and regional scale of Southern Africa (e.g., Symeonakis et al., 2020). 552

The uncertainties reported in many dryland forests studies relating to remote 553 sensing (Bastin et al. 2017), could be decreased following further development, 554 application, and comparison of these improved approaches in future works at 555 556 local, regional, and continental studies in dryland forest ecosystems. It has been discovered that there is plausible trade-off between spatial resolution, image 557 558 coverage and frequency in data acquisition, and many studies has shown that 559 coarse spatial resolution optical sensors are useful for biomass mapping at 560 national and global scale rather than at local scale (Wulder et al. 2004; Lu, 2006). For example, Dube et al., (2014) used spaceborne multispectral RapidEye sensor 561 562 with a fine spatial resolution have the potential to satisfactorily predict intra-andinter species predicting forest metrics, such as biomass in areas of closed and 563 564 dense vegetation. The RapiEye have the capability to provide a better prediction 565 for biomass because they contain more spectral information critical for vegetation 566 mapping in comparison to the existing broadband multispectral images (Dube et Page | 43

567 al., 2014). The rise of innovative and high-performance computing facilities and web-based software tools such as Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform and 568 569 growing use of machine learning algorithms helps to overcome many barriers. 570 enabling large volumes of data to be integrated, processed, and analysed for large areas and over long time periods (Warren et al., 2015). For a detailed review of 571 572 machine learning and deep learning for remote sensing and Sustainable 573 Development Goals, see Zhu et al. (2017) and Holloway and Mengersen (2018). Also, more information on research trends, benefits, and challenges of remote 574 575 sensing in dryland forests are provided in David et al., 2002a, (Chapter 2). Using 576 the new advances in data management and cloud computing capabilities of Google Earth Engine led to a recent discovery that forests in drylands exceeds previous 577 578 estimates by over 40% (Bastin et al., 2017).

1.5.5 Google Earth Engine platform

The Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform provides pre-processed satellite imagery. 580 enabling large volumes of data to be integrated, processed, and analysed for large 581 582 areas and over long time periods (Warren et al., 2015). The platform provides 583 online access to extensive imagery including the entire Landsat archive, complete archives of data from MODIS, Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2. GEE also co-locates climate 584 585 forecast data, land cover data, and many other environmental and socioeconomic data covering much of the planet. All processing and computations are done on-586 587 the-fly in the cloud which allows the user to process data in close to real-time 588 (Hansen et al., 2013). The catalogue is continuously updated, and users can request the addition of new datasets to the public catalogue, or they can upload their 589 590 private data via a REST (representational state transfer) interface using either browser-based or command-line tools (Gorelick et al., 2017). 591

592 GEE's functionality affords a unique opportunity to overcome the limitations 593 imposed by the volume of data and the scale of analysis that would otherwise 594 prevent analysis in many organisations in tropical dryland regions (Hansen et al., 595 2013; Shelestov et al., 2017). Although GEE has removed many computational and 596 analysis barriers, the technology is not yet comprehensive. The approach is still 597 evolving and there are shortcomings around the challenges of completing analysis that would normally be better suited to a GIS environment, such as the intersection of raster- and vector-based datasets. This thesis has, therefore, utilised other analytical software such as R and ArcGIS since GEE allows files and data to be imported and exported for use elsewhere.

602

⁶⁰³ 1.6 The world's largest conservation park

The Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA TFCA) was 604 established in 2011 by its member states of Angola, Botswana, Namibia, Zambia, 605 and Zimbabwe, with support from World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) and the 606 607 Peace Parks Foundation (WWF, 2016). KAZA TFCA is the World's largest 608 transfrontier conservation area covering a land area of 519,912 km² (200,739 sq. 609 mi, equivalent to the area of Spain or Thailand) (Murphy, 2008). About 71% of 610 KAZA is protected to create economic development and conserve the unique biodiversity within the region, and only 29% of the land is not protected. 611

612 One key aim of KAZA is to connect and coordinate efforts across protected areas and create free movement for wildlife within its borders, without political 613 boundaries hampering the ability to meet conservation objectives (Cumming, 614 2008). KAZA links several conservation areas including 20 protected national 615 616 parks, 103 wildlife management areas, 85 forest reserves, 11 game management areas, 11 sanctuaries, and communal lands (Fig. 1.5) (Karidozo et al., 2016). The 617 618 area hosts the largest population (ca. 250,000) of the African elephant, one quarter 619 (25%) of the African wild dog population, amongst other wildlife, and a human population of 2,677,086 (Karidozo et al., 2016). The growing human population 620 and increasing wildlife population in KAZA have given rise to human 621 622 encroachment and increased human-wildlife conflict (Stoldt et al., 2020).



623

Fig. 1. 5 Map of the study area showing KAZA region in Southern Africa and the and landmanagement classes as designated by the World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA).

626 1.6.1 Rationale of the study

627 It is important to acknowledge the inherent pressure on dryland resources from the perspective of the local population that depends on these ecosystems for 628 livelihoods, even in the remote and protected areas of the KAZA region. The 629 630 vegetation structure of KAZA consists of desert shrubs in the southwest, and dryland forest in the northeast, with Baikiaea, miombo, mopane, and acacia 631 woodland species occupying by far the greatest portion of the area (Cumming, 632 2008). Within this region, forest loss and degradation are a major concern because 633 TDFs are already severely degraded as a result of competing land use, and from 634 635 overuse (Kamwi et al., 2020; Shackleton et al., 2010), as shown by field photos 636 collected in 2019, from Namibia and Botswana (Fig. 1.6).

These changes do not only directly impact wildlife species distribution, but can also undermine efforts to maintain, expand and link wildlife populations and economic sustainability (Naidoo et al., 2016). Dryland vegetation in arid, semi-arid, and dry sub-humid areas of Southern Africa are highly sensitive because precipitation is scarce and typically more or less unpredictable, temperatures are high, humidity is low and soils generally contain small amounts of organic material (King, 2014; Meadows, 2006; Niang et al., 2014).

644 For KAZA, no large-scale study exists that provides spatially explicit and up-to-date 645 information on both the protected areas and forests throughout the region, that also includes detailed information on forest biomass, vegetation density, fire and 646 647 drought impact, and land degradation (Cumming, 2008). This hampers efforts to 648 mitigate the threats against KAZA. For example, many species (flora and fauna) are identified as endangered or threatened and would almost certainly merit Alliance 649 for Zero Extinction (ACE) ranking (IUCN, 2020). For example, the Baikiaea 650 651 plurijuga (Zambezi Teak) is on the International Union for Conservation of Nature 652 (IUCN) red list due to overexploitation through logging and fire damage in Zambia 653 and Namibia. The Zambezi and Kavango East regions within KAZA have low levels of income and high levels of poverty and are the most heavily forested regions in 654 Namibia (USAID, 2010). A large part of the Zambezi region's land surface is state-655 run protected areas, where there is an ongoing land-use pressure, agricultural 656 expansion, and conversion of closed woodland into secondary woods and shrubs 657 (Kamwi et al., 2015). Due to the remoteness of the area, wildlife dangers, and the 658 659 fact that KAZA extends across international borders, continuous and in-situ field sampling to measure and assess vegetation characteristics is an effectively 660 661 impossible and expensive task. With a view on time and expense, satellite remote sensing is therefore here considered as an appropriate methodology for measuring 662 changes in the dryland of KAZA, building on a limited number of localised previous 663 studies (e.g., Schultz et al., 2018). This study provides an initiative for a significant 664 advancement in mapping the dryland forests using remote sensing technology. 665



Fig. 1. 6 Example of ground data captured during a field campaign in February to May 2019; (A)
deforestation in Zambezi state forest in Namibia; (B) forest degradation in Chobe National Park in
Botswana; (C) Burned forest for cultivation near the protected area of Mudumu NP, Namibia; (D)
elephant browsing; (E) Sampling diameter at breast height of all tree per plot; (F) Meeting and
interviews with community members concerning dryland forests.

- 672
- 673
- 674

Chapter 1

1.7 Aims and Objectives

676 Aims

The fundamental aim of this thesis is to estimate and characterise forest 677 678 parameters, disturbance, and land cover change in the context of climate change in 679 the KAZA region of Southern Africa. Throughout the thesis, the goal is to explore 680 the use of novel application of remote sensing approaches and the fusion of 681 multiple remote sensing data from optical and SAR sensors. The research seeks to consider their combination to ascertain the potential insights into the spatial and 682 temporal change of dryland forests that remote sensing is able to provide. To 683 address the aim, the thesis will tackle the following objectives: 684

685 Objectives

Objective 1: Provide a systematic review of the scientific literature related to the
use of remotely sensed data within the context of dryland forests, with a focus on
Southern Africa.

- o Provide a detailed overview of the current approaches and limitations for
 monitoring dryland forests using optical and radar remote sensing data.
- 691 Quantify general trends in remote sensing data studies focusing on
 692 monitoring dryland forests in Southern Africa.
- 693 o Identify research gaps and make recommendations for monitoring dryland
 694 forests using remote sensing data.
- 695

696 Objective 2: To assess the feasibility of using remote sensing data derived from
697 SAR, multispectral, and ground measurements to estimate dryland forest above
698 ground biomass.

Develop empirical models to determine the relationship between field measured AGB and Sentinel-1 SAR backscatter coefficients, S Sentinel-2,
 and Landsat-8 multispectral reflectance in the dryland forest environment.

The focus will be on the contribution and prediction potential of SAR data,
multispectral bands, and their spectral indices, both individually and in
combination.

- 705 O Develop parametric and non-parametric models for estimating and testing
 706 the accuracy of AGB estimation and mapping.
- 707 o To compare these models to different published biomass estimates in the
 708 dryland forest environment.
- To discuss the suitability of different models for land and wildlife
 management at different spatial scales (regional to global).

Objective 3: Investigate the evidence for water stress conditions across KAZA and
to test the utility of structural breaks for detecting dryland forest changes using
two methods: (1) BFAST and (2) BEAST change detection in the dryland forests of
KAZA.

- Spatial characterisation of climatic data with vegetation indices as a proxy
 indicator of climate variability to improve understanding of vegetation
 response to drought.
- Compare the common vegetation index NDVI with GNDVI to evaluate their
 respective sensitivities and performance in detecting changes.
- To characterise changes in trends and phenological patterns using Breaks
 for Additive Seasonal and Trend (BFAST), and Bayesian Estimator of Abrupt
 change, Seasonality, and Trend (BEAST).

723 Objective 4: Investigate the relationship between fire and different climate effects724 on vegetation spectral characteristics at the regional scale of KAZA.

To characterise drought conditions using climatic data (SPEI, root soil
 moisture, temperature, and precipitation) and explore the variability of
 drought using monitoring indicators (i.e., the drought duration, severity,
 and magnitude)

To characterise the frequency, seasonality, and extent of fires through time on different land use management in the KAZA region

To investigate the spatiotemporal changes in aridity in the KAZA region from 2002 to 2010 and 2011 to 2019

733 **1.8 Thesis Structure**

The thesis comprises six chapters structured as follows.

735 Chapter 1 has introduced the general background, motivation and critically736 examines concepts and remote sensing of TDFs.

737 Chapter 2 presents a detailed review of the scientific literature related to the use 738 of remotely sensed data including synthetic aperture radar (SAR) and optical 739 sensors within the context of dryland forests, with a focus on Southern Africa. The 740 research presents examples of the literature from 1997 to 2020 that summarises 741 past achievements, current efforts, and geoinformation knowledge gaps.

742 **Chapter 3** assesses the combination of synthetic-aperture radar (SAR) and 743 multispectral data to estimate in dryland forests. Different parametric and non-744 parametric models for estimating parameters are developed and resulting maps 745 accuracy is tested with ground measurements and different published biomass 746 models in the dryland forest environment.

Chapter 4 examines water stress conditions on vegetation and changes in dryland forests using multiple data streams for time series assessment over National parks and surrounding communal areas within KAZA. BFAST and BEAST algorithms were applied to evaluate their sensitivity to detect changes in trend and seasonality in tropical dryland forests. Different vegetation indices suitability in drylands were tested.

753 Chapter 5 seeks to investigate the relationship between fire and different climate 754 effects on vegetation spectral characteristics at the regional scale of KAZA. The 755 chapter investigating the impacts, severity, and characteristics of drought a 756 conditions in drylands. The fire dynamics are also investigated at the regional scale of KAZA. The purpose is to expand the understanding from Chapter 4, linking it toclimate and fire.

Chapter 6 draws together the key findings presented in Chapters 2-5, addressing
the research aim, bringing the findings into the wider research context, and
contains the primary recommendations and conclusions of the research presented
in the thesis.

763

764

2 Remote sensing for MONITORING TROPICAL 766 **FORESTS:** Α REVIEW DRYLAND OF **CURRENT** 767 GAPS AND **RESEARCH**, **KNOWLEDGE FUTURE** 768 **DIRECTIONS FOR SOUTHERN AFRICA** 769

770

771 Chapter 2 is published by *Environment Research Communications*, DOI:
772 <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ac5b84</u>

- Chapter 2 is also published as a policy brief by n8agrifood for policy makers,
 https://policyhub.n8agrifood.ac.uk/activity/rapid-evidence-synthesis-training/,
 DOI:
- 775 10.5281/ZENODO.5566492
- 776
- 777 **Title**: Remote sensing for monitoring tropical dryland forests: A review of current
- research, knowledge gaps and future directions for Southern Africa
- 779
- 780
- 781 Authors: Ruusa M. David, Nick J. Rosser, Daniel N.M. Donoghue
- 782
- 783
- 784 Department of Geography, Durham University, Science Laboratories, DH1 3LE
- 785 Durham, UK
- 786 787
- 788 Author Contribution
- 789
- David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results,
 wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the
- research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-
- 793 Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision.
- 794
- 795

797

798

801 Abstract

802 Climate change, manifest via rising temperatures, extreme drought, and associated anthropogenic activities, has a negative impact on the health and development of 803 804 tropical dryland forests. Southern Africa encompasses significant areas of dryland 805 forests that are important to local communities but are facing rapid deforestation 806 and are highly vulnerable to biome degradation from land uses and extreme 807 climate events. Appropriate integration of remote sensing technologies helps to 808 assess and monitor forest ecosystems and provide spatially explicit, operational, 809 and long-term data to assist the sustainable use of tropical environment landscapes. The period from 2010 onwards has seen the rapid development of 810 remote sensing research on tropical forests, which has led to a significant increase 811 812 in the number of scientific publications. This review aims to analyse and synthesise 813 the evidence published in peer review studies with a focus on optical and radar remote sensing of dryland forests in Southern Africa from 1997-2020. For this 814 study, 137 citation indexed research publications have been analysed with respect 815 816 to publication timing, study location, spatial and temporal scale of applied remote sensing data, satellite sensors or platforms employed, research topics considered, 817 818 and overall outcomes of the studies. This enabled us to provide a comprehensive 819 overview of past achievements, current efforts, major research topics studies, EO 820 product gaps/challenges, and to propose ways in which challenges may be 821 overcome. It is hoped that this review will motivate discussion and encourage 822 uptake of new remote sensing tools (e.g., Google Earth Engine (GEE)), data (e.g., the Sentinel satellites), improved vegetation parameters (e.g., red-edge related 823 824 indices, vegetation optical depth (VOD)) and methodologies (e.g., data fusion or 825 deep learning, etc.), where these have potential applications in monitoring dryland 826 forests.

Keywords: Remote sensing, Dryland forests, Southern Africa, Forest monitoring,
SAR, Optical, Systematic review

830 2.1 Introduction

831 2.1.1 Tropical dryland forest

Approximately 40% of the Earth's tropical and subtropical land surface is covered 832 by open or closed forests. Of this, tropical dryland forests account for the largest 833 share at 42%; the remaining 33% is moist forest, and only 25% is rain forest 834 (Murphy et al., 1986; Janzen, 1988). The largest proportion of dryland forests 835 836 ecosystems are found in Africa, accounting for 60 - 80% of the total biome area (three times the area covered by African rain forest) (Bodart et al., 2013; Bullock et 837 al., 1995). Dryland forests hold a significant amount of terrestrial organic carbon 838 839 that may contribute more to climate mitigation and adaptation than previously appreciated (Valentini et al., 2014). Dryland forests also provide diverse ecosystem 840 841 services, including water regulation and erosion control, the provision of food, fuel, 842 and tourism opportunities (Djoudi et al., 2015; Schröder et al., 2021). On the other 843 hand, dryland forests are subject to prolonged dry seasons and their rate of 844 conversion to secondary forests has historically been higher than other tropical 845 forest types (Pennington et al., 2018). According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), these changes have impacts on carbon emissions to the 846 847 atmosphere and forest biodiversity loss that reduce adaptive capacity and resilience to the impact of high temperatures and varying precipitation (IPCC, 848 849 2014).

850 The definition of "dryland forest" remains debatable and controversial, which 851 contributes to the difficulty in accurately assessing and measuring its distribution patterns and status (Blackie et al., 2014). The lack of a clear and comprehensive 852 understanding of general terms including "drylands" and "forests" makes it a 853 challenge to explicitly define dryland forests (Charles-D et al., 2015). Given the fact 854 855 that dryland forests progressively grade into other vegetation types such as moist tropical forests, woodlands, and savannas, also makes clear definitions complex 856 857 (Putz et al., 2010). Walter et al. (1971) noted that the accuracy of estimates of all 858 tropical forest areas is constrained by uncertainty in the distribution of open 859 woodlands in dryland areas, which are extensive in Africa, Australia, and Latin 860 America.

861 In the scientific literature, many different names have been applied to tropical dryland forests, including savanna forests, Sudanian woodland and miombo 862 863 woodland in Africa, monsoon forest in Asia, neotropical dry forests in South 864 America (Chidumayo, 2013; Linares-Palomino et al., 2011; Suresh et al., 2011). The neotropical dry forests in South America have a plethora of names from "caatinga" 865 in northeast Brazil, to "bosque tropical caducifolio" in Mexico, and "cuabal" in 866 867 Cuba, which in part hinders comparisons (Mayes et al., 2017; Sánchez-Azofeifa et al., 2005). For example, Dexter et al. (2015) identified dry deciduous forest in India 868 869 (Suresh et al., 2011), miombo woodland in southern Africa (Chidumayo, 2013), 870 and deciduous dipterocarp forest in continental Asia (Bunyavejchewin et al., 2011) as a form of savanna, and not TDFs, despite the formal classification as TDFs by 871 these studies, and the FAO (FAO, 2001). The Caatinga and Chaco vegetation in 872 Latin America is also considered by some authors as part of the dry forests 873 874 (Gasparri and Grau, 2009; Pennington and Ratter, 2006), although Olson et al., (2001) classifies these regions as a shrubland ecosystem. 875

876 There are several definitions currently available for TDFs, but there is still a lack of 877 consensus in developing a common understanding. Mooney et al. (1995) defined TDFs as forests occurring in the tropical regions characterised by pronounced 878 seasonality in rainfall, where there are several months of severe, or even absolute 879 drought. Sánchez-Azofeifa et al. (2005) broadly defined TDFs as a vegetation type 880 typically dominated by deciduous trees (at least 50% of trees present are drought 881 deciduous), where the mean annual temperature is ≥ 25 °C, total annual 882 883 precipitation ranges between 700 and 2000 mm, and there are three or more dry months every year (precipitation < 100 mm per month). A widely accepted 884 885 definition is that of the FAO, which has identified TDFs as a Global Ecological Zone 886 (GEZ), experiencing a tropical climate, with a dry period of 5 to 8 months and 887 annual rainfall ranges from 500 to 1500 mm; GEZ includes the drier type mbo and Sudanian woodlands, savannah (Africa), caatinga and chaco (South America), and 888 889 dry deciduous dipterocarp forest and woodlands (Asia) (FAO, 2001). For the scope 890 of this review, the FAO. (2001) definition of TDFs was followed because it 891 recognises forests occurring in the dry tropical climate globally including areas with relatively open canopies such as woodlands, and woody stands, then those 892 893 based entirely on climate definitions. The growing body of evidence suggests that Page | 57

the current climate does not define the biogeography of TDFs or determine biome distributions (Staver et al., 2011; Sunderland et al., 2015), particularly in the context of future unprecedented climate change (IPCC, 2007). If climates become sufficiently warmer and drier in the tropics, dry forests may expand into areas that are currently dominated by moist tropical forests (Putz et al., 2010).

899

900 2.1.2 Recent research trends on tropical dry forests

901 2.1.2.1 Geographical research trends on tropical dry forests

Studies have pointed out that dryland forests generally receive a lower number of 902 903 scientific publications and are under-represented in research in comparison with tropical moist forests (Miles et al., 2006; Quesada et al., 2009). Global reviews on 904 drvland forests addressed the imbalance in the geographical coverage of dryland 905 906 forest publications using remote sensing with certain tropical countries such as 907 Latin America receiving the highest publications on dryland forests in comparison 908 to most places in Africa (Blackie et al., 2014; Schröder et al., 2021). To investigate 909 the geographical distribution of tropical dry forest studies, the study initially 910 searched for publications in ISI web of knowledge and Scopus on tropical dryland forests from Asia, Africa, America, and Australia. This search was conducted by 911 912 using the keywords 'Dry Forest', 'Dryland Forest' 'Savan* Woodland', 'Savan* Tree', 'Dryland Vegetation', 'Dry Vegetation' 'Satellite', 'Remote Sensing', 'Optical', 'Radar', 913 914 'Image', 'SAR', 'Earth Observation', 'country/continent e.g., Africa'. In the search 915 period from 1997 to 2020, the study identified 1662 papers for Africa, 1639 for 916 Australia, 1338 for America, and 1134 for Asia. In Africa, when the search was 917 narrowed to individual countries, the results showed that about 743 publications are from the Republic of South Africa (RSA) while 355 publications were from the 918 919 Sahel region of Nigeria. The study also investigated scientific publications from 920 other Southern African countries with dryland forest and 369 publications were 921 identified, including from Botswana (87), Zimbabwe (69), Mozambique (60), 922 Namibia (68), Zambia (49), Angola (24), Lesotho (6), Swaziland (5). When the review combined the scientific publications from the above 8 Southern African 923 924 countries, the results were 369 publications, indicating that publications on Page | 58

dryland forests for the Republic of South Africa were 2.01 times higher than all 8
Southern African countries combined. These results confirm that much less
progress has been made in developing objective methods for assessing the rates of
deforestation/conservation and threats to dryland forests ecosystems in most
Southern African countries except for the Republic of South Africa.

The dryland forests in other parts of the world like Latin America are increasingly 930 931 well studied at local, regional, national and continental scale, particularly with regards to carbon/biomass (Chazdon et al., 2016; Marín-Spiotta et al., 2008), fire 932 (Campos-Vargas et al., 2021; White, 2019; Pereira et al., 2014), climate change 933 (Mendivelso et al., 2014; Castro et al., 2018; González-M et al., 2021), floristic and 934 935 diversity composition (Alvarez-Añorve et al., 2012; Gillespie et al., 2000), 936 ecosystem services (Castillo et al., 2005; Paruelo et al., 2016), Payment for Environmental Services (PES) (Alcañiz and Gutierrez, 2020; Corbera et al., 2009), 937 938 novel conservation approaches sustainable intensification (e.g., for 939 protected/conservation areas) (Méndez et al., 2007; Reynolds et al., 2016) and has 940 the most comprehensive forest change/deforestation and biophysical aspects 941 including species population changes, with extensive use of remote sensing (do Espírito-Santo et al., 2020; Gasparri and Grau, 2009; Stan and Sanchez-Azofeifa, 942 2019; Trejo and Dirzo, 2000; Portillo-Quintero et al., 2012). In terms of reviews, 943 many remote sensing reviews are providing valuable information on TDF's 944 945 biophysical, ecological and socioeconomic at a regional level of Latin America (Castro et al., 2003; Metternicht et al., 2010; Portillo, 2010; Sanchez-Azofeifa et 946 947 al.,2003; Sánchez-Azofeifa et al., 2005; Sánchez-Azofeifa et al., 2013; Stan and Sanchez-Azofeifa, 2019; Quijas et al. 2019), and Australia (Lawley et al., 2016; 948 949 Moore et al., 2016; Fensham et al., 2002). Also, reviews of current progress on 950 dryland forests in individual countries can be found in many neotropics countries 951 such as Mexico (Castillo et al., 2005; Curry, 2020), Venezuela (Fajardo et al., 2005; 952 Rodríguez et al., 2008), and Costa Rica (Frankie et al., 2004; Stoner et al., 2004) 953 enabling the identification of knowledge gaps and aiding in the development of a policy-relevant approach to conservation of these forests (Miles et al., 2006). 954

Latin America is one of the best-represented areas for remote sensing research in
dryland forests, for example, Portillo-Quintero and Sánchez-Azofeifa. (2010)

957 utilised remote sensing data at continental America, dryland forests ecoregion, and 958 neotropics countries to show that 66% of tropical dry forest in the region has 959 already been converted and that in some countries the conversion rate is as high as 960 86% and 95%, respectively. Aide et al. (2012) using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite data estimated that 200,000 km² of woody 961 962 vegetation of Latin American and the Caribbean region were lost due to 963 deforestation between 2001 and 2010. Nanni et al. (2019) utilised MODIS satellite 964 data at 250 m spatial resolution to assess reforestation at the regional level and 965 reported that the reforestation hotspots cover 167,667.7 km² (7.6 %) of Latin America between 2001 and 2014. While there are continental studies in Africa 966 utilising remote sensing on biophysical parameters such as biomass/deforestation 967 (Bouvet et al., 2018; Bodart et al., 2013), as compared to Latin America, these 968 studies may not consider the empirical observations of dryland forests 969 970 extent/change per region or country level. In addition, most continental studies in 971 Africa rather focus the attention on tropical rainforest in Central Africa (e.g., core 972 Congolese forest) which may under-represent dryland forest (e.g., Aleman et al., 973 2018). Global applications often report general land use/cover change which 974 results in inaccurate or poor estimates of dryland forest (Smith et al., 2019; 975 Aleman et al., 2018).

976 Several studies using optical and passive microwave instruments in the African Sahel (Horion et al., 2014; Brandt et al., 2016; Olsson et al., 2005; Tian et al., 2017) 977 has reported that the density/size of woody vegetation stands have increased, with 978 979 few areas in northern Nigeria reported to experience logging and agricultural expansion into forest reserves. Deforestation in Southern Africa is a major concern, 980 981 with ca. 1.4 million ha of net forest loss annually, contributing to increased land 982 degradation and the ensuant impacts on the balance of ecosystem function 983 (Lesolle, 2012). A global study by Tian et al. (2017) utilising the optical Normalised Difference Vegetation (NDVI) index and passive microwave VOD across tropical 984 drylands has reported a decreasing trend in woody vegetation in Southern African 985 986 countries such as Botswana and Zimbabwe. Mitchard and Flintrop. (2013) 987 conducted a coarse-scale analysis of changes in woody vegetation from 1982 to 2006 using NDVI time series from the Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping 988 989 Studies (GIMMS) dataset and found that significant woody encroachment is Page | 60

990 occurring in most west African countries, but, in contrast, in Southern Africa, a 991 rapid reduction in woody vegetation (deforestation) is occurring. Bodart et al. 992 (2013) used Landsat satellite imagery between 1990 and 2000 to estimate forest 993 cover and forest cover changes in the African continent and found that 84% of the 994 total deforested area occurred in the dry ecosystems of the Southern African 995 region, with large spatially concentrated areas of forest loss found in Angola, 996 Mozambique, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe, and isolated hotspots found in 997 Nigeria and the border of the humid forest in Ghana. While such global and 998 continental level studies are useful to highlight and reinforce the need to direct 999 more attention and resources to these threatened/poorly studied ecosystems, research efforts on forest change/deforestation and climate change impacts of 1000 1001 dryland forests at the regional level of Southern Africa are much harder to come by 1002 (Blackie et al., 2014).

2.1.2.2 Remote Sensing approaches research trends in tropical dryforests

1005 In recent decades, satellite remote sensing or Earth observation (EO) has proved a 1006 valuable tool in forest ecology, owing to its capability to perform systematic, 1007 frequent, and synoptic observation of the Earth, resulting in large data volumes 1008 and multiple datasets at varying spatial and temporal scales (Donoghue, 2002; Zhu, 2017). There are several sensors including multi-spectral scanners, laser scanners 1009 1010 (LiDAR), hyper-spectral scanners as well as satellite-borne Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), that provide information on the colour and structure of forest 1011 1012 environments (Donoghue, 2002). EO has been applied to mapping the distribution, 1013 changes in cover and condition including deforestation, desertification, fire damage, and climate impact (Dogru et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2019). Additionally, 1014 1015 these data have been used to estimate biophysical characteristics such as total above ground biomass (AGB), leaf area index (LAI), woody area index, tree 1016 1017 diameter, and canopy height which are key inputs into a variety of ecological 1018 models, as well as calculations of carbon balance and primary production (Barbosa et al., 2014; Donoghue, 2000). The continuous forest metrics obtained using EO 1019 1020 data can be extracted at leaf and crown level to evaluate spectral elements of leaf 1021 or species properties and at stand-level and plot-level, or beyond to understand Page | 61

the variation between and among species, and through time (Muraoka et al., 2009).
Monitoring of dryland forest cover and forest metrics using EO data also helps to
improve the understanding of the ecological drivers behind land cover change
dynamics (Chambers et al., 2007; Veldkamp et al., 2001).

1026 Biomass has extensively been estimated based on the spectral reflectance values from two or more wavelengths, and the sensitivity of optical and near-infrared 1027 1028 wavelengths to photosynthetic canopy cover has long been used for vegetation analyses (Rouse, 1974; Tucker, 1979). Spectral vegetation indices (VIs), including 1029 1030 the NDVI index, are commonly used as a proxy of vegetation cover and have been shown to relate closely to LAI, biomass, and the fraction of photosynthetically 1031 1032 active radiation absorbed by vegetation (fAPAR) (Curran, 1980). Several well-1033 known limitations of NDVI for robust estimation of biomass in drylands exist. NDVI 1034 is sensitive to green components and insensitive to woody components where the 1035 majority of carbon is stored (Tucker, 1979). Also, AGB production is not always 1036 uniformly linked to either greenness or plant structure (herbaceous and woody 1037 compositions), as moisture content and vegetation species composition have been shown to impact the biomass-NDVI relationship (Asner et al., 2009; Wessels et al., 1038 2006). These observations may help explain reportedly weak relationships 1039 1040 between NDVI and tropical forest canopies, particularly for areas with complex 1041 and high vegetation amounts as in TDFs (Foody et al., 2001; Sader et al., 1989). For 1042 example, Madonsela et al. (2018) investigated the interactions between seasonal NDVI and woody canopy cover in the savanna of the Kruger National Park (NP) to 1043 1044 model tree species diversity using a factorial model and found that the interaction 1045 between NDVI and woody canopy cover was insignificant. These challenges have 1046 led to the development of alternative formulations which include correction 1047 factors or constants introduced to account for or minimise, the varying background reflectance (Gitelson et al., 1996; Huete et al., 1999). The Enhanced 1048 Vegetation Index (EVI) is a modification of NDVI that provides complementary 1049 1050 information about the spatial and temporal variations of vegetation while 1051 minimising many of the contamination problems present in the NDVI, such as 1052 those associated with canopy background and atmospheric influences (Huete et al., 1053 2002). Other closely related indices include the Simple Ratio (SR), the Green 1054 Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (GNDVI), Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index Page | 62

1055 (SAVI) amongst others. Xue et al. (2017) provides a detailed review of vegetation1056 indices.

Although vegetation monitoring has been largely based on the multispectral 1057 1058 "greenness" indices, which have proven invaluable for monitoring biophysical and 1059 biogeochemical parameters, it has been widely reported in the literature that they suffer from several weaknesses in dryland ecosystems (Tian et al., 2016; Shi et al., 1060 1061 2008). Other remote sensing systems such as the passive microwave-based satellite systems capture the biomass signal in the parameter termed vegetation 1062 1063 optical depth (VOD) which has been used to monitor changes in vegetation dynamics (Andela et al., 2013; Brandt et al., 2018a; Brandt et al., 2018b). Unlike the 1064 1065 optical remote sensing-based vegetation indices that are sensitive to chlorophyll 1066 abundance and photosynthetically active biomass of the leaves, the vegetation 1067 information (e.g., VOD) deriving from passive microwave instruments is sensitive 1068 to the water content in the total aboveground vegetation, including both the 1069 canopy (e.g. woody plant foliage) and non-green woody (e.g. plant stems and 1070 branches) components due to greater penetration and sensitivity (Liu et al., 2011; 1071 Shi et al., 2008). The passive microwave observations VOD is relatively insensitive to signal degradation from solar illumination and atmospheric effects and provide 1072 1073 a valuable alternative tool for rapid monitoring of carbon stocks and their changes 1074 (Jones et al., 2011). One of the advantages of passive microwave-derived VOD is 1075 that it continues to distinguish biomass variations at a relatively high biomass density, as compared to optical-based vegetation indices which are likely to 1076 1077 become saturated over dense canopies (Jones et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2015). The 1078 main disadvantage of passive microwave observations is the relatively coarse 1079 spatial resolution (>10km), as compared to satellite data in the visible and near-1080 infrared parts of the spectrum; however, these data still have highly useful 1081 applications at regional and global scales (Liu et al., 2015; Rahmoune et al., 2013; 1082 Owe et al., 2001). Some recent global and local studies from Latin America and Africa in the dryland ecosystems found VOD to be more robust against the NDVI 1083 1084 drawbacks of saturation effect and continues to distinguish structural differences 1085 for vegetation with a near-closed canopy when used as a proxy for vegetation 1086 productivity (van Marle et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2011; Tian et al., 1087 2016). Apart from the VOD and NDVI, an intercomparison between several Page | 63

vegetation indices including other passive microwave-based vegetation indices,
such as the Microwave Polarisation Difference Index (MPDI) (Becker & Choudhury,
1988), and the Microwave Vegetation Indices (MVIs) (Shi et al., 2008) would be of
benefit in monitoring dryland biomes.

1092 2.1.3 Review focus justification

1093 The majority of the residents of Southern Africa are poor and about 75% of them 1094 live in rural areas with high reliance on dryland forests (Bond 2010). Additionally, 1095 these dryland areas display a high susceptibility to bush encroachment (O'Connor 1096 et al., 2014) and economic reliance on tourism (Ferreira 2004) and forest products 1097 (Kamwi et al., 2020), which means that both agriculture and tourism development 1098 encroach on the dryland forests, resulting in loss of forest biodiversity and land 1099 degradation (Eva et al., 2006; Petheram et al., 2006). Across Southern Africa, 1100 sustainable management of dryland ecosystems is hindered by complex land 1101 tenure due to historical legacy, weak links between policy and woodland use and 1102 management, and cultural drivers (Balint and Mashinya, 2006; Dewees, 1994). 1103 Also, the dryland ecosystems of Southern Africa are dominated by private land 1104 ownership, a high concentration of wildlife and human populations, and agriculture where TDFs occur (Child et al. 2012). This review focuses on Southern 1105 Africa because there is a gap in knowledge on carbon storage, biomass, and the 1106 1107 long-term trend of forest distribution and degradation in dryland forests. Much of the research on dryland forests in Southern African has concentrated on 1108 1109 livelihoods, community forest management, and conservation/development tradeoffs (Chidumayo et al., 2010; Chidumayo and Gumbo, 2010; Chidumayo, 2019; 1110 1111 Djoudi et al., 2015, Dewees 1994; Du Preez, 2014; Ryan et al., 2016), leaving forests 1112 highly vulnerable to deforestation and degradation (Keenan et al., 2015). The 1113 social and economic aspects are important given the large numbers of African 1114 people that rely on dry forests for their livelihoods and a range of goods and 1115 services. However, the gap in biophysical aspects, threats status, and adaptation to climate change identified for Southern African TDFs at the regional and national 1116 level (Blackie et al., 2014; Sunderland et al., 2015), presents an urgent need for an 1117 assessment of the effectiveness of the EO scientific foundation on current 1118 understanding of TDFs in Southern Africa; this can aid in the development of 1119

policy-relevant approaches and long-term, regional perspective for planning andconservation of the TDFs.

1122 With the prospects of multiple free datasets from optical and SAR sensors being 1123 available; combining information from optical sensors on photosynthetic activity 1124 (e.g., through various vegetation indices) with SAR-derived information on forest structure and volume brings the benefits of higher spectral resolution and 1125 1126 compensating for the shortcomings of using single data products alone. Based on this hypothesis, this review focuses on examining the studies using optical and SAR 1127 sensors, both individually and the combination of the two types of EO data in 1128 1129 monitoring tropical forests. While forest distribution, carbon storage, and reducing 1130 emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+) related research exists in African dryland forests, the geographical focus has tended to be confined 1131 1132 to several West/Central African countries, whereas Southern Africa is relatively 1133 poorly analysed (Lewis et al., 2013; Sunderland et al., 2015). Although numerous 1134 reviews have been conducted discussing the application of optical and radar remote sensing, they are either concentrated on mangroves forests (Kuenzer et al., 1135 2011; Wang et al., 2019), rain forests (Dupuis et al., 2020), or ecosystem services 1136 1137 (Barbosa et al., 2015). To date, reviews on remote sensing and EO in Southern 1138 Africa have focused on research conducted in the Republic of South Africa (RSA) 1139 (Hoffman et al., 2000; Mutanga et al., 2016; Mutanga et al., 2009).

As shown in Fig. 2.1, the climate threats coupled with a growing human population 1140 and future anticipated changes in land use are predicted to lead to severe dry 1141 forest biome shifts and degradation across the whole of Southern Africa, hence the 1142 1143 need to expand the geographical scope of this review from previous work (IPCC, 2014; King, 2014). This paper provides a systematic review of the scientific 1144 literatures related to the use of Earth observation data including SAR and optical 1145 sensors used to study dryland forests, with a focus on Southern Africa. To achieve 1146 1147 this, examples from the literature that summarise past achievements, current 1148 efforts, and knowledge gaps are presented. The objectives of this review are to (i) 1149 to provide a detailed overview of the current approaches and limitations for monitoring dryland forests using optical and radar remote sensing data. (ii) to 1150 1151 provide a critical evaluation and synthesis of the literature monitoring dryland forests using remote sensing data and discuss how EO data can contribute to dryland forest monitoring and forest conservation in Southern Africa. (iii) to identify knowledge gaps and make recommendations for research that will enhance monitoring of dryland forests using remote sensing data.



1156

Fig. 2. 1 (a) Projected biome change from the periods 1961–1990 to 2071–2100 using the
MC1 Dynamic Vegetation Model. (b) Vulnerability of ecosystems to biome shifts based on
historical climate (1901–2002) and projected vegetation (2071–2100) (source: IPCC,
2014).

1161

1162 2.2 Remote sensing applications in dryland forest

1163 **2.2.1 Optical data**

In broad terms, the satellite platforms developed over the past 40 years (since 1165 1972) have carried two broad types of sensor systems; passive optical and active synthetic aperture radar (SAR). Successful change detection and parameter estimation over tropical dryland forests require: (a) correct selection and application of sensor type; (b) coupling with field observation data for calibration

1169 and validation, and (c) data integration and appropriate techniques for modelling 1170 (Fig. 2.2). Optical sensors have been widely used for land cover and forest resource 1171 mapping, providing access to long-term data dating back to the launch of Landsat 1172 ERTS (Earth Resources Technology Satellite) satellites in 1972. Landsat and several other coarse/medium spatial resolution optical sensor missions (National 1173 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) - Advanced Very High-1174 Radiometer (AVHRR); the National Aeronautics and Space 1175 Resolution 1176 Administration (NASA) -Aqua/Terra-Moderate Resolution Imaging 1177 Spectroradiometer (MODIS); Indian Remote Sensing Satellites-1C/1D (ISRO-IRS-1178 1C/D), Sentinel-2) provide well-calibrated, nadir-viewing, near-global systematic 1179 coverage which have built up a valuable archive of image data that can be used to 1180 analyse ecosystem dynamics (Congalton, 2018; Donoghue, 2000). In 2014, ESA launched the Multispectral Instrument (MSI) onboard Sentinel-2 as part of its 1181 1182 Copernicus EO mission. Sentinel-2 MSI uses two identical satellite sensors to measure the Earth's reflected radiance with a revisit time of 5 days and a fine 1183 spatial resolution of 10 - 20 m pixel size. The length of the Sentinel-2 archive is 1184 1185 short (from 2015), compared to the Landsat mission from 1972-present, NOAA-AVHRR 1979-present; Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre VEGETATION 1186 (SPOT/VGT) (1998-present), IRS-1C/1D (ISRO-IRS-1C/D) (1995-2010), ENVISAT -1187 1188 Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) (2002-2010) and the NASA -MODIS (2000-present) and the French Space Agency (CNES-Centre national 1189 d'études spatiales) high-resolution SPOT satellite constellation (6 m - 20 m pixel 1190 size) - SPOT-1 in 1986-1990, SPOT-2 in 1990-2009, SPOT-3 in 1993-2009; SPOT-4 1191 in 1990-2013; SPOT-5 in 2002-present; SPOT-6 in 2012-present; SPOT-7 in 2014-1192 1193 present. The VEGETATION 1 (VGT 1) (1998-2012) and VEGETATION 2 (VGT 2) (2002-2014) instrument on the SPOT 4 and SPOT 5 (SPOT/VGT) satellites 1194 1195 provided global daily monitoring of vegetation cover, and it is successor the 1196 European PROBA-V satellite (2013-present), with a pixel size of 1 km, 300 m and 100 m are supplied by the VEGETATION image Processing Centre (CTIV) of VITO 1197 (Belgium), which can be accessed through the internet site http://free.vgt.vito.be. 1198 Although a large number of satellite sensors have been launched that are capable 1199 of observing land dynamics, and their pixel size has decreased from 80 m of the 1200 1201 Landsat-1 to 0.41-1.65 m of the GeoEye-1 satellites (Aguilar et al., 2013), very few

sensors provide well-calibrated multispectral, nadir-viewing observations and
even fewer systematically capture all global data and provide a long-term archive
of data free of charge to the public. Except for AVHRR and Landsat, no other sensor
or sensor line offers the chance of long-term monitoring of an area to be monitored
back in time to the 1970s, covering about four decades.

There are several non-systematic commercial high-resolution satellites that allow 1207 1208 the detection of individual trees or populations. Maxar Technologies Inc. launched 4 very fine resolution satellites - WorldView-1 in 2007, WorldView-2 in 2009, 1209 1210 WorldView-3 in 2010, and WorldView-4 in 2019 that acquire images with spatial 1211 resolution of 0.5, 0.41, and 0.31 m, respectively. From 2009 onward, Planet labs 1212 launched a swarm of micro-satellites including PlanetScope (PS), RapidEye (RE), 1213 and SkySat (SS) Earth-imaging constellations with multispectral imaging capability with the aim of acquiring daily image capture for any part of the world at a spatial 1214 1215 resolution of 3.125 m to 6.5 m (Marta, 2018). In 2011 and 2012, the Space Agency 1216 of France (CNES) launched the Pléiades – fine resolution optical imaging satellite constellation (Pléiades-1A and Pléiades-1B), with a fine spatial resolution of 0.7 -1217 2.8 m. Other very fine-resolution commercial space imaging satellites include 1218 Earlybird (1997), GeoEye (2008), EROS-A (1998), IKONOS (1999), QuickBird 1219 1220 (2001), OrbView (2001) (Maglione, 2016). In Africa, South Africa started satellite 1221 developments in the 1990s, with the successful launch of SunSat-1 with a spatial 1222 resolution of 15 m in 1999 and SumbandilaSat low orbit satellite with a high fine resolution of 6.25 m in 2009 (Cho et al., 2012; Mutanga et al., 2016). While the first 1223 1224 Nigerian satellite, a microsatellite called NigeriaSat-1, was successfully launched into low earth orbit in 2003, followed by Nigeriasat-2 with a higher spatial 1225 resolution of 2.5 – 5 m, built by Surrey Satellite Technology Limited (SSTL) of UK 1226 1227 (Agbaje, 2010).

1228 Nevertheless, the use of data acquired by higher spatial resolution optical sensors, 1229 particularly at regional and global scales, can be limited by their relatively high 1230 cost, huge data volumes, and low frequency of data acquisition compounded 1231 further in tropical regions where cloud cover is prevalent (Lehmann et al., 2015; 1232 Zhu et al., 2012). The temporal resolution of sensors has also increased from, for 1233 example, 16 days for Landsat to nearly 1 day for the NOAA-AVHRR, NASA-

Aqua/Terra-MODIS, NOAA-AVHRR, SPOT, SPOT/VGT 1234 (PROBA-V), and/or ENVISAT-MERIS data, but with a coarse spatial resolution of 250 m to 1 km (Arino 1235 1236 et al., 2007; Herold et al., 2008). Although lacking fine spatial detail, the daily 1237 temporal resolution of such sensors enables frequent estimation of deforestation, detection of disturbances using dense time series data, and enables gaps due to 1238 cloud cover to be overcome (Mbow et al., 2015). It is important to mention that the 1239 acquisitions of some satellites such as NOAA-AVHRR, IRS-1C/1D, and MERIS 1240 ceased operations, however, the Sentinel, MODIS, SPOT-VGT, and Landsat series 1241 1242 continue to operate, with ongoing continuity of data collection ensured with the 1243 recent launch of Landsat-9 in September 2021.





Fig. 2. 2 Interaction mechanisms for dryland forest canopies and source of variability and
challenges related to each stage of remote sensing monitoring tropical dryland forest
extents. Adapted from Barbosa et al., 2014.
1250 2.2.2 Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)

1251 SAR sensors for civilian applications first appeared in 1978 with NASA's SeaSat but have grown in importance as a tool for forest studies. SAR sensors can operate at 1252 different frequencies and polarisations; these system parameters provide 1253 1254 information on the roughness and scattering properties of forest canopies and data 1255 can be captured day and night independent of weather conditions (Durden et al., 1256 1989). Since SAR can penetrate cloud, rain, smoke, and haze, and it is a valuable source of data when atmospheric conditions hamper optical data capture, 1257 particularly in the tropical dryland forest such as Southern Africa where the cloud 1258 1259 and smoke from forest fires are prominent features (Le Canut et al., 1996). Radar 1260 signals are sensitive to moisture, variations, surface roughness, and vegetation 1261 structure properties, whereas data from optical systems use characteristics related 1262 to reflected solar illumination or surface temperature (for thermal infrared 1263 sensors) as a basis for discrimination of the land cover (Kasischke et al., 1997; Mitchard et al., 2009). Cloud cover-free SAR images have great potential in the 1264 1265 dryland tropical areas but have been used less often for forest monitoring applications compared to optical imagery, partly because of the scarcity of data 1266 (Castro et al., 2003). Since the launch of the Sentinel-1A and B, dense SAR time-1267 series data are now available over tropical forest areas freely and openly, with 1268 systematic acquisitions at a 10 m spatial resolution and a 6 - 12 day revisit time 1269 1270 (dependent on the location) in all weather conditions.

Over the last 30 years, several satellite-borne SAR has been launched, including the 1271 1272 United State Spaceborne Imaging Radar-Synthetic Aperture Radar (SIR-C/X-SAR), 1273 European Remote Sensing (ERS-1/-2), Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar (ASAR), 1274 Japanese Earth Resources Satellite (JERS-1), Advanced Land Observation Satellite (ALOS/PALSAR-1/-2), German TerraSAR-X, and the Canadian RADARSAT-1/-2 1275 1276 (Shimada, 2018). Depending on the sensor configuration, a single channel 1277 (wavelength/frequency) or multiple channels may be recorded in either single or multiple polarisations. Generally, studies have reported that the longer the 1278 1279 wavelength (e.g. P (30–100 cm) and L (15–30 cm)), the further is its penetration

1280 into the forest and the greater the importance of scattering beyond the upper 1281 canopy (Huang et al., 2015). Besides the greater sensitivity of longer radar 1282 wavelengths to forest structure, different studies indicate that cross-polarised 1283 backscatter (HV-horizontally transmitted, and vertically received, VH-vertically transmitted and horizontally received) often exhibits greater sensitivity to forest 1284 biomass than like-polarised backscatter (co-polarised bands: HH-horizontally 1285 1286 transmitted and horizontally received, VV-vertically transmitted and vertically received) (Kasischke et al., 1997). 1287

1288 2.2.3 Limitations of optical and radar, and benefits of 1289 combining sensors

1290 Despite the different generations and types of satellite sensors, no one sensor 1291 currently meets fully the requirements of a comprehensive forest resource 1292 assessment EO system. The selection of an appropriate source of data requires first 1293 the identification of the ecological question being asked, identification of the 1294 limitations and advantages of each sensor. The varying temporal, spatial, spectral, 1295 and radiometric resolutions unique to the individual sensor system, result in 1296 different advantages and disadvantages to the monitoring of dryland ecosystems (Lu, 2006). Optical data are limited in the monitoring of this forest type. For 1297 1298 example (1) cloud and smoke severely limit the use of optical products (Le Canut 1299 et al., 1996); (2) Dramatic seasonal changes in the dryland forests conditions 1300 including droughts and leaf shedding make it unsuitable for systematic all-season monitoring of this forest type (Boggs, 2010). One of the reasons for this is 1301 1302 associated with the seasonality of the tropical vegetation: during the wet season, cloud-free satellite imagery is difficult to acquire, while during the dry season 1303 1304 when the imagery is more available, the leaf-off configuration of the forest causes 1305 misclassification with savanna shrubland or grassland; (3 Optical data is sensitive at the early stages of growth but as forest canopies close, reflected radiation is no 1306 1307 longer sensitive to biomass as the reflectance signal saturates at higher biomass 1308 values (Lu, 2006); (4) Passive optical sensors only detect the surface top layer, 1309 meaning that forest canopy obscures the understory, and similarly grasses/crops 1310 obscure soil; (5) Changes in the spectral properties of the soil and atmosphere can

also hinder the inference of forest cover properties (Santos et al., 2002; Wang et al.,1312 1998).

Similarly, there are a number of challenges to analysing and interpreting radar 1313 1314 images for tropical forest applications, which include: (1) Difficulty in interpreting radar backscatter, including, for example, speckle, which is unwanted random 1315 noise inherent in all SAR images, which may increase measurement uncertainty 1316 1317 and make interpretation difficult (Klogo et al., 2013); (2) Topography is a major limitation in mountainous regions due to geometric and radiometric effects such as 1318 1319 radar shadowing caused by foreshortening and layover when the satellite is not able to illuminate the whole ground surface (Mitchard et al., 2009); (3) SAR 1320 1321 observations often lack a long-term and dense time series because they demand a 1322 relatively high energy provision on satellite platforms. Until recently, satellite-1323 based SAR data for multi-temporal assessments over large areas were constrained 1324 by coarse spatial and temporal coverage at medium resolution, although this now 1325 may be overcome with acquisitions from the recently launched C-band Sentinel-1 and L-band ALOS-2 satellite missions (Reiche et al., 2016). 1326

1327 Rather than using EO data from a single satellite sensor, the synergy of remotely 1328 sensed data from multiple sensors, particularly SAR systems with those acquired 1329 by optical sensors, has been shown to be beneficial for forest resource assessment 1330 (Lehmann et al., 2015). Because optical data is capable of measuring the reflectance of the topmost layer of the forest canopy and SAR data deliver useful 1331 within-canopy biophysical parameters without being affected by cloud cover and 1332 1333 weather conditions, one dataset may compensate for the shortcomings of the other 1334 (Reiche et al., 2016). Previous research indicated that integration of optical and radar can improve land and forest cover characterisation (Symeonakis et al., 1335 2018). For example, the fusion of optical and radar sensor data has the potential to 1336 1337 improve AGB estimation because it may compensate for the mixed pixels in a 1338 tropical forest area. In addition to the spectral synergy afforded, the cloud 1339 penetrating capability of microwave radar sensors allows areas that have missing optical data to be included in analyses, particularly if multi-temporal methods are 1340 1341 being employed (Reiche et al., 2016).

1342 2.3 Methodology

This review focused on scientific papers studying tropical dryland forests 1343 1344 and made use of remote sensing data to monitor and estimate changes in dryland 1345 forests. Airborne remote sensing studies were excluded from this review process, since the review's major focus lies on satellite Earth observation of dryland forests 1346 and because the acquisition of airborne sensors have low area coverage and high 1347 cost per unit area of ground coverage (e.g., the airborne hyperspectral images), 1348 1349 making them spatially and temporally limited in most African countries. The 1350 systematic search approach taken to querying the literature was carried out by making use of selective keyword searches in the form of structured queries using 1351 1352 field tags and Boolean operators through the Web of Science (http://apps.webofknowledge.com) 1353 and Scopus (http://www.scopus.com) 1354 databases. At each query, terms and keywords such as 'Dryland forests', 'Savan*', 'Woodland', 'Tree', 'Vegetation', 'Satellite', 'Remote Sensing', 'Optical', 'Radar', 1355 1356 'Image', 'SAR', and 'Earth Observation' were used to produce an extensive list of 1357 articles, where * is a wildcard search. The results were further refined with 1358 keywords such as 'Forest change', 'Degradation', 'Deforestation', 'Trend', 'Biodiversity', 'Phenology', 'Biomass', 'Structural parameter', and also keywords 1359 1360 representing the countries in Southern Africa, such as 'Botswana', 'Namibia', 'Mozambique', 'South Africa', to provide a comparison in terms of the numbers of 1361 studies undertaken across the region. Within the context of this review, all 1362 research articles were categorised into eight categories, including: 'Land-use/land-1363 cover', 'Forest cover/types', 'Biomass', 'Forest structure', 'Biodiversity/habitats', 1364 1365 'Phenology', 'Plant traits', and 'Disturbances'. Articles with a publication date between 1997 and 2020 were considered, capturing a period of two decades 1366 1367 within the review, based on a broad set of inclusion criteria:

1368 1. The paper should address dryland forests and remote sensing as either1369 main or secondary subjects.

1370 2. The selection terms and keywords should exist as a whole in at least one of1371 the fields: title, keywords, and abstract.

1372 3. The paper should be published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal.

1373 4. The paper should be written in the English language.

1374 During the data extraction process and literature search, the research aimed to 1375 find studies meeting the criteria for peer-reviewed publications, available through 1376 the chosen indexed bibliographic databases. For this reason, the literature search did not include general non-scientific reports, books, grey literature, thesis 1377 documents or dissertations, extended abstracts, or presentations. The initial steps 1378 1379 of the search process returned 1,478 published articles. Additional publications were added to the total set of studies by identifying relevant literature found in the 1380 1381 reference lists of these selected papers that conform to the inclusion criteria. The review methodology was guided by the Guidelines for Systematic Review and 1382 1383 Evidence Synthesis in Environmental Management (Collaboration for 1384 Environmental Evidence, 2013). A systematic review and meta-analysis were 1385 undertaken and framed based on the PICO (population, intervention, comparison, 1386 outcomes) model (McKenzie et al., 2019) and reported using PRISMA (Preferred 1387 Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow diagram (Moher et al., 2009). The 1,478 articles were reduced to 870 articles as only the studies 1388 that had a full text available in English, papers published in peer-reviewed journals 1389 1390 were selected for inclusion in the review, and all repetitions across databases were 1391 removed. Initially, the titles and abstracts were screened to assess eligibility, by 1392 searching for predefined keywords and terms of the abstract or summary, 1393 identifying terms 'dry or dryland forests and the country or countries where the research took place. In this way, studies not conducted in Southern Africa or 1394 1395 dryland forests were filtered out, which reduced papers from 870 to 599 papers. 1396 The screening was followed by a full-text assessment that reduced the papers to 1397 270 by excluding studies that, for example, mentioned the term 'dryland forest' 1398 once in the abstract but did not investigate dryland forests, as outlined in the 1399 PRISMA flow diagram in Fig. 3.3. The search was subsequently refined by assigning 1400 the papers to each of the study aims they addressed and to each category for the 1401 variables identified in the search protocol, reviewing the methodologies of each 1402 publication, excluding them from further analysis if they did not meet the inclusion 1403 criteria on review. These steps reduced the total number of entries to 137 scientific publications. The selected literature was reviewed systematically, 1404 1405 searching for specific information regarding the publication temporal Page | 74

development, study location, remote sensing sensor/platform used, spatial and temporal coverage, remote sensing product (e.g., biophysical indices) used, and application areas of the study (e.g., land cover, forest biomass). The parameters used to extract relevant information from the remaining 137 identified scientific publications are in Table 2.1. Fig. 3.3 is a PRISMA schematic representation of the methodology used and the derivation of the final number of articles selected.



1412

Fig. 2. 3 PRISMA follow diagram (Moher et al., 2009) showing the flow of informationthrough the different phases of the systematic review

1415

1417 Table 2. 1 Parameters used to extract relevant information for this review

General information
Paper Id
1st author's institution
Research institute city
Publication year
Publishing Journal
Journal category
No of Citation
Study type
Site specific information
Location of the study area
Study country
Forest management area
Predominant forest type
Information on remote sensing data
Sensor Type
Instrument name
Image resolution
Time period observed
Temporal resolution of EO data
Database used
Information on research
Research topic considered:
Forest cover/type, disturbance, phenology, biodiversity/habitats, plant traits, land cover/land use
Parameters examined in the study
Examined object scale
Applied methodology
Information on validation and accuracy of results
Database used

1418 **2.4 Results**

1419 2.4.1 Temporal development of publications and author1420 affiliations

1421 From the literature search, the cumulative number of published research papers 1422 integrating remote sensing data in dryland forests of Southern Africa grew 1423 exponentially from 2 in 1997 to 155 in 2020. The temporal development of the 137 1424 investigated research articles is illustrated in Fig. 2.4. The graphic shows that the 1425 number of studies has increased significantly over the last 23 years, with the 1426 majority of the studies published from 2013. More than 105 (80%) of articles were published from 2009 to 2020 and only 4 (3%) of articles were published before 1427 1428 2000. The growth in number is also related to the increased availability of remote 1429 sensing platforms, sensors, data, for example, Landsat 8 in 2013 and Sentinel 1430 satellite in 2014, respectively.



Fig. 2. 4. Number of papers included in the review integrating remote sensing and drylandforests in Southern Africa published annually between 1997 and 2020.

1435 In the review, only studies within Southern Africa were considered; however, the majority of first authors, 83 (61%) of 137 investigated papers, are mainly 1436 1437 scientists from international research institutions outside of the focus region, mainly the USA, UK, Portugal, Germany, and The Netherlands (Fig. 2.5). Conversely, 1438 1439 the majority of first author institutions from Africa, 37 (27%) of published papers, 1440 were from RSA research institutions. The state funded research institutions in 1441 Southern Africa shown in Fig. 2.5 include South African Council for Scientific and 1442 Industrial Research (CSIR), South African National Space Agency (SANSA), Water 1443 Resource Commission of South Africa, South Africa Agricultural Research Council, Range and Forage Institute, Botswanan Harry Oppenheimer Okavango Research 1444 Centre, Desert Research Foundation of Namibia, and Namibia Ministry of 1445 1446 Environment and Tourism. Considering the 137 studies conducted, about 120 1447 (90%) of the first authors are affiliated with either International and RSA institutions, but no first authors were from Zambia, Lesotho, or Angola. 1448



1450 Fig. 2. 5. Number of papers by research institutions.

1452 2.4.2 Spatial coverage, spatial extent, and investigated 1453 protected areas

1454 Looking at the spatial scale of the study areas, the research distinguished between 1455 studies done at a local community level in a single country, termed local scale, and 1456 studies done at more than one local community or province termed regional scale. 1457 Also studies done at the national level and the whole of Southern Africa were 1458 considered. If a study covered more than three countries, it was counted as an 1459 analysis of Southern Africa. The spatial extent of the studies in the review is shown in Fig. 2.6. The majority 88 (64%) of the investigated studies focused on a local 1460 scale, despite the need for regional scale information on dryland forest 1461 distribution. From Fig. 2.6, out of 137 investigated research papers, 20 (15%) and 1462

1463 13 (9%) research papers covered regional and national scales, respectively. Only 1464 10 (7%) out of 137 research papers dealt with transboundary protected areas, 1465 while 6 (4%) of research papers were covering Southern African, considering the 1466 region as a whole, using mainly multispectral data of large spatial resolution of 1467 1km to 8km (MODIS, SPOT, and AVHRR) to generate information on phenology, 1468 and vegetation condition (fire or drought), as shown in Fig. 2.8.



1469



1471

From Fig. 2.7, it is evident that considerable gaps in geographical focus of research on tropical dryland forests mapping still exist in Southern Africa. With respect to spatial coverage of the research, most studies, 50 (36%) of research papers were carried out in RSA, followed by Namibia and Botswana, with 22 (16%) and 18 (13%) of research papers, respectively. Swaziland, Angola, and Lesotho were the least frequently investigated, each with < 10 papers. Angolan dryland forests are even less well studied with 4 (6%) of research papers, despite being found 1479 extensively in that country. Fig. 2.7 also shows the location of the most frequently 1480 studied protected areas. By far, the most studied was the Kruger National Park 1481 (NP) in RSA, involving research by local and foreign researchers from as far afield 1482 as the USA, the UK, and beyond. With this interest in the Kruger NP, there is, unfortunately, a lack of attention on other conservation areas and parks in 1483 1484 Southern Africa. Kruger NP was the only subject of more than one-third, 23 (37%) 1485 of the 61 of all reviewed papers on protected areas. The second most frequently studied protected areas are the Etosha NP in Namibia with 6 (8%) of papers, 1486 1487 Chobe NP with 4 (7%) of papers, and Kwando, Kavango and Zambezi transboundary NP with 8 (13%) of papers). Malipati Safari Area, South Luangwa 1488 NP, Gorongosa NP, and Central Kalahari Game Reserve were each studied 3 (5%) 1489 1490 and 2 (3%) times.



Fig. 2. 7. Number of studies per country and National Park in Southern Africa. (Note: The
data are not scaled to the proportion of dryland forest area of countries, and National
Parks with fewer or no publications are not shown. Source: FAO, (1999). Reproduced with
permission).

1496 To identify land surface changes and the drivers behind these, as well as short- and 1497 long-term trends, it is essential that EO temporal coverage has sufficiently frequent 1498 revisit periods and resolutions. Nonetheless, this is not an easy task since the 1499 availability of remote sensing data for long-term monitoring is constrained by 1500 sensor characteristics (e.g., revisit time) and environmental factors (e.g., cloud cover). Looking at the temporal resolution of the EO datasets used, the research 1501 1502 distinguished between data acquired at a single point in time on a monthly basis, 1503 termed mono-temporal analyses, and on a single annual basis, termed mono-1504 annual analyses. In addition, multi-temporal and multi-annual to separate monthly

1505 and yearly analyses studies were considered. From Fig. 2.8 it is seen that the 1506 majority of published material has focused on a single temporal period. The 1507 majority of studies involved mapping over two or more years (multi-1508 temporal/multi-annual) comparing images at two or more different times, with a 1509 bi-temporal approach based on discrete classification (e.g., Chiteculo et al., 2018; 1510 Coetzer-Hanack et al., 2016; Matavire et al., 2015). Although the bi-temporal approach is mathematically simple and does not require large data storage, it is 1511 less useful compared to the time series approach that can provide a more 1512 1513 comprehensive understanding of the complexity of the Earth's land surface 1514 dynamics. Very few studies feature time series analysis, which is required to 1515 perform continuous long-term monitoring of changes in a tropical forest 1516 ecosystem. The majority of articles on time series analysed multi-annual data, which masks within-year variations, as compared to the detail provided at a 1517 1518 monthly temporal scale (e.g., Akinyemi et al., 2019; Venter et al., 2020; Verlinden et al., 2006a; Wessels et al., 2006). Only 22 (16%) out of the 137 studies analysed 1519 more than 15 years and only 11 (8%) studies covered more than 20 years using 1520 1521 monthly time series (e.g., Bunting et al., 2018; Schultz et al., 2018).

1522

1523

1524

1525



Fig. 2. 8. Temporal duration of studies included in the review integrating remote sensingand dryland forests in Southern Africa between 1997 and 2020.

1530 2.4.3 Research topics

The study classified the large number of research topics into eight broad categories that cover the diversity of research into dryland forests. The eight categories, and the number of studies belonging to each of them, are shown in Fig. 2.9.

Chapter 2



1535

Fig. 2. 9. Research topic categories of reviewed articles between 1997 and 2020. Note thatsome studies cover different topics, which may result in multiple entries.

1538

1539 2.4.3.1 Land cover/land use

Land-cover change is one of the most researched areas using EO in Southern 1540 1541 Africa, with 36 (23%) publications making it the second most common topic. Landuse/cover describing land surface classification, typically represented in thematic 1542 maps of different dryland vegetation were considered. Land-use/cover changes 1543 with a specific focus on other dryland vegetation such as rangelands, grassland, 1544 coastal vegetation, or plantation forests without covering dryland forests were 1545 1546 excluded. The majority of publications on land-use/land-cover used optical data. 1547 For example, Landsat data have been used by more than 90% of publications, except Daskin et al. (2016) and Hüttich et al. (2011) which used RapidEye and 1548 1549 MODIS data. Only one publication used a combination of Radar and optical data (Symeonakis et al., 2018). Sentinel data have not been utilised for land cover and 1550 1551 land use study in the reviewed papers, probably due to the relatively recent 1552 availability of these data. Looking at scale, the majority of papers on land-cover change focused on the local scale in Southern Africa, but there is still a general lack 1553 Page | 85

of synthesis of land-use /cover change assessment at the regional, national orsubcontinental scale (Fig. 2.6).

1556 2.4.3.2 Forest cover/type

The majority of publications, 46 (31%) of studies cover the topic "Forest 1557 cover/type". The forest cover/type comprises the generation of a forest/non-forest 1558 1559 mask (Dlamini, 2017; Heckel et al., 2020), forest cover change estimation (Erkkilä 1560 et al., 1999; Ringrose et al., 2002), forest type discrimination between dryland forests (McCarthy et al., 2005), forest health assessment (Herrero et al., 2020), 1561 1562 woody cover (Boggs, 2010; Ibrahim et al., 2018), and tree species classification 1563 (Adelabu et al., 2013; Hüttich et al., 2009). The majority of forest type/cover 1564 mapping was undertaken with optical multi-spectral data including Landsat, MODIS, and AVHRR and a few studies used high-resolution data such as RapidEye, 1565 1566 GeoEye, and WorldView. On the other hand, a few studies on forest cover/type mapping used a combination of multispectral and spaceborne SAR data (X-band, C-1567 band, and L-band) such as Landsat and JERS-1 (Bucini et al., 2009), Landsat and 1568 ALOS PALSAR (Higginbottom et al., 2018; Naidoo et al., 2016) and Sentinel-1 and -1569 2 (Heckel et al., 2020) (Fig. 2.10). 1570

A few studies on forest cover/type mapping relied on field data (Bucini et al., 2009; 1571 Ibrahim et al., 2018; Schultz et al., 2018) or forest inventory plots (Heckel et al., 1572 2020). Most studies did not include detailed field measurements (species 1573 1574 composition, density, frequency, dominance, and basal area, percentage soil cover, 1575 total height) and had very few field samples (Gessner et al., 2013). Other studies 1576 relied on fine resolution EO data (Dlamini, 2017; Higginbottom et al., 2018), and 1577 published maps (Westinga et al., 2020) as reference data to validate their results. 1578 The majority of studies did not perform any form of accuracy assessment or 1579 validation of quantitative estimates (e.g., Campo-Bescós et al., 2013; Harris et al., 1580 2014). Forest cover and species mapping is essential for many forestry-related tasks and play a key role in sustainable forest management; the importance of 1581 1582 these topics can be seen in the fact that they are addressed across all countries in 1583 Southern Africa, with the majority of studies conducted in RSA, followed by 1584 Namibia and Botswana (Fig. 2.11).



Fig. 2. 10. Number of studies based upon platform and sensor type. Note that studiesinvestigating forest change with multiple platforms were counted multiple times.

1589 2.4.3.3 Forest biomass and structures

Fifteen research papers (10%) studied forest biomass, and fourteen publications (10%) assessed "forest structure". Studies on biomass included the estimation of AGB (Dube et al., 2018; Mutanga et al., 2006), and changes in carbon stock (Gara et al., 2017). Some of the publications used National Forest Inventory (NFI) data (Halperin et al., 2016; Verbesselt et al., 2007), and field-based samples (Mareya et al., 2018; Tsalyuk et al., 2017) to estimate biomass in Southern Africa.

Forest structure in the review includes research on stand structure (Mathieu et al.,
2013), canopy cover (Erkkilä et al., 1999; Huemmrich et al., 2005), canopy gaps
(Cho et al., 2015), and stand density (Adjorlolo et al., 2013). The majority of studies

1599 on "forest structure" in Southern Africa dealt with canopy cover (e.g., Adjorlolo et 1600 al., 2014; Yang et al., 2000). Very few studies considered vertical forest structure 1601 including tree height and tree crown diameter (e.g., Verlinden et al., 2006b). 1602 Mareya et al. (2018) utilised freely available fine resolution Google satellite imagery in combination with object-based image analysis (OBIA) to estimate tree 1603 1604 crown areas in miombo forests and found the overall accuracy to be low and unsuitable when high accuracy is required. Some of the "forest structure" 1605 1606 publications are also assigned to the research topic "biomass", which discusses the 1607 relevance of forest structure for biomass (Meyer et al., 2014). Forest structure is 1608 also a very important parameter when it comes to habitat suitability, species 1609 diversity, biodiversity estimation, and conversation studies and thus some 1610 publications cover both topics (e.g., Akinyemi et al., 2019).

The methods applied in the biomass and forest structure publications are diverse. 1611 1612 Most studies employed some sort of regression analysis between in-situ field data and EO data, with the most popular methods being random forests, support vector 1613 machines, kriging, linear and generalised linear models (Berger et al., 2019; 1614 Carreiras et al., 2013; Halperin et al., 2016; Mutanga et al., 2006; Wingate et al., 1615 2018). Williams et al. (2013) utilised the simple ensemble model to analyse 1616 1617 biomass dynamics and found that biomass distributions can diagnose disturbance processes in miombo woodlands. Most studies utilised the normalised difference 1618 1619 vegetation index (NDVI) in dryland forest mapping to correlate with biomass (Gizachew et al., 2016; Wessels et al., 2006), but very few studies considered other 1620 1621 vegetation indices such as red-edge (RE)-computed indices (e.g., Dube et al., 2018; 1622 Gara et al., 2016). For the most part, optical sensors were used to derive forest biomass and structures, only four papers utilised radar data, and one paper used a 1623 1624 combination of radar and optical data to estimate biomass (Wingate et al., 2018). 1625 More research is needed to explore the improvement of forest AGB and forest 1626 structure estimation through multi-sensor (optical and radar) data fusion.

1627 2.4.3.4 Climate change and disturbances

Here the study refer to dryland forests stress monitoring e.g., damage due to fire,climate/weather-related hazards including drought events, floods, extreme

1630 temperatures as part of climate change and disturbances. Twenty-one papers 1631 (13%) investigated disturbances to forest cover. Among the different forms of 1632 disturbance, fire damage was the most commonly studied (Mayr et al., 2018; 1633 Pricope et al., 2012; Roy et al., 2019; Silva et al., 2003). In the context of threats of climate change, other disturbances included drought (Lawal et al., 2019; 1634 Marumbwa et al., 2021; Urban et al., 2018) and floods (Pricope et al., 2015). A 1635 regional studies Lawal et al. (2019) used gridded climate data from the Climate 1636 Research Unit and GMMS NDVI to characterise the impact of drought to vegetation 1637 1638 in southern Africa from 1981 to 2005; They found that the responses of vegetation 1639 varied according to season and biome, and showed that droughts had extensive 1640 impacts over the central parts of South Africa and Namibia, and the southern 1641 border of Botswana and the western parts of Zambia. In this review, only studies that investigated climate change in terms of temperature/drought in dryland 1642 1643 forests where satellite data are a primary or secondary source of data were considered. Although there are a number of studies on climate change modelling in 1644 Southern Africa, the results show that there is a striking lack of studies 1645 1646 investigating climate change into dryland forest change and stress monitoring.

The sensors used to detect disturbances differs, with most studies using MODIS 1647 1648 (Alleaume et al., 2005; Archibald et al., 2009; Chongo et al., 2007; Giglio et al., 2009), two publications used SPOT-VGT (Silva et al., 2003; Verbesselt et al., 2006), 1649 and one Landsat and Sentinel-2 (Roy et al., 2019). Only two publications utilised 1650 SAR data. Mathieu et al. (2019) investigated SAR Sentinel-1A C-band images for 1651 1652 detecting surface fires in the Kruger NP, while Williams et al. (2013) used ALOS PALSAR to analyse known disturbance agents in tropical woodlands in 1653 Mozambique. The research by Urban et al. (2018) used Sentinel-1 SAR time series 1654 1655 NDVI from Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 to derive surface moisture for drought 1656 monitoring in the Kruger NP between 2015 and 2017. A combination/fusion of 1657 SAR and Optical data for detecting disturbances is not tested by any study. Only one study used field data as input data for validation (Alleaume et al., 2005), while 1658 1659 two studies used forest inventory data (Verbesselt et al., 2006; Verlinden et al., 1660 2006a).



1661 2.4.3.5 Biodiversity, plant traits, and phenology

Fig. 2. 11. Research topic by country. Note that the order of the mentioned topics haschanged when compared to Fig. 2.9 as some studies were conducted in several countries.

1665

Twelve (8%) of the reviewed publications dealt with research questions in the 1666 1667 context of forest biodiversity. Almost half of the papers on forest biodiversity examined plant species diversity (Adjorlolo et al., 2014; Chapungu et al., 2020; 1668 1669 Mapfumo et al., 2016). Others looked at animal species and habitat suitability (e.g., 1670 Cáceres et al. (2015) for birds, Ducheyne et al. (2009) for tsetse flies, impala (Van 1671 Bommel et al., 2006), and elephants (Marston et al., 2020). Forest biodiversity is 1672 often related to structural canopy parameters. Most studies, nine (75%) of twelve used Landsat to derive parameters such as plant canopy height, species 1673 occurrence, richness, and diversity. Three (25%) of the studies used MODIS data 1674 1675 (e.g., Fullman et al. (2014) used MODIS at 250 m pixel resolution and a Moving 1676 Standard Deviation Index (MSDI) to detect elephant-modified vegetation along the 1677 Chobe riverfront in Botswana; Akinyemi et al. (2019) utilised 1 km spatial Page | 90

resolution of SPOT - VGT and PROBA-V annual time series of 18 years to
understand species diversity and richness assessment based on the Vegetation
Degradation Index in Palapye Botswana.; Adjorlolo et al. (2014) investigated the
utility of SPOT-5 multispectral data to assess tree equivalents and total leaf mass to
model grazing and browsing capacity in KwaZul-Natal province in RSA.

Five papers (3%) dealt with different plant characteristics, known as plant 1683 1684 functional traits. These include canopy chlorophyll content (Cho et al., 2012), leaf nitrogen concentration (Cho et al., 2013), and vegetation water content (Verbesselt 1685 et al., 2006), and Leaf Area Index (LAI) (Scholes et al., 2004). Plant functional traits 1686 1687 including vegetation biophysical and biochemical properties (e.g., pigment levels, 1688 nitrogen content) are often related to patterns of biodiversity. Huemmrich et al. 1689 (2005) explored monthly MODIS data at 1 km spatial resolution over two years to 1690 estimate LAI and the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation 1691 (FAPAR) and found that ground-measured LAI values correspond well with MODIS 1692 LAI, and showed a discrepancy with FAPAR. Cho et al. (2012) utilised variogram 1693 analysis and the red edge shift from SumbandilaSat and SPOT 5 to estimate canopy chlorophyll content in Dukuduku forest in Southern Africa and found that 1694 SumbandilaSat provides additional information for quantifying stress in vegetation 1695 1696 as compared to SPOT image data. All studies on plant traits were undertaken at the local scale. 1697

Looking at research categories per country, biodiversity/habitat publications were mainly undertaken in Botswana and RSA (Fig. 2.11). All studies in the context of forest biodiversity and plant traits covered only mono-temporal and multi-annual classifications. Only two studies utilised multi-annual time series (Akinyemi et al., 2019; Verbesselt et al., 2006), and one study used MODIS multi-temporal time series over two years (Huemmrich et al., 2005). All of these studies focused on a coarse resolution of 1 km.

Phenology is also strongly linked to plant traits, but analysis puts more emphasis
on the seasonal variations including growing season (green-up date) (Archibald et
al., 2007; Whitecross et al., 2017), end of the season, and length of the season
(Davis et al., 2017). To date, phenological research in Southern African dryland
forests is limited, and more than half of the published papers on phenology focused
Page | 91

1710 only on examples from RSA. In the few studies that have analysed phenology, most 1711 studies dealt with estimating leaf flush and early-greening dates (Chidumayo, 1712 2001; Higgins et al., 2011). For example, Archibald et al. (2007) developed an 1713 intricate algorithm that used MODIS NDVI products and field-based parameter estimates to predict green-up dates for grass and tree components at a site in the 1714 Kruger NP in RSA. Jolly et al. (2004) compared a water balance model to a 3-year 1715 1716 NDVI time series and found the deviation between the onset of leaf flush predicted by the model and empirical data was between 10 and 40 days. 1717

1718 2.5 Discussion

1719 2.5.1 Temporal extent

1720 In this article, the current research with EO on dryland forests, with a particular 1721 focus on Southern Africa were synthesised. Although the volume of scientific 1722 literature has demonstrated a sharp increase, the use of remote sensing is still 1723 limited, and up until 2013, the number of publications on this topic was relatively small. Substantial research on the dryland forests of Southern African is mainly 1724 1725 based on single-date observations, and comparing classified images at two or more 1726 different times. Maps that relate successive land cover change between two dates typically lack information regarding underlying processes and do not enable 1727 insights on the nature of the transformations present, such as the rate or 1728 persistence of change (Lambin et al., 2003). Time series analysis on dryland 1729 1730 forests, which enables tracking changes is scarce, only 22 (16%) out of 137 studies feature time series lengths that exceed 15 years and only 11 (8%) studies that 1731 1732 cover more than 20 years. Longer time series of remote sensing data afford the ability to assess the dynamics of forest structures, biodiversity, degradation, 1733 1734 disturbance from climatic extremes, and change in phenology, in which a gap still 1735 exists.

1736 2.5.2 Spatial scale

Another finding that stands out from the analyses is that there are very few studiesat the national and regional levels. Despite new sensor and EO data availability, it

1739 is clear that a systematic and consistent regional monitoring of dryland forests is not yet fully exploited and is still in its infancy in Southern Africa. In fact, the 1740 1741 majority of publications 88 (64%) concentrated their research efforts on local 1742 scale investigations (Fig. 2.6). Desanker et al. (2001) and Geist (2002) also emphasised that Southern Africa is limited to local-scale studies, thereby lacking a 1743 simultaneous analysis of the impacts of these changes at a larger scale. To fully 1744 assess regional and long-term implications for tropical dryland forest change 1745 studies, analyses on large(r) scales are needed, ideally with higher spatial 1746 resolutions and longer temporal duration. 1747

1748 2.5.3 Accuracy assessment

1749 Through evaluation of the literature, the review identified that the assessment of 1750 accuracy for thematic/classified maps and statistical data to be another important 1751 issue, with only 54 (39%) of the studies appearing to have performed some form of 1752 accuracy assessment. The results show there is limited information on sources of 1753 error and uncertainty levels of the estimates provided by most studies. The review found that most forest and vegetation-related scientific outputs in Southern Africa 1754 1755 are not yet strongly linked to field measurements and forest inventory data. Among the reviewed studies, very few studies utilised field test sites/ ground-1756 based independent datasets for accuracy assessment, while other studies 1757 1758 estimated uncertainties using other procedures e.g., using a sample of finer spatial resolution remote sensing data, or did not report the map uncertainty. Some 1759 1760 studies employed root-mean-square error to assess model accuracy (RMSE) (e.g., Adjorlolo and Mutanga, 2013; Higginbottom et al., 2018), while many studies used 1761 1762 an error matrix to assess map uncertainties, which was employed for instance (e.g., 1763 Adelabu et al., 2013; Hüttich et al., 2011). However, some studies used sample 1764 points below the desirable target number of validation points per class (e.g., Cabral 1765 et al., 2011), while studies briefly mentioned that a confusion matrix was 1766 calculated but did not report how many sample points were used for validation 1767 (e.g., Chagumaira et al., 2016). Congalton. (1988) suggests planning to collect a minimum of 50 samples for each map class for maps of less than 1 million acres in 1768 size with less than 12 classes. It has been empirically confirmed that a good 1769 balance between statistical validity and practicality for larger area maps or more 1770

1771 complex maps can be achieved with about 75 to 100 sample sites per class1772 (Congalton & Green, 2009).

Globally, owing to TDFs low commercial importance in comparison to other 1773 1774 tropical forests such as moist forest, they are often not assessed by field surveys, or surveyed regularly by governments (Keenan et al., 2015). Independent validation 1775 data for dryland forest estimations are rarely available because acquiring 1776 1777 appropriate field survey data is a time-consuming and expensive task. In Southern Africa, these areas are often remote and dangerous to visit in the field, due to the 1778 hazard posed by wildlife and if present, unexploded landmines, almost 1779 impracticable to obtain independent validation data for large(r) area studies, 1780 1781 especially for many protected areas. Despite challenges to obtain ground-based 1782 observation, effective integration of these data and remote sensing methods will be 1783 key to accurately mapping and monitoring dryland forest across a range of spatial 1784 scales and in reporting the accuracy of models. However, the applicability of 1785 remotely measured geospatial data is reliant on quality and translating remote 1786 sensing data into accurate and meaningful information is often a challenge prone to errors (Congalton et al., 2009; Donoghue, 2002). In this context, it is critical to 1787 ensure the validity of these data and their suitability for each particular 1788 1789 application, particularly where coarse spatial maps can be misleading. In addition, 1790 characterising dryland forest for large areas of Africa cannot entirely rely on global 1791 and pantropical monitoring studies for dry forest estimation because global forest monitoring generally underestimates, and in some instances overestimates, 1792 1793 dryland biomes (Bastin et al., 2017).

1794 2.5.4 Research topics and geographical focus

The classification of studies into eight broad subject categories revealed forest cover/types 41 (26%) and land cover/land use 36 (23%) to be the most commonly researched topics. Topics receiving less attention included phenology, plant traits, and biodiversity/habitats, and disturbances with regards to climate change (Fig. 2.9). With regards to disturbances, fire damage was the most commonly studied but there is a missing body of literature on the climate change impact on the composition, biodiversity, and ecological health of dry forest ecosystems in most

1802 countries of Southern Africa. The thesis also found an interesting, non-uniform 1803 spatial distribution of dryland vegetation and forest studies using spaceborne 1804 remote sensing, particularly when considering disparities among countries and 1805 across protected areas. The distribution of research categories by country reveals 1806 that RSA is, by far the most studied nation across all categories in Southern Africa 1807 (Fig. 2.7). It should be noted that care should be taken here not to assume that the 1808 number of studies equates to research quality, which remains difficult to articulate 1809 from a review of this nature. However, the dryland forests of Mozambique, 1810 Lesotho, Swaziland, and Zambia are noticeably very poorly studied. Studies on the 1811 dryland forests of Angola are even less frequent, receiving relatively little global 1812 attention, and the few studies conducted on its forests were mostly conducted by 1813 researchers from Portuguese Universities (Catarino et al., 2020; Leite et al., 2018). The focus of publications tended to be biased towards conservation and national 1814 1815 parks, particularly as a large proportion of studies were undertaken in the Kruger NP, leaving many other private and international protected areas relatively 1816 understudied. Transboundary conservation areas, such as Kavango-Zambezi 1817 1818 (KAZA), have received relatively little attention but merit further research in terms 1819 of the vast dryland forests extent, biodiversity, species abundance and diversity, and the potential for this area to form important corridor areas for wildlife 1820 animals. There is a further concern as a result of such gaps because some of the 1821 1822 dryland forests, and species to which they are home, notably in countries like Angola and Zambia, are listed on the IUCN red list and would almost certainly 1823 1824 merit Alliance for Zero Extinction (ACE) ranking (Cumming, 2008). Furthermore, 1825 future efforts to estimate important variables such as forest cover and biomass need not be restricted by country boundaries. Future studies, based on medium-1826 1827 fine resolution EO and validated with field data, will provide information to improve the understanding of African dryland vegetation and its management. 1828

1829

2.5.5 Vegetation indices, optical, SAR, and fusion of optical

1830 and SAR sensors

The most commonly used vegetation index was the NDVI, with more than half of
 the studies, 84 (54%) of papers utilising this index, but only 13 (8%) of papers
 used Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) and soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI).
 Page | 95

1834 Other vegetation indices such as the Green Normalised Difference Vegetation Index 1835 (GNDVI) and Sentinel red-edge related indices and passive microwave 1836 observations such as Vegetation Optical Depth were not utilised in studies 1837 considered in this review. One major problem commonly encountered in the less studied ecosystems, such as dryland forests, is that of generalising or transferring 1838 1839 knowledge and methods derived from remotely sensed imagery over both space 1840 and time (Foody et al., 2003). For example, commonly used vegetation indices and 1841 classification schemes are in general mainly been calibrated on other, better-1842 studied ecosystems, such as temperate or rain forests, and this has led to poor 1843 accuracy results when extrapolated, to for example, tropical dryland forests. This 1844 phenomenon justifies the importance of utilising a range of vegetation indices 1845 when studying dryland forests using EO data. Imagery from optical sensors is most commonly used, out of all sensor types, providing the data used in 90% of papers 1846 1847 reviewed, followed by SAR data with 6%. The fusion of optical and radar data was rarely used, with only 4% of publications exploring this. The most frequently used 1848 1849 platforms are Landsat, followed by MODIS and AVHRR. Imagery taken by the 1850 Sentinel-1/2 satellites only makes up a small portion of the remote sensing data on dryland forests. For example, Sentinel-2 was only used by 2% of investigated 1851 studies, but this may reflect the relatively short period (since 2015) when these 1852 1853 data have been available.

1854 2.5.6 Remote sensing platforms and cloud-based computing

1855 Most of the EO data used in the publications reviewed were downloaded, and are available at no cost from a number of online portals, including the Oak Ridge 1856 National Laboratory (ORNL), the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 1857 Distributed Active Archive System (DAAC) and Earth Explorer (EE) tool. The lack 1858 1859 of remote sensing research centres in most Southern African research institutions 1860 may contribute to limit the number of African Scientists engaged in monitoring 1861 forests resources. For example, most studies in RSA made use of remote sensing data through the University of the Witwatersrand, Satellite Application Centre 1862 (SAC), the South African National Space Agency (SANSA), and the Council of 1863 Science and Industrial Research (CSIR). The development of remote sensing 1864 capacity at local universities has inevitably contributed to RSA universities and 1865

research institutions conducting the majority of studies in Southern Africa (Fig.
2.5). To improve EO data access, and the skills to handle and interpret this across
Southern Africa, there is a need to increase the number of local institutions that
distribute the remote sensing data, and who have the capacity to access and use
innovative web-based platforms such as the Google Earth Engine (GEE) and
Amazon Web Services to overcome some of the logistical and financial constraints
of this type of research.

Southern African countries face considerable technical challenges with remote 1873 1874 sensing, particularly in respect to REDD+-related research on dryland forests monitoring. Freely available tools, for example, the cloud-based geospatial analysis 1875 1876 platform Google Earth Engine (GEE), make it easier to access powerful computing 1877 resources for processing and analysing pre-processed large-scale datasets (Shelestov et al., 2017). However, only nine papers (6%) out of 137 used GEE to 1878 1879 access or analyse remote sensing data. The "near real-time" remote sensing data 1880 offered by GEE is of particular interest for monitoring changes and automating the 1881 analysis of time-series, when detecting and tracking trends in surface reflectance properties. With increasing spatio-temporal coverage of satellite data and 1882 computational platforms that reduce the need for costly local infrastructure (e.g., 1883 1884 GEE), there is an opportunity to overcome the limitations previously enforced by large volumes of data and the scale of analysis, whereby the knowledge of dryland 1885 1886 forest dynamics can be improved in the upcoming years.

1887 2.6 Conclusion

This review summarises research progress towards the use and integration of 1888 1889 remote sensing data within the context of monitoring dryland forests in Southern 1890 Africa, using a systematic review methodology that focused on 137 most relevant 1891 research articles. The study has systematically reviewed the temporal and spatial 1892 coverage of these studies, their application area, and the remote sensing platforms and sensors used. Based on the results, the following conclusions can be drawn. 1893 1894 There is a broad range of topics covered by research on dryland forests, from which land-use/land-cover and forest cover and disturbances from the fire were 1895 1896 the most frequently studied. However, there is still a relative lack of studies

assessing dryland forest structure, phenology, biodiversity/habitats, plant traits,
and disturbance from climatic extremes, suggesting additional research is
required. The majority of studies relied on single-date or annual data and bitemporal discrete classification; only a very few studies employed time series
analysis.

1902 The thesis considers some of the limitations of the research reviewed, which 1903 indicates a need for more frequent use of field and inventory data, a greater use of 1904 validation/accuracy assessments, and testing other vegetation indices beyond 1905 NDVI and EVI such as the Vegetation Optical Depth and Sentinel-2 red-edge related 1906 indices. In addition, further improvements should focus on for extensive 1907 combination and fusion of SAR and optical data in order to have a temporally and 1908 spatially consistent data set necessary for several applications in dryland forests. 1909 Given the state of decline of woody vegetation condition in Southern Africa, longterm monitoring of monthly time series of EO data at regional and transboundary 1910 1911 scale clearly hold potential to capture dryland forests dynamics and to understand 1912 their current status and future trends. A significant move from EO predictions that are extremely site-dependent to large(r) ecoregional level monitoring approach 1913 1914 that integrates a range of remotely-sensed data of sufficiently fine spatial and 1915 temporal resolution with field measurements and using machine/deep learning 1916 models could provide a sound basis for assessing dryland forest-related changes 1917 and dynamics. Information inferred from these kinds of models would be 1918 extremely useful for the current knowledge, management and conservation of the 1919 dryland forests as well as for understanding their responses to disturbance 1920 (natural or anthropogenic) and climatic change at regional to sub-continental level. 1921 Finally, there is significant geographical heterogeneity in study coverage; whilst 1922 there is substantial research on the forests in the Kruger NP and across RSA, the same cannot be said for other areas of Southern Africa. The EO interventions not 1923 only assess deforestation rate, but also support other forest related REDD+ 1924 1925 activities such as sustainable forest management which reduce forest degradation 1926 and enhance forest carbon stocks at a range of scales, transcending both provincial and national boundaries e.g., Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area 1927 (KAZA TFCA). Nevertheless, REDD+-related research on dryland forests in most 1928 Southern African countries and protected areas has been limited, with clear gaps 1929

across Angola, Mozambique, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Finally, Africa has the
potential to emulate other continents, such as Latin America, that have made
notable progress in employing freely available remote sensing data to monitor
tropical dryland forest area change and biomass on a large scale.

1934 2.7 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission Ph.D 1935 1936 grant number: NACS-2017-409 from 2017–2020, Geography doctoral program at Durham University. The authors would like to acknowledge the support provided 1937 through the Rapid Evidence Synthesis Training (REST) programme. REST was 1938 1939 organised and delivered through a collaboration between the University of Leeds, The University of Newcastle, and the N8 AgriFood Programme and supported by 1940 Research England QR-SPF funds from The University of Leeds and University of 1941 1942 York.

1943

1944	3	IMPROVING	ABOVE GROU	ND BION	AASS E	STIMATE	S OF
1945		Southern	AFRICA	DRYLAN	D F	ORESTS	BY
1946		COMBINING	Sentinel-1	SAR	AND	SENTIN	el-2
1947		MULTISPECT	RAL IMAGER	Y.			
1948							
1949							
1950							
1951							
1952							
1953							
1954							
1955							
1956							
1957							
1958							
1959							
1960							
1961							
1962							
1963							
1964							

1965	Chapter 3 is published in Remote Sensing of Environment: DOI:
1966	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113232
1967	
1968	Title: Improving above ground biomass estimates of Southern Africa dryland
1969	forests by combining Sentinel-1 SAR and Sentinel-2 multispectral imagery.
1970	
1971	
1972	Authors: Ruusa M. David, Daniel N.M. Donoghue, Nick J. Rosser
1973	
1974	
1975 1976 1977 1978 1979	Department of Geography, Durham University, Science Laboratories, DH1 3LE Durham, UK
1980	Author Contribution
1001	
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue- Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1990	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue- Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1990 1991 1992 1993	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue- Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1990 1991 1992 1993 1993	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1990 1991 1992 1993 1993 1994 1995	David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and supervision.

1997 Abstract

Having the ability to make accurate assessments of above ground biomass (AGB) at 1998 1999 fine spatial resolution is invaluable for the management of dryland forest 2000 resources in areas at risk from deforestation, forest degradation pressure and climate change impacts. This study reports on the use of satellite-based synthetic-2001 aperture radar (SAR) and multispectral imagery for estimating AGB by correlating 2002 satellite observations with ground truth data collected on forest stands from 2003 2004 dryland forests in the Chobe National Park, Botswana. The study undertooks 2005 nineteen quantitative experiments with Sentinel-1 (S1), Sentinel-2 (S2) and 2006 Landsat 8 OLI (LC8) and tested simple and multivariate regression including 2007 parametric (linear) and non-parametric (random forests) algorithms, to explore 2008 the optimal approaches for AGB estimation. The largest AGB value of 145 Mg/ha 2009 was found in northern Chobe while a large part of the study area (85%) is characterised by low AGB values (< 80 Mg/ha), with an average estimated at 51 2010 2011 Mg/ha. The results show that the AGB estimated using SAR backscatter values from vertical transmit receive (VV) polarisation is more accurate than that based 2012 on horizontal receive (VH) polarisation, accounting for 58% of the variance 2013 2014 compared to 32%. Nevertheless, the combination of S1 SAR and S2 multispectral image data produced the best fit to the ground observations for dryland forests 2015 2016 explaining 83% of the variance with an accuracy of 89%. Furthermore, the optimal AGB model performance was achieved with a multivariate random forest (MRF) 2017 2018 regression trees algorithm using S1 (SAR) and S2 (multispectral) image data (R^2 = 2019 0.95; RMSE = 0.25 Mg/ha). From the 11 vegetation indices tested, GNDVI, 2020 Normalised Difference Red Edge (NDRE1), and NDVI obtained the highest linear 2021 relationship with AGB ($R^2 = 0.71$ and $R^2 = 0.56$, p < 0.001), however, GNDVI and 2022 NDRE1 improved the AGB estimation at medium to high-density forests compared to NDVI. The GRVI and EVI were the least correlated with AGB ($R^2 = 0.09$ and $R^2 =$ 2023 2024 (0.31) at a significance level of p < (0.001), respectively. The thesis shows that NDVI saturates in areas with >80 Mg/ha AGB, whereas the inclusion of SAR backscatter 2025 and optical red edge bands (B5) significantly reduces saturation effects in areas of 2026 high biomass. GNDVI and red edge (B5) derived vegetation indices have more 2027 potential for estimating AGB in dryland forests than NDVI. This study results 2028 2029 demonstrate that dryland AGB can be estimated with a reasonable level of 2030 precision from open access Earth observation data using multivariate random2031 forest regression.

2032 Keywords: Dryland forests, Above ground biomass, Random forest, Linear
2033 regression, Sentinel, SAR, Southern Africa, Chobe, Conservation

2034 3.1 Introduction

Dryland forests in Southern Africa are currently experiencing high rates of forest 2035 loss as a result of overexploitation, wildfire, and herbivory, and are projected to 2036 2037 experience the impacts of climate change (Miles et al., 2006). Although large 2038 uncertainties surround the contribution of tropical savanna forests and open woodland (hereafter referred to as dryland forests) to the global carbon budget, 2039 2040 recent studies have shown that dryland above ground biomass (AGB) is a more 2041 dominant driver of variations in the global carbon cycles when compared with 2042 moist tropical forests (Ahlström et al., 2015; Poulter et al., 2014). However, 2043 wildfires and a high density of mega-herbivores in most protected/conservation 2044 areas (particularly elephants, *Loxodonta africana*) can have a significant impact on 2045 tree cover and structural diversity by modifying vegetation structure through 2046 grazing and physical damage thereby making trees less tolerant to fire (Ben-2047 Shahar, 1996; Shannon et al., 2011). With these pressures degrading the dryland 2048 forests, techniques are urgently needed to measure, map, and monitor the forest 2049 stand parameters reliably and to produce this information at appropriate scales to 2050 support conservation and management actions. AGB estimates from sub-tropical 2051 dryland forests have received less attention than many other biomes and so estimates of AGB remain highly uncertain, despite the importance of these areas as 2052 2053 carbon stores and for ecosystem services (Pennington et al., 2018; Olson and Dinerstein, 2002). For instance, studies of tropical moist forests are well 2054 2055 represented in the scientific literature (Salis et al., 2006; Williams et al., 2008), primarily because they have the highest carbon (C) uptake of the World's forests 2056 (Olson and Dinerstein, 2002). The largest proportion of dryland forests ecosystems 2057 2058 are found in Africa, accounting for 60 - 80% of the total biome area (three times the area covered by African rain forest) (Bodart et al., 2013; Bullock et al., 1995), 2059 2060 which provides a significant carbon stock for the African continent.

AGB is recognised as an essential terrestrial climate variable (ECV) by the Global 2062 2063 Climate Observing System (GCOS) led by the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (Bojinski et al., 2014). In addition, having information 2064 2065 on AGB, and other biophysical structural parameters such as canopy height and 2066 habitat density in dryland forests can feed into a wide range of activities related to 2067 carbon accounting and conservation purposes (Wulder et al., 2012). Information about the distribution of biomass at local, regional, and global scales can also 2068 2069 detect land changes due to factors such as deforestation (a reduction in a 2070 woodland area) and forest degradation (Harris et al., 2012; Saatchi et al., 2011). 2071 However, at the same time, dryland forests experience an increase in woody 2072 carbon stock, including widespread regrowth following shifting cultivation, bush encroachment, and a reduction in browsing megaherbivores (McNicol et al., 2018). 2073 2074 Southern Africa, particularly the KAZA region, is experiencing large-scale shifts in vegetation cover, biomass degradation, and increased vulnerability to climate 2075 2076 change which hold significant implications for forest ecosystem function 2077 (Cumming, 2008; King, 2014; Niang et al., 2014). Yet, the location and rates of the AGB and biomass loss and regrowth, and the above ground woody carbon stocks 2078 are largely unknown (David et al., 2022a). 2079

2080 Estimates of biomass using conventional techniques based on field measurements are the most accurate ways of collecting biomass data. However, extensive 2081 fieldwork is not feasible due to the inaccessibility, and logistical challenges of such 2082 2083 field surveys which limit the number of plots that can reasonably be surveyed which impact AGB characterisation over large areas (Næsset et al. 2016). Biomass 2084 2085 measurements based on Earth observation measurements are obtained through 2086 statistically-based integration of tree-level allometric equations with biophysical 2087 or structural information derived from satellite data (Boisvenue & White, 2019). 2088 The shortcoming of utilising satellite imagery for AGB estimation is related to 2089 selecting suitable models and data availability (Houghton et al., 2009; Lu, 2006). In 2090 terms of optical sensors, Landsat is one of the most utilised datasets because it provides freely accessible imagery, at a high temporal coverage with a medium 2091 2092 spatial resolution (Dogru et al., 2020). In their study within miombo forests, Gizachew et al. (2016) identified a linear relationship between AGB and Landsat 8 2093 Page | 104

derived spectral variables, concluding that the approach was suitable for 2094 2095 monitoring and reporting of biomass baselines in low-biomass, open-canopy woodlands for REDD+ projects. The launch of the Sentinel-2 series satellites 2096 through the EU Copernicus program provides new opportunities to enhance forest 2097 2098 monitoring in tropical countries on a large scale (ESA, 2020). Compared to Landsat, the Sentinel-2 data provides four additional spectral bands strategically 2099 2100 positioned in the red-edge region that are expected to contribute to improved AGB 2101 estimation and mapping (Li et al., 2021; Mutanga et al., 2012). Previous studies 2102 that compared Sentinel 2 to Landsat 8 found Sentinel 2 to have spatial and spectral 2103 capabilities that improved the estimation of AGB in different vegetations (Sibanda 2104 et al., 2016; Forkuor et al., 2018). Such optical sensors are however limited in the 2105 degree to which they can generate structural information because they have 2106 difficulty penetrating beyond upper canopy layers and optical data can be 2107 obscured by frequent cloud cover (Hyde et al., 2006). Certain limitations related to 2108 data saturation also exist, particularly at sites with high woody cover, or those 2109 areas with complex vegetation structures such as dryland vegetation, as so many 2110 satellite sensors can be insensitive to large AGB variations (Lu et al., 2012; Powell et al., 2010). Optical sensors are also limited in their ability to estimate higher 2111 2112 biomass levels as they are more sensitive to canopy density/cover rather than canopy height (Joshi et al., 2016). Biomass saturation for low and medium spatial 2113 resolution passive optical sensors such as the Moderate Resolution Imaging 2114 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) or Landsat is a well-recognised problem (Steininger, 2115 2000; Zhao et al., 2016). 2116

Space-borne Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) sensors such as Sentinel 1, TerraSAR-2117 X, ALOS PALSAR can be used to estimate AGB through cloud, as well as provide 2118 2119 detailed vegetation structural information from backscatter (Berninger et al., 2120 2019; Lucas et al., 2008). SAR data has the advantage that it includes the ability to collect data in all weathers, during both day and night; the sensor has the 2121 2122 capability to penetrate through cloud and forest canopy; data are sensitive to 2123 surface roughness, dielectric properties, and moisture content (Balzter, 2001; 2124 Santos et al., 2002). The radar backscatter and the reflected signal is sensitive to polarisation and frequency (HH, VV, HV, and VH), and can be used for volumetric 2125 2126 analysis rather than just the colour and density of leaves and so has the potential
to be more sensitive to AGB in the woodlands of savanna (Balzter, 2001; Mitchard 2127 et al., 2011). Recent research has shown that SAR data are suitable for classifying 2128 2129 vegetation types and assessing biomass at regional scales (Omar et al., 2017). Minh et al. (2016) used SAR tomography to model tropical forest biomass and height in 2130 2131 central French Guiana and found a high correlation between the backscatter signal 2132 and AGB in the high-biomass forest areas. In Africa, Bouvet et al. (2018) created an ALOS PALSAR map at 25-m spatial resolution using an L-band PALSAR mosaic 2133 2134 produced by JAXA and in situ data, to estimate AGB over the whole of Africa. 2135 Conversely, the saturation problem is also common in radar data at the middle to high biomass levels, depending on wavelength and forest type, as documented by 2136 Balzter (2001) and Lucas et al. (2008). The saturation level has been found to vary 2137 as a function of the wavelength and polarisation of the incident radiation and 2138 2139 studies have reported saturation at approximately 30 - 50 Mg/ha, 60-100 Mg ha 2140 and 100–150 Mg ha for C-, L- and P-band respectively (Lucas et al., 2006; Lucas et 2141 al., 2015). Water content, forest spatial structure, and surface geometry (terrain slope) derive errors and can cause saturation (Balzter, 2001). Studies have 2142 2143 successfully demonstrated the capabilities of Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR) for measuring vegetation distribution and estimating associated biophysical 2144 2145 parameters (Popescu, 2007). LiDAR can be used to directly estimate a spatially explicit 3D canopy structure as a laser pulse emitted from the LiDAR sensor can 2146 2147 penetrate the multi-layered tree canopies reaching the ground, which has great potential for improving the estimates of vegetation parameters (Pearse et al., 2148 2149 2019). This leads to more accurate estimations of basal area, tree height and stem 2150 volumes (Pirotti, 2011), but such approaches remain intensive and unsuited to regional or global coverage (Gibbs et al., 2007). For the direct derivation of 2151 2152 biomass from optical, radar and LiDAR data, no single data type can fulfil all 2153 requirements with each limited by either weather, saturation, and other biophysical conditions (Kellndorfer et al., 2010). Given these limitations, research 2154 exploring the fusion of different data types is crucial to develop accurate AGB maps 2155 2156 (Koch, 2010).

To assess and monitor forest structural parameters, various approaches to reduce the impacts of data saturation in optical imagery in AGB estimation have also been explored. Vegetation indices and textures generated from optical and airborne

LiDAR data are often used as an alternative (Zhao et al., 2016). Many factors 2160 influence data saturation, ranging from spectral, spatial, and radiometric 2161 resolutions, vegetation type, or topographic features, which may lead to different 2162 saturation values of AGB (Lu et al., 2016). For example, Lu et al. (2004) compared 2163 2164 different vegetation indices in the moist tropical region of the Brazilian Amazon and found that vegetation indices including near-infrared (NIR) improved 2165 2166 correlations with AGB in relatively simple forest stand structures. Gizachew et al. (2016) used Landsat 8 derived NDVI to estimate total living biomass (TLB) in the 2167 2168 miombo woodlands of Liwale district, south-eastern Tanzania. Despite its wide 2169 application, NDVI has major limitations for modelling the spatial variability of 2170 biomass including its instability. The NDVI signal is influenced by the underlying canopy background, varying with soil colour, canopy structure, leaf optical 2171 2172 properties, and atmospheric conditions (Tucker, 1979; Pettorelli et al., 2005). 2173 Madonsela et al. (2018) investigated the interactions between seasonal NDVI and 2174 woody canopy cover in the savanna of the Kruger National Park (KNP) to model 2175 tree species diversity using a factorial model and found that the interaction 2176 between NDVI and woody canopy cover was insignificant. NDVI is known to give poor estimates in the growing seasons and in estimates of areas with high-density 2177 2178 wood cover. These challenges have led to the development of alternative 2179 formulations which include correction factors or constants introduced to account for or to minimise the varying background reflectance, such as the Enhanced 2180 Vegetation Index (EVI) (Huete et al., 1999). Xue et al. (2017) reviews other closely 2181 related indices that include the Normalised Burn Ratio (NBR), the Green 2182 2183 Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (GNDVI), Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), the Transformed Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (TSAVI) and the Green Red 2184 Vegetation Index (GRVI) amongst others. Some studies have demonstrated that the 2185 use of vegetation indices derived from the NIR narrow and red-edge bands 2186 situated between red and near-infrared at wavelengths 680-780 nm can yield a 2187 higher accuracy of AGB estimation as compared to conventional NDVI (Cho et al., 2188 2189 2007; Laurin et al., 2016). Ramoelo et al. (2015) and Li et al. (2021) found a strong correlation between biomass and the red edge position for a rangeland and 2190 2191 grassland ecosystem in South Africa and China, respectively. Comparable research in dryland forested regions remains extremely limited (Michelakis et al., 2014; 2192 Forkuor et al., 2020), 2193

thus this study has tested vegetation indices derived from the NIR narrow and red-2194 edge bands, GNDVI, EVI, NDVI, NBR, NBR2, SAVI, MSAVI in dryland forest of 2195 Southern Africa. In this study vegetation indices such as NDVI, GNDVI, NBR, and 2196 NDRE (Table 3.2) were selected because they all use a NIR band but differ in terms 2197 of the second band, e.g., NDVI utilised the red band, GNDVI the green band, NBR 2198 the SWIR2 and NDRE the red-edge band. Furthermore, it is important to choose a 2199 2200 suitable method to estimate forest AGB. The linear and multiple regression (LR and 2201 MLR) method has been the most commonly utilised statistical algorithm for AGB 2202 estimation in past research (Propastin, 2012). However, it is documented that the 2203 linear regression method does not effectively explain the complex nonlinear 2204 relationship between biomass and Earth observation data and has been known to 2205 be unreliable at values beyond a saturation point of the canopy reflectance (Lu, 2206 2006; Puhr and Donoghue, 2000). Also, identifying suitable variables for 2207 developing a multiple regression model is critical because some variables are 2208 weakly correlated with AGB or are likely to suffer from multicollinearity (Jong et 2209 al., 2003). Thus, understanding the performance and contribution of multiple 2210 sources of data and methods for forest biomass estimation has the potential to exploit the strengths of each and can help minimise the limitations of single 2211 2212 sensors.

Several assessments have indicated that global forest cover datasets based on 2213 satellite data have clear limitations for characterising forest structural parameters 2214 2215 in areas where the tree canopy is open, such as in savannas (McElhinny et al., 2005). Approaches that integrate forest structural parameters and remote sensing 2216 need to be replicated and tested across different regions, and geographic scales 2217 (Lehmann et al., 2015; Mitchard et al., 2013). Furthermore, Foody et al. (2003) and 2218 Woodcock et al. (2001) have pointed out concerns of generalising or transferring 2219 2220 methods and results derived from remotely sensed imagery over both space and 2221 time. Many studies lack field data to build and validate AGB models, particularly in 2222 tropical dryland forests where national forest inventory data is not available 2223 (Grainger, 1999; Schimel et al. 2015). To the best of the author's knowledge, there 2224 are very few studies that have tested the combination of synthetic-aperture radar (SAR) and multispectral data to map AGB in Southern African dryland forests. Such 2225 2226 structural diversity maps are an invaluable data source for monitoring and

managing biodiversity of forests and conservations of wildlife habitats and 2227 corridors reducing the isolation of wildlife populations. Such maps also contribute 2228 2229 to the ecological functioning and health of savanna ecosystems. This study aims to 2230 assess the feasibility of using remote sensing data derived from SAR, multispectral, 2231 and ground measurements to estimate AGB in an area of typical African dryland forests. The study developed parametric and non-parametric models for 2232 estimating and testing the accuracy of AGB estimation and mapping. The models 2233 2234 developed by this thesis are compared to different published biomass models in 2235 the dryland forest environment (Avitabile et al., 2016; Baccini et al., 2017; Bouvet et al., 2018. The study presents a novel remote-sensing approach of dataset 2236 combination and methodology, that can, in principle, be applied to the estimation 2237 and mapping of AGB in dryland forest sites worldwide. 2238

2239 3.2 Materials and methods

2240 3.2.1 Study area

2241 This study area is situated in Chobe National Park, in the north-east of Botswana covering an area of around 10,589 km² (18.7"S and 24.5"E) (see: Fig. 3.1) within 2242 2243 the Kavango Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA) of Southern Africa. KAZA is the World's largest conservation area with an enclosed area of 519,912 2244 km². KAZA is shared by Angola, Botswana, Namibia, Zambia, and Zimbabwe and 2245 2246 links together over 36 proclaimed protected areas including national parks, forest 2247 reserves, and wildlife management areas. Chobe National Park was chosen as the field site because it is one of the largest protected areas in Botswana featuring an 2248 impressive population of large mammals and several endemic plant species, 2249 2250 including large areas of the dryland forests and globally significant wetlands. 2251 Within these habitats, there is a broad range of vegetation types ranging from low 2252 herbaceous to high-density woody cover (McIntyre, 2010). The largest population of African elephants (>150,000) is in northern Botswana drawn by the Chobe River 2253 2254 basin which serves as a source of surface water in the dry season when animals 2255 converge on this stretch of water (Fullman, 2009).

Chobe NP is a relatively flat area with an average elevation of 980 m. The climate is
 semiarid with a highly variable mean annual rainfall of about 600 - 700 mm,
 Page | 109

mainly falling between November and March and a mean annual temperature of 2258 21.8 °C (Fullman and Child, 2013). There is a general absence of rainfall in the dry 2259 2260 season (April-October). The nearest permanent water source is the Chobe River forming the northern boundary of the park and the political border between 2261 2262 Botswana and Namibia. A high concentrations of large mammalian herbivores including elephant, giraffe (Giraffa camelopardalis (L.)), impala (Aepyceros 2263 2264 melampus), and buffalo (Syncerus caffer) are found along the Chobe River front 2265 during the dry season when seasonal pans are dry (Melton, 1985). Vegetation in 2266 Chobe National Park is dominated by savanna grassland and low-density woodland. Within these habitats, there is a broad array of vegetation types from 2267 low herbaceous to high-density woody cover (McIntyre, 2010). The vegetation 2268 found on the banks of the river is riparian woodland including Capparis tomentosa, 2269 2270 Trichilia emetica, Acacia nigrescens, and Croton megalobotrys. Because of the 2271 intense pressure from elephants, vegetation along the Chobe riverfront has been 2272 heavily impacted and is now dominated by low shrubs and very few large trees 2273 (Fullman and Child, 2013). Often, the remains of dead trees suggest they have been 2274 ring-barked, heavily browsed and toppled by elephants causing mortality. In the south of the Chobe River, the most dominant woodland species are Baikiaea 2275 2276 plurijuga, Burkea africana, Ochna pulchra (Mosugelo et al., 2002).

The high population of elephants has a wider destructive influence on vegetation, 2277 especially within the Chobe River basin as they migrate to neighbouring countries 2278 2279 including Angola, Zambia, and Namibia. According to the United Nations 2280 Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) many of the countries of southern Africa, including Botswana, Zambia, and Namibia, has been classified as 2281 highly vulnerable to climate change and its effects (McGann, 2004). The visible 2282 2283 forest loss, especially that along the Chobe River frontage, has caused concerns 2284 among stakeholders regarding dryland forest degradation pressure and accompanying loss of biodiversity (see: Fig. 3.2A-F) (Nichols et al., 2017). In 2285 2286 addition to climate change and wildlife damage, it is estimated that 55% of year-2287 old saplings across all woodland species are killed by fire in Chobe National Park 2288 (Fidzani, 2014). The KAZA region has been identified as biodiversity hot spot and estimates of dryland forest cover and distribution not only are important tools to 2289 2290 help conservation and sustainable management of forests but also because of the

risk to dryland forest areas from several potential threats: climate change, forest
fragmentation, fire, conversion to agriculture, and increasing wildlife population
density (Cumming, 2008).

2294



2295

Fig. 3. 1. Location of the study area highlighting the countries (Botswana, Namibia, Angola, Zambia and Angola) and Chobe National Park where the field work was conducted. The coloured polygons around the sampled points indicate the type of vegetation structural formation and a range of land cover types that field sites represent (e.g., green-coloured circle: closed forests, purple-coloured square: open forests, orange-coloured square: shrubs, red-coloured square: grassland).

2302

3.2.2 Fieldwork and sampling design

Fieldwork was carried out during March 2019, which is the growing season, when the vegetation photosynthetic activity is still high. Sentinel-2 (S2) and Landsat 8 OLI (LC8) wet season images (February - April) were acquired then classified into

four classes (forests, open woodland, shrubs, and grassland) as these classes 2307 represent the main land cover types in the study area of Chobe NP. The allocation 2308 2309 of field plots followed a stratified random sampling approach based on the four strata (forest, open woodland, scattered trees with low herbaceous cover, and non-2310 forest) that represent broad vegetation types, and capture change between key 2311 land cover types well. Measurements were collected from a total of 101 individual 2312 sample plots throughout the savanna landscape of Chobe National Park. The 2313 2314 sample plots were widely distributed across Chobe NP (Fig. 3.1) and encompassed relatively homogeneous tracts across a range of typical ecosystems (e.g., savanna 2315 grasslands, shrubs) and structural formations (open woodland to closed forest). 2316 Data from 61 of the 101 plots surveyed represented forest, and 40 samples 2317 described represented non-forest land cover types. Examples of the collected 2318 2319 ground truth of typical forest cover types and recent vegetation degradation 2320 activities through herbivory, drought, and burning captured during the field campaign in 2019 are shown in Fig. 3.2. Within the 61 sample plots, a total of 4337 2321 individual trees were measured. Table 3.1 presents stand parameters statistics 2322 2323 based upon this survey for dryland forests. Fig. A. 1 shows the density and histogram plots of Aboveground biomass (AGB) and Carbon stock (Mg/ha) of each 2324 2325 field plot within savanna forest.

Prior to fieldwork, the size of field sampling plots was defined based on S2 with 10, 2326 20 m and LC8 multi-temporal data with 30 m pixel resolution, respectively. Hence, 2327 2328 plot sizes of (20 m × 20 m, 0.04 ha) and (10 m × 10 m, 0.01 ha) were considered adequate in this study to ensure correspondence between field-measurement and 2329 2330 pixel size in the image. This area was large enough to contain almost the complete 2331 diversity of the known plant community. 0.04 ha plots have been widely applied in 2332 the National Forest Monitoring Plan in Botswana (Manatsha and Malebang, 2016) 2333 and in different forests elsewhere (Baker et al., 2004; Carreiras et al., 2013) as it 2334 normally encompasses a representative sample of trees within a single stand and allows detection of changes in vegetation structure. 2335

The field measurements of stand characteristics included: mean height, diameter
at breast height (DHB), tree density, canopy closure, and tree species. Sample plots
were circular and the UTM coordinates at the centre of each plot were recorded in
the field with a hand-held Garmin GPS 64S. Tree height of each individual tree was
Page | 112

measured using an ultrasonic Vertex III hypsometer which requires finding a 2340 suitable position to observe each tree tip (Božić et al., 2005), while stem diameter 2341 was measured using a Diameter above Breast Height (DBH) tape. All trees with a 2342 stem diameter of >3 m and >1.5 m height were recorded. Fractional vegetation 2343 2344 cover (FVC) of shrubs between 1 and 6 m in height was estimated visually within all plots in the field. In the case of multi-stemmed species, such as Burkea Africana, 2345 2346 Compretum collinum and Baikiaea plurijuga, individual stems are recorded as an individual. 2347

2348

Variables	AGB	Carbon Stock	BA	MDBH	MH	TD
	(Mg/ha)	(Mg/ha)	(m2/ha)	(cm)	(m)	(no. trees/ha)
Minimum	2.07	1.03	0.62	4.73	3.14	103.50
Maximum	166.98	83.49	35.42	30.07	15.23	4297.20
Mean	54.99	26.93	11.18	8.78	5.58	1183.40
S.D.	44.27	22.34	8.80	4.69	1.87	1019.68

Table 3. 1. Summary statistics for field sample data in Chobe National Park.

2350 *AGB= above ground biomass, MDBH=mean diameter at breast height, BA=basal area,

2351 MH= mean height, TD= tree density, S.D. =standard deviation.



2352

Fig. 3. 2. Examples of collected ground truth captured during a field campaign in Chobe National Park in 2019. The photos represent typical forest cover types and recent degradation activities resulting from A: drought impacts, B: Trees toppled by elephants causing mortality, C and D: Trees destroyed by wildfire, and E and F: elephant and herbivory browsing.

3.2.3 Satellite image data collection

The imagery included Sentinel-1 Synthetic Aperture RADAR (S1-SAR), Sentinel-2 Multispectral Instrument (MSI) data, and Landsat 8 - Operational Land Imager (OLI) were all accessed via Goggle Earth Engine (GEE) (Table 3.2). The GEE platform provides pre-processed top and bottom-of-atmosphere reflectance data, enabling large volumes to be integrated, processed, and analysed for extensive areas over long time periods (Warren et al., 2015). The Sentinel 1 and 2 data were acquired as close in time to the fieldwork as shown in Table A 1.

2366 3.2.3.1 Sentinel-1 image pre-processing

2367 S1 is a C-band SAR remote sensing satellite launched into orbit on 03.04.2014. There are four imaging modes (Stripmap [SM], Interferometric Wide swath [IW], 2368 2369 Extra Wide swath [EW], and Wave [WV]), but the level-1 Interferometric Wide 2370 (IW) Ground Range Detection (GRD) were also used in the study. Radar data were analysed using the single co-polarisation with vertical transmit/receive and dual-2371 band co-polarisation, with vertical transmit and horizontal receive (VV + VH) from 2372 Sentinel-1A and 1B C-band SAR. Within GEE, S1 images are pre-processed using 2373 2374 the S1 Toolbox (ESA, 2020) to an analysis-ready format using border and thermal noise removal, radiometric calibration, and orthorectification (Google, 2020). 2375 2376 Radar data is not significantly affected by cloud cover, so a considerable number of 2377 complete images can be obtained each month. However, radar data can be affected by recent rainfall or wind and so an image from a period of good weather 2378 (14.3.19), close to the field data collection date, was selected for analysis. The date 2379 closest to the date of field collection (February-March 2019) was selected because 2380 2381 2019 was an extreme drought year in Southern Africa including Chobe NP, and there was minimal recorded rainfall or soil moisture in the area during the time 2382 period, hence soil moisture will have a minimal influence on the backscatter 2383 2384 (Chikoore and Jury, 2021; Lucas et al., 2006; Liu and Zhou, 2021).

2385 3.2.3.2 Sentinel-2 image pre-processing

S2 MSI data, processed to level-2A were used. These data have been orthorectified 2386 2387 and radiometrically corrected providing Bottom-Of-Atmosphere (BOA) corrected reflectance values (ESA, 2013). S2 images were further pre-processed with an 2388 2389 automatic cloud masking procedure using QA bands provided for the S2 2A 2390 product, masking both opaque and cirrus cloud cover. Ten of the thirteen bands 2391 from S2 (4 visible, 4 red edge, 2 short-wavelength infrared (SWIR)), were extracted for pre-processing and analysis. The 20 m bands of S2 (SWIR and red 2392 2393 edge bands) were resampled to 10 m using the cubic convolution algorithm. S2 2394 spectral indices, (see: Table 3.2 for all indices and their derivation) were used to create the "indices" datasets. Previous studies suggested that numerous spectral 2395 2396 vegetation indices provided more information than the individual spectral bands for retrieval of forest structure (Lu et al., 2012). Eleven spectral vegetation indices 2397

from S2 previously shown useful for biomass modelling and estimation werecomputed (Hawryło et al., 2018).

2400 3.2.3.3 Landsat 8 image pre-processing

2401 LC8 was launched on 11.03.13 and provides multispectral images at 30 m 2402 resolution with a 16-day return cycle. The study used LC8 Level 2 Tier 1 ortho-2403 rectified collections from 15.03.19. These data are derived from L8's OLI/TIRS 2404 sensors and have been orthorectified and atmospherically corrected to obtain 2405 surface reflectance. The LC8 reflectance orthorectified product was used because 2406 GEE has already converted digital number (DN) values into surface reflectance 2407 data as a result of standardising across image products to a common radiometric scale (Chander et al., 2009). A cloud masking procedure was applied using the 2408 Function of Mask (FMask) band included with the Landsat data (Zhu and 2409 2410 Woodcock, 2012). Eight spectral indices from LC8 were computed as "indices" 2411 datasets. As shown in Table 3.2, a total of 39 initial variables were used for the 2412 statistical analysis of the forest parameter estimation in this study.

2413 3.2.3.4 Land Cover Classification

In order to allocate field plots throughout landscape using a stratified random 2414 2415 sampling approach, the sentinel 2 images in 2019 were independently classified into four main land cover classes in GEE using a RF classifier because of its 2416 robustness (Belgiu et al., 2016; Breiman, 2001). Based on the prior knowledge of 2417 2418 the study area, spectral clusters from the classification were assigned to four general land cover classes: Forests, open forests, grassland, and shrubs. A total of 2419 2420 367 ground points were randomly distributed on the study area, and they were 2421 split equally into 50% of points as reference points for image classification and the 2422 remaining 50% of points used for accuracy assessment.

2423

Table 3. 2. Description of predictor variables for the AGB estimation.

Satellite	Band	Description, wavelength,
		spatial resolution)
S1 GRD (14.03.2019)	VV - Vertical transmit-vertical	5.6 cm (10 m)
	channel	
	VH - Vertical transmit-horizontal	5.6 cm (10 m)

	channel	
	Band 1 – Coastal aerosol	0.443nm - (60 m)
	Band 2 – Blue	0.490nm -(10 m)
	Band 3 – Green	0.560nm - (10 m)
	Band 4 – Red	0.665nm -(10 m)
S2 SR (14.03.2019)	Band 5 – Vegetation red edge	0.705 nm -(20 m)
	Band 6 – Vegetation red edge	0.740 nm - (20 m)
	Band 7 – Vegetation red edge	0.783 nm - (20 m)
	Band 8 – NIR	0.842 nm - (20 m)
	Band 8A – Narrow NIR	0.865 nm - (20 m)
	Band 11 – SWIR	1.61 nm - (20 m)
	Band 12 – SWIR	2.19 nm - (20 m)
LC8 OLI TOA (15.03.2019)	Band 1 Coastal	0.43 - 0.45 nm (30 m)
	Band 2 Blue	0.45 - 0.51 nm (30 m)
	Band 3 Green	0.53 - 0.59 nm (30 m)
	Band 4 Red	0.63 - 0.67 nm (30 m)
	Band 5 NIR	0.85 - 0.88 nm (30 m)
	Band 6 SWIR 1	1.57 - 1.65 nm (30 m)
	Band 7 SWIR 2	2.11 - 2.29 nm (30 m)
Vegetation Indices	Normalised vegetation index (NDVI)	(NIR - R/NIR + R)
	Green red vegetation Index (GRVI)	(G-R)/(G+R)
	Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)	2.5NIR-RED(NIR+6RED-7.5 BLUE)+1
	Green NDVI (GNDVI)	(NIR - G)/(NIR + G)
	Normalised Difference NIR/SWIR2 NBR)	NIR-SWIR/NIR+SWIR
	Normalised Difference SWIR1/SWIR2 (NBR2)	(SWIR1 – SWIR2) / (SWIR1 + SWIR2)
	Soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI)	(NIR -R)/(NIR + R + L)*1.5
	Modified Soil-adjusted vegetation index (MSAVI2)	(2 * NIR + 1 – sqrt ((2 * NIR + 1) ² – 8 * (NIR – R))) / 2.
	Normalised Difference Index 45 (NDI45)	<i>B5-B4/B5+B4</i>
	Inverted red-edge chlorophyll index (IRECI)	RE3 -R/(RE1/RE2)
	Normalised difference red edge index (NDRE1)	(NIR -RE1)/(NIR + RE1)

2425 *RE: Red-edge; NIR: Near infra-red; SWIR1: Short-wave infra-red 1; SWIR2: Short-wave

infra-red 2.

2427 3.2.4 Methods and modelling

A full overview of the methodological approach for AGB is shown in Fig. 3.3. For all forest parameters, analysis was undertaken using S1 backscatter values (VV and VH polarisations) the reflectance values from individual spectral bands (B2-12), and spectral vegetation indices from S2 and LC8 OLI (NDVI, GRVI, EVI, GNDVI,
NBR, NBR2, SAVI, MSAVI2, NDI45, IRECI, and NDRE1) as shown in Table 3.2. All
models and their combinations are shown in Table 3.3 and 3.4.



2434



2436 3.2.4.1 Calculation of AGB at the tree level

Locally defined allometric equations are not available for most of the species in the study area; AGB in kilograms per tree was estimated using the following generalised biomass estimation model (Eq. 3.1) developed for tropical dry forests (Chave et al., 2005).

$$AGB_{est} = exp(-2.187 + 0.916 \times ln(\rho D^2 H))$$

= 0.112 × (\rho D^2 H)^{0.916}) (Eq.3.1)

2441 Where AGB is the above ground biomass in kg per tree; H = height (m); 2442 D = diameter at breast height; ρ = wood density (g cm⁻³).

2443 The AGB of each individual tree was first calculated based on wood density, and 2444 then the total AGB per plot was summed based on the number of trees and the proportion between species. The wood density for species was obtained from the 2445 2446 World Agroforestry Database (worldagroforestry, 2019). The biomass values were 2447 produced using the allometric equation developed by Chave et al. (2005) using Statistical Package R software (version 4.1.1) (R Core Team, 2013). Three tree-2448 specific variables (tree wood density, DBH, height) were then generated and 2449 2450 normalised by the area of the plots to estimates AGB in Mg/ha. The allometric 2451 model accounts for uncertainty and error in the estimation due to both data 2452 measurement and model uncertainty by averaging out the tree-level uncertainties 2453 at the stand scale, which is typically less than 10% of the mean as detailed in Chave 2454 et al., 2014. According to Baker et al. (2004) and Chave et al. (2005) excluding 2455 wood density and height would result in a poor overall AGB prediction and 2456 overestimation of the forest AGB. Rahman et al., 2021 showed that the generic 2457 allometric models overestimated AGB between 22% and 167% compared to the species-specific models and AGB was overestimated by up to 20% when using plot 2458 top height and underestimated by 8% using plot average height data from 2459 2460 databases rather than individual tree heights in the mangroves (Rahman et al., 2461 2021).

The allometric equation used in the study was specifically developed for tropical dryland forests and already includes the uncertainty and correction factor. The Page | 119

dryland forest model typically achieves 90% accuracy in AGB stock estimation and 2464 the standard error in estimating stand biomass was 12.5% if height is available, 2465 2466 and 19.5% if height is not available for dryland forests (Chave et al., 2005). Therefore, this research used species-specific models and individual tree 2467 2468 measurements including DBH, tree height and wood density as independent variables in the allometric equation to reduce uncertainty and improved the 2469 quality of the AGB prediction. This study didn't calculate the allometric equation 2470 2471 uncertainty since the error due to the DBH, height, and wood density 2472 measurements are already calculated and factored in one error term of the allometric equation (Chave et al., 2004). The average and total AGB and carbon 2473 stocks per land cover class (i.e., closed forest, open forest) were estimated, as well 2474 as the total AGB and carbon stock in the forests of Chobe NP. The amount of carbon 2475 2476 in biomass was determined by multiplying by a factor of 0.5 to obtain the amount of carbon existing in dry wood biomass, assuming biomass is approximately 50% 2477 2478 of dry weight (Brown and Lugo, 1982; Chave et al., 2005). Table 3.1 presents plot summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation) for the 2479 2480 variables of interest. The density and histogram plots of AGB and carbon stock (Mg/ha) of each field plot with woodland trees are presented in the supplementary 2481 2482 materials as Fig. A3.

2483 3.2.4.2 Extraction of remote sensing data at field plot location

Each circular field plot had a radius of 10 and 20 m, and for each plot location, the 2484 2485 coordinates of each plot centre were established with GPS. Field plot location data 2486 were then overlaid on the SAR and S2 images to create a vegetation plot region-ofinterest (ROI) map, based upon plot centre GPS position. Although the coordinates 2487 2488 of each plot centre were collected with a high-quality device with GPS and GLONASS sensors, there may be small positional errors, especially when 2489 2490 differential corrections are unavailable (errors up to 8-10 m are common). To 2491 compensate for possible positional errors, a 20 m radius buffer was created 2492 around the plot centre. This buffer was used to collect biomass image spectra. All 2493 pixels inside each 20 m buffer were extracted, with several metrics computed 2494 (mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation) (see Table 3.1), and these 2495 data were used to establish relationships with the AGB at plot level. As the original 2496 Sentinel data mosaic had a 10 m resolution and the buffer around each plot centre Page | 120

was set to 20 m, the extracted values per plot were those located approximately on
a 4 × 4-pixel window size, thus extracting from a 40 × 40 m area.

2499 3.2.4.3 Selection of relevant predictors

2500 The selection of suitable variables is critical for developing biomass estimation models, as some variables are weakly correlated with AGB, or the variables can be 2501 2502 co-dependent. Selected variables should be significantly correlated with AGB, but independent (Lu, 2006). In order to obtain valid predictor variables, correlation 2503 2504 analysis was first used for candidate variable selection. Pearson correlation 2505 coefficients (p) and scatterplots were used to examine the nature of the AGB correlation, then variables were accepted for further analysis based on their 2506 significance (P < 0.05). In addition to the p-value, the variation inflation factor 2507 (VIF) generated for each predictor variable was used to minimise multicollinearity 2508 2509 in the model. The VIF measures the increase in the variance of an estimated 2510 regression coefficient due to collinearity, indicating how much larger the variance 2511 is compared to when the independent variables are not linearly related in the 2512 model (Fox, 2015). A VIF of 1, indicates no collinearity and several studies have 2513 used a VIF < 10 to avoid serious multicollinearity between the chosen predictor variables. Generally, a VIF greater than 10 indicates high collinearity with other 2514 predictor variables in the model and interpreting the regression estimates 2515 associated with a high VIF predictor variable can lead to unstable estimates (James 2516 et al., 2013; O'Brien, 2007). VIF has been used in the field of remote sensing to 2517 2518 check multicollinearity in a model with independent predictors (Tu et al., 2018; Yang et a l., 2012). To test for collinearity between the selected variables, a 2519 variance inflation factor (VIF) threshold of 10 was applied. 2520

2521 3.2.4.4 Model development and selection

Different statistical models were developed including parametric linear regression and non-parametric machine learning using random forest regression in the R programming platform. The dataset was first subjected to linear regression (Simple linear (SL) regression, Multivariate linear (ML) regression, and STEPWISE-AIC regression) to determine the optimum model (Bozdogan, 1987). Since biomass is usually nonlinearly related to remotely sensed variables, to improve the

nonlinear estimation of the biomass model, non-parametric random forest (RF) 2528 models are widely used in satellite-based estimation of the forest AGB (Nandy et 2529 2530 al., 2017; Wu et al., 2014). RF does not make a priori assumptions regarding the 2531 probability distribution of variables, and thus offers a significant advantage over 2532 parametric statistical models which assume a Gaussian distribution. Ensemble 2533 learning methods like RF (Breiman, 2001) play a significant role in remote sensing and forest mapping because of their robustness, processing ability for high-2534 2535 dimensional features, and ability to handle complex relationships between independent variables in biomass estimation modelling (Belgiu et al., 2016; Adam 2536 et al., 2014). 2537

2538 A challenge is to select the fewest number of predictors that offer the best 2539 predictive power and help in the interpretation of the final model. 12 experiments were conducted to explore the suitability of different datasets (SAR, optical 2540 2541 spectral bands, and indices) and their combinations, in estimating AGB. To overcome the challenge of selecting the fewest number of predictors that offer the 2542 2543 best predictive power and to help in the interpretation of the final model, the RF 2544 was used to rank the predictor variables. This was followed by a backward feature 2545 elimination method (BFE) as part of the evaluation process for the final model selection (Guyon and Elisseeff, 2003). The BFE starts with all the possible 2546 predictors and progressively drops the least promising variable, in this case, the 2547 SAR, optical spectral bands, and indices. The model optimisation and comparison 2548 2549 was based on absolute and relative measures of fit: by calculating the accuracy assessment (Acc%) and error statistics for the models including Root Mean 2550 Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Mean Absolute Percentage 2551 Error (MAPE), coefficient of determination (R^2), adjusted R^2 (R^2_{adj}), and Akaike 2552 2553 information criterion (AIC) and VIF. The concordance index was adopted to rank 2554 the effectiveness of the ML and RF models (Gerds et al., 2012). The smallest subset 2555 of variables with the highest coefficient of determination (R²), accuracy, adjusted R² (R²adj), and lowest RMSE, VIF, and AIC were then selected to predict the AGB. 2556 2557 Table 3.4 details the 19 multivariate models and the datasets used for estimating 2558 AGB.

The RF regression tree algorithm was selected to model forest parameters after analyses showed that it performed better than ML regression algorithms. The Page | 122

decision tree-based models such as random forests, make no assumptions 2561 regarding the distribution of the input data and can capture non-linear 2562 relationships between the response and predictor variables (Breiman, 2001; Liaw 2563 and Wiener, 2002). It is essential to optimise the model with the best combination 2564 2565 of parameters. For RF, only two parameters need to be tuned: ntree (with a default value of 500 trees) that controls the number of trees to grow (k), and mtry (with a 2566 2567 default value is 1/3 of the total number of the predictors) that controls the number of variables randomly sampled at each split (*m*). The study dentified the number of 2568 2569 trees (k = 1000) and *mtry* (the default was accepted) because it minimised the 2570 error rate and produced the best results for AGB estimation in this study.

2571 3.2.4.5 Model Validation

The field dataset (n = 101) was randomly split 70/30 for training and validation, 2572 2573 respectively (Ismail et al., 2006). The training dataset was used to optimise the 2574 random forest regression and train the prediction model, and to assess the goodness of fit of each model, the accuracy and the reliability of the prediction 2575 model were assessed using the 30% validation sample. A regression equation 2576 2577 developed from the training data set (n = 71) was then used to predict AGB on the 2578 independent test data set (n = 30). Validation techniques such as leave one out for cross-validation and k-fold cross-validation are widely used in previous studies to 2579 2580 assess the model performance using reference data (Fassnacht et al., 2014). Crossvalidation is very similar to the out-of-bag (OOB) estimate, which is a formal 2581 2582 approach to quantify the predictive performance of a model, automatically accounting for model complexity (Hastie et al., 2009). The sensitivity of the model 2583 to the selection of the training and validation datasets was evaluated using a 2584 2585 repeated k-fold cross-validation and bootstrapping where the data are randomly divided and spatially independent. The k-fold cross-validation procedure was used 2586 2587 to test for overfitting by partitioning the data K times (K=5), using the shuffle 2588 option of three repetitions (S=3) when splitting the samples into 5 folds. In 2589 addition, to assess the model uncertainty, a 1000 runs of bootstrapping was used. 2590 The random forest regression performance in estimating AGB was compared with 2591 the commonly utilised multiple linear regression. The correlation between measured and predicted AGB from the independent validation plots was examined. 2592

2593 3.3 Results

3.3.1 Land cover classification

The results of the land cover classification are presented in Fig. A. 5. Open forests 2595 2596 were the dominant form of land-cover occupying 43%, followed by grassland with 2597 25%, forests with 23% and shrubs with a total of 9% of the land total area (see Table A 2). The difficulty was experienced in the separation of forests and open 2598 2599 woodland due to difficulty in interpreting them. As shown in Table A 3, the overall 2600 classification accuracy was 97% and the Kappa statistic of 60% which denotes a 2601 good agreement between classes indicating generally low misclassification error, with the highest confusion arising between forests and open woodland. The 2602 2603 validation overall accuracy was 67% which is reasonable for the random 2604 stratification purpose. A total of 101 ground plots were surveyed in Chobe NP. A 2605 total of 61 of the 101 plots surveyed represented forest, and 40 samples 2606 represented non-forest land cover types as shown in Fig. 3.2.

2607 3.3.2 Simple linear regression (SLR)

Table 3.3 summarises the strength of the linear relationship between all variables 2608 2609 derived from S1, S2, and LC8 data. S1 VV polarisation is substantially more 2610 sensitive to AGB ($R^2 = 0.58$ and RMSE = 0.70 Mg/ha) as compared to VH polarisation ($R^2 = 0.32$ with RMSE = 0.89 Mg/ha) at 99% confidence level. Among 2611 2612 the S2 spectral bands, the highest coefficient of determination for AGB was 2613 obtained using spectral bands blue (B2), green (B3), red edge 1 (B5) (R^2 =0.73, R^2 =0.73, and R^2 =65 at p-value 0.001, respectively). The relationships of S1 2614 polarisations and selected S2 spectral bands (B3 and B5) with AGB are shown in 2615 2616 Fig. A. 4A-D. S2 spectral indices Green Normalised Difference Vegetation Index 2617 (GNDVI) and Normalised Difference Red Edge (NDRE1) and Normalised Difference 2618 Vegetation Index (NDVI) obtained the highest linear relationship with AGB (R^2 = 0.71 and R^2 = 0.56) at 99% confidence level, respectively. 2619

2620

2621Table 3.3. Simple linear relationship of satellite-based predictors with AGB. The2622backscatter polarisation, spectral bands, and indices with a strong linear relationship with

Modelling	Response	Bands/Predictors	Intercept	Slope	R^2	RMSE	AIC
Group	P		r·	~~~~		error	
						Mg/ha	
<i>S1</i>	AGB	VV	9.35	0.51	0.58***	0.70	104.06
		VH	39.04	-0.04	0.32***	0.89	125.95
<i>S2</i>		<i>B2</i>	6.69	-72.99	0.73***	0.56	83.15
		<i>B3</i>	6.98	-47.73	0.73***	0.56	83.23
		<i>B4</i>	6.15	-36.09	0.63***	0.66	98.48
		<i>B</i> 5	7.37	-31.21	0.65***	0.64	95.61
		<i>B6</i>	10.84	-30.22	0.41***	0.83	119.68
		<i>B</i> 7	8.76	-18.05	0.15*	1.0	136.30
		B8	7.65	-13.86	0.09*	1.03	139.47
		B8A	8.12	-14.32	0.09*	1.03	139.50
		B11	9.97	-24.19	0.57***	0.71	104.50
		<i>B12</i>	7.079	-20.75	0.57***	0.71	104.89
		NDVI	-1.70	8.52	0.56***	0.72	106.40
		GRVI	3.48	5.93	0.09*	1.03	139.29
		EVI	-0.73	10.44	0.31***	0.90	126.56
		GNDVI	-4.09	12.43	0.71***	0.59	87.38
		SAVI	-1.72	13.53	0.39***	0.84	121.01
		MSAVI	-0.82	11.82	0.36***	0.87	123.34
		NBR	1.52	7.29	0.46***	0.80	115.79
		NBR2	-0.05	15.86	0.52***	0.75	109.86
		NDI45	0.80	10.04	0.33***	0.89	125.54
		IRECI	1.18	5.24	0.35***	0.87	123.96
		NDRE1	-0.52	9.67	0.56***	0.72	105.92
		NDRE2	0.59	28.63	0.46***	0.80	115.71
LC8		<i>B2</i>	10.62	-78.30	0.52***	0.75	109.72
		<i>B3</i>	7.77	-49.61	0.54***	0.73	108.16
		<i>B4</i>	6.27	-34.78	0.48***	0.78	113.80
		B5	7.25	-12.48	0.07.	1.05	140.65
		<i>B6</i>	8.27	-21.99	0.41***	0.83	119.50
		<i>B</i> 7	6.29	-22.15	0.43***	0.81	117.58
		NDVI	-2.59	10.42	0.52***	0.75	110.38
		GRVI	2.98	11.42	0.26***	0.93	130.16
		EVI	-2.40	11.77	0.43***	0.82	118.29
		GNDVI	-5.89	16.90	0.62***	0.67	99.53
		NBR	-0.27	13.80	0.44***	0.81	117.44
		NBR2	0.24	7.89	0.44***	0.81	116.71
		SAVI	-3.95	19.95	0.45***	0.80	116.47
		MSAVI	-2.98	18.43	0.42***	0.82	118.37

 $\label{eq:AGB} AGB \mbox{ are highlighted in bold. The R^2 >0.5 is considered to indicate relatively a strong}$

relationship between the variable (Silvy et al., 2020).

2625

Significance codes: '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1

2626 3.3.3 Multivariate linear (ML) regression models

Table 3.4 presents the multivariate relationships and validation results of the 19 experiments conducted with S1 SAR signals, S2, and LC8 spectral bands, and indices for AGB. The results show that the relationship strength with AGB, and

associated errors, are improved when the polarisation variables are combined. 2630 Further improvements were attained when predictors are combined, from either a 2631 2632 single sensor or by integrating both sensors. Taking the linear relationship from S1 VV and VH polarisation, with R^2 of 0.58 and 0.32 respectively, the R^2 increased to 2633 2634 0.61 when combined. On the other hand, a combination of both S1 and S2 bands generated a higher R^2 of 0.85 and reduced the RMSE to 0.42 Mg/ha with an 2635 2636 increased estimation accuracy of 90%. A step-wise regression obtained the highest R^2 of 0.95, a very low RMSE of 0.25 Mg/ha, and the highest accuracy of 94% for S1 2637 backscatter and S2 spectral variables. 2638

2639

However, although the models with all S2 and LC8 spectral variables and stepwise-2640 regression models have high R^2 values and low errors, they were excluded from 2641 2642 estimation because of high co-dependence between spectral bands and indices, 2643 resulting in a high VIF (Table 3.4). A backward stepwise approach is useful to 2644 reduce the number of parameters within the model in a systematic way. Based on R^2 , MAE, and RMSE, the most suitable predictive model was obtained with S1 SAR 2645 VV polarisation, the green (B-3) and red edge spectral band (B-5) of S2, explaining 2646 82% of the variance but with a VIF of less than 10 for AGB (Table 3.4). The 2647 2648 inclusion of SAR data with optical data strengthens the relationship between 2649 biomass and remote sensing variables, and consequently improves the model performance as shown in Table 3.4. The results of the repeated k-fold cross-2650 2651 validation shown in Supplementary materials in Fig A 3, show that the model fit is not sensitive to the selection of training and validation sampling. The results of the 2652 2653 bootstrap validation in Fig A. 4 indicate that the model performance was stable across bootstrap replicates. The bootstrap distribution, errors, and intercepts 2654 correspond very closely to the linear model estimates, see Table 3.5 and 3.6 for 2655 parameter values. If the predicted bootstrapping R² was found to be significantly 2656 2657 smaller than the original multiple linear model R², that would indicate that the model was over-fitted which is not the case with the linear model. The lower = .025 2658 2659 and upper = .975 of the 95-percent confidence interval for the coefficients of the multiple linear and the bootstrap regression are shown in Table 3.6. The bootstrap 2660 approach yields a similar estimation for AGB without relying on assumptions, and 2661 this helps to confirm the stability of the model coefficients for the multiple linear 2662 regression used in this study. 2663

2664Table3.4.Multivariatelinearrelationshipandvalidationresultsof192665experiments/modelsconducted for AGB modelling (label a-k represents S1 and S2) and2666(label l-p represents LC8). The best model is highlighted in grey.

								-
AGB	Variables	R^2	RMSE	MAE	MAPE	ACC	AIC	VIF
Model			(Mg/ha)			%		
Label								
a	S1 bands all	0.61	0.68	0.55	0.21	0.84	102.8	1.46
b	S2 bands all	0.79	0.50	0.41	0.14	0.88	88.48	67.70
с	S2 bands & S1 bands all	0.85	0.42	0.35	0.12	0.90	77.25	77.17
d	S2 indices all	0.85	0.42	0.35	0.12	0.89	83.39	19063. 24
e	Step regression S1 bands, S2 bands & indices all	0.95	0.25	0.19	0.06	0.94	47.79	11600. 7
f	Step backward selection	0.88	0.38	0.31	0.10	0.90	61.92	2927.9
	with selected S1 & S2							0
	B3, B5, S1 VH, S1 VV,							
	GRVI, GNDVI, NDRE1,							
	NDI45							
g	Step backward selection	0.82	0.46	0.36	0.13	0.89	74.34	2493.0
	with selected S2 Bands &							0
	indices B3, B5, GRVI,							
	GNDVI, NDRE1, NDI45							
h	Step Backward Selection	0.84	0.43	0.37	0.13	0.89	69.13	1143.5
	with selected S1 & S2							0
	B3, B5, S1 VV, S1 VH,							
	GNDVI, NDREI	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.1.4	0.00	60.00	0.0
1	Step Backward Selection	0.82	0.45	0.38	0.14	0.88	69.98	9.8
	with selected S1 Bands &							
	S2 Indices							
	CINDVI, NDREI, SI VV,							
;	Stan Backward Selection	0.83	0.45	0.37	0.13	0.80	60.15	10.1
J	with selected S1 & S2	0.05	0.45	0.37	0.15	0.07	07.15	10.1
	Rands							
	B3, B5, S1 VV, S1 VH							
k	Selected AGB model	0.82	0.45	0.36	0.13	0.90	67.92	9.9
_	B3, B5, S1 VV		_					_
1	LC8 bands all	0.68	0.62	0.47	0.18	0.87	102.00	75.28
m	LC8 Indices all	0.69	0.60	0.45	0.18	0.87	104.28	9408.0
Ν	Step regression LC8	0.72	0.57	0.42	0.17	0.88	99.23	11926.
	bands and indices							0
0	Step backward selection	0.68	0.61	0.45	0.18	0.87	98.97	282.24
	with selected LC8 bands							
	& indices B3, B4, B7,							
	GNDVI, NBR2							
р	Step backward with	0.67	0.62	0.47	0.19	0.86	94.94	9.1
	selected LC8 indices							
	GNDVI, NBR2							

2667

2668 Table 3. 5. Summary statistics and coefficients of linear and bootstrap regression for AGB

	Linear Regression Bootstrap
--	-----------------------------

R^2	0.82	0.80
RMSE	0.45	0.42
MSE	0.36	0.32
Intercept	8.65	8.54
<i>B3</i>	-52.46	-56.11
B5	13.15	13.66
S1-VV	0.26	0.23

2669

2670 Table 3. 6. Confidence intervals (95%) of linear and bootstrap regression for AGB

	2.5%	97.5%	2.5%	97.5%
	Linear Regression	Linear Regression	Bootstrap	Bootstrap
Intercept	7.58	9.72	7.47	9.65
<i>B3</i>	-78.68	-26.23	-74.88	-37.35
<i>B5</i>	-5.12	31.42	0.13	26.83
S1-VV	0.15	0.38	0.11	0.36

2671

3.3.4 Comparing parametric and non-parametric machinelearning for estimating stand parameters

2674

2675 Table 3.7 shows the summary statistics for the ML and RF regression models for 2676 AGB using the three optimum predictor variables (S1 VV polarisation, S2 green (B3), and red edge (B5)) hereafter referred to as S1S2), from the final models. It 2677 2678 can be seen that features derived from the MRF regression model offer the most 2679 accurate estimates for all forest parameters compared to the ML regression model. Graphical illustrations for the performance of the AGB models are presented in Fig. 2680 3.4 that show the ML and RF fitted regression models for AGB and the associated 2681 2682 residuals. The plots of observed vs predicted AGB and residuals, indicate that the 2683 RF residuals were rather stable across medium and high AGB values and had an

average around zero compared to ML that under predicted AGB across the same 2684 data range. It can also be seen that low AGB values are not estimated well by any of 2685 2686 the regression methods, although RF still had a more accurate estimation than the ML regression model. For AGB, the RF regression has the highest R² of 0.95 and an 2687 2688 RMSE of 0.25 Mg/ha compared to ML regression model with an R^2 of 0.82 and RMSE of 0.45 Mg/ha. Based on R^2 , RMSE, MSE, and concordance between predicted 2689 2690 and observed value, the MRF regression performed better than the ML and so the 2691 MRF regression model was used for estimating forest stand parameters. Graphical illustrations for the performance of the AGB models are presented in Fig. 3.4. 2692

Table 3. 7. Summary diagnostics for the AGB models developed by ML and RFR regression
methods using the S1S2 model. In this study, the best model throughout the study was the
RF regression model, highlighted in grey.

Model Type	\mathbf{R}^2	RMSE	MSE	Concordance
ML Regression AGB	0.82	0.45	0.21	0.88
RF Regression AGB	0.95	0.25	0.06	0.95

2696





Fig. 3. 4. Optimal AGB model. A: Observed and predicted AGB using ML regression. B: ML
regression standardised residuals. C: Observed and predicted AGB using MRF regression.
D: MRF regression standardised residuals.

2701

3.3.5 Spatial distribution of AGB

2703 Fig. 3.5 maps the spatial distribution of the AGB estimations across the study area using 2704 the RF regression-based model and S1 SAR and S2 spectral bands (S1S2). The 2705 distribution of AGB ranges from 4.0 Mg/ha to 145 Mg/ha, which closely corresponds to 2706 the range of values measured in the field where the highest AGB values were 167 2707 Mg/ha. The estimated AGB map revealed that the highest AGB values range from 80 to 2708 145 Mg/ha in northern Chobe, while a large part of the study area (80%) is characterised 2709 by low AGB values < 80 Mg/ha, with an average AGB estimated at 51 Mg/ha. In the 2710 southern part of the study area, there is a mixture of high and low-density forests, as 2711 shown in both the modelled maps and S2 imagery. Similarly, the lowest AGB estimates 2712 were found in the central part of the study area, which is consistent with field conditions 2713 where grassland, shrubs, and scattered trees are found, as a result of degradation 2714 associated with overgrazing and wildfire. The field photos corresponding to the mapped land cover types are shown in Fig. 3.6A, which shows an example of a typical forest 2715 2716 plot where AGB ranges from 80 Mg/ha to 145 Mg/ha, as shown in dark green colour in 2717 Fig. 3.5A. Fig. 3.6B represents an open woodland with AGB ranging from 41 Mg/ha to 2718 80 Mg/ha, shown in light green colour in Fig. 3.5. The field photo in Fig. 3.6C shows an 2719 example of scattered trees with herbaceous cover, corresponding to AGB ranges between 11 Mg/ha and 40 Mg/ha, as shown in yellow colour in Fig. 3.5. Fig. 3.6D 2720 represents non-forest land cover with occasional scattered trees and/or shrubs which 2721 2722 matches AGB values of <10 Mg/ha.

Chapter 3



2723

- Fig. 3. 5. Modelled AGB maps of a dryland forest landscape of the study area and the RGB
- 2725 432 S2 image (10 m).

2726





Fig. 3. 6. Examples of dryland forest types and their respective ground pictures across

2729 Chobe National Park. A: closed canopy forest. B: open canopy woodland. C: scattered trees2730 with low herbaceous cover. D: non-forest land cover.

2731

This study selected and compared the combination of S1 C-band SAR, LC8 and S2 2732 2733 optical data (S1S2), S1 polarisations and vegetation indices (NDRE1 and NDVI) 2734 that were suitable for forest structural parameter estimation. The results in Fig. 3.7 2735 show that the combination of S1 C-band SAR and S2 optical data estimated medium to high biomass density with a higher level of accuracy as compared to 2736 2737 either sensor alone. A saturation effect for the S2 NDVI (S2NDVI) model was observed, wherein the sensitivity to biomass variability declines when biomass 2738 density exceeds 80 Mg/ha (see Fig. 3.7A). The saturation points for S1 polarisation 2739 2740 (Fig. 3.7B) and NDRE1 (Fig. 3.7C) models were higher in comparison to NDVI. The combination of S1 backscatter values and S2 red edge position bands (S1S2) are 2741 capable of estimating biomass > 80 Mg/ha (black colours) and did reduce the 2742 saturation effect in high-density forest areas as shown in Fig. 3.7B. The maps in Fig. 2743 3.7 confirm that the S1S2 model produced the best fit with the ground 2744 2745 observations for dryland forests, while reducing the under-estimation of large AGB values estimated by the S2NDVI model. The study observed a small but noticeable 2746 2747 over-estimation for low values of biomass areas in the S2-NDVI model, although 2748 this was more prevalent in the degraded and fragmented vegetation areas e.g., along the Chobe River frontage (see: Fig 3.7). 2749



2750



2751

Fig. 3. 7. A: AGB maps and histograms with the A: S1S2 model. B: S1 VV model. C: Modelled
AGB map with NDRE1 model. D: Modelled AGB map with the NDVI model (the NDVI model
saturates at values >80 Mg/ha).

2755

In addition to cross-validation, the AGB map was evaluated by comparison with 2756 2757 the most recent published pan-tropical AGB datasets (Avitabile et al., 2016; Baccini 2758 et al., 2017; Bouvet et al., 2018). The differences between models were analysed as displayed in Fig. 3.8, 9, and 10. Avitabile et al. (2016) integrated two existing global 2759 2760 datasets of AGB from Saatchi et al., (2011) and Baccini et al. (2012) to create an improved pan-tropical AGB map at 1 km resolution, using an independent 2761 reference dataset of field observations to reduce bias and improve the accuracy. 2762 2763 Baccini et al. (2017) used Landsat data to produce an AGB map at 30 m resolution, 2764 while Bouvet et al. (2018) used an ALOS PALSAR mosaic produced by JAXA in 2765 2010 to produce an AGB map at 25 m resolution for continental Africa.

Fig. 3.8 shows a comparison between this study AGB estimates with these three published pan-tropical AGB datasets. A comparison with Avitabile et al. (2016) predicts low AGB values in the 0 to 30 Mg/ha range with a very low R^2 of 0.20 and a precision of 36.21 Mg/ha. The result from Bouvet et al. (2018) using ALOS PALSAR shows the highest agreement with this study with a coefficient of determination R^2 of 0.50, compared to Baccini et al. (2017) which reported precision for the AGB estimates of 31.31 Mg/ha and an R^2 of 0.41. The pan-tropical maps all exhibited a high RMSE and a low R^2 when compared with this study, which has AGB estimates with R^2 of 0.95 and RMSE of 0.25.

Fig. 3.9 shows the spread, and distribution of the AGB from this study and three 2775 2776 published pan-tropical AGB datasets. The mean AGB varied from 5.92 Mg/ha with the Avitabile et al. (2016), 18.5 Mg ha⁻¹ for Baccini et al., (2015), 26.7 Mg/ha for 2777 2778 Bouvet et al., (2018) to the highest 51 Mg/ha for this study (Fig. 3.9). The lowest 2779 median is observed in Avitabile et al. (2016) and a relatively high variance is observed in this study. Some bimodality is suggested by Avitabile et al. (2016) and 2780 2781 Baccini et al., (2015). This study and Bouvet et al., (2018) have a similar AGB spread and the highest mean AGB estimation, with this study estimating a AGB of 2782 145 Mg ha compared to 66 Mg/ha from Bouvet et al., (2018), 49 Mg/ha from 2783 2784 Baccini et al., (2015) and 28.8 Mg/ha from Avitabile et al. (2016). Bouvet et al. (2018) was derived by limiting the model-based inversion method in predicting 2785 2786 AGB of forest plots to not exceed 85 Mg/ha for dryland ecosystem, and this could 2787 explain the low AGB estimation in the high-density forest of the study area.

2788



Fig. 3. 8. Comparison between A: This Study AGB estimates and the AGB estimates from
Bouvet et al. (2018). B: This Study AGB estimates and the AGB estimates from Baccini et al.
(2017). C: This Study AGB estimates and the AGB estimates from Avitabile et al. (2016).



Fig. 3. 9. Comparison of AGB distribution (Mg/ha) among the different AGB estimates from this study, Avitabile et al. (2016), Baccini et al. (2017), and Bouvet et al. (2018). The models are arranged from the highest median AGB to the lowest. The horizontal line of the box plot for each model represents the median and the width of violin plot represents the proportion of the data using a kernel probability density.

2799

2800 3.4 Discussion

2801

3.4.1 Relationship between S1 SAR, S2, and LC8 with AGB

2802 In this study, simple linear regression models from S1 backscatter, S2, and LC8 2803 spectral coefficients were statistically significant (p < 0.001). However, the simple models estimating the AGB from all sensors provided low R² values and high RMSE 2804 2805 that are considered unreliable for estimating forest structure parameters for 2806 practical forest management and habitat mapping. The RMSE observed in this study is lower than other AGB studies reported in the region, but it is similar to 2807 2808 Mutanga et al. (2012) who predicted biomass using a similar sized plot from 2809 homogeneous areas (20 m × 20 m) to compute 3 NDVIs from the WorldView-2 red edge and NIR bands and yielded an RMSE of 0.441 kg/m². The highest R^2 was 2810 2811 generated using multivariate models that employed both SAR and optical data (S1S2) highlighted in grey in Table 3.4, indicating the responsiveness of SAR to 2812 forest parameters particularly when sensors are used in combination for 2813 2814 monitoring structural parameters in dryland forests, as reported by Townsend (2002). 2815

In terms of the radar polarimetric parameters, VV polarisation showed a better 2816 2817 correlation and relationship with AGB and is shown to be more useful for the AGB estimations as compared to VH. However, the combination of VV and VH 2818 2819 polarisation improves the R^2 and lowers the RMSE. This result is not consistent with the results obtained by Liu et al. (2019) but it is similar to the results of Omar 2820 et al. (2017) and (Pham et al. (2020) who found VV polarisation to perform better 2821 in estimating AGB and sensitive to the increase in AGB as compared to VH. 2822 Nizalapur and Madugundu, (2010) used backscatter intensities obtained in X, C, L 2823 and P- bands from DLR-¬ESAR data in Indian tropical forests, in which VV was 2824 Page | 137

found to correlate with biomass when compared to HH, HV and VH polarisations. The selection of VV polarisation and their strong correlation with AGB and forest parameters estimation also aligns with the studies by Ouaadi et al. (2020) and Wijaya et al. (2015).

Further, it could be observed that the SAR data was better at detecting aggregations of individual trees in the savanna landscape than its optical counterpart, while overestimating AGB and tree density cover in this area. This effect was also shown in a study that was conducted in the Sahel dryland ecosystems using S1/2 data (Zhang et al., 2019). The overestimation of AGB was reduced from the combined use of S1 and S2 as compared to the single use of any of the sensors.

2836 For optical data, although NDVI and EVI remain two of the most widely used vegetation indices, they were outperformed by the NDRE1 and GNDVI in 2837 2838 estimating AGB, for dryland forests. The results are in agreement with the study by 2839 Wang et al. (2007) that tested the capabilities of GNDVI for estimating the Leaf 2840 Area Index (LAI), which were tested under different circumstances, and found that 2841 GNDVI performed better than the conventional NDVI in both circumstances. The 2842 results also align with the study by Otsu et al. (2019) who found that GNDVI performed best in distinguishing broad leaf from needle leaf forests as compared 2843 2844 to NDVI. Another study by Yoder et al. (1994) used the green channel in a vegetation index and found that it had a better correlation with the photosynthetic 2845 activity of the tree canopy in miniature Douglas-firs as compared to the red 2846 2847 channel. The main reason for the difference in the performance of NDVI and GNDVI is likely because the former is more sensitive to low chlorophyll concentrations, 2848 2849 while GNDVI is more sensitive to high chlorophyll concentrations and so is more 2850 accurate for assessing chlorophyll content at the tree crown level (Gitelson et al., 2851 1996). Besides the use of the green channel in a vegetation index, the red edge 2852 band is found to be more effective in estimating AGB at high canopy density as 2853 compared to conventional vegetation indices because it covers chlorophyll absorption and leaf cell structure reflection (Mutanga and Cho., 2012, Eitel et al., 2854 2011). 2855

The study found that a combination of S1 polarisation, S2 green, and red edge 2856 bands, have led to the mitigation of data saturation in high-density biomass, when 2857 2858 compared to S2 NDVI models that saturate at biomass levels above 80 Mg/ha. The 2859 saturation of the relationship between biomass and the NDVI due to strong 2860 absorption in the red wavelength is a well-recognised problem (Zhao et al., 2016). SAR acquired across the range of frequencies (namely C-, L- and P-band) has a 2861 2862 demonstrated capacity to quantify biomass up to a saturation level after which 2863 sensitivity is lost, depending on the frequency used. For example, it is reported that 2864 the C-band radar backscatter response saturates at biomass values of 30 Mg/ha to 50 Mg/ha, and the L-band backscatter is generally reported to occur between 70 2865 Mg/ha and 150 Mg/ha and P-band backscatter can measure from 100 Mg/ha 2866 up to 200 Mg/ha (Lucas et al., 2015). For this study, the synergy between the two 2867 2868 data sources, particularly the inclusion of SAR backscatter values from VV 2869 polarisation and the red-edge (B5) spectral bands have reduced saturation effects 2870 typical in optical and radar backscatter remote sensing data for the dense or mature forest with complex stand structures in dryland forest (Liu et al., 2019). 2871

3.4.2 Selection of suitable algorithms and methods

2873 The estimations derived from the machine learning algorithm showed the ability for improved the estimation of all forest parameters including AGB. Although the 2874 2875 results from ML regression models exhibited a strong linear relationship, this 2876 study found that the RF regression algorithm performed better than ML 2877 regression, reducing the RMSE for the estimation models by almost 50% in all instances. In this study, ML regression derived relationships between observed 2878 2879 and estimated AGB and residuals show some linearity, that is, overestimations and underestimations for the low and high biomass observations, respectively. This 2880 demonstrates the problem of using linear regression models, as identified by Zhao 2881 2882 et al. (2016) who used Landsat and linear regression to estimate biomass saturation values in the Zhejiang Province of Eastern China. 2883

Even though MRF regression models reduce the overestimation and underestimation of biomass compared to ML regression models in this study, there remains room for improvement. Specifically, the RF regression model estimated medium and high-density forests with good accuracy but showed variation in low-

density forests <30 Mg/ha. Most of these low-density forest plots include 2888 understoreys and low herbaceous cover such as grassland, open forest, and burned 2889 woodlands, often with relatively low canopy density. Therefore, soil and moisture 2890 conditions under the canopy would have a significant impact on surface 2891 2892 reflectance and considerably influence AGB estimation. These results are similar to numerous studies that assessed dryland forests using radar backscatter signals 2893 and decision tree models (Baccini et al., 2004; Santos et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2894 1998) which all found that variations in understorey and ground conditions had an 2895 2896 impact on the interaction of microwave radiation with vegetation cover. Using 2897 Radar C- and L-band, Wang et al. (1998) noted that the sensitivity of SAR to surface parameters is most pronounced for co-polarisation signals C-VV and C-HH angles 2898 2899 at low biomass levels, with a sensitivity decrease for high biomass stands. This was 2900 also an issue in this study because data were acquired during the wet season 2901 where errors associated with moisture are likely (Mitchard et al., 2013).

2902

3.4.3 Comparing regional AGB estimates with pan-tropicalmaps

The spatial distribution of high values of AGB (>145 Mg/ha) closely corresponds to field measurements, with the forests in the northern part of Chobe National Park found to have the highest AGB values. This can be attributed to the predominance of species with large DBH such as Zambezi teak (*Baikaea Pluijuga*). Also, the impacts of fire on the northern part of Chobe Park are better controlled than the southern areas, as they commonly experience a higher burning frequency (Dube, 2013).

Fig. 3.10 (I) shows a detailed view of a subset of forests in the northern part of the study area, dominated by high density forests. The inability to estimate AGB heterogeneity and a large under-estimation of biomass in dryland forests can be clearly seen in the AGB map of Avitabile et al. (2016) when compared to all the other AGB datasets. In contrast, Baccini et al. (2017) using Landsat imagery underestimate AGB in the area of high-density forest around the airport situated to the northeast of the study area (0-10 Mg/ha). Bouvet et al. (2018), using ALOS PALSAR, predict higher levels of biomass than Baccini et al. (2017) around the airport area (10-30 Mg/ha), but these estimates are lower than this study estimates of >80 Mg/ha. This study estimates higher biomass stocks in large areas of northern Chobe > 80 Mg/ha particularly when compared to Bouvet et al. (2018) and Baccini et al. (2017).

The area shown in Fig. 3.10(II) is along the Shimwanza Valley, characterised by 2924 2925 bare ground, gullies, tall shrub savanna, and open woodland with a mixture of 2926 medium and large trees. Results showed very large discrepancies from the pan-2927 tropical map in this area. For example, it can be seen that Bouvet et al. (2018) underestimated a large portion of large and mature individual trees and were not 2928 2929 able to characterise the variability in dryland forests or the patterns of open woodland. In addition, Bouvet et al., (2018) estimated high biomass of 50 Mg/ha to 2930 2931 70 Mg/ha in the degrading forest along the Chobe River frontage (see: Fig. 3.9B). 2932 The S2 image reveals that there are actually fewer trees in this area with more bare ground in between. The S1S2 model from this study was able to clearly show 2933 2934 the fine details of trees in different AGB ranges, with a mix of very low biomass (due to different degrees of degradation) to intermediate biomass for certain areas 2935 2936 with very large but scattered trees, as shown in S2 imagery (see: Fig. 3.10E). 2937 Baccini et al. (2017) shows a broad range of AGB (low to intermediate) similar to this study AGB estimates in the Chobe River frontage; although their study 2938 estimated lower biomass in high-density forest areas (see: Fig. 3.10C). 2939


Fig. 3. 10. Biomass map in a subset of forests in the (I) northern part of the study area and (II) Shimwanza valley. A: estimated AGB map by this study. B: estimated AGB map by Bouvet et al. (2018). C: estimated AGB map by Baccini et al. (2017). D: estimated AGB map by Avitabile et al. (2016). E: RGB 432 S2 image.

2945 3.4.4 Suitability of different models for land and wildlife 2946 management

Optical Landsat imagery utilised by Baccini et al. (2017) was able to capture broad-2947 2948 scale information on forest biomass but was less able to describe fine-scale disturbance. Where it captured the patterns of biomass fragmentation, it mostly 2949 overly overestimated AGB (Baccini et al., 2017). While Bouvet et al. (2018), using 2950 2951 ALOS PALSAR L-band, was effective in mapping biomass structural density, but it 2952 was less capable at distinguishing biomass from degraded habitat areas, and 2953 largely failed to capture biomass variability and relatively small-scale changes 2954 associated with features such as roads, which were captured by this study and to a larger extend by Baccini et al. (2017) (see: Fig. 3.11). The large discrepancies in 2955 biomass distribution from Pan-tropical datasets can also be attributed to forest 2956 masks derived from different land cover maps which excluded certain 2957 2958 woodland/vegetation types from their estimation. For example, Avitabile et al. (2016) used the GLC2000 map from Bartholomé & Belward. (2005) as a forest 2959 mask, while Bouvet et al. (2018) masked out forest classes (broadleaf evergreen 2960 2961 closed to open forest) using the ESA CCI Land Cover 2010 map from ESA (2014), 2962 which can have a large impact on the estimation of biomass and carbon stocks in 2963 dryland forests. The AGB map generated by this study is the most accurate and detailed published for the study area and complements the global products, 2964 2965 therefore facilitating regional to international reporting of biomass and carbon 2966 dynamics. This is in agreement with (Lucas et al., 2008) who utilised ALOS PALSAR data and the Landsat-derived Foliage Projected Cover (FPC) in Queensland, 2967 2968 Australia, and reported that the combination of radar and optical data has the ability to allow better assessment of deforestation patterns, regeneration and 2969 2970 woody thickening, tree death from climate change, and biomass change. In addition, the AGB model from this study showed that biomass for dryland forests 2971 2972 exceeds estimates derived from pan-tropical products which underestimate 2973 biomass and forests in dryland ecosystems of less-studied areas such as the KAZA 2974 region, which are often neglected in this type of analysis (David et al., 2022a). The 2975 sensor fusion explored here complements this study and encouragingly suggests a 2976 high potential for separating biomass in dryland cover types that are structurally distinct but spectrally similar, which are notably those areas that are challenging 2977

to distinguish through optical remote sensing alone (Buhne and Pettorelli, 2018;Treuhaft et al., 2004).

2980 In addition to sensor integration, issues of scale are critical for biomass and habitat mapping, where the adequacy of spatial resolution is key (Buhne and Pettorelli, 2981 2982 2018). For example, biomass mapping at a regional scale utilising the fusion of optical and radar data in this study reduced the saturation effect at high AGB 2983 2984 values above 80 Mg/ha, allowing the identification of habitat fragmantation, and 2985 small-scale degradation patterns of biomass compared to broader scale maps. 2986 Maps of AGB, if sufficiently detailed, can assist conservation managers, practitioners, and policymakers to formulate specific practices (e.g., corridor 2987 2988 planning, tree thinning, fire control, biodiversity surveys, etc.) that are appropriate 2989 to support the conservation of forest habitats and their management. Many 2990 countries presently lack the capacity to produce their own local maps of forest 2991 biomass and so must rely on existing biomass maps founded upon broader pantropical and global datasets. Whilst the AGB maps produced by Baccini et al. 2992 2993 (2017) and Bouvet et al. (2018) may be used to meet national-scale emissions reporting requirements when no finer scale information is available, these maps 2994 2995 need to be validated against local forest stock surveys or local/regional AGB maps 2996 from higher resolution satellite imagery. Given the decision-making on 2997 sustainability at national and subnational levels, this study contends that the pan-2998 tropical and global data sets are unable to provide finer scale mapping of aspects 2999 that are relevant to wildlife habitat and biodiversity in dryland forests. These 3000 results support the assertion that countries should not rely on pan-tropical 3001 datasets but should rather estimate biomass and carbon stocks at the regional and 3002 local level, which in turn feeds into meeting the United Nations' Sustainable 3003 Development Goals (SDGs), as suggested by Mitchard et al. (2013). This is essential 3004 for land and forest management in these areas, particularly in protected zones, 3005 given the vulnerability to anthropogenic pressure, disturbance from wildlife, and climatic fluctuations. 3006



Fig. 3. 11. A: RGB 432 S2 image. B: S2 a difference map between this study and Bouvet et
al., 2018 (This study –Bouvet et al., (2018), C: This study AGB map. D: Bouvet et al., 2018
AGB map.

3015 3.5 Conclusion

This study combined satellite-based synthetic-aperture radar (SAR) and 3016 multispectral imagery with ground truth data to map above ground biomass 3017 3018 throughout the dryland forests in the Chobe region of Botswana. The main finding 3019 from the results is that using a combination of data types (SAR and multispectral 3020 sensors) it is possible to estimate above ground biomass in dryland forests with a good level of precision. The estimations of AGB reveal that the highest biomass 3021 3022 values of 80-145 Mg/ha were found in northern Chobe where the dominant tree 3023 species are Baikiaea plurijuga, Burkea africana, and Pterocarpus angolensis. A large 3024 part of the study area (85%) is characterised by low AGB values (< 80 Mg/ha). In 3025 Southern Chobe and along the Chobe River frontage area, a high burning frequency 3026 and degradation associated with overgrazing and elephant damage may have contributed to the generally low AGB values observed. Three main conclusions can 3027 be drawn from this study: 3028

First, combining freely available SAR and multispectral imagery (S1 and S2) has 3029 the potential to estimate biomass at local and regional levels with a good level of 3030 3031 precision compared to using single sensors alone. The research observed that the 3032 relatively fine resolution of Sentinel (10 m pixels) reduced the mixed pixel 3033 problem observed in medium spatial resolution data (30 m pixels; e.g. Landsat 8), which led to an increase in the precision of biomass estimation. The results 3034 3035 demonstrated that SAR backscatter in conjunction with the strategically positioned 3036 optical bands (red edge wavebands) significantly improved forest stand parameter 3037 estimations and the reduced saturation effect in areas of high biomass in dryland 3038 forests. The NDRE1 and GNDVI yielded a higher linear relationship than NDVI, while GRVI and EVI yielded the lowest correlation with AGB. 3039

Secondly, dryland forest ecosystems and conservation organisations can use global and continental datasets as sources of information that could provide early warnings of regional-scale ecological change. However, regional and local studies are critical and serve to provide useful information in evidence-based decision making for improved estimation of carbon stocks, monitoring the impacts of climate change, and the conservation of dryland forest habitats under pressure. Finally, after comparing and analysing the effects of the various empirical models using ML and RF regression approaches, this study found that the decision tree model (RF regression algorithm) is the most robust for estimating AGB in dryland forests, as compared to linear analysis. The precise and timely quantification of AGB can help improve the understanding of dryland forest habitats and to plan and monitor land and forest resources in conservation areas, which are critical for wildlife function and sustainable land management at present and into the future.

3053 3.6 Acknowledgments

3054 This work was supported by Commonwealth Scholarship Commission PhD grant number: NACS-2017-409 from the 2017-2020, Geography doctoral program at 3055 Durham University. The authors acknowledge financial support from the Royal 3056 Geographical Society (with IBG) - Monica Cole Research Grant (grant number: MC 3057 08/19) and WWF Namibia Mike Knight for travel support grant number: T225. I 3058 3059 thank WWF KAZA TFCA Secretariat Dr Nyambe Nyambe, Chobe National Park Authority Michael Flyman, and University of Namibia (Katima Branch) Dr. 3060 3061 Ekkehard Klingelhoeffer for the support during the field. I also thank Morgan 3062 Kamwi who helped with data collection.

3063

3066 3.7 Supplementary Information 1

3067	Table A. 1. Image acquisition date and scene ID.

Satellite	Cloud cover	Acquisition Date	Satellite Name
S1	0	15/03/2019	COPERNICUS/S1_GRD
S2	0	14/03/2019	COPERNICUS/S2_SR/20190314T080709_20190 314T083245_T35KKA
LC08	0	15/03/2019	LANDSAT/LC08/C01/T1_SR/LC08_174072_2019 0315

3068

3069





3071 (Mg/ha) of each field plot with woodland trees.





Fig A. 2. Relationships of S1 polarisations and S2 spectral bands with stand forest
parameters in the study area. A: S1 VV polarisation vs AGB. B: S1 VH polarisation vs AGB.
C: S2 B3 vs AGB. D: S2 B5 vs AGB.





Fig A. 3. Dispersion diagram of the observed versus predicted biomass at each fold on a logscale using 70% of the training data.



3080 Fig A. 4. Linear and Bootstrap regression of Sentinel 2 Band 3 on a standardised scale.



- 3082 Fig A. 5. Land cover classification map of Zambezi region in Namibia and Chobe District in
- 3083 Botswana for 2019

3084

Land cover classes	Total Area	Percentage
	(km ²)	(%)
Forests	4,475	23
Open woodland	8,216	43
Grassland	4,910	25
Shrubs	1,719	9
Sum	19,321	100%

3086 Table A. 2. Area statistics of the land cover classes.

3088 Table A. 3. Accuracy assessment of the land cover classification

Accuracy	Percentage (%)
Overall Accuracy	97%
Validation Overall Accuracy	67%
Kappa coefficient	60%

3090 4 IDENTIFYING AND UNDERSTANDING DRYLAND 3091 FOREST CHANGES AND DISTURBANCES IN SOUTHERN 3092 AFRICA USING LANDSAT AND MODIS TIME SERIES 3093 AND FIELD VEGETATION DATA



3089

- 3098 Chapter 4 Manuscript in progress: Intended for submission to International Journal of
- 3099 Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

3100

- 3101 **Title**: Identifying and understanding dryland forest changes and disturbances in
- 3102 Southern Africa using Landsat and MODIS time series and field vegetation data.

3103

3104 Author contributions

3105

- David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results,
 wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the
- 3108 research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-
- 3109 Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and
- 3110 supervision.

3111

- 3112
- 3113
- 3114

3117 Abstract

3118 The Kavango Zambezi (KAZA) Transfrontier Conservation Area is sensitive to 3119 water availability, and drought, in addition to anthropogenic disturbances, impacts 3120 vegetation cover in the region. An effective method for change detection to examine vegetation response across KAZA needs to account for seasonal as well as 3121 3122 abrupt changes over at fine temporal resolutions (e.g., monthly) rather than yearly basis. In this study, an approach that quantifies dryland forest change by 3123 3124 combining Landsat and MODIS imagery with climate data, validated against ground-based measurements collected from Namibia and Botswana was 3125 presented. The Breaks for Additive Seasonal and Trend (BFAST), and Bayesian 3126 3127 Estimator of Abrupt change, Seasonality and Trend (BEAST) algorithms were 3128 applied to evaluate their ability to detect changes in both long-term trend and 3129 seasonality based upon the MODIS normalised difference vegetation (NDVI) and 3130 Green normalised difference vegetation (GNDVI) time series. The results demonstrate that there is a close relationship between the ground survey data and 3131 the estimated changepoints. The Bayesian analysis (BEAST) was found to give the 3132 best performance in identifying abrupt changes associated with fire, drought, and 3133 seasonal changes driven by climate and clear-cutting events as compared to 3134 3135 BFAST. BFAST failed to detect seasonal shifts in the entire study period. GNDVI was an effective dataset for detecting both small and large magnitude changes (e.g., 3136 3137 deforestation, fire, and drought), while the NDVI was most effective in detecting large magnitude changes, particularly those that resulted in complete land-cover 3138 3139 class changes (e.g., deforestation). The study found that the NDVI was more 3140 influenced by canopy background variations and herbaceous layers when 3141 detecting changes with regrowth of herbaceous layers than the GNDVI. Tropical dryland forests in KAZA are highly dynamic and water-sensitive with high rates of 3142 3143 deforestation and widespread degradation, which mainly result in abrupt vegetation changes, continuous vegetation recovery and regrowth. The approach 3144 presented can accurately identify the vegetation changes, phenological variations 3145 3146 and time of disturbance in both the spatial and temporal domains. Therefore, it can contribute to the understanding of forest decline and habitat changes and their 3147

vulnerability in the context of land cover change, climate change and sustainabledevelopment policies in tropical dryland forests.

3150 Keywords: Change detection, Time-series decomposition algorithm, Forest
3151 disturbance, Bayesian estimators, BFAST, Abrupt change, Southern Africa

3152 4.1 Introduction

Tropical dryland forests experience a high degree of pressure from human activity 3153 but monitoring forest degradation in these systems is challenging due to high 3154 canopy complexity, phenology, climatic variability, and diverse degradation 3155 3156 drivers (Grainger, 1999, McElhinny et al., 2005, McNicol et al., 2018). Protected Areas (PAs) underpin global efforts to preserve the Earth's biodiversity and 3157 maintain functional terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Wiens et al., 2009). The 3158 Kavango Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA TFCA) is the largest 3159 3160 "hyper" hotspot for endemism and conservation support. However, the tropical savanna forests and woodlands (hereafter referred to as "dryland forest") face an 3161 increasing number of threats, ranging from those originating from climate, 3162 3163 disturbance by large mammalian herbivores, to those associated with the 3164 increasingly invasive competition for diminishing resources. These multiple threats have led to deforestation and degradation of protected landscapes, which 3165 3166 directly impacts wildlife species distributions (Cumming, 2008). Changes in 3167 climate regimes and competition for the available natural habitats have contributed to the escalation of human-wildlife conflict (HWC) in the KAZA region, 3168 3169 especially in Namibia and Botswana (FAO, 2009). Furthermore, climate modelling of Africa has shown that dryland forest in and around KAZA TFCA is among the 3170 3171 world's most vulnerable at warming levels of 1.5–2.0° (IPCC, 2014).

Monitoring long-term ecological processes in these PAs is therefore crucial to ecological conservation and biodiversity (FAO, 2009). The possibility that arises when changes are not monitored routinely is that the adverse impacts may have already occurred and it may be too late to reverse the change or even adapt to it (Sheffield et al., 2008). This will lead to large-scale destruction of important habitats for many species and a dramatic decrease in wildlife habitats. Thus, for conservation goals to be met, it is essential to detect whether vegetation changes

and degradation are occurring within the forests of PAs and their causes. 3179 Assessment of the regional impacts of land use and land cover (LULC) change are 3180 3181 fundamental for determining the appropriate policy responses to forest decline, increased human-wildlife conflicts, and managing of animal movement patterns 3182 3183 and wildlife corridors in KAZA TFCA (Stoldt et al., 2020). Such efforts are equally important for enhancing forest carbon sequestration and avoiding deforestation 3184 for developing nations, as encouraged by Reducing Emissions from Deforestation 3185 3186 and forest Degradation (REDD+) schemes.

3187 In Africa, almost all remaining dryland forests in PAs are threatened by 3188 deforestation and degradation and so should be given high conservation priority 3189 (Clark et al., 2008). Although the focus in detecting forest cover loss using different 3190 indices soon after they occur overwhelmingly remains in humid forests (Janzen, 1988; Masiello et al., 2020), dryland forests are beginning to receive more 3191 3192 attention. However, published studies on dryland forests in Africa are generally concentrated on the Sahel in West Africa (Liu et al., 2017), while most studies in 3193 3194 Southern Africa have been confined to Kruger NP (Bucini et al., 2010). 3195 Unfortunately, the forests in PAs of other parts of Southern Africa such as KAZA TFCA have received far less attention. An additional challenge is understanding the 3196 3197 sensitivity and therefore suitability of conventional satellite-based NDVI measurements in detecting large and small-scale forest disturbances and seasonal 3198 3199 change in highly heterogeneous forest environments such as drylands (Blackie et 3200 al., 2014). The lack of historical disturbance events in KAZA TFCA constitutes a 3201 challenge for in-depth temporal and spatial analysis which is crucial to ecological 3202 conservation and biodiversity. This is raising concerns that disturbances within the dryland, natural resources and wildlife habitat management areas might 3203 3204 increasingly interfere with continuous and sustainable provisioning of ecosystem 3205 services to society and wildlife.

The availability of MODIS satellite data and new automated data processing techniques that provide high-quality continuous time-series data represent a major advancement for the automated monitoring at monthly rather than annual intervals which potentially masks considerable within-year variations. The daily temporal resolution of the MODIS NDVI has a significant advantage over Landsat data for monitoring the disturbance and recovery state. The limitation of MODIS Page | 156

based Vegetation Indices (VIs) for change detection is associated with the 3212 moderate spatial resolution. With the advancement of cloud computing, 3213 3214 particularly the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform, which provides an archive of data including MODIS and Landsat with associated data processing capacity at no 3215 3216 cost (Gorelick et al., 2017), has become a valuable tool for change monitoring in tropical environments. Access to such temporally rich time series has also led to an 3217 increase in methods that aim to track the occurrence of disturbance events at 3218 3219 regional scale. It is reported that disturbance rates in dryland forests have 3220 increased in recent decades, and there is evidence that climate change and past land use both have contributed to the disturbance increasing rate (Wilcox, et al., 3221 2011). Continuous disturbances in an area consisting of natural habitats result in 3222 habitat fragmentation and reduce its ability to support the ecosystems and 3223 3224 surroundings that are essential for their sustainability (Visscher, 2006). The 3225 accurate reconstruction of past forest disturbance dynamics at spatial, temporal, 3226 and thematic scales offered by time series will allow ecological analyses to help provide a better understanding of disturbance regimes (Senf et al., 2017). The 3227 3228 dense time series information enables the quantification and characterisation of 3229 disturbances in terms of disturbance magnitude, duration, and attribution of 3230 recent disturbance activities (Kennedy et al., 2012). Before the availability of time series analysis, forest change detection mapping was done using bi-temporal 3231 3232 differences or supervised image classifications (David et al., 2022a). Bi-temporal 3233 image classifications were able to detect large-scale deforestation, but they are less useful for assessing small-scale deforestation, degradation, and regrowth because 3234 3235 they fail to capture the dynamic behaviour of vegetation during the year and over longer time periods (Hamunyela et al., 2020; Zhu and Woodcock, 2014). Moving 3236 3237 from a relatively static, bi-temporal view of change toward a more continuous view 3238 of ecosystem dynamics can improve understanding regarding the disturbance's spatiotemporal patterns, their causes, and consequences (Kennedy et al. 2014). 3239 Effective change detection ideally identifies variations at the seasonal scale while 3240 3241 simultaneously detecting abrupt, and subtle changes in any long-term trends. Breaks For Additive Seasonal and Trend (BFAST), BFAST Seasonal and Bayesian 3242 Estimator of Abrupt change, Seasonality and Trend (BEAST) algorithms have been 3243 3244 developed to do this (Verbesselt et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2019). However, their

3245 effectiveness in tropical dryland forests, where vegetation response is typically3246 aseasonal, has yet to be assessed.

This paper aims to provide a systematic assessment of vegetation dynamics and 3247 spatially detailed patterns of change in the dryland forests. To do this, the research 3248 3249 employs multiple data streams for the time series assessment of forest change over parks and surrounding areas within KAZA TFCA from 2002–2019. The premice is 3250 3251 that by taking advantage of the different characteristics of vegetation indices and 3252 different change detection model, change detection results could be improved in 3253 dryland forests. The general objective was to investigate the evidence of water stress conditions and assess the suitability of the change detection model on 3254 3255 MODIS time series data for mapping forest disturbances (e.g., clear-cutting, drought) in dynamic and diverse tropical dryland forests. Specifically, this paper 3256 reports three steps: (1) spatial characterisation of climatic data with vegetation 3257 indices as a proxy indicator of climate variability to improve understanding of 3258 vegetation response to drought; (2) Compare the commonly used NDVI vegetation 3259 3260 index with GNDVI and evaluate their sensitivities and performances in detecting 3261 changes; and (3) Characterise changes in trends and phenological patterns using 3262 BFAST and BEAST algorithms. (4) Quantify and identify the LULC change, locations, types, and trends of the land cover during the 19-year period in 3263 communal and protected areas of Zambezi region. Ideally, such an analysis will 3264 3265 provide conservation efforts with frequently updated information for monitoring 3266 disturbances and potentially deforested areas, allowing targeted mitigation actions to be taken. 3267

3268

3269 4.2 Materials and methods

3270 4.2.1 Study area

The KAZA TFCA (18.00°S, 23.00°E) in Southern Africa, is an iconic PA that inhabits a rich ecology and enormous wildlife. KAZA TFCA is established in March 2013 with an enclosed area equivalent to the size of France at 519,912 km² (Cumming, 2008), and is situated in the Kavango and Zambezi River basins- and is shared by Angola, Botswana, Namibia, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Within this area, 371,394 km² are under conservation and the remaining 148,520 km² are mainly used for agricultural activities including rangeland.

This conservation area is considered to be an important means to create economic 3278 3279 development and conserve the unique biodiversity by establishing links between 3280 fragmented habitats with a particular focus on large-scale migrations of wildlife 3281 (WWF, 2016). KAZA links together over 36 proclaimed PAs including national 3282 parks (NPs), forest reserves, community conservancies, and wildlife management 3283 areas. PAs carry substantial populations of large mammals and several plant 3284 endemic plant species, including large areas of the dryland forests, and globally 3285 significant wetlands. The dryland vegetation domain in KAZA ranges from forest 3286 formations with a dense canopy cover to shrubs and grasslands ranges, which are also considered a biodiversity hotspot. However, these areas are under severe 3287 3288 pressure from agricultural expansion and settlement, wildlife, large-scale burning, and timber harvesting (NACSO, 2014) (see: Fig. 4.1). This study focuses on the 3289 3290 Namibian and Botswanan components of the KAZA TFCA. In particular, the study 3291 was conducted in three protected areas situated in the Okavango Zambezi region: 3292 (a) Chobe NP in Botswana, (b) Zambezi state forest (ST) in Namibia, and (c) 3293 Mudumu NP in Namibia. The selection of study sites depended on the ecological importance and the land conservation practices implemented within the region. 3294 3295 The selection of sites in Namibia included state-run protected areas such as 3296 Zambezi state forest (red-coloured polygon), a conserved forest area which was 3297 traditionally protected by the government and residents in the area (see: Fig. 4.1). 3298 Zambezi state forest is designed to be only used sustainably used for timber and other 3299 forest products but has now been pushed back by human settlement (Bollig and 3300 Vehrs, 2021). The Mudumu National Park (Aqua-coloured polygon) is one of the largest protected areas in the Zambezi region established as a core wildlife area 3301 3302 with animals migrating from the park to surrounding communal conservancies, where they can be used for quota hunting or through tourism (O'Connell et al., 3303 2000). The unprotected surrounding communal area including the communal 3304 conservancies that depend on agriculture and tourism development and both 3305 3306 encroach on the dryland forests (Hank, 2003).

3307 The Chobe NP, in the north-east of Botswana (18.7°S, 24.5°E), features the largest 3308 number of elephants in KAZA; the number of elephants in northern Botswana alone is estimated at more than 156,000 (Junker, 2009). The Chobe River basin 3309 serves as a source of surface water for the Chobe District and in the dry season, 3310 3311 animals converge on this stretch of water from Northern Botswana (Hanks, 2003). Chobe NP contrasts with the Namibian component of KAZA TFCA. The Zambezi 3312 Region (17.8° S, 23.9° E), in the heart of KAZA, is a long strip of land with multiple 3313 land uses, containing several national parks much smaller by comparison to Chobe 3314 3315 NP. The Mudumu NP, in north-eastern Namibia, and is bordered by the Kwando 3316 River. The park is in the centre of KAZA TFCA and as there is no boundary fence, it acts as a corridor for large game species such as African elephants, as migrating 3317 between Botswana, Zambia, Angola, and Zimbabwe. The Zambezi ST area is 3318 3319 surrounded by conservancies and communally governed areas. The Zambezi ST 3320 generally features very high population densities with consequent overgrazing and widespread unsustainable wood harvesting with many areas considered now 3321 3322 degraded.

Topography in both parks is relatively flat characterised by low elevations ranging 3323 3324 from 910 to 1100 m above sea level (Omphile et al., 2002). Climatically, the sites have similar rainfall patterns throughout the year, and so the KAZA region has a 3325 subtropical dry climate characterised by highly variable rainfall. The annual 3326 3327 average rainfall is approximately 650 mm, with almost all falling between 3328 November to March, followed by a dry season from April to October. Daytime temperatures increase towards the end of the dry season, when the heat soars and 3329 the expectation of rain is high. Average temperatures range between 15.2°C -3330 30.2°C. 3331



3332

Fig. 4. 1. Location of the study area in KAZA TFCA. The yellow circles show sampling sites in Zambezi ST, and Mudumu NP Namibia (top), and Chobe NP (bottom). Examples of sample plots representing disturbance types and recent degradation activities captured during a field campaign in 2019 are shown, A) clear-cut deforestation of forest area in Zambezi ST Namibia, B) Burned forest for cultivation near protected area of Mudumu NP, Namibia, C) the visible forest loss, especially the woodland along the Chobe riverfront, D) high population of elephants destructive influence on vegetation.

4.2.2 Fieldwork and sampling design

Survey fieldwork was undertaken to record forest tree stands and observe the 3342 different land cover types present in the study area during the growing season (1st 3343 3344 February - 30th April 2019). The field samples of the five main land cover classes 3345 (forests, open woodland, shrubs, grassland, and bare land) were collected at three sites in the KAZA TFCA region; one park was located in Botswana, the Chobe NP. 3346 The other two sites are located in Namibia - the Mudumu NP, and Zambezi ST (see: 3347 3348 Fig. 4.1). These sites were chosen because dryland forests within and around the 3349 PAs are particularly susceptible to disturbance and drought, warranting particular 3350 attention (Feng et al., 2013). However, these areas are often remote and dangerous 3351 to visit in the field, due to the hazard posed by wildlife and if present, unexploded 3352 landmines (see: Fig. 4.1). Another challenge is there are very little plot data in the dryland forests, which are more sensitive to inter-annual variations in climate than 3353 humid forests (Grainger, 1999). This is particularly true for the forest in the KAZA 3354 3355 region that experienced several extreme droughts in recent.

3356 The allocation of plots followed a stratified random sampling approach based on the four strata (forest, open woodland, scattered trees with low herbaceous cover, 3357 3358 and non-forests). The plot sizes of (20 m × 20 m) and (10 m × 10 m) were considered adequate to enable sampling a good number of trees in each plot. 3359 3360 Smaller plot sizes of $(10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m})$ were adopted only in areas of very high tree 3361 density that were dangerous to visit due to the hazard posed by wildlife. In total, 3362 measurements were collected from 271 individual sample plots randomly distributed throughout the dryland landscape. A total of 101 plots in Chobe NP, 3363 3364 115 plots in Zambezi ST, and 50 plots in Mudumu NP were visited. In Botswana, 61 sample plots represent woody vegetation, 40 sample plots represented non-3365 woodland cover, while in Namibia 95 sample plots represent woody vegetation, 3366 and 75 represented non-woodland cover. The total number of individual trees 3367 measured was 4337 in Botswana, 2400 trees in Zambezi ST, and 1600 trees in 3368 3369 Mudumu NP. For each tree inside the plot, mean height, diameter at breast height 3370 (DHB), tree density, canopy closure, and tree species were recorded. The UTM 3371 coordinates at the centre of each plot were taken with the hand-held GPS. Although 3372 the coordinates of each plot centre were collected with a high-quality device with

GPS and GLONASS sensors, there may be small positional errors, especially when 3373 differential corrections are unavailable (errors up to 8-10 m are common). The 3374 3375 images used in this chapter have a spatial resolution of 30 m for Landsat and 500m for MODIS data which have a coarser pixel size which compensated for the 3376 3377 possible positional error of the GPS used. Heights of individual trees were measured using an ultrasonic Vertex III hypsometer which requires finding a 3378 suitable position to observe each tree tip (Božić et al., 2005), while stem diameter 3379 was measured using a Diameter above Breast Height (DBH) tape. The diameters of 3380 3381 all the trees in each plot were measured at breast height, which is at 1.37 m above 3382 the ground surface. All trees with a stem diameter >3 cm and 1.5 m height were recorded. Field surveys of woody plants were conducted on sites where damage to 3383 plants was specifically observed to identify where drought had an obvious impact. 3384

4.2.3 CHIRPS precipitation data

Climate data were selected under the assumption that plant growth in the region is 3386 3387 limited by water availability, temperature, and/or incident radiation (Field et al., 1995). Changes in either of these parameters might induce changes in vegetation 3388 productivity and the proxy NDVI signal. For this region, water availability is 3389 3390 determined by the amount of precipitation, and so the study confined this 3391 parameter to precipitation as productivity here is water rather than temperature limited (Nemani et al., 2003). However, for most parts of Africa, and especially the 3392 3393 semi-arid lands, the network of climatological stations is not dense enough to 3394 provide a coherent spatial picture of climate variability. As a result, the spatial 3395 characterisation of the effects of drought events on the land surface is not well 3396 defined. The study used satellite-based monthly precipitation estimates from the Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station data (CHIRPS) product 3397 $(0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ})$. CHIRPS data span from 1981 to the present. CHIRPS incorporates 3398 in-situ station data and CHPclim, 0.05° resolution satellite imagery to represent 3399 sparsely gauged locations such as Southern Africa (Funk et al., 2015a). To be 3400 3401 consistent with MODIS VIs, the CHIRPS rainfall data from 2002 to 2019 was used.

3402 4.2.4 Vegetation indices from remote sensing imagery

The vegetation datasets used in this study include NDVI and GNDVI greenness 3403 vegetation indices derived from the MODIS sensors. The vegetation indices use the 3404 3405 wavelength and intensity of the reflected light within the visible and near-infrared 3406 wavelengths to measure the density of green leaf vegetation, acting as proxies for 3407 leaf area index (LAI), fractional vegetation cover, and photosynthetic capacity (Broge et al., 2001). Generally, the plant is under stress when there is a change in 3408 3409 the health condition of the plant foliage, reflected by a corresponding decrease of 3410 LAI. Under stress conditions, plants increase their reflectance in the green and red portions as leaves become yellowish or chlorotic. This has led to the suggestion 3411 that the VIS portion is the most consistent leaf reflectance indicator of plant stress 3412 3413 (Carter, 1993).

The Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is a commonly used 3414 vegetation index that measures green healthy vegetation as it utilises the regions 3415 of the electromagnetic spectrum most associated with high absorption of 3416 chlorophyll in the red band, and high reflectance of NIR by mesophyll layers in 3417 green leaf biomass (Rouse, 1974). It is calculated as a normalised ratio between 3418 3419 Red and NIR reflectance values (Eq. 4.1). Higher NDVI values suggest higher 3420 amounts of photosynthetic active biomass. The NDVI was used in this study because it is a biophysical parameter that correlates with the photosynthetic 3421 activity of vegetation and is an indicator of the greenness of the biomes (Robinson 3422 3423 et al., 2017; Tucker, 1979). NDVI is also able to offer valuable information to 3424 monitor vegetation health, drought effects, changes in plant growth, land 3425 degradation, deforestation, change detection/monitoring, and in relating largescale inter-annual variations in vegetation to climate (Smith et al., 2019). 3426 Restrictions, however, have existed due to the effects of external factors, for 3427 example, soil and dead material, solar and viewing geometry as well as 3428 3429 meteorological events, all of which pose a challenge in carrying out a proper assessment (Zhu et al., 2012). Particularly, in drylands with generally low 3430 vegetation canopy cover, the soil background tends to significantly influence NDVI, 3431 3432 leading to a need for further development of vegetation indices. The study includes 3433 another greenness index, which is a variation of the NDVI and designed to reduce

saturation issues identified with this index. The GNDVI is computed similarly to the 3434 NDVI, but the Green band is used instead of the Red band (Eq. 4.2) (Gitelson et al., 3435 3436 1996). Thus, GNDVI is more sensitive to chlorophyll concentration than NDVI and ranges from 0 to 1.0. It is related to the proportion of photosynthetically absorbed 3437 3438 radiation and is linearly correlated with Leaf Area Index (LAI) and biomass (Hunt et al., 2008). By exploring various combinations of available spectral bands, the 3439 3440 study additionally examined the sensitivity of other indices such as MSAVI, EVI to find the most sensitive VI to detect changes in the dryland forest. MSAVI and EVI 3441 3442 were outperformed by GNDVI and thus GNDVI is presented in comparison to NDVI.

3443

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - Red}{NIR + Red}$$
(Eq. 4. 1)

$$GNDVI = \frac{NIR - Green}{NIR + Green}$$
(Eq. 4. 2)

3444 Table 4. 1. Characteristics of the main datasets used in this study

Climate Data				
Dataset	Timespan	Resolution	Source	
MODIS 8-day Terra	2002-2019	500m	GEE	
Surface Reflectance				
(MOD09A1.006)				
Climate Hazards Group	2002-2019	0.05 degrees	GEE	
InfraRed Precipitation with				
Station Data (CHIRPS)				
MODIS vegetation Data				
Terra Surface Reflectance 8-	2002-2019	500m	GEE	
Day Global 500m				

(MOD09A1.006)						
	TANDGAT	D (
	LANDSAT	Data				
				-		
	Landsat 5	ETM	sensor-	2002-2012	30m	GEE
	Surface Reflectance					
	Landsat 8	OLI	sensor-	2013-2019	30m	GEE
	24114540 0	021	5011501	_010 _017	c oni	
	Surface Potlectance					
Surface Reflectance						
				1		

4.2.5 Landsat Imagery

In the Google Earth Engine platform, 2004 Landsat-5 TM (Thematic Mapper and 2019 3446 3447 Landsat-8 OLI (Operational Land Image) surface reflectance 30 m spatial resolution satellite images were utilised for landcover cover classification over the study region 3448 3449 (Gorelick et al., 2017). For both Landsat 5 and Landsat 8 data, only optical 30 m spatial resolution spectral bands (visible and infrared) were selected for classification. Bands 1 3450 3451 and 9 were not used due to strong atmospheric absorption. The study aims to use 3452 Landsat images from 2002 for the classification, however, the year 2002 had 0 images 3453 available for the study site, while 2003 had 5 images available for the study area, and 3454 they only cover 1/5 of the study area. Therefore, the Landsat images for 2004 were used 3455 because it was the closest date to 2002 with a total of 35 available images which cover 3456 the whole study area. In 2019, a total of 84 images were available and selected for classification. 3457

3458 4.2.6 Validating data

The ground field sample points were used to validate the change detected by the 3459 3460 algorithms. The verification was carried out quantitatively using field data 3461 collected from the field and the classified/change maps by generating a confusion 3462 matrix to assess the effectiveness of the land cover classification generated by the Random Forest classification in section 4.3.5. The BFAST change detection was 3463 3464 validated using an area change using sample-based estimates in section 4.3.6. 3465 Additional verification was also conducted through visual interpretation of the spatial resolution 3466 Landsat surface reflectance 30 m satellite images 3467 (LANDSAT/LT05/C01/T1_SR) (LANDSAT/LC08/C01/T1_SR) and that are 3468 atmospherically corrected using LEDAPS and using LaSRC to ensure the data

consistency and comparability (see: Table 4.1) (Claverie et al., 2015). The 3469 3470 acquisition date of the Landsat image in which the disturbance event was first 3471 visible was used as a surrogate time for when the disturbance has occurred, and such data was used to verify the detected changes of BFAST and BEAST and note 3472 3473 the timing of the change. This interpretation is commonly used by other comparable studies on change detection using BFAST (Cohen et al., 2010; Dutrieux 3474 et al., 2015). Using high resolution data, Cohen et al. (2010) used visual detection 3475 3476 of a large proportion of historic change processes in the forest. Their study 3477 highlighted the importance of visual interpretation technique of change points 3478 using high resolution images and photo interpretation because historic events can 3479 be very difficult to ascertain. For example, DeVries et al. (2015) and Hamunyela et 3480 al. (2016) visually examined the Landsat image time series data to validate forest 3481 change occurred for a specific pixel detected using BFAST algorithm. Zhao et al. 3482 (2019) developed the BEAST algorithm (also tested in this study) and visually validated the ground-reference data on disturbances and changepoints by 3483 3484 interpretation of multisource imagery.

3485 4.3 Methods

3486 An overview of the methods for this research is shown in Fig. 4.2. The four main steps were as follows: (1) high-quality NDVI time series data preparation. A time 3487 series was first pre-processed to remove noise and obtain an uninterrupted data 3488 stream. (2) Temporal and spatial analysis of climate and vegetation time series to 3489 3490 detect anomalies and drought impacts. (3) Trend and seasonal breakpoint detection using BFAST and BEAST algorithms. (4) Validation of the change 3491 detection algorithms and discussion of the potential factors driving vegetation 3492 3493 change.



3495 Fig. 4. 2. Flow chart of data and methods.

3496

4.3.1 Preparation of high-quality MODIS datasets

3497 Satellite image time series are rarely complete. Noise in a time series is brought 3498 about by cloud contamination and other factors such as snow or device malfunction (Vermote et al., 2002). Tropical environments such as Southern Africa 3499 3500 present a unique challenge for optical time series analysis, primarily owing to fragmented data availability, persistent cloud cover, and atmospheric aerosols. 3501 3502 Pre-processing is necessary to reduce this noise because it may conceal actual 3503 trends in a time series. In this study, although the monthly maximum value 3504 composite (MVC) method has been used to decrease cloud and other atmospheric effects in the original VIs data (Holben, 1986), residual noise resulting from poor 3505 3506 atmospheric conditions, cloud cover, aerosol loading and unfavourable sun sensor surface viewing geometries remain (Huete et al., 2002). Therefore, the 3507 corresponding MODIS quality assurance (QA) data layer was used to help identify 3508 and remove low-quality observations, and only the time points in a time series that 3509 3510 are higher quality, cloud-free, and have nadir-view pixels with minimal residual 3511 atmospheric aerosols are retained. The cloud-contaminated pixels and extreme offnadir sensor view angles are considered lower quality were excluded from the 3512 composite. 3513

In addition to the QA data, to retain good quality values throughout the time series, 3514 an assessment for data transmission errors, such as line drop out or moving from 3515 cloudy to clear sky conditions, which can cause localised Vegetation Indices (VIs) 3516 to increase or suddenly drop, were conducted. These fluctuations in VIs are not 3517 3518 compatible with the gradual process of plant regrowth. The algorithm uses a threshold of 20% as an acceptable percentage increase in VIs for regrowth from 3519 3520 fire or drought for arid/semi-arid dryland grassland though to dryland forests (Viovy et al., 1992). A low filtering threshold means that most MODIS VIs pixels 3521 3522 with high-frequency noise related change are included, while a high filtering threshold produces a smoother temporal profile and can smooth out important 3523 changes. This study used a 20% threshold to reject fluctuations attributed to data 3524 errors. By utilising the MVC, QA data, and implementing the test for sudden drops, 3525 3526 the observation points contaminated by noise were detected and discarded from 3527 the time series. The presence of contaminants such as clouds and cloud shadows, 3528 caused anomalous values which can be detected and removed to some degree, 3529 leaving gaps in the time series (see: Fig. B. 1). As with noise, robustness to missing 3530 data is therefore a crucial component to evaluate when considering change 3531 detection methods, especially when applying change detection to parts of the 3532 world with persistent cloud such as Southern Africa. The missing values at those points were then filled by implementing a linear average interpolation method 3533 (see: Fig. B. 1). However, this method still requires a time series of images with low 3534 3535 cloud cover. The linear interpolation method has been proven to be efficient, and most of the time it is better than non-linear interpolations for predicting missing 3536 3537 values in ecological phenomena time series (Gnauck, 2004). Fig. 4.3 shows the time series of the main land cover present in the study area, including forest, grassland, 3538 3539 altered forest, and agricultural land.



Fig. 4. 3. Time series representing forest, grassland, altered forest, and agricultural land.

4.3.2 Vegetation and precipitation time series anomaly

Here, satellite data was used to first quantify the extent and severity of rainfall anomalies and droughts with respect to long-term patterns, with a baseline of 17 years, and then to investigate the impacts of droughts and water stress on the dryland forest vegetation. The study focused on summer vegetation activity during the growth period. Hence the main season of interest here is January–March (JFM) since it is a period that contributes significantly to the summer rainy season across 3550 Southern Africa and approximately coincides with the mature phase of El Niño3551 (Lyon et al., 2007).

For this study, to identify and map the spatial extent of drought response in 3552 vegetation, the NDVI and GNDVI anomalies for a different season (the growing 3553 3554 season is presented) for the KAZA region are calculated relative to a base period of 3555 2002–2019. The anomalies are constructed by subtracting the growing season VIs 3556 (calculated over 2002-2019) from the long-term mean patterns for that period 3557 (e.g., month or seasons). The departures from a base mean period are used to 3558 detect periodic temporal patterns in VIs. This isolates the variability in the vegetation signal and establishes a meaningful historical context to determine 3559 3560 relative drought severity. The NDVI and GNDVI anomaly was calculated using 3561 MODIS data. The 2010 to 2019 period is presented because it is representative of the record of the 21st century where drought events are extreme. 3562

4.3.3 Change detection algorithms

Remote multispectral and hyperspectral measurements, especially in recent 3564 3565 years, have been an imperative source of data for drought and vegetation dynamics 3566 assessment. Satellite remote sensing complements traditional ground-based data 3567 collection through synoptic spatial coverage and reduced costs (Galiatsatos et al., 2020). Numerous time-series methods have been introduced to study the temporal 3568 trends in pixel values across remote sensing images addressing the detection of 3569 3570 temporal-scale changes including seasonal, abrupt, and gradual changes. These 3571 methods include BFAST (Verbesselt et al., 2010a), LandTrendr (Kennedy et al., 3572 2010), Estimating Segments in Trend (DBEST) (Jamali et al., 2015), and BEAST 3573 (Zhao et al., 2019). These change detection methods detect when a pixel value 3574 drastically changes, indicating a change in surface reflectance, and thus, in land 3575 cover or land use (Zhu, 2017).

Producing forest cover change information requires approaches that also account for intra-annual seasonal or cyclic signals to identify changes in the phenological patterns, which indicates species' responses to environmental conditions (Menzel et al., 1999). The study utilised BFAST and BEAST algorithms because the two approaches use a season-trend decomposition model to take account of both interand intra-year variation in a time series, unlike other methods. These algorithms consider seasonal changepoints in plant phenology caused by changes in temperature and rainfall regimes as opposed to other trend detection methods such as Detecting Breakpoints and Estimating Segments in Trend (DBEST) which do not consider seasonality if any.

3586 4.3.3.1 BFAST

BFAST is a widely used method for detecting trends and seasonal breaks in time 3587 3588 series. The BFAST approach iteratively decomposes a time series to find both trend 3589 and seasonal changes in vegetation dynamics over a univariate time-series object (Verbesselt et al., 2010b). The function fits a model to the data by Ordinary Least 3590 3591 Square (OLS) fitting on a stable history period, and to check for stability of that same model during the monitoring period. The nonlinearity in the trend 3592 3593 component is also simplified into a number of individual trend segments, in order 3594 to identify sudden structural shifts. The trend is composed of segments with gradual changes, separated from each other by relatively brief, abrupt changes 3595 3596 (Verbesselt et al., 2010a). The discrepancy between the model predictions and the 3597 data during the monitoring period is estimated using a moving sum of residuals 3598 (MOSUM) window to test whether one or more breakpoints occur. When observed data significantly deviate from the model, a break is detected (DeVries et al., 2015). 3599 3600 hypothesis of structural stability is rejected when the The MOSUM window significantly deviates from 0 and crosses a boundary defined by the 3601 3602 functional central limit theorem (Zeileis et al., 2005). The difference between the intercept and slope terms of consecutive models is used to calculate change 3603 magnitude between breakpoints (Verbesselt et al., 2010a). Having a sufficiently 3604 3605 long stable history period for model fitting is critical for accurate detection of change. The history period needs to be free of disturbances and is referred to as a 3606 3607 'stable history'. Verbesselt et al. (2012) provide a guideline of a stable history 3608 equal to or longer than two years for change monitoring with BFAST. Detailed 3609 descriptions of BFAST can be found in Verbesselt et al. (2010a).

3610 4.3.3.2 BEAST

3611 The Bayesian estimator of abrupt change, seasonal change, and trend (BEAST) is a 3612 recent algorithm that fits both linear and nonlinear trends and disentangles trends 3613 from seasonality; it further pinpoints abrupt shifts in the two isolated signals 3614 (Zhao et al., 2019). The model structure of BEAST applies a Bayesian ensemble modeling technique to aggregate numerous competing models to reduce 3615 3616 uncertainty, overfitting, and model misspecification. From the numerous competing candidate models, BEAST evaluates how probable each of them is to be 3617 a true model and synthesises these into an average to capture multiple and subtle 3618 phenological changes (Zhao et al., 2019). BEAST algorithm uncovers complex 3619 3620 nonlinear dynamics from time-series of any variables, such as LAI, climatic data, or 3621 soil moisture. To detect the rate of change in trends, BEAST infers the sign of the 3622 change (e.g., greening, or browning) as well as the associated error and probability 3623 of having a phenological shift, greening or browning at any time. Time series decomposition was performed using BFAST R package and RBEAST R package in R 3624 3625 version 4.0.3 (R Development Core Team, 2013). Detailed descriptions of BEAST 3626 can be found in Zhao et al. (2019).

3627 4.3.4 Land cover classification

3628 Figure 4.2 presents a flow chart to classify land cover from Landsat data using 3629 Random Forest (RF) classifier. The less-cloudy, multiple-temporal Landsat images 3630 for the selected years (2004 and 2019), were collected and merged over the study area. This study used Quality Assurance bands and Function of Mask (Fmask) 3631 3632 algorithm (Zhu and Woodcock, 2012) to mask out cloud and cloud shadows. The 3633 Quality Assurance (QA) band sets a cloud score threshold, and any pixel scoring higher than the threshold will be masked and merged with another image from the 3634 3635 same area that doesn't have any clouds. Essentially, a cloud score greater than 0.2 3636 for a pixel shows that the pixel is a cloud (Housman et al., 2018). The composite 3637 algorithm in Earth Engine library was also used to reduce the effect of the cloud 3638 (Lück and van Niekerk, 2016). In the end, all imagery used for land cover detection 3639 used in this study are free of clouds. Before land cover classification, a spatial 3640 clipping operation was performed on images to extract the exactly defined area of study sites within GEE. 3641

surveys to collect data on forests, open forests, 3642 Ground agriculture, shrubs/grassland and other land cover classes were conducted in fieldwork in 3643 Namibia in 2019, see section 4.2.2 for details on fieldwork and sampling design. A 3644 total of 165 points were visited and collected from the field, and additional points 3645 3646 of 498 points were randomly added. A total of 674 points were available for the land cover mapping. Half of the 674 points collected for training the classifiers (i.e., 3647 3648 'train' points on GEE), and the other half (341 points) were used for accuracy assessment. Additional ground truth data for land cover classification training and 3649 3650 verification for 2004 was also collected through Landsat, Sentinel 2, high-3651 resolution Google Earth, and Open Street Map using a visual interpretation. These 3652 sources were selected because they are freely accessible, consist of high-quality 3653 images, and this technique was also used by previous studies (Rwanga and 3654 Ndambuki, 2017). Based on local knowledge, this study categorised land cover into 3655 five groups, including forest, open forests/shrubs, agriculture/barren, water, and urban areas. 3656

3657 The classification of multi-temporal satellite imagery was performed on a per-pixel 3658 basis using RF classification (Li et al., 2017). The classifiers are trained with the 3659 spectral characteristics of these known areas, by assigning each pixel to the five 3660 target classes including forest, open forests/shrubs, agriculture/barren, water, and 3661 urban areas. RF is a popular method of classification and clustering based on an 3662 ensemble of decision trees (DT). RF was used because it overcomes problems of 3663 overfitting experience by other decision trees (DT) classifiers such as Classification 3664 and Regression Tree (CART) (Cánovas-García et al., 2017). RF is a development of the CART method by applying bagging and random feature selection to DT, which 3665 is to randomly select several trees that have many iterations so that they resemble 3666 3667 forests (Breiman, 2001).

3668

3669 4.3.5 Accuracy assessment

3670 Once the Land cover classification is completed, the final step is to conduct an accuracy 3671 assessment to quantitively assess the effectiveness of the method in correctly assigning 3672 the pixels to the proper land cover classes. Accuracy assessments are one of the most

important steps of classification because it validates the output classification product as 3673 3674 well as the quality of the data itself, by comparing the pixels of the classified image 3675 with ground truth data (Congalton et al., 1983). In this study, the full set of 165 training 3676 data visited and collected in the field and 498 added training data were divided into two 3677 subsamples, one used for algorithm training and the other used for error testing so that 3678 the same sample is never used for both training and testing (Geiß et al., 2017). For each 3679 classification accuracy assessment, this study used the popular measures extracted from 3680 confusion matrix reports, such as overall accuracy (OA), producer accuracy (PA) and user accuracy (UA) (Janssen and Vanderwel, 1994; Story and Congalton, 1986). An 3681 3682 error matrix is generated by comparing the Land cover types calculated by the algorithm 3683 for a given pixel with the true Land cover class identified by the ground truth sample. 3684 The error matrix is a simple grid that lists the target classes and their respective number 3685 of correct and incorrect pixel classifications (Congalton et al., 1983). The uncertainty in 3686 estimated classification accuracy depends on the uncertainty in the true accuracy of the 3687 classifier, the number of samples and the accuracy of the observed ground truth 3688 (Carlotto, 2009). An overall classification error including kappa coefficient, 3689 commission and omission statistics were also calculated (Fung and LeDrew, 1988).

3690 4.3.6 Validation of estimated forest changes and disturbance

The BFAST change detection was conducted to provide precise estimates of changed 3691 3692 and unchanged forest areas. To evaluate the accuracy of the change map and validate 3693 the estimates of the predicted change for the whole study area, the study used 341 points 3694 in total, 165 points were visited and collected in the field and 176 points were randomly 3695 added as detailed in the above section. A change analysis using a stratified random sampling design was conducted to provide precise estimates of disturbances in the study 3696 3697 area. Stratification was on patterns of past disturbances selected according to "the risk 3698 of disturbances". The communal areas that are unprotected were assigned "High risk", 3699 the Zambezi State Forest that is semi-protected (red-coloured polygon) was assigned 3700 "Medium risk" and the Mudumu National Park (Aqua-coloured polygon) that is 3701 protected was assigned "Low risk" (see: Fig. 4.1). The accuracy of detected changes and 3702 unchanged estimates from BFAST was independently identified using various 3703 information sources including ground observation data collected from the field in 2019, 3704 land cover classification and image interpretation of high spatial resolution satellite 3705 imagery including Landsat, Google Earth images, and Sentinel 2. The study used the 3706 method of accuracy assessment as recommended by the GOFC-GOLD, 2014 guidelines 3707 to help identify and quantify uncertainty in the level and rate of disturbances in dryland 3708 forest areas (GOFC-GOLD, 2014). Watt et al. (2020) and Galiatsatos et al. (2020) 3709 utilised this method to develop monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) systems to 3710 quantify and validate the accuracy of the change in forest cover carbon and carbon 3711 emissions in Guyana. This study adopted this method to validate the estimated changes 3712 because it allows the generation of detailed, consistent, transparent, and verifiable 3713 assessment of forest area change (GFOI, 2016).

3716 4.4 Results

4.4.1 Spatial pattern of vegetation and drought stress inKAZA TFCA

To provide insights into the relationship between precipitation and disturbances, 3719 3720 and the general vegetation dynamics response to drought, the spatial and temporal variations of the VIs (NDVI and GNDVI) anomaly for the growing seasons of 2002 3721 to 2019 were plotted as shown in Fig. 4.4. The spatial pattern of both NDVI and 3722 3723 GNDVI anomaly shows vegetation productivity increased (green to dark green 3724 colours; > 0.05) in 2006, 2008, and 2017 which correspond to higher than average 3725 rainfall in these years. Regionally, negative seasonal vegetation anomalies (NDVI and GNDVI) were mainly caused by large-scale droughts. The anomalies of 3726 3727 precipitation in the JFM season (see: Fig. 4.4) remained negative over the entire KAZA region in 2002-2003, 2015-2016, and 2019 (red to dark red colours). The 3728 centre of the maximum rainfall deficit was mostly concentrated eastward of KAZA 3729 in 2016 and 2018. For vegetated land areas in KAZA, precipitation is a dominant 3730 factor controlling the growing season in the region, as indicated by the anomaly in 3731 3732 vegetation and rainfall (see: Fig. 4.4). A close comparison indicates that the extreme droughts in 2015 and 2019 (red to dark red colours) greatly reduced 3733 3734 vegetation productivity (brown colours in NDVI and GNDVI) which is coincident 3735 with severe water stress in these years. The lag in vegetation greenness between drought stress and browning rates extending to 2016, stands out based on the 3736 extent of severe decrease of greenness regardless of rainfall returning to normal. 3737
Chapter 4



- Fig. 4. 4. Spatial pattern of ndvi and gndvi and precipitation anomalies for the 21st century
- 3741 from 2010 through 2019.

3742

4.4.2 Comparison of the sensitivity of BFAST and BEASTalgorithms

The study examined and compared the effectiveness of two time-series decomposition algorithms (BFAST and BEAST) on three events to illustrate the proposed methodology, which included: 1. Clear-cut and burnt forest, 2. Drought impact and degradation forest, and 3. A stable, recovering forest. Table 4.2 shows the dates of detected trend and seasonal breakpoints identified using BFAST and BEAST algorithms for both NDVI and GNDVI time series.

3751

- 3752 Table 4. 2. Dates of trend and seasonal breakpoint detection relative to BFAST and BEAST
- algorithms. The Bold date represents the seasonal shift with the highest probability with a
- 3754 vertical dotted line.

Clear-cut and burn	t forest					
		Trend change Date	Seasonal change Date			
BFAST	NDVI	2003, 2005, 2018	0			
	GNDVI	2003, 2005, 2009,	0			
		2018				
BEAST	NDVI	2003, 2005, 2007,	2015-2017			
		2017, 2018				
	GNDVI	2003, 2005, 2006,	2015-2017, 2019			
		2007, 2009, 2017,				
		2018				
Degrading Forest						
BFAST	NDVI	0	0			
	GNDVI	2004, 2005, 2017	0			
BEAST	NDVI	2004, 2005, 2015,	2008-2009, 2012-			
		2017, 2019	2013			

	GNDVI	2004, 2005, 2010,	2008-2009, 2011-
		2015, 2016, 2017,	2013, 2018-2019
		2019	
A stable, recoveri	ng forest		
BFAST	NDVI	0	0
	GNDVI	0	0
BEAST	NDVI	2017	2008, 2010-2011,
			2015-2016
	GNDVI	2017	2006, 2008, 2015-
			2016

3755

3756 4.4.2.1 Clearing of forest to non-forest

3757 Fig. 4.5 and 6 show a forest stand plot that was forest initially, however the forest experienced a series of disturbances including a fire event around 2017 causing a 3758 sudden loss in forest cover, and a clear-cut activity that resulted in complete forest 3759 3760 loss between 2018 and 2019. There were also major drought events that took 3761 place in 2002-2003, 2005, 2015 and 2019 (see: Fig. 4.4). Photos taken in February-3762 May 2019 of each corresponding stand forest plot and Landsat time series images 3763 illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 1 and B. 2). 3764

3765 4.4.2.1.1 BFAST algorithm application on a Clear-cut and burnt forest:

As shown in Fig 4.5, BFAST algorithm decomposed the NDVI time series and fitted seasonal, trend, and remainder components. BFAST algorithm applied on the NDVI time series detected three breakpoints in the trend component. BFAST predicted a disturbance around 2003 and 2005 because of severe drought in the region, which caused the forest to be stressed and the NDVI to decrease significantly. BFAST algorithm run on the NDVI time series also identified the occurrence of a breakpoint from clear-cut forest conversion to non-forest at the end of 2018.
Around 2017 this location undergoes burning which triggered disturbance around
the plot, however, BFAST failed to identify this trend in the NDVI trajectory.
Furthermore, BFAST algorithm applied to the NDVI time series also failed to
identify the disturbance in forest caused by a moderate drought event in 2007 and
its recovery in 2009.

3778 On the other hand, BFAST algorithm run on the GNDVI time series produced four 3779 breakpoints in the trend component: three breakpoints in 2003, 2005 as a result of 3780 severe drought and deforestation towards the end of 2018. Further, using the GNDVI time series, BFAST identified the abrupt changes caused by vegetation 3781 3782 recovery in 2009 that are not identified by the NDVI time series trajectory as shown in Fig 4.5. Even though using GNDVI time series, BFAST identified the 3783 vegetation recovery in 2009, it also failed to identify the breakpoint caused by a 3784 moderate drought event in 2007. BFAST algorithm did not detect abrupt changes 3785 in the seasonal component of NDVI and GNDVI time series (Fig. 4.5). 3786



3787



3789 Fig. 4. 5. Example of the corresponding BFAST algorithm output for NDVI and GNDVI 3790 extracted from a forest stand that underwent conversion from clear-cut to non-forest 3791 vegetation. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints, while the 3792 red horizontal bars represent the associated confidential intervals. The raw time series 3793 (Yt), the seasonal component (St), the trend component (Tt), and the noise (et) 3794 component, are also shown. The location of the corresponding pixels, field photo taken in 3795 Namibia in 2019 and Landsat time series images illustrating changes are shown in the 3796 supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 1 and B. 2).

3797 4.4.2.1.2 BEAST algorithm application on a Clear-cut and burnt forest:

3788

Fig. 4.6 shows BEAST algorithm applied to the NDVI and GNDVI time series to 3798 3799 detected phenological and trend changes. BEAST algorithm applied on the NDVI 3800 time series detected five breakpoints in the trend component. The four breakpoints including two breakpoints in 2003 and 2005 as a result of severe 3801 3802 drought, one breakpoint in 2018 from deforestation and one abrupt change caused 3803 by 2009 moderate drought, similar to the changes identified by BFAST on the 3804 GNDVI time series in Fig. 4.5. However, the application of BEAST algorithm on the 3805 NDVI time series also detected one breakpoint in the trend component in 2017 as a result of vegetation increase (due to increase in rainfall in 2017) following the fire 3806 3807 event in 2017 that neither application of BFAST was able to detect.

3808 The application of BEAST algorithm to the GNDVI time series detected the 3809 occurrence of five breakpoints, two from drought in 2003 and 2005, the fire event 3810 of 2017, the forest clear-cut in 2018, and vegetation increase in 2017, similar to 3811 exploring the NDVI signal with BEAST algorithm. However, BEAST algorithm

applied to the GNDVI time series was also able to uncover the beginning of 3812 vegetation disturbance and the vegetation recovery, for example it captures the 3813 3814 correct year of the subtle decrease in forest cover in 2007 due to 2007 drought and its recovery in 2009. Similarly, it detects another decrease in forest cover due to 3815 3816 drought in 2015 and its recovery in 2017 that was not detected using BEAST on NDVI time series. For both indices, BEAST algorithm detected phenological 3817 3818 changes resulting from the 2015-2016 drought. BEAST applied to the GNDVI time 3819 series further detected a seasonal shift associated with 2019 logging and drought 3820 (see: Fig. 4.6). In contrast, BFAST algorithm uncovered a stable seasonal trajectory (see: Fig. 4.5), suggesting no phenological change during this period (2002-2019). 3821



Page | 183

Fig. 4. 6. Example of the decomposition generated by the application of BEAST algorithm 3824 3825 for the NDVI and GNDVI time series extracted from a forest stand that underwent 3826 conversion from clear-cut to non-forest vegetation. Seasonal and Trend represent the best 3827 fitted seasonal and trend signals (red line), respectively. The vertical dotted lines 3828 represent the dates of detected breakpoints in the trend/seasonal components, while the 3829 black lines at the bottom panels represent the probabilities of the changepoint in the 3830 seasonal/trend components. The location of the corresponding pixels, field photo taken in 3831 Namibia in 2019 and Landsat time series images illustrating changes are shown in the 3832 supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 1 and B. 2).

3833

3834 4.4.2.2 Drought impact and degraded forest

Fig. 4.7 and 8 show the results from modelling a forest stand plot that has undergone multiple disturbances from drought coupled with wildlife grazing and mega-herbivore pushovers, as a result of its location near to the Chobe river frontage. Photos taken in February-May 2019 of each corresponding stand forest plot and Landsat time series images, both illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary (see: Fig. B. 3 and B. 4).

3841

3842 4.4.2.2.1 BFAST algorithm application on a degraded forest:

Fig. 4.7 presents BFAST algorithm decomposition of the NDVI and GNDVI time series. BFAST was not able to capture any meaningful information relating to disturbances to the forest from the trend and seasonal components throughout the period of 2002 to 2019. None of the severe climatic events or moderate drought years were identified, and the NDVI trend appeared stable when using BFAST algorithm. This is despite the original time series showing some instances of an NDVI drop during this period.

However, using BFAST algorithm on the GNDVI time series, three breakpoints were detected in 2004, 2005 and 2017. The two abrupt changes in 2004 and 2006, correspond to the drought event in 2003 and 2005 (or to an increase in rainfall in 2004 and 2006 after the drought), were detected (see: Fig. 4.4 and 7). The breakpoint in 2017 represent a vegetation increase as a result of rainfall increase
in 2017. BFAST did not detect abrupt changes in the seasonal component of NDVI
and GNDVI time series as shown in Fig. 4.7.



Fig. 4. 7. Example of the corresponding BFAST for NDVI and GNDVI extracted from a forest stand of a degraded forest. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints, while the red horizontal bars represent the associated confidential intervals. The raw time series (Yt), the seasonal component (St), the trend component (Tt), and the noise (et) component, are also shown. The location of the corresponding pixels, field photo taken in Botswana in 2019 and Landsat time series images illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 3 and B. 4).

3866

3867 4.4.2.2.2 BEAST algorithm application on a degraded forest:

3868 BEAST algorithm applied to the NDVI time series (Fig. 4.8) detected five 3869 breakpoints as a result of extreme effects of the 2005, 2015, 2019 droughts and the 3870 increase in rainfall in 2004, 2006, and 2017, which BFAST algorithm applied to the

3871 same time series did not detect, as shown in Fig. 4.7. The application of BEAST algorithm to the GNDVI time series was able to detect seven breakpoints, including 3872 3873 the similar extreme droughts as shown with the NDVI, which were timed to similar dates. The increase in rainfall in 2008, and the drought stresses of 2010-2012, 3874 which both have a smaller magnitude of abrupt change, were also identifiable in 3875 the trend within the GNDVI, but not in the NDVI. BEAST algorithm was also able to 3876 describe the magnitude of drought impacts and recovery more clearly than when 3877 3878 using BFAST. The drought impact detected by applying BEAST algorithm to the 3879 GNDVI time series in 2010, which is smaller in terms of the magnitude of the abrupt change, was not detected when using NDVI by either algorithm, as shown in 3880 Fig. 4.8. The Bayesian approach (BEAST) detected a phenological shift in 2008 3881 when applied to the NDVI time series. Three seasonal shifts resulting from changes 3882 3883 in precipitation in 2008, 2010, and the 2019 drought, were noticeable in BEAST-3884 derived seasonal trend of the GNDVI time series as shown in Fig. 4.8.



3885

Chapter 4



3887 Fig. 4. 8. Example of the decomposition generated by the application of BEAST algorithm 3888 for the NDVI and GNDVI time series extracted from a forest stand of a degraded forest. 3889 Seasonal and Trend represent the best fitted seasonal and trend signals (red line), 3890 respectively. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints in the 3891 trend/seasonal components, while the black lines at the bottom panels represent the 3892 probabilities of the changepoint in the seasonal/trend components. The location of the 3893 corresponding pixels, field photo taken in Botswana in 2019 and Landsat time series 3894 images illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 3 and 3895 B. 4).

3896 4.4.2.3 Stable forest

3886

Fig. 4.9 and 10 show the results from modelling a forest stand plot that has experienced limited human and wildlife disturbance and is considered to be stable. Photos taken in February-May 2019 of each corresponding stand forest plot and Landsat time series images, both illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary (see: Fig. B. 5 and B. 6).

3902 4.4.2.3.1 BFAST algorithm application on a stable forest:

3903 BFAST algorithm detected no breakpoints in trend and seasonality using both the 3904 NDVI and GNDVI time series. Both indices show a gradual increase in the forest 3905 cover. In both indices, the application of BFAST failed to detect any seasonal 3906 change.

Chapter 4



3907



3908

Fig. 4. 9. Example of the corresponding BFAST algorithm output for NDVI and GNDVI extracted from a forest stand that considered stable. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints, while the red horizontal bars represent the associated confidential intervals. The raw time series (Yt), the seasonal component (St), the trend component (Tt), and the noise (et) component, are also shown. The location of the corresponding pixels, field photo taken in Namibia in 2019 and Landsat time series images illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 5 and 4. 6).

3916

3917 4.4.2.3.2 BEAST algorithm application on a stable forest:

3918 BEAST algorithm showed a gradual increase in forest, and no abrupt trend as a 3919 result of a disturbance was identified in in either the NDVI or the GNDVI time

series as shown in Fig. 4.10. One exception was an abrupt change as a result of 3920 forest cover increases was evident in 2017, as indicated by a high probability of 3921 3922 this change in both indices, which was associated with plentiful rainfall in 2017. In 3923 terms of a seasonal signal, both indices show the phenological shifts around the 3924 2008 and 2015-2016 drought events, although the GNDVI time series was able to detect a larger number of seasonal shifts. These seasonal changes are detected in 3925 severe drought years that were followed by an increase in rainfall. For example, 3926 3927 the seasonal shift in the 2005 drought was followed by an increase in rainfall in 3928 2006, and the seasonal shift in the 2015-2016 drought was followed by relatively high levels of precipitation in 2017, as shown in Fig. 4.4 and 4.10. 3929



3932 Fig. 4. 10. Example of the decomposition generated by the application of BEAST algorithm 3933 for the NDVI and GNDVI time series extracted from a forest stand that considered stable. 3934 Seasonal and Trend represent the best fitted seasonal and trend signals (red line), 3935 respectively. The vertical dotted lines represent the dates of detected breakpoints in the 3936 trend/seasonal components, while the black lines at the bottom panels represent the 3937 probabilities of the changepoint in the seasonal/trend components. The location of the 3938 corresponding pixels, field photo taken in Namibia in 2019 and Landsat time series images 3939 illustrating changes are shown in the supplementary information (see: Fig. B. 5 and B. 6).

3940

4.4.3 Robustness of predicting forest dynamics using breakpoints and change magnitude

3943 The examples shown in Fig. 4.11 demonstrate the differences in magnitude of GNDVI that were commonly observed to be associated with varying degrees of 3944 3945 forest cover change. The cumulative probability of each of the change classes 3946 (deforestation, degradation, vegetation regrowth, or no-change) detected from the 3947 application of BFAST algorithm using the MODIS time series from 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2019 is shown in Fig. 4.11 and 12. The study only shows the breakpoints 3948 from 2010 to 2019 as these years help to highlight the impact of exceptional 3949 3950 drought events (Fig. 4.4), fire, and large-scale forest clear-cutting events in the 3951 Mudumu NP and Zambezi ST, resulting in a negative breakpoint magnitude. Fig. 3952 4.11A presents 2002 Landsat 5 (LC5) ETM, 2019 Landsat 8 (LC8) OLI images, and the cumulative change map overlaid with field points collected with land cover and 3953 3954 vegetation measurement (black-coloured circles) mapped in Zambezi ST. The 3955 results of the survey plot (black circle coloured blue) shown with an arrow are represented in Figure 4. 11 A-C. Figure 4. 11A shows the Landsat image in 2002 3956 3957 and 2019 with the survey plot undisturbed (forest) in 2002, and when it is turned into a non-forest in 2019. A cumulative change map of MODIS produced with 3958 3959 BFAST in Figure 4. 11 A shows the negative break of the same survey plot. Similarly, figure 4. 11 B shows the time series of the forest pixel with a negative 3960 3961 break detected in April 2015, while Figure 4. 11 C represents the actual 3962 photograph of the survey plot with cut-down trees on the ground. This approach used prior knowledge of disturbances such as clearing, and BFAST allowed the 3963

most significant change event in the time series to be detected. Prior knowledge of 3964 3965 disturbances such as clearing was used in this approach and BFAST allowed the most significant change event in the time-series to be detected. For mapping 3966 cumulative change, the probability of the deforestation class increased with 3967 3968 decreasing change magnitude, showing a strong negative relationship with change magnitude, whilst the probability of the degradation class showed a weak negative 3969 3970 relationship with change magnitude. The probability of vegetation growth class 3971 increased with increasing change magnitude, showing a positive relationship with 3972 change magnitude.

Maps showing the time of the changepoint event and the magnitude of the GNDVI 3973 3974 change are displayed in Fig. 4.11 and 12. Fig. 4.11A shows the negative breakpoint with high mean negative magnitude of change due to forest logging and clear-3975 cutting to almost no vegetation between 2018 and 2019 as shown by the top circle. 3976 3977 Other breakpoints with high mean negative magnitude due to forest clearing for agriculture and urban areas are also observed and shown with the two bottom 3978 3979 circles. The breakpoint with positive mean magnitude is observed in a square showing an agricultural area (farmland) that was abandoned and vegetation 3980 3981 regrowth gradually increased by 2019 (Fig. 4.11A). As shown by the plot shown by 3982 the black arrow (see: Fig. 4.11A), the negative break in the forest pixel is detected 3983 in April 2015 and is associated with extreme drought, as shown by the red vertical 3984 line in the GNDVI time series in Fig. 4.11B. Another disturbance in the forest stand 3985 plot caused a large reduction in GNDVI in 2019 as a result of forest clear-cutting 3986 for timber, as also illustrated in the change map (Fig. 4.11A), the time series (Fig. 4.11B), and the field photo taken in 2019 (Fig. 4.11C). 3987



3989 Fig. 4. 11. A: 2002 LC5 ETM, 2019 LC8 OLI image and a map of the magnitude of change in 3990 the trend component from 01/01/2010 to 01/12/2019 generated by BFAST algorithm in 3991 and around the Zambezi ST and Mudumu NP; the colour scale represents the magnitude 3992 and direction of change. The circles here represent abrupt changes with a negative 3993 magnitude; a square represents a vegetation regrowth with a positive magnitude, and the 3994 arrow shows a forest stand plot for a forest disturbed by drought and subsequent forest 3995 canopy clearing. Fig.4.11. B: MODIS time series from 01/01/2002 to 31/12/2019 for a plot 3996 shown by an arrow in Fig. 4.11. A. Fig. 4.11. C: Shows the photograph of the selected plot (location coordinate is 17.49°S, 24.21°E) in Fig. 4.11. A, with logged for timbers 3997 3998 photographed during a field campaign in Zambezi ST near the border of Namibia and 3999 Zambia in 2019.

4000

4001 4.4.4 Spatial pattern of predicted forest changes using4002 breakpoints and magnitude

Fig. 4.12 presents the spatial pattern of the extracted trend classification, showing
the predicted magnitude of change in the trend component and the estimated date
of change generated from BFAST algorithm applied to the GNDVI time series on the

4006 Zambezi region, Namibia. The final disturbance map showing disturbed versus 4007 undisturbed areas highlights distinct spatial patterns across the study area. Fig. 4.12A shows the predicted abrupt change in the trend component. It can be seen 4008 4009 that the Mudumu NP remains undisturbed, although there are distinct spatial 4010 patterns of forest degradation indicated by low magnitude negative breakpoints at the edge of the park, around the communal villages in Sobbe conservancy. 4011 Examining the disturbance map, forest decline from clear-cutting and forest 4012 conversion to agricultural land were observed in Zambezi ST and in the 4013 4014 community conservancy and communal area surrounding the Zambezi SF and 4015 Mudumu NP. The disturbance trends and extreme vegetation loss from 4016 deforestation and clear-cuts are shown by extreme magnitude negative breaks and 4017 vegetation degradation (Fig. 4.12A). Although most of the clear-cuts are associated 4018 with an extreme magnitude negative breakpoint, some cases are associated with a 4019 low magnitude negative/positive breakpoint. This is shown, for example, in areas with forest clear-cuts replaced by matured shrubs in the northernmost section of 4020 4021 the study area (Zambezi ST) near the border between Namibia and Zambia.

4022 The map also shows continuous patches of forest showing a positive magnitude 4023 breakpoint, which denotes a forest recovery, vegetation regrowth that follows an 4024 earlier event, and vegetation less affected by disturbance as shown by positive 4025 magnitude of change in Fig. 12 A. More than 50% of the breakpoint dates are in the 4026 period between 2016 and 2019, with 2018 having the highest number of 4027 breakpoints. The high percentage of breakpoints detected in this period, and a 4028 negative magnitude, reflect both the impact of the 2015/2016 and 2018/2019 droughts, coupled with clear-cutting of the forest stands. 4029



Fig. 4. 12. A. shows the magnitude of change in the trend component and the predicted
time of change generated by BFAST; red colour represents negative breakpoint typically
associated with vegetation loss. Green colour represents positive breakpoint associated
with vegetation gain. The turquoise polygon shows Zambezi ST, and the black polygon
shows Mudumu NP. B: shows the estimated year of change from 2010 to 2019.

4036

4030

4037 4.4.5 Validation of spatial pattern of predicted forest 4038 changes and disturbances

The BFAST model was used to estimate forest disturbance for the complete study area (Fig. 4.12). The validation assessment used a weighted average of the withinstratum estimates to ensure the weights are proportional to size of high, medium

and low 'risk of change' strata. The results of the comparable land cover classes for 4042 the BFAST time series analysis and the interval-based per-pixel Random Forest 4043 4044 classification are shown in Tables 4.3 and 4.4. The complete tables with all the area 4045 change classes for the two approaches are in the supplementary material (Tables 4046 B.2, B.3 and B.4). The land cover classes for the interval-based per pixel classification in Table B.3 were calculated based on post-classification 4047 reorganisation of land cover area transition table (Table B. 4), where the similarly 4048 4049 classified class areas were summed together.

4050 The results are presented in Table 4.4 and both methods show a land transition 4051 from forest to non-forest (deforestation) in the region. The interval-based per-4052 pixel classification estimated that the conversion of forest to non-forest land was 87,251 ha. The BFAST time series estimates of deforestation are corresponding to 4053 the two-interval pixel-based classification showing an area change of 99,911 ha 4054 4055 (SE 9,753 ha) throughout the entire 2002–2019 period. The two-interval classification estimated that the total unchanged forest area was 147,875 ha. These 4056 4057 values are higher as compared to 106,390 ha of unchanged forest land estimated 4058 by BFAST time series analysis. The interval-based pixel-based classification which 4059 bases the change estimates on differencing between images at only two points in 4060 time has little capability to distinguish forest degradation, which is the progressive reduction/losses in forest cover that do not qualify as deforestation. As a result, it 4061 4062 is likely that the interval-based classification does not detect forest degradation as 4063 well as BFAST (time series) approach. The BFAST time series analysis captures the 4064 subtle change of forest conversion to the degraded forest with an estimate of 33,131 ha (SE 6,859 ha). In addition, BFAST time series analysis found that 4065 approximately 23,409 ha (SE 556,8 ha) of degraded forest was converted to forest 4066 4067 land. However, the degraded forest estimates from the BFAST time series are not 4068 comparable with the two-based interval per pixel classification because it does not 4069 detect degradation (see: Table 4.4). The BFAST algorithm can iteratively estimate 4070 and characterize temporal changes (time) and characterizes the spatial change by 4071 its magnitude and direction ("deforestation", "degradation" and "no change"). The 4072 sample-based estimates and validation of BFAST used in this study also provide 4073 the standard error for the continuous changes. For this study, the standard error 4074 for the non-disturbed forest class was lower as compared to the disturbed classes

(see: Table 4. 3). It is also important to note that the region has no Landsat images 4075 4076 available in 2002, and few images for the year 2003, therefore the two-interval classification used the starting year of 2004, which can account for some difference 4077 in land cover class areas. In summary, BFAST (time series) approach at one level 4078 4079 agrees with a two-interval traditional classification when identifying discrete 4080 change but it also identifies areas of more subtle change and so adds value to the 4081 analysis and interpretation. In broad terms, the two approaches agree where direct comparison is possible, but the differences also help to stimulate important 4082 4083 questions about the differences.

4084

Change identified by BFAST	Area	Standard	2.5 %	97.5 %
	Hectares (ha)	Error (ha)	(ha)	(ha)
Non-disturbance (no change)	106,390	9,817	87,148	125,631
(Stable Forest)				
Non-disturbance -low negative change	33,132	6,859	19,688	46,576
(Stable forest to Degradation)				
Non-disturbance -large negative change	99,911	9,753	80,795	119,027
(Stable Forest to Deforestation)				
Low negative break -large negative change	59,515	8,154	43,533	75,497
(Degradation to Deforestation)				
Low negative changes -non-disturbance	23,409	556,8	12,497	34,322

4085 Table 4. 3. Area changes of BFAST using sample-based estimates and the observed4086 disturbance change rates.

(Degradation to Stable Forest)		

4087

4088 Table 4. 4. Types of changes identified by BFAST and Random Forest classification for the

4089 period 2004 and 2019.

Type of Changes	Two interval Classification 2004 and 2019 Area(ha)	BFAST Time Series analysis 2002 to 2019 Area (ha)
Forest	147,875	106,390
Forest to Non-forest	87,251	99,911
Forest to Degraded Forest	-	59,515
Degraded Forest to Forest	-	33,131
Degraded Forest to Non- forest	-	23,409
Non-forest-Forest	41,447	54,517

4090

4091 4.4.6 Land cover classification

4092 The land cover classifications using the RF algorithm, in 2004 and 2019, are illustrated 4093 in Fig. 4.13. To quantify the land use changes over the years, the study analysed the 4094 error matrix which showed any classification errors that may have occurred such as a 4095 pixel being misclassified. Table 4.6 presents the confusion matrix and accuracy 4096 assessment for land cover classification in the years 2004 and 2019. For classification 4097 accuracy, Landis and Koch et al (1977) suggested the Kappa result with values ≤ 0 indicate no agreement and 0.01-0.20 denote none to slight, 0.21-0.40 fair, 0.41-0.60 4098 4099 moderate, 0.61–0.80 indicate substantial, and 0.81–1.00 as almost perfect agreement 4100 (Sim and Wrigh, 2005). The accuracy assessment on the 2004 and 2019 classified

4101 images showed an overall classification accuracy of 82% and 88%, and an overall 4102 Kappa Statistic of 0.74 and 0.83, respectively. The classification results and Kappa 4103 statistics obtained in this study show a very good agreement between classes which is 4104 considered sufficient for the land cover map in the Zambezi region. The five classes that 4105 were used (forest, open forests/shrubs, agriculture/barren, water, and urban areas) 4106 resulted in 100% accuracy for the water and urban areas, and 90% for agriculture. 4107 However, accuracy was somewhat lower in the other two classes of forest and open 4108 forest/shrubs areas, with 82% and 76% accuracy, respectively (Table 4.6). The reason 4109 for the high accuracy of water was due to the small area comprised of water and urban 4110 areas. The two classes had a low number of training sample pixels because the training points were distributed proportionally to the study area. The classification for forests, 4111 4112 open forest/shrubs and agriculture/barren exhibited low scores in both user accuracy and producer accuracy. The reason for the low accuracy of open forests/Shrubs was due to 4113 4114 this class being often mixed with forests and agriculture/barren in this study, reducing a large percentage of accuracy (more than 20% reduction). 4115



4116

4117 Fig. 4. 13. Land cover classification in 2004 and 2019; panel A1 and A2 are zoom in of land

4119

1120 Table 1.5 Contusion matrix of land cover elacsitication in 2001 and 2010 u	
	using Random

4121 Forest.

Specifi cation	Ground Truth									
	Class Name	Water	Forest	Open Forest s/ Shrub s	Urban	Agricult ure	Total	User' s Accu racy	Error of commissi on (%)	
	2004									
	Water	21	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	
	Forest	3	111	20	0	2	154	0.82	0.16	
	Open Forests/ Shrubs	1	23	101	2	6	133	0.76	0.24	
	Urban	0	0	0	22	0	22	1	0	
Classif	Agricultu re	0	0	1	2	26	29	0.90	0.1	
Мар	Total	25	134	122	26	34	341			
	Producer 's Accuracy	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.85	0.76	Overall Accura cy	0.82		
	Error of omission (%)	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.24	Kappa coefficie nt	0.74		
2019		1	1						l	
Classif	Water	27	0	0	0	0	27	1	0	
Map	Forest	0	40	10	0	1	51	0.78	0.21	
	Open Forests/ Shrubs	0	8	109	0	9	126	0.87	0.13	
	Urban	0	0	2	24	0	26	0.92	0.07	

Agricultu	0	1	9	0	101	111	0.91	0.09
re								
Total	27	49	130	24	111	341		
Producer 's Accuracy	1	0.82	0.84	1	0.91	Overall Accura cy	0.88	
Error of omission (%)	0	0.18	0.16	0	0.09	Kappa coefficie nt	0.83	

4122

4123 4.4.7 Land cover change detection

4124 The land cover change map conversion from 2004 to 2019, is illustrated in Fig. 4.14. In 4125 general, open forest/shrubs were the dominant land cover type followed by forests in both 4126 years. In the northeast of the Zambezi State Forests, there was a significant change as 4127 forested areas were replaced by barren/agricultural land as a result of forest logging. A 4128 closer inspection of the classified maps revealed that most of the agricultural expansion 4129 occurs primarily around the communal areas in the northern part of the study area, in 4130 comparison to the southern part where protected areas such as Mudumu National Park 4131 are found. The conversion from forests to open forest/shrubs was significant with 4132 76345.98 ha (15%) and occurred mainly in the Mudumu National Park in the Southern 4133 part and Zambezi State Forest in the northern part of the region. Table B 1 presents the 4134 land cover change matrix between 2004 and 2019. Three major changes were an increase 4135 in open forests/shrubs and agricultural/barren land and a reduction in forest land. In 4136 2004, agricultural/barren land accounted for only 2.8% (143,77.87 ha) of total land. In 4137 2019, this figure increased to 8.47% (429,36.31 ha) (see: Table B 1). On the contrary, 4138 forest land experienced a significant decline of 9.04%, from 46.41% (235,140.91 ha) to 4139 37.37% (189,334.60 ha) of the total area in 2004 and 2019, respectively (see: Table B 1). 4140 The forest loss mainly was due to conversion to open forest/shrub (76,345.9), followed by agricultural/barren land (10,634.1 ha) (see Fig. 4.14). At the same time, other land uses 4141 are also converted to forest. For example, 40,172.9 ha of open forests/shrubs was 4142 4143 converted to forest, followed by agricultural/barren land (236,77.1 ha) (see Fig. 4.14).



- 4145 Fig. 4. 14. Changed areas for the epoch (2004–2019) in the study area
- 4146

4147 **4.5** Discussion

4148 4.5.1 Effectiveness of BFAST and BEAST algorithms for 4149 characterising change in dryland forests

4150 4.5.1.1 Trend

4151 Despite BFAST and BEAST algorithms being able to handle unfiltered data, the study found in the preliminary testing phase of the analysis that the use of filtered 4152 4153 MODIS time series yields accurate results and improved forest change detection, as 4154 compared to the unfiltered data (see supplementary: A1). Identified changes that 4155 occur in the trend component indicate both gradual and abrupt changes in land 4156 cover, while changes occurring in the seasonal component indicate phenological variation. In terms of deforestation, BFAST and BEAST algorithms identify a 4157 consensus in time of breakpoints of larger magnitude, such as those associated 4158 4159 with clear-cutting of the forest to non-forest. This agreement shows that both algorithms can be used to detect large-scale disturbances in the dryland forest. In 4160

terms of drought, BEAST algorithm was found to be the most successful in 4161 identifying abrupt changes from vegetation disturbance caused by drought. BFAST 4162 algorithm performed well in detecting abrupt changes of some known large 4163 magnitude drought events, however, BFAST did not identify abrupt changes in 4164 4165 forest response for most drought and fire events, especially the lower magnitude of change. A study by Watts et al. (2014) reported that BFAST did not detect abrupt 4166 4167 changes in vegetation as a result of well-known flood events. In this study, the 4168 advantage of BEAST was the capability to detect the impact of exceptional climatic 4169 conditions in both high and low magnitude drought years of 2002/03, 2005, 4170 2010/11, 2015/16, and 2019 on forest stand development. Conversely, BFAST algorithm was not able to detect such abrupt changes, as was seen in an example of 4171 4172 a fire event in 2017 that resulted in a known disturbance within the forest plot. In 4173 this study, when using BFAST, sometimes 'minor changes', such as beginning or 4174 end of periods of disturbance and recovery are not included in the identified trend, 4175 and these breakpoints are often (incorrectly) counted as false positives. With such 4176 limitations in the performance of BFAST algorithm, disturbance or drought events 4177 can therefore be easily missed. A similar problem was found in a study by Wu et al. (2020), where BFAST algorithm was applied to an NDVI time series to detect 4178 4179 changes within forest areas in China. They found that BFAST algorithm failed to detect slow urban expansion which resulted in a partial forest cut within the pixel, 4180 until the whole area of the pixel was changed. 4181

4182 By comparing MODIS vegetation indices in detecting disturbance and trends in dryland forests, GNDVI outperformed NDVI in both algorithms. Particularly, BEAST 4183 algorithm generated change model using the GNDVI time series performed better 4184 overall. Both NDVI and GNDVI predicted large-scale clear-cut deforestation events 4185 4186 accurately. However, GNDVI was more sensitive to detecting the abrupt changes 4187 due to droughts, fire, and small-scale disturbances. The analysis of the NDVI time 4188 series sometimes failed to detect abrupt changes in areas that did not undergo complete land cover class changes. The sensitivity of NDVI to background 4189 4190 variations in the canopy and herbaceous layers could explain why the use of NDVI 4191 failed to detect disturbances and drought impacts in these areas (Huete et al., 4192 2002). For stable or recovered forests, BFAST and BEAST algorithms performed 4193 similarly in detecting gradual changes using NDVI and GNDVI time series. The

similarity in the performance of the two indices can be attributed to the fact that
the study area is covered in trees and less of herbaceous layer (see supplementary:
D1 and D2 for field photo and LC8 time series images). The gradual increase in
forest cover of the stable forest can be a result of limited disturbance from fire,
wildlife, and logging. This suggests that the dryland forest can quickly recover from
drought in areas where multiple disturbances have not been experienced.

4200 4.5.1.2 Phenology

4201 In this research study area, the dryland forests have a very pronounced seasonality controlled mainly by humidity, with a rapid response to the onset of the rainy 4202 4203 season, reflected in the abrupt changes in NDVI and GNDVI responses. The 4204 interannual variation in precipitation caused the change detection algorithms to flag breakpoints related to dryland forest phenology (Grogan et al., 2016, Zhao et 4205 4206 al., 2019). BEAST algorithm detected phenological changes resulting from drought 4207 years followed a large increase in precipitation and clear-cut deforestation in NDVI 4208 and GNDVI time series (Table 4.2). BFAST also failed to detect any seasonal change 4209 using both NDVI and GNDVI time series. The ability of BFAST algorithm to capture 4210 seasonal changes triggered by interannual variations or disturbances in the 4211 dryland biomes is limited. Studies that tested BFAST algorithm on different forest types also reported poor performance in detecting seasonal changes. This included 4212 4213 limitations in identifying changes in the amplitude of the seasonal curve, or 4214 changes in the number of seasons in which tropical dryland forests were 4215 characterised by high inter-annual seasonal variability (Gao et al., 2021, Grogan et 4216 al., 2016).

4217 The difference in the performance of the algorithms tested here can be attributed 4218 to the fact that BEAST incorporates non-linear change models (Burkett et al., 4219 2005). BEAST not only detects the changepoints, but also quantifies their probability of being true, providing a confidence measure to interpret the changes 4220 4221 in both trend and seasonality. A shortcoming of BFAST algorithm is that by relying 4222 on linear segments to describe underlying fluctuating trends, the model assumes 4223 vegetation trends are quasi-linear processes (i.e., regular, or stable seasonality) 4224 (Grogan et al., 2016). Deterministic models used within BFAST algorithm often do not therefore capture nonlinear behaviour as thresholds and complex interactions 4225

among ecosystem processes are unaccounted for (Burkett et al., 2005). For 4226 example, Jamali et al. (2014) accounted for non-linear vegetation changes in the 4227 4228 Sahel using a polynomials fitting-based scheme to an annual NDVI time series and found it to describe general non-linear change trajectories. It has been widely 4229 4230 observed that vegetation dynamics and land cover change can often occur in a nonlinear pattern (Lambin et al., 1997). Additionally, climatic variations and change in 4231 4232 moisture regimes, such as short- or long-term changes in rainfall patterns or 4233 temperature, may also drive nonlinear progressions in vegetation cover (Foley et 4234 al., 2003).

4235 These results demonstrate that accounting for variations at the seasonal scale 4236 while simultaneously uncovering complex nonlinear trends in forest dynamics is important, particularly for dryland forests where seasonality may vary 4237 4238 significantly in amplitude from year to year. Projected rapid climate change is of 4239 major concern in these regions, especially when viewed with other population stresses such as habitat conversion, the impacts of fire, and herbivores 4240 4241 disturbances. In KAZA, it is reported that competition between wild species occurs 4242 when habitats become degraded, especially by elephants (FAO, 2009). These synergistic stresses are likely to prove to be the greatest challenge to wildlife 4243 4244 conservation in the 21st century, hence tracking the occurrence of disturbance events and phenological shift events as they occur is an essential task in PAs 4245 conservation efforts. 4246

4247 4.5.2 Spectral index sensitivity in dryland forests

The study found that BFAST and BEAST change models using the GNDVI time 4248 4249 series performed better than the more commonly used NDVI. Comparing results 4250 from NDVI and GNDVI and related these to the precipitation anomaly shows that 4251 the maximum differences in vegetation index performance occurred over the 4252 dryland forest relative to the grassland, and then shrubs. There is a general agreement between indices in areas undergoing browning and greening in the 4253 4254 non-forested area (see: Fig. 4.4). GNDVI had the best performance in distinguishing 4255 browning and greening of forest from herbaceous layers affected by droughts. For 4256 example, analysis of the NDVI was able to detect a strong greening in forest areas 4257 in the severe droughts of 2015-2016 and 2019. These results are similar to a study

by Loranty et al., (2018) that found positive decadal trends in NDVI in Siberian 4258 forests that ranged from sparse to dense canopy cover, which correspond to 4259 4260 increases in understory productivity rather than an increase in forest cover. This study results also concur with the study by Otsu et al. (2019) that found that 4261 4262 GNDVI performed best in distinguishing broad leaf from needle leaf forests as compared to NDVI. Another study by Yoder et al. (1994) used the green channel in 4263 4264 a vegetation index and found that it had a better correlation with the 4265 photosynthetic activity of the tree canopy in miniature Douglas-fir trees as 4266 compared to the red channel. The main reason for the difference in the 4267 performance of NDVI and GNDVI is likely because the former is more sensitive to low chlorophyll concentrations, while GNDVI is more sensitive to high chlorophyll 4268 4269 concentrations and so is more accurate for assessing chlorophyll content at the 4270 tree crown level (Gitelson et al., 1996). A study by Grogan et al., (2016) tested 4271 BFAST on Land Surface Water Index (LSWI) and used NDVI on dry-deciduous and 4272 evergreen forests and found that the LSWI time series outperformed the more 4273 commonly used NDVI and EVI indices.

In conjunction with observations from the field, these results indicate that 4274 understory vegetation likely exerts a strong influence on NDVI. It has been shown 4275 in other research that different plant functional types, including canopy 4276 4277 background variations and herbaceous vegetation, also have a pronounced 4278 seasonal effect on the NDVI signal, while also not being directly correlated with 4279 woody cover (Grogan et al., 2016, Prince, 1991). This is apparent in my 4280 observations and suggests that the NDVI pattern of a higher-than-average anomaly during the growing season of 2015 and 2019 may correspond primarily to 4281 4282 increases in understory productivity rather than an increase in forest cover. For 4283 this study, a possible explanation for this is that tropical vegetation greenness can 4284 recover rapidly soon after forest clearing as the low herbaceous cover such as 4285 grassland and saplings grow vigorously due to increased light levels, resulting in 4286 reduced sensitivities to detect disturbances in greenness-based indices such as 4287 NDVI. The use of VIs for biophysical parameter retrievals is therefore a challenging 4288 task and there remains much work in understanding VI sensitivity across and 4289 within dryland biomes (Huete et al., 2002). Ground field validation test sites are 4290 essential in this regard and help provide valuable insight in interpreting spatial

4291 and temporal variability in VI that arises from vegetation-related properties, 4292 including LAI, canopy structure, and understory vegetation. Hence, both soil 4293 characteristics and the reflectance of lower plant communities may lead to 4294 misinterpretations of the open dry forest dynamics and an under or 4295 overestimation of ecosystem productivity in similar semiarid environments.

4296 4.5.3 Land cover classification and spatial pattern of forest4297 changes using breakpoints and magnitude

4298 This study applied remote sensing techniques to classify satellite imagery of the 4299 Zambezi region of Namibia in 2004 and 2019. Despite the good classification 4300 obtained in this study, there were some general issues which may have reduced 4301 the accuracy of the overall classification. For example, the spectral signature of 4302 forests was mixing with the signature of open forests/shrubs, resulting in low producer's accuracies for both classified map due to their noisy Landsat spectral 4303 signatures and difficulty in interpreting them. A similar problem was also 4304 encountered by Lu et al. (2003) and Zhao et al. (2016). To overcome this mixed 4305 4306 pixel problem, higher spatial resolution multispectral images such as SPOT images reduced the mixed pixel problem, resulting in improved forest classification 4307 4308 accuracy (Lu et al., 2008). However, using higher spatial resolution with pixel-4309 based tree species classification approaches also increased spectral variations, 4310 especially in savannas with open forests, because of their complex forest stand structure and canopy shadows, resulting in poor classification accuracies (Lu and 4311 4312 Weng, 2005; Myeong e al., 2001; Pu et al., 2018; McElhinny et al., 2005). Incorporation of these relatively medium spatial resolution images such as Landsat 4313 with 30-meter spatial resolution with other data sources such as digital elevation 4314 4315 models (along with their derivatives such as slope and aspect), spatial texture, and 4316 SAR can improve classification accuracy (Myeong e al., 2001).

In this study, the LULC change trajectories included the conversions to-and-from
land cover classes. Unchanged areas, particularly forest land and open
forest/shrub land, are of exceptional importance for biodiversity management,
providing forest habitat and increases connectivity between forest patches for
wildlife population dynamics, and migratory species (Stoldt et al., 2020; Wegmann
et al., 2015; Wintle et al., 2019). In addition, unchanged areas provide timber and
Page | 206

non-timber product supply, and carbon storage in the study area (David et al., 4323 2022a). The large areas of unchanged forest land may provide an indication of the 4324 effectiveness of intensified efforts for forest protection and biodiversity 4325 management such as forest fire protection programs and awareness creation on 4326 4327 the sustainable use of forests implemented by the Government (Russell-Smith et al., 2017). Conversely, the large area of forest conversion to open forests/shrubs 4328 4329 and agricultural/Barren land could also indicate the degradation of forests from 4330 continuous drought events and logging of forests for timbers from the Chinese 4331 companies in the Zambezi region (Asanzi et al., 2014; Chikoore and Jury, 2021; Weng et al., 2015). The most valuable timber tree species in Namibia include 4332 Pterocarpus angolensis, Baikiaea plurijuga, and Guibourtia coleosperma. However, 4333 the harvest of these trees has increased because of the high demand for timber 4334 4335 from dense tropical hardwood species from Chinese (Asanzi et al., 2014).

4336 Making full use of the opportunities that the Landsat and MODIS archive provides, this study provides an assessment of land cover change and forest disturbances in 4337 4338 the KAZA region, from 2002/2004 to 2019, explored with change detection 4339 algorithms. The main aim was to quantify and identify the Land cover change, locations, types, and trends of the land cover during the 19-year period in 4340 communal and protected areas of Namibia. Methodologically, this study showed 4341 that dryland forest disturbances associated with deforestation and degradation 4342 4343 can be mapped reliably with both BFAST and BEAST change detection algorithms. 4344 In terms of the performance of indices utilised, this study suggests that the GNDVI was found to have the best performance in monitoring degradation and detecting 4345 disturbances from droughts and fires as compared to NDVI. This study found the 4346 NDVI is less sensitive to changes in dryland forests as compared to GNDVI, and this 4347 4348 result is consistent with studies that found that metrics based on the short-wave infrared (SWIR) outperform NDVI in temperate and savanna ecosystems in the 4349 USA (Jin and Sader, 2005, Kennedy et al., 2010, Zhu, Woodcock and Olofsson, 4350 4351 2012).

Thematically, this study yielded three main insights. First, the study found diverse
spatial patterns of forest disturbances are more prevalent in the communal areas
and state forests such as the Zambezi ST, particularly when compared to protected
areas such as Mudumu NP. These changes are driven by different disturbance
Page | 207

agents, including both natural processes (e.g., drought) and anthropogenic impacts 4356 (e.g., timber logging, fire). This suggests disturbance attribution is central for 4357 understanding the drivers and impacts of forest degradation. According to land 4358 cover change analysis in Fig. 4.13, agricultural/barren land has increased 4359 4360 dramatically during 2004 to 2019. Agricultural/barren land may be caused by (1) cut trees for households and wood processing businesses, or (2) slash-and-burn 4361 agricultural activities (Kamwi et al., 2017) and (3) timber trade (Asanzi et al. 4362 4363 2014). That unsuitable farming practice is mainly taken by local ethnic groups 4364 living in the province, while the tree logging is due to a strong presence of logging 4365 companies primarily from China (Nott et al, 2019). This is in agreement with previous studies on land cover and land use analysis such as Kamwi et al. (2017) 4366 4367 that found agricultural expansion to be the most predominant driver in the same 4368 study area.

4369 Second, the study found large areas of the dryland forest in the Zambezi ST have experienced major disturbances from 2016 to 2019 from clear-cut of forests 4370 4371 coupled with fire, and extreme drought events, suggesting deforestation and degradation is a widespread phenomenon in KAZA. Similar to the research 4372 presented by Kamwi et al. (2015), the land cover analysis from this study (see: Fig. 4373 4374 4.13 and Fig.4.14) found that small-holder agriculture and shifting cultivation was largely responsible for breakpoints of large magnitudes in the communal areas of 4375 4376 the Zambezi region detected by BFAST change detection (see: Fig 4. 12). The 4377 BFAST change detection also detected vegetation disturbances/degradation, stable 4378 vegetation, and vegetation regrowth, and these level of disturbances, trend and 4379 direction of change were not detected by the bi-temporal classification. Third, a 4380 clear association between forest disturbance and precipitation was found. Forest 4381 disturbance was particularly widespread during severe drought years such as 4382 2015-2016 and 2019. This study results also showed positive magnitude 4383 breakpoints, which represented forest recovery and vegetation regrowth, which 4384 could be attributed to increased precipitation and lack of disturbance in protected 4385 areas such as Mudumu NP, as compared to community conservancies and the Zambezi SF. This study disturbance maps, land cover change and field observations 4386 4387 suggest that drought, forest logging, agricultural expansion, large herbivore 4388 disturbance, and increased fire may explain some of the observed pattern by the

BFAST and BEAST change detection algorithms (Kamwi et al., 2017); Nott et al,
2019), (also see: Fig. B2, B3, B4 and B5). Similar patterns of increases in forest
disturbance during drought seasons were found both in the Amazon and the Gran
Chaco of Argentina (Bullock et al., 2020, De Marzo et al., 2021).

4393 Previous land cover mapping research in the KAZA region has shown contrasting results. Kamwi et al. (2015) reported forest and woodlands are expanding in 4394 4395 communal land in the Zambezi region, while Meyer et al. (2021) reported that 4396 woodland cover reduced by 2.1% within the same study area and time period of 4397 1990 to 2010. The land cover mapping from this study shows that forests reduced by 9% in the same region between 2004 and 2019. The deforestation and 4398 4399 widespread degradation identified in this study are consistent with findings by 4400 McNicol et al. (2018) that found Southern African woodland is highly dynamic with 4401 widespread degradation and deforestation, but also extensive vegetation 4402 regrowth. The further step on assessing the magnitude of change reported in this 4403 study demonstrates first that forest change occurs in an incremental manner, and 4404 second, by making use of the magnitude parameter, that conventional bi-temporal 4405 classification studies could further be improved and complimented by extent and 4406 severity of forest disturbances derive here (DeVries et al., 2015). The ability to 4407 describe these change processes with high temporal detail highlights the 4408 advantage of a time series change detection approach used here and the additional 4409 information they provide to conventional bi-temporal classification maps of forest 4410 versus non-forest maps conducted in KAZA region (Kamwi et al., 2017, Meyer et al., 2021, Fox et al., 2017). 4411

4412 4.6 Conclusion

This study evaluated the applicability of BFAST and BEAST algorithms to detect a range of abrupt, gradual, and seasonal changes using MODIS vegetation index (VI) time series data in tropical dryland forests in Southern Africa from 2002–2019. The change detection algorithms complemented the bi-temporal Land cover change detection in Zambezi region from 2004 and 2019. The study has shown that analysis of monthly MODIS VI time series, climate data, and field validation can effectively describe and help to interpret longer-term changes of vegetation dynamics. Changes occurring in the trend component identified indicate both
gradual and abrupt changes, while giving insights into the influence of drought and
phenological variation on the forest. Four main conclusions can be drawn from this
study:

4424 First, dryland forests are highly dynamic and water sensitive with high rates of
4425 deforestation and widespread degradation, but also continuous vegetation
4426 recovery and regrowth are identified in protected areas compared to unprotected
4427 areas.

Second, BEAST algorithm was found to give the best performance overall, correctly
identifying abrupt changes of vegetation response to fire and drought impacts.
BFAST did not perform well in identifying abrupt changes resulting from fire and
low magnitude drought events. Based on the results, the best decomposition of
trend and seasonal breakpoints were given by BEAST using the GNDVI.

Third, BEAST algorithm outperformed BFAST algorithm in detecting seasonal changes driven by climatic and clear-cutting events. BEAST algorithm detected the abnormality of deforestation and climate-driven changes in seasonality, which helped identify the potential drivers of these phenological shifts. However, BFAST failed to detect any seasonal changes within the entire study period (2002-2019) using either the NDVI or GNDVI.

4439 Fourth, conventional NDVI was highly influenced by canopy background variations 4440 and herbaceous layers, as compared to the GNDVI. NDVI performed best in the robust detection of areas with complete land cover class changes, while GNDVI 4441 performed well in detecting changes within areas of partial (low magnitude 4442 4443 change) and complete land cover class changes. The analysis suggests that GNDVI is more sensitive to chlorophyll concentration in vegetation when the leaf area 4444 4445 index is moderately high as is the case in tropical dryland forests, while NDVI is more sensitive to forest types with low chlorophyll concentrations. 4446

Finally, the study shows that the droughts that took place in 2015 and 2019 were longer and more extreme than the droughts in 2002-2003, 2005, 2007 and 2011-2013. Overall, the results also show that a large part of the growing season and phenology is highly influenced by seasonal and inter-annual variations in climaticconditions, particularly in the case of severe drought in the KAZA region.

These results highlight the importance of complementing the conventional bi-4452 temporal classification studies on Land cover change with improved time series 4453 4454 change detection algorithms to detect the magnitude, extent, and severity of forest disturbances with high temporal detail. The study also showed the importance of 4455 4456 considering the sensitivities of VIs used in forest monitoring when trying to 4457 identify non-linear dynamics of dryland forests. Two extreme record droughts in 4458 less than two years (2015-2016 and 2018-2019) are evidence of the negative 4459 impacts of extremes of climate variability and climate change in the region. 4460 Therefore, an in-depth assessment of the intensity, spatial coverage, and geography of impacts of future droughts are of fundamental importance to the 4461 4462 region. The approach described above is transferable to other tropical forest areas 4463 with high inter-annual variability that is influenced by seasonal climatic variations and disturbance. These methods are subject to further tests with other datasets of 4464 4465 higher spatial resolution such as Landsat, Sentinel, or simulated datasets, to ensure 4466 their efficacy.

4467 4.7 Acknowledgments

4468 This work was supported by Commonwealth Scholarship Commission PhD grant 4469 number: NACS-2017-409 from the 2017–2021, Geography doctoral program at 4470 Durham University. The authors acknowledge financial support from the Royal Geographical Society (grant number: MC 08/19) and WWF Namibia Mike Knight 4471 for travel support grant number: T225. I thank WWF KAZA TFCA Secretariat 4472 Nyambe Nyambe, Chobe National Park Authority Michael Flyman, and University 4473 4474 of Namibia (Katima Branch) Dr. Ekkehard Klingelhoeffer for the support during the 4475 fieldwork. I also thank Morgan Kamwi who helped with transportation and data 4476 collection in the field.

4477

4479 4.8 Supplementary Information 2





4481

Fig. B 1. Temporal profiles of raw and cleaned MODIS NDVI data for a forest plot: (a)
original time series after MCV method; (b) time series retained after filtering, and (c) time
series with linear interpolation on filtered points over a 17-year period.

4485

4486 Clear-cut and burnt forest

Fig. B 2. A and B shows field photo evidence of a deforestation event in a dryland forestdominated by Baikiaea plurijuga species, the area was burned in 2017 and clear-cut for

timbers in around 2018-2019. The photo location coordinate is 17.49°S, 24.21°E taken

4490 from ground survey in Namibia in 2019.



4491

Fig. B 3. Shows the corresponding time series of Landsat images with no cloud cover in the
pixels documenting changes in the forest (forest to shrubs) from 2015 to 2019,
respectively. The yellow dot represents the location ID (coordinate: 17.49°S, 24.21°E). The
year 2002 and 2005 was included because it is a drought year and 2001 was used as a
baseline year.

4497

4498 Drought impacts and degraded forest

4499


4501 Fig. B 4. shows field photo evidence of a degrading forest dominated by baobabs and 4502 riparian woodlands species near Chobe River frontage. The photo location coordinate is

4503 17.80°S, 24.95°E taken from ground survey in Botswana in 2019.

4504



Fig. B 5. shows the corresponding time series of Landsat images with no cloud cover in the
pixels documenting changes in the plot from 2015 to 2019, respectively. The yellow dot
represents the location ID (coordinate: 17.80°S, 24.95°E). The year 2002 and 2005 was
included because it is a drought year and 2001 was used as a baseline year.

4512 A stable and recovering forest



- 4514
- 4515 Fig. B 6. Shows field photo evidence of a forest that has not experienced any disturbance
- 4516 for the period of the study. The photo location coordinate is 17.57°S, 24.28°E taken from
- 4517 ground survey in Botswana in 2019.



- 4519 Fig. B 7. shows a time series of LC8 images from 2015 to 2019 is shown below. The yellow
- dot represents the location ID (coordinate: 17.57°S, 24.28°E). The year 2002 and 2005
- 4521 was included because they are drought years and 2001 was used as a baseline year

Table B. 1. Land cover areas in the study area per year (2004 and 2019) in km² and hectares.

Class name	2004 Area	2004 Area	2004	2018 Area	2018 Area	2019
	(km ²)	(ha)	Area (%)	(km ²)	(ha)	Area (%)
Water	5	508	0	6	600	0
Forest	2,351	2351,411	46	1,893	189,335	34
Open	2,564	256,410	51	2,735	273,512	54
forests/Shrub						
Urban	3	262	0	3	318	0
Agriculture	143	14,378	3	429	42,934	8

4525 Table B. 2. Area changes of BFAST (2002-2019) using sample-based estimates and the

4526 observed disturbance change rates in hectares.

Change identified by BFAST	Area (ha)	Standard	2.5 %	97.5 %
		Error (ha)	(ha)	(ha)
Non-disturbance (No change)	106,390	9,817	87,148	125,631
(Stable Forest)				
Low negative changes (no change)	90,929	10,636	70,083	111,776
(Degradation)				
Large negative changes (No change)	38,873	7,162	24,836	52,910
(Non-forest)				
Non-disturbance -Low negative changes	33,132	6,859	19,688	46,576
(Stable forest to Degradation)				
Non-disturbance -Large negative	99,911	9,753	80,795	119,027

changes				
(Stable Forest to Deforestation)				
Low negative changes -Large negative changes	59,515	8,154	43,533	75,497
(Degradation to Deforestation)				
Low negative changes -Non- disturbance	23,409	556,8	12,497	34,322
(Degradation to Stable Forest)				
Large negative changes -Low negative changes	48,537	8,353	32,167	64,908
(Deforestation to Degradation)				
Large negative changes -Non- disturbance	5,980	2,966	167	11,792
(Deforestation to Stable Forest)				
Total	506,676			

Table B. 3. Area changes for the Random Forest classification in the Zambezi region in 4528 4529 hectares.

Change identified by two-interval classification	Area (ha)
Forest-Forest	147,876
Non-forest-Non-forest (no change)	201,157
Forest - Non- Forest	87,251

Non-forest - Forest	41,447
Non-forest - Non-Forest (change)	28,944
Total	506,676

- 4531 Table B. 4. Area-based transition among land cover categories for the Random Forest
- 4532 classification for the period 2004–2019 in the Zambezi region in hectares.

4533

Land cover class Change	Re-organisation	Area Change (ha)	Area Change (%)
Agriculture-Agriculture	Non-forest-Non- forest (no change)	8,501	2
Agriculture-Forest	Non-forest - Forest	1,109	0
Agriculture-Open forest/Shrub	Non-forest -Non- Forest (change)	4,707	1
Agriculture-Urban	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	58	0
Agriculture-Water	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	4	0
Forest-Agriculture	Forest to Non- forest	10,634	2
Forest-Forest	Forest-Forest	14,7876	29
Forest- Open forest/Shrub	Forest to Non- forest	76,346	15
Forest-Urban	Forest to Non- forest	16	0
Forest-Water	Forest to Non- forest	256	0
Open forest/Shrub -Agriculture	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	23,677	5
Open forest/Shrub -Forest	Non-forest - Forest	40,173	8
Open forest/Shrub - Open Forest/Shrub	Non-forest-Non- forest (no change)	192,313	38
Open forest/Shrub -Urban	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	205	0
Open forest/Shrub -Water	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	34	0
Urban-Agriculture	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	115	0
Urban-Forest	Non-forest - Forest	5	0
Urban- Open forest/Shrub	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	101	0
Urban-Urban	Non-forest-Non- forest (no change)	39	0
Urban-Water	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	1	0
Water-Agriculture	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	7	⁰ Page 219
Water-Forest	Non-forest - Forest	161	0

Water- Open forest/Shrub	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	36	0
Water-Urban	Non-forest -Non- forest (change)	0	0
Water-Water	Non-forest-Non- forest (no change)	305	0
Total		506,676	100

5 A SPATIO-TEMPORAL DROUGHT AND FIRE ANALYSIS 4537 FOR SEMI-ARID DRYLAND ECOSYSTEMS IN SOUTHERN 4538 AFRICA USING MODERATE RESOLUTION SATELLITE 4539 IMAGERY.

Page | 221

Chapter 5

4548 4549 4550	
4551 4552	Chapter 5 Manuscript in progress: Intended for submission to Remote Sensing in
4553	Ecology and Conservation.

Title: A spatio-temporal drought and fire analysis for semi-arid dryland
4556 ecosystems in southern Africa using moderate resolution satellite imagery.

Author contributions

4563 David Ruusa- Design the research, perform the data analysis, interpret the results, 4564 wrote the manuscript, and revised the manuscript. Nick Rosser- Contributed to the 4565 research design, manuscript editing and supervision. Daniel Donoghue-4566 Contributed to the research design, conducting fieldwork, manuscript editing and 4567 supervision.

Chapter 5

4587 Abstract

The dryland ecosystem of Southern Africa is fire-prone and has a long history of 4588 4589 recurrent droughts that in turn, affect its ecology, structure, function and 4590 distribution. This chapter presents a spatiotemporal analysis of drought, water 4591 stress, fire impacts on dryland vegetation between 2002 and 2019 for the largest 4592 conservation area: Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA). To 4593 disentangle the relative contribution of climatic and fire regimes to dryland 4594 vegetation, Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), precipitation data, temperature data, evapotranspiration, Root Soil Moisture (RSM) and Active Fire 4595 4596 and Burned Area data products were used. For drought condition, this study shows 4597 most severe drought was in 2002/2003, 2005, 2015/2016 and 2018/2019. The 4598 worst drought with the longest duration and highest magnitude was recorded in 2019. In the KAZA region, about 149,410 km² of land is burned on an annual basis 4599 over the period 2002–2019, however significant differences were observed in the 4600 fire patterns among the five countries of KAZA. Fire incidence was higher in Angola 4601 4602 and Zambia where burning is not strictly controlled; midrange fire incidences were 4603 observed in Namibia where fire control policy and awareness programs were introduced in 2006; and fire incidence was lower in Botswana and Zimbabwe, 4604 4605 where there are effective and strict fire management policies. These results reveal that the areas with high dryland forests (or high tree cover), high rainfall, and long 4606 4607 dry season length coincide with areas of high fire frequency resulting in relatively 4608 large burned areas. The combination of drought, water stress and high fire 4609 frequency observed in this study has led to an increase in land area classified as 4610 arid and semi-arid at the expense of dry sub-humid and humid land classes, which were reduced by 10% in the period 2002 to 2019. These findings have important 4611 4612 implications on wildlife habitat management and climate change in Southern 4613 Africa's dryland forest ecosystems.

4614 Keywords: Dryland vegetation, climate change, soil moisture, drought, forest fire,
4615 Southern Africa, remote sensing

4617 5.1 Introduction

4618 5.1.1 Drought stress on dryland vegetating

Drought is a regular and recurrent feature of Southern African climate, and climate 4619 4620 change scenarios predict large-scale biogeographical shifts in vegetation in 4621 response to the severe drought and intense moisture surplus which will be exacerbated by higher temperatures (Diffenbaugh et al., 2017). Growing evidence 4622 4623 suggests that the effects of drought on vegetation under warmer conditions can be severe, as highlighted by recent observations of regional-scale woody-plant die-off 4624 4625 across Southern Africa (Naidoo et al., 2013), the Sahel (Anyamba et al., 2005), and more widely around the globe (De Jong et al., 2013). In Southern Africa's arid and 4626 4627 semiarid areas, droughts are a frequent occurrence and can have severe ecological 4628 and economic consequences (Mason et al., 2000). While these events may be short 4629 duration followed by recovery during subsequent years of higher rainfall, in some 4630 droughts can trigger substantial and irreversible ecological and cases 4631 socioeconomic changes (Ellis et al., 1988).

4632 The effects of drought on vegetation can vary considerably across ecosystems, depending on plant adaptations and interactions with other ecological processes 4633 4634 (Engelbrecht et al., 2007). The responses of vegetation to variations in climate are 4635 expected to be most sensitive and extreme in tropical open woodlands and forests 4636 in arid and semi-arid ecosystems (Watson et al., 1996). Tropical open woodlands 4637 (hereafter called "dryland forest or woodland") are forests comprising mixtures of trees, shrubs, and grasses in which the tree canopies do not form a continuous 4638 closed cover (Grainger, 1999). There is evidence that anomalies in tropical 4639 4640 vegetation greenness are linked to global inter-annual variations in sea surface 4641 temperature (SST), land surface temperature and precipitation, as evidenced in the dryland forests (Huang et al., 2017). The xeric areas of the dryland biome often 4642 have unreliable rainfall and are often subject to a substantial multi-year rainfall 4643 deficit. Furthermore, the impacts of drought tend to be aggravated by 4644 4645 deforestation, land degradation, growing water demand and extremes of 4646 temperature, as a result of climate variability, anthropogenic activities and global warming (Dale et al., 2001). For example, Chagnon et al. (2004) found a large shift 4647 4648 in local rainfall and seasonality with increases in deforested areas in the Amazon, Page | 224

associated with local atmospheric circulation that were changed by gradients in 4649 vegetation. Monitoring drought stress in vegetation is a critical component of 4650 4651 proactive drought planning designed to mitigate the impact of this natural hazard. Although it is not possible to avoid drought, its impacts can be managed through 4652 4653 preparedness planning. The success of drought preparedness and management depends, among others, on how well the droughts are defined and drought 4654 4655 characteristics (e.g., intensity and duration) are quantified temporally and 4656 spatially.

4657 A drought is a naturally recurring hazard and can alternatively be defined as a temporary, recurring reduction in the precipitation in an area. Droughts have a 4658 4659 slow initiation and they are usually only recognised when the drought is already 4660 well established. The deficiency in precipitation is the main causes of all drought 4661 types, including: meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, and socioeconomic. 4662 Meteorological drought relates to precipitation deficiencies in absolute totals for a given period and is one of the primary causes of wider drought. On the other hand, 4663 4664 agricultural drought is characterised by a soil moisture deficit and changed plant 4665 behaviours during the plant-growing period. The longer and the more spatially 4666 extensive this deficiency, the more likely the occurrence of other types of droughts, 4667 such as hydrological that is a reduction of streamflow, lake or reservoir storage, 4668 and a lowering of ground-water levels. Socioeconomic drought occurs when the 4669 demand for an economic good exceeds supply as a result of a weather-related 4670 shortfall in water supply (Maliva et al., 2012). Drought indices derived from 4671 meteorological data can be used to monitor not only meteorological droughts but 4672 also agricultural and hydrological droughts, and to categorise the seriousness of 4673 the drought, which is important for a wide range of management and planning 4674 decisions. Drought indices commonly applied around the world are summarised by 4675 Svoboda et al. (2016). Consequent impacts of warm droughts could include a 4676 reduction in habitat for wildlife, enhanced opportunities for invasion by exotic 4677 species, formation of novel communal areas, imbalances in the hydrologic cycle, 4678 and temporal disruptions to ecosystem goods and services (Rands et al., 2010).

4679 5.1.2 Fire impacts on dryland vegetation

In addition to drought, within the forest-dryland mosaics other natural 4680 4681 disturbances that affect forests include large pulses of forest disturbances from 4682 agents such large mammalian herbivore damage, insect outbreaks, strong winds and wildfires (Geist et al., 2004). Fire is considered a major determinant of the 4683 4684 ecology and distribution of Africa's dryland forests and the frequency and severity of large wildfires has increased during some extremely dry years in past decades 4685 4686 (Archibald et al., 2018). The burning of natural vegetation is common and 4687 widespread throughout the tropics and is considered to be a significant source of aerosol, trace gas and particles to the global atmosphere (Frost, 1999). Within the 4688 4689 tropical landscape, 42% of CO2 emissions are estimated to come from Africa, 29% 4690 from Asia, 23% from South America, and 6% from Oceania (Andreae et al., 1998). 4691 In Africa, fire is generally viewed as key to ecosystem structure and function. For 4692 example fire is used to maintain grasslands by suppressing bush encroachment 4693 (Chidumayo, 1997). In Southern Africa, fire is started either by people or by lightning, and is intensified by a prolonged annual dry season combined with 4694 4695 relatively rapid rates of fuel accumulation. Often, fires originate outside of 4696 protected areas but later burn uncontrolled into protected areas. Uncontrolled 4697 wildland fires can destroy extensive landscapes, posing a major threat to the 4698 survival of dryland tree species, human life and property, encouraging society and policy makers to take measures that mitigate its effects (Turner et al., 1999). 4699

4700 The fire regime of an area is defined by several variables, including the patterns of 4701 frequency, season, type, severity and extent. All of these characteristics are 4702 intricately linked to ecosystem structure and function, and are highly dependent 4703 on weather and climate oscillations (Archibald et al., 2009; Gill, 1975). Reliable observed data on fire frequency (or, alternately, the reciprocal of the fire return 4704 time) for calculating biomass burned at regional scales are fundamentally 4705 4706 important (Frost, 1999). This is partly because biome characteristics, mainly 4707 biomass loads and moisture levels, determine fire behaviour, but also fire alters vegetation structure, composition and development (Bond et al., 2005; Hantson et 4708 4709 al., 2016). On the other hand, climate affects fire occurrence through temperature 4710 and precipitation cycles, but climate is also affected by fire through by gaseous

emissions (Bojinski et al., 2014). These mutual influences between vegetation,
climate and fire highlight the importance of having long-term burned area (BA)
and climate information that serves as an input for a holistic vegetation analysis.
Therefore, better fire observations and improved estimates of fire impacts will
reduce uncertainty and improve prediction for future ecosystem feedbacks on
atmosphere interactions.

4717 Recent research has also pointed out a decline of forest resilience to wildfires 4718 because of an intensification of the interactions between extreme droughts and fire 4719 (Brando et al., 2019). Fire and grazing regimes, in conjunction with changes in climate characteristics affecting soil moisture status, relative humidity, or drought 4720 4721 stress, will have the greatest influence on grassland-woody species boundaries (Barros et al., 2018). A drying climate, in combination with non-adapted and 4722 unsustainable land-use therefore increases the risk of desertification (Geist et al., 4723 4724 2004). Intensifying disturbance regimes are thus expected to be among the most severe impacts of climate change on forest ecosystems and can bring forests to a 4725 threshold for massive die-off (Turner, 2010). The killing of plants causes 4726 substantial vegetation change and limits productivity, thereby causing shifts in 4727 plant communities resulting in species loss (Williams et al., 2013). Such forest 4728 disturbances significantly affect the global carbon cycle by, for example, vegetation 4729 loss or changing forest phenology. This is raising concerns that disturbances to 4730 dryland natural resources in these areas might increasingly interfere with 4731 4732 sustainable provision of ecosystem services and wildlife habitat management in the tropics (Scholes et al., 2004). 4733

A drying climate, in combination with unsustainable land use practises, in already 4734 4735 water-scarce regions, increases the risk of drying conditions (Reynolds et al., 2007). Desertification is a complex phenomenon, driven by socio-economic and 4736 4737 climate-related processes, such as increasing aridity and more frequent and/or severe droughts (Reynolds et al., 2007) (Fig. 5.1). Desertification is not confined to 4738 4739 drylands, however, they are some of the most vulnerable regions to land 4740 degradation processes due to the delicate balance between natural resources (e.g., limited rainfall, low soil moisture, high temperature, low vegetation productivity) 4741 4742 (Vogt et al., 2011) (Fig. 5.1). Consequently, an important contribution in the fight against desertification is to quantify whether the extent of drylands has changed 4743 Page | 227

and, if this process has taken place, where and to what degree it has occurred
(UNCCD, 1994). In addition, this knowledge would allow natural resource
managers to implement best management practices under drought conditions and
other decision makers to better target assistance and response activities (e.g., early
detection of hot spots for wildfires) in a timely manner.



4749

4750

Fig. 5. 1. Conceptual model depicting theoretical relationships among moisture availability,
temperature, plant growing conditions, and disturbance (fire frequency), water scarcity
(droughts) and their effects on dryland vegetation cover directly or indirectly as it
characterises desertification.

4755

4756

The interrelations between dryland fire regimes and vegetation dynamics are
indeed complex; they are conditioned by various climatic, biotic and anthropogenic
factors involving different feedbacks. Although many studies have been

undertaken in southern Africa (see (Chidumayo, 1997; Korontzi et al., 2003), very 4760 few of these have investigated the combined effects of all these on dryland 4761 4762 vegetation cover. The majority of research on the potential impacts on fire regimes and climate change on drylands has focused on the Amazon and West Africa (e.g., 4763 4764 Sahel) (Aragão et al., 2007; Herrmann et al., 2005; Samanta et al., 2011). By contrast, the regional studies that analyse the impacts of climate and fire on 4765 4766 dryland forests and vegetation in many parts of Southern Africa have been more sparse (Blackie et al., 2014). There is, to my knowledge, no study that has 4767 4768 investigated drought and fire impacts on dryland vegetation cover across the KAZA 4769 region over a long-term basis. A study published by Pricope et al. (2012), did 4770 consider fire frequency from 2000 to 2010 in KAZA region, but only focused on the central part, while Mpakairi et al. (2019) only focused on Zimbabwean component 4771 4772 of KAZA. Neither study considered the whole region and were solely based on fire 4773 analysis without incorporating vegetation information.

This chapter analyses trends of fire regimes of all the five of the national 4774 4775 constituents of KAZA, noting that each country manages fire differently. Some aim 4776 to prevent fires, others legislate for seasonal prescribed burns, and others witness more uncontrolled fires in protected and unprotected areas. To investigate the 4777 drivers underlying the observed long-term vegetation cover change in the KAZA 4778 4779 region, a conceptual model was constructed (see: Fig. 5.1) based on the knowledge 4780 that there are direct and indirect effects of climate, soil moisture, and fire on 4781 woody vegetation cover. Fire disturbance and soil moisture were included in the 4782 climate-vegetation analyses because they are considered an Essential Climate 4783 Variable (ECV) by the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) program, which 4784 encourages the generation of long-term time series of ECVs to better understand climate trends (Bojinski et al., 2014; Mason et al., 2009). The present study was 4785 4786 designed to investigate the relationship between moisture availability as a function 4787 of effective rainfall, rainfall seasonality, evapotranspiration, and root soil moisture, 4788 temperature, fire incidence and frequency, drought and vegetation index. This was 4789 used to characterise spatiotemporal changes in aridity in the KAZA region using 4790 long-term time series from both ground and satellite observations from 2002 to 4791 2019.

Chapter 5

4792 5.2 Aims and Objectives

4793 Aims

- 4794 The aims of this study are to investigate the relationship between fire and different
- 4795 climate effects on vegetation spectral characteristics at the regional scale of KAZA.

4796 Objectives

- To characterise drought conditions using climatic data (SPEI, root-soil
 moisture, temperature, and precipitation) and explore the variability of
 drought using monitoring indicators (i.e., the drought duration, severity and
 magnitude)
- 4801 o To characterise the frequency, seasonality, and extent of fires through time
 4802 on different land use management in KAZA region
- 4803 o To investigate the spatiotemporal changes in aridity in KAZA region from
 4804 2002 to 2010 and 2011 to 2019

4806 5.3 Materials and methods

4807 5.3.1 Study Area

4808 The Kavango Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA TFCA) (18.00°S 4809 23.00°E) in Southern Africa, is a large multi-nationally managed network of 4810 national parks (NP), wildlife and game management areas, forests reserves and 4811 communal. The KAZA TFCA is the largest transfrontier conservation area in the 4812 world, and encompasses an area of approximately almost 520 000 km² shared by 4813 Botswana, Namibia, Zambia, Zimbabwe, and Angola (KAZA, 2014). The KAZA was 4814 established to improve the cooperative management of shared resources, to 4815 improve links between wildlife habitats, to create economic development to the 4816 local communities adjacent to protected areas through tourism. KAZA was also 4817 intended as a means to contribute to peace and friendly relationships between 4818 participating countries through cooperation in nature protection and development 4819 (Stoldt et al., 2020). The region hosts the largest elephant population (Loxodonta 4820 africana) in the world and it is characterised by large-scale migrations of megafauna such as buffalo (Syncerus caffer), leopard (Panthera pardus), zebra 4821 4822 (Equus quaaga). The region is home to numerous red-listed tree species, and contains the world-heritage listed Okavango Delta (Matswiri, 2017; Naidoo et al., 4823 4824 2012). The largest portion of KAZA is generally water- and nutrient-poor due to its 4825 location in the Kalahari Basin, and has a climate that is characterised by a single 4826 rainy season and a long dry season (see: Fig. 5.4), with an annual rainfall average 4827 of 300–950 mm from 1983 to 2019 (see: Fig. 5.3). During the dry season, as most natural pans dry up, water is mostly available at a large number of artificial 4828 4829 waterholes across parts of the landscape and most animals migrate between 4830 seasons to other parts of KAZA converging to rivers such as Zambezi and Chobe Rivers in northern Botswana, and Gwaii river in Zimbabwe (Cumming, 1981; 4831 Tshipa et al., 2017). This rainfall seasonality provides a fire-prone climate such 4832 4833 that the drylands of Africa are thought to experience the most extensive biomass 4834 burning in the world (Lehmann et al., 2014).











4844 5.3.2 Fieldwork and Sampling Design

Field work was undertaken to measure forest stand characteristics from three 4845 4846 locations with different land cover characteristics to provide ground validation in 4847 the KAZA region. The 2019 was one of the most severe droughts this century, 4848 which caused major impacts on vegetation and generated an economic shock felt 4849 throughout the region. Measurements were made in forests and woodlands, shrubland areas, and grassland agricultural land. One was located in Botswana, 4850 4851 which is within the Chobe NP (18.7°S, 24.5°E). The other two site were located in Namibia, Mudumu NP and Zambezi ST (17.8° S, 23.9° E) (Fig. 5.2). These sites were 4852 chosen because dryland forests within and around the protected area have been 4853 4854 particularly susceptible to disturbance and drought during the 21st century, with 4855 severe events in 2015 and 2019, warranting particular attention. For this reason, 4856 survey fieldwork was undertaken to record forest tree stand characteristics, and to observe the different land cover types present in the study area during the 4857 4858 growing season (1st February - 30th May 2019). The 2019 was one of the most 4859 severe droughts this century, which caused major impacts on vegetation and generated an economic shock felt throughout the region. At each sample plot, and 4860 before the biophysical measurements, plot information such as land use, land 4861 4862 cover, vegetation type, soil, and disturbance history (e.g., evidence of fire) was 4863 recorded (Fig 5.2). Also, information about regeneration, deadwood, and stumps 4864 was collected. Field sites were chosen to cover a range of landscapes given the 4865 constraints of road accessibility, wildlife danger, and public access restrictions 4866 allowed. Measurements were collected from a total of 250 individual sample plots. 4867 Field surveys of woody plants were conducted on sites where damage was 4868 specifically observed to identify sites where drought had an obvious impact. These sites can be used for further long-term monitoring. 4869

4870 5.3.3 Ground-based Climate Data

4871 5.3.3.1 Rainfall Data

The climate in the region is considered subtropical with an annual rainfall of about 600-700 mm, dry winters, and hot, wet summers (Fig. 5.3 and 4). The daily and monthly rainfall data values recorded at Kasane and Kavimba have been used in this study (Table 5.1). The data set spans a period of 60 years from 1960 to
2019/20 from Kasane meteorological gauging station, and a period of 46 years
from 1971 to 2017 for Kavimba meteorological police gauging station. The Kasane
meteorological station data have a consistent and longer record and so was used in
this study. All the rainfall observation data were from the Botswana Department of
Meteorological Service (BDMS) Data Network.



Fig. 5. 3. Monthly (top) and annual (bottom) precipitation (mm) for the period 1983 to2019 using data obtained from Kasane meteorological station in Botswana.

4884

4885 5.3.3.2 Temperature Data

4886 Monthly meteorological data (minimum and maximum temperature) were 4887 acquired from BDMS. A long record of temperature data was obtained from Kasane 4888 and Pandamatenga meteorological stations. The temperature data from the Kasane 4889 meteorological station is used in this study because it has a longer timespan 4890 covering 38 years from 1982/3 to 2019/20, compared to Pandamatenga 4891 meteorological station which is continuous only since 1989 (Table 5.1). The climograph in Fig. 5.4 shows that rains in the region are expected in November, peaking in January and February and ending around March. These are warm summer months, with temperatures and humidity high. January averages the highest amount of precipitation and October observes the highest temperature.



4897 Fig. 5. 4. Climograph of average monthly precipitation and temperature from 1983 to 20194898 using data obtained from Kasane meteorological station in Botswana.

4899

4900 Table 5. 1. Weather stations in the study area.

Station Name	Data Type	Data Span	Data length
Kasane	Precipitation	1960 to 2019/20	60
Kavimba	Precipitation	1971 to 2017	46
Kasane	Max and Min	1982/3-2019/20	38
	Temperature		
Pandamatenga	Max and Min	1989-2020	31
	Temperature		

4901

4903 5.3.4 Remote sensing based rainfall - Climate Hazards Group 4904 Infrared Precipitation with Station Data (CHIRPS)

The characteristics of the main satellite-based data used in this study is shown in 4905 Table 5.2. Drought monitoring has been historically carried out using ground-4906 based observations (Chen et al., 2002). However, many regions do not have 4907 4908 adequate gauge instruments, particularly in Africa (e.g., remote regions or 4909 agricultural areas) to obtain detailed precipitation, temperature, relative humidity 4910 and wind speed data, necessary for accurate assessment of drought (Washington-Allen et al., 2006). Furthermore, gauge (point) data do not capture the spatial 4911 4912 variability of drought events. Satellite measurements overcome the limitations of gauge-based meteorological observation through continuous spatial observation 4913 4914 that allows drought conditions to be determined where gauge sampling is 4915 otherwise unavailable. Often satellite-only rainfall estimates are merged with 4916 gauge-based observations for calibration and validation. This results in merged 4917 data sets, which exploit the strengths of each of the data source, and so improve 4918 the overall quality of key environmental variables (Xie et al., 1995).

Climate Hazards Group Infrared Precipitation (CHIRP) with Station Data (CHIRPS) 4919 4920 is a recently-developed, high-resolution, daily, pentadal, decadal, and monthly 4921 precipitation dataset, from 1981 to near present. It was created by the US Geological Survey (USGS) Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Centre, 4922 4923 with collaborators at the University of California, Santa Barbara, Climate Hazards 4924 Group (Funk et al., 2015a). It was developed for drought early warning and 4925 environmental monitoring to support the Famine Early Warning Systems Network (FEWS-NET). It was produced by blending a set of satellite-only precipitation 4926 estimates with monthly and pentadal station observations. The CHIRP is based on 4927 4928 infrared cold cloud duration (CCD) estimates calibrated with the Tropical Rainfall 4929 Measuring Mission Multi-Satellite Precipitation Analysis v.7 (TMPA 3B42 v.7) and 4930 the Climate Hazards Group Precipitation Climatology (CHPclim). The estimates are available at a resolution of $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$ resolution, or at a coarser resolution of 4931 4932 0.25° × 0.25° (Funk et al., 2015). The fine resolution 0.05° × 0.05° dataset was used 4933 in this study.

4935 5.3.5 Root Soil Moisture (GLEAM)

4936 GLEAM stands for Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam Model, and is designed to estimate land surface evaporation and root-zone soil moisture from satellite 4937 4938 observations and re-analysis data (Miralles et al., 2011). The potential evaporation 4939 is computed from surface net radiation and near-surface air temperature data 4940 using a Priestley & Taylor equation. The root-zone soil moisture (SMroot) is calculated using a multi-layer running water balance model, which combines 4941 4942 observed precipitation and soil moisture observations (Martens et al., 2017). 4943 GLEAM v3.3b provides global monthly potential and actual evaporation, evaporative stress conditions and root zone soil moisture spanning the 4944 4945 approximately 18-year period between 2003–2020 at a spatial resolution of 0.25°. The vegetation fractional cover in v3.5b comes from MOD44B and uses the latest 4946 4947 version of CERES radiation (v4.1), AIRS temperature (v7.0), MSWEP precipitation (v2.8), and ESA-CCI soil moisture (v5.3) (Martens et al., 2017). GLEAM datasets 4948 4949 have already been comprehensively evaluated and used for multiple drought analysis and monitoring applications (Peng et al., 2019; Vicente-Serrano et al., 4950 2018). For this study, the GLEAM root zone soil moisture was used. GLEAM 4951 datasets are openly available globally at daily temporal resolution and 0.25° spatial 4952 resolution for 1980–2019 (https://www.gleam.eu/#downloads/(accessed 10 July 4953 4954 2020).

4955 5.3.6 Vegetation Indices from Remote Sensing Imagery

Vegetation indices uses vegetation reflectance in the near and shortwave infrared 4956 4957 regions for reducing the effects of irradiance and exposure, and enhancing the contrast between vegetation and the ground (Xue et al., 2017). NDVI has been 4958 4959 widely used in many studies to monitor drought impacts on vegetation and forests, 4960 predict agricultural production, assist in hazardous fire zone prediction, and to 4961 map desert encroachment which defines the vegetation growth status (Anyamba et 4962 al., 2005; Myneni et al., 1997; Xulu et al., 2018). The NDVI was used in this study 4963 because it is a biophysical parameter that correlates with the photosynthetic 4964 activity of vegetation and is an indicator of the greenness of the biomes (Robinson et al., 2017; Tucker, 1979). NDVI is also able to offer valuable information to 4965 monitor vegetation health, drought effects, changes in plant growth, land 4966 Page | 237

degradation, deforestation, change detection/monitoring, and in relating large-4967 scale inter-annual variations in vegetation to climate (Smith et al., 2019). As 4968 shown in Eq. 5.1, vegetation reflectance is at a minimum in the visible (red) part of 4969 the electromagnetic spectrum due to absorption of radiation by chlorophyll 4970 pigments, whereas maximum reflection is in the Near Infra-Red (NIR) spectral 4971 4972 region owing to refraction of radiation by leaf cellular structure. The NDVI index 4973 outputs values range between -1.0 and 1.0, and has been shown to correlate well with leaf area index (LAI), and fraction of photosynthetically active radiation 4974 4975 absorbed by vegetation (fAPAR) (Fensholt et al., 2004; Tucker, 1979). Negative 4976 values are mostly due to clouds, snow, water, and values near zero are generally 4977 generated from rock and bare soil. Lower NDVI values often correspond to 4978 stressed or sparse vegetation. Shrubs and grasslands have moderate values (0.2 to 4979 0.5) and high values (0.5 to 0.8) are typical of healthy vegetation with different 4980 densities. I analysed the NDVI patterns during the growing season (January -March) using 2002 to 2019 time series data from the MODIS (MYD09A1.006) 8-4981 4982 day product, with a 500 m spatial resolution.

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - Red}{NIR + Red}$$
(Eq. 5. 1)

where NIR is the near infrared range of the electromagnetic spectrum (841–876
nm) and RED is the red spectrum of the electromagnetic spectrum (620–670 nm),
respectively, as measured by the MODIS sensor.

4986 5.3.7 Product of burnt area MODIS MCD64A1

4987 Satellite-based strategies for large-area burn assessment may rely on two types of 4988 remote sensing data including postfire reflectance images and active fires and can 4989 be used in combination or separately (Fraser et al. 2000). So, this study used 4990 Burned Area Products of 500 m spatial resolution for analysing spatial dynamics of 4991 burned areas and FIRMS Active Fire Products was used for seasonal temporal 4992 variations. This is because Active Fire Products are unable to estimate burned 4993 areas with an acceptable degree of accuracy due to coarse resolution of 1 km

spatial resolution, and untrivial spatial and temporal sampling issues as stated by 4994 Giglio et al. (2006b). The burnt area data were obtained from the MODIS burnt 4995 area sensor monthly product MCD64A1 v.6, and was accessed via Google Earth 4996 Engine (GEE). MCD64 (Giglio et al., 2009) is the latest product from the MODIS 4997 4998 Burnt Area product, and was updated as reported in Giglio et al., (2018). This is a 4999 global grid-level 3 product at 500 m spatial resolution containing per-pixel burnt-5000 area and quality information. It is based on an automated hybrid approach that 5001 employs 500 m surface reflectance imagery coupled with 1 km MODIS active fire 5002 observations. The algorithm applies dynamic thresholds to composite images 5003 generated from a burn sensitive vegetation index, which in turn are derived from 5004 MODIS shortwave infrared surface reflectance band 5 and 7, and a measure of 5005 temporal texture (Giglio et al., 2016). Data layers include a recording of burn date, 5006 data uncertainty, quality assurance and the first and last day of reliable change in 5007 the year. The date on which the burn occurred with values assigned to unburnt 5008 land pixels is encoded in a single data layer as the ordinal day of the calendar year. 5009 The data layer also contains additional values reserved for missing data and water 5010 grid cells. Overall, the MCD64A1 has improved the detection of burnt areas, 5011 provides better detection of small fires and has proven adaptability to different 5012 regional conditions in multiple ecosystems.

5013 5.3.8 MODIS MCD14ML Active Fire Product

Fire point location were obtained from the Aqua & Terra MODIS wildland fire data, 5014 5015 with a spatial resolution of 1 km, Collection 6, from January 2002 to December 2019, available from the NASA Fire Information for Resource Management System 5016 5017 (FIRMS) at https://firms.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/download/ (accessed 21 March 2020). The data have a 1-day temporal resolution, and the location of the fire 5018 nominally corresponds to the centre of a 1x1 km pixel, signalled by the algorithm 5019 as containing one or more fires within that pixel. A full description of the 5020 algorithms used to acquire the data can be found in Davies et al. (2008). FIRMS 5021 5022 was developed to provide a simpler and faster means to obtain MODIS active fire locations and expand the distribution of MODIS fire data to a broader range of fire 5023 5024 and forest monitoring organisations around the world. In this study, active fire 5025 products were used to determine fire seasons by determining the months when

5026 fire activity is very high. The fire seasons were determined from the cumulative 5027 ratio of active fires on a regional scale detected during each month across the 5028 seventeen years of observation (2002-2019) and the proportion of this number to 5029 the overall number of fires. FIRMS is an extension to the MODIS Rapid Response 5030 (MRR) system for near-real-time active fire information in a format that is easy to 5031 use, and for users that could not handle image files (Ilavajhala et al., 2014).

Table 5. 2. Characteristics of the main datasets used in this study.

Dataset	Timespan	Resolution	Source
Climate Data	I	I	
Climate Hazards Group InfraRed	2002-2019	0.05 degrees	GEE
Precipitation with Station Data (CHIRPS)			
High resolution Standardised Precipitation	2002-2016	5 km	CHIRPS and GLEAM
Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) dataset			
for Africa			
The Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam	2003-2019	0.25° x 0.25°	GLEAM
Model (GLEAM v3.3b)			
Rainfall Data	1975-2020	-	Botswana department of
			Meteorological Service
			(BDMS)
Minimum and Maximum Temperature Data	1983-2020	-	Botswana department of
			Meteorological Service
			(BDMS)
Vegetation Data	I	I	
MODIS 8-day time series (MOD13Q1)	2002-2020	250m	GEE (MODIS09, 2020).
MODIS Terra Surface Reflectance 8-Day	2002-2019	500m	GEE (MODIS09, 2020).
Global 500m (MOD09A1.006) and			
(MYD09A1.006)			
Fire Data	1	1	1

Chapter 5

MODIS burnt area	2002-2019	500m	<i>GEE (MODIS09, 2020).</i>
(MCD64A1)			
MODIS wildland fire point data	2002-2019	500m	FIRMS

5033

5036 5.4 Methods

5037 5.4.1 Calculating the standardised precipitation 5038 evapotranspiration index (SPEI) from ground observation

5039 Satellite-based drought indices are capable of characterising spatial and temporal 5040 variability of drought based on the magnitude, duration, and intensity, and so they represent promising tools for monitoring drought at regional scales, which is 5041 5042 important for developing a drought watch system for an area. A variety of drought 5043 indices have been developed to quantify whether or not a region is experiencing a 5044 drought, and to categorise the seriousness of that drought. Dryness severity was 5045 quantified using the multiscalar Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI), calculated from ground meteorological data (rainfall and 5046 precipitation) from the Kasane meteorological station. Drought severity is 5047 5048 predominantly caused by either precipitation decreases or increases in temperature induced evapotranspiration. Hence, precipitation does not represent 5049 5050 the only control on ecologically and socially relevant water resources, such as stream flow, reservoir storage, and soil moisture (Cook et al. 2004). SPEI is used to 5051 measure environmental water stress by combining information from both 5052 evaporation and precipitation. The SPEI is a drought indicator that determines 5053 5054 deviations from a location's average water balance (the ratio of temperature and 5055 precipitation) over a specified timeframe which is then fitted to a statistical distribution (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2012). The SPEI was quantified based on the 5056 5057 Hargreaves equation (Hargreaves, 1994) using the 'SPEI' package (Bergueria et al., 5058 2014) in the R software package. Due to the complex computation of Potential 5059 Evapotranspiration (PET), which involves several variables, including surface 5060 temperature, air humidity, soil, incoming radiation, water vapour pressure, and 5061 ground-atmosphere latent and sensible heat fluxes, this study made use of 5062 Hagreaves' and Samani's temperature-based method for PET estimation. The Hargreaves approach has the advantage of only requiring data on monthly mean 5063 minimum and maximum temperatures. 5064

The SPEI was chosen over the commonly used Standardised Precipitation Index 5066 5067 (SPI) because it includes PET as well as precipitation (Stagge et al. 2014). PET is 5068 the amount of evapotranspiration that could occur if enough water were available 5069 (Oudin et al. 2005). For example, Dutrieux et al., (2015) used SPI and they found it to perform poorly in tropical dry forest and concluded SPI was not the ideal way to 5070 5071 include moisture conditions in the dryland environment. Limitations of SPI, which 5072 considers rainfall anomalies alone without including evaporative demand have 5073 also been discussed by Trenberth et al. (2014). The SPEI is calculated based on the accumulated difference between precipitation (P) and temperature used to 5074 5075 compute potential evapotranspiration (PET). The SPEI can comprehensively reflect the change in surface water balance, hence automatically capturing the 5076 well-known temporal lag of vegetation response to rainfall (Stagge et al. 2014; 5077 Potop et al., 2014). Since SPEI is a standardised variable it can be used to compare 5078 5079 droughts over different spatial and temporal scales. SPEI produces a graph with 5080 values ranging from 2 to -2 (Table 5.3).

5081 This study places emphasis on moderate to extreme droughts and the SPEI index 5082 scale is given as: extreme drought (\leq -2); severe drought (-2 to -1.5); and, moderate drought (-1.5 to -1). A continuously negative SPEI generally implies an 5083 5084 abnormally drier climate/drought period based on intensity, severity, magnitude, and duration, while positive values correspond to abnormally wet periods. It 5085 should be noted that drought ends when the SPI/SPEI approaches zero and 5086 progresses to a positive value. For this study, the duration of the drought is 5087 considered as the number of months for which the drought has occurred, whilst 5088 5089 the magnitude of the indices indicates the severity of the drought. Vegetation has been found predominantly responsive to short-term drought time scales, hence 1, 5090 5091 3 and 12 months were determined as an appropriate time scales for 5092 contextualizing meteorological, vegetation/crop and hydrological drought on 5093 vegetation (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2012). Two data periods were used in the SPEI 5094 analysis. The 1983-2019 period was used as the baseline period based on availability of the high-quality observed data for temperature and rainfall. The 5095 5096 2002-2019 time period was used in SPEI analysis to investigate sensitivity of the vegetation to drought events. 5097

SPEI	Category
2 and above	Extremely wet
1.5 to 1.99	Very wet
1.0 to 1.49	Moderately wet
-0.99 to 0.99	Near Normal
-1.0 to -1.49	Moderately dry
-1.5 to -1.99	Severely dry
-2 and less	Extremely dry

5098 Table 5. 3. Categories of dry and wet conditions indicated by SPEI values.

5100

5101 5.4.2 Calculation of the satellite-based aridity index (AI)

The degree of dryness is not determined by precipitation alone. If the temperature 5102 5103 is high/low, evaporation is either large or small. Therefore, the degree of dryness is normally expressed as the ratio of PET and precipitation, giving the aridity 5104 5105 index, which is an important indicator of regional climate. The study adopted the aridity index (AI) recommended by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and 5106 Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), the Global Environment Monitoring System 5107 5108 (GEMS), the Global Resource Information Database (GRID), and the Desert Cure 5109 and Prevention Activity Centre (DC/PAS), to reflect the aridity changes of the KAZA 5110 region. The AI was calculated using the following form (Eq. 5.2).

$$AI = \frac{PRE}{PET}$$
(Eq. 5. 2)

5111 where PET is the Potential Evapotranspiration (in mm) and PRE is the 5112 precipitation (in mm). The aridity index (AI) has been widely used to divide 5113 climate zones and to assess changes in aridity trends. Under this quantitative 5114 indicator, drylands are defined as regions with AI < 0.65 and are further divided 5115 into subtypes of: hyper-arid (AI < 0.03); arid (0.03 \leq AI < 0.2); semiarid (0.2 \leq AI < 5116 0.5); dry subhumid (0.5 \leq AI < 0.65); and, humid (AI > 0.65) regions, as shown in 5117 Table 5.4 (Middleton et al., 1997).

5119 AI was calculated using the MODIS data products MOD16A2 v.6 Evapotranspiration/Latent Heat Flux product, which is an 8-day composite 5120 product produced at 500 m resolution. The algorithm used for the MOD16A2 5121 5122 product is based on the logic of the Penman-Monteith equation, which includes 5123 inputs of daily meteorological reanalysis data along with MODIS data on vegetation property dynamics, albedo, and land cover. The pixel values for the PET layer are 5124 the sum of all values in the 8 days within the composite period. 5125

5126 Table 5. 4. UNESCO (1979) aridity classification and bioclimatic index thresholds

Threshold	$0.03 \leq AI <$	$0.2 \le AI < 0.5$	$0.5 \le AI < 0.65$	AI > 0.65	AI > 0.75
	0.2				
Arid conditions	Arid	Semi-arid	Dry sub-humid	Humid	
Desertification	Risk				No risk
risk					

5127

5128 5.4.3 Evaluation Criteria

5129 Most of the currently employed indexes in climate and drought regionalisation 5130 reflect meteorological variables, without taking the diversity of landscape (such as soil condition) into consideration. Therefore, a single index is insufficient for a 5131 5132 nationwide drought regionalisation program. In this respect, the regionalisation indexes presented above that can be used to reflect climate wetness and assess 5133 5134 agricultural and plant droughts were developed. The SPEI at fine spatial resolution 5135 based on CHIRPS and GLEAM v3 (root zone soil moisture) is compared temporally 5136 and spatially to the CHIRPS precipitation dataset. In addition, the NDVI can also serve as an indicator for drought and vegetation health and was used to assess the 5137 5138 performance of drought indices (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2013; Aadhar and Mishra, 5139 2017). Furthermore, root zone soil moisture is an ideal hydrological variable for plant (soil moisture) drought monitoring. 5140

A critical issue for identifying and quantifying droughts is the local historic 5142 climatic distribution (i.e., what is "normal"?). The sample size must be large 5143 5144 enough to guarantee that sample statistics are reasonable approximations of the corresponding population parameter (Maliva et al., 2012). For a region to receive 5145 5146 its long-term average annual precipitation in a year should be a rare event; most years will be either wetter or drier than the mean or median. To facilitate direct 5147 comparison between SPEI, precipitation, NDVI and RSM, both precipitation, NDVI 5148 and RSM are standardised by subtracting their corresponding (2002–2019) mean 5149 5150 and are expressed as the resulting anomalies in terms of numbers of standard deviations (Eq. 5.3). The monthly and seasonal standardised anomalies (std. 5151 anomaly) for vegetation and climate parameters were computed using Eq. 5.3, 5152 below 5153

std.anomaly=
$$\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\delta}$$
 (Eq. 5.3)

5154 where χ_i is the value of NDVI/climate at a particular time (month/season), χ and 5155 δ are the average (monthly/seasonal) and standard deviation (monthly/seasonal), 5156 respectively, over the study time period, 2002-2019. This standardisation has been 5157 applied by many studies to evaluate drought indices (e.g., Anderson et al., 2011; 5158 Mu et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2017).

5159

=

5161 5.5 Results

5162 5.5.1 Temporal analyses drought and water stress

5163 5.5.1.1 Drought index at different scales

To demonstrate the temporal variation of drought at different time scales (1, 3 and 5164 12 months) for the study period (1982–2019) in the KAZA region, the SPEIs were 5165 generated and presented in Fig. 5.5. All three timescales had SPEI values close to 5166 5167 the extreme drought level of -2 for the entire hydrological year of 2019. In general, the index data show the same pattern of variability for each timescale, with 5168 different durations and magnitudes of drought. Also, the frequency of occurrence 5169 of droughts was higher for the shorter, compared to the longer timescales; hence, 5170 the meteorological droughts (1-month) show the highest frequency of occurrence, 5171 5172 followed by agricultural droughts (3-months), and lastly the hydrological droughts (12-months). The number of drought events observed at the 3- and 12-month time 5173 5174 scales were 77, compared to 80 in the 1-month time scale (Supplementary, Table C 5175 1). It takes a shorter time (at most 1-month) of prevailing water deficiency for a 5176 meteorological drought to develop, hence the high variability of droughts. However, at the longer timescales the drought lasts longer and the SPEI magnitude 5177 5178 increases. The variability shows that at the 12-month timescale, SPEI was found to 5179 be of greater severity and magnitude compared to the 1- and 3-month timescales. The SPEI event with the greatest magnitude at the 12-month scale was found in 5180 5181 2019 with the SPEI value >2.5 (Fig. 5.5).









5185 Fig. 5. 5. SPEI for 37 years calculated from ground precipitation and temperature at 5186 different timescales. SPEI index scale is given as, extreme drought (\leq -2), severe drought 5187 (-2 to -1.5) and moderate drought (-1.5 to -1).

5184

5189 Given that it takes up to 3 months for most vegetation to be fully developed, a 5190 water deficiency accumulation of at least 3 months during the growing season will 5191 adversely impact vegetation and crop yields, thus quickly developing into an 5192 agricultural drought. On the other hand, a longer period of water deficit

accumulation or depletion of water storage in rivers and reservoirs is required for 5193 a hydrological drought to occur. Fig. 5.6 shows the number of droughts per year at 5194 5195 a time scale of 3 months, including the drought categories. For the period under observation (1983-2019), drought was more extreme in 1998/1999, 2002/2003, 5196 5197 2005, 2015/2016 and 2018/2019. Severe drought was also observed in 1987, 1992, 1994, and 1999. The SPEIs calculated for 2019 show the worst drought and 5198 5199 accompanying effects on crops and vegetation ever recorded over the Southern 5200 African region.



Fig. 5. 6. Number of drought events for the years that experienced droughts in the periodof 1983 to 2019 using a 3-month time scale, ranked by number of drought months.

5204 **5.5.1.2** Drought index, precipitation and vegetation relationship

5201

5205 To contextualise the drought impacts on vegetation, the 3-month SPEI, 5206 precipitation from the ground station, and monthly NDVI values of a forested area 5207 between 2002 and 2019 were plotted to determine the interplay between 5208 vegetation and climate variability. Monthly NDVI varied closely as a function of 5209 rainfall distribution, as shown in Fig. 5.7. Low NDVI values appear to coincide with 5210 large drops in SPEI and these correspond to abnormally dry years as shown in the 5211 graph of precipitation. The lowest NDVI range was recorded in 2002-2003, 2005, Page | 249
2010/2012, 2015/2016, and 2019, corresponding to the low rainfall values and
drought years, visible in the SPEI data. Similarly, the highest NDVI was observed in
2004, 2006, 2008 /2009, and 2017, which are associated with good rainfall in the
growing season. The SPEI values show that 2019 experienced extreme drought
with a negative anomaly from the mean conditions reaching the level of -2, and this
corresponds with reduced NDVI and rainfall levels.





NDVI and Precipitation

5219

5221 Fig. 5. 7. Top: SPEI from 2002 through 2019 calculated from ground precipitation and 5222 temperature at 3 months timescales. SPEI index scale is given as, extreme drought (\leq -2),

severe drought (-2 to -1.5), and moderate drought (-1.5 to -1). The different vertical line
colours represent the drought scale (yellow colour shows mild drought and red colour
shows extreme drought). Bottom: Temporal variation of the NDVI (black circles) and
inverted monthly precipitation from ground station data (red squares) from 2002 through
2019.

5228

5229 5.5.2 Spatial analyses of drought and water stress on 5230 vegetation

The precipitation, SPEI and RSM dataset are compared with NDVI to gain more 5231 insight into their significance, and to assess which climatic variables explain spatial 5232 patterns of forest and vegetation in this region. Fig. 5.8 shows the results of the 5233 spatial and temporal comparison from 2010 to 2019 for NDVI, precipitation, and 5234 5235 RSM. Noter that SPEI maps end in 2016 due to lack of data availability. In general, 5236 these four variables reflect a progressive dry-out during the events from 2010-5237 2019. The period between 2010 to 2019 was chosen because it is the period with 5238 more years experiencing severe drought events. For example, a severe drought is 5239 revealed by the SPEI in 2012, with values < -1, mostly in the west of the KAZA region, coinciding with a decline in NDVI in this area. The drought of 2012 in 5240 5241 western KAZA could be exacerbated by low rainfall values in 2011 which lead to a considerable decrease in RSM and SPEI values. However, in 2012, the eastern part 5242 of KAZA experienced an increase in vegetation cover, despite receiving less than 5243 average rainfall. The high NDVI in eastern KAZA corresponds to high RSM with 5244 5245 values >1.5 in the same area, which can be attributed to high rainfall, wet 5246 conditions, as reflected in the in SPEI and high RSM values from 2011 in the eastern KAZA. In 2013, extremely low rainfall was recorded which is reflected by a 5247 5248 severe drought in SPEI with values <-1.5 over almost the whole of the KAZA region. 5249 This drought resulted in a decreased vegetation productivity, although not as 5250 severely as the RSM which was still high for most parts of KAZA. In 2015, the entire 5251 KAZA region experienced extremely low precipitation, with a value <-1. This 5252 resulted in a strong and extreme drought, as shown by the SPEI and RSM, with extremely low values <-1.5 across >80% of KAZA. The 2015 drought event 5253 impacted vegetation in the region severely, with an NDVI value <-1 in >50% of 5254 KAZA. Precipitation returned to normal in 2016, which corresponds to the SPEI 5255 Page | 251

data, as there was no drought or dry condition experienced in 2016. However, the
NDVI progressively declined through 2016, which is explained by RSM values <-1
across the whole of KAZA, despite precipitation and SPEI showing a different
pattern.

5260 The slight increase in NDVI values in northern KAZA corresponds to the very few areas with average RSM in 2016. The RSM reflected the main drought conditions 5261 5262 that are shown also by negative values in NDVI, rather than rainfall or SPEI. The 5263 extreme drought of 2015-2016 is followed by a high level of precipitation in 2017 5264 over almost the entirety of KAZA region, showing wet condition values of >1.5. This corresponds to an increase in NDVI and RSM over most of the region, 5265 5266 although most dryland forest in northern and central KAZA remained negative. In 2019, the whole of the region received extremely low precipitation with values 5267 <1.5. This resulted in a distressing drought with extremely low RSM values 5268 coinciding with a decline in NDVI. The location of the maximum precipitation and 5269 RSM deficit is concentrated in the north and east of KAZA in both 2015 and 2019. 5270 5271 While the wetter conditions were mostly concentrated in south of KAZA, where it is more arid with less dryland forest such as in 2014 and 2017. RSM was useful in 5272 5273 explaining the spatial-temporal patterns of vegetation lag effects and revealing the cumulative effect of climate anomalies on vegetation conditions, that were not 5274 explained by precipitation or SPEI. 5275

Chapter 5



Fig. 5. 8. Spatial distribution of PRECIPITATION, NDVI, SPEI and RSM anomalies expressed as numbers of standard deviations sampled from the monthly data in the growing season from 2010 to 2019. Extreme droughts (\leq -2), severe drought (-2 to -1.5) and moderate drought (-1.5 to -1), mild droughts (-1 to -0.5) and no drought (-0.5 to 0.5). The map shows the whole of KAZA region as represented by the study area in Fig. 5.2.

Comparisons of climate variables against the NDVI values show that reduced NDVI 5282 uniformly coincide with extremely high temperatures and with low precipitation. 5283 Similarly, low SPEI values (< -0.5) moisture coincides with low NDVI values 5284 (Fig. 5.9). SPEI values indicate that the drought event of 2019 was the worst with 5285 5286 SPEI values falling below -1, followed by the drought event of 2015. The root soil moisture shows that the dry forest vegetation corresponds strongly to the drought 5287 events of 2019 and 2015, with both years experiencing the lowest root-soil 5288 moisture resulting in low NDVI values. In contrast, high NDVI values are captured 5289 5290 for the year 2017 strongly responding to the high moisture availability as 5291 illustrated by the high value of precipitation, root soil moisture, and SPEI. The max 5292 and average temperature also show a sharp contrast of the drought years (2015 5293 and 2019) and the wet years (2017 and 2014). The drought year (2019 and 2015) 5294 has the highest average and maximum temperatures, with low NDVI values 5295 coinciding with extremely high temperatures. On the other hand, the high NDVI values of wet years (2017 and 2014) correspond with the lowest average and 5296 maximum temperature. There is a lag observed in dryland vegetation productivity 5297 5298 in some years following drought events such as 2016 and 2013, in which the NDVI 5299 remain very low despite an increase in precipitation and positive values in SPEI. 5300 The min temperature does not uniformly coincide with the NDVI deviation, with low NDVI values weakly responding to both low and high min temperatures 5301 5302 (Fig. 5.9).

5303

5304

5305

5306



Fig. 5. 9. Association between climate variables and NDVI from the Kavango Zambezi
region. The average daily mean, maximum temperatures, precipitation, SPEI and Root Soil
Moisture were calculated from the monthly data in the growing season from 2010 to 2019.

The correlations of NDVI, precipitation, SPEI, root soil moisture, minimum 5313 5314 temperature and maximum temperature are presented in Fig. 5.10. The NDVI 5315 shows a strong correlation with the root soil moisture (r = 0.66), highlighting the constraints imposed by root soil moisture deficit on dryland vegetation. The 5316 5317 results also indicate a higher correlation between NDVI and SPEI (r = 0.58), as well as the NDVI and precipitation (r = 0.50), reaffirming the consistent mechanism of 5318 influence of drier conditions. The NDVI - maximum temperature correlation (r = -5319 0.45) was also notable. The SPEI index showed a strong negative correlation with 5320 maximum temperature (r = -0.71), and a positive correlation with precipitation 5321 5322 (r = 0.63).



Fig. 5. 10. Pearson's correlation of the NDVI, precipitation, SPEI, root soil moisture,minimum temperature and maximum temperature.

5326 5.5.3 Temporal analyses of fire

5327 5.5.3.1 Fire seasonality and extent

Fig. 5.11 shows the area burnt for each country in the KAZA region. Every year, 5328 between 110,173 km² (21%) and 203,849 km² (39%) of the land area in the KAZA 5329 5330 region were burnt on an annual basis in the period 2002 to 2019. The year 2011 experienced the highest degree of burning with 203,849 km² (39%), followed by 5331 2010 and 2012 with 177,493 km² (34%) and 184,186 km² (36%), respectively. 5332 5333 The year 2019 experienced the lowest burning with only 110,173 km² (21%). In KAZA region, a mean 149,410 km² of land is burnt on an annual basis in the period 5334 2002-2019. Most of this burnt area is situated in Angola and Zambia, with an 5335 average of 47,492 km² (32%; Angola) to 50,935 km² (35%; Zambia), respectively, 5336

of the land area burnt on an annual basis between 2002 and 2019 respectively. The
average area burnt annually in Namibia, Botswana, and Zimbabwe was lower,
varying between 23,806 km² (16%; Namibia), 19,554 km² (13%; Botswana) and
7,623 km² (5%; Zimbabwe), respectively (see supplementary: Fig. C. 1 and Table C
1).



5342

Fig. 5. 11. Total area burnt annually for each country of KAZA from 2002 to 2019 in
km² based on the MODIS Burnt Area product data.

Fig. 5.12 shows the cumulative monthly seasonal distribution of fires in KAZA 5345 5346 between 2002 to 2019, as determined from an analysis of the 1 km FIRMS fire 5347 activity data. The FIRMS data are reported to have considerable amount of 5348 uncertainty on individual fire number/size distribution. Therefore, FIRMS point 5349 data were used as complementary to MODIS burned data (Mouillot et al., 2014). 5350 Vegetation burning in the KAZA region occurs mainly in the dryland forests during 5351 the dry season between May to October each year. The highest degree of burning is experienced during the late dry season, with the months of August and September 5352 representing the peak months for fire incidences. More than 96% of the incidences 5353 5354 are due to dry season fires from May to October. There is a relatively low level of fire incidences in the months of November, December, January, February, March 5355

and April (Fig. 5.12). Looking at burning incidences per individual country,
Namibia, Botswana and Zimbabwe have the highest levels in September, while
Zambia and Angola have the highest levels in August (see supplementary, Fig. C .
On a regional scale, August shows the highest burning rate followed by
September because Zambia and Angola experience the highest burning incidences
on an individual basis in comparison to the other three countries (Botswana,
Namibia and Zimbabwe) combined, as shown below (Fig. 5.12).



Fig. 5. 12. Cumulative monthly fire incidences for the whole of KAZA from 2002 to 2019using FIRMS fire activity data.

5366

5367 5.5.4 Spatial analyses of fire seasonality and extent

5368

Fig. 5.13 shows comparison between the fires burnt in September in drought and wet years. Data from the month of September are used because it represents the peak month for fire incidences in most of the KAZA countries. Spatial analysis indicates that the years with extreme drought, including 2002, 2005, 2015 and 2019, experience the lowest extent of area burnt as compared to normal and wet and less drought affected years. The burnt area was greatest in the wet years of 2004, 2006, 2008-2010 and 2017, and in the very low drought years (2011 to

2013) for all the five countries in the study area, and most of the burnt area is 5376 5377 situated within National Parks. As shown in Fig. 5.13, the Chobe NP has no fire incidences during the drought years, but fire intensified in the normal/wet years. It 5378 can be noted that the northeastern section of Chobe NP (near Kasane Forest 5379 5380 Reserve) is more prone to fire than the north and southern part of the park. The national parks including Chobe NP, Mudumu NP, Sioma Ngwesi NP and Luengue-5381 Luiana NP and Kafue NP are more vulnerable to fires in wet years as compared to 5382 drought years. The Nxai Pan NP and Makgaikgadi Pans NP of Botswana and 5383 Hangwe NP of Zimbambwe has little to no fire incidence in most years. The 5384 5385 National Parks in Angola, Zambia, and Namibia including Sioma Ngwesi NP and Luengue-Luiana NP, Kafue NP and Mudumu NP experience severe burning in both 5386 dry and wet years, even though the national parks are more vulnerable to fire in 5387 5388 wet years as compared to drought years.



Fig. 5. 13. Burnt area derived from the September month of MODIS MCD64A1 product for
selected drought years (2002, 2005, 2015 and 2019) and wet years (2004, 2006, 2008 and
2017) based on SPEI data.

5393

5394 5.5.5 Fire frequency index

5395 Fire-affected pixels were considered as those area that burnt at least once in the 5396 17-year monitoring period. As shown in Fig. 5.14, between 2002 and 2019, about 5397 390,678 km² (75%) of the land area is classified as fire-affected at least once, and 5398 127,989 km² (25%) of the area is not affected by fire (Fig. 5.14). Of the 390,678 5399 km² (75%) of fire-affected area, 90,895 km² (18%) of the area burnt only once or

twice during the 17 years, indicating a low overall fire frequency overall. The 5400 majority of the area, 114,222 km² (22%), burnt 2-6 times, while 87,955 km² (17%) 5401 burnt 6-10 times over the same period. About 28,177 km² (13%) burnt frequently, 5402 >10-14 times, and 28,177 km² (5%) burnt every in >14 of the 17 years indicating a 5403 5404 high frequency overall (Table C 2). The national parks are affected by higher levels of fire occurrence than other protected areas such as forest reserves. The fire 5405 frequency map shows that Zambia including Sioma Ngwesi NP and Luengue-5406 Luiana NP, Kafue NP experienced high rates of fire return with many of the same 5407 5408 areas burning every year, during the monitoring period, with very large areas 5409 burnt in >14 out of 17 years. In Namibia, Mudumu, Bwabwata and Khaudum NPs also experienced very high rates of fire return for the majority of their total area 5410 ranging returning in 10 to 17 years. In Botswana and Zimbabwe, fire return is 5411 5412 generally <6 years, with the exception of the Northeasten Chobe NP, Chizarirae NP 5413 and Matusadona NP, which had a fire return of between 6 to 14 years. Hwange NP in Zimbabwe experienced a fire return >6 years for a very small proportion of the 5414 northeast area adjacent but outside Hwange NP, and the two parks at the 5415 5416 southernmost tip of Botswana (Makgadimkadi Pan NP, and Nzai Pan NP) have the lowest fire reoccurrence of <6 times out of the 17 monitored years. A large portion 5417 5418 of the 25% of unburnt pixels were recorded south of Zambezi River in Botswana and Zimbabwe. By comparison, the fire return and incidence of burning are higher 5419 in Botswana than in Zimbabwe. 5420



Fig. 5. 14. The area affected by fire determined from monthly using MODIS Burnt Area data
from 2002 to 2019 for different land categories in the region. Colours indicate the number
of times pixels were classified as burnt. White areas represent pixels that were classified
as unburnt over the time period.

5426 Fig. 5.15 shows climate, fire and vegetation indices data from 2002 to 2019. Very 5427 high and extremely low burnt areas coincide with a certain combination of climatic 5428 factors. A comparison of the distribution of these climatic data and burnt areas, 5429 with the spatial distribution of NDVI values, an index of 'greenness' of the vegetation also derived from the MODIS sensor, shows that burning is closely 5430 related to areas with proportions of high dryland forests. The areas with high 5431 dryland forests (or high tree cover), high rainfall, and dry season length 5432 correspond to areas with high fire frequency and large burnt areas (Fig. 5.15). For 5433 5434 example, areas with high dryland tree cover and vegetation with NDVI >0.4 5435 receiving mean annual precipitation >150 mm were burnt in approximately 6 to 5436 17 out of the 17 monitored years, here it was common that the same areas burned frequently and recurrently. The areas with low tree cover and vegetation with 5437 5438 NDVI <0.4 receiving mean annual precipitation <150 mm were burnt 1 to 6 times 5439 out of 17 years. The very dry areas, such as the succulent deserts, burnt once and, in most cases, remained unburnt in the 17 years. The precipitation variations 5440 5441 corresponded with the highest degree of spatial similarity to the root soil moisture, 5442 and with consistent high rainfall in northern part of KAZA, and the extremely low rainfall (<150 mm) in the southern part of the region. 5443

5444 In contrast, the potential evapotranspiration has the lowest variation in the northern part of the study area (>550 mm) and highest variations in the south 5445 (<5500 mm). This is consistent with the root soil moisture, which have high 5446 5447 variations (>0.25) in the northern part of the region in comparison to the northern side with very low soil moisture (<0.25). The northern part of the region is 5448 5449 situated in the countries with the largest dryland forest cover, Angola and Zambia, 5450 which is consistent with high NDVI (light and dark green colours in Fig. 5.15). 5451 However, these areas also have a very high rate of burning in consecutive years, 5452 with a fire return of between 14 to 17 years within 17 years, as shown by the fire frequency index. The high fire return rate is also prevalent in other areas with 5453 dryland forests, such as the forest reserves and national parks in Namibia and 5454

5455 Botswana (e.g., Mudumu, Chobe NP, Zambezi ST and Kasane forest reserves), 5456 which display a fire return of between 6 to 14 in 17 years, with proportions of 5457 their areas experiencing fire recurrences in more than 14 years. The south of 5458 Zambezi River shows a very low fire frequency and a large portion of the 25% of 5459 unburnt pixels from 2002 to 2019 are recorded here (see supplementary: Table C 5460 2).



Fig. 5. 15. Areas where the fire frequency is under varying degrees of climatic condition
(precipitation, potential evapotranspiration, root soil moisture), and NDVI sampled from
the averaged monthly-mean of the growing season of 2002 to 2019.

5466 5.5.6 Spatiotemporal changes in the Aridity Index

Fig. 5.16 presents the spatiotemporal aridity changes in the whole of the KAZA 5467 5468 region to explore whether frequent drought and fire dynamics in recent years have 5469 led to increased dryness, and subsequent vegetation change. A subset of the AI 5470 data over the last 9 years of the period (2011–2019) is compared with the first 9 5471 years (2002 - 2010) to highlight these temporal changes. The temporal changes of AI showed a significant increasing dryness since 2002. Observed areal changes 5472 (Fig. 5.16) are apparent, with the change to drier subtypes being dominant and 5473 5474 mainly located in southern side of the region as compared to the northern side in 5475 the period of 2002-2010, as compared to 2011-2019. An increase in the drying variations and changes in the aridity index were observed in transition zones 5476 5477 between arid, semi-arid, and sub-humid regions between 2011 and 2019. The arid 5478 and semi-arid regions have increased at the expense of neighbouring dry sub-5479 humid areas, and represented 5.56% and 4.84%, respectively. The sub-humid areas experienced a significant decrease of approximately 10% of the KAZA land 5480 5481 area. The largest expansion of drylands occurs in semiarid regions, which account 5482 for nearly half of the total dryland expansion and cover >70% of the region (Table 5483 C 3). The AI indicator detected areas with increasing aridity to be mainly in southern KAZA, and these areas are shifting towards more arid and hotter classes, 5484 5485 while northern the KAZA areas with semi humid regions are shifting into semi-arid regions and, therefore, increasing climatological drying risk. 5486



5487

5488Fig. 5. 16. Spatial distribution of averaged aridity over KAZA region for 2002-2010 and54892011-2019.

5491 5.6 Discussion

5492 5.6.1 Drought impacts on vegetation

Temporal analysis of the SPEI index, precipitation and soil moisture anomalies all 5493 5494 reveal that the 2019 drought event surpasses the severity of events in 2002, 2005, 5495 2010, and 2015, which were all considered severe drought events. The results show that the dryland vegetation in the region has a strong correlation with 5496 5497 precipitation and closely responds to variability in precipitation and drought (see: Fig. 5.7). A study by Caylor et al. (2005) showed that vegetation in the Kalahari 5498 5499 region depends on the stochastic distribution of rainfall events and interannual variation in rainfall that can induce shifts in vegetation structure with prolonged 5500 5501 periods of wet (or dry) regime. Comparing the satellite-based rainfall anomalies 5502 (CHIRPS) with ground-based rainfall observations also indicates that the results are not sensitive to the precipitation data used in this analysis. The multi-year 5503 5504 spatial patterns of change in climate, soil moisture and vegetation were 5505 categorised from 2002 through 2019 (see: Fig 5.8). Fig 5.8 shows the results of 5506 2010 to 2019 as this period was more affected by drought impacts as compared to the period 2002-2009. As shown in Fig. 5.8, the severity and extensiveness of the 5507 2015 and 2019 drought resulted in considerable precipitation and soil water 5508 5509 deficit, which caused a significant change in dryland forest vegetation. A similar pattern was seen by Liu et al. (2013) who found climate variability to be extreme 5510 5511 in dryland trees and grassland in the KAZA region. The browning hotspots are concentrated in unprotected woodland and grassland, although significant 5512 5513 browning patterns were also observed in protected national parks (e.g., Chobe NP and Kafue NP). On the one hand, some large-scale browning patterns are not 5514 5515 corresponding to the low precipitation values and drought years, which implies 5516 that they could not be directly associated with climate change (see: Fig. 5.8). 5517 Agricultural expansion, deforestation, and frequent fire burning could be associated with these changes, particularly in Namibia and Zambia. 5518

The lag in greening rate in dryland biomes can be seen in some years following
drought (e.g., 2016), with most dryland trees suffering drastically reduced growth
rates despite an increase in rainfall and a subsequent lack of a dry spell, as shown
in the SPEI of 2016 (see: Fig. 5.8 and 5.9). The root soil moisture data explained the
Page | 266

consistent decrease in vegetation productivity in 2016, despite precipitation and 5523 the SPEI showing a positive trend, indicating that RSM root soil moisture is one of 5524 5525 the major controlling factors that helps to explain changes in vegetation cover across the KAZA region, as indicated by Caylor et al. (2005). Sporadic, erratic and 5526 5527 extremely poor rainfall accompanied by high temperatures in preceding years, seems to have resulted in an absence of soil water storage with root soil moisture 5528 5529 levels becoming very low, resulting in potential carry-over effects on plants. 5530 Although SPEI considers the effects of both temperature and precipitation, and has 5531 been very useful in detecting vegetation drought in many studies (Marumbwa et al., 2020; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2015), the RSM showed a better performance in 5532 explaining the climatic relationship with vegetation vulnerability to prolonged 5533 drought resulting in lack of moisture in plant roots (see: Fig. 5.8 and 9). This 5534 5535 finding is similar to Anderegg et al. (2013) and Case et al. (2019) who also 5536 observed lag-effect patterns between drought stress and extended multiyear tree 5537 disturbances in 2015-2016 in temperate forests in North America and dryland 5538 woodland in Kruger NP. These results confirm that MODIS-derived VIs time series 5539 coupled with climatic variables, soil moisture and ground measurements of forest stands can provide insights into the influence of water stress on dryland biomes. 5540

5541 5.6.2 Fire

5542 Changes in fire regime were analysed in conjunction with climate data as the climate variability and change also modify the risks of fires, pest and pathogen 5543 5544 outbreaks, which each negatively affect vegetation (IPCC, 2014). The data show that every year, between 110173 km² (21%) and 203849 km² (39%) of the land 5545 area in the KAZA region were burned in the period 2002 to 2019. The year 2011 5546 experienced the highest amount of burning with 203849 km² (39%), and 2019 5547 experienced the lowest burning with only 110173 km² (21%). The results show an 5548 increase in annual precipitation in the study region has led to a potential increase 5549 in fire incidence, and the reoccurrence of drought events have exacerbated fire 5550 5551 incidences in the wet years. During wet years (2004, 2006, 2008-2009 and 2017) and less drought prone years (2011 to 2013), fire incidence in the KAZA was 5552 5553 greatest across protected areas. By comparison, dry years of 2002-2003, 2005, 5554 2015-2016 and 2018-2019 show unusually low fire incidence and notably, 2019

which experienced extreme drought conditions also experienced the lowest 5555 number of fire incidences (see: Fig. 5.11 and 13). The findings of this study are in 5556 5557 agreement with Fox et al. (2017) who analysed fire incidences in Chobe NP from 2001 to 2013, and found more active fires recorded in years with higher rainfall. In 5558 5559 addition, during wet seasons or low drought years, fire is also used to remove 5560 biomass from land being cleared for agriculture, shifting cultivation, weed and disease control, or, afterwards, for removal of the previous-year's agricultural 5561 5562 waste (Eriksen, 2007; Frost, 1999). However, inverse results were found in the Amazon, where many studies demonstrate that fire incidence and extent increases 5563 in drought years (Aragão et al., 2007; Nobre et al., 2009).. 5564

5565 One explanation for the high incidence of fire in wet years is that in the KAZA region, more than 90% of fire incidences are due to dry season fires in June to 5566 October, the highest number of burning incidences occur in the late dry season 5567 5568 between August and September (see Fig. 5.12), and the end of dry season affects the amount of fuel available in wet years (see: Fig 5.4). During the dry season, the 5569 5570 herbaceous vegetation is either dry/dead (annual grasslands), and deciduous trees 5571 have shed their leaves, thereby contributing to the build-up on the surface of ignition sources after only a few weeks of dry weather (Higgins et al., 2000; 5572 Lehmann et al., 2014). This evidence suggests that most fires in the region are set 5573 by people, because there are few thunderstorms in the late dry season months that 5574 might naturally trigger fires. The late dry seasons are normally hot, windy with 5575 extremely dry conditions, which means the fires can spread easily and are difficult 5576 to control, and subsequently burn large areas (Archibald et al., 2010). On the other 5577 hand, severe drought conditions with very low rainfall does not permit the 5578 5579 accumulation of sufficient fuel to become a source of ignition and then to sustain 5580 extensive fires (Stott, 2000). The fieldwork of 2019 revealed that a frequent late 5581 dry season fire transforms woodland into open, tall grass savanna with only 5582 isolated fire-tolerant canopy trees. This suppresses the regrowth of woody plants resulting in scattered understorey trees and shrubs. Similarly, in the Amazon, huge 5583 5584 and successive fires have substantially increased forest disturbances and favoured 5585 the occurrence of short-life-cycle pioneer species (Nobre et al., 2016).

5586 Between 2002 and 2019, about 390678 km² (75%) of the land area was classified 5587 as fire-affected at least once, and 127989 km² (25%) of the area was not affected Page | 268

by fire (see: Fig. 5.14). Even though all of the KAZA member countries have fire 5588 suppression policies that largely date back to colonial days, the striking difference 5589 5590 in fire incidence and extent of area burnt is due to the different types of fire laws, 5591 and the enforcement of these laws. The national parks are more affected by high 5592 fire occurrence as compared to other protected areas, such as forest reserves, 5593 game reserves and wildlife management areas. The fire frequency map shows that 5594 a large portion of the 75% burned pixels were located in the Zambian and Angolan 5595 areas of KAZA. The two countries experienced high rates of fire return, with many 5596 of the same areas burning every year, in the last two decades, with very large areas 5597 burned in 14 to 17 years out of 17 years. Within Angola, anthropogenic fire is thought to be a significant cause of deforestation and the fire incidence rate is 5598 significantly higher during the dry season, which has a negative impact on forest 5599 5600 resources and biodiversity in Kuando-Kubango Province (the Angolan component 5601 in KAZA), as recorded by United States Forest Service report (Zweede et al., 2006). 5602 Although there is legislation and regulation on fire control in Angola, these are 5603 rarely enforced, and so uncontrolled dry-season burning for clearing land and to 5604 flush animals for hunting are common practices (USAID, 2013). In Zambia, fire is 5605 perceived as an important land management tool in agricultural and caterpillar 5606 breeding. The Zambian State Forestry Department and local NGOs encourage 5607 burning earlier in the dry season to enable fire suppression in the late dry season across most national parks and other protected areas. Even though there is 5608 5609 existing state law on fire regimes in Zambia, these laws are not strictly followed, again due to the difficulty of enforcement, and potentially a lack of understanding 5610 of the laws in many remote rural areas (Eriksen, 2007). A separate study by 5611 Archibald et al. (2010) also reported similar results, whereby Angola and Zambia 5612 5613 have the highest burnt areas amongst Southern African countries, with much of 5614 their area burned >4 in the 8-year period monitored.

In Namibia, fire return periods for most of areas are midrange compared to other areas of KAZA (e.g., Mudumu, Bwabwata and Khaudum NP experienced high rates of fire return for most of its total area ranging from 10 to 17 years out of the 17 years). In Namibia, a fire management project that includes the establishment of a community fire break, and the implementation of awareness programs on fire, to manage and reduce wildfires was established in 1996 through the Namibia–

Finland Forestry Programme (NFFP) (Verlinden et al., 2006). In addition, an 5621 innovative integrated fire management program (Integrated Rural Development 5622 5623 and Nature Conservation Caprivi Program) was implemented between 2006 and 2010 to support national parks and forestry agencies via decentralization of fire 5624 5625 management decision-making to include community members in decision-making (Russell-Smith et al., 2017). Tire management in the Namibian section of the 5626 5627 Wildlife Dispersal Area (WDA) has progressed significantly through collaborative 5628 efforts between the Directorate of Forestry, NGOs and local communities (KAZA, 5629 2014). According to Verlinden et al. (2006), the implementation of fire management into schools and community meetings, through awareness raising 5630 interventions in Namibian were very effective and the results appear to show a 5631 significant decrease in burned area in comparison to the prior era. 5632

A large portion of the 25% of unburned pixels from 2002 to 2019 are recorded in 5633 5634 Zimbabwe and south of Zambezi River around the Makgadikgadi Pans National Park and Nxai Pan National Park in Botswana. This is due to the generally drier 5635 5636 environment with low precipitation and low tree cover as both Makgadikgadi Pans 5637 National Park and Nxai Pan National Park are physically and ecologically part of the "Kalahari Desert,", and possibly due to better controlled fire regimes in these 5638 areas (Chinamatira et al., 2016; EMA, 2007). The incidence of burning is lower in 5639 Botswana than in Zimbabwe, despite the higher human population density in the 5640 latter. In the two countries, the fire return is generally low with <6 years 5641 5642 experiencing burning from 17, with the exception of northeast of Chobe NP, the northeast of Hwange NP, Chizarirae NP and Matusadona NP, which have a fire 5643 return of between 6 and 14 years. This is in line with the findings by Mpakairi et al. 5644 (2019) who reported fire hotspots in Chizarira, Matusadona NP and northeast of 5645 5646 Hwange NP. Botswana has a fire suppression management strategy through the 5647 use of fire breaks and firefighting crews including the military, police and volunteer members of the general public, mobilised through the District 5648 5649 Commissioner (Dube, 2013). The Zimbabwean component have strict laws on fire 5650 management and control in place, dating back to colonial days bolstered by recent laws passed in 1998 (Zweede et al., 2006). The Zimbabwean Environmental 5651 Management Authority passed regulations on fire suppression in 2007, such that 5652 5653 anyone caught setting a wildfire outside a residential or commercial premises

during the dry summer period from 31 July to 31 October of each year are arrested, face expulsion from the area, or can be fined by decree (Chinamatira et al., 2016; EMA, 2007).

5657 5.6.3 Changes in aridity

Understanding the long-term areal change in the aridity is essential for taking 5658 5659 early action to prevent the aggravation of drying conditions. The results shown in 5660 Fig. 5.16 confirm that the KAZA region is becoming drier in the 20th century, and 5661 there is an increased risk of arid conditions as result of enhanced warming, 5662 wildfires and the rapidly growing human population in the drylands of KAZA 5663 region. Such an expansion of arid areas detected in this study is in agreement with 5664 the projection by IPCC (2007) that by 2020, most African countries are projected to be exposed to increased water stress due to climate change and this would lead 5665 5666 to reduced carbon sequestration and enhanced regional warming, resulting in increased warming trends over the drylands. In the scientific literature, there are 5667 many publications dealing with aridity changes, but as there is no study of aridity 5668 change at a regional scale across KAZA, it is difficult to make detailed comparisons. 5669 At regional scale, climate shifts can be notably different to those observed at global 5670 5671 scale. The most relevant precursor to this study aridity maps can be the global maps produced by Huang et al. (2017). Huang et al. (2017) compared aridity data 5672 over 10 years, from 1996 to 2005, to a 10 year period between 1948 to 1957. Their 5673 5674 study found that most vegetation change from dry sub-humid to semi-arid 5675 occurred in the area of the KAZA region in Southern Africa. In comparison to this study, an increase in the drying variations and changes in the aridity index were 5676 observed in the arid and semi-arid regions represented by 5.56% and 4.84% 5677 between 2002 to 2019 (this study), as compared to 1.16% and 2.32% in the arid 5678 and semi-arid regions between 1948 to 1957 observed in Huang et al. (2017). 5679

Another global study by Spinoni et al. (2015) compared AI from 1951 to 2010 using FAO AI and the KG climate classification. Their study found that the extent of arid lands increased in Africa by 1.95%, followed by Asia (0.55%) and decreased in the North and South Americas by -0.47 and -70%, respectively. Their study found that that the arid lands in Southern Africa are larger by the end of the period 1981 to 2010, as compared to the period 1951-1980, and the largest increase in arid

regions of Southern Africa were located in the KAZA region (Southern Zambia, 5686 5687 Zambezi region of Namibia and western Zimbabwe) as compared to any other part 5688 of Southern Africa. These findings more or less agree with the results presented here, with one exception: the shifts identified in this study were found to be larger 5689 5690 in dry-sub-humid and humid area, with 10.40% of the regional land area becoming arid compared to the previously published 1.95% at a continental scale. The 5691 5692 difference could be attributed to the difference in data, as this study used highresolution precipitation and PET data at a much smaller scale, while the global 5693 5694 studies used a more coarser resolution Global Precipitation Climatology Centre 5695 (GPCC) and the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) for precipitation and PET. This 5696 difference could also be due to the fact that the thesis considered data up to 2019, 5697 and the 21st century recorded the worst drought periods, notably in 2012-2013, 5698 2015-2016 and 2018-2019.

As a result of the multiple effects of consecutive droughts, many countries such as 5699 Namibia and Angola, declared a state of emergency in response to drought 3 times 5700 in a period of 6 years, with the drought of 2019 declared as the worst in the last 90 5701 5702 years (Shikangalah, 2020). In addition, projected aridification-prone areas overlap 5703 with regions at risk of severe drought, marked soil moisture depletion, and shifts 5704 in potential vegetation distributions. This suggests that, compared with globally 5705 averaged aridity changes, the KAZA region show a much drier climate than most 5706 regions in Africa, and globally. The results sows that if future precipitation 5707 extremes become more severe, this region is likely to have vegetation that is more 5708 unstable or may even to experience extreme vegetation shifts that will be hard to adapt to, as predicted by (IPCC, 2014). Therefore, being able to understand areas at 5709 5710 risk of risk of drying conditions through drought indices should give land managers information that may allow the implementation of mitigation or 5711 5712 adaptation measures, which can be fundamental in terms of dryland vegetation 5713 sustainability.

5715 5.7 Conclusion

5716 This study detected spatial and temporal patterns of climate, burnt areas and 5717 dryland vegetation across KAZA, using a combination of ground-data and remote 5718 sensing imagery to understand the ecological effects of climate and fire. The long-5719 term climate, fire, and vegetation data analysis led to the following conclusions:

5720 First, the extreme droughts of 2015 and 2019 resulted in considerable 5721 precipitation and soil water deficits. Dryland forest vegetation is to be more 5722 susceptible to changes in soil moisture trends, as opposed to changes in rainfall 5723 and drought index.

5724 Second, at decadal time scales, interannual variability in fire frequency and burnt 5725 area is likely to be driven largely by variation in rainfall, vegetation distribution 5726 and dry season length. The areas with high tree cover, high rainfall, and less severe 5727 drought season coincide with areas of high fire frequency and large burned areas, 5728 while low tree cover (e.g., succulent deserts), low rainfall and extended severe 5729 drought conditions correspond to areas with low fire frequency.

5730 Finally, the detected aridification-prone areas overlap with regions at risk of 5731 severe drought, marked soil moisture depletion, and shifts in potential vegetation 5732 distribution. The KAZA region has become drier due to aridification in the period 5733 between 2002 to 2019 as a consequence of both drought and wildfire, which 5734 critically affect agriculture, water quality, vegetation productivity, and biodiversity.

The identification of the areas with significant trends of change is extremely 5735 important in tropical dryland areas where low levels of field data are available and 5736 limited financial resources can be invested in monitoring and assessment, as is the 5737 case in much of the KAZA region. The detailed relationship between remotely 5738 5739 sensed drought/fire indicators and vegetation stress at the regional scale shown here allow us to make several suggestions to move towards a more impact-5740 5741 oriented drought and fire monitoring approach, with the potential to provide early 5742 warnings in to devise more practical measures to control aridity in vulnerable 5743 areas.

5746 5.8 Supplementary Information 3



5747 Temporal analyses: burned area

5748

Fig C 1. Total area burned annually for each country of KAZA from 2002 to 2019 in
km² based on the MODIS Burned Area product data.

5751

Chapter 5



- 5754 Fig C 2. Cumulative monthly fire frequency for all the countries from 2009 to 2019 using
- 5755 MODIS Active Fire product.
- 5756 Spatial analyses: burned area

5757 Table C 1. Estimates of the total area of burnt and unburnt areas in km² and their % from

5758 2002 to 2017 in KAZA region

Year	Burnt area(km ²)	Burnt (%)	Unburnt (km ²)	Unburnt (%)
2002	142235	27	376463	73
2003	145168	28	373530	72
2004	154911	30	363783	70
2005	1501678	29	368530	71

2006	143703	28	374995	72
2007	149365	29	369333	71
2008	165706	32	352991	68
2009	142975	28	375723	72
2010	177493	34	341205	66
2011	203849	39	314849	61
2012	184186	36	334511	64
2013	153835	30	364863	70
2014	142463	27	376234	73
2015	137259	26	381439	74
2016	127181	25	391516	75
2017	138072	27	380626	73
2018	121363	23	397335	77
2019	110173	21	408525	79

5760 Table C 2. Recorded a real fire frequencies of burnt and unburnt areas in km^2 and their %

5761 from 2002 to 2017 in KAZA region

Year	Area (km ²)	Area (%)
Unburnt	127989	25
1-2	90895	18
2-6	114222	22
6-10	87955	17

10-14	66819	13
14-17	28177	5

5763 Table C 3. KAZA shifts of AI per class from 2001-2010 to 2011-2020

Class (SbAI)	2001-2010	2001-2010	2011-2020	2011-2010	Shift	
	(km ²)	(%)	(km ²)	(%)	%	
Arid	33957	6.75	61897	12.31	5.56%	
Semi-Arid	368016	73.13	392072	77.97	4.84%	
Dry Sub-humid	82464	16.39	35369	7.03	-9.36%	
Humid	18769	3.73	13503	2.7	-1.04%	

5764 Drought

5765 Table C 4. Drought years and drought categories of SPEI at different time scales

	SPEI1		SPEI3			spei12						
Year	moderate	severe	extreme	Σ	moderate	severe	extreme	Σ	moderate	severe	extreme	Σ
1983	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1984	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	1
1985	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1986	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1987	1	-	-	1	1	2	-	3	3	1	-	4
1988	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
1989	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	1
1990	2	2	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1991	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1992	2	-	1	3	1	2	-	3	2	1	-	3
1993	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1994	1	1	-	2	2	1		3	2	1	-	3
1995	2		-	2	3	-	-	3	1	-	-	1
1996	5	-	-	5	2	-	-	2	3	-	-	3

1997	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1998	1	2	1	4	2	2	1	5	5	-	1	6
1999	1	1		2	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	2
2000	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2001	2	1		3	1	-	-	1	1		-	1
2002	2	4	1	7	2	2	1	5	4	2	1	7
2003	1	-	-	1	3	1	1	5	4	3	1	8
2004	2	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	1			1
2005	2	2	1	5	-	4	1	5	-	3	1	4
2006	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1		-	1
2008	1	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
2009	2	-	-	2		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2011	2	-	-	2	4		-	4	3	-	-	3
2012	3	-	-	3	3		-	3	2	-	-	2
2013	2	-	-	2	1		-	1	1	-	-	1
2014	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2015	5	-	-	5	5		-	5	4	-	-	4
2016	2		1	3	3	1	1	4	3	1	1	5
2017		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2018	1	1	2	4	1	3	1	5	2		2	4
2019	4	1	2	7	6	3	2	11	4	6	2	12
Σ	55	16	9	80	47	22	8	77	50	18	9	77

5767 **6 DISCUSSION**

5770 6.1 Introduction

Changes in climate, land-cover, and land-use intensification have contributed to 5771 5772 land degradation and desertification in tropical forest ecosystems (Allen et al., 2010; Brink et al., 2014; Brown et al., 2002). Extreme climate events and human-5773 5774 induced environmental changes such as deforestation can act synergistically (Le Houérou, 1996). In tropical dryland ecosystems, deforested and degraded areas 5775 5776 can affect regional climate, and the regional climate, in turn, can amplify 5777 deforestation and forest degradation (Chagnon et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2017). 5778 Climate change and anthropogenic processes appear to amplify fire occurrence 5779 and spreading, and land degradation in dryland tropical forest ecosystems (Fox et 5780 al., 2017).

5781 As a consequence forests, plant species, and biomass have experienced changes in their species range, abundances, and shifts in their seasonality, resulting in an 5782 5783 impacts on biodiversity and forest ecosystem services (Desanker et al., 2001). 5784 Severe dry forest biome shifts and land degradation as a result of climate change 5785 are predicted to be most severe in Southern Africa (IPCC, 2014; King, 2014). Already deforestation in Southern African countries is high, with about 1.4 million 5786 ha net forest loss annually (Darkoh, 2009; Lesolle, 2012). In Southern Africa, a 5787 5788 range of policy options have been advocated to reduce the continuing loss and 5789 degradation of dryland forests, including expansion of protected area networks, 5790 improving governance and better management of dryland forests (Cumming, 5791 2008; Hanks, 2003; KAZA, 2014). However, high-quality, long-term, and reliable 5792 information on dryland forests and ecosystems over large areas are needed to estimate and manage the impacts of forest changes on biodiversity, biomass 5793 5794 carbon stocks and dryland ecosystem functions accurately.

5795 There are significant advantages to forest analysis, such as remote sensing to 5796 better improve estimates of forest changes and biomass, characterise forest 5797 structures, and to understand the dynamics of tropical dryland forests in the 5798 context of climate changes (Andela et al., 2013; Donoghue, 2002; Lu, 2006).

However, such approaches that integrate forest studies and remote sensing need 5799 to be replicated and tested across different regions, geographic scales, and over 5800 5801 relevant time periods to change (decades) (Lehmann et al., 2015; Mitchard et al., 2013). Existing literature shows limitations in terms of methodological 5802 5803 inconsistency and generalisation, and constraints on the spatial and temporal scales of investigation which limits the actual effectiveness of integrating remote 5804 sensing into the tropical dryland forest assessment (Foody et al., 2001; Woodcock 5805 5806 et al., 2001).

5807 Given these challenges, this thesis set out to overcome such limitations to 5808 contribute to the ability to characterise above ground biomass, forest structural 5809 parameters, land cover change, and disturbances in the context of climate change 5810 in the dryland forests of the Kavango Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA TFCA) of Southern Africa. KAZA is a conservation area with over thirty-six 5811 5812 protected areas including national parks, game reserves, community conservancies and game management areas. It established to merge fragmented wildlife habitats 5813 5814 into an interconnected mosaic of protected areas and transboundary wildlife 5815 corridors, to enhance the free movement of animals across international boundaries and to create economic development in the region (Cumming, 2008; 5816 Stoldt et al., 2020). However, the region is experiencing large-scale shifts in 5817 vegetation cover, biomass degradation and increased vulnerability to climate 5818 5819 change, manifesting through altered disturbance regimes which hold significant 5820 implications for forest biodiversity and ecosystem function of this region. By addressing the above limitations, the thesis explored the use of novel application of 5821 5822 improved satellite remote sensing approaches and datasets including optical and SAR, and their combination, that can in principle improve estimates of forest 5823 biomass and structural parameters, disturbances, and climatic impacts at a 5824 5825 regional scale.

The research presented here was structured around three research priorities identified in a systematic review (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a). Specifically, the review identified a need to address (i) the feasibility of combining SAR, optical remote sensing data and ground measurements to estimate the forest stand parameters, (ii) vegetation dynamics, and spatially detailed patterns of change using different remote sensing proxies, (iii) characterisation of spatiotemporal Page | 281 changes in climate and fire using different climatic and vegetation time series data
at regional scale. By combining improvements across each of the three research
priorities, this thesis aims to combine ground measurements and multiple remote
sensing including climate, fire, and vegetation data to enable estimates of forest
biomass, and changes in dryland forests, across different spatial and temporal
scales.

5838 6.2 Suitability of remote sensing data

5839 6.2.1 Combining sensors

5840 Remote sensing techniques can be applied to detect changes, estimate forest structural parameters including biomass, and to monitor the extent in tropical 5841 dryland forest cover at different spatial scales, from individual trees, large blocks 5842 5843 of the unbroken canopy, to regional and pantropical or even global extents (Baccini et al., 2004). However, there are large discrepancies in the methodologies used to 5844 5845 quantify forest structural changes in tropical dryland forests, including attempts to 5846 relate forest cover and biomass to optical remote sensing measurements (Mitchell et al., 2017; Sexton et al., 2016). In the research presented in this thesis, the use of 5847 5848 the medium to coarse resolution optical data, such as NASA's MODIS sensor, 5849 demonstrate an approach to monitoring forest cover change and degradation due 5850 to clear-cutting, fire, and drought (chapter 4 & 5), but also showed that certain 5851 types of change remain difficult to detect. The quantitative assessment of the 5852 ability of sensors with different spatial resolutions, and the integration of multiple datasets from optical and SAR sensors, to improve estimates of forest biomass and 5853 structures in the dryland ecosystems are limited and have not been carried out in 5854 5855 Southern Africa (Chapter 2, David et al., 2022a). Consequently, there is an 5856 opportunity to exploit the benefits of different remote sensing in this context, 5857 alongside a need to consider the trade-offs between spectral and spatial resolution, and geographic coverage, when estimating biomass and forest structural 5858 5859 parameters in dryland forests ecosystems (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a).

5860 This thesis combined freely available Sentinel 1 (S1) SAR, Sentinel 2 (S2) and 5861 Landsat 8 (LC8) multispectral imagery to estimate biomass at regional level and 5862 the relatively fine resolution of S2 (10 m pixels) which reduced the mixed pixel Page | 282

problem observed in medium spatial resolution data (30 m pixels; e.g. LC8), and 5863 led to an increase in the precision of biomass estimation compared to using single 5864 sensors alone (Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). In this research, AGB is more 5865 accurately estimated when adding Sentinel 1 SAR and Sentinel 2 to a Random 5866 5867 Forest algorithm (instead of using multispectral or SAR on its own). For example, this research found that SAR data was better at detecting aggregations of 5868 5869 individual trees in the dryland landscape than optical data. But this research also 5870 found that SAR data alone overestimated AGB in the dryland area (Fig 3.7, Chapter 5871 3, David et al., 2022b). A similar problem of SAR overestimating AGB was noted by other studies such as Zhang et al. (2019), and this problem was overcome by fusing 5872 SAR and multispectral data in this thesis (Fig 3.7, Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). 5873 The comparison of recently published pan-tropical AGB datasets (Avitabile et al., 5874 5875 2016; Baccini et al., 2017; Bouvet et al., 2018) with the regional scale maps 5876 produced in this thesis, using a combination of optical and SAR datasets with DBH 5877 and tree height measurement of more than 4300 tree ground-validation, resolves 5878 realistic spatial patterns in estimated biomass for the study area (Fig. 3.5, chapter 5879 3, David et al., 2022b). Here, S1 SAR and S2 data were combined to show in fine 5880 detail AGB ranges, including a mix of very low biomass (due to different degrees of 5881 degradation) to intermediate biomass for certain areas with very large but scattered trees, through to higher biomass areas in high-density forests (Fig.3.7, 5882 chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). 5883

5884 Partly, this study has improved biomass estimation by investigating the 5885 capabilities and correlation of AGB with diverse spectral bands from Sentinel 2, Landsat 8, and radar backscatter polarisation from Sentinel 1 SAR data. For optical 5886 data, although NDVI and EVI remain two of the most widely used vegetation 5887 5888 indices, they were outperformed by the red edge index (NDRE1) and the green 5889 channel index (GNDVI) in estimating AGB for dryland forests (Table 3.3, Chapter 3, 5890 David et al., 2022b). NDVI is utilised in biomass mapping by different studies such as (Cunliffe et al., 2020; Gizachew et al., 2020), however this study detected 5891 5892 saturation in NDVI when the spectral values remain insensitive to increases in 5893 forest AGB value beyond 80 Mg/ha (Fig. 3.7, Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). Gitelson et al., 1996 found the green channel index to be much more sensitive to 5894 5895 the Chlorophyl concentration and enabled precise estimation of pigment concentration than the original "red" NDVI. The red edge-based indices were found
to have a better correlation with the photosynthetic activity of the tree canopy and
leaf cell structure reflection (Cho et al., 2008; Mutanga and Skidmore, 2004).

5899 There has been concern that structural variation and understory herbaceous cover 5900 reduce measurement precision when mapping from remotely sensed estimates in 5901 semi-arid savanna and dryland forests (Baccini et al., 2004; Santos et al., 2002). 5902 Combining information from optical sensors that describe photosynthetic activity 5903 (e.g., through various vegetation indices) with SAR-derived information on forest 5904 structure and biomass in winter months, brings the benefits of higher spectral 5905 resolution, and compensates for the shortcomings of using single data products 5906 alone that are commonly subject to saturation, temporal gaps, and clouds cover (chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). Comparing the performance of ML and RF 5907 regression algorithm and considering the collinearity between predictor variables 5908 5909 also improved biomass mapping and reduced uncertainty in the models. ML regression overestimated low values, and underestimated high biomass values, 5910 5911 which is also common in previous studies using ML (Fuchs et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 5912 2007). RF had a positive impact on the biomass estimation accuracy, and performed better than ML regression, reducing the RMSE for the estimation 5913 5914 models by almost 50%. Therefore, it is important to assess the ability of combining improved methods and freely available optical and SAR data with sample plot 5915 survey data/forest inventory to characterise large-area biomass distributions to 5916 5917 provide regional estimates of forest carbon stocks. Although this study has 5918 improved AGB estimation in dryland forests, there is room for improvement, for example RF regression model estimated medium and high-density forests with 5919 5920 good accuracy but showed variation in low-density forests that include 5921 understoreys and low herbaceous cover such as grassland often with relatively low 5922 canopy density. This study did not consider multitemporal seasonal time series data and texture information from images in AGB modelling which provides 5923 additional information on seasonal variations and reduce the impacts of 5924 5925 heterogeneity as suggested by studies in temperate and evergreen broad leaf 5926 forests (Sarker and Nichol, 2011; Zhu and Liu, 2015). Incorporation seasonal time series and textural information in AGB modelling in dryland forests could improve 5927 5928 biomass modelling and is a topic for future research. Despite these limitations, this

study aimed to improve the performance of the regional forest biomass model and
can provide a reference and support for future plans of relevant forestry
departments.

5932 6.2.2 Spatial scale

In sensor integration, issues of scale are critical for biomass and habitat mapping, 5933 5934 where the adequacy of spatial resolution to the problem in hand is key. Pan-5935 tropical and global maps derived from satellite imagery can show large uncertainty 5936 in the extent and distribution of tropical dryland forest recorded, and typically underestimate the extent of forest cover and biomass in dryland areas (Bastin et 5937 5938 al., 2017). This is illustrated by the substantial spatial disagreements between recent satellite-based global (Giri et al., 2005) and pantropical forest maps 5939 (Mitchard et al. (2013), and is further hindered by the relative scarcity of large-5940 5941 scale studies assessing forest cover in dryland biomes (Chapter 2; David et al., 2022a). The distribution of AGB and precision varied between this study and 5942 pantropical maps (Fig. 3.9, Chapter 3 David et al., 2022b). The observed 5943 discrepancies may have arisen due to satellite data characteristics (such as spatial 5944 resolution), unavailability of cloud-free images, availability of ground-truth 5945 5946 information, and forest definitions (such as tree cover thresholds) used in the analyses (De Sy et al., 2012). In the research presented in this thesis, comparing 5947 5948 three recent pan-tropical forest maps to estimate above ground biomass (AGB) revealed important differences: 0-30 Mg/ha using the pan-tropical AGB map (1 km 5949 5950 resolution), 0-50 Mg/ha using Landsat (30 m), 0-70 Mg/ha using ALOS PALSAR (25 m); and 0-145 Mg/ha from this study using combined optical and SAR (10 m) 5951 (Fig. 3.8, Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). This research has a high mean estimate of 5952 biomass of 51 mg/ha in comparison to Bouvet et al. (2018) using radar data, that 5953 estimated mean biomass of 26.7 Mg/ha which is 50 % less compared to this study 5954 mean biomass (Fig. 3.9, Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). Avitabile et al. (2016) only 5955 estimated the mean biomass of 5.92 Mg/ha for the study area and predicted AGB 5956 5957 values in the 0 to 30 Mg/ha range.

5958 In this research, biomass mapping at a regional scale using SAR backscatter in 5959 conjunction with the strategically positioned optical bands (red edge wavebands) 5960 improved estimation at high AGB values and allowing the identification of small-
5961 scale degradation patterns of biomass such as roads compared to either sensor 5962 alone (Fig. 3.11, chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). In addition, the AGB model from 5963 this study showed that biomass for dryland forests exceeds estimates derived from 5964 pan-tropical products which underestimate biomass and forests in dryland 5965 ecosystems of less-studied areas such as the KAZA region, which are often 5966 neglected in this type of analysis (Chapter2; David et al., 2022).

5967 However, the advent of free Landsat data combined with improving computational 5968 and data storage capabilities mean that large area Landsat land cover products are 5969 increasingly being generated. In this study, a large volume of Landsat data using 5970 high quality training data derived from the field survey was demonstrated using 5971 Google Earth Engine and Random Forest classifier (Fig. 4.13-4.14, Chapter 4). A 30 m Landsat land cover map was generated and was able to detect large scale 5972 deforestation and changes with an acceptable classification accuracy >80% 5973 5974 (Chapter 4). This study used medium spatial resolution Landsat data because land cover maps based on coarse spatial resolution imagery (nominally at 500 or 250 5975 5976 m) limits the ability for detecting changes and provide a highly generalised 5977 representation of land cover and ultimately land cover change, over large areas 5978 (Hamunyela et al., 2020; Zhu and Woodcock, 2014). Using a two point in time 5979 classification is useful to detect changes in land cover, however such bi-temporal 5980 change detection approach can have some limitation of potentially masking 5981 considerable within-year vegetation dynamic and variations (Chapter 2; David et 5982 al., 2022a). For example, this type of change estimates risks interpreting natural 5983 phenological change as actual changes in the land cover (DeVries et al., 2015). Therefore, this study has moved from a relatively static, bi-temporal view of 5984 5985 change toward a more continuous mapping of vegetation dynamics to improve the 5986 detection of disturbance's spatiotemporal patterns using change detection 5987 algorithms of BFAST and BEAST (Chapter 4). These change detections algorithms were useful in assessing small scale deforestation, degradation, and regrowth by 5988 5989 capturing vegetation changes during the year and over longer time-periods at the 5990 regional scales (Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). Such large area analyses on change 5991 detection conducted in this research can be used to adjust and update global land 5992 cover and biomass estimates. The pan-tropical and global maps are limited in their 5993 spatial resolution and temporal coverage, and most of them provide inadequate

information for policymaker regarding restoration intervention efforts that are 5994 needed for regional- or local-scale restoration projects (Abbas et al., 2020). At 5995 5996 regional-to-national scales, the adoption and application of satellite technology is highly variable across countries in the tropics. For example, many countries across 5997 5998 Southern African are faced with scarcities of technology, finances, and computer time limitations, preventing the use of conventional downloaded high-resolution 5999 6000 satellite data (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a). To overcome these limitations, the 6001 thesis utilised the recent developments in cloud computing platforms, such as 6002 Google Earth Engine (GEE), which have greatly increased access to pre-processed 6003 optical, SAR, and climatic datasets, enabling a comprehensive analysis of multiple 6004 threats including deforestation, and degradation from fire and climatic impacts on 6005 vegetation at regional scale (chapter 3, 4 & 5).

6006 6.2.3 Temporal scale

6007 To characterise vegetation and climate interactions, changes in forest cover must 6008 be quantified over different temporal scales, to capture both short term and 6009 gradual changes experienced by dryland ecosystems (chapter 2, David et al., 6010 2022a). The study has shown that the impact of degradation varies from fine-scale 6011 structural changes in canopy, to broad-scale rapid loss of biomass (chapter 3 David et al., 2022b). Several methods and techniques are proposed in the literature to 6012 6013 address land cover characterisation and forest cover change. Mapping changes through comparing images at two different times, based on discrete classification, 6014 6015 are one of the most common forms of remote sensing change detection utilised (Jensen, 1996). This is despite change detection between two dates (pre-and post-6016 6017 disturbance imagery) is generally limited to the detection of broad-scale changes 6018 (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a).

6019 Change detection is more powerful, however, when the signal is analysed over a 6020 long time period (decadal, or longer) in a continuous and consistent manner, 6021 providing an improved signal-to-noise ratio, detection of subtle/transient changes 6022 in forest cover or phenology and condition (Huang et al., 2009; Verbesselt et al., 6023 2012). Here, the ability to make precise estimates of change in dryland forest 6024 distribution was improved by combining a long high frequency time-series of 6025 MODIS data with pixel-based break detection (chapter 4). The abrupt changes (e.g.,

deforestation), gradual change (e.g., forest degradation), and other slow processes 6026 6027 (e.g., seasonal changes) in response to wildfire, disease, and climate variability 6028 were each detected effectively (chapter 4). In the research presented in this thesis, the fire estimates in the KAZA region reveal that between 2002 and 2019, about 6029 6030 390,678 km² (75%) of the landmass is classified as fire-affected for at least one time in the monitored period, leaving 127,989 km² (25%) of the area not affected 6031 6032 by fire. This showed that national parks are more affected by high fire occurrence 6033 than other protected areas (chapter 5). As shown in this thesis, the failure of 6034 vegetation to recover and browning intensification following drought years 6035 reaffirm the consistent multiple threats from severe drought, soil moisture deficit, and high fire reoccurrence on dryland vegetation responses (chapter 4 & 5). 6036 6037 Consequently, this combined approach to change assessment using long term 6038 monitoring (> decadal), as used here, allows spatiotemporal aridity information to 6039 be extracted, thereby enabling quantification of vegetation shifts and increased 6040 risks of land degradation and drying risk that cumulatively occur over many years 6041 in the dryland forest ecosystems (chapter 4 & 5). In addition to visual detection 6042 validation of historic change using high resolution data proposed by Cohen et al. (2010), this study demonstrated that the change estimates and precision from 6043 6044 BFAST can be validated and improve using a stratum-based estimate of variance that will be more precise than using simple random sampling (Stehman and 6045 6046 Czaplewski, 1998; Stehman, 2009; Potapov et al., 2014). As shown in this study 6047 (Chapter 4), the large-scale changes such as clear felling of woodland for agriculture are comparable while more subtle changes such as land degradation 6048 6049 were detected by BFAST better than interval-based per-pixel classification. Since 6050 this study used a rather small sample size (341 points), the change estimates need 6051 to be tested with training data of a larger sample size to be conclusive. In addition, the research conducted here can be improved with recently developed new 6052 6053 algorithm such as Continuous Change Detection and Classification (CCDC) that make better use of the temporal domain of Landsat data to improve both 6054 6055 continuous change detection and land cover classification at medium spatial resolution and high temporal frequency (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014). CCDC use all 6056 6057 available Landsat clear observation data to classify land cover from multiple time period. In addition to land cover classification from any time period in history, it 6058 can monitor large scale deforestation and small-scale changes such as degradation 6059

in near real time as the algorithms updates the time series model every time newobservations are available (Arévalo et al., 2020).

6062 6.2.4 Ecological relevance of mapping changes

6063 There are two parts to the problem that this research has addressed; one was to 6064 show changes within the forest ecosystems (deforestation and degradation) and 6065 the other was to characterise forest structural parameters and to estimate biomass 6066 distribution in the forest. In both situations, methodologically consistent 6067 approaches were identified as one of the important needs to improve upon current 6068 monitoring of dryland forests (Mitchell et al., 2017); (chapter 2, David et al., 6069 2022a). At the regional scale, monitoring poses a number of methodological challenges including the lack of quantitative, spatially explicit, and statistically 6070 6071 representative methods, which have previously resulted in simplistic 6072 representations (Coppin et al., 2004). Therefore, as shown in this thesis, testing different models and their suitability to characterise trends and phenological 6073 6074 patterns can reveal suitable algorithms for estimating dryland forest covers (chapter 4). Furthermore, Foody et al. (2003) and Woodcock et al. (2001) have 6075 pointed out concerns of generalising or transferring methods derived from 6076 6077 remotely sensed imagery over both space and time, based on lessons learned in far 6078 better-studied ecosystems. Generalisation also limits the interpretation of change 6079 patterns and the impacts that these changes will have on the biodiversity of forests, conservation of wildlife habitats conservation, and dryland ecological 6080 6081 function (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a).

6082 Whilst models based on remote sensing data can show promising results in 6083 different ecosystems (e.g., rain forests), it can fail to detect non-linear vegetation 6084 patterns (e.g., degraded areas) in largely climate and fire-driven ecosystems, such 6085 as drylands, as shown here (chapter 4). This observation justifies the importance 6086 of testing and utilising a range of sensors and vegetation indices for forest 6087 structure parameter and change detection estimation. The results in this thesis, 6088 reveals that spectral indices based on the red edge spectral region and green normalised vegetation index (GNDVI) have a stronger relationship skill in 6089 describing dryland forests than conventional NDVI (chapter 3 & 4). Consequently, 6090 6091 there is good reason to believe that NDVI is not an ideal indicator of stress

6092 response in dryland forests despite the widespread use of this index in studies of 6093 forest health decline. In the research presented in this thesis, indices based on fire, such as the fire frequency index, and several climatological indices, such as SPEI 6094 and the aridity index, were tested in dryland forest cover to assess vegetation 6095 6096 response to environmental change over large areas (chapter 5). This was 6097 undertaken because testing different algorithm and sensor combinations can help 6098 detect specific strengths and limitations for a dryland ecosystem, particularly where climate change and variability negatively affecting dryland vegetation and 6099 6100 biomass (chapter 3, 4 & 5).

Oliveira et al. (2021), working in Brazil, modelled biomass in tropical dryland 6101 6102 forests using linear regression, and recommended testing the ability of nonparametric machine learning algorithms over linear regression analysis in dryland 6103 6104 forests. Some image classification algorithms and traditional statistical approaches 6105 make unrealistic assumptions about the distributional properties of forests, and are unable to describe underlying fluctuating trends as these models assume 6106 6107 vegetation trends to be quasi-linear (i.e., regular, or stable seasonality) (Grogan et 6108 al., 2016). In this research, multivariate machine learning models, integrated with 6109 stepwise-regression methods, enabled better adjustment and fit to ground measurement, which was tested against more than 4300 individual trees (Chapter 6110 3, David et al., 2022b). This approach enabled both the interpretation and 6111 validation of remotely sensed forest structure and biomass estimates, providing a 6112 6113 very high R² of 0.95 and a low RMSE error of 0.25 Mg/ha (Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). 6114

Despite prior concerns raised over the need to use ground truth verification for 6115 6116 estimating biomass and changes in forest mapping (Grainger, 2008), there are few vegetation-related studies that link vegetation estimates to field measurements 6117 6118 and forest inventory data (Chapter 2, David et al., 2022a). As shown in this thesis, obtaining field data for validation of remote sensing data in dryland ecosystems of 6119 6120 protected areas, such as National parks, can be challenging because many areas are 6121 very remote and often dangerous to visit due to hazardous, and if present and in some cases unexploded landmines (chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). Consequently, 6122 6123 most detected changes in the spectral signature that occur due to an increase in woody biomass, deforestation and forest degradation in the dryland ecosystems of 6124 Page | 290

Southern Africa have not been validated (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a). The 6125 optical sensors at 250 m-1 km resolution (e.g., MODIS) used here make consistent 6126 and frequent measurements over large areas building a long time series, which 6127 helps identify locations of active forest change ('hotspots') with good precision and 6128 6129 that was validated against ground-truth data (chapter 4). However, where possible, important areas of change and in particular for key forest structural 6130 6131 parameters, such as AGB that are needed for baseline carbon stock maps, there are 6132 benefits to further ground measurement for validation and finer spatial resolution 6133 data. Maps of AGB, if sufficiently detailed, can assist conservation managers, practitioners, and policymakers to formulate specific interventions (e.g., corridor 6134 planning, tree thinning, fire control, biodiversity surveys) that are appropriate to 6135 6136 support the conservation of forest habitats and their management.

6137 6.3 Recommendation for policy and practice

Dryland forests in protected areas such as KAZA face an increasing number of 6138 6139 threats ranging from those originating from climate change and competing 6140 economic pressures, especially when they span international borders. Learning 6141 from this research and past experience on dryland forests in KAZA (Cumming, 6142 2008; WWF, 2016), there are often conflicting views related to the amount of biomass and changes in forest cover in dryland ecosystems. These differences are 6143 however not confined to science only, but also between the understanding of 6144 dryland monitoring programmes and policies (Appendix A: N8 AgriFood policy 6145 6146 brief). These challenges present also an opportunity for a mutual benefit; with more freely accessible data, such as that explored in this thesis, scientists and 6147 policy makers may now refine their focus to share knowledge on the management 6148 6149 of forestry, and the interface with land uses, including wildlife management and ecosystem function (Sexton et al., 2016). Based on the findings of this research, 6150 6151 along with the challenges and lessons learnt throughout, there are three recommendations that can be made for policy and practice, which can 6152 6153 subsequently be used in decision making of the KAZA region, and beyond, in 6154 Southern Africa more widely.

First, a large part of the knowledge base for dryland forest landscapes in Southern 6155 Africa is derived from research generated outside of Africa (chapter 2, David et al., 6156 6157 2022a), and so there is an opportunity to change academic narratives by working in partnership with local organisations to foreground local research and 6158 6159 knowledge. Given the growing technical capacity for monitoring, reporting and verification, there is a need to shift the focus to producing and sharing transparent 6160 6161 research maps with resource managers. Technology platforms such as the cloud-6162 based image-analysis pipeline using freely available remote sensing imagery, as 6163 used here, is an opportunity to overcome the limitations previously enforced by 6164 data scarcity, volumes and costs, and can enhance substantially the collective 6165 knowledge of dryland forest environments (chapter 3, 4 &5). Sharing of research 6166 outputs and often captivating satellite imagery with the news media to inform 6167 citizens and to create awareness about the extent and location of deforestation 6168 hotspots is a potentially important component of the KAZA monitoring programme. If such information can influence local practitioners and public 6169 6170 opinion, it can exert pressure on policymakers in democratic societies to 6171 strengthen enforcement and to tighten regulations around forest management and 6172 protection. Improved monitoring of forest cover itself is unlikely to produce any 6173 change in behaviour unless it is linked to research, forest management and practice, and all key stakeholders in these regions (Olsson et al., 2019). 6174

6175 Second, the process of monitoring dryland forests could be enhanced through the 6176 greater involvement of stakeholders in the modelling process itself. Building on the existing regional networks in the KAZA region, workshops could be facilitated 6177 between academic scientists, decision makers and practitioners to identify current 6178 gaps in knowledge, data requirements and training needs. Most studies in KAZA 6179 6180 region on drought, fire and vegetation analyses are done at local level (e.g., within 6181 a single community) and others cover only a part of the KAZA region (Mpakairi et 6182 al., 2019; Pricope et al., 2012), making it impossible to compare to a regional 6183 perspective. Similar research studies on tropical dryland forest change analyses at 6184 large(r) scales (chapter 3, 4 & 5) are needed, ideally retaining fine spatial 6185 resolutions and a longer temporal duration. A significant proportion of studies in Southern Africa have been undertaken in Kruger National Park, leaving many other 6186 6187 national parks and protected areas in KAZA relatively understudied. Furthermore,

future efforts to estimate changes in important variables such as forest cover and 6188 6189 biomass, need not be restricted by country boundaries but can extend across the 6190 less well studied private and international protected areas (chapter 2, David et al., 2022a). Such workshops would allow stakeholders and other users to have an 6191 6192 opportunity to present their work, examine the research outputs in their area of interest with reference to existing or predicted scenarios of future change. 6193 6194 Consequently, such structures can harness a wealth of existing research and expertise and help to provide a support network to stimulate high quality 6195 6196 published outputs from scientists, and to facilitate input from local experts and 6197 practitioners (Appendix A: N8 AgriFood policy brief).

6198 Lastly, the KAZA region concept recognises that borders are political rather than 6199 ecological and aims to ensure that key ecological processes continue to function 6200 where borders have previously divided ecosystems and/or wildlife migration 6201 corridors. Based on my own engagement with stakeholders such as WWF Namibia 6202 and the KAZA secretariat, Botswana, there is a willingness to work together and 6203 support research, across KAZA region to ensure such information will continue to 6204 support future conservation efforts and economic development in countries such 6205 as Angola, Botswana, Namibia, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. Such interdisciplinary 6206 knowledge and evidence-based policy, generated through partnership and data 6207 sharing, is urgently needed. In this region, climate change will cause large-scale 6208 shifts in vegetation cover and biomass degradation resulting in increases in the 6209 vulnerability of ecosystems across large areas of dryland forest in Southern Africa, which represents risks faced by all stakeholders. 6210

6211 6.4 Future work

The work presented in this thesis offers a platform to improve the understanding of biomass, disturbance patterns, and climate change relationships in dryland forest ecosystems. The thesis considered the factors that cause changes in forest, biodiversity, and ecological function. Numerous spectral indices have been developed to assess vegetation cover and growth dynamics, which provide useful insights for applications in forestry, biodiversity conservation, agriculture, and other related fields. However, most of these indices are derived from a limited selection of species and are typically developed in often quite different regions and
ecosystems. The research presented in this thesis tested optimum spectral indices
from multispectral data in dryland forests that improve the ability to effectively
estimate forest stand characteristics (chapter 3, David et al., 2022b), identify shifts
in vegetation dynamics and the timing of key phenological events (chapter 4), and
helps us to assess forest health and vulnerability to different stressors, including
fire and climate change (chapter 5).

6226 One potential future avenue for research is different sensors. For example, 6227 airborne imaging spectroscopy can provide up to 2000 contiguous narrow-band spectral information across the solar spectrum, often at fine spatial resolution 6228 6229 (Morley et al., 2020). Asner et al. (2016) used airborne imaging spectroscopy and 6230 satellite data trained on spectroscopy data to estimate water lost from California's 6231 forest ecosystems over the drought years between 2011 and 2015. To detect a 6232 decline in forest cover and shifts in the timing of phenological events requires spectral indices that are sufficiently sensitive to chlorophyll content, and in 6233 6234 particular to capture the response of trees to a stress event. Therefore, further research could explore the potential to relate dryland forest cover to hyperspectral 6235 data, to identify more sensitive spectral bands corresponding to different 6236 vegetation species, and to identify the most important wavelength regions for 6237 predicting drought and fire-sensitive species. 6238

Optical sensors have recently been presented as a viable alternative for estimating 6239 6240 biomass and carbon stock in tropical forests, due to their global coverage, frequency of capture, and cost-effectiveness (Kumar et al., 2015). Furthering the 6241 research presented in this thesis, the primary challenge of MODIS data, despite its 6242 6243 high temporal resolution, is the large spatial resolution of between 250 m and 500 m. The temporal resolution of Landsat (16-days, and now 8 days with the recent 6244 6245 launch of Landsat 9), which is often occluded by cloud cover can be a major obstacle, despite the relatively fine spatial resolution of 30 m. The integration of 6246 6247 MODIS with Landsat to combine fine spatial and temporal resolutions could therefore be used in future to improve the mapping of forests patterns of changes 6248 and disturbances. 6249

On the other hand, there is a need to incorporate satellite imagery with a fine 6250 spatial resolution information for estimating biomass and carbon stock. For 6251 6252 example, the thesis has shown that Sentinel-2 data show a better ability to improve the estimation of above ground biomass and forest structure in tropical dryland 6253 6254 forests as compared to Landsat-8 (Chapter 3, David et al., 2022b). Despite improvements in the spatial precision of optical data, such as Sentinel-2, improved 6255 6256 characterisation of forest structure may not be possible using multispectral imagery alone due to the spectral similarities between structural classes. 6257 6258 Furthering the research presented in this thesis by improving the characterisation 6259 of forest structure using a fusion of data such as that from airborne light detection 6260 and ranging (LiDAR), collected from airborne platforms, SAR, and/or other forms 6261 of optical data, could further advance the understanding of the detailed structural 6262 information and accurate vertical distribution of canopy in tropical dryland forests. 6263 Li et al. (2017) highlighted that metrics derived from a LiDAR point cloud led to improved biomass estimates at nearly all resolutions in comparison to raster-6264 6265 derived metrics in the drylands of the US. Despite these benefits, LiDAR data are 6266 not widely available in many dryland ecosystems, particularly in developing 6267 countries, and the acquisition of new data sets can be prohibitively expensive. 6268 However, new satellites such as the Global Ecosystems Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) LiDAR and the Multi-footprint Observation Lidar and Imager (MOLI) 6269 6270 promise space-borne imaging with laser altimetry, which can contribute to the development biomass, forest distribution, and its relationship with climate in 6271 6272 tropical dryland forests (Coyle et al., 2015; Kimura et al., 2017). MOLI includes 6273 LIDAR to measure canopy height, vegetation phenology, vegetation indices, and an 6274 optical imager to measure the position of the canopy for improving biomass estimation (Sakaizawa et al., 2018). GEDI estimates mean aboveground biomass 6275 density at 1 km grid and provides metrics of tree height and canopy cover at a 6276 footprint of 25 m (Dubayah et al., 2020), and can be used in fusion with other 6277 existing radar data such as Sentinel-1, ALOS PALSAR, along with other optical data 6278 6279 sets such as from Landsat and Sentinel-2. The successful unification of forested vegetation monitoring data with detailed information on three-dimensional (3-D) 6280 6281 structure would represent a significant improvement in the capacity of ecologists 6282 and decision makers to estimate the impacts of forest cover change on biodiversity, wildlife habitat, and forest management approaches more widely, andshould be a core focus of future research.

6285

6286 6.5 Conclusion

In this thesis, the close integration of field data, Sentinel-1 SAR, Landsat-8 and 6287 Sentinel-2, regional climate and MODIS time-series data, has enabled a more 6288 precise estimation of biomass and forest stand structural parameters, which has 6289 6290 enabled the quantification of changes in vegetation patterns. The long-term 6291 changes and trends identified enabled the characterisation of various influences, 6292 from climate, fire and animals to be assessed in terms of their impact on forest 6293 biodiversity and dryland ecosystem function. The KAZA region has the highest 6294 population of elephants in Africa, which have a destructive influence on forest 6295 diversity and density, forest structure, and the wider landscape. The increasing 6296 human population, occurrence of wildfires, and changing climate variability, set in 6297 a wider context of limited levels of development, are aggravating forest and 6298 vegetation decline. Such declines risk the loss of dryland tree species, wildlife, and 6299 pose a significant threat to dryland biodiversity. Ongoing monitoring of changes 6300 within dryland forest ecosystems integrating open-access Earth observation data 6301 alongside improved methods of analysis is vital in the context of future climate 6302 change, and the expected impacts of this on dryland forest areas. The key findings 6303 of the research are therefore summarised as follow: The thesis has demonstrated that using a combination of radar backscatter in conjunction with strategically 6304 6305 selected multispectral optical imagery at fine resolution (10 m pixels) significantly improved above ground biomass and forest stand structural parameter 6306 estimations, and reduced saturation effects in areas of high biomass, across large 6307 6308 areas with mixed forest stands compared to using single sensors alone. This part of the thesis highlighted the importance of considering spatial scale when mapping 6309 6310 forest characteristics that are relevant to management of biodiversity and wildlife in dryland forests, which can help improve the wider understanding of these 6311 6312 habitats. The study demonstrated that long-term monthly time-series analysis in 6313 combination with change detection models (Breaks for Additive Seasonal and

Trend (BFAST) and the Bayesian analysis (BEAST)) can identify abrupt and 6314 gradual changes associated with fire, drought and seasonality driven by climate 6315 changes and clear-cutting. Critically, the results emphasised the importance of 6316 considering the sensitivity of the chosen vegetation indices, and the need to adopt 6317 6318 advanced change detection methods, such as BEAST algorithm, that can fully 6319 characterise the complex non-linear dynamics of dryland forest ecosystems. This research has demonstrated that an analysis of long-continuous time series data 6320 describing drought, water stress and fire impacts across large spatial scales can 6321 6322 reveal regional trends in vegetation change, drying patterns, and the expansion of 6323 drylands (arid and semi-arid). These findings highlighted the importance of a precise and timely assessment of the intensity and geography of impacts of 6324 6325 droughts within and across conservation areas, both at present and into the future. 6326 This approach therefore creates a valuable evidence base for understanding the 6327 multiple and interacting impacts on forest biodiversity, wildlife and ecosystem function at a regional-scale, which has hitherto not been possible, and which is 6328 essential for more effective management of these critical ecosystems. 6329

6330

References

- Abbas, S., Wong, M.S., Wu, J., Shahzad, N. and Muhammad Irteza, S., 2020. Approaches of Satellite Remote Sensing for the Assessment of Above-Ground Biomass across Tropical Forests: Pan-tropical to National Scales. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(20), p.3351.
- Adam, E., Mutanga, O., Abdel-Rahman, E.M. and Ismail, R., 2014. Estimating standing biomass in papyrus (Cyperus papyrus L.) swamp: exploratory of in situ hyperspectral indices and random forest regression. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 35(2), 693-714.
- Adelabu, S., Mutanga, O., Adam, E.E. and Cho, M.A., 2013. Exploiting machine learning algorithms for tree species classification in a semiarid woodland using RapidEye image. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 7(1), p.073480.
- Adjorlolo, C. and Mutanga, O., 2013. Integrating remote sensing and geostatistics to estimate woody vegetation in an African savanna. *Journal of Spatial Science*, *58*(2), pp.305-322.
- Agbaje, G.I., 2010. Nigeria in space–an impetus for rapid mapping of the country for sustainable development planning. A report prepared for FIG Congress 2010 Facing the Challenges Building the Capacity Sydney, Australia. https://www.fig.net/resources/proceedings/fig_proceedings/fig2010/papers/ts03h/ts0 3h agbaje 4621.pdf/(accessed 20 January 2021).
- Aggarwal, S., 2004. Principles of remote sensing. Satellite remote sensing and GIS applications in agricultural meteorology, 23(2), pp.23-28.
- Aguilar, M.A., Saldaña, M.M. and Aguilar, F.J., 2013. GeoEye-1 and WorldView-2 pan-sharpened imagery for object-based classification in urban environments. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *34*(7), pp.2583-2606.
- Ahlström, A., Raupach, M. R., Schurgers, G., Smith, B., Arneth, A., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Canadell, J.G., P. Friedlingstein, P., Jain, A.K., Kato, E., Poulter, B., Sitch, S., Stocker, B.D., Viovy, Y.P., Wang, A., Wiltshire, A., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., 2015. The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of the land CO2 sink. *Science*, 348(6237), 895-899.
- Aide, T.M., Clark, M.L., Grau, H.R., López-Carr, D., Levy, M.A., Redo, D., Bonilla-Moheno, M., Riner, G., Andrade-Núñez, M.J. and Muñiz, M. (2013). Deforestation and Reforestation of L atin A merica and the C aribbean (2001–2010). *Biotropica*, 45(2), pp.262-271.
- Akinyemi, F.O. and Kgomo, M.O., 2019. Vegetation dynamics in African drylands: an assessment based on the Vegetation Degradation Index in an agro-pastoral region of Botswana. *Regional Environmental Change*, *19*(7), pp.2027-2039.
- Alcañiz, I. and Gutierrez, R.A. (2020). Between the global commodity boom and subnational state capacities: payment for environmental services to fight deforestation in Argentina. *Global Environmental Politics*, 20(1), pp.38-59.
- Aleman, J.C., Jarzyna, M.A. and Staver, A.C. (2018). Forest extent and deforestation in tropical Africa since 1900. *Nature ecology & evolution*, *2*(*1*), pp.26-33.
- Ali, I., Greifeneder, F., Stamenkovic, J., Neumann, M. and Notarnicola, C. (2015). Review of machine learning approaches for biomass and soil moisture retrievals from remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing*, 7(12), pp.16398-16421.

- Alleaume, S., Hely, C., Le Roux, J., Korontzi, S., Swap, R.J., Shugart, H.H. and Justice, C.O., 2005. Using MODIS to evaluate heterogeneity of biomass burning in southern African savannahs: a case study in Etosha. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26(19), pp.4219-4237.
- Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.T. and Gonzalez, P., 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest* ecology and management, 259(4), pp.660-684.
- Alvarez-Añorve, M.Y., Quesada, M., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Avila-Cabadilla, L.D. and Gamon, J.A. (2012). Functional regeneration and spectral reflectance of trees during succession in a highly diverse tropical dry forest ecosystem. *American journal of botany*, *99(5)*, pp.816-826.
- Andela, N., Liu, Y.Y., Van Dijk, A.I.J.M., De Jeu, R.A.M. and McVicar, T.R., 2013. Global changes in dryland vegetation dynamics (1988–2008) assessed by satellite remote sensing: comparing a new passive microwave vegetation density record with reflective greenness data. *Biogeosciences*, 10(10), pp.6657-6676.
- Anderegg, W.R., Plavcová, L., Anderegg, L.D., Hacke, U.G., Berry, J.A. and Field, C.B., 2013. Drought's legacy: multiyear hydraulic deterioration underlies widespread aspen forest die-off and portends increased future risk. *Global change biology*, *19*(4), pp.1188-1196.
- Andreae, M., Andreae, T.W., Annegarn, H., Beer, J., Cachier, H., Le Canut, P., Elbert, W., Maenhaut, W., Salma, I., Wienhold, F.G. and Zenker, T., 1998. Airborne studies of aerosol emissions from savanna fires in southern Africa: 2. Aerosol chemical composition. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 103(D24), pp.32119-32128.
- Anyamba, A. and Tucker, C.J., 2005. Analysis of Sahelian vegetation dynamics using NOAA-AVHRR NDVI data from 1981–2003. *Journal of arid environments*, 63(3), pp.596-614.
- Anyamba, A., Tucker, C.J. and Mahoney, R., 2002. From El Niño to La Niña: Vegetation response patterns over east and southern Africa during the 1997–2000 period. *Journal of climate*, *15*(21), pp.3096-3103.
- Aragão, L.E.O., Malhi, Y., Roman-Cuesta, R.M., Saatchi, S., Anderson, L.O. and Shimabukuro, Y.E., 2007. Spatial patterns and fire response of recent Amazonian droughts. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(7).
- Archibald, S. and Scholes, R.J., 2007. Leaf green-up in a semi-arid African savanna-separating tree and grass responses to environmental cues. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *18*(4), pp.583-594.
- Archibald, S., Lehmann, C.E., Belcher, C.M., Bond, W.J., Bradstock, R.A., Daniau, A.L., Dexter, K.G., Forrestel, E.J., Greve, M., He, T. and Higgins, S.I., 2018. Biological and geophysical feedbacks with fire in the Earth system. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(3), p.033003.
- Archibald, S., Roy, D.P., van Wilgen, B.W. and Scholes, R.J., 2009. What limits fire? An examination of drivers of burnt area in Southern Africa. *Global Change Biology*, *15*(3), pp.613-630.
- Archibald, S., Scholes, R.J., Roy, D.P., Roberts, G. and Boschetti, L., 2010. Southern African fire regimes as revealed by remote sensing. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, 19(7), pp.861-878.
- Arévalo, P., Olofsson, P. and Woodcock, C.E., 2020. Continuous monitoring of land change activities and post-disturbance dynamics from Landsat time series: A test methodology for REDD+ reporting. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 238*, p.111051.

- Arino, O., Leroy, M., Ranera, F., Gross, D., Bicheron, P., Nino, F., Brockman, C., Defourny, P., Vancutsem, C., Achard, F. and Durieux, L., 2007, April. Globcover-a global land cover service with MERIS. In *Proceedings of the ENVISAT Symposium* (pp. 23-27).
- Armston, J.D., Denham, R.J., Danaher, T.J., Scarth, P.F. and Moffiet, T.N., 2009. Prediction and validation of foliage projective cover from Landsat-5 TM and Landsat-7 ETM+ imagery. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, *3*(1), p.033540.
- Asanzi, P., Putzel, L., Gumbo, D. and Mupeta, M., 2014. Rural livelihoods and the Chinese timber trade in Zambia's Western Province. *International Forestry Review*, *16*(4), pp.447-458.
- Asner, G. P., Hughes, R. F., Varga, T. A., Knapp, D. E., Kennedy-Bowdoin, T., 2009. Environmental and biotic controls over aboveground biomass throughout a tropical rain forest. Ecosystems, 12(2), 261-278.
- Asner, G.P., Brodrick, P.G., Anderson, C.B., Vaughn, N., Knapp, D.E. and Martin, R.E., 2016. Progressive forest canopy water loss during the 2012–2015 California drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(2), pp.E249-E255.
- Asner, G.P., Hughes, R.F., Varga, T.A., Knapp, D.E. and Kennedy-Bowdoin, T., 2009. Environmental and biotic controls over aboveground biomass throughout a tropical rain forest. *Ecosystems*, *12*(2), pp.261-278.

Assessment & Ecosystems Protection) Regulations, 2007. <u>http://www.fao.org/faolex/results/details/en/c/LEX-FAOC181644/</u> (accessed 21 July 2019).

- Avitabile, V., Herold, M., Heuvelink, G.B.M., Lewis, S.L., Phillips, O.L., Asner, G.P., Armston, J., Ashton, P.S., Banin, L., Bayol, N., Berry, N.J., Boeckx, P., de Jong, B.H.J., DeVries, B., Girardin, C.A.J., Kearsley, E., Lindsell, J.A., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Lucas, R., Malhi, Y., Morel, A., Mitchard, E.T.A., Nagy, L., Qie, L., Quinones, M.J., Ryan, C.M., Ferry, S.J.W., Sunderland, T., Laurin, G.V., Gatti, R.C., Valentini, R., Verbeeck, H., Wijaya, A., Willcock, S., 2016. An integrated pan-tropical biomass map using multiple reference datasets. *Global Change Biology*, 22(4), 1406-1420.
- Avitabile, V., Baccini, A., Friedl, M.A. and Schmullius, C., 2012. Capabilities and limitations of Landsat and land cover data for aboveground woody biomass estimation of Uganda. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *117*, pp.366-380.
- Baccini, A., Friedl, M. A., Woodcock, C. E., Warbington, R., 2004. Forest biomass estimation over regional scales using multisource data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(10).
- Baccini, A., Goetz, S. J., Walker, W. S., Laporte, N. T., Sun, M., Sulla-Menashe, D., Hackler, J., Beck, P. S. A., Dubayah, R., Friedl, M. A., Samanta, S., Houghton, R. A., 2012. Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(3), 182-185.
- Baccini, A., Walker, W., Carvalho, L., Farina, M., Sulla-Menashe, D. and Houghton, R.A., 2017. Tropical forests are a net carbon source based on aboveground measurements of gain and loss. *Science*, *358*(6360), pp.230-234.
- Baker, T. R., Phillips, O. L., Malhi, Y., Almeida, S., Arroyo, L., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T.J., Laurance, S. G., Laurance, W.F., Lewis, S.L., Monteagudo, A., Neill, D.A., Nunez Vargas, P., Pitman, N.C.A., Silva, J.N.M., Vasquez Martinez, R., 2004. Increasing biomass in Amazonian forest plots. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: *Biological Sciences*, 359(1443), 353-365.

- Balint, P.J. and Mashinya, J., 2006. The decline of a model community-based conservation project: Governance, capacity, and devolution in Mahenye, Zimbabwe. *Geoforum*, *37(5)*, pp.805-815.
- Balzter, H., 2001. Forest mapping and monitoring with interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR). *Progress in physical geography*, *25*(2), pp.159-177.
- Barbosa, J.M., Broadbent, E.N. and Bitencourt, M.D., 2014. Remote sensing of aboveground biomass in tropical secondary forests: A review. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2014.
- Barros, C., Thuiller, W. and Münkemüller, T., 2018. Drought effects on the stability of forestgrassland ecotones under gradual climate change. *PloS one*, *13*(10), p.e0206138.
- Bartholome, E. and Belward, A.S., 2005. GLC2000: a new approach to global land cover mapping from Earth observation data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 26(9), 1959-1977.
- Bastin, J.F., Mollicone, D., Grainger, A., Sparrow, B., Picard, N., Lowe, A. and Castro, R., 2017. Response to Comment on "The extent of forest in dryland biomes". *Science*, *358*(6362).
- Bastin, J.F., Rutishauser, E., Kellner, J.R., Saatchi, S., Pélissier, R., Herault, B., Slik, F., Bogaert, J., De Cannière, C., Marshall, A.R. and Poulsen, J., 2018. Pan-tropical prediction of forest structure from the largest trees. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *27*(11), pp.1366-1383
- Basuki, T.M., Skidmore, A.K., Hussin, Y.A. and Van Duren, I., 2013. Estimating tropical forest biomass more accurately by integrating ALOS PALSAR and Landsat-7 ETM+ data. *International journal of remote sensing*, *34*(13), pp.4871-4888.
- Becker, F. and Choudhury, B.J. (1988). Relative sensitivity of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and microwave polarization difference index (MPDI) for vegetation and desertification monitoring. *Remote sensing of environment*, *24*(*2*), pp.297-311.
- Belgiu, M. and Drăguț, L., 2016. Random forest in remote sensing: A review of applications and future directions. ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing, 114, 24-31.
- Ben-Shahar, R, 1996. Do elephants over-utilize mopane woodlands in northern Botswana? *Journal* of *Tropical Ecology*, 12(4), 505-515.
- Berger, C., Werner, S., Wigley-Coetsee, C., Smit, I. and Schmullius, C., 2019, July. Multi-temporal sentinel-1 data for wall-to-wall herbaceous biomass mapping in Kruger National Park, South Africa—first results. In *IGARSS 2019-2019 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium* (pp. 7358-7360). IEEE.
- Berninger, A., Lohberger, S., Zhang, D., & Siegert, F., 2019. Canopy height and above-ground biomass retrieval in tropical forests using multi-pass X-and C-band Pol-InSAR data. Remote Sensing, 11(18), 2105.
- Biggs, R., Simons, H., Bakkenes, M., Scholes, R.J., Eickhout, B., van Vuuren, D. and Alkemade, R., 2008. Scenarios of biodiversity loss in southern Africa in the 21st century. *Global Environmental Change*, 18(2), pp.296-309.
- Blackburn, G.A., 2007. Hyperspectral remote sensing of plant pigments. *Journal of experimental botany*, *58*(4), pp.855-867.
- Blackie, R., Baldauf, C., Gautier, D., Gumbo, D., Kassa, H., Parthasarathy, N., Paumgarten, F., Sola, P., Pulla, S., Waeber, P. and Sunderland, T., 2014. *Tropical dry forests: The state of global knowledge and recommendations for future research* (Vol. 2). Cifor.

- Bollig, M. and Vehrs, H.P., 2021. The making of a conservation landscape: the emergence of a conservationist environmental infrastructure along the Kwando River in Namibia's Zambezi region. Africa, 91(2), pp.270-295.
- Bodart, C., Brink, A.B., Donnay, F., Lupi, A., Mayaux, P. and Achard, F., 2013. Continental estimates of forest cover and forest cover changes in the dry ecosystems of Africa between 1990 and 2000. *Journal of biogeography*, *40*(6), pp.1036-1047.
- Boggs, G.S., 2010. Assessment of SPOT 5 and QuickBird remotely sensed imagery for mapping tree cover in savannas. *International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation*, *12*(4), pp.217-224.
- Boisvenue, C. and White, J.C., 2019. Information needs of next-generation forest carbon models: opportunities for remote sensing science. Remote Sensing, 11(4), 463.
- Bojinski, S., Verstraete, M., Peterson, T. C., Richter, C., Simmons, A., Zemp, M., 2014. The concept of essential climate variables in support of climate research, applications, and policy. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 95(9), 1431-1443.
- Bollig, M. and Vehrs, H.P., 2021. The making of a conservation landscape: the emergence of a conservationist environmental infrastructure along the Kwando River in Namibia's Zambezi region. *Africa*, *91*(2), pp.270-295.
- Bond, W.J. and Keeley, J.E., 2005. Fire as a global 'herbivore': the ecology and evolution of flammable ecosystems. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, *20*(7), pp.387-394.
- Bouvet, A., Mermoz, S., Le Toan, T., Villard, L., Mathieu, R., Naidoo, L. and Asner, G.P., 2018. An above-ground biomass map of African savannahs and woodlands at 25 m resolution derived from ALOS PALSAR. *Remote sensing of environment, 206*, pp.156-173.
- Boyd, D.S. and Danson, F.M., 2005. Satellite remote sensing of forest resources: three decades of research development. *Progress in Physical Geography*, *29*(1), pp.1-26.
- Bozdogan, H, 1987. Model selection and Akaike's information criterion (AIC): The general theory and its analytical extensions. *Psychometrika*, 52(3), 345-370.
- Božić, M., Čavlović, J., Lukić, N., Teslak, K., Kos, D., 2005. Efficiency of ultrasonic Vertex III hypsometer compared to the most commonly used hypsometers in Croatian forestry. Croatian Journal of Forest Engineering: *Journal for Theory and Application of Forestry Engineering*, 26(2), 91-99.
- Brando, P.M., Paolucci, L., Ummenhofer, C.C., Ordway, E.M., Hartmann, H., Cattau, M.E., Rattis, L., Medjibe, V., Coe, M.T. and Balch, J., 2019. Droughts, wildfires, and forest carbon cycling: A pantropical synthesis. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *47*, pp.555-581.
- Brandt, M., Hiernaux, P., Rasmussen, K., Mbow, C., Kergoat, L., Tagesson, T., Ibrahim, Y.Z., Wélé, A., Tucker, C.J. and Fensholt, R. (2016). Assessing woody vegetation trends in Sahelian drylands using MODIS based seasonal metrics. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 183*, pp.215-225.
- Brandt, M., Rasmussen, K., Hiernaux, P., Herrmann, S., Tucker, C.J., Tong, X., Tian, F., Mertz, O., Kergoat, L., Mbow, C. and David, J.L. (2018a). Reduction of tree cover in West African woodlands and promotion in semi-arid farmlands. *Nature Geoscience*, *11(5)*, pp.328-333
- Brandt, M., Wigneron, J.P., Chave, J., Tagesson, T., Penuelas, J., Ciais, P., Rasmussen, K., Tian, F., Mbow, C., Al-Yaari, A. and Rodriguez-Fernandez, N., 2018b. Satellite passive microwaves

reveal recent climate-induced carbon losses in African drylands. Nature ecology & evolution, 2(5), pp.827-835.

- Breiman, L. (2001), Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5-32.
- Brink, A.B. and Eva, H.D., 2009. Monitoring 25 years of land cover change dynamics in Africa: A sample based remote sensing approach. *Applied geography*, *29*(4), pp.501-512.
- Brink, A.B., Bodart, C., Brodsky, L., Defourney, P., Ernst, C., Donney, F., Lupi, A. and Tuckova, K., 2014. Anthropogenic pressure in East Africa—Monitoring 20 years of land cover changes by means of medium resolution satellite data. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 28, pp.60-69.
- Broge, N.H. and Leblanc, E., 2001. Comparing prediction power and stability of broadband and hyperspectral vegetation indices for estimation of green leaf area index and canopy chlorophyll density. *Remote sensing of environment*, 76(2), pp.156-172.
- Brown, S., Lugo, A. E., 1982. The storage and production of organic matter in tropical forests and their role in the global carbon cycle. Biotropica, 161-187.
- Brown, S., Lugo, A. E., 1982. The storage and production of organic matter in tropical forests and their role in the global carbon cycle. *Biotropica*, 161-187.
- Brown, S., Swingland, I.R., Hanbury-Tenison, R., Prance, G.T. and Myers, N., 2002. Changes in the use and management of forests for abating carbon emissions: issues and challenges under the Kyoto Protocol. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 360*(1797), pp.1593-1605.
- Bucini, G., Hanan, N.P., Boone, R.B., Smit, I.P., Saatchi, S.S., Lefsky, M.A. and Asner, G.P., 2010. Woody fractional cover in Kruger National Park, South Africa: remote sensing-based maps and ecological insights. In Ecosystem function in savannas: measurement and modeling at landscape to global scales (pp. 219-237). *CRC Press*.
- Bucini, G., Saatchi, S., Hanan, N., Boone, R.B. and Smit, I., 2009, July. Woody cover and heterogeneity in the savannas of the Kruger National Park, South Africa. In *2009 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium* (Vol. 4, pp. IV-334). IEEE.
- Buhne, H. S. T., Pettorelli, N., 2018. Better together: Integrating and fusing multispectral and radar satellite imagery to inform biodiversity monitoring, ecological research and conservation science. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(4), pp. 849-865.
- Bullock, E.L., Woodcock, C.E., Souza Jr, C. and Olofsson, P., 2020. Satellite-based estimates reveal widespread forest degradation in the Amazon. *Global Change Biology*, 26(5), pp.2956-2969.
- Bullock, S.H., Mooney, H.A. and Medina, E. eds., 1995. *Seasonally dry tropical forests*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bunting, E.L., Southworth, J., Herrero, H., Ryan, S.J. and Waylen, P., 2018. Understanding Long-Term Savanna Vegetation Persistence across Three Drainage Basins in Southern Africa. *Remote Sensing*, 10(7), p.1013.
- Bunyavejchewin, S., Baker, P.J. and Davies, S.J., 2011. Seasonally dry tropical forests in continental Southeast Asia: structure, compositon and dynamics. In *The ecology and conservation of seasonally dry forests in Asia* (pp. 9-35). Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press.

- Burkett, V.R., Wilcox, D.A., Stottlemyer, R., Barrow, W., Fagre, D., Baron, J., Price, J., Nielsen, J.L., Allen, C.D., Peterson, D.L. and Ruggerone, G., 2005. Nonlinear dynamics in ecosystem response to climatic change: case studies and policy implications. *Ecological complexity*, 2(4), pp.357-394
- Cabral, A.I.R., Vasconcelos, M.J., Oom, D. and Sardinha, R. (2011). Spatial dynamics and quantification of deforestation in the central-plateau woodlands of Angola (1990–2009). *Applied Geography*, *31*(*3*), pp.1185-1193.
- Cáceres, A., Melo, M., Barlow, J., Cardoso, P., Maiato, F. and Mills, M.S., 2015. Threatened birds of the Angolan Central Escarpment: distribution and response to habitat change at Kumbira Forest. *Oryx*, *49*(4), pp.727-734.
- Campo-Bescós, M.A., Muñoz-Carpena, R., Southworth, J., Zhu, L., Waylen, P.R. and Bunting, E., 2013. Combined spatial and temporal effects of environmental controls on long-term monthly NDVI in the southern Africa Savanna. *Remote Sensing*, *5*(12), pp.6513-6538.
- Cánovas-García, F., Alonso-Sarría, F., Gomariz-Castillo, F. and Oñate-Valdivieso, F., 2017. Modification of the random forest algorithm to avoid statistical dependence problems when classifying remote sensing imagery. *Computers & Geosciences*, *103*, pp.1-11.
- Carlotto, M.J., 2009. Effect of errors in ground truth on classification accuracy. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *30*(18), pp.4831-4849.
- Carreiras, J., Melo, J.B. and Vasconcelos, M.J., 2013. Estimating the above-ground biomass in miombo savanna woodlands (Mozambique, East Africa) using L-band synthetic aperture radar data. *Remote Sensing*, *5*(4), pp.1524-1548.
- Carter, G.A., 1993. Responses of leaf spectral reflectance to plant stress. *American journal of botany*, 80(3), pp.239-243.
- Case, M.F., Wigley-Coetsee, C., Nzima, N., Scogings, P.F. and Staver, A.C., 2019. Severe drought limits trees in a semi-arid savanna. *Ecology*, *100*(11), p.e02842.
- Castillo, A., Magaña, A., Pujadas, A., Martínez, L. and Godínez, C. (2005). Understanding the interaction of rural people with ecosystems: a case study in a tropical dry forest of Mexico. *Ecosystems*, *8*(*6*), pp.630-643.
- Castro, K.L., Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A. and Rivard, B., 2003. Monitoring secondary tropical forests using space-borne data: implications for Central America. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *24*(9), pp.1853-1894.
- Castro, S.M., Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A. and Sato, H. 2018. Effect of drought on productivity in a Costa Rican tropical dry forest. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13(4)*, p.045001.
- Catarino, S., Romeiras, M.M., Figueira, R., Aubard, V., Silva, J. and Pereira, J., 2020. Spatial and temporal trends of burnt area in Angola: implications for natural vegetation and protected area management. *Diversity*, *12*(8), p.307.
- Caylor, K.K., Shugart, H.H. and Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., 2005. Tree canopy effects on simulated water stress in southern African savannas. *Ecosystems*, 8(1), pp.17-32.
- Chadwick, R., Good, P., Martin, G. and Rowell, D.P., 2016. Large rainfall changes consistently projected over substantial areas of tropical land. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(2), pp.177-181.

- Chagnon, F.J.F., Bras, R.L. and Wang, J., 2004. Climatic shift in patterns of shallow clouds over the Amazon. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(24).
- Chagumaira, C., Rurinda, J., Nezomba, H., Mtambanengwe, F. and Mapfumo, P., 2016. Use patterns of natural resources supporting livelihoods of smallholder communities and implications for climate change adaptation in Zimbabwe. Environment, development and sustainability, 18(1), pp.237-255.
- Chambers, J.Q., Asner, G.P., Morton, D.C., Anderson, L.O., Saatchi, S.S., Espírito-Santo, F.D., Palace, M. and Souza Jr, C., 2007. Regional ecosystem structure and function: ecological insights from remote sensing of tropical forests. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *22*(8), pp.414-423.
- Chapungu, L., Nhamo, L., Gatti, R.C. and Chitakira, M., 2020. Quantifying changes in plant species diversity in a savanna ecosystem through observed and remotely sensed data. *Sustainability*, *12*(6), p.2345.
- Charles-Dominique, T., Staver, A.C., Midgley, G.F. and Bond, W.J., 2015. Functional differentiation of biomes in an African savanna/forest mosaic. *South African Journal of Botany*, *101*, pp.82-90.
- Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M.A., Chambers, J.Q., Eamus, D., Fölster, H., Fromard, F., Higuchi, N., Kira, T. and Lescure, J.P., 2005. Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. *Oecologia*, *145*(1), pp.87-99.
- Chazdon, R.L., Brancalion, P.H., Laestadius, L., Bennett-Curry, A., Buckingham, K., Kumar, C., Moll-Rocek, J., Vieira, I.C.G. and Wilson, S.J., 2016. When is a forest a forest? Forest concepts and definitions in the era of forest and landscape restoration. Ambio, 45(5), pp.538-550.
- Chazdon, R.L., Broadbent, E.N., Rozendaal, D.M., Bongers, F., Zambrano, A.M.A., Aide, T.M., Balvanera, P., Becknell, J.M., Boukili, V., Brancalion, P.H. and Craven, D. (2016). Carbon sequestration potential of second-growth forest regeneration in the Latin American tropics. *Science Advances*, *2*(*5*), p.e1501639.
- Chen, M., Xie, P., Janowiak, J.E. and Arkin, P.A., 2002. Global land precipitation: A 50-yr monthly analysis based on gauge observations. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, *3*(3), pp.249-266.
- Chidumayo, E.N. 2013. Forest degradation and recovery in a miombo woodland landscape in Zambia: 22 years of observations on permanent sample plots. *Forest Ecology and Management, 291*, pp.154-161.
- Chidumayo, E.N. and Gumbo, D.J. eds., 2010. *The dry forests and woodlands of Africa: managing for products and services*. Earthscan.
- Chidumayo, E.N., 1997. Annual and spatial variation in herbaceous biomass production in a Zambian dry miombo woodland. *South African Journal of Botany*, *63*(2), pp.74-81.
- Chidumayo, E.N., 2001. Climate and phenology of savanna vegetation in southern Africa. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, pp.347-354.
- Chidumayo, E.N., 2013. Forest degradation and recovery in a miombo woodland landscape in Zambia: 22 years of observations on permanent sample plots. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 291, pp.154-161.
- Chikoore, H. and Jury, M.R., 2021. South African drought, deconstructed. Weather and Climate Extremes, 33, 100334.

- Child, B.A., Musengezi, J., Parent, G.D. and Child, G.F. (2012). The economics and institutional economics of wildlife on private land in Africa. *Pastoralism: Research, Policy and Practice,* 2(1), pp.1-32.
- Chinamatira, L., Mtetwa, S. and Nyamadzawo, G., 2016. Causes of wildland fires, associated socioeconomic impacts and challenges with policing, in chakari resettlement area, kadoma, zimbabwe. *Fire Science Reviews*, *5*(1), pp.1-11.
- Chiteculo, V., Abdollahnejad, A., Panagiotidis, D., Surový, P. and Sharma, R.P., 2019. Defining deforestation patterns using satellite images from 2000 and 2017: Assessment of forest management in miombo forests—A case study of Huambo Province in Angola. *Sustainability*, *11*(1), p.98.
- Cho, M.A., Debba, P., Mutanga, O., Dudeni-Tlhone, N., Magadla, T. and Khuluse, S.A., 2012. Potential utility of the spectral red-edge region of SumbandilaSat imagery for assessing indigenous forest structure and health. *International journal of applied earth observation and Geoinformation*, *16*, pp.85-93.
- Cho, M.A., Malahlela, O. and Ramoelo, A., 2015. Assessing the utility WorldView-2 imagery for tree species mapping in South African subtropical humid forest and the conservation implications: Dukuduku forest patch as case study. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *38*, pp.349-357.
- Cho, M.A., Ramoelo, A., Debba, P., Mutanga, O., Mathieu, R., Van Deventer, H. and Ndlovu, N., 2013. Assessing the effects of subtropical forest fragmentation on leaf nitrogen distribution using remote sensing data. *Landscape ecology*, *28*(8), pp.1479-1491.
- Cho, M.A., Skidmore, A., Corsi, F., Van Wieren, S.E. and Sobhan, I., 2007. Estimation of green grass/herb biomass from airborne hyperspectral imagery using spectral indices and partial least squares regression. International journal of applied Earth observation and geoinformation, 9(4), pp.414-424.
- Cho, M.A., Skidmore, A.K. and Atzberger, C., 2008. Towards red-edge positions less sensitive to canopy biophysical parameters for leaf chlorophyll estimation using properties optique spectrales des feuilles (PROSPECT) and scattering by arbitrarily inclined leaves (SAILH) simulated data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *29*(8), pp.2241-2255.
- Chongo, D., Nagasawa, R., Ahmed, A.O.C. and Perveen, M.F., 2007. Fire monitoring in savanna ecosystems using MODIS data: a case study of Kruger National Park, South Africa. *Landscape and Ecological Engineering*, *3*(1), pp.79-88.
- Clark, S., Bolt, K. and Campbell, A., 2008. Protected areas: an effective tool to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries. *UNEP-WCMC*, Cambridge, UK.
- Claverie, M., Vermote, E.F., Franch, B. and Masek, J.G., 2015. Evaluation of the Landsat-5 TM and Landsat-7 ETM+ surface reflectance products. Remote Sensing of Environment, 169, pp.390-403.
- Coetzer-Hanack, K.L., Witkowski, E.T.F. and Erasmus, B.F., 2016. Thresholds of change in a multiuse conservation landscape of South Africa: historical land-cover, future transformation and consequences for environmental decision-making. *Environmental Conservation*, 43(3), pp.253-262.

- Cohen, W.B., Yang, Z. and Kennedy, R., 2010. Detecting trends in forest disturbance and recovery using yearly Landsat time series: 2. TimeSync—Tools for calibration and validation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(12), pp.2911-2924.
- Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. (2013). Guidelines for systematic review and evidence synthesis in environmental management. *Environmental Evidence*.
- Congalton, R.G. (1988). A comparison of sampling schemes used in generating error matrices for assessing the accuracy of maps generated from remotely sensed data. Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing.
- Congalton, R.G. and Green, K., 2019. Assessing the accuracy of remotely sensed data: principles and practices. *CRC press*.
- Congalton, R.G., 2018. Landsat's enduring legacy: pioneering global land observations from space. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, *84*(1), pp.9-10
- Congalton, R.G., Oderwald, R.G. and Mead, R.A., 1983. Assessing Landsat classification accuracy using discrete multivariate analysis statistical techniques. *Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing*, *49*(12), pp.1671-1678.
- Cook, C., Reason, C.J. and Hewitson, B.C., 2004. Wet and dry spells within particularly wet and dry summers in the South African summer rainfall region. *Climate Research*, *26*(1), pp.17-31.
- Coppin, P., Jonckheere, I., Nackaerts, K., Muys, B. and Lambin, E., 2004. Review ArticleDigital change detection methods in ecosystem monitoring: a review. *International journal of remote sensing*, *25*(9), pp.1565-1596.
- Coyle, D.B., Stysley, P.R., Poulios, D., Clarke, G.B. and Kay, R.B., 2015, September. Laser transmitter development for NASA's Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) lidar. In *Lidar Remote Sensing for Environmental Monitoring XV* (Vol. 9612, p. 961208). International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- Cui, Q., Shi, J., Du, J., Zhao, T. and Xiong, C. (2015). An approach for monitoring global vegetation based on multiangular observations from SMOS. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 8(2), pp.604-616.
- Cumming, D. H. M., 2008. Large scale conservation planning and priorities for the Kavango-Zambezi transfrontier conservation area. A report prepared for Conservation International. <u>http://www.wcsahead.org/kaza/kaza tfca large scale planning final 7nov08 logo.pdf/</u> (accessed 24 May 2021).
- Cumming, D.H.M., 1981. The management of elephant and other large mammals in Zimbabwe. In "Problems in Management of Locally Abundant Wild Mammals" (P. Jewel1 and S. Holt, Eds.) pp. 91-118.
- Cunliffe, A.M., Assmann, J.J., Daskalova, G.N., Kerby, J.T. and Myers-Smith, I.H., 2020. Aboveground biomass corresponds strongly with drone-derived canopy height but weakly with greenness (NDVI) in a shrub tundra landscape. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(12), p.125004.
- Curran, P., 1980. Multispectral remote sensing of vegetation amount. *Progress in physical geography*, 4(3), pp.315-341.

- Curry, T.R. (2020). Mexico's Tropical Dry Forests. In Shobert, A.L. and Maguire, R.O. Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Science.
- Cutler, M.E.J., Boyd, D.S., Foody, G.M. and Vetrivel, A., 2012. Estimating tropical forest biomass with a combination of SAR image texture and Landsat TM data: An assessment of predictions between regions. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *70*, pp.66-77.
- Dai, A., 2013. Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature climate change*, *3*(1), pp.52-58.
- Dale, V.H., Joyce, L.A., McNulty, S., Neilson, R.P., Ayres, M.P., Flannigan, M.D., Hanson, P.J., Irland, L.C., Lugo, A.E., Peterson, C.J. and Simberloff, D., 2001. Climate change and forest disturbances: climate change can affect forests by altering the frequency, intensity, duration, and timing of fire, drought, introduced species, insect and pathogen outbreaks, hurricanes, windstorms, ice storms, or landslides. *BioScience*, *51*(9), pp.723-734.
- Darkoh, M.B.K., 2009. An overview of environmental issues in Southern Africa. *African Journal of Ecology*, *47*, pp.93-98.
- Daskin, J.H., Stalmans, M. and Pringle, R.M., 2016. Ecological legacies of civil war: 35-year increase in savanna tree cover following wholesale large-mammal declines. *Journal of Ecology*, 104(1), pp.79-89.
- David, R.M., Rosser, N.J. and Donoghue, D.N., 2022b. Improving above ground biomass estimates of Southern Africa dryland forests by combining Sentinel-1 SAR and Sentinel-2 multispectral imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *282*, p.113232.
- David, R.M., Rosser, N.J. and Donoghue, D.N., 2022a. Remote sensing for monitoring tropical dryland forests: a review of current research, knowledge gaps and future directions for Southern Africa. Environmental Research Communications, 4(4), 042001.
- Davies, D.K., Ilavajhala, S., Wong, M.M. and Justice, C.O., 2008. Fire information for resource management system: archiving and distributing MODIS active fire data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *47*(1), pp.72-79.
- Davis, C.L., Hoffman, M.T. and Roberts, W., 2017. Long-term trends in vegetation phenology and productivity over Namaqualand using the GIMMS AVHRR NDVI3g data from 1982 to 2011. *South African Journal of Botany*, *111*, pp.76-85.
- Dawson, T.P., Cutler, M.E.J. and Brown, C., 2016. The role of remote sensing in the development of SMART indicators for ecosystem services assessment. *Biodiversity*, *17*(4), pp.136-148.
- Day, M., Baldauf, C., Rutishauser, E., Sunderland, T. C., 2014. Relationships between tree species diversity and above-ground biomass in Central African rainforests: implications for REDD. *Environmental Conservation*, 41(1), 64-72.
- de Araujo Barbosa, C.C., Atkinson, P.M. and Dearing, J.A., 2015. Remote sensing of ecosystem services: A systematic review. *Ecological Indicators*, *52*, pp.430-443.
- De Jong, R., Verbesselt, J., Zeileis, A. and Schaepman, M.E., 2013. Shifts in global vegetation activity trends. *Remote Sensing*, *5*(3), pp.1117-1133.
- De Marzo, T., Pflugmacher, D., Baumann, M., Lambin, E.F., Gasparri, I. and Kuemmerle, T., 2021. Characterizing forest disturbances across the Argentine Dry Chaco based on Landsat time series. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 98, p.102310.

- de Oliveira, W.N., Miziara, F. and Ferreira, N.C., 2019. Mapeamento do Uso e Cobertura do Solo de Moçambique Utilizando a Plataforma Google Earth Engine. *Anuário do Instituto de Geociências*, 42(1), pp.336-345.
- De Sy, V., Herold, M., Achard, F., Asner, G.P., Held, A., Kellndorfer, J. and Verbesselt, J., 2012. Synergies of multiple remote sensing data sources for REDD+ monitoring. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6), pp.696-706.
- Desanker, P.V. and Justice, C.O., 2001. Africa and global climate change: critical issues and suggestions for further research and integrated assessment modeling. *Climate Research*, *17*(2), pp.93-103.
- DeVries, B., Decuyper, M., Verbesselt, J., Zeileis, A., Herold, M. and Joseph, S., 2015. Tracking disturbance-regrowth dynamics in tropical forests using structural change detection and Landsat time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 169, pp.320-334.
- DeVries, B., Verbesselt, J., Kooistra, L. and Herold, M., 2015. Robust monitoring of small-scale forest disturbances in a tropical montane forest using Landsat time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *161*, pp.107-121.
- Dewees, P.A. (1994). Social and economic aspects of miombo woodland management in southern Africa: options and opportunities for research. *CIFOR Occasional paper, (2).*
- Dexter, K.G., Smart, B., Baldauf, C., Baker, T.R., Balinga, M.P., Brienen, R.J.W., Fauset, S., Feldpausch, T.R., Silva, L., Muledi, J.I. and Lewis, S.L., 2015. Floristics and biogeography of vegetation in seasonally dry tropical regions. *International Forestry Review*, 17(2), pp.10-32.
- Diffenbaugh, N.S., Singh, D., Mankin, J.S., Horton, D.E., Swain, D.L., Touma, D., Charland, A., Liu, Y., Haugen, M., Tsiang, M. and Rajaratnam, B., 2017. Quantifying the influence of global warming on unprecedented extreme climate events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(19), pp.4881-4886.
- Djoudi, H., Vergles, E., Blackie, R.R., Koame, C.K. and Gautier, D., 2015. Dry forests, livelihoods and poverty alleviation: understanding current trends. *International Forestry Review*, *17*(2), pp.54-69.
- Dlamini, W.M., 2017. Mapping forest and woodland loss in Swaziland: 1990–2015. *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, *5*, pp.45-53.
- do Espírito-Santo, M.M., Rocha, A.M., Leite, M.E., Silva, J.O., da Silva, L.P. and Sanchez-Azofeifa, G.A. (2020). Biophysical and socioeconomic factors associated to deforestation and forest recovery in Brazilian tropical dry forests. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, *3*, p.141.
- Dogru, A.O., Goksel, C., David, R.M., Tolunay, D., Sözen, S. and Orhon, D., 2020. Detrimental environmental impact of large scale land use through deforestation and deterioration of carbon balance in Istanbul Northern Forest Area. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, *79*, pp.1-13.
- Dong, J., Kaufmann, R.K., Myneni, R.B., Tucker, C.J., Kauppi, P.E., Liski, J., Buermann, W., Alexeyev, V. and Hughes, M.K., 2003. Remote sensing estimates of boreal and temperate forest woody biomass: carbon pools, sources, and sinks. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 84(3), pp.393-410.
- Donoghue, D. N., 2002. Remote sensing: environmental change. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 26(1), pp.144-151.

- Donoghue, D.N., 2000. Remote sensing: sensors and applications. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 24(3), pp.407-414.
- Dubayah, R., Blair, J.B., Goetz, S., Fatoyinbo, L., Hansen, M., Healey, S., Hofton, M., Hurtt, G., Kellner, J., Luthcke, S. and Armston, J., 2020. The Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation: High-resolution laser ranging of the Earth's forests and topography. *Science of remote sensing*, *1*, p.100002.
- Dube, O. P, 2013. Challenges of wildland fire management in Botswana: Towards a community inclusive fire management approach. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 1, 26-41.
- Dube, T., Gara, T.W., Mutanga, O., Sibanda, M., Shoko, C., Murwira, A., Masocha, M., Ndaimani, H. and Hatendi, C.M., 2018. Estimating forest standing biomass in savanna woodlands as an indicator of forest productivity using the new generation WorldView-2 sensor. *Geocarto International*, *33*(2), pp.178-188.
- Dube, T., Mutanga, O., Adam, E. and Ismail, R., 2014. Intra-and-inter species biomass prediction in a plantation forest: testing the utility of high spatial resolution spaceborne multispectral rapideye sensor and advanced machine learning algorithms. *Sensors*, *14*(8), pp.15348-15370.
- Ducheyne, E., Mweempwa, C., De Pus, C., Vernieuwe, H., De Deken, R., Hendrickx, G. and Van den Bossche, P., 2009. The impact of habitat fragmentation on tsetse abundance on the plateau of eastern Zambia. *Preventive veterinary medicine*, *91*(1), pp.11-18.
- Dupuis, C., Lejeune, P., Michez, A. and Fayolle, A., 2020. How can remote sensing help monitor tropical moist forest degradation?—a systematic review. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(7), p.1087.
- Durant, S.M., Pettorelli, N., Bashir, S., Woodroffe, R., Wacher, T., De Ornellas, P., Ransom, C., Abáigar, T., Abdelgadir, M., El Alqamy, H. and Beddiaf, M., 2012. Forgotten biodiversity in desert ecosystems. *Science*, *336*(6087), pp.1379-1380.
- Durden, S.L., Van Zyl, J.J. and Zebker, H.A., 1989. Modeling and observation of the radar polarization signature of forested areas. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *27*(3), pp.290-301.
- Dutrieux, L.P., Verbesselt, J., Kooistra, L. and Herold, M., 2015. Monitoring forest cover loss using multiple data streams, a case study of a tropical dry forest in Bolivia. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 107, pp.112-125.
- Eitel, J.U., Vierling, L.A., Litvak, M.E., Long, D.S., Schulthess, U., Ager, A.A., Krofcheck, D.J. and Stoscheck, L., 2011. Broadband, red-edge information from satellites improves early stress detection in a New Mexico conifer woodland. Remote Sensing of Environment, 115(12), 3640-3646.
- Ellis, J.E. and Swift, D.M., 1988. Stability of African pastoral ecosystems: alternate paradigms and implications for development. *Rangeland Ecology & Management/Journal of Range Management Archives*, *41*(6), pp.450-459.
- EMA., 2007. Chapter 20:27 Environment Management Act (Environmental Impact
- Engelbrecht, B.M., Comita, L.S., Condit, R., Kursar, T.A., Tyree, M.T., Turner, B.L. and Hubbell, S.P., 2007. Drought sensitivity shapes species distribution patterns in tropical forests. *Nature*, 447(7140), pp.80-82.
- Eriksen, C., 2007. Why do they burn the 'bush'? Fire, rural livelihoods, and conservation in Zambia. *Geographical Journal*, *173*(3), pp.242-256.

- Erkkilä, A. and Löfman, S., 1999. Forest cover change in the Ohangwena region, northern Namibia: A case study based on multitemporal Landsat images and aerial photography. *Southern African Forestry Journal*, 184(1), pp.25-32.
- ESA, 2014. Global land cover map for the epoch 2005. Available at: http://www.esa-landcovercci.org/ (accessed 9 July 2015)
- ESA, 2020. Level-1. <u>https://earth.esa.int/web/sentinel/user-guides/sentinel-1-sar/product-types-processing-levels/level-1/</u> (accessed 19 November 2020).
- ESA. 2013. SENTINEL-2 User Handbook. https://sentinel.esa.int/documents/247904/685211/Sentinel-2 User Handbook/ (accessed 19 March 2021).
- Estes, L., Elsen, P.R., Treuer, T., Ahmed, L., Caylor, K., Chang, J., Choi, J.J. and Ellis, E.C., 2018. The spatial and temporal domains of modern ecology. *Nature ecology & evolution*, *2*(5), pp.819-826.
- Eva, H.D., Brink, A. and Simonetti, D., 2006. Monitoring land cover dynamics in sub-Saharan Africa. *Institute for Environmental and Sustainability, Tech. Rep. EUR, 22498*.
- Fajardo, L., Gonzalez, V., Nassar, J.M., Lacabana, P., Portillo Q, C.A., Carrasquel, F. and Rodriguez, J.P. (2005). Tropical Dry Forests of Venezuela: Characterization and Current Conservation Status 1. *Biotropica: The Journal of Biology and Conservation*, 37(4), pp.531-546.
- Falkowski, M.J., Smith, A.M., Gessler, P.E., Hudak, A.T., Vierling, L.A. and Evans, J.S., 2008. The influence of conifer forest canopy cover on the accuracy of two individual tree measurement algorithms using lidar data. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, *34*(sup2), pp. S338-S350
- FAO, 2011 FAO Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010. Rome, Italy (2011)
- FAO. (1999). Tropical forest management techniques: a review of the sustainability of forest management practices in tropical countries, from <u>https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/10c5f896-03f8-536d-b253-aebb36dd2cef/</u>, accessed 24 March 2021)
- AO. 2000. FRA 2000: On Definitions of Forest and Forest Change. Forest Resources Assessment.
- FAO., 2001. FRA 2000: Global Ecological Zoning for the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000, Final Report, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Forestry Department, Rome. <u>http://www.fao.org/3/ad652e/ad652e00.htm/</u> (accessed 19 March 2021).
- FAO., 2009. Human-wildlife conflict in Africa: causes, consequences and management strategies: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- FAO., 2010. Assessing forest degradation-towards the development of globally applicable guidelines. Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper, 177.
- Fassnacht, F.E., Hartig, F., Latifi, H., Berger, C., Hernández, J., Corvalán, P. and Koch, B., 2014. Importance of sample size, data type and prediction method for remote sensing-based estimations of aboveground forest biomass. Remote Sensing of Environment, 154, 102-114.

- Feng, S. and Fu, Q., 2013. Expansion of global drylands under a warming climate. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(19), pp.10081-10094.
- Fensham, R.J. and Fairfax, R.J. 2002. Aerial photography for assessing vegetation change: a review of applications and the relevance of findings for Australian vegetation history. *Australian Journal of Botany*, *50*(*4*), pp.415-429.
- Fensholt, R., Sandholt, I. and Rasmussen, M.S., 2004. Evaluation of MODIS LAI, fAPAR and the relation between fAPAR and NDVI in a semi-arid environment using in situ measurements. *Remote sensing of Environment*, *91*(3-4), pp.490-507.
- Fidzani, N, 2014. The Management of Forest Reserves in Botswana, in Rietbergen-McCracken, J., Abaza, H. (Eds.), Economic Instruments for Environmental Management: A Worldwide Compendium of Case Studies. *Routledge*, pp. 39-46.
- Field, C.B., Randerson, J.T. and Malmström, C.M., 1995. Global net primary production: combining ecology and remote sensing. Remote sensing of Environment, 51(1), pp.74-88.
- Foley, J.A., Coe, M.T., Scheffer, M. and Wang, G., 2003. Regime shifts in the Sahara and Sahel: interactions between ecological and climatic systems in Northern Africa. *Ecosystems*, 6(6), pp.524-532.
- Foody, G.M., 2003. Remote sensing of tropical forest environments: towards the monitoring of environmental resources for sustainable development. *International journal of remote sensing*, *24*(20), pp.4035-4046.
- Foody, G.M., Boyd, D.S. and Cutler, M.E., 2003. Predictive relations of tropical forest biomass from Landsat TM data and their transferability between regions. *Remote sensing of environment*, *85*(4), pp.463-474.
- Foody, G.M., Cutler, M.E., McMorrow, J., Pelz, D., Tangki, H., Boyd, D.S. and Douglas, I.A.N., 2001. Mapping the biomass of Bornean tropical rain forest from remotely sensed data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *10*(4), pp.379-387.
- Forkuor, G., Dimobe, K., Serme, I. and Tondoh, J.E., 2018. Landsat-8 vs. Sentinel-2: examining the added value of sentinel-2's red-edge bands to land-use and land-cover mapping in Burkina Faso. GIScience & remote sensing, 55(3), 331-354.
- Forkuor, G., Zoungrana, J.-B. B., Dimobe, K., Ouattara, B., Vadrevu, K. P., Tondoh, J. E., 2020. Aboveground biomass mapping in West African dryland forest using Sentinel-1 and 2 datasets-A case study. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 236, 111496.
- Fox, J., 2015. Applied regression analysis and generalized linear models. Sage Publications.
- Fox, J.T., Vandewalle, M.E. and Alexander, K.A., 2017. Land cover change in northern botswana: the influence of climate, fire, and elephants on semi-arid dryland woodlands. *Land*, 6(4), p.73.
- Frankie, G.W., Mata, A. and Vinson, S.B. eds. (2004). Biodiversity conservation in Costa Rica: Learning the lessons in a seasonal dry forest. *Univ of California Press.*
- Frost17, P.G., 1999. Fire in southern African woodlands: origins, impacts, effects and control. *Carbon*, *8*(7,000), p.181.

- Fuchs, H., Magdon, P., Kleinn, C. and Flessa, H., 2009. Estimating aboveground carbon in a catchment of the Siberian forest tundra: Combining satellite imagery and field inventory. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113(3), pp.518-531.
- Fullman, T. J, 2009. Spatial dynamics of elephant impacts on trees in Chobe National Park, Botswana. MS Thesis. University of Florida, Gainesville (USA).
- Fullman, T. J., Child, B., 2013. Water distribution at local and landscape scales affects tree utilization by elephants in C hobe N ational P ark, B otswana. African Journal of Ecology, 51(2), 235-243.
- Fullman, T.J. and Bunting, E.L., 2014. Analyzing vegetation change in an elephant-impacted landscape using the moving standard deviation index. *Land*, *3*(1), pp.74-104.
- Fung, T. and LeDrew, E., 1988. For change detection using various accuracy. *Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing*, *54*(10), pp.1449-1454.
- Funk, C., Peterson, P., Landsfeld, M., Pedreros, D., Verdin, J., Shukla, S., Husak, G., Rowland, J., Harrison, L., Hoell, A. and Michaelsen, J., 2015. The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations—a new environmental record for monitoring extremes. *Scientific data*, 2(1), pp.1-21.
- Gallego, F.J., 2000. Double sampling for area estimation and map accuracy assessment. Quantifying Spatial Uncertainty in Natural Resources: Theory and Applications from GIS and Remote Sensing, pp.65-77.
- Galiatsatos, N., Donoghue, D.N., Watt, P., Bholanath, P., Pickering, J., Hansen, M.C. and Mahmood, A.R., 2020. An assessment of global forest change datasets for national forest monitoring and reporting. *Remote Sensing*, 12(11), p.1790.
- Gao, Y., Solórzano, J.V., Quevedo, A. and Loya-Carrillo, J.O., 2021. How BFAST Trend and Seasonal Model Components Affect Disturbance Detection in Tropical Dry Forest and Temperate Forest. *Remote Sensing*, 13(11), p.2033.
- Gara, T.W., Murwira, A. and Ndaimani, H., 2016. Predicting forest carbon stocks from high resolution satellite data in dry forests of Zimbabwe: exploring the effect of the red-edge band in forest carbon stocks estimation. *Geocarto international*, *31*(2), pp.176-192.
- Gara, T.W., Murwira, A., Dube, T., Sibanda, M., Rwasoka, D.T., Ndaimani, H., Chivhenge, E. and Hatendi, C.M., 2017. Estimating forest carbon stocks in tropical dry forests of Zimbabwe: exploring the performance of high and medium spatial-resolution multispectral sensors. *Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science*, *79*(1), pp.31-40.
- Gasparri, N.I. and Grau, H.R., 2009. Deforestation and fragmentation of Chaco dry forest in NW Argentina (1972–2007). *Forest ecology and Management, 258(6)*, pp.913-921.
- Gasparri, N.I., Parmuchi, M.G., Bono, J., Karszenbaum, H. and Montenegro, C.L., 2010. Assessing multi-temporal Landsat 7 ETM+ images for estimating above-ground biomass in subtropical dry forests of Argentina. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 74(10), pp.1262-1270.
- Geiß, C., Pelizari, P.A., Schrade, H., Brenning, A. and Taubenböck, H., 2017. On the effect of spatially non-disjoint training and test samples on estimated model generalization capabilities in supervised classification with spatial features. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 14(11), pp.2008-2012.
- Geist, H.J. and Lambin, E.F., 2004. Dynamic causal patterns of desertification. *Bioscience*, 54(9), pp.817-829.

- Geist, H.J., 2002. Causes and Pathways of Land Change in Southern Africa during the Past 300 Years: Moving from Simplifications to Generality and Complexity (Ursachen-und Verlaufsanalyse von terrestrischem Wandel im südlichen Afrika (1700–1990). Generalisierung und Komplexität statt Vereinfachung). *Erdkunde*, pp.144-156.
- Gessner, U., Machwitz, M., Conrad, C. and Dech, S., 2013. Estimating the fractional cover of growth forms and bare surface in savannas. A multi-resolution approach based on regression tree ensembles. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *129*, pp.90-102.
- GFOI, 2016. Integration of remote-sensing and ground-based observations for estimation of emissions and removals of greenhouse gases in forests: Methods and Guidance from the Global Forest Observations Initiative (GFOI), Edition 2.0. UN Food and Agriculture Organization.
- Gibbs, H.K., Brown, S., Niles, J.O. and Foley, J.A., 2007. Monitoring and estimating tropical forest carbon stocks: making REDD a reality. *Environmental research letters*, *2*(4), p.045023.
- Gibson, L.A., Jarmain, C., Su, Z. and Eckardt, F.E., 2013. Estimating evapotranspiration using remote sensing and the Surface Energy Balance System–A South African perspective. *Water Sa*, *39*(4), pp.477-484.
- Giglio, L., Boschetti, L., Roy, D., Hoffmann, A.A., Humber, M. and Hall, J.V., 2016. Collection 6 modis burned area product user's guide version 1.0. *NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC: Sioux Falls, SD, USA*.
- Giglio, L., Boschetti, L., Roy, D.P., Humber, M.L. and Justice, C.O., 2018. The Collection 6 MODIS burned area mapping algorithm and product. *Remote sensing of environment*, *217*, pp.72-85.
- Giglio, L., Loboda, T., Roy, D.P., Quayle, B. and Justice, C.O., 2009. An active-fire based burned area mapping algorithm for the MODIS sensor. *Remote sensing of environment*, *113*(2), pp.408-420.
- Gill, A.M., 1975. Fire and the Australian flora: a review. Australian forestry, 38(1), pp.4-25.
- Gillespie, T.W., Grijalva, A. and Farris, C.N., 2000. Diversity, composition, and structure of tropical dry forests in Central America. *Plant ecology*, *147(1)*, pp.37-47.
- Giri, C., Zhu, Z. and Reed, B., 2005. A comparative analysis of the Global Land Cover 2000 and MODIS land cover data sets. *Remote sensing of environment*, 94(1), pp.123-132.
- Gitelson, A.A., Kaufman, Y.J. and Merzlyak, M.N., 1996. Use of a green channel in remote sensing of global vegetation from EOS-MODIS. *Remote sensing of Environment*, *58*(3), pp.289-298.
- Gizachew, B., Astrup, R., Vedeld, P., Zahabu, E.M. and Duguma, L.A., 2017, May. REDD+ in A frica: c ontexts and challenges. In *Natural Resources Forum* (Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 92-104). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Gizachew, B., Solberg, S., Næsset, E., Gobakken, T., Bollandsås, O.M., Breidenbach, J., Zahabu, E. and Mauya, E.W., 2016. Mapping and estimating the total living biomass and carbon in lowbiomass woodlands using Landsat 8 CDR data. *Carbon balance and management*, *11*(1), pp.1-14.
- Glick, H.B., Bettigole, C., Maynard, D.S., Covey, K.R., Smith, J.R. and Crowther, T.W., 2016. Spatiallyexplicit models of global tree density. Scientific data, 3(1), pp.1-11.

- Gnauck, A., 2004. Interpolation and approximation of water quality time series and process identification. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 380(3), pp.484-492.
- GOFC-GOLD., 2014. A sourcebook of methods and procedures for monitoring and reporting anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and removals associated with deforestation, gains and losses of carbon stocks in forests remaining forests, and forestation (No. COP20-1). GOFC-GOLD. Land Cover Project Office, Wageningen University, The Netherlands).
- Göksel, Ç., David, R.M. and Dogru, A.O., 2018. Environmental monitoring of spatio-temporal changes in northern Istanbul using remote sensing and GIS. *International Journal of Environment and Geoinformatics*, 5(1), pp.94-103.
- González-M, R., Posada, J.M., Carmona, C.P., Garzón, F., Salinas, V., Idárraga-Piedrahita, Á., Pizano, C., Avella, A., López-Camacho, R., Norden, N. and Nieto, J. (2021). Diverging functional strategies but high sensitivity to an extreme drought in tropical dry forests. *Ecology Letters*, *24*(*3*), pp.451-463.
- Google. 2020, Google Earth Engine. <u>https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/sentinel1/</u> (accessed 26 November 2020).
- Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D. and Moore, R., 2017. Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 202, pp.18-27.
- Grainger, A., 1999. Constraints on modelling the deforestation and degradation of tropical open woodlands. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 8(3-4), pp.179-190.
- Grainger, A., 2008. Difficulties in tracking the long-term global trend in tropical forest area. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(2), pp.818-823.
- Grogan, K., Pflugmacher, D., Hostert, P., Verbesselt, J. and Fensholt, R., 2016. Mapping clearances in tropical dry forests using breakpoints, trend, and seasonal components from MODIS time series: does forest type matter?. *Remote Sensing*, *8*(8), p.657.
- Guirado, E., Alcaraz-Segura, D., Cabello, J., Puertas-Ruíz, S., Herrera, F. and Tabik, S., 2020. Tree cover estimation in global drylands from space using deep learning. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(3), p.343.
- Guyon, I. and Elisseeff, A., 2003. An introduction to variable and feature selection. Journal of machine learning research, 3(Mar), 1157-1182.
- Halperin, J., LeMay, V., Chidumayo, E., Verchot, L. and Marshall, P., 2016. Model-based estimation of above-ground biomass in the miombo ecoregion of Zambia. *Forest Ecosystems*, *3*(1), pp.1-17.
- Hamunyela, E., Brandt, P., Shirima, D., Do, H.T.T., Herold, M. and Roman-Cuesta, R.M., 2020. Spacetime detection of deforestation, forest degradation and regeneration in montane forests of Eastern Tanzania. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *88*, p.102063.
- Hamunyela, E., Verbesselt, J. and Herold, M., 2016. Using spatial context to improve early detection of deforestation from Landsat time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 172*, pp.126-138.
- Hanks, J., 2003. Transfrontier Conservation Areas (TFCAs) in Southern Africa: their role in conserving biodiversity, socioeconomic development and promoting a culture of peace. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 17(1-2), pp.127-148.

- Hansen, M.C. and Loveland, T.R., 2012. A review of large area monitoring of land cover change using Landsat data. *Remote sensing of Environment*, *122*, pp.66-74.
- Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S.V., Goetz, S.J., Loveland, T.R. and Kommareddy, A., 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *science*, *342*(6160), pp.850-853.
- Hantson, S., Pueyo, S. and Chuvieco, E., 2016. Global fire size distribution: from power law to lognormal. *International journal of wildland fire*, *25*(4), pp.403-412.
- Harris, A., Carr, A.S. and Dash, J., 2014. Remote sensing of vegetation cover dynamics and resilience across southern Africa. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *28*, pp.131-139.
- Harris, N.L., Brown, S., Hagen, S.C., Saatchi, S.S., Petrova, S., Salas, W., Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V. and Lotsch, A., 2012. Baseline map of carbon emissions from deforestation in tropical regions. Science, 336(6088), 1573-1576.
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Friedman, J., 2009. The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction, *Springer*, New York.
- Hawryło, P., Bednarz, B., Wężyk, P., Szostak, M., 2018. Estimating defoliation of Scots pine stands using machine learning methods and vegetation indices of Sentinel-2. *European Journal of Remote Sensing*, 51(1), 194-204.
- Hayward, R.M., Banin, L.F., Burslem, D.F., Chapman, D.S., Philipson, C.D., Cutler, M.E., Reynolds, G., Nilus, R. and Dent, D.H., 2021. Three decades of post-logging tree community recovery in naturally regenerating and actively restored dipterocarp forest in Borneo. *Forest Ecology* and Management, 488, p.119036.
- Heckel, K., Urban, M., Schratz, P., Mahecha, M.D. and Schmullius, C., 2020. Predicting forest cover in distinct ecosystems: The potential of multi-source Sentinel-1 and-2 data fusion. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(2), p.302.
- Herold, M., Mayaux, P., Woodcock, C.E., Baccini, A. and Schmullius, C., 2008. Some challenges in global land cover mapping: An assessment of agreement and accuracy in existing 1 km datasets. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(5), pp.2538-2556.
- Herrero, H., Southworth, J., Muir, C., Khatami, R., Bunting, E. and Child, B., 2020. An Evaluation of Vegetation Health in and around Southern African National Parks during the 21st Century (2000–2016). *Applied Sciences*, *10*(7), p.2366.
- Herrmann, S.M., Anyamba, A. and Tucker, C.J., 2005. Recent trends in vegetation dynamics in the African Sahel and their relationship to climate. *Global Environmental Change*, 15(4), pp.394-404.
- Higginbottom, T.P., Symeonakis, E., Meyer, H. and van der Linden, S., 2018. Mapping fractional woody cover in semi-arid savannahs using multi-seasonal composites from Landsat data. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *139*, pp.88-102.
- Higgins, S.I., Bond, W.J. and Trollope, W.S., 2000. Fire, resprouting and variability: a recipe for grass–tree coexistence in savanna. *Journal of Ecology*, *88*(2), pp.213-229.
- Higgins, S.I., Delgado-Cartay, M.D., February, E.C. and Combrink, H.J., 2011. Is there a temporal niche separation in the leaf phenology of savanna trees and grasses?. *Journal of Biogeography*, *38*(11), pp.2165-2175.

- Hoffman, M.T. and Todd, S., 2000. A national review of land degradation in South Africa: the influence of biophysical and socio-economic factors. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, *26*(4), pp.743-758.
- Holben, B.N., 1986. Characteristics of maximum-value composite images from temporal AVHRR data. *International journal of remote sensing*, 7(11), pp.1417-1434.
- Holloway, J. and Mengersen, K., 2018. Statistical machine learning methods and remote sensing for sustainable development goals: a review. *Remote Sensing*, *10*(9), p.1365.
- Horion, S., Fensholt, R., Tagesson, T. and Ehammer, A. (2014). Using earth observation-based dry season NDVI trends for assessment of changes in tree cover in the Sahel. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 35(7), pp.2493-2515.
- Houghton, R.A., Hall, F. and Goetz, S.J., 2009. Importance of biomass in the global carbon cycle. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 114(G2).
- Housman, I.W., Chastain, R.A. and Finco, M.V., 2018. An evaluation of forest health insect and disease survey data and satellite-based remote sensing forest change detection methods: Case studies in the United States. *Remote Sensing*, *10*(8), p.1184.
- Huang, C., Goward, S.N., Schleeweis, K., Thomas, N., Masek, J.G. and Zhu, Z., 2009. Dynamics of national forests assessed using the Landsat record: Case studies in eastern United States. *Remote sensing of Environment*, *113*(7), pp.1430-1442.
- Huang, J., Li, Y., Fu, C., Chen, F., Fu, Q., Dai, A., Shinoda, M., Ma, Z., Guo, W., Li, Z. and Zhang, L., 2017. Dryland climate change: Recent progress and challenges. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55(3), pp.719-778.
- Huang, J., Yu, H., Guan, X., Wang, G. and Guo, R., 2016. Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(2), pp.166-171.
- Huang, W., Sun, G., Ni, W., Zhang, Z. and Dubayah, R., 2015. Sensitivity of multi-source SAR backscatter to changes in forest aboveground biomass. *Remote Sensing*, 7(8), pp.9587-9609.
- Huemmrich, K.F., Privette, J.L., Mukelabai, M., Myneni, R.B. and Knyazikhin, Y., 2005. Time-series validation of MODIS land biophysical products in a Kalahari woodland, Africa. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *26*(19), pp.4381-4398.
- Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E.P., Gao, X. and Ferreira, L.G., 2002. Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. *Remote sensing of environment*, *83*(1-2), pp.195-213.
- Huete, A., Justice, C. and Van Leeuwen, W., 1999. MODIS vegetation index (MOD13). *Algorithm theoretical basis document*, *3*(213), pp.295-309.
- Hunt, E.R., Hively, W.D., Daughtry, C.S., McCarty, G.W., Fujikawa, S.J., Ng, T.L., Tranchitella, M., Linden, D.S. and Yoel, D.W., 2008, November. Remote sensing of crop leaf area index using unmanned airborne vehicles. In Proceedings of the Pecora (Vol. 17, pp. 18-20).
- Hüttich, C., Gessner, U., Herold, M., Strohbach, B.J., Schmidt, M., Keil, M. and Dech, S., 2009. On the suitability of MODIS time series metrics to map vegetation types in dry savanna ecosystems: A case study in the Kalahari of NE Namibia. *Remote sensing*, *1*(4), pp.620-643.
- Hüttich, C., Herold, M., Strohbach, B.J. and Dech, S., 2011. Integrating in-situ, Landsat, and MODIS data for mapping in Southern African savannas: experiences of LCCS-based land-cover

mapping in the Kalahari in Namibia. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 176(1), pp.531-547.

- Hyde, P., Dubayah, R., Walker, W., Blair, J.B., Hofton, M. and Hunsaker, C., 2006. Mapping forest structure for wildlife habitat analysis using multi-sensor (LiDAR, SAR/InSAR, ETM+, Quickbird) synergy. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *102*(1-2), pp.63-73.
- Ibrahim, S.A., Balzter, H., Tansey, K., Tsutsumida, N. and Mathieu, R., 2018. Estimating fractional cover of plant functional types in African savannah from harmonic analysis of MODIS timeseries data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *39*(9), pp.2718-2745.
- Ilavajhala, S., Davies, D., Schmaltz, J.E. and Murphy, K.J., 2014, December. Early warning of active fire hotspots through NASA FIRMS fire information system. In *2014 AGU Fall Meeting*. AGU.
- Immitzer, M., Böck, S., Einzmann, K., Vuolo, F., Pinnel, N., Wallner, A. and Atzberger, C., 2018. Fractional cover mapping of spruce and pine at 1 ha resolution combining very high and medium spatial resolution satellite imagery. *Remote sensing of environment, 204*, pp.690-703.
- IPCC, 2014. Climate change 2014–Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Regional aspects. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC. (2007). Climate Change 2007 : An Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change'. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.
- IPCC. (2014). Climate change 2014–Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Regional aspects. Niang, I., O.C. Ruppel, M.A. Abdrabo, A. Essel, C. Lennard, J. Padgham, and P. Urquhart, 2014: Africa. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Barros, V.R., C.B. Field, D.J. Dokken, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 1199-1265
- IPCC. 2003. Definitions and Methodological Options to Inventory Emissions from Direct Human induced Degradation of Forests and Devegetation of Other Vegetation Types. Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. and Wagner, F. (eds.), IPCC-IGES, Kanagawa. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Japan. http://www.ipccnggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf/degradation_contents.htm
- IPCC., 2007. Climate Change 2007 : An Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change'. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.
- IPCC., 2014. Climate change 2014–Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Regional aspects. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.*
- ITTO. 2002. Guidelines for the restoration, management and rehabilitation of degraded and secondary tropical forests (No. 13). International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO).
- IUCN. (2020). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. www.iucnredlist.org/species/. (accessed 21 February 2020).
- Jamali, S., Jönsson, P., Eklundh, L., Ardö, J. and Seaquist, J., 2015. Detecting changes in vegetation trends using time series segmentation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 156, pp.182-195.

- Jamali, S., Seaquist, J., Eklundh, L. and Ardö, J., 2014. Automated mapping of vegetation trends with polynomials using NDVI imagery over the Sahel. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 141, pp.79-89.
- James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T. and Tibshirani, R., 2013. An introduction to statistical learning (Vol. 112, 101). New York: springer.
- Janssen, L.L. and Vanderwel, F.J., 1994. Accuracy assessment of satellite derived land-cover data: a review. *Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing;*(United States), 60(4).
- Janzen, D. H., 1988. Tropical dry forests. *Biodiversity*, 130-137.
- Jensen, J.R., 2005. Digital image processing: a remote sensing perspective. *Upper Saddle River, NJ: sPrentice Hall.*
- Jin, S. and Sader, S.A., 2005. Comparison of time series tasseled cap wetness and the normalized difference moisture index in detecting forest disturbances. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 94(3), pp.364-372.
- Jolly, W.M. and Running, S.W., 2004. Effects of precipitation and soil water potential on drought deciduous phenology in the Kalahari. *Global Change Biology*, *10*(3), pp.303-308.
- Jones, M.O., Jones, L.A., Kimball, J.S. and McDonald, K.C. (2011). Satellite passive microwave remote sensing for monitoring global land surface phenology. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *115(4)*, pp.1102-1114.
- Joshi, N., Baumann, M., Ehammer, A., Fensholt, R., Grogan, K., Hostert, P., Jepsen, M.R., Kuemmerle, T., Meyfroidt, P., Mitchard, E.T. and Reiche, J., 2016. A review of the application of optical and radar remote sensing data fusion to land use mapping and monitoring. *Remote Sensing*, *8*(1), p.70.
- Junker, J., 2009. An analysis of numerical trends in African elephant populations (Doctoral dissertation, *University of Pretoria*).
- Kajisa, T., Murakami, T., Mizoue, N., Top, N. and Yoshida, S., 2009. Object-based forest biomass estimation using Landsat ETM+ in Kampong Thom Province, Cambodia. *Journal of Forest Research*, *14*(4), pp.203-211.
- Kamwi, J.M. and Mbidzo, M., 2020. Impact of land use and land cover changes on landscape structure in the dry lands of Southern Africa: a case of the Zambezi Region, Namibia. *GeoJournal*, pp.1-12.
- Kamwi, J.M., Chirwa, P.W., Manda, S.O., Graz, P.F. and Kätsch, C., 2015. Livelihoods, land use and land cover change in the Zambezi Region, Namibia. *Population and Environment*, *37*(2), pp.207-230.
- Kamwi, J.M., Kaetsch, C., Graz, F.P., Chirwa, P. and Manda, S., 2017. Trends in land use and land cover change in the protected and communal areas of the Zambezi Region, Namibia. Environmental monitoring and assessment, 189(5), p.242.
- Karidozo, M., La Grange, M. and Osborn, F.V., 2016. Assessment of the human wildlife conflict mitigation measures being implemented by the Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KAZA TFCA) partner countries. Report to the KAZA TFCA Secretariat. *Report to the KAZA TFCA Secretariat (BMZ No.: 2009 66 788 and BMZ No.: 2006 65 646).*

- Kasischke, E.S., Melack, J.M. and Dobson, M.C., 1997. The use of imaging radars for ecological applications—A review. *Remote sensing of environment*, *59*(2), pp.141-156.
- Kattenborn, T., Leitloff, J., Schiefer, F. and Hinz, S. (2021). Review on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) in vegetation remote sensing. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *173*, pp.24-49.
- KAZA., 2014., Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area, Master Integrated Development Plan 2015-2020.
- Kellndorfer, J.M., Walker, W.S., LaPoint, E., Kirsch, K., Bishop, J. and Fiske, G., 2010. Statistical fusion of Lidar, InSAR, and optical remote sensing data for forest stand height characterization: A regional-scale method based on LVIS, SRTM, Landsat ETM+, and ancillary data sets. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 115(G2).
- Kennedy, R.E., Andréfouët, S., Cohen, W.B., Gómez, C., Griffiths, P., Hais, M., Healey, S.P., Helmer, E.H., Hostert, P., Lyons, M.B. and Meigs, G.W., 2014. Bringing an ecological view of change to Landsat-based remote sensing. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 12(6), pp.339-346.
- Kennedy, R.E., Yang, Z. and Cohen, W.B., 2010. Detecting trends in forest disturbance and recovery using yearly Landsat time series: 1. LandTrendr—Temporal segmentation algorithms. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(12), pp.2897-2910.
- Kimura, T., Imai, T., Sakaizawa, D., Murooka, J. and Mitsuhashi, R., 2017, July. The overview and status of vegetation Lidar mission, MOLI. In *2017 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS)* (pp. 4228-4230). IEEE.
- King, E. (2014). Southern Africa's Dryland Forests and Climate Change Adaptation. A policy briefing prepared for Governance of Africa's Resources Programme. https://media.africaportal.org/documents/saia_spb_91_king_20140702.pdf/ (accessed 23 August 2020).
- Klogo, G.S., Gasonoo, A. and Ampomah, I.K., 2013. On the Performance of Filters for Reduction of Speckle Noise in SAR Images off the Coast of the Gulf of Guinea. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.2383*.
- Koch, B, 2010. Status and future of laser scanning, synthetic aperture radar and hyperspectral remote sensing data for forest biomass assessment. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 65(6), 581-590.
- Korontzi, S., Justice, C.O. and Scholes, R.J., 2003. Influence of timing and spatial extent of savanna fires in southern Africa on atmospheric emissions. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 54(2), pp.395-404.
- Kuenzer, C., Bluemel, A., Gebhardt, S., Quoc, T.V. and Dech, S., 2011. Remote sensing of mangrove ecosystems: A review. *Remote Sensing*, *3*(5), pp.878-928.
- Kuplich, T.M., Moreira, A. and Fontana, D.C., 2013. Série temporal de índice de vegetação sobre diferentes tipologias vegetais no Rio Grande do Sul. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*, *17*, pp.1116-1123.
- Kussul, N., Lavreniuk, M., Skakun, S. and Shelestov, A. (2017). Deep learning classification of land cover and crop types using remote sensing data. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 14(5), pp.778-782.

- Lambin, E.F. and Ehrlich, D., 1997. Land-cover changes in sub-Saharan Africa (1982–1991): Application of a change index based on remotely sensed surface temperature and vegetation indices at a continental scale. *Remote sensing of environment*, 61(2), pp.181-200.
- Lambin, E.F. and Meyfroidt, P., 2010. Land use transitions: Socio-ecological feedback versus socioeconomic change. *Land use policy*, *27*(2), pp.108-118.
- Lambin, E.F., Geist, H.J. and Lepers, E., 2003. Dynamics of land-use and land-cover change in tropical regions. *Annual review of environment and resources*, 28(1), pp.205-241.
- Latifi, H., Nothdurft, A. and Koch, B., 2010. Non-parametric prediction and mapping of standing timber volume and biomass in a temperate forest: application of multiple optical/LiDAR-derived predictors. *Forestry*, *83*(4), pp.395-407.
- Laurin, G.V., Puletti, N., Hawthorne, W., Liesenberg, V., Corona, P., Papale, D., Chen, Q. and Valentini, R., 2016. Discrimination of tropical forest types, dominant species, and mapping of functional guilds by hyperspectral and simulated multispectral Sentinel-2 data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 176, 163-176.
- Lawal, S., Lennard, C., Jack, C., Wolski, P., Hewitson, B. and Abiodun, B., 2019. The observed and model-simulated response of southern African vegetation to drought. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *279*, p.107698.
- Lawley, V., Lewis, M., Clarke, K. and Ostendorf, B. (2016). Site-based and remote sensing methods for monitoring indicators of vegetation condition: An Australian review. *Ecological Indicators, 60*, pp.1273-1283.
- Le Canut, P., Andreae, M.O., Harris, G.W., Wienhold, F.G. and Zenker, T., 1996. Airborne studies of emissions from savanna fires in southern Africa: 1. Aerosol emissions measured with a laser optical particle counter. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 101*(D19), pp.23615-23630.
- Le Houérou, H.N., 1996. Climate change, drought and desertification. *Journal of arid Environments*, *34*(2), pp.133-185.
- Lehmann, C.E., Anderson, T.M., Sankaran, M., Higgins, S.I., Archibald, S., Hoffmann, W.A., Hanan, N.P., Williams, R.J., Fensham, R.J., Felfili, J. and Hutley, L.B., 2014. Savanna vegetation-fireclimate relationships differ among continents. *Science*, 343(6170), pp.548-552.
- Lehmann, E.A., Caccetta, P., Lowell, K., Mitchell, A., Zhou, Z.S., Held, A., Milne, T. and Tapley, I., 2015. SAR and optical remote sensing: Assessment of complementarity and interoperability in the context of a large-scale operational forest monitoring system. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 156, pp.335-348.
- Leite, A., Cáceres, A., Melo, M., Mills, M.S. and Monteiro, A.T., 2018. Reducing emissions from Deforestation and forest Degradation in Angola: Insights from the scarp forest conservation 'hotspot'. *Land Degradation & Development*, *29*(12), pp.4291-4300.
- Lesolle, D., 2012. SADC Policy Paper on Climate Change: Assessing the Policy Options for SADC Member States; SADC Secretariat, Policy, Planning. *Resource Mobilisation Directorate: Gaborone, Botswana*.
- Lewis, S.L., Sonké, B., Sunderland, T., Begne, S.K., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Van Der Heijden, G.M., Phillips, O.L., Affum-Baffoe, K., Baker, T.R., Banin, L. and Bastin, J.F., 2013., Above-ground biomass and structure of 260 African tropical forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1625), p.20120295.
- Li, A., Dhakal, S., Glenn, N.F., Spaete, L.P., Shinneman, D.J., Pilliod, D.S., Arkle, R.S. and McIlroy, S.K., 2017. Lidar aboveground vegetation biomass estimates in shrublands: Prediction, uncertainties and application to coarser scales. *Remote Sensing*, *9*(9), p.903.
- Li, C., Gong, P., Wang, J., Zhu, Z., Biging, G.S., Yuan, C., Hu, T., Zhang, H., Wang, Q., Li, X. and Liu, X., 2017. The first all-season sample set for mapping global land cover with Landsat-8 data. *Science Bulletin*, *62*(7), pp.508-515.
- Li, C., Zhou, L. and Xu, W., 2021. Estimating aboveground biomass using Sentinel-2 MSI data and ensemble algorithms for grassland in the Shengjin Lake Wetland, China. Remote Sensing, 13(8), 1595
- Liao, W., Van Coillie, F., Gao, L., Li, L., Zhang, B. and Chanussot, J. (2018). Deep learning for fusion of APEX hyperspectral and full-waveform LiDAR remote sensing data for tree species mapping. *IEEE Access*, *6*, pp.68716-68729.
- Liaw, A., Wiener, M., 2002. Classification and regression by randomForest. *R news*, 2(3), 18-22.
- Liaw, A., Wiener, M., 2002. Classification and regression by randomForest. R news, 2(3), 18-22.
- Linares-Palomino, R., Oliveira-Filho, A.T. and Pennington, R.T., 2011. Neotropical seasonally dry forests: diversity, endemism, and biogeography of woody plants. In Seasonally dry tropical forests (pp. 3-21). *Island Press,* Washington, DC.
- Liu, G., Liu, H. and Yin, Y., 2013. Global patterns of NDVI-indicated vegetation extremes and their sensitivity to climate extremes. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2), p.025009.
- Liu, X. and Zhou, J., 2021. Assessment of the Continuous Extreme Drought Events in Namibia during the last decade. Water, 13(20), 2942.
- Liu, X., Wigneron, J.P., Fan, L., Frappart, F., Ciais, P., Baghdadi, N., Zribi, M., Jagdhuber, T., Li, X., Wang, M. and Bai, X. (2021). ASCAT IB: A radar-based vegetation optical depth retrieved from the ASCAT scatterometer satellite. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 264*, p.112587.
- Liu, Y. A., Gong, W. S., Xing, Y. Q., Hu, X. Y., ong, J. Y., 2019. Estimation of the forest stand mean height and aboveground biomass in Northeast China using SAR Sentinel-1B, multispectral Sentinel-2A, and DEM imagery. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 151, 277-289.
- Liu, Y.Y., De Jeu, R.A., McCabe, M.F., Evans, J.P. and Van Dijk, A.I. (2011). Global long-term passive microwave satellite-based retrievals of vegetation optical depth. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(18).
- Liu, Y.Y., Van Dijk, A.I., De Jeu, R.A., Canadell, J.G., McCabe, M.F., Evans, J.P. and Wang, G. (2015). Recent reversal in loss of global terrestrial biomass. *Nature Climate Change*, *5(5)*, pp.470-474.
- Liu, Z., Wimberly, M.C. and Dwomoh, F.K., 2017. Vegetation dynamics in the upper guinean forest region of West Africa from 2001 to 2015. *Remote Sensing*, 9(1), p.5.
- Lu, D. and Weng, Q., 2005. Urban classification using full spectral information of Landsat ETM+ imagery in Marion County, Indiana. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 71(11), pp.1275-1284.
- Lu, D., 2006. The potential and challenge of remote sensing-based biomass estimation. *International journal of remote sensing*, *27*(7), pp.1297-1328.

- Lu, D., Batistella, M., Moran, E. and de Miranda, E.E., 2008. A comparative study of Landsat TM and SPOT HRG images for vegetation classification in the Brazilian Amazon. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 74(3), pp.311-321.
- Lu, D., Chen, Q., Wang, G., Liu, L., Li, G., Moran, E., 2016. A survey of remote sensing-based aboveground biomass estimation methods in forest ecosystems. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 9(1), 63-105.
- Lu, D., Chen, Q., Wang, G., Moran, E., Batistella, M., Zhang, M., Vaglio Laurin, G. and Saah, D., 2012. Aboveground forest biomass estimation with Landsat and LiDAR data and uncertainty analysis of the estimates. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2012.
- Lu, D., Mausel, P., Brondizio, E., Moran, E., 2004. Relationships between forest stand parameters and Landsat TM spectral responses in the Brazilian Amazon Basin. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 198(1-3), 149-167.
- Lu, D., Moran, E. and Batistella, M., 2003. Linear mixture model applied to Amazonian vegetation classification. *Remote sensing of environment*, *87*(4), pp.456-469.
- Lucas, R., Accad, A., Randall, L., Bunting, P., Armston, J., 2008. Assessing Human Impacts on Australian Forests through Integration of Remote Sensing Data, in Lafortezza, R., Chen, J., Sanesi, G., Crow, Th.R. (Eds.), Patterns and Processes in Forest Landscapes, *Springer*, *Dordrecht*, pp. 213-239.
- Lucas, R.M., Cronin, N., Lee, A., Moghaddam, M., Witte, C. and Tickle, P., 2006. Empirical relationships between AIRSAR backscatter and LiDAR-derived forest biomass, Queensland, Australia. Remote Sensing of Environment, 100(3), 407-425.
- Lucas, R.M., Mitchell, A.L. and Armston, J., 2015. Measurement of forest above-ground biomass using active and passive remote sensing at large (subnational to global) scales. *Current Forestry Reports*, *1*(3), pp.162-177.
- Lück, W. and Van Niekerk, A., 2016. Evaluation of a rule-based compositing technique for Landsat-5 TM and Landsat-7 ETM+ images. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 47, pp.1-14
- Lund, H.G., 2009. What is a degraded forest. Gainesville, VA. USA: Forest Information Services.
- Lyon, B. and Mason, S.J., 2007. The 1997–98 summer rainfall season in southern Africa. Part I: Observations. *Journal of Climate*, 20(20), pp.5134-5148.
- Madonsela, S., Cho, M.A., Ramoelo, A., Mutanga, O. and Naidoo, L., 2018. Estimating tree species diversity in the savannah using NDVI and woody canopy cover. *International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation*, *66*, pp.106-115.
- Maglione, P., 2016. Very high resolution optical satellites: An overview of the most commonly used. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, *13*(1), p.91.
- Maliva, R. and Missimer, T., 2012. Aridity and drought. In *Arid lands water evaluation and management* (pp. 21-39). *Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg*.
- Manatsha, B. T., Malebang, G. G., 2016. The Botswana-Japan Diplomatic Relations, 1966-2016. *Botswana Notes and Records*, 48, 97-108.

- Mapfumo, R.B., Murwira, A., Masocha, M. and Andriani, R., 2016. The relationship between satellite-derived indices and species diversity across African savanna ecosystems. *International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation*, *52*, pp.306-317.
- Mareya, H.T., Tagwireyi, P., Ndaimani, H., Gara, T.W. and Gwenzi, D., 2018. Estimating tree crown area and aboveground biomass in miombo woodlands from high-resolution RGB-only imagery. *IEEE Journal of selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensing*, 11(3), pp.868-875.
- Marín-Spiotta, E., Cusack, D.F., Ostertag, R. and Silver, W.L. (2008). Trends in above and belowground carbon with forest regrowth after agricultural abandonment in the neotropics. In Post-agricultural succession in the neotropics (pp. 22-72). Springer, New York, NY.
- Marston, C.G., Wilkinson, D.M., Sponheimer, M., Codron, D., Codron, J. and O'Regan, H.J., 2020. 'Remote'behavioural ecology: do megaherbivores consume vegetation in proportion to its presence in the landscape?. *PeerJ*, *8*, p.e8622.
- Marta, S., 2018. Planet Imagery Product Specifications. *Planet Labs: San Francisco, CA, USA*, p.91.
- Martens, B., Miralles, D.G., Lievens, H., Van Der Schalie, R., De Jeu, R.A., Fernández-Prieto, D., Beck, H.E., Dorigo, W.A. and Verhoest, N.E., 2017. GLEAM v3: Satellite-based land evaporation and root-zone soil moisture. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *10*(5), pp.1903-1925.
- Marumbwa, F.M., Cho, M.A. and Chirwa, P.W., 2020. An assessment of remote sensing-based drought index over different land cover types in southern Africa. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *41*(19), pp.7368-7382.
- Marumbwa, F.M., Cho, M.A. and Chirwa, P.W., 2021. Geospatial analysis of meteorological drought impact on Southern Africa biomes. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 42(6), pp.2155-2173.
- Masiello, G., Cersosimo, A., Mastro, P., Serio, C., Venafra, S. and Pasquariello, P., 2020, September. Emissivity-based vegetation indices to monitor deforestation and forest degradation in the Congo basin rainforest. In Remote Sensing for Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Hydrology XXII (Vol. 11528, p. 115280L). *International Society for Optics and Photonics*.
- Mason, P.J., Zillman, J.W., Simmons, A., Lindstrom, E.J., Harrison, D.E., Dolman, H., Bojinski, S., Fischer, A., Latham, J., Rasmussen, J. and Arkin, P., 2009, December. Implementation plan for the global observing system for climate in support of the UNFCCC (2010 Update). In *Proceedings of the Conference of the Parties (COP), Copenhagen, Denmark* (pp. 7-18).
- Mason, S.J. and Tyson, P., 2000. The occurrence and predictability of droughts over southern Africa, *Routledge*. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324_9781315830896/</u> (accessed 04 August 2021).
- Matavire, M. M., Sibanda, M., & Dube, T. (2015). Assessing the aftermath of the fast track land reform programme in Zimbabwe on land-use and land-cover changes. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa*, 70(2), pp.181-186.
- Mathieu, R., Main, R., Roy, D.P., Naidoo, L. and Yang, H., 2019. The Effect of Surface Fire in Savannah Systems in the Kruger National Park (KNP), South Africa, on the Backscatter of C-Band Sentinel-1 Images. *Fire*, *2*(3), p.37.
- Mathieu, R., Naidoo, L., Cho, M.A., Leblon, B., Main, R., Wessels, K., Asner, G.P., Buckley, J., Van Aardt, J., Erasmus, B.F. and Smit, I.P., 2013. Toward structural assessment of semi-arid

African savannahs and woodlands: The potential of multitemporal polarimetric RADARSAT-2 fine beam images. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 138*, pp.215-231.

- Matswiri, G.M., 2017. Two in one: explaining the management of the Okavango Delta World Heritage Site, Botswana (*Master's thesis, University of Cape Town*).
- Mayes, M., Mustard, J., Melillo, J., Neill, C. and Nyadzi, G., 2017. Going beyond the green: senesced vegetation material predicts basal area and biomass in remote sensing of tree cover conditions in an African tropical dry forest (miombo woodland) landscape. *Environmental Research Letters*, *12*(8), p.085004.
- Mayr, M.J., Vanselow, K.A. and Samimi, C., 2018. Fire regimes at the arid fringe: A 16-year remote sensing perspective (2000–2016) on the controls of fire activity in Namibia from spatial predictive models. *Ecological Indicators*, *91*, pp.324-337.
- Mbow, C., Brandt, M., Ouedraogo, I., De Leeuw, J. and Marshall, M., 2015. What four decades of earth observation tell us about land degradation in the Sahel?. *Remote Sensing*, 7(4), pp.4048-4067.
- McCarthy, J., Gumbricht, T. and McCarthy, T.S., 2005. Ecoregion classification in the Okavango Delta, Botswana from multitemporal remote sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *26*(19), pp.4339-4357.
- McClean, C.J., Lovett, J.C., Küper, W., Hannah, L., Sommer, J.H., Barthlott, W., Termansen, M., Smith, G.F., Tokumine, S. and Taplin, J.R., 2005. African plant diversity and climate change. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, pp.139-152.
- McElhinny, C., Gibbons, P., Brack, C. and Bauhus, J., 2005. Forest and woodland stand structural complexity: its definition and measurement. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 218(1-3), pp.1-24.
- McGann, J, 2004. The current status of unfccc article 6 work program implementation in Namibia. *In African Workshop on Article*, vol. 6, pp. 28-30.
- McIntyre, C, 2010. Botswana: Okavango Delta-Chobe-Northern Kalahari: *Bradt Travel Guides*, pp. 197-214.
- McKenzie, J.E., Brennan, S.E., Ryan, R.E., Thomson, H.J., Johnston, R.V. and Thomas, J., 2019. Defining the criteria for including studies and how they will be grouped for the synthesis. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*, pp.33-65.
- McNicol, I.M., Ryan, C.M. and Mitchard, E.T., 2018. Carbon losses from deforestation and widespread degradation offset by extensive growth in African woodlands. *Nature communications*, 9(1), pp.1-11.
- Meadows, M.E., 2006. Global change and southern Africa. *Geographical Research*, 44(2), pp.135-145.
- Melton, D.A., 1985. The status of elephants in northern Botswana. Biological Conservation, 31(4), 317-333.
- Méndez, V.E., Gliessman, S.R. and Gilbert, G.S. (2007). Tree biodiversity in farmer cooperatives of a shade coffee landscape in western El Salvador. Agriculture, *Ecosystems & Environment*, *119(1-2)*, pp.145-159.

- Mendivelso, H.A., Camarero, J.J., Gutiérrez, E. and Zuidema, P.A. (2014). Time-dependent effects of climate and drought on tree growth in a Neotropical dry forest: Short-term tolerance vs. long-term sensitivity. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *188*, pp.13-23
- Menzel, A. and Fabian, P., 1999. Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature*, 397(6721), pp.659-659.
- Metternicht, G., Zinck, J.A., Blanco, P.D. and del Valle, H.F. (2010). Remote sensing of land degradation: Experiences from Latin America and the Caribbean. *Journal of environmental quality*, *39(1)*, pp.42-61.
- Meyer, M., Klingelhoeffer, E., Naidoo, R., Wingate, V. and Börner, J., 2021. Tourism opportunities drive woodland and wildlife conservation outcomes of community-based conservation in Namibia's Zambezi region. *Ecological Economics*, 180, p.106863.
- Meyer, T., D'Odorico, P., Okin, G.S., Shugart, H.H., Caylor, K.K., O'Donnell, F.C., Bhattachan, A. and Dintwe, K., 2014. An analysis of structure: biomass structure relationships for characteristic species of the western K alahari, B otswana. *African journal of ecology*, *52*(1), pp.20-29.
- Michelakis, D., Stuart, N., Lopez, G., Linares, V. and Woodhouse, I.H., 2014. Local-scale mapping of biomass in tropical lowland pine savannas using ALOS PALSAR. *Forests*, *5*(9), pp.2377-2399.
- Middleton, N. and Thomas, D., 1997. World atlas of desertification.. ed. 2. Edward Arnold, London. (pp. 66).
- Midgley, G.F. and Thuiller, W., 2011. Potential responses of terrestrial biodiversity in Southern Africa to anthropogenic climate change. *Regional Environmental Change*, *11*(1), pp.127-135.
- Miles, L., Newton, A. C., DeFries, R. S., Ravilious, C., May, I., Blyth, S., Kapos, V., Gordon, J. E., 2006. A global overview of the conservation status of tropical dry forests. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33(3), 491-505.
- Mitchard, E. T., Saatchi, S. S., Baccini, A., Asner, G. P., Goetz, S. J., Harris, N. L., Brown, S., 2013. Uncertainty in the spatial distribution of tropical forest biomass: a comparison of pantropical maps. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 8(1), 1-13.
- Mitchard, E.T. and Flintrop, C.M. (2013). Woody encroachment and forest degradation in sub-Saharan Africa's woodlands and savannas 1982–2006. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: *Biological Sciences*, *368(1625)*, p.20120406.
- Mitchard, E.T., Saatchi, S.S., Lewis, S.L., Feldpausch, T.R., Woodhouse, I.H., Sonké, B., Rowland, C. and Meir, P., 2011. Measuring biomass changes due to woody encroachment and deforestation/degradation in a forest-savanna boundary region of central Africa using multi-temporal L-band radar backscatter. Remote Sensing of Environment, 115(11), 2861-2873.
- Mitchard, E.T., Saatchi, S.S., Woodhouse, I.H., Nangendo, G., Ribeiro, N.S., Williams, M., Ryan, C.M., Lewis, S.L., Feldpausch, T.R. and Meir, P., 2009. Using satellite radar backscatter to predict above-ground woody biomass: A consistent relationship across four different African landscapes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(23).
- Mitchell, A.L., Rosenqvist, A. and Mora, B., 2017. Current remote sensing approaches to monitoring forest degradation in support of countries measurement, reporting and verification (MRV) systems for REDD+. *Carbon balance and management*, *12*(1), pp.1-22.

- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G. and Prisma Group, 2009. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS medicine*, 6(7), p.e1000097.
- Mooney, H. A., Bullock, S. H., and Medina, E., 1995. Introduction. In: Bullock SH, Mooney HA, Medina E (eds) Seasonally dry tropical forests. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.*
- Moore, C.E., Brown, T., Keenan, T.F., Duursma, R.A., Van Dijk, A.I., Beringer, J., Culvenor, D., Evans, B., Huete, A., Hutley, L.B. and Maier, S. (2016). Reviews and syntheses: Australian vegetation phenology: new insights from satellite remote sensing and digital repeat photography. *Biogeosciences*, *13(17)*, pp.5085-5102.
- Morley, P.J., Donoghue, D.N., Chen, J.C. and Jump, A.S., 2019. Quantifying structural diversity to better estimate change at mountain forest margins. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *223*, pp.291-306.
- Morley, P.J., Jump, A.S., West, M.D. and Donoghue, D.N., 2020. Spectral response of chlorophyll content during leaf senescence in European beech trees. *Environmental Research Communications*, *2*(7), p.071002.
- Mosugelo, D. K., Moe, S. R., Ringrose, S., Nellemann, C., 2002. Vegetation changes during a 36-year period in northern Chobe National Park, Botswana. *African Journal of Ecology*, 40(3), 232-240.
- Mouillot, F., Schultz, M.G., Yue, C., Cadule, P., Tansey, K., Ciais, P. and Chuvieco, E., 2014. Ten years of global burned area products from spaceborne remote sensing—A review: Analysis of user needs and recommendations for future developments. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *26*, pp.64-79.
- Mpakairi, K.S., Tagwireyi, P., Ndaimani, H. and Madiri, H.T., 2019. Distribution of wildland fires and possible hotspots for the Zimbabwean component of Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area. *South African Geographical Journal= Suid-Afrikaanse Geografiese Tydskrif*, *101*(1), pp.110-120.
- Muraoka, H. and Koizumi, H., 2009. Satellite Ecology (SATECO)—linking ecology, remote sensing and micrometeorology, from plot to regional scale, for the study of ecosystem structure and function. *Journal of plant research*, *122*(1), pp.3-20.
- Murphy, C.A., 2008. Living in a Global Commons-the case of residents of a national park in the Kavango-Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation Area (KaZa TFCA), southern Africa.
- Murphy, P.G. and Lugo, A.E., 1986. Ecology of tropical dry forest. *Annual review of ecology and systematics*, *17*(1), pp.67-88.
- Mutanga, O. and Rugege, D., 2006. Integrating remote sensing and spatial statistics to model herbaceous biomass distribution in a tropical savanna. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *27*(16), pp.3499-3514.
- Mutanga, O. and Skidmore, A.K., 2004. Narrow band vegetation indices overcome the saturation problem in biomass estimation. *International journal of remote sensing*, *25*(19), pp.3999-4014.
- Mutanga, O., Adam, E. and Cho, M.A., 2012. High density biomass estimation for wetland vegetation using WorldView-2 imagery and random forest regression algorithm. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 18, 399-406.

- Mutanga, O., Dube, T. and Ahmed, F., 2016. Progress in remote sensing: vegetation monitoring in South Africa. *South African Geographical Journal*, *98*(3), pp.461-471.
- Mutanga, O., Van Aardt, J. and Kumar, L., 2009. Imaging spectroscopy (hyperspectral remote sensing) in southern Africa: an overview. *South African Journal of Science*, *105*(5), pp.193-198.
- Myeong, S., Nowak, D.J., Hopkins, P.F. and Brock, R.H., 2001. Urban cover mapping using digital, high-spatial resolution aerial imagery. *Urban ecosystems*, *5*(4), pp.243-256.
- Myneni, R.B., Keeling, C.D., Tucker, C.J., Asrar, G. and Nemani, R.R., 1997. Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature*, *386*(6626), pp.698-702.
- NACSO. (2014). The state of community conservation in namibia. A review of communal conservancies, community forests and other CBNRM initiatives. *Windhoek, NASCO*.
- Næsset, E., McRoberts, R.E., Pekkarinen, A., Saatchi, S., Santoro, M., Trier, Ø.D., Zahabu, E. and Gobakken, T., 2020. Use of local and global maps of forest canopy height and aboveground biomass to enhance local estimates of biomass in miombo woodlands in Tanzania. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 93, 102138.
- Naidoo, L., Mathieu, R., Main, R., Wessels, K. and Asner, G.P., 2016. L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar imagery performs better than optical datasets at retrieving woody fractional cover in deciduous, dry savannahs. *International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation*, *52*, pp.54-64.
- Naidoo, R., Chase, M.J., Beytell, P., Du Preez, P., Landen, K., Stuart-Hill, G. and Taylor, R., 2016. A newly discovered wildlife migration in Namibia and Botswana is the longest in Africa. *Oryx*, *50*(1), pp.138-146.
- Naidoo, R., Du Preez, P., Stuart-Hill, G., Jago, M. and Wegmann, M., 2012. Home on the range: factors explaining partial migration of African buffalo in a tropical environment. *PLoS one*, *7*(5), p.e36527.
- Naidoo, S., Davis, C. and Van Garderen, E.A., 2013. Forests, rangelands and climate change in southern Africa. *Forests and climate change working paper*, *12*.
- Naik, M., 2015. Modeling the potential impacts of vegetation change on the future climate of Southern Africa (*Master's thesis, University of Cape Town*).
- Nandy, S., Singh, R., Ghosh, S., Watham, T., Kushwaha, S.P.S., Kumar, A.S. and Dadhwal, V.K., 2017. Neural network-based modelling for forest biomass assessment. Carbon Management, 8(4), 305-317.
- Nanni, A.S., Sloan, S., Aide, T.M., Graesser, J., Edwards, D. and Grau, H.R. (2019). The neotropical reforestation hotspots: A biophysical and socioeconomic typology of contemporary forest expansion. *Global environmental change*, *54*, pp.148-159.
- Narine, L.L., Popescu, S.C. and Malambo, L. (2019). Synergy of ICESat-2 and Landsat for mapping forest aboveground biomass with deep learning. *Remote Sensing*, *11(12)*, p.1503.
- Nemani, R.R., Keeling, C.D., Hashimoto, H., Jolly, W.M., Piper, S.C., Tucker, C.J., Myneni, R.B. and Running, S.W., 2003. Climate-driven increases in global terrestrial net primary production from 1982 to 1999. science, 300(5625), pp.1560-1563.
- Niang, I., Ruppel, O. C., Abdrabo, M. A., Essel, A., Lennard, C., Padgham, J., and Urquhart, P., 2014. Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability - Contributions of the Page | 328

Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change., (pp. 1199–1265).

Nichols, C., Vandewalle, M., Alexander, K., 2017. Emerging threats to dryland forest resources: elephants and fire are only part of the story. Forestry: *An International Journal of Forest Research*, 90(4), 473-484.

Nicholson, S.E., 2011. Dryland climatology.

- Nizalapur, V., Jha, C.S. and Madugundu, R., 2010. Estimation of above ground biomass in Indian tropical forested area using multi-frequency DLR-ESAR data. International Journal of Geomatics and Geosciences, 1(2), 167.
- Nobre, C.A. and Borma, L.D.S., 2009. 'Tipping points' for the Amazon forest. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 1(1), pp.28-36.
- Nobre, C.A., Sampaio, G., Borma, L.S., Castilla-Rubio, J.C., Silva, J.S. and Cardoso, M., 2016. Land-use and climate change risks in the Amazon and the need of a novel sustainable development paradigm. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(39), pp.10759-10768.
- Nott, K., Nott, A. and Newton, D., 2020. NAMIBIAN INDIGENOUS FOREST/TIMBER INDUSTRY.
- O'brien, R.M., 2007. A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation factors. Quality & quantity, 41(5),673-690.
- O'Connell-Rodwell, C.E., Rodwell, T., Rice, M. and Hart, L.A., 2000. Living with the modern conservation paradigm: can agricultural communities co-exist with elephants? A five-year case study in East Caprivi, Namibia. *Biological conservation*, *93*(3), pp.381-391.
- Olander, L.P., Gibbs, H.K., Steininger, M., Swenson, J.J. and Murray, B.C., 2008. Reference scenarios for deforestation and forest degradation in support of REDD: a review of data and methods. *Environmental Research Letters*, *3*(2), p.025011.
- Oliveira, C.P.D., Ferreira, R.L.C., da Silva, J.A.A., Lima, R.B.D., Silva, E.A., Silva, A.F.D., Lucena, J.D.S.D., dos Santos, N.A.T., Lopes, I.J.C., Pessoa, M.M.D.L. and Melo, C.L.S.M.S.D., 2021. Modeling and Spatialization of Biomass and Carbon Stock Using LiDAR Metrics in Tropical Dry Forest, Brazil. *Forests*, *12*(4), p.473.
- Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., 2002. The Global 200: Priority ecoregions for global conservation. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, 199-224.
- Olson, D.M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E.D., Burgess, N.D., Powell, G.V., Underwood, E.C., D'amico, J.A., Itoua, I., Strand, H.E., Morrison, J.C. and Loucks, C.J. (2001). Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on EarthA new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. *BioScience*, *51(11)*, pp.933-938.
- Olsson, L., Barbosa, H., Bhadwal, S., Cowie, A., Delusca, K., Flores-Renteria, D., Hermans, K., Jobbagy, E., Kurz, W., Li, D. and Sonwa, D.J., 2019. Land degradation: IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land 5 degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and 6 greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. In *IPCC Special Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land 5 Degradation, Sustainable Land Management, Food Security, and 6 Greenhouse gas fluxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems* (p. 1). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

- Olsson, L., Eklundh, L. and Ardö, J. (2005). A recent greening of the Sahel—trends, patterns and potential causes. *Journal of Arid Environments, 63(3),* pp.556-566.
- Omar, H., Misman, M. A., Kassim, A., 2017. Synergetic of PALSAR-2 and Sentinel-1A SAR Polarimetry for Retrieving Aboveground Biomass in Dipterocarp Forest of Malaysia. *Applied Sciences*, 7(7), 675.
- Omphile, U.J. and Powell, J., 2002. Large ungulate habitat preference in Chobe National Park, Botswana. *Rangeland Ecology & Management/Journal of Range Management Archives*, 55(4), pp.341-349.
- Otsu, K., Pla, M., Duane, A., Cardil, A. and Brotons, L., 2019. Estimating the threshold of detection on tree crown defoliation using vegetation indices from UAS multispectral imagery. *Drones*, 3(4), p.80.
- Ouaadi, N., Jarlan, L., Ezzahar, J., Zribi, M., Khabba, S., Bouras, E., Bousbih, S. and Frison, P.L., 2020. Monitoring of wheat crops using the backscattering coefficient and the interferometric coherence derived from Sentinel-1 in semi-arid areas. Remote Sensing of Environment, 251, 112050.
- Owe, M., Van de Griend, A.A. and Chang, A.T.C. (1992). Surface moisture and satellite microwave observations in semiarid southern Africa. *Water Resources Research, 28(3)*, pp.829-839.
- Paradzayi, C. and Annegarn, H.J., 2012. Estimating potential woody biomass in communal savanna woodlands from synthetic aperture radar (SAR). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research (IJAGR)*, *3*(1), pp.53-62.
- Paruelo, J.M., Texeira, M., Staiano, L., Mastrángelo, M., Amdan, L. and Gallego, F. (2016). An integrative index of Ecosystem Services provision based on remotely sensed data. *Ecological Indicators*, 71, pp.145-154.
- Pause, M., Schweitzer, C., Rosenthal, M., Keuck, V., Bumberger, J., Dietrich, P., Heurich, M., Jung, A. and Lausch, A., 2016. In situ/remote sensing integration to assess forest health—A review. *Remote Sensing*, 8(6), p.471.
- Pearse, G.D., Watt, M.S., Dash, J.P., Stone, C. and Caccamo, G., 2019. Comparison of models describing forest inventory attributes using standard and voxel-based lidar predictors across a range of pulse densities. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 78, 341-351.
- Peng, J., Dadson, S., Leng, G., Duan, Z., Jagdhuber, T., Guo, W. and Ludwig, R., 2019. The impact of the Madden-Julian Oscillation on hydrological extremes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 571, pp.142-149.
- Pennington, R.T., Lehmann, C.E. and Rowland, L.M., 2018. Tropical savannas and dry forests. *Current Biology*, 28(9), pp.R541-R545.
- Pereira Júnior, A.C., Oliveira, S.L., Pereira, J.M. and Turkman, M.A.A. (2014). Modelling fire frequency in a Cerrado savanna protected area. *PloS one*, *9*(*7*), p.e102380.
- Petheram, L., Campbell, B.M., Marunda, C.T., Tiveau, D. and Shackleton, S., 2006. The wealth of the dry forests: can sound forest management contribute to the millennium development goals in Sub-Saharan Africa?
- Pettorelli, N., Vik, J.O., Mysterud, A., Gaillard, J.M., Tucker, C.J. and Stenseth, N.C., 2005. Using the satellite-derived NDVI to assess ecological responses to environmental change. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, *20*(9), pp.503-510.

- Pham, M.H., Do, T.H., Pham, V.M. and Bui, Q.T., 2020. Mangrove forest classification and aboveground biomass estimation using an atom search algorithm and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. Plos one, 15(5), e0233110.
- Pirotti, F., 2011. Analysis of full-waveform LiDAR data for forestry applications: a review of investigations and methods. iForest-Biogeosciences and Forestry, 4(3), p.100.
- Popescu, S.C., 2007. Estimating biomass of individual pine trees using airborne lidar. Biomass and Bioenergy, 31(9), 646-655.
- Portillo, C. 2010. Assessing the Conservation status of Neotropical Dry forests using Geographic Information Systems and Optical Remote Sensing.
- Portillo-Quintero, C.A., Sanchez, A.M., Valbuena, C.A., Gonzalez, Y.Y. and Larreal, J.T. (2012). Forest cover and deforestation patterns in the Northern Andes (Lake Maracaibo Basin): a synoptic assessment using MODIS and Landsat imagery. *Applied Geography*, *35(1-2)*, pp.152-163.
- Potapov, P.V., Dempewolf, J., Talero, Y., Hansen, M.C., Stehman, S.V., Vargas, C., Rojas, E.J., Castillo, D., Mendoza, E., Calderón, A. and Giudice, R., 2014. National satellite-based humid tropical forest change assessment in Peru in support of REDD+ implementation. Environmental Research Letters, 9(12), p.124012.
- Poulter, B., Frank, D., Ciais, P., Myneni, R.B., Andela, N., Bi, J., Broquet, G., Canadell, J.G., Chevallier, F., Liu, Y.Y., Running, S.W., 2014. Contribution of semi-arid ecosystems to interannual variability of the global carbon cycle. *Nature*, 509(7502), 600-603.
- Powell, S.L., Cohen, W.B., Healey, S.P., Kennedy, R.E., Moisen, G.G., Pierce, K.B. and Ohmann, J.L., 2010. Quantification of live aboveground forest biomass dynamics with Landsat timeseries and field inventory data: A comparison of empirical modeling approaches. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(5), pp.1053-1068.
- Prado, D., Pennington, R. and Pendry, C. (2000). Neotropical seasonally dry forests and Quaternary vegetation changes. *Journal of Biogeography*, *27*, pp.261-273.
- Pricope, N.G. and Binford, M.W., 2012. A spatio-temporal analysis of fire recurrence and extent for semi-arid savanna ecosystems in southern Africa using moderate-resolution satellite imagery. *Journal of environmental management*, *100*, pp.72-85.
- Pricope, N.G., Gaughan, A.E., All, J.D., Binford, M.W. and Rutina, L.P., 2015. Spatio-temporal analysis of vegetation dynamics in relation to shifting inundation and fire regimes: disentangling environmental variability from land management decisions in a Southern African Transboundary Watershed. *Land*, *4*(3), pp.627-655.
- Prince, S. D., 2012. Mapping desertification in southern Africa. In Land Change Science (pp. 163-184): *Springer.*
- Prince, S.D., 1991. Satellite remote sensing of primary production: comparison of results for Sahelian grasslands 1981-1988. International Journal of remote sensing, 12(6), pp.1301-1311.
- Privette, J.L. and Roy, D.P., 2005. Southern Africa as a remote sensing test bed: The SAFARI 2000 Special Issue overview. *International journal of remote sensing*, *26*(19), pp.4141-4158.

- Propastin, P., 2012. Modifying geographically weighted regression for estimating aboveground biomass in tropical rainforests by multispectral remote sensing data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 18, 82-90.
- Pu, R., Landry, S. and Yu, Q., 2018. Assessing the potential of multi-seasonal high resolution Pléiades satellite imagery for mapping urban tree species. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 71, pp.144-158.
- Puhr, C.B. and Donoghue, D.N.M., 2000. Remote sensing of upland conifer plantations using Landsat TM data: a case study from Galloway, south-west Scotland. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *21*(4), pp.633-646.
- Putz, F.E. and Redford, K.H., 2010. The importance of defining 'forest': Tropical forest degradation, deforestation, long-term phase shifts, and further transitions. *Biotropica*, 42(1), pp.10-20.
- Quijas, S., Romero-Duque, L.P., Trilleras, J.M., Conti, G., Kolb, M., Brignone, E. and Dellafiore, C. (2019). Linking biodiversity, ecosystem services, and beneficiaries of tropical dry forests of Latin America: Review and new perspectives. *Ecosystem services*, *36*, p.100909.
- R CORE TEAM, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>
- Visscher, D., 2006. GPS measurement error and resource selection functions in a fragmented landscape. *Ecography*, *29*(3), pp.458-464.
- Rahmoune, R., Ferrazzoli, P., Kerr, Y.H. and Richaume, P. (2013). SMOS level 2 retrieval algorithm over forests: Description and generation of global maps. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, *6*(3), pp.1430-1439.
- Ramoelo, A., Cho, M.A., Mathieu, R., Madonsela, S., Van De Kerchove, R., Kaszta, Z. and Wolff, E., 2015. Monitoring grass nutrients and biomass as indicators of rangeland quality and quantity using random forest modelling and WorldView-2 data. International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation, 43, 43-54.
- Rampheri, M., Dube, T. and Dhau, I., 2020. Use of remotely sensed data to estimate tree species diversity as an indicator of biodiversity in Blouberg Nature Reserve, South Africa. *Geocarto International*, pp.1-17.
- Rands, M.R., Adams, W.M., Bennun, L., Butchart, S.H., Clements, A., Coomes, D., Entwistle, A., Hodge, I., Kapos, V., Scharlemann, J.P. and Sutherland, W.J., 2010. Biodiversity conservation: challenges beyond 2010. *science*, 329(5997), pp.1298-1303.
- Ranjan, R., Chopra, U.K., Sahoo, R.N., Singh, A.K. and Pradhan, S., 2012. Assessment of plant nitrogen stress in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) through hyperspectral indices. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 33(20), pp.6342-6360.
- Reiche, J., Lucas, R., Mitchell, A.L., Verbesselt, J., Hoekman, D.H., Haarpaintner, J., Kellndorfer, J.M., Rosenqvist, A., Lehmann, E.A., Woodcock, C.E. and Seifert, F.M., 2016. Combining satellite data for better tropical forest monitoring. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(2), pp.120-122.
- Reynolds, J., Wesson, K., Desbiez, A.L., Ochoa-Quintero, J.M. and Leimgruber, P. (2016). Using remote sensing and random forest to assess the conservation status of critical Cerrado habitats in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Land*, *5*(*2*), p.12.
- Reynolds, J.F., Smith, D.M.S., Lambin, E.F., Turner, B.L., Mortimore, M., Batterbury, S.P., Downing, T.E., Dowlatabadi, H., Fernández, R.J., Herrick, J.E. and Huber-Sannwald, E., 2007. Global

desertification: building a science for dryland development. *science*, *316*(5826), pp.847-851.

- Ringrose, S., Chipanshi, A.C., Matheson, W., Chanda, R., Motoma, L., Magole, I. and Jellema, A., 2002. Climate-and human-induced woody vegetation changes in Botswana and their implications for human adaptation. *Environmental management*, *30*(1), pp.98-109.
- Ringrose, S., Matheson, W., Matlala, C.J.S.S., O'Neill, T. and Werner, P.A., 1994. Vegetation spectral reflectance along a north-south vegetation gradient in northern Australia. *Journal of Biogeography*, pp.33-47.
- Rodríguez, J.P., Nassar, J.M., Rodríguez-Clark, K.M., Zager, I., Portillo-Quintero, C.A., Carrasquel, F. and Zambrano, S. (2008). Tropical dry forests in Venezuela: assessing status, threats and future prospects. Environmental Conservation, 35(4), pp.311-318.
- Rouse, J.W., 1974. Monitoring the vernal advancement of retrogradation of natural vegetation. NASA/GSFC, type III, *final report, greenbelt*, MD, 371.
- Rumiano, F., Wielgus, E., Miguel, E., Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Valls-Fox, H., Cornélis, D., Garine-Wichatitsky, M.D., Fritz, H., Caron, A. and Tran, A., 2020. Remote sensing of environmental drivers influencing the movement ecology of sympatric wild and domestic ungulates in semi-arid savannas, a review. *Remote Sensing*, 12(19), p.3218.
- Russell-Smith, J., Monagle, C., Jacobsohn, M., Beatty, R.L., Bilbao, B., Millán, A., Vessuri, H. and Sánchez-Rose, I., 2017. Can savanna burning projects deliver measurable greenhouse emissions reductions and sustainable livelihood opportunities in fire-prone settings?. *Climatic Change*, 140(1), pp.47-61.
- Rwanga, S.S. and Ndambuki, J.M., 2017. Accuracy assessment of land use/land cover classification using remote sensing and GIS. *International Journal of Geosciences*, 8(04), p.611.
- Saatchi, S.S., Harris, N.L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E.T., Salas, W., Zutta, B.R., Buermann, W., Lewis, S.L., Hagen, S., Petrova, S., 2011. Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(24), 9899-9904.
- Sader, S.A., Waide, R.B., Lawrence, W.T. and Joyce, A.T., 1989. Tropical forest biomass and successional age class relationships to a vegetation index derived from Landsat TM data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *28*, pp.143-198.
- Safriel, U., Adeel, Z., Niemeijer, D., Puigdefabregas, J., White, R., Lal, R., Winslow, M., Ziedler, J., Prince, S., Archer, E. and King, C., 2005. Dryland systems. In *Ecosystems and Human Wellbeing: Current State and Trends.: Findings of the Condition and Trends Working Group* (pp. 623-662). Island Press.
- Sakaizawa, D., MITSUHAHI, R., MUROOKA, J., Tadashi, I.M.A.I., Kimura, T. and Kazuhiro, A.S.A.I., 2018, July. Current status of the ISS-vegetation lidar Mission-MOLI. In *IGARSS 2018-2018 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium* (pp. 1861-1864). IEEE.
- Salajanu, D. and Olson, C.E., 2001. The significance of spatial resolution: Identifying forest cover from satellite data. Journal of forestry, 99(6), pp.32-38.
- Salis, S. M., Assis, M. A., Mattos, P. P., & Pião, A. C., 2006. Estimating the aboveground biomass and wood volume of savanna woodlands in Brazil's Pantanal wetlands based on allometric correlations. Forest Ecology and Management, 228(1-3), 61-68.

- Samanta, A., Ganguly, S. and Myneni, R.B., 2011. MODIS enhanced vegetation index data do not show greening of Amazon forests during the 2005 drought. *The New Phytologist*, 189(1), pp.11-15.
- Sanchez-Azofeifa, A., Portillo-Quintero, C., Wilson-Fernandes, G., Stoner, K. and Shimizu, T. (2013). The policy process for land use/cover change and forest degradation in the semi-arid Latin American/Caribbean region: perspectives and opportunities. A literature review prepared for the Inter-American Development Bank. A literature review prepared for the Inter-American Development Bank.
- Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Castro, K.L., Rivard, B., Kalascka, M.R. and Harriss, R.C. (2003). Remote sensing research priorities in tropical dry forest environments. Biotropica, 35(2), pp.134-142.
- Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Quesada, M., Rodríguez, J.P., Nassar, J.M., Stoner, K.E., Castillo, A., Garvin, T., Zent, E.L., Calvo-Alvarado, J.C., Kalacska, M.E. and Fajardo, L., 2005. Research priorities for Neotropical dry forests 1. *Biotropica: The Journal of Biology and Conservation*, 37(4), pp.477-485
- Santoro, M., Beer, C., Cartus, O., Schmullius, C., Shvidenko, A., McCallum, I., Wegmüller, U. and Wiesmann, A., 2011. Retrieval of growing stock volume in boreal forest using hypertemporal series of Envisat ASAR ScanSAR backscatter measurements. Remote Sensing of Environment, 115(2), pp.490-507.
- Santos, J.R., Lacruz, M.P., Araujo, L.S. and Keil, M., 2002. Savanna and tropical rainforest biomass estimation and spatialization using JERS-1 data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(7), pp.1217-1229
- Sarker, L.R. and Nichol, J.E., 2011. Improved forest biomass estimates using ALOS AVNIR-2 texture indices. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115(4), pp.968-977.
- Schimel, D., Pavlick, R., Fisher, J.B., Asner, G.P., Saatchi, S., Townsend, P., Miller, C., Frankenberg, C., Hibbard, K. and Cox, P., 2015. Observing terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle from space. Global Change Biology, 21(5), 1762-1776.
- Scholes, R.J. and Biggs, R.A., 2004. *Ecosystem services in Southern Africa a regional assessment* (No. 33355 Caja (533)). CSIR.
- Scholes, R.J., Frost, P.G. and Tian, Y., 2004. Canopy structure in savannas along a moisture gradient on Kalahari sands. *Global Change Biology*, *10*(3), pp.292-302.
- Scholes, R.J., Montanarella, L., Brainich, E., Barger, N., Ten Brink, B., Cantele, M., Erasmus, B., Fisher, J., Gardner, T., Holland, T.G. and Kohler, F., 2018. IPBES (2018): Summary for policymakers of the assessment report on land degradation and restoration of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
- Schröder, J.M., Rodriguez, L.P.A. and Günter, S., 2021. Research trends: Tropical dry forests: The neglected research agenda?. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *122*, p.102333.
- Schulte to Bühne, H. and Pettorelli, N., 2018. Better together: Integrating and fusing multispectral and radar satellite imagery to inform biodiversity monitoring, ecological research and conservation science. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(4), pp.849-865.
- Schultz, M., Shapiro, A., Clevers, J.G., Beech, C. and Herold, M., 2018. Forest cover and vegetation degradation detection in the Kavango Zambezi Transfrontier Conservation area using BFAST monitor. *Remote Sensing*, 10(11), p.1850.

- Senf, C., Pflugmacher, D., Hostert, P. and Seidl, R., 2017. Using Landsat time series for characterizing forest disturbance dynamics in the coupled human and natural systems of Central Europe. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *130*, pp.453-463.
- Sexton, J.O., Noojipady, P., Song, X.P., Feng, M., Song, D.X., Kim, D.H., Anand, A., Huang, C., Channan, S., Pimm, S.L. and Townshend, J.R., 2016. Conservation policy and the measurement of forests. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(2), pp.192-196.
- Sexton, J.O., Song, X.P., Feng, M., Noojipady, P., Anand, A., Huang, C., Kim, D.H., Collins, K.M., Channan, S., DiMiceli, C. and Townshend, J.R., 2013. Global, 30-m resolution continuous fields of tree cover: Landsat-based rescaling of MODIS vegetation continuous fields with lidar-based estimates of error. International Journal of Digital Earth, 6(5), pp.427-448.
- Shackleton, C., Shackleton, S.E., Gambiza, J., Nel, E., Rowntree, K., Urquhart, P., Fabricius, C. and Ainslie, A., 2010. *Livelihoods and vulnerability in the arid and semi-arid lands of southern Africa: exploring the links between ecosystem services and poverty alleviation*. Nova Publishers.
- Shannon, G., Thaker, M., Vanak, A. T., Page, B. R., Grant, R., Slotow, R., 2011. Relative impacts of elephant and fire on large trees in a savanna ecosystem. Ecosystems, 14(8), 1372-1381.
- Shao, Z., Zhang, L. and Wang, L. (2017). Stacked sparse autoencoder modeling using the synergy of airborne LiDAR and satellite optical and SAR data to map forest above-ground biomass. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 10(12), pp.5569-5582.
- Sheffield, J. and Wood, E.F., 2008. Projected changes in drought occurrence under future global warming from multi-model, multi-scenario, IPCC AR4 simulations. *Climate dynamics*, 31(1), pp.79-105.
- Shelestov, A., Lavreniuk, M., Kussul, N., Novikov, A. and Skakun, S., 2017. Exploring Google Earth Engine platform for big data processing: Classification of multi-temporal satellite imagery for crop mapping. *frontiers in Earth Science*, *5*, p.17.
- Shi, J., Jackson, T., Tao, J., Du, J., Bindlish, R., Lu, L. and Chen, K.S. (2008). Microwave vegetation indices for short vegetation covers from satellite passive microwave sensor AMSR-E. *Remote sensing of environment*, 112(12), pp.4285-4300.
- Shikangalah, R.N., 2020. The 2019 drought in Namibia: an overview. *J. Namibian Stud*, *27*, pp.37-58.
- Shimada, M., 2018. *Imaging from spaceborne and airborne SARs, calibration, and applications*. CRC Press.
- Sibanda, M., Mutanga, O. and Rouget, M., 2016. Discriminating rangeland management practices using simulated hyspIRI, landsat 8 OLI, sentinel 2 MSI, and VENµs spectral data. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 9(9), 3957-3969.
- Silva, J.M., Pereira, J.M., Cabral, A.I., Sá, A.C., Vasconcelos, M.J., Mota, B. and Grégoire, J.M., 2003. An estimate of the area burned in southern Africa during the 2000 dry season using SPOT-VEGETATION satellite data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108*(D13).
- Silvy, N.J. ed., 2020. The Wildlife Techniques Manual: Volume 1: Research. Volume 2: Management. JHU Press.

- Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J.B. and Baccini, A., 2011. Mapping forest canopy height globally with spaceborne lidar. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 116(G4).
- Simula, M., 2009. Towards defining forest degradation: comparative analysis of existing definitions. Forest Resources Assessment Working Paper, 154, p.59.
- Smith, W.K., Dannenberg, M.P., Yan, D., Herrmann, S., Barnes, M.L., Barron-Gafford, G.A., Biederman, J.A., Ferrenberg, S., Fox, A.M., Hudson, A. and Knowles, J.F., 2019. Remote sensing of dryland ecosystem structure and function: Progress, challenges, and opportunities. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 233, p.111401.
- Spinoni, J., Vogt, J., Naumann, G., Carrao, H. and Barbosa, P., 2015. Towards identifying areas at climatological risk of desertification using the Köppen–Geiger classification and FAO aridity index. *International Journal of Climatology*, *35*(9), pp.2210-2222.
- Stan, K. and Sanchez-Azofeifa, A. (2019). Tropical dry forest diversity, climatic response, and resilience in a changing climate. *Forests*, *10*(*5*), p.443.
- Staver, A.C., Archibald, S. and Levin, S.A. (2011). The global extent and determinants of savanna and forest as alternative biome states. *science*, *334(6053)*, pp.230-232.
- Steininger, M.K., 2000. Satellite estimation of tropical secondary forest above-ground biomass: data from Brazil and Bolivia. International journal of remote sensing, 21(6-7), 1139-1157.
- Stehman, S.V. and Czaplewski, R.L., 1998. Design and analysis for thematic map accuracy assessment: fundamental principles. Remote sensing of environment, 64(3), pp.331-344.
- Stehman, S.V., 2009. Sampling designs for accuracy assessment of land cover. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 30(20), pp.5243-5272.
- Stehman, S.V., 2009. Sampling designs for accuracy assessment of land cover. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 30(20), pp.5243-5272.
- Stoldt, M., Göttert, T., Mann, C. and Zeller, U., 2020. Transfrontier conservation areas and humanwildlife conflict: the case of the Namibian component of the Kavango-Zambezi (KAZA) TFCA. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), pp.1-16.
- Stoner, K.E., Timm, R.M., Frankie, G.W., Mata, A. and Vinson, S.B. (2004). Tropical dry-forest mammals of Palo Verde. Biodiversity conservation in Costa Rica. Learning the lessons in a seasonal dry forest. *University of California Press. Berkeley, Los Angeles*, pp.48-66.
- Story, M. and Congalton, R.G., 1986. Accuracy assessment: a user's perspective. *Photogrammetric Engineering and remote sensing*, *52*(3), pp.397-399.
- Stott, P., 2000. Combustion in tropical biomass fires: a critical review. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 24(3), pp.355-377.
- Stratoulias, D., Balzter, H., Sykioti, O., Zlinszky, A. and Tóth, V.R., 2015. Evaluating sentinel-2 for lakeshore habitat mapping based on airborne hyperspectral data. Sensors, 15(9), pp.22956-22969.
- Sunderland, T., Apgaua, D., Baldauf, C., Blackie, R., Colfer, C., Cunningham, A.B., Dexter, K., Djoudi, H., Gautier, D., Gumbo, D. and Ickowitz, A., 2015. Global dry forests: a prologue. *International Forestry Review*, 17(2), pp.1-9.

- Suresh, H.S., Dattaraja, H.S., Mondal, N. and Sukumar, R., 2011. Seasonally dry tropical forests in Southern India. An analysis of floristic composition, structure, and dynamics in Mudumalai Wildlife Sanctuary. *The ecology and conservation of seasonally dry forests in Asia*, pp.37-58.
- Svoboda, M. and Fuchs, B.A., 2016. Handbook of drought indicators and indices. Integrated drought management programme (IDMP), integrated drought management tools and guidelines series 2. *World meteorological organization and global water partnership, Geneva, Switzerland, 52*.
- Symeonakis, E., Higginbottom, T.P., Petroulaki, K. and Rabe, A., 2018. Optimisation of savannah land cover characterisation with optical and SAR data. *Remote Sensing*, *10*(4), p.499.
- Symeonakis, E., Korkofigkas, A., Vamvoukakis, G., Stamou, G. and Arnau-Rosalen, E. (2020). Deep Learning Monitoring of Woody Vegetation Density in a South African Savannah Region. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences-ISPRS Archives, 43*, pp.1645-1649.
- Thompson, I.D., Guariguata, M.R., Okabe, K., Bahamondez, C., Nasi, R., Heymell, V. and Sabogal, C., 2013. An operational framework for defining and monitoring forest degradation. *Ecology and Society*, *18*(2).
- Tian, F., Brandt, M., Liu, Y.Y., Rasmussen, K. and Fensholt, R. (2017). Mapping gains and losses in woody vegetation across global tropical drylands. *Global change biology, 23(4)*, pp.1748-1760.
- Timothy, D., Onisimo, M. and Riyad, I., 2016. Quantifying aboveground biomass in African environments: A review of the trade-offs between sensor estimation accuracy and costs. *Tropical Ecology*, *57*(3), pp.393-405.
- Toth, C. and Jóźków, G. (2016). Remote sensing platforms and sensors: A survey. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 115*, pp.22-36.
- Townsend, P. A, 2002. Estimating forest structure in wetlands using multitemporal SAR. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 79(2-3), 288-304.
- Trejo, I. and Dirzo, R. (2000). Deforestation of seasonally dry tropical forest: a national and local analysis in Mexico. *Biological conservation*, *94(2)*, pp.133-142.
- Treuhaft, R. N., Law, B. E., Asner, G. P., 2004. Forest attributes from radar interferometric structure and its fusion with optical remote sensing. *BioScience*, 54(6), 561-571.
- Trier, Ø.D., Salberg, A.B., Kermit, M., Rudjord, Ø., Gobakken, T., Næsset, E. and Aarsten, D. (2018). Tree species classification in Norway from airborne hyperspectral and airborne laser scanning data. *European Journal of Remote Sensing*, *51(1)*, pp.336-351
- Trollope, W.S.W., 1984. Fire in savanna. In *Ecological effects of fire in South African ecosystems* (pp. 149-175). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Tsalyuk, M., Kelly, M. and Getz, W.M., 2017. Improving the prediction of African savanna vegetation variables using time series of MODIS products. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *131*, pp.77-91.
- Tshipa, A., Valls-Fox, H., Fritz, H., Collins, K., Sebele, L., Mundy, P. and Chamaillé-Jammes, S., 2017. Partial migration links local surface-water management to large-scale elephant conservation in the world's largest transfrontier conservation area. *Biological Conservation*, 215, pp.46-50.

- Tu, M.C., Smith, P. and Filippi, A.M., 2018. Hybrid forward-selection method-based water-quality estimation via combining Landsat TM, ETM+, and OLI/TIRS images and ancillary environmental data. Plos one, 13(7), e0201255.
- Tucker, C.J., 1979. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 8(2), pp.127-150.
- Turner, D.P., Cohen, W.B., Kennedy, R.E., Fassnacht, K.S. and Briggs, J.M., 1999. Relationships between leaf area index and Landsat TM spectral vegetation indices across three temperate zone sites. *Remote sensing of environment*, *70*(1), pp.52-68.
- Turner, M.G., 2010. Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology*, 91(10), pp.2833-2849.
- UN., 2011. Global drylands: a UN system-wide response. Environment Management Group of the United Nations Geneva. <u>http://www.unccd.int/Lists/SiteDocumentLi</u> <u>brary/Publications/Global Drylands Full Report.pdf/</u> (accessed 19 July 2021).
- UN., 2015. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *United Nations: New York, NY, USA*.
- UNCCD., 1994. United Nations: Convention to combat desertification in those countries experiencing serious drought and/or desertification, particularly in Africa. *Int. Legal Mater*, *33*, pp.1328-1382.
- UNCCD., 2009. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification: Climate Change in the African Drylands. Options and Opportunities for Adaptation and Mitigation. In: UNDP and UNEP Nairobi.
- UNCCD., 2015. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD),UNCCD's support for the New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD). <u>http://www.un.org/en/africa/osaa/pdf/unsystemfolder/2016/unccd2016.pdf/</u> (accessed 01 July 2021).
- UNFCCC., 2009. Methodological guidance for activities relating to reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation and the role of conservation, sustainable management of forests and enhancement of forest carbon stocks in developing countries. In. Decision 4/CP.15. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change,: Bonn.
- Unger, D.R., Hung, I.K., Brooks, R. and Williams, H., 2014. Estimating number of trees, tree height and crown width using Lidar data. *GIScience & remote sensing*, *51*(3), pp.227-238.
- Urban, M., Berger, C., Mudau, T.E., Heckel, K., Truckenbrodt, J., Onyango Odipo, V., Smit, I.P. and Schmullius, C., 2018. Surface moisture and vegetation cover analysis for drought monitoring in the Southern Kruger National Park using sentinel-1, sentinel-2, and landsat-8. *Remote Sensing*, 10(9), p.1482.
- USAID., 2010. USAID/NAMIBIA Environmental Threats and opportunities assessment with an emphasis on tropical forestry and biodiversity conservation. Prepared by the International Resource Group (IRG) for the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). *Washington, DC, USA*.
- USAID., 2013. ANGOLA BIODIVERSITY AND TROPICAL FORESTS: 118/119 ASSESSMENT. https://usaidgems.org/Documents/FAA&Regs/FAA118119/Angola2013.pdf/ (accessed 14 March 2021).

- Valentini, R., Arneth, A., Bombelli, A., Castaldi, S., Cazzolla Gatti, R., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Grieco, E., Hartmann, J., Henry, M. and Houghton, R.A., 2014. A full greenhouse gases budget of Africa: synthesis, uncertainties, and vulnerabilities. *Biogeosciences*, *11*(2), pp.381-407.
- van Bommel, F.P., Heitkönig, I.M., Epema, G.F., Ringrose, S., Bonyongo, C. and Veenendaal, E.M., 2006. Remotely sensed habitat indicators for predicting distribution of impala (Aepyceros melampus) in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. *Journal of tropical ecology*, *22*(1), pp.101-110.
- van der Sande, M.T., Peña-Claros, M., Ascarrunz, N., Arets, E.J., Licona, J.C., Toledo, M. and Poorter, L., 2017. Abiotic and biotic drivers of biomass change in a Neotropical forest. *Journal of Ecology*, *105*(5), pp.1223-1234.
- van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G.J., Kasibhatla, P.S. and Arellano Jr, A.F., 2006. Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions from 1997 to 2004. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(11), pp.3423-3441.
- Van Marle, M.J.E., Van Der Werf, G.R., De Jeu, R.A.M. and Liu, Y.Y. (2016). Annual South American forest loss estimates based on passive microwave remote sensing (1990–2010). *Biogeosciences*, 13(2), pp.609-624.
- Van Wilgen, B.W., Govender, N. and Biggs, H.C., 2007. The contribution of fire research to fire management: a critical review of a long-term experiment in the Kruger National Park, South Africa. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, 16(5), pp.519-530.
- Veldkamp, A. and Lambin, E. F., 2001. Predicting land-use change. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 85(1-3), pp. 1-6.
- Venter, Z.S., Scott, S.L., Desmet, P.G. and Hoffman, M.T., 2020. Application of Landsat-derived vegetation trends over South Africa: Potential for monitoring land degradation and restoration. *Ecological Indicators*, *113*, p.106206.
- Verbesselt, J., Hyndman, R., Newnham, G. and Culvenor, D., 2010a. Detecting trend and seasonal changes in satellite image time series. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 114(1), pp.106-115.
- Verbesselt, J., Hyndman, R., Zeileis, A. and Culvenor, D., 2010b. Phenological change detection while accounting for abrupt and gradual trends in satellite image time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(12), pp.2970-2980.
- Verbesselt, J., Somers, B., Lhermitte, S., Jonckheere, I., van Aardt, J., and Coppin, P., 2007. Monitoring herbaceous fuel moisture content with SPOT VEGETATION time-series for fire risk prediction in savanna ecosystems. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 108(4), pp.357-368.
- Verbesselt, J., Somers, B., van Aardt, J., Jonckheere, I., and Coppin, P., 2006. Monitoning herbaceous biomass and water content with SPOT VEGETATION time-series to improve fire risk assessment in savanna ecosystems. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 101(3), pp.399-414.
- Verbesselt, J., Zeileis, A. and Herold, M., 2012. Near real-time disturbance detection using satellite image time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 123, pp.98-108.
- Verlinden, A. and Laamanen, R., 2006a. Long term fire scar monitoring with remote sensing in northern Namibia: Relations between fire frequency, rainfall, land cover, fire management and trees. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 112(1-3), pp.231-253.
- Verlinden, A. and Laamanen, R., 2006b. Modeling woody vegetation resources using Landsat TM imagery in northern Namibia. *Southern African Forestry Journal*, 207(1), pp.27-39.

- Vermote, E.F., El Saleous, N.Z. and Justice, C.O., 2002. Atmospheric correction of MODIS data in the visible to middle infrared: first results. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 83(1-2), pp.97-111.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Cabello, D., Tomás-Burguera, M., Martín-Hernández, N., Beguería, S., Azorin-Molina, C. and Kenawy, A.E., 2015. Drought variability and land degradation in semiarid regions: Assessment using remote sensing data and drought indices (1982–2011). *Remote Sensing*, 7(4), pp.4391-4423.
- Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Miralles, D.G., Domínguez-Castro, F., Azorin-Molina, C., El Kenawy, A., McVicar, T.R., Tomás-Burguera, M., Beguería, S., Maneta, M. and Peña-Gallardo, M., 2018. Global assessment of the Standardized Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (SEDI) for drought analysis and monitoring. *Journal of Climate*, *31*(14), pp.5371-5393.
- Viovy, N., Arino, O. and Belward, A.S., 1992. The Best Index Slope Extraction (BISE): A method for reducing noise in NDVI time-series. *International Journal of remote sensing*, 13(8), pp.1585-1590.
- Vogt, J.V., Safriel, U., Von Maltitz, G., Sokona, Y., Zougmore, R., Bastin, G. and Hill, J., 2011. Monitoring and assessment of land degradation and desertification: towards new conceptual and integrated approaches. *Land Degradation & Development*, *22*(2), pp.150-165.
- Wagenseil, H. and Samimi, C., 2006. Assessing spatio-temporal variations in plant phenology using Fourier analysis on NDVI time series: results from a dry savannah environment in Namibia. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *27*(16), pp.3455-3471.
- Walter, H. and Burnett, J.H., 1971. *Ecology of tropical and subtropical vegetation* (Vol. 539). Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
- Wang, F. M., Huang, J. F., Tang, Y. L., Wang, X. Z., 2007. New vegetation index and its application in estimating leaf area index of rice. Rice Science, 14(3), 195-203.
- Wang, L., Jia, M., Yin, D., and Tian, J., 2019. A review of remote sensing for mangrove forests: 1956–2018. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 231, 111223.
- Wang, Y., Day, J. L., and Davis, F. W., 1998. Sensitivity of modeled C- and L-band radar backscatter to ground surface parameters in loblolly pine forest. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 66(3),pp. 331-342.
- Warren, M.S., Brumby, S.P., Skillman, S.W., Kelton, T., Wohlberg, B., Mathis, M., Chartrand, R., Keisler, R. and Johnson, M., 2015, October. Seeing the Earth in the Cloud: Processing one petabyte of satellite imagery in one day. In 2015 IEEE Applied Imagery Pattern Recognition Workshop (AIPR) (pp. 1-12). IEEE.
- Washington-Allen, R.A., Ramsey, R.D., West, N.E. and Norton, B.E., 2008. Quantification of the ecological resilience of drylands using digital remote sensing. *Ecology and Society*, *13*(1).
- Washington-Allen, R.A., West, N.E., Ramsey, R.D. and Efroymson, R.A., 2006. A protocol for retrospective remote sensing-based ecological monitoring of rangelands. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 59(1), pp.19-29.
- Watson, R.T., Zinyowera, M.C. and Moss, R.H., 1996. Climate change 1995. Impacts, adaptations and mitigation of climate change: scientific-technical analyses. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.<u>http://www.repositorio.cenpatconicet.gob.ar/bitstream/handle/123456789/577/clim</u> <u>ateChange1995ImpactsAdpatationsMitigation.pdf?sequence=1</u> (accessed 25 August 2021).*

- Watt, P., Bholanath, P., Dewnath, N., Smartt, T., Chan, C. and Donoghue, D., 2020. INTEROPERABILITY OF VARIOUS DATA STREAMS WITHIN GUYANA'S MRV SYSTEM. International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 42(3/W11).
- Watts, L.M. and Laffan, S.W., 2014. Effectiveness of the BFAST algorithm for detecting vegetation response patterns in a semi-arid region. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 154, pp.234-245.
- Wegmann, M., Santini, L., Leutner, B., Safi, K., Rocchini, D., Bevanda, M., Latifi, H., Dech, S. and Rondinini, C., 2014. Role of African protected areas in maintaining connectivity for large mammals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 369(1643), p.20130193
- Weng, X., Dong, Z., Wu, Q. and Qin, Y., 2015. China's path to a green economy. *Decoding China's green economy concepts and policies. Country report. IEED, London.*
- Wessels, K.J., Prince, S.D., Zambatis, N., MacFadyen, S., Frost, P.E. and Van Zyl, D., 2006. Relationship between herbaceous biomass and 1-km² Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) NDVI in Kruger National Park, South Africa. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(05), pp.951-973.
- Westinga, E., Beltran, A.P.R., De Bie, C.A. and van Gils, H.A., 2020. A novel approach to optimize hierarchical vegetation mapping from hyper-temporal NDVI imagery, demonstrated at national level for Namibia. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *91*, p.102152
- White, B.L.A. (2019). Satellite detection of wildland fires in South America. *Floresta, 49(4),* pp.851-858.
- Whitecross, M.A., Witkowski, E.T. and Archibald, S., 2017. Assessing the frequency and drivers of early-greening in broad-leaved woodlands along a latitudinal gradient in southern Africa. *Austral ecology*, *42*(3), pp.341-353.
- Wiens, J., Sutter, R., Anderson, M., Blanchard, J., Barnett, A., Aguilar-Amuchastegui, N., Avery, C. and Laine, S., 2009. Selecting and conserving lands for biodiversity: The role of remote sensing. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 113(7), pp.1370-1381.
- Wijaya, A., Liesenberg, V., Susanti, A., Karyanto, O. and Verchot, L.V., 2015. Estimation of Biomass Carbon Stocks over Peat Swamp Forests using Multi-Temporal and Multi-Polratizations SAR Data. Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spat. Inf. Sci, 551-556
- Wilcox, B.P., Sorice, M.G. and Young, M.H., 2011. Dryland ecohydrology in the anthropocene: Taking stock of human–ecological interactions. *Geography Compass*, 5(3), pp.112-127.
- Williams, A.P., Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Griffin, D., Woodhouse, C.A., Meko, D.M., Swetnam, T.W., Rauscher, S.A., Seager, R., Grissino-Mayer, H.D. and Dean, J.S., 2013. Temperature as a potent driver of regional forest drought stress and tree mortality. *Nature climate change*, 3(3), pp.292-297.
- Williams, M. R. C. M., Ryan, C. M., Rees, R. M., Sambane, E., Fernando, J., & Grace, J., 2008. Carbon sequestration and biodiversity of re-growing miombo woodlands in Mozambique. Forest Ecology and management, 254(2), 145-155.
- Williams, M., Hill, T.C. and Ryan, C.M., 2013. Using biomass distributions to determine probability and intensity of tropical forest disturbance. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, 6(1), pp.87-99.

- Wingate, V.R., Phinn, S.R., Kuhn, N. and Scarth, P., 2018. Estimating aboveground woody biomass change in Kalahari woodland: combining field, radar, and optical data sets. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *39*(2), pp.577-606.
- Wintle, B.A., Kujala, H., Whitehead, A., Cameron, A., Veloz, S., Kukkala, A., Moilanen, A., Gordon, A., Lentini, P.E., Cadenhead, N.C. and Bekessy, S.A., 2019. Global synthesis of conservation studies reveals the importance of small habitat patches for biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(3), pp.909-914.
- Wood, E.M., Pidgeon, A.M., Radeloff, V.C. and Keuler, N.S., 2012. Image texture as a remotely sensed measure of vegetation structure. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *121*, pp.516-526.
- Woodcock, C.E., Macomber, S.A., Pax-Lenney, M. and Cohen, W.B., 2001. Monitoring large areas for forest change using Landsat: Generalization across space, time and Landsat sensors. *Remote sensing of environment*, *78*(1-2), pp.194-203.
- Worldagroforestry, 2019. Worldwide open access tree functional attributes and ecological database. <u>http://db.worldagroforestry.org//species/properties/Baikiaea_plurijuga/</u> (accessed 12 December 2019).
- Wu, C., Shen, H., Shen, A., Deng, J., Gan, M., Zhu, J., Xu, H. and Wang, K., 2016. Comparison of machine-learning methods for above-ground biomass estimation based on Landsat imagery. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing, 10(3), 035010.
- Wu, L., Li, Z., Liu, X., Zhu, L., Tang, Y., Zhang, B., Xu, B., Liu, M., Meng, Y. and Liu, B., 2020. Multi-type forest change detection using BFAST and monthly landsat time series for monitoring spatiotemporal dynamics of forests in subtropical wetland. *Remote Sensing*, 12(2), p.341
- Wulder, M.A., Hall, R.J., Coops, N.C. and Franklin, S.E., 2004. High spatial resolution remotely sensed data for ecosystem characterization. BioScience, 54(6), pp.511-521.
- Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Nelson, R.F., Næsset, E., Ørka, H.O., Coops, N.C., Hilker, T., Bater, C.W. Gobakken, T., 2012. Lidar sampling for large-area forest characterization: A review. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 121, 196-209.
- WWF., 2016. Five countries work toward a common goal in southern Africa, Magazine Articles, WWF. World Wildlife Fund. <u>https://www.worldwildlife.org/magazine/issues/spring-2016/articles/five-countries-work-toward-a-common-goal-in-southern-africa/</u> (accessed 01 July 2021).
- Xie, H., Zhang, Y., Wu, Z. and Lv, T., 2020. A bibliometric analysis on land degradation: Current status, development, and future directions. *Land*, *9*(1), p.28.
- Xie, P. and Arkin, P.A., 1995. An intercomparison of gauge observations and satellite estimates of monthly precipitation. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, *34*(5), pp.1143-1160.
- Xu, K., Zhang, Z., Yu, W., Zhao, P., Yue, J., Deng, Y. and Geng, J., 2021. How spatial resolution affects forest phenology and tree-species classification based on satellite and up-scaled time-series images. Remote Sensing, 13(14), p.2716.
- Xue, J. and Su, B., 2017. Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: A review of developments and applications. *Journal of sensors, 2017*.
- Xulu, S., Peerbhay, K., Gebreslasie, M. and Ismail, R., 2018. Drought influence on forest plantations in Zululand, South Africa, using MODIS time series and climate data. *Forests*, *9*(9), p.528.

- Yang, J. and Prince, S.D., 2000. Remote sensing of savanna vegetation changes in Eastern Zambia 1972-1989. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *21*(2), pp.301-322.
- Yang, J., Weisberg, P.J. and Bristow, N.A., 2012. Landsat remote sensing approaches for monitoring long-term tree cover dynamics in semi-arid woodlands: Comparison of vegetation indices and spectral mixture analysis. Remote sensing of environment, 119, 62-71.
- Yengoh, G.T., Dent, D., Olsson, L., Tengberg, A.E. and Tucker III, C.J., 2015. Use of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) to assess Land degradation at multiple scales: current status, future trends, and practical considerations. *Springer*.
- Yoder, B.J. and Waring, R.H., 1994. The normalized difference vegetation index of small Douglas-fir canopies with varying chlorophyll concentrations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 49(1), pp.81-91.
- Zeileis, A., Leisch, F., Kleiber, C. and Hornik, K., 2005. Monitoring structural change in dynamic econometric models. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 20(1), pp.99-121.
- Zhang, W., Brandt, M., Wang, Q., Prishchepov, A.V., Tucker, C.J., Li, Y., Lyu, H., Fensholt, R., 2019.. From woody cover to woody canopies: How Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 data advance the mapping of woody plants in savannas. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 234, 111465.
- Zhang, X., Kondragunta, S. and Quayle, B., 2011. Estimation of biomass burned areas using multiple-satellite-observed active fires. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 49(11), pp.4469-4482.
- Zhao, K., Wulder, M.A., Hu, T., Bright, R., Wu, Q., Qin, H., Li, Y., Toman, E., Mallick, B., Zhang, X. and Brown, M., 2019. Detecting change-point, trend, and seasonality in satellite time series data to track abrupt changes and nonlinear dynamics: A Bayesian ensemble algorithm. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 232, p.111181.
- Zhao, P., Lu, D., Wang, G., Wu, C., Huang, Y., Yu, S., 2016. Examining spectral reflectance saturation in Landsat imagery and corresponding solutions to improve forest aboveground biomass estimation. *Remote Sensing*, 8(6), 469.
- Zhao, Z., Li, W., Ciais, P., Santoro, M., Cartus, O., Peng, S., Yin, Y., Yue, C., Yang, H., Yu, L. and Zhu, L., 2021. Fire enhances forest degradation within forest edge zones in Africa. *Nature Geoscience*, 14(7), pp.479-483.
- Zheng, G., Chen, J.M., Tian, Q.J., Ju, W.M. and Xia, X.Q., 2007. Combining remote sensing imagery and forest age inventory for biomass mapping. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 85(3), pp.616-623.
- Zhou, B., Okin, G.S. and Zhang, J., 2020. Leveraging Google Earth Engine (GEE) and machine learning algorithms to incorporate in situ measurement from different times for rangelands monitoring. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 236, p.111521
- Zhu, X. and Liu, D., 2015. Improving forest aboveground biomass estimation using seasonal Landsat NDVI time-series. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *102*, pp.222-231.
- Zhu, X.X., Tuia, D., Mou, L., Xia, G.S., Zhang, L., Xu, F. and Fraundorfer, F. (2017). Deep learning in remote sensing: A comprehensive review and list of resources. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine*, 5(4), pp.8-36.
- Zhu, Z. and Woodcock, C.E., 2012. Object-based cloud and cloud shadow detection in Landsat imagery. *Remote sensing of environment, 118*, pp.83-94.

- Zhu, Z. and Woodcock, C.E., 2014. Continuous change detection and classification of land cover using all available Landsat data. *Remote sensing of Environment*, 144, pp.152-171.
- Zhu, Z., 2017. Change detection using landsat time series: A review of frequencies, preprocessing, algorithms, and applications. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *130*, pp.370-384.
- Zhu, Z., Woodcock, C.E. and Olofsson, P., 2012. Continuous monitoring of forest disturbance using all available Landsat imagery. *Remote sensing of environment*, 122, pp.75-91.
- Zweede, M., Safford, H. and Juergens, G., 2006. USDA Forest Service Forest Resource Assessment Trip Kuando Kubango Province, Angola. support of the USAID Southern Africa's Okavango Integrated River Basin and the Angolan Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development's National Institute for Forestry Development and Management Project, May 16 – June 1, 2006. US-AID, Washington D.C., USA.

Appendix A

A policy brief published with N8 AgriFood at <u>https://policyhub.n8agrifood.ac.uk/</u>



Remote sensing could enable more evidence-based policy to monitor and manage tropical dryland forests

Key Messages

- Remote sensing and Earth Observation technologies help to assess and monitor forest ecosystems
 and provide spatially explicit, operational, and long-term data to assist the sustainable use of tropical
 environment landscapes.
- However, few studies assess carbon storage or biomass, and there is little research on EO methods for assessing REDD+ initiatives in dryland forests in most Southern African countries.
- Africa has the potential to emulate other continents, such as Latin America, that have made notable
 progress in employing freely available remote sensing data to monitor tropical dryland forest area
 change and biomass on a large scale.
- Greater use of a wider range of EO products could enable more evidence-based policy to prioritise
 sustainable use of forests, enabling the policy community to learn what works to reduce deforestation
 and forest degradation, to improve livelihoods in a changing climate.

The Research

Researchers have assessed the evidence base for a number of tropical dryland forests-remote sensing options, asking how remote sensing technology was used to monitor and estimate changes in dryland forests in southern Africa. The researchers considering evidence from over 130 peer-reviewed papers including research on land-use/land-cover, forest cover/types, biomass, forest structure, biodiversity/habitats, phenology, plant traits, and disturbances from drought and fire. It considered publication trends over time, study location, remote sensing sensor/platform used, spatial and temporal coverage, remote sensing product (e.g., biophysical indices) used, and application areas of the study (e.g., land cover, forest biomass).

Key findings and evidence

Publication trends	Although the volume of scientific literature has demonstrated a sharp increase, the use of remote sensing is still limited, and up until 2013, the number of publications on tropical dryland forests was relatively small.
Time scales	Time series analysis on dryland forests, which enables tracking changes is scarce, only 22 (16%) out of 137 studies feature time series lengths that exceed 15 years and only 11 (8%) studies that cover more than 20 years. Longer time series of remote sensing data afford the ability to assess the dynamics of forest structures, biodiversity, degradation, disturbance from climatic extremes, and change in phenology, in which a gap still exists.

Page 1



Spatial scales	Despite new sensor and EO data availability, it is clear that a systematic and consistent regional monitoring of dryland forests is not yet fully exploited and is still in its infancy in Southern Africa. In fact, the majority of publications 88 (64%) concentrated their research efforts on local scale investigations. To fully assess regional and long-term implications for tropical dryland forest change studies, analyses on large(r) scales are needed, ideally with higher spatial resolutions and longer temporal duration.
Geographical focus	The Republic of South Africa is, by far the most studied nation across all categories in Southern Africa and the dryland forests of Angola, Mozambique, Lesotho, Swaziland, and Zambia are noticeably very poorly studied. In terms of National Parks, a large proportion of studies were undertaken in the Kruger National Park, leaving many other private and international protected areas relatively understudied. Future efforts to estimate important variables such as forest cover and biomass need not be restricted by country boundaries.
Research categories	Most studies focused on forest cover/types 41 (26%) and land cover/land use 36 (23%) categories while there is limited research on forest biomass and structures, disturbances from drought, phenology, plant traits, and biodiversity/habitats.
Vegetation indices	More than half of the studies, 84 (54%) of papers utilised the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI, and few studies used other vegetation indices. Testing other vegetation indices beyond NDVI such as the Sentinel-2 red-edge related indices is needed in tropical dryland forests.
Remote sensing sensors	Imagery from optical sensors is most commonly used, out of all sensor types. More than 90% of papers investigated used optical sensors, 6% used SAR data and only 4% used a combination of SAR and Optical sensors. Further improvements should focus on extensive combination and fusion of SAR and optical data.
Validation and accuracy assessments	Our results show there is limited information on sources of error and uncertainty levels of the estimates provided by most studies, with only 54 (39%) of the studies appearing to have performed some form of accuracy assessment. Evidence indicates a need for more frequent use of field observation and inventory data, a greater use of validation/accuracy assessments.
Use of innovative remote sensing platforms	Only nine papers (6%) out of 137 used cloud-based geospatial analysis platforms such as Google Earth Engine (GEE) to access or analyse remote sensing data. The web- based platforms that reduce the need for costly local infrastructure (e.g., GEE), is an opportunity to overcome the limitations previously enforced by data scarcity, large volumes of data, and the scale of analysis.

Limitations

- There is limited information on sources of error and uncertainty levels of the estimates provided by most studies assessed. As a result, for some interventions, there is not sufficient evidence to determine whether the number of studies done equates to research quality, which remains difficult to articulate from a review of this nature.
- One major problem encountered is that commonly used vegetation indices and classification schemes are generalised from better-studied ecosystems, such as temperate and rain forests and this has led to poor accuracy results when extrapolated to, for example, tropical dryland forests, making it difficult to create robust syntheses for decision-makers in policy and practice.

Find out more

For more information, please contact: Ruusa-Magano David Email: <u>ruusa-magano.david@durham.ac.uk</u> Department of Geography, University of Durham

Policy Brief: doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5566493

N8 AgriFood Food Systems Policy Hub

Email: policy@n8agrifood.ac.uk Website: https://policyhub.n8agrifood.ac.uk

This brief is one of a collection produced by participants on the Rapid Evidence Synthesis Training (REST) programme. REST was delivered through a collaboration between the University of Leeds, Newcastle University and the N8 AgriFood Programme, supported by Research England QR-SPF funds from the University of Leeds and the University of York.

Page 2

Appendix B

The analytical codes used in this thesis have been written in R and Google Earth Engine developed by Ruusa David. The substantial code will be uploaded in GitHub.

CHAPTER 2

2A. R CODE FOR ANALYSING AND PLOTTING DATA

This part of the R code is for analysing data for the systematic review

<u>Number of papers integrating remote sensing and dryland forests</u> <u>in Southern Africa.</u>

<u># Install needed packages through the pkgTest which is a helper function to</u> <u>load packages and install packages only when they are not installed yet.</u>

```
pkgTest <- function(x)
{
    if (x %in% rownames(installed.packages()) == FALSE) {
        install.packages(x, dependencies= TRUE)
    }
    library(x, character.only = TRUE)
}
neededPackages <- c("sp","zoo", "ggplot2", "dplyr")
for (package in neededPackages){pkgTest(package)}</pre>
```

<u>#Load the library</u>

library(ggplot2) library(dplyr) library(tidyverse) library(sf) library(scales)

library(ggrepel)

<u>#path to data</u>

path=("C:/ ")

<u>#Read the data</u>

No_study_SA <-read.csv(paste(path,"File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Create the chart</u>

No_study_SA_plot1<- ggplot(No_study_SA, aes(y = NoPublication, x = Year, width=.60)) + geom_col(fill = "aquamarine4", colour = "grey38", width=.85)

No_study_SA_plot2<- No_study_SA _plot1 + labs(x = "Year", y = "Number of publications")+scale_x_continuous(breaks=seq(1997,2020,2))+scale_y_continuous (breaks = breaks_width(2))+theme_bw()+geom_smooth(method = "lm", colour="red", linetype="dashed", size=1.5,se=FALSE)

No_study_SA_plot2<- No_study_R_topic_country_plot2 + theme(text=element_text(family="Tahoma",colour="black", size = 15),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size =12),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 12))

<u>#run lm to get the intercept and slope</u>

lm(formula = NoPublication ~Year, data = No_study_SA_plot2)

<u>#plot a trend line on the line graph</u>

No_study_SA_plot2<- No_study_SA _plot2 + geom_abline(intercept = -1100.7132, slope = 0.5509 , colour="red", linetype="dashed", size=1.5)

<u> #Plot the Chart</u>

No_study_SA_plot2

Number of papers by research institutions.

<u>#Read the data</u>

No_study_Inst <-read.csv(paste(path," File.csv ",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Create the Chart</u>

No_study_Inst_plot1<- ggplot(No_study_Inst (x = NoPublication, y =Institution.Category, fill = Institution.Type)) + geom_col()

No_study_Inst_plot2<- No_study_R_topic_country_plot1 + labs(x = "Published papers", y = "1st author Country")+ scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Dark2") +theme_bw()

No_study_Inst_plot2<- No_study_R_topic_country_plot2 + theme(text=element_text(family="Tahoma",colour="black", size = 15),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size = 12),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 12))

No_study_Inst_plot2<- No_study_Inst_plot2 + guides(fill=guide_legend(title="Institution category"))

<u> #Plot the Chart</u>

No_study_Inst_plot2

<u>Spatial extent of studies.</u>

<u>#Read the data</u>

No_study_S_extent <-read.csv(paste(path," File.csv ",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Create the chart</u>

No_study_S_extent_plot1<- ggplot(No_study_S_extent, aes(x =Scale, y =NumberofPublication, fill = fct_inorder(Scale))) +

geom_col(colour = "grey50",width=0.9)

No_study_S_extent_plot2<- No_study_R_topic_country_plot1 + labs(x = "Spatial extent", y = "Number of publications")+ scale_colour_brewer() +scale_y_continuous(breaks = breaks_width(4))+ theme_bw()

No_study_S_extent_plot2<- No_study_S_extent_plot2 + theme(text=element_text(family="Tahoma",colour="black", size = 15),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size =12),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 12))

No_study_S_extent_plot2<- No_study_S_extent_plot2 + guides(fill=guide_legend(title="Spatial scale"))

<u> #Plot the Chart</u>

No_study_S_extent_plot2

<u>Temporal duration of studies.</u> <u>#Read the data</u>

No_study_T_extent <-read.csv(paste(path," File.csv ",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Create the chart</u>

No_study_T_extent_plot1<- ggplot(No_study_T_extent, aes(x = Year, y =NoPublication, fill = TemporalResolution, width=.85)) + geom_col(colour="grey39", size=0.60)

No_study_T_extent_plot2<- No_study_T_extent_plot1 + labs(x = "Temporal extent (years)", y = "Number of publications")+ scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set1") +scale_x_continuous(labels = 1:34, breaks = 1:34)+scale_y_continuous(breaks = breaks_width(4))+ theme_bw()

No_study_T_extent_plot2<- No_study_T_extent_plot2 + theme(text=element_text(family="Tahoma",colour="black", size = 15),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size =10),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 12))

No_study_T_extent_plot2<- No_study_T_extent_plot2 +guides(fill=guide_legend(title="Temporal resolution"))

<u> #Plot the Data</u>

No_study_T_extent_plot2

<u>Research topic categories</u> #Read the data

No_study_R_topic <-read.csv(paste(path," File.csv.csv",sep="",collapse=""))

<u># Add label position _#Note, calculate this before adding % sign to the number</u> <u>of publication</u>

No_study_R_topic <- No_study_R_topic %>%

```
arrange(desc(Research.focus)) %>% mutate(midpoint =
cumsum(Number.of.Publication) - 0.5*Number.of.Publication)
```

```
mycols <- c("#0073C2FF", "#EFC000FF", "#868686FF",
"#CD984CFF", "#007672FF", "#EFC000CC", "#896686FF", "#CD529CFF")
```

ggplot(No_study_R_topic, aes(x = "", y =Number.of.Publication, fill = Research.focus)) +

geom_bar(width = 1, stat = "identity", colour = "white") + coord_polar("y", start =
0)+

geom_text(aes(y = midpoint, label = Number.of.Publication), colour = "white")+

```
scale_fill_manual(values = mycols) + theme_void()
```

<u>#add columns for percentage</u>

No_study_R_topic <- No_study_R_topic %>%

mutate(Research.focus = factor(Research.focus,

levels = Research.focus[length(Research.focus):1]),

cumulative = cumsum(Number.of.Publication),

midpoint = cumulative - Number.of.Publication / 2,

labels = paste0(round((Number.of.Publication/ sum(Number.of.Publication))
* 100, 0), "%", " (", Number.of.Publication, ") "))

<u># Get the Pie Chart positions</u>

No_study_R_topic <- No_study_R_topic%>% mutate(csum = rev(cumsum(rev(Number.of.Publication))),

pos = Number.of.Publication/2 + lead(csum, 1),

pos = if_else(is.na(pos), Number.of.Publication/2, pos))

<u>#Plot the chart</u>

ggplot(No_study_R_topic, aes(x = "", y =Number.of.Publication, fill =
fct_inorder(Research.focus))) +

geom_col(width = 1, colour = 1) +

coord_polar(theta = "y") +

scale_fill_brewer(palette = "Set3") +

geom_label_repel(data = No_study_R_topic,

```
aes(y = pos, label =labels),
```

```
size = 4.5, nudge_x = 0.14, show.legend = FALSE) +
```

guides(fill = guide_legend(title = "Resesarch topic")) +

theme_void()

<u>Number of studies based upon platform and sensor type.</u> <u>#Read the data</u>

No_study_R_sensor <-read.csv(paste(path," File.csv ",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Create the chart</u>

No_study_R_sensor_plot1<- ggplot(No_study_R_sensor, aes(x =InstrumentName, y =NumberofPublication, fill = Sensor.Type,width=.60)) +

geom_col()

No_study_R_sensor_plot2<- No_study_R_sensor_plot1 + labs(x = "Platform", y = "Number of publications")+ scale_colour_brewer(palette = "Greens") +scale_y_continuous(breaks = breaks_width(10))+ theme_bw()+theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90))

No_study_R_sensor_plot2<- No_study_R_sensor_plot2 + theme(text=element_text(family="Tahoma",colour="black", size = 15),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size =12),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 12))

No_study_R_sensor_plot2<- No_study_R_sensor_plot2 + guides(fill=guide_legend(title="Sensor Type"))

<u> #Plot the Chart</u>

No_study_R_sensor_plot2

<u>Research topic by country</u>

<u>#Read the data</u>

No_study_R_topic_country<-read.csv(paste(path,"Article Assessment_reseracharea_bycountry_2.csv",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Create the chart</u>

No_study_R_topic_country_plot1<- ggplot(No_study_R_topic_country, aes(x = Country, y =Publications, fill = Research.Topic,width=.60)) + geom_col()

No_study_R_topic_country_plot2<- No_study_R_topic_country_plot1 + labs(x = "Country", y = "Number of publications")+ scale_fill_brewer(palette =

"Set2")+theme_bw()+scale_y_continuous(breaks = breaks_width(5))+theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90))

No_study_R_topic_country_plot2<- No_study_R_topic_country_plot2 + theme(text=element_text(family="Tahoma",colour="black", size = 15),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size =12),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 12))

<u> #Plot the Chart</u>

No_study_R_topic_country_plot2

CHAPTER 3

3A. GOOGLE EARTH ENGINE CODE FOR DOWNLOADING IMAGES, CLASSIFICATION AND CHANGE DETECTION

Google Earth Engine Code for downloading Landsat, Sentinel 1 and 2 images, satellite image classification and change detection

Image classification for Landsat 2004

https://code.earthengine.google.com/5f543641fb703ab0bbf23ea869e3d4a8?nolo ad=1

Image classification for 2018 code

https://code.earthengine.google.com/57348f290a26907372d530f21762c718?nol oad=1

Perform a Change detection

https://code.earthengine.google.com/d7618eedeaf46fcf53a7de56df0af330?noloa d=1

<u>Landsat image code</u>

https://code.earthengine.google.com/421117de52df03e0fabf48edac554aae?nolo ad=1

Sentinel image code

https://code.earthengine.google.com/33b7477b23ad3a8bf1f220486c283da1?nol oad=1

3B. R CODE FOR ESTIMATING FOREST STAND PARAMETERS

This part of the R code is for estimating forest stand parameters

<u>Estimates for forest stand parameters using Chave et al., 2005</u> <u>allometric Equation</u>

<u>ESTIMATES FOREST STAND PARAMETERS</u>

<u># Install needed packages through the pkgTest</u>

```
pkgTest <- function(x)
{
    if (x %in% rownames(installed.packages()) == FALSE) {
        install.packages(x, dependencies= TRUE)
    }
    library(x, character.only = TRUE)
}
neededPackages <- c("rgeos "," raster ", "ggplot2", "dplyr")</pre>
```

for (package in neededPackages){pkgTest(package)}

<u>#Load the library</u>

```
library(rgdal)
library(raster)
library(rgeos)
library(ggplot2)
library(rcompanion) #for transforming
library(Hmisc) # compute significance levels for pearson
library(dplyr) # to use select
library(ggpubr) #for ggscatterForest
library(ggpmisc)
library(corrplot) #Forest correlation
library(MASS) #for BOXCOX Transformation
library(devtools)
library(ithir) #To check regression prediction
library(MASS)
library(car)#for vif to test multicollinearity
```

library(performance) #To test model performance library(randomForest) library(DAAG) #for k fold validation in linear regression to test multicollinearity library(performance)

#Apply the allometric equation from Chave et al., 2005 for dry forest

ForestPlots <- plotdata %>% mutate(BasalArea_m2 = 0.0001*pi*(DBH/2)^2, standBasalArea_m2=0.0001*pi*(DBH/2)^2/0.05*20, WoodDensity = 0.79,

#Estimate DBH

AGB_kg_Chave_DBH = WoodDensity*exp(-0.667+(1.784*log(DBH))+(0.207*(log(DBH))^2)-(0.0281*(log(DBH))^3)))

#Estimate with DBH and total tree height (H)

AGB_kg_Chave_H_DBH = exp(-2.187+(0.916*log(WoodDensity*DBH^2*Height))),

CALCULATE/ ESTIMATES OF STAND LEVEL PARAMETERS

<u>(including DBH, Basal Area, Height, AGB, Carbon etc)</u>

StandForestParams <- ForestPlots %>%

group_by(ForestID) %>%

summarise(DBH_mean = mean(DBH, na.rm = T),

DBH_sd = sd(DBH, na.rm = T),

DBH_median= median(DBH, na.rm = T),

BA_mean = mean(BasalArea_m2, na.rm = T),

BA_sum = sum(BasalArea_m2, na.rm = T),

BA_sd = sd(BasalArea_m2, na.rm = T),

```
standBA_sum=sum(standBasalArea_m2, na.rm = T),
standBA_mean=mean(standBasalArea_m2, na.rm = T),
Height_mean = mean(Height, na.rm = T),
Height_median = median(Height, na.rm = T),
Height_sd = sd(Height, na.rm = T),
Tree_Density = n(),
AGB_kg_sum_Chav_Height_DBH = sum(AGB_kg_Chave_H_DBH, na.rm = T),
AGB_kg_sum_Chav_DBH = sum(AGB_kg_Chave_DBH, na.rm = T))
standParams <- left_join(StandPhysicalParams,StandForestParams, by =
"ForestID") %>%
mutate(Tree_DensityHa = Tree_Density*scalingFactor,
BA_m2Ha = BA_sum*scalingFactor,
AGB_kgHa_Chav_H = AGB_kg_sum_Chav_Height_DBH*scalingFactor,
AGB_tHa_Chav_H = AGB_kgHa_Chav_H/1000,
```

AGB_tCHa_Chav_H = AGB_tHa_Chav_H*0.5,

AGB_kgHa_Chav_DBH = AGB_kg_sum_Chav_DBH*scalingFactor, AGB_tHa_Chav_DBH = AGB_kgHa_Chav_DBH/1000, AGB_tCHa_Chav_DBH = AGB_tHa_Chav_DBH*0.5)

<u># Plots of forest stand parameters</u>

```
library (cowplot)
```

library(ggpubr)

#Stand forest DBH

Stand_DBH <-

ggplot(aes(ForestID, DBH_mean),

```
data = standParams[1:78,]) +
```

```
geom_col(aes()) +
```

theme_bw() +

theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),

text = element_text(size=12),

axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +
```
labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "Mean DBH (cm)") +
```

geom_errorbar(aes(ymin=DBH_mean-DBH_sd, ymax=DBH_mean+DBH_sd),

width=.5)

Stand forest Basal Area

```
Stand_BA <-
```

```
ggplot(aes(ForestID, BA_mean),
```

data = standParams[1:78,]) +

```
geom_col(aes()) +
```

theme_bw() +

theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),

text = element_text(size=12),

axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +

labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "Mean Basal Area (m2)") +

geom_errorbar(aes(ymin=BA_mean-BA_sd, ymax=BA_mean+BA_sd), width=.5)

Stand forest Height

```
Stand_Height <-
```

```
ggplot(aes(ForestID, Height_mean),
    data = standParams[1:78,]) +
  geom_col(aes()) +
  theme_bw() +
  theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
    text = element_text(size=12),
    axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +
  labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "Mean Tree Height (m)") +
  geom_errorbar(aes(ymin=Height_mean-Height_sd, ymax=Height_mean+Height_sd),
    width=.5)
```

Stand forest Tree Density

Stand_Density <-

```
ggplot(aes(ForestID, Tree_DensityHa),
    data = standParams[1:78,]) +
  geom_col(aes()) +
  theme_bw() +
  theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
    text = element_text(size=12),
    axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +
  labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "Tree Density (Trees ha-1)")
```

Above Ground Biomass using DBH for CHAVE

```
Stand_AGB_tha_DBH_Chav <-
```

ggplot(aes(ForestID, AGB_tHa_Chav_DBH),

data = standParams[1:78,]) +

geom_col(aes()) +

theme_bw() +

```
theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
```

text = element_text(size=12),

 $axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +$

```
labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "AGB with DBH; (t ha-1)")
```

```
Stand_AGB_tCha_DBH_Chav <-
```

ggplot(aes(ForestID, AGB_tCHa_Chav_DBH),

```
data = standParams[1:78,]) +
```

```
geom_col(aes()) +
```

```
theme_bw() +
```

```
theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
```

text = element_text(size=12),

axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +

```
labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "Total Carbon with DBH; (t C ha-1)")
```

Stand forest AGB with Height

```
Stand_AGB_tha_H_Chav <-
ggplot(aes(ForestID, AGB_tHa_Chav_H),
    data = standParams[1:78,]) +
geom_col(aes()) +
theme_bw() +
theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
    text = element_text(size=16),
    axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +
labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "AGB (Mg/ha)")</pre>
```

```
Stand_AGB_tCha_H_Chav <-
```

ggplot(aes(ForestID, AGB_tCHa_Chav_H),

data = standParams[1:78,]) +

 $geom_col(aes()) +$

theme_bw() +

theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),

text = element_text(size=16),

 $axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 55, hjust = 1)) +$

labs(x = "Plot ID", y = "Total Carbon (Mg/ha)")

#Plot the forest stand parameters Individually

#Plot the forest stand parameters in one Figure

StandFigure <- hist(Stand_AGB_tha_H_Chav,Stand_AGB_tCha_H_Chav, ncol = 1, nrow = 2, align = "v", axis = "r",labels="auto", label_size = 18) StandFigure

PLOT THE DENSITY AND HISTOGRAM PLOTS FOR AGB AND CARBON

3.1 Create density and histogram plots for Aboveground biomass (AGB) of each field plot with woodland trees.

```
AGB<-ggplot(standParams[1:78,], aes(x=AGB_tHa_Chav_H)) +
 geom_histogram(aes(y =..density..),
          breaks = seq(2, 170, by = 10),
          col="Black",
          fill = "#FF6666", alpha = .1) + theme_bw()+
 geom_density(alpha=.2, fill="black") +
# labs(title="AGB (Mg/ha)") +
 labs(x="AGB (Mg/ha)", y="Count") +
 theme(axis.line = element_line(size=1, colour = "black"),
    panel.grid.major = element_blank(),
    panel.grid.minor = element_blank(),
    panel.border = element_blank(),
    panel.background = element_blank(),
    plot.title=element_text(size = 20, face="bold"),
    text=element_text(size = 16),
    axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size = 14,face="bold"),
    axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 14,face="bold"),
    axis.title.x = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),
    axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),
    axis.text=element_text(colour="black", size=14))
```

<u>3.2 CARBON: Create density and histogram plots Carbon stock (Mg/ha) of each field</u> plot with woodland trees.

```
carbon<-ggplot(standParams[1:78,], aes(x=AGB_tCHa_Chav_H)) +
```

```
geom_histogram(aes(y =..density..),
```

breaks = seq(1.03, 84, by = 10),

```
col="black",
```

```
fill="#FF6666", alpha = .1
```

 $) + theme_bw()+$

```
geom_density(alpha=.2, fill="black") +
```

```
# labs(title="AGB (Mg/ha)") +
```

```
labs(x="Total Carbon (Mg/ha)", y="") +
```

```
theme(axis.line = element_line(size=1, colour = "black"),
```

panel.grid.major = element_blank(),

panel.grid.minor = element_blank(),

panel.border = element_blank(),

panel.background = element_blank(),

plot.title=element_text(size = 20, face="bold"),

text=element_text(size = 16),

axis.text.x=element_text(colour="black", size = 14,face="bold"),

axis.text.y=element_text(colour="black", size = 14,face="bold"),

axis.title.x = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),

axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),

axis.text=element_text(colour="black", size=14))

Plot the density and histogram plot for carbon

carbon

#Combine all the plots

ggarrange(AGB, carbon,

labels = c("A", "B"),common.legend=TRUE,legend = "top",<u># specify the legend</u> position and specify whether they should share the common legend or not.

ncol = 2, nrow = 2) <u># column and row numbers</u>

<u>2. Estimates the AGB using Linear Model (Raster data)</u>

<u>#Read the csv data</u>

S2chobezam_wo.num<-read.csv(paste(path,"File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Transform the data for normality</u>

S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL<-log(S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGB_tHa_Chav_H)

<u>#display histogram for transformed AGB</u>

hist(S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL)

#choose variables to work (Sentinel 1, Sentinel 2 and Landsat 8 bands and indices)

S2chobezam_wo.num=dplyr::select(S2chobezam_wo.num,AGBL,B2,B3,B4,B5,B6,B 7,B8,B8A,B11,B12,S1_VH,S1_VV,ndvi,grvi,evi,savi,msav,nbr,nbr2,gndvi,nR1,nR2,nR 3,nR4,ndi45,ireci,srtm)

<u>#read in Raster data-sentinel</u>

<u># NB: Load Sentinel 1, Sentinel 2, and Landsat 8 tif files, Below is an example of Sentinel 2 data loaded in r</u>

S2_chobe<-list.files ("Path/", pattern = ".tif\$", full.names = TRUE)</pre>

<u>#stack all bands</u>

#covariates are of the same scale in terms of resolution and extent.

S2_03_chobe<- stack(S2_chobe[])

<u># Linear Model prediction</u>

hv.MLR.rh <-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VH+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) vif(hv.MLR.rh) summary(hv.MLR.rh)

<u>#Estimate AGB using Linear Model</u>

<u>#predict from raster data</u>

map.MLR1<- exp(predict(S2_03_chobe,hv.MLR.rh,format = "GTiff", datatype =
"FLT4S", overwrite = TRUE)) # backtransform the log data to original</pre>

plot(map.MLR1, main = "S2 Biomass prediction with linear model")

including all bands and indices, and choose the right variables

tempD <- data.frame(cellNos = seq(1:ncell(S2_03_chobe)))
vals <- as.data.frame(getValues(S2_03_chobe))
tempD <- cbind(tempD, vals)
tempD <- tempD[complete.cases(tempD),]
cellNos <- c(tempD\$cellNos)
gXY <- data.frame(xyFromCell(S2_03_chobe, cellNos, spatial = FALSE))
tempD <- cbind(gXY, tempD)
str(tempD)</pre>

<u># backtransform the log data to original scale with exp</u>

map.MLR <- exp(predict(hv.MLR.rh, newdata = tempD))</pre>

map.MLR <- cbind(data.frame(tempD[, c("x", "y")]), map.MLR) #include x and y
coordinates</pre>

#rasterise the predictions for mapping

map.MLR.r <- rasterFromXYZ(as.data.frame(map.MLR[, 1:3])) #include the cell
numbers</pre>

plot(map.MLR.r, main = "S2 Biomass prediction with glm forest")

<u>Validate the AGB using Linear Model</u> <u># validate the Linear model</u>

<u>#split the data 70 and 30% for validation</u>

set.seed(123)

training <- sample(nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num), 0.7 *
nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num))</pre>

<u>#display the calibration data</u>

training

<u>#fit the model</u>

hv.MLR.rh <-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VH+S1_VV+gndvi+ndi45, data =S2chobezam_wo.num,y=TRUE, x=TRUE)

AGB.pred.F <- predict(hv.MLR.rh, S2chobezam_wo.num)

<u>#Evaluate the model with goof:</u>

goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL, predicted= AGB.pred.F,plot.it =
TRUE)

<u>#Check model performance</u>

model_performance(hv.MLR.rh)

<u>#Evaluate the calibration model</u>

AGB.pred.C <- predict(hv.MLR.rh, S2chobezam_wo.num[training,]) goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL[training], predicted = AGB.pred.C,plot.it = TRUE)

<u>#Evaluate the validation model</u>

AGB.pred.V <- predict(hv.MLR.rh, S2chobezam_wo.num[-training,]) goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL[-training], predicted = AGB.pred.V,plot.it = TRUE)

<u># set the CRS to +zone=35 +south +datum=WGS84</u>

crs(map.MLR.r) <- "+proj=utm +zone=35 +south +datum=WGS84 +units=m +no_defs +ellps=WGS84 +towgs84=0,0,0"

#Export the map

writeRaster(map.MLR.r, filename="Path", datatype = "FLT4S", overwrite = TRUE)

<u>Estimated AGB vs Field-based AGB for Linear Models (Calibration</u> <u>Data: 70%)</u>

<u>#Plot the predicted vs the observed for Linear Model</u>

<u>#fit the model</u>

chobe.MLR<-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(chobe.MLR)

predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.MLR, S2chobezam_wo.num)</pre>

goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL, predicted= predicted_AGB)

<u> #plot the model</u>

gg0 <- ggplot(S2chobezam_wo.num,aes(AGBL,predicted_AGB))+geom_point(aes()) #colour by forest types

gg0<-gg0+geom_point(size=4)

gg1 <- gg0 + geom_smooth(method="lm",se=FALSE, colour="black")#+geom_abline(linetype="dashed",col="red")

gg1

```
glm1<- gg1+stat_regline_equation (aes(label = paste(..adj.rr.label.., sep =
"~~~~")), label.x.npc = "left", label.y.npc = 0.95,hjust=0,size=5.5,face="bold")
#include Y</pre>
```

<u># Calculate RMSE</u>

chobe.MLR1 <-lm(AGBL~predicted_AGB, data =S2chobezam_wo.num)
rmse <- round(sqrt(mean(resid(chobe.MLR1)^2)), 2)</pre>

<u>#plot the rmse</u>

```
gg<-glm1 + geom_text(aes(x=0.5, y=4.8,size=30, label= paste("RMSE= ", rmse,
"Mg/ha"), hjust=0))
gg<-gg+theme_bw()
gg<-gg + labs(y="Predicted AGB (Mg/ha)", x = "Observed AGB (Mg/ha)", title = "(a)
MLR AGB Model")
rmse_xy<-gg + theme(
plot.title = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold.italic"),
axis.title.x = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold.italic"),
axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold"),
axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold"),
axis.text=element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold"),
axis.text=element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold"))
]
rmse_xy
```

<u>#Calculate the residuals</u>

chobe.MLR <-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num)</pre>

predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.MLR, S2chobezam_wo.num)</pre>

err<-predicted_AGB- S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL

df<-data.frame(residuals=err, fitted.values=predicted_AGB)

df2<-df[order(df\$fitted.values),]

plot(residuals~fitted.values, data=df2, ylab="Residuals", xlab="AGB (Mg/ha)",

main="(a MLR AGB residuals ", cex.lab=2.0, cex.main=2.0, cex.axis=2.0,pch=19,cex=1.4, font = 2, font.lab=2,font.main=4) +abline(0,0, col="black")

<u>Estimated AGB vs Field-based AGB for Linear Models (Validation</u> <u>Data: 30%)</u>

<u>#Plot the predicted vs the observed for Linear Model</u>

<u>#fit the model</u>

chobe.MLR<-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(chobe.MLR) predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.MLR, S2chobezam_wo.num) goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL, predicted= predicted_AGB)

<u>#plot the model</u>

gg0 <- ggplot(S2chobezam_wo.num,aes(AGBL,predicted_AGB))+geom_point(aes()) #colour by forest types

```
gg1 <- gg0 + geom_smooth(method="lm",se=FALSE,
colour="black")#+geom_abline(linetype="dashed",col="red")
```

gg1

```
glm1<- gg1+stat_regline_equation (aes(label = paste(..adj.rr.label.., sep = "~~~~")), label.x.npc = "left", label.y.npc = 0.95,hjust=0,face="bold") #include Y
```

<u># Calculate RMSE</u>

```
chobe.MLR1 <-lm(AGBL~predicted_AGB, data =S2chobezam_wo.num)
rmse <- round(sqrt(mean(resid(chobe.MLR1)^2)), 2)</pre>
```

<u>#plot the rmse</u>

```
gg<-glm1 + geom_text(aes(x=0.5, y=4.8, label= paste("RMSE= ", rmse, "Mg/ha"),
hjust=0))
gg<-gg+theme_bw()
gg<-gg + labs(y="Predicted AGB (Mg/ha)", x = "Observed AGB (Mg/ha)", title =
"AGB Model (a) Linear regression")
gg
rmse_xy<-gg + theme(
plot.title = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold.italic"),
axis.title.x = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),
axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),
axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=16, face="bold"),
axis.text=element_text(colour="black", size=14)
)
```

rmse_xy

#Calculate the residuals

```
chobe.MLR <-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num)
predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.MLR, S2chobezam_wo.num)
err<-predicted_AGB- S2chobezam_wo.num$AGBL
df<-data.frame(residuals=err, fitted.values=predicted_AGB )
df2<-df[order(df$fitted.values),]
```

<u> #Plot_the residuals</u>

```
plot(residuals~fitted.values, data=df2, ylab="Residuals", xlab="AGB (Mg/ha)",
main="AGB residuals (a) Linear regression ", cex.lab=1.5, cex.main=1.5,
cex.axis=1.5) +
abline(0,0, col="black")
```

Validate Estimated AGB vs Field-based AGB for Linear Models

<u>#split the data 70 and 30% for validation</u>

training <- sample(nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num), 0.7 *
nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num))</pre>

<u>#fit the model</u>

chobe.MLR <-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num[-training,]) summary(chobe.MLR) predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.MLR, S2chobezam_wo.num[-training,]) goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num[-training,]\$AGBL, predicted= predicted_AGB) RF.pred.C <- predict(chobe.MLR, newdata =S2chobezam_wo.num[training,])

<u>#calibration</u>

goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL[training], predicted = RF.pred.C,
plot.it=TRUE)

<u>#Validation</u>

MLR.pred.V <- predict(chobe.MLR, newdata = S2chobezam_wo.num[-training,])

goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL[-training], predicted =MLR.pred.V,plot.it = TRUE)

Estimates the AGB using Random Forest Model (Raster data)

#Split the data into calibration and validation dataset

set.seed(123)

training <- sample(nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num), 0.7 *
nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num))</pre>

<u>#fit the RF model</u>

chobe.rf.mod <-randomForest(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num,mtry=3,importance=TRUE,ntree=1000)

print(chobe.rf.mod)

<u>#Plot variable importance</u>

varImpPlot(chobe.rf.mod)

<u>#check the model residuals</u>

S2chobezam_wo.num\$residual <- S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBLpredict(chobe.rf.mod, newdata = S2chobezam_wo.num, plot.it=True) hist(S2chobezam_wo.num\$residual) mean(S2chobezam_wo.num\$residual)

<u># backtransform the log data to original</u>

map.RF.r1 <- exp(predict(S2_03_chobe, chobe.rf.mod, "Chobe Biomass_RF.tif", format = "GTiff", datatype = "FLT4S", overwrite = TRUE))

<u>#Plot the data</u>

plot(map.RF.r1 , main = "Random Forest model predicted Biomass")

<u>Estimated AGB vs Field-based AGB for Random Forest Model</u> (Calibration Data: 70%)

<u>#Plot the predicted vs the observed</u>

<u>#fit the model</u>

chobe.rf.mod <-randomForest(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num,mtry=3,importance=TRUE,ntree=1000, trace=true) print(chobe.rf.mod) predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.rf.mod, S2chobezam_wo.num) goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL, predicted= predicted_AGB)

<u>#plot the model</u>

gg0 <- ggplot(S2chobezam_wo.num,aes(AGBL,predicted_AGB))+geom_point(aes()) #colour by forest types gg0<-gg0+geom_point(size=4) gg1 <- gg0 + geom_smooth(method="randomForest", colour="black")+geom_abline(linetype="dashed",col="red")

```
gg1<-gg1+geom_abline(intercept = 0,slope=1,col="black")
```

glm1<- gg1+stat_regline_equation (aes(label = paste(..adj.rr.label.., sep =
"~~~~")), label.x.npc = "left", label.y.npc = 0.9,hjust=0,size=5.5,face="bold")
#include Y</pre>

<u># Calculate RMSE</u>

chobe.rf.mod1 <-randomForest(AGBL~predicted_AGB, data =S2chobezam_wo.num,importance=TRUE,ntree=1000)

```
rmse_function<-function(pred,actual){</pre>
```

```
sqrt(sum(pred-actual)^2)
```

}

rmse<-round(rmse_function(predicted_AGB,S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGB),2)</pre>

rmse

<u>#plot the rmse</u>

gg<-glm1 + geom_text(aes(x=0.5, y=4.3,size=30,face="bold", label= paste("RMSE= ", rmse, "Mg/ha"), hjust=0))

gg<-gg+theme_bw()

gg<-gg + labs(y="Predicted AGB (Mg/ha)", x = "Observed AGB (Mg/ha)", title = "(b) RFR AGB Model")

gg

```
rmse_xy<-gg + theme(
    plot.title = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold.italic"),
    axis.title.x = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold"),
    axis.title.y = element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold"),
    axis.text=element_text(colour="black", size=20, face="bold")</pre>
```

)

rmse_xy

<u>#Calculate the residuals</u>

```
chobe.rf.mod <-randomForest(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data
=S2chobezam_wo.num,mtry=3, importance=TRUE,ntree=1000)
```

print(chobe.rf.mod) predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.rf.mod, S2chobezam_wo.num) err<-predicted_AGB- S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL df<-data.frame(residuals=err, fitted.values=predicted_AGB) df2<-df[order(df\$fitted.values),]

<u> #Plot the residuals</u>

plot(residuals~fitted.values, data=df2, ylab="Residuals", xlab="AGB (Mg/ha)",

```
main="(b) RFR AGB residuals ", cex.lab=2.0, cex.main=2.0, cex.axis=2.0,pch=19,cex=1.4, font = 2, font.lab=2,font.main=4) +
```

abline(0,0, col="black",lwd=2.5)

<u>Validate Estimated AGB vs Field-based AGB for Random Forest</u> <u>Model</u>

#split the data 70 and 30% for validation

training <- sample(nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num), 0.7 *
nrow(S2chobezam_wo.num))</pre>

<u>#fit the model</u>

chobe.rf.mod <-randomForest(AGBL~B3+B5+S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num,mtry=3,importance=TRUE,ntree=1000)

```
print(chobe.rf.mod)
```

predicted_AGB <- predict(chobe.rf.mod, S2chobezam_wo.num)</pre>

```
goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num$AGBL, predicted= predicted_AGB)
```

Internal validation

```
RF.pred.C <- predict(chobe.rf.mod, newdata =S2chobezam_wo.num[training, ])
```

```
goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num$AGBL[training], predicted = RF.pred.C,
plot.it=TRUE)
```

#External validation

```
RF.pred.V <- predict(chobe.rf.mod, newdata = S2chobezam_wo.num[-training, ])
```

goof(observed = S2chobezam_wo.num\$AGBL[-training], predicted =
RF.pred.V,plot.it = TRUE)

Computing variables correlation

(i) PEARSON CORRELATION WITH S2 BANDS

<u>#Read the csv data</u>

S2chobezam_wo.num<-read.csv(paste(path," File.csv ",sep="",collapse=""))

<u>#Choose the variable (Sentinel 1, Sentinel 2 and Landsat 8 bands and indices)</u>

S2chobezam_wo.num2=dplyr::select(S2chobezam_wo.num,AGBL, B2,B3,B4,B5,B6,B7,B8,B8A,B11,B12,S1_VH,S1_VV,ndvi,grvi,evi,savi,msav,nbr,nbr2, gndvi,nR1,nR2,nR3,nR4,ndi45,ireci,srtm, HeightL, DenHAL)

compute the correlation matrix

cor2<-rcorr((as.matrix(S2chobezam_wo.num2)))</pre>

<u># compute variable p-values</u>

cor2\$P

(ii) CREATE A SCATTER PLOTS FOR CORRELATION

<u>#SAR sentinel 1 scatterplot</u>

#Plot S1_VV and AGB

S1_VV <- ggplot(data = S2chobezam_wo.num, aes(x =S1_VV, y = AGBL))+
geom_point(aes())
S1_VV<-S1_VV+geom_point(size=4)
S1_VV<-S1_VV+geom_smooth(method = "lm", se=FALSE, colour="black", formula =
y ~ x) #to exclude the line in the middle set (se=FALSE),</pre>

Get equation and r-squared as string #make a function to plot the equation

lm_eqn <- function(S2chobezam_wo.num){
 m <- lm(AGBL~S1_VV, S2chobezam_wo.num);
 eq <- substitute(italic(y) == a + b %.% italic(x)*","~~italic(r)^2~"="~r2,
 list(a = format(unname(coef(m)[1]), digits = 2),
 b = format(unname(coef(m)[2]), digits = 2),
 r2 = format(summary(m)\$r.squared, digits = 2)))
 as.character(as.expression(eq));}
S1_VV_eq <- S1_VV + geom_text(x = -15.0, y = 4.8, size=5.5,label =
 lm_eqn(S2chobezam_wo.num), parse = TRUE)
S1_VV_eq</pre>

<u># Calculate RMSE</u>

```
S1_VV_model<-lm(AGBL~S1_VV, data=S2chobezam_wo.num)
rmse <- round(sqrt(mean(resid(S1_VV_model)^2)), 2)</pre>
```

<u> # Plot_RMSE</u>

S1_VV_rmse<-S1_VV_eq + geom_text(aes(x=-16.0, y=4.5, size=35,label= paste("RMSE= ", rmse, "Mg/ha"), hjust=0))+theme_bw() S1_VV_rmse_xy <- S1_VV_rmse + labs(y="AGB (Mg/ha)", x="S1 VV Polarisation",title="(a) Sentinel-1 Backscatter Value on VV") S1_VV_rmse_xy<-S1_VV_rmse_xy + theme(text = element_text(size = 14)) S1_VH_rmse_xy

<u>#Sentinel 2 scatterplot</u> #Plot Sentinel 2 variable ands AGB

B2 <- ggplot(data = S2chobezam_wo.num, aes(x =B2, y = AGBL))+ geom_point(aes())

B2<-B2+geom_smooth(method = "lm", colour="black", formula = $y \sim x$) #to exclude the line in the middle set (se=FALSE),

#Get equation and r-squared as string #make a function to plot the equation

B2_eq <- B2 + geom_text(x = 0.06, y = 2, label = lm_eqn(S2chobezam_wo.num), parse = TRUE)

<u># Calculate RMSE</u>

B2_model<-lm(AGBL~B2, data=S2chobezam_wo.num) rmse <- round(sqrt(mean(resid(B2_model)^2)), 2)

<u> # Plot_RMSE</u>

B2_rmse<-B2_eq + geom_text(aes(x=0.05, y=1.5, label= paste("RMSE= ", rmse, "mg/ha"), hjust=0))+theme_bw() B2_rmse B2_rmse_xy <- B2_rmse + labs(y="AGB (Mg/ha)", x="Reflectance in B2",title="Sentinel 2") B2_rmse_xy<-B2_rmse_xy + theme(text = element_text(size = 14))

Simple and Multivariate regression models

CREATE THE SIMPLE MODEL FOR AGB USING SAR S1, S2 SPECTRAL

<u>BANDS, S2 INDICES.</u> NB: Only showed certain models, the rest of the models can be provided upon request

<u>#B3</u>

B2_lm <-lm(AGBL~B2, data =S2chobezam_wo.num)

summary(B2_lm)

r2(B2_lm)

model_performance(B2_lm)

<u>#B3</u>

B3_lm <-lm(AGBL~B3, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(B3_lm) r2(B3_lm) model_performance(B3_lm)

<u>#B5</u>

B5_lm <-lm(AGBL~B5, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(B5_lm) r2(B5_lm) model_performance(B5_lm)

<u>#NDVI</u>

ndvi_m <-lm(AGBL~ndvi, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(ndvi_lm) r2(ndvi_m) model_performance(ndvi_m)

<u>#GRVI</u>

grvi_m <-lm(AGBL~grvi, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(grvi_lm) r2(grvi_m) model_performance(grvi_m)

<u>#S1_VV</u>

S1_VV_lm <-lm(AGBL~S1_VV, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(S1_VV_lm) r2(S1_VV_lm) model_performance(S1_VV_lm)

<u>#S1_VH</u>

S1_VH_lm <-lm(AGBL~S1_VH, data =S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(S1_VH_lm) r2(S1_VH_lm) model_performance(S1_VH_lm)

#CREATE THE MULTIVARIATE MODEL AND PREDICTION FOR ABOVE GROUND BIOMASS USING SAR S1, S2 SPECTRAL BANDS, S2 INDICES

<u>**COMBINATIONS.**</u> NB: Only showed certain models, the rest of the models can be provided upon request

<u># a)model SAR S1</u>

sar.model<-lm(AGBL~S1_VH+S1_VV, data=S2chobezam_wo.num)</pre>

summary(sar.model)

r2(sar.model)

model_performance(sar.model)

vif(sar.model)

<u># b)Sentinel 2 bands</u>

sentinel2.model<-lm(AGBL~B3+B5+B4+B5+B6+B7+B8+B8A+B11+B12, data=S2chobezam_wo.num)

summary(sentinel2.model)
r2(sentinel2.model)
model_performance(sentinel2.model)
vif(sentinel2.model)

<u># c)Sentinel 2 and Sentinel 1 bands</u>

sentinel2SAR.model<lm(AGBL~B3+B5+B4+B5+B6+B7+B8+B8A+B11+B12+S1_VV+S1_VH, data=S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(sentinel2SAR.model) r2(sentinel2SAR.model) model_performance(sentinel2SAR.model) vif(sentinel2SAR.model)

<u># d) S2 indices only</u>

S2ind.model<lm(AGBL~ndvi+grvi+evi+savi+msav+nbr+nbr2+gndvi+nR1+nR2+nR3+nR4+ndi4 5+ireci, data=S2chobezam_wo.num) summary(S2ind.model)

r2(S2ind.model)

model_performance(S2ind.model)

vif(S2ind.model)

CHAPTER 4

GOOGLE EARTH ENGINE CODE FOR THE VEGETATION INDICES

Google Earth Engine Code for the vegetation Indices time series time series

Code generated for calculating different vegetation Indices using 8 day MODIS at 500m, developed by-Ruusa David August 2020

<u>//add the shapefile to the map</u>

Map.addLayer(Chobe, ndviVis,'NDVI 8 days') Map.addLayer(Chobe, ndviVis,'NDVI 8 days')

<u>// mask out cloud and bad pixels</u>

```
var maskclouds = function(image) {
    return image.updateMask(image.select("SummaryQA").eq(0));
    };
var maskcloudsQC = function(image) {
    var QA = image.select('StateQA')
    var bitMask = 1 << 10;</pre>
```

return image.updateMask(QA.bitwiseAnd(bitMask).eq(0))

}

// Load MODIS image collection

```
var MODIS = ee.ImageCollection("MODIS/006/MOD09A1")
.filterDate('2019-12-01', '2019-12-31')
.map(maskcloudsQC).max().clip(Chobe);
```

```
//create a function to calculate NDVI
```

```
var addNDVI = function(image){
  var newImg = image.normalisedDifference(['sur_refl_b02',
  'sur_refl_b01']).double()
  .rename('ndvi');
  return newImg.
   set({
    'system:index' : image.get('system:index'),
    'system:time_start' : image.get('system:time_start')
   });
};
var ndvi =addNDVI(MODIS);
```

```
//Define visualisation parameters
```

```
var ndviVis = {
    min: 0.0,
    max: 1.0,
    palette: [
        'FFFFFF', 'CE7E45', 'DF923D', 'F1B555', 'FCD163', '99B718', '74A901',
        '66A000', '529400', '3E8601', '207401', '056201', '004C00', '023B01',
        '001E01', '011D01', '011301'
    ], };
Map.addLayer(ndvi, ndviVis,'NDVI 8 days')
```

//create EVI function

```
Page | 379
```

```
'RED': image.select('sur_refl_b01'),
    'BLUE': image.select('sur_refl_b03')
  }
).rename('evi') }
var evi = addEVI(MODIS)
Map.addLayer(evi,ndviVis,'EVI 16 days')
```

//create a function to calculate GNDVI

```
var addGNDVI = function(image){
  var newImg = image.normalisedDifference(['sur_refl_b02',
'sur_refl_b04']).double()
  .rename('gndvi');
  return newImg.
   set({
```

'system:index' : image.get('system:index'),

'system:time_start' : image.get('system:time_start')

}); };

var gndvi =addGNDVI(MODIS);

Map.addLayer(gndvi, ndviVis, 'GNDVI 16 days')

<u>//Export the NDVI data</u>

```
Export.image.toDrive({
    image:ndvi ,
    folder: 'ChobeMODIS_1',
    fileNamePrefix: 'ND_12_2020',
    description:"Modis_ndvi_8_days_02_500m",
    region: Chobe,
    crs:"EPSG:32735 ",
    scale: 500,
    maxPixels:1e13
});
```

<u>//Export the EVI data</u>

Export.image.toDrive({ image: evi, folder: 'ChobeMODIS_1', fileNamePrefix: 'EV_12_2020', description:"Modis_evi_8_days_02_500m", region: Chobe, crs:"EPSG:32735 ", scale: 500, maxPixels:1e13 });

//Export the GNDVI data

Export.image.toDrive({
 image: gndvi,
 folder: 'ChobeMODIS_1',
 fileNamePrefix: 'GN_12_2020',
 description:"Modis_gndvi_8_days_02_500m",
 region: Chobe,
 crs:"EPSG:32735 ",
 scale: 500,
 maxPixels:1e13
});

R CODE FOR ANALYSING TIME SERIES OF DIFFERENT VEGETATION INDICES, AND CLIMATE DATA

This part of the R code is for analysing time series of different vegetation indices, climate data with change detection algorithms

<u>Script for gap filling Vegetation Index e.g. NDVI values derived</u> <u>from MODIS composites.</u>

Script for gap filling site level NDVI values derived from MODIS composites.

<u># Install needed packages through the pkgTest</u>

```
pkgTest <- function(x)
{
    if (x %in% rownames(installed.packages()) == FALSE) {
        install.packages(x, dependencies= TRUE)
    }
    library(x, character.only = TRUE)
}
neededPackages <- c("r imputeTS "," (lubridate )
for (package in neededPackages){pkgTest(package)}</pre>
```

<u># load Libraries</u>

library(tidyverse) library(imputeTS) library(lubridate)

<u>#Read the data</u>

MODIS<-read.csv(paste("File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))</pre>

<u># Convert date to Date format</u>

MODIS\$Date <- as.Date(MODIS\$Date, "%d.%m.%Y")

<u># Plot all the land cover types (forest, grassland, water etc) to analyse the data</u>

ggplot(MODIS %>% filter(NDVI > -1)) + geom_point(aes(Date, NDVI, col = PlotType)) + facet_wrap(~PlotType, ncol = 1)

<u># Plot one land cover types (forest, grassland, water etc) for all the 12 months</u> <u>to see data distribution</u>

ggplot(MODIS %>% filter(NDVI > -1) %>% filter(PlotType == "grass")) +
geom_point(aes(Date, NDVI, col = PlotType)) +

facet_wrap(~month)

<u># In this section, remove the lowest 1% of values in each month</u>

this method assumes low values are contamination and not real change so use with caution

1% could be changed to 5% by swapping 'probs=0:100/100' for 'probs=0:20/20' or by selecting

```
MODISa <- MODIS %>%
```

filter(NDVI > -1) %>%

group_by(PlotType,month) %>%

mutate(quantile = as.integer(cut(NDVI, quantile(NDVI, probs=0:100/100), include.lowest=TRUE)),

NDVI=replace(NDVI, quantile==1, NA)) %>%

```
drop_na(NDVI) %>%
```

ungroup() %>%

select(!c(Year,month))

<u># Reformat data in preparation for gap filling</u>

Expand data frame to include all date values for every site id

```
MODISb <- MODISa %>%
complete(Date = seq(floor_date(min(MODISa$Date),unit = "month"),
        floor_date(max(MODISa$Date), unit = "month"), by = "month"),
        nesting(ForestID,PlotType))
ggplot(MODISb) +
geom_point(aes(Date, NDVI, col = PlotType)) +
facet_wrap(~PlotType, ncol = 1)
```

<u># Reformat data and fill missing metadata values</u>

```
MODISb <- MODISb %>%
mutate(DATE = as.Date(Date,"%Y-%m-%d"),
DOY = lubridate::yday(DATE)) %>%
separate(Date, into = c("YEAR","MONTH","DAY"), sep = "([-])")
```

MODISb <- MODISb %>% group_by(ForestID) %>% fill(PlotType, .direction = "updown")

<u># check how many na values are there in the NDVI series?</u>

sum(is.na(MODISb\$NDVI))

<u># Gap fill missing NDVI data</u>

<u># This first stage will only be carried out where there is 1 missing value. if there are 2 or more</u>

consecutive missing values then this first step will not fill the gap

MODISb <- MODISb %>% arrange(DATE) %>% group_by(ForestID) %>% mutate(GapFill1 = na_interpolation(NDVI, option = "stine", maxgap = 1))

<u>#check how many na values are there in the NDVI series?</u>

sum(is.na(MODISb\$GapFill1)) # in this dataset we have no filled all of the missing
data

<u># If there are still missing values then we can fill gaps based on the next</u> <u>nearest matching month from a different year</u>

<u># using the linear interpolation between the values in</u>

MODISb <- MODISb %>% arrange(DATE) %>% group_by(ForestID, MONTH) %>% mutate(GapFill2 = na_interpolation(GapFill1, option = "linear"))

<u># check how many na values are there in the NDVI series?</u>

sum(is.na(MODISb\$GapFill2))

<u># Plot restulant data</u>

ggplot(MODISb) +
geom_point(aes(DATE, GapFill2, col = PlotType)) +
facet_wrap(~PlotType, ncol = 1)

investigate difference in Gapfill 1(filled from the month immediately adjacent to missing value)

and 2(filled from nearest matching month) ggplot(MODISb %>% filter(ForestID == "STATE128")) + geom_point(aes(DATE, GapFill2), col = "red") + geom_point(aes(DATE, GapFill1), col = "blue") + geom_point(aes(DATE, NDVI), col = "black") + ylab("NDVI")

<u># Write csv for future use</u>

write_csv(MODISb,"modis_ndvi_2000_2020_Gapfilled.csv")

Script for BFAST and BEAST algorithm on time series data

<u># load Libraries</u>

library(tidyverse) library(imputeTS)

library(lubridate)

library(zoo)

library(bfast)

library(strucchange)

library(ggplot2)

library(tidyverse)

library(Rbeast)

library(sp)

library(stringr)

library(raster) library(devtools) library(bfastSpatial) library(rgdal)

<u># Read MODIS and climate monthly data</u>

modisall<-read.csv(paste("File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))
str(modisall)</pre>

#cconvert the date from factor to DATE format

modisall\$DATE=as.Date(modisall\$DATE, "%d/%m/%Y")

<u>#convert the csv to a dataframe</u>

modisall.df<-as.data.frame(modisall)</pre>

aggregate the data and calculate average based on plottype and location(e.g., Namibia and Botswana)

mean<-aggregate(modisall.df[,13:18], list(PlotType=modisall.df\$PlotType,Location=modisall.df\$Location, Date=modisall.df\$DATE), mean)

<u>#Plot Different types of land cover/ forest types</u>

<u># create the time series for mediumforest</u>

NDVI_QA_zammedium.ts <- ts(

data = meanmedium.zam\$NDVI_QA,

start = c(2002, as.numeric(format(meanmedium.zam\$NDVI_QA[1], 07))),

end = c(2020,as.numeric(format(meanmedium.zam\$NDVI_QA[1], 10))),

frequency = 12 *#number of observations per year*)

plot(NDVI_QA_zammedium.ts ,type='b', ylab="NDVI",xlab="Year", main =" Average of mediumforest plots (n=48)",cex=2.0,lwd = 3.5, pch=16,cex.main = 2.0,cex.lab=3.5)

<u># create the time series for closedforest</u>

NDVI_QA_zamclosed.ts <- ts(

data = meanclosed.zam\$NDVI_QA,

start = c(2002, as.numeric(format(meanclosed.zam\$NDVI_QA[1], 07))),

end = c(2020,as.numeric(format(meanclosed.zam\$NDVI_QA[1], 10))),

frequency = 12 *# number of observations per year*

plot(NDVI_QA_zamclosed.ts ,type='b',ylab="NDVI",xlab="Year", main =" Average of closedforest plots (n=16), Zambezi Namibia",cex=2.0,lwd = 3.5, pch=16,cex.main = 2.0,cex.lab=3.5)

<u>#create the time series for agriculture</u>

NDVI_QA_zamagri.ts <- ts(

data = meanagri.zam\$NDVI_QA,

start = c(2002, as.numeric(format(meanagri.zam\$NDVI_QA[1], 07))),

end = c(2020,as.numeric(format(meanagri.zam\$NDVI_QA[1], 10))),

frequency = 12 <u># number of observations per year</u>)

plot(NDVI_QA_zamagri.ts ,type='b', ylab="NDVI",xlab="Date", main =" Average of agricultural plots (n=7)",cex=2.0,lwd = 3.5, pch=16,cex.main = 2.0,cex.lab=3.5)

<u>#Alternatively choose a single plot type</u>

```
mean_Chobe001<-mean%>% dplyr::filter(
```

ForestID=="STATE035")

<u>#create the NDVI time series for the chosen plot</u>

```
NDVI_Chobe001.ts <- ts(
```

data = mean_Chobe001\$NDVI,

start = c(2002, 7),

end = c(2019,12),

frequency = 12 *<u># number of observations per year</u>*)

plot(MSAVI_Chobe001.ts ,type='b', ylab="MSAVI",xlab="Year", main =" Disturbed forest plot",cex=2.0,lwd = 3.5, pch=16,cex.main = 2.0,cex.lab=3.5)

#axis(side=1, at=c(2002:2020))

axis(side=1, at=seq(2002, 2019, by=1))

#box()

<u>#create the GNDVI time series for the chosen plot</u>

GNDVI_Chobe001.ts <- ts(data = mean_Chobe001\$GNDVI, start = c(2002,7), end = c(2019,12),

frequency = 12 *#number of observations per year*)

plot(MSAVI_Chobe001.ts ,type='b', ylab="MSAVI",xlab="Year", main =" Disturbed forest plot",cex=2.0,lwd = 3.5, pch=16,cex.main = 2.0,cex.lab=3.5)

```
#axis(side=1, at=c(2002:2020))
axis(side=1, at=seq(2002, 2019, by=1))
#box()
```

```
<u>#create the EVI time series for the chosen plot</u>
```

EVI_Chobe001.ts <- ts(

data = mean_Chobe001\$EVI,

start = c(2002, 7),

end = c(2020,6),

frequency = 12 # number of observations per year)

plot(EVI_Chobe001.ts,type='b', ylab="EVI",xlab="Year", main =" Disturbed forest plot",cex=2.0,lwd = 3.5, pch=16,cex.main = 2.0,cex.lab=3.5)

<u>#Run BFAST algorithm on NDVI</u>

define the ratio of distance between breaks (time steps) and length of the time series

rdist <- 15/length(NDVI_Chobe001.ts)

fit <- bfast(NDVI_Chobe001.ts, h=rdist,</pre>

season="harmonic", max.iter=1)

plot(fit, xlab="DATE", main="NDVI",axes=F)

<u>#Run BEAST algorithm on NDVI</u>

fit <- beast(NDVI_Chobe001.ts,12)</pre>

plot(fit,xlab="", main="NDVI",axes=FALSE,labels=F)

<u> #Run BFAST algorithm on GNDVI</u>

rdist <-15/length(GNDVI_Chobe001.ts) #I tried 25 , but 15 work best fit <- bfast(GNDVI_Chobe001.ts, h=rdist, season="harmonic", max.iter=1) plot(fit, main="GNDVI")

<u>#Run BEAST algorithm on GNDVI</u>

fit <- beast(GNDVI_Chobe001.ts,12)
plot(fit,main="GNDVI")</pre>

<u>Script for SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF BFAST ALGORITHM (RASTER</u> <u>ANALYSES)</u>

PREPROCESS and ANALYSE THE RASTER DATA WITH

<u>BFAST</u>

<u># Define path to files</u>

VIpathGNDVI <- "Path/"

<u># Load list of raster file names</u>

MODIS8GNDVI.fileList <- list.files(VIpathGNDVI, pattern = "*.tif")

<u># load individual files into a raster brick</u>

MODIS8dayGNDVI <do.call("brick",lapply(paste0(VIpathGNDVI,"/",MODIS8GNDVI.fileList[1:216]), FUN = function(x){ r <- raster(x) }))

<u>#project the raster</u>

crs(MODIS8dayGNDVI)

<u>#rename the files</u>

names(MODIS8dayGNDVI) <- MODIS8GNDVI.fileList

<u># create object for original names</u>

MODISnamesGNDVI <- names(MODIS8dayGNDVI) # Create object for each part of the required name band <- str_sub(MODISnamesGNDVI, 1,2) month <- str_sub(MODISnamesGNDVI, 4,5) year <- str_sub(MODISnamesGNDVI, 7,10)

<u># create a new object with the new layernames</u>

MODISnamesGNDVI.new <- paste(band,month,year,sep = ".")

<u># relabel modis data with new names</u>

names(MODIS8dayGNDVI) <- MODISnamesGNDVI.new

<u># reorder the raster brick according to new names</u>

MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordered <- subset(MODIS8dayGNDVI, order(MODISnamesGNDVI.new))

names(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordered)

Save the stacked image data in a single file, .grd with ENVI header file preserves the layer names

MODISStackGNDVI <writeRaster(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordered,paste0(VIpathGNDVI,"/MODIS_NDVIsta ck.grd"), format="raster",overwrite=TRUE)

s<-hdr(MODISStack, format = "ENVI")</pre>

par(mar=c(1,2,2,1))

<u>#assign dates from 2002 to 2019</u>

dtGNDVI<-c('2002-01-01','2003-01-01','2004-01-01','2005-01-01','2006-01-01', Page | 390 '2007-01-01','2008-01-01','2009-01-01','2010-01-01','2011-01-01','2012-01-01',

'2013-01-01','2014-01-01','2015-01-01','2016-01-01','2017-01-01','2018-01-01',

'2019-01-01','2002-02-01','2003-02-01','2004-02-01',

'2005-02-01','2006-02-01','2007-02-01','2008-02-01','2009-02-01','2010-02-01',

'2011-02-01','2012-02-01','2013-02-01','2014-02-01','2015-02-01','2016-02-01',

'2017-02-01','2018-02-01','2019-02-01',

'2002-03-01','2003-03-01','2004-03-01','2005-03-01','2006-03-01','2007-03-01',

'2008-03-01','2009-03-01','2010-03-01','2011-03-01','2012-03-01','2013-03-01',

'2014-03-01','2015-03-01','2016-03-01','2017-03-01','2018-03-01','2019-03-01',

'2002-04-01','2003-04-01','2004-04-01',

'2005-04-01', '2006-04-01', '2007-04-01', '2008-04-01', '2009-04-01', '2010-04-01',

'2011-04-01','2012-04-01','2013-04-01','2014-04-01','2015-04-01','2016-04-01',

'2017-04-01','2018-04-01', '2019-04-01',

'2002-05-01', '2003-05-01', '2004-05-01', '2005-05-01','2006-05-01','2007-05-01',

'2008-05-01', '2009-05-01','2010-05-01','2011-05-01','2012-05-01','2013-05-01',

'2014-05-01','2015-05-01', '2016-05-01','2017-05-01','2018-05-01', '2019-05-01',

'2002-06-01','2003-06-01','2004-06-01',

'2005-06-01','2006-06-01', '2007-06-01','2008-06-01','2009-06-01','2010-06-01',

'2011-06-01','2012-06-01','2013-06-01','2014-06-01','2015-06-01','2016-06-01',

'2017-06-01','2018-06-01','2019-06-01',

'2002-07-01','2003-07-01','2004-07-01','2005-07-01','2006-07-01', '2007-07-01',

'2008-07-01','2009-07-01', '2010-07-01','2011-07-01','2012-07-01', '2013-07-01',

'2014-07-01','2015-07-01','2016-07-01','2017-07-01','2018-07-01', '2019-07-01',

'2002-08-01','2003-08-01','2004-08-01',

'2005-08-01','2006-08-01','2007-08-01','2008-08-01','2009-08-01','2010-08-01',

'2011-08-01','2012-08-01','2013-08-01','2014-08-01','2015-08-01','2016-08-01',

'2017-08-01','2018-08-01','2019-08-01',

'2002-09-01', '2003-09-01','2004-09-01','2005-09-01','2006-09-01','2007-09-01',

'2008-09-01', '2009-09-01','2010-09-01','2011-09-01','2012-09-01','2013-09-01',

'2014-09-01', '2015-09-01','2016-09-01','2017-09-01','2018-09-01','2019-09-01',

'2002-10-01','2003-10-01','2004-10-01',

'2005-10-01','2006-10-01','2007-10-01','2008-10-01','2009-10-01','2010-10-01',

'2011-10-01','2012-10-01', '2013-10-01','2014-10-01','2015-10-01','2016-10-01',

'2017-10-01','2018-10-01', '2019-10-01',

'2002-11-01','2003-11-01','2004-11-01','2005-11-01','2006-11-01','2007-11-01',

'2008-11-01','2009-11-01','2010-11-01', '2011-11-01','2012-11-01','2013-11-01',

'2014-11-01','2015-11-01', '2016-11-01','2017-11-01','2018-11-01','2019-11-01',

'2002-12-01','2003-12-01','2004-12-01','2005-12-01',

'2006-12-01','2007-12-01','2008-12-01','2009-12-01','2010-12-01','2011-12-01',

'2012-12-01','2013-12-01','2014-12-01', '2015-12-01', '2016-12-01','2017-12-01',

'2018-12-01','2019-12-01')# corresponding dates to all rasters my_datesGNDVI <- as.Date(dtGNDVI, format ="%Y-%m-%d")

define the function that will be applied across the brick using the calc function

```
bfmRaster = function(pixels)
```

{

tspx <- timeser(pixels, my_datesGNDVI) # create a timeseries of all pixels</pre>

bfm <- bfastmonitor(tspx, response ~ trend + harmon, order = 3, start = c(2014,1)) # run bfast on all pixels

```
return(c(bfm$breakpoint, bfm$magnitude))
```

}

<u># calc function</u>

bfmRGNDVI <- calc(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordered, bfmRaster)</pre>

names(bfmRGNDVI) <- c('time of break', 'magnitude of change')</pre>

plot(bfmRGNDVI) <u># resulting time and magnitude of change</u>

*# Ensure the raster images have correct number of rows and collumns*rGNDVI<- raster(ncol= 210, nrow=166)

sGNDVI <- stack(lapply(1:216, function(x) setValues(rGNDVI, runif(ncell(rGNDVI)))))

MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordereds <- setZ(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordered, my_datesGNDVI)

MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordereds

getZ(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordereds)

plot(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordereds[[1]])

<u># Define path to files to export</u>

VIpathGNDVI_out <- "path/"

<u>#Define output path</u>

```
outsGNDVI <- file.path(VIpathGNDVI_out ,
"bfmSpatial_start2010,1_gndvi_until2019.tif")
```

<u>#Run the bfmSpatial on raster data starting 2010</u>

bfmSpatial(MODIS8dayGNDVI.reordereds, start = c(2010, 1),formula = response~harmon,order = 1, filename = outsGNDVI)
PREPARE THE RASTER DATA AND EXTRACT THE

MAGNITUDE

<u>#Read in the data</u>

gndvistate2010_ha1 <- brick("File.tif")
plot(gndvistate2010_ha1,1, main="Monitoring period 2013-2020, gndvi ")</pre>

<u># extract change raster</u>

changegndvistate2010_ha1 <- raster(gndvistate2010_ha1, 1)
extract magn raster
magngndvistate2010_ha1 <- raster(gndvistate2010_ha1, 2)
make a version showing only breakpoing pixels
magn_bkpgndvistate2010_ha1 <- magngndvistate2010_ha1
magn_bkpgndvistate2010_ha1[is.na(changegndvistate2010_ha1)] <- NA
op <- par(mfrow=c(1, 3))
plot(magn_bkpgndvistate2010_ha1, main="Magnitude: breakpoints")
plot(magngndvistate2010_ha1, main="Magnitude: all pixels")</pre>

<u># extract and rescale magnitude and apply a threshold</u>

magn09threshgndvistate2010_ha1 <- magngndvistate2010_ha1 magn09threshgndvistate2010_ha1 [magngndvistate2010_ha1 > 0.00] <- NA

<u># compare all magn rasters</u>

op <- par(mfrow=c(2, 2)) plot(magn09threshgndvistate2010_ha1, main="magnitude") plot(magn09_sievegndvistate2010_ha1, main="pixel sieve") plot(magn09_areasievegndvistate2010_ha1, main="0.5ha sieve") plot(magn09_as_rookgndvistate2010_ha1, main="0.5ha sieve, rook's case")

changeSize_queengndvistate2010_ha1 <clumpSize(magn09_areasievegndvistate2010_ha1)</pre>

changeSize_rookgndvistate2010_ha1 <clumpSize(magn09_areasievegndvistate2010_ha1, directions=4)</pre>

<u>#Calculate the change size</u>

op <- par(mfrow=c(1, 2))</pre>

plot(changeSize_queengndvistate2010_ha1, col=bpy.colours(50), main="Clump size: Queen's case")

plot(changeSize_rookgndvistate2010_ha1, col=bpy.colours(50), main="Clump size: Rook's case")

changeSize <- clumpSize(magn09_areasievegndvistate2010_ha1, f=250000/10000)

plot(changeSize, col=bpy.colours(50), main="Pixel size gndvi (hectares)")

<u>#export path</u>

writeFormats()

GNDVI_VIpath <-"path/"

#<u>Write the year of change and magnitude of change raster and export it out</u> for further analysis in ArcGIS

MODISStack <- writeRaster(changegndvistate2010_ha1,paste0(File.tif"), format = "GTiff",overwrite=TRUE)

MODISStack <- writeRaster(magngndvistate2010_ha1,paste0(File.tif"), format = "GTiff",overwrite=TRUE)

CHAPTER 5

GOOGLE EARTH ENGINE CODE FOR FIRE

Google Earth Engine Code for the fire time series

https://code.earthengine.google.com/7a868676bc7ac534247a19d7cdc6b150?nol oad=1

Code geerated for MODIS Burned Area Monthly at 500m, developed by-Ruusa David August 2020

//This is a code to get the monthly Burned pixels

// Get list of images

var MODISBurn_Image = ee.ImageCollection(MonthlyBurnedArea)

.filterDate('2019-09-01', '2019-09-30') //define the month, change this to the month of your choice

.filterBounds(kaza).mean().clip(kaza); //get the mean and clip the data

<u>//Get the burn date</u>

var MODISBurn_Image = MODISBurn_Image.select('BurnDate'); var firesVis = { min: 325.0, max: 400.0, palette: ['red', 'orange', 'yellow'],};

//Display on the map

Map.addLayer(MODISBurn_Image, firesVis, 'Fires'); print(MODISBurn_Image) print('ImageList')

//export the burned data out

Export.image.toDrive({ image: MODISBurn_Image, folder: 'MCD64A1_fireUncertainty_2019', description:"MCD64A1_fire_2019_12_500m", region: kaza.geometry().bounds(), crs:"EPSG:32735 ", scale: 500, maxPixels:210984237950});

//This is a code to get the uncertainity of the Burned pixels

// Get list of images to test

var MODISUncertainty_Image = ee.ImageCollection(MonthlyBurnedArea)
 .filterDate('2019-12-01', '2019-12-30')
 .filterBounds(kaza).mean().clip(kaza);

//Get the uncertainity burn date

var MODISUncertainty_Image = MODISUncertainty_Image.select('Uncertainty'); var firesVis = { min: 325.0, max: 400.0, palette: ['red', 'orange', 'yellow'],};

//Display on the map

Map.addLayer(MODISUncertainty_Image, firesVis, 'Fires'); print(MODISUncertainty_Image) print('ImageList')

//export the uncertainity out Export.image.toDrive({ image: MODISUncertainty_Image, folder: 'MCD64A1_fireUncertainty_2019', description:"MCD64A1_fireUncertainty_2019_12_500m", region: kaza.geometry().bounds(), crs:"EPSG:32735 ", scale: 500, maxPixels:210984237950});

GOOGLE EARTH ENGINE CODE FOR THE CLIMATE DATA

Google Earth Engine Code for the climate time series

https://code.earthengine.google.com/93b50f3bd714cb527ce6573fbd1f23dc?nolo ad=1

Code generated for comparing Ground precipitation and satellite based precipitation, developed by-Ruusa David June 2019

//Add the ground preciptation on the map

```
Map.addLayer(gpcc1981)
```

```
Map.addLayer(gpcc2016)
```

//extract all the climate data

```
var collections = [ {
 name: 'CHIRPS', scale: 5000,
 collection: ee.ImageCollection('UCSB-CHG/CHIRPS/PENTAD')
},
 {
 name: 'gpcc', scale: 3000,
 collection: ee.ImageCollection('users/ruusadavid2/gpccCollection_1891')
},
 {
 name: 'cru', scale: 3000,
 collection: ee.ImageCollection('users/ruusadavid2/cruCollection')
},
 {
 name: 'CFSV2', scale: 5000,
  collection: ee.ImageCollection('NOAA/CFSV2/FOR6H')
   .select('Precipitation_rate_surface_6_Hour_Average')
   .map(function(i) {
   return i.multiply(60 * 60 * 6) // convert to mm by 6 since it is in mm/second
and is a 6 hour basis
    .copyProperties(i, ['system:time_start'])
```

})}];

```
//create a function to define the the range of date to be mapped through
```

```
function getDates(start, stop, step) {
  return ee.List.sequence(start, stop).map(function(year) {
    return ee.List.sequence(1, 12, step).map(function(month) {
    return ee.Date.fromYMD(year, month, 1)
  })
}).flatten()
```

}

<u>//create a function to compute the sum and mean through all precipitation</u> <u>bands in all images</u>

```
function compute(start, stop, step) {
  var dates = getDates(start, stop, step)
  var features = collections.map(function(c) {
    return dates.map(function(d) {
      var p = c.collection
      .filterDate(d, ee.Date(d).advance(step, 'month'))
      .sum()
      .reduceRegion(ee.Reducer.mean(), southAfrica, c.scale).values().get(0)
      return ee.Feature(null)
      .set('system:time_start', ee.Date(d).millis())
      .set(c.name, p)
   })
  })
  return ee.FeatureCollection(ee.List(features).flatten())
}
```

<u>//define the the time period to be computed on</u>

```
var monthly = compute(1981, 2016, 1)
var annual = compute(1981, 2016, 12)
```

<u>//set a function to define the chart titles, x and y axis titles</u>

```
function chart(features, title) {
  var chart = ui.Chart.feature.byFeature(features, 'system:time_start')
  chart.setOptions({
    vAxis: { title: 'Precipitation [mm]' },
    title: title
  })
  print(chart)
}
```

//create the charts

chart(monthly, 'Monthly precipitation in Southern Africa Subcontinent (2001-2015)')

chart(annual, 'Raingauge and satellite-based annual precipitation in Central Angola, coordnates[18.71,-11.00)(1981-2016)')

R CODE FOR ANALYSING TIME SERIES OF VEGETATION DATA AND CLIMATE DATA

This part of the R code is for analysing time series of Vegetation Data and Climate Data

<u>ANALYSE AND PLOT THE GROUND RAINFALL AND</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u>

<u>#Load the Library</u>

library(corrr) library(dplyr) library(tidyverse) library(igraph) library(ggraph) library(Hmisc) library(corrplot) library(sp) library(zoo) library(xts) library(hydroTSM) library(ggplot2) library(dplyr)

<u>#Import the data</u>

precip8<-read.csv(paste("File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))</pre>

#prepare the data

<u>#convert to data frame</u>

x<-as.data.frame(precip8)

<u># Convert date to Date format</u>

x\$Dates=as.Date(x\$Date, "%d.%m.%Y") #anyDuplicated(x\$Dates) #duplicated(x\$Dates) | duplicated(x\$Dates, fromLast = TRUE)

<u>#create a zoo object for time series</u>

x<- zoo(x\$Rainfall,x\$Dates)</pre>

<u>#plot rainfall</u>

plot(x, main="rainfall", ylab="precipitation (mm)", xlab="Time")

<u>#find the number of years</u>

(nyears <- yip(from=start(x), to=end(x), out.type="nmbr"))

<u>#plot the prepared data with hydroplot</u>

hydroplot(x, var.type="Precipitation", main="at Chobe National Park",

pfreq = "dm", from="1975-01-01")

dwi(x)

<u>#Analyse the rainfall time series data</u>

<u>#Monthly analysis</u>

monthlyfunction(x, FUN=median, na.rm=TRUE)
cmonth <- format(time(x), "%b")
months <- factor(cmonth, levels=unique(cmonth), ordered=TRUE)</pre>

<u>#Boxplot of the monthly values</u>

boxplot(coredata(x) ~ months, col="lightblue", main="Monthly Precipitation", ylab="Precipitation, [mm]", xlab="Month")

#Average seasonal values of precipitation

seasonalfunction(x, FUN=sum, na.rm=TRUE) / nyears

<u>#Extracting the seasonal values for each year</u>

m<-monthlyfunction(x, FUN=sum, na.rm=TRUE)
(DJF <- dm2seasonal(x, season="DJF", FUN=sum))
(MAM <- dm2seasonal(x, season="MAM", FUN=sum))
(JJA <- dm2seasonal(x, season="JJA", FUN=sum))
(SON <- dm2seasonal(x, season="SON", FUN=sum))</pre>

#Extract the seasonal values for each year

hydroplot(x, pfreq="seasonal", FUN=sum, stype="default",ylab="Precipitation (mm)",lwd=2)

<u># Mean winter (DJF) values of streamflow for each year of 'x'</u>

dm2seasonal(x, FUN=sum, season="DJF") dm2seasonal(x, FUN=sum, season="MAM") dm2seasonal(x, FUN=sum, season="JJA") dm2seasonal(x, FUN=sum, season="SON")

<u># Selecting only a three-year time slice for the analysis</u>

x <- window(x, start=as.Date("1975-01-01"))
#Plotting the selected time series
hydroplot(x, FUN=sum, ptype="ts", pfreq="ma",
var.unit="mm",ylab="Precipitation",lwd=1.8)</pre>

<u>Create the Climograph from the rainfall and temperature data</u> <u>#Read the Preciptation and Temperature data</u>

preciptemp<-read.csv(paste("File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))</pre>

<u>#convert to data frame</u>

y<-as.data.frame(preciptemp)

<u># Convert date to Date format</u>

Dates=as.Date(y\$Date, "%d.%m.%Y")

<u>#create a zoo for time series</u>

z <- zoo(y[, 2:4], as.Date(as.character(y[, 1]), format="%d.%m.%Y"))
colnames(z) <- c("Precipitation", "Max Temperature", "Min Temperature")</pre>

<u># extracting individual ts of precipitation, maximum and minimum</u> <u>temperature</u>

pcp <-z[,1] tmx <- z[,2] tmn <-z[, 3]

<u># Plotting the climograph</u>

m <- climograph(pcp=pcp, tmx=tmx, tmn=tmn, na.rm=TRUE, main="Monthly Precipitation, Min and Max Temperature")

plot(z, main = "Monthly Rainfall, Maximum and Minimum Temperature",xlab="Years", lwd=2, col=c("blue", "red","black"),cex.axis =1.5,cex.main = 2)

<u>CALCULATING SPEI FROM GROUND RAINFALL AND</u> <u>TEMPERATURE</u> <u>#Calculating SPEI using Ground rainfall and temperature from Kasane Chobe</u> <u>Botswana</u>

<u>#Read the data</u>

raintemp<-read.csv(paste("File.csv",sep="",collapse="")) #with all data and outliers removed

<u>#convert points into dataframe</u>

raintemp<-data.frame(raintemp) str(raintemp)

<u>#calculate potentioal evapotranspiration</u>

raintemp\$PET<-hargreaves(Tmin=raintemp\$Tempmin, Tmax=raintemp\$Tempmax, lat =-17.82947)

raintemp\$PET

<u>#calculate climatic water balance</u>

raintemp\$ClWaBAL<-raintemp\$Precip-raintemp\$PET

raintemp\$ClWaBAL

ClWaBAL<-raintemp\$Precip-raintemp\$PET

#calculate standardised precipitation evapotranspiration index, and define the scale by 1 moth or two months or 12 etc

SPEI1<-spei(raintemp\$ClWaBAL,1) #for 1 month
raintemp\$SPEI1.dataframe=as.data.frame(fitted(SPEI1)) #convert to dataframe
par(mar=c(5, 4, 4, 6) + 0.1)</pre>

<u>#calculate SPEI for 1 month</u>

plot.spei(spei(ts(raintemp\$ClWaBAL, freq=12,start=c(1983,1)),1,ref.start=c(1983,1),ref.end=c(2020,10)),main ="Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI-1 months)",textSize = 8) mtext(side=1, line=2, "Time", font=2,cex=1.2)

<u>#calculate SPEI for 2 month</u>

plot.spei(spei(ts(raintemp\$ClWaBAL, freq=12,start=c(1983,1)),2,ref.start=c(1983,1),ref.end=c(2020,10)),main ="Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI-2 months)",textSize = 8)

mtext(side=1, line=2, "Time", font=2,cex=1.2)

<u>#calculate SPEI for 12 month</u>

plot.spei(spei(ts(raintemp\$ClWaBAL, freq=12,start=c(1983,1)),12,ref.start=c(1983,1),ref.end=c(2020,10)),main ="Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI-12 months)",textSize = 8)

mtext(side=1, line=2, "Time", font=2,cex=1.2)

<u>#Plot all three SPEI timescale (1,3,12 months) in one plot</u>

par(mar=c(5, 4, 5, 6) + 0.1)

par(mfrow=c(1,1))

<u>#Plot first plot for 1 month</u>

```
plot.spei(spei(ts(raintemp$ClWaBAL,
freq=12,start=c(2002,7)),1,ref.start=c(2002,7),ref.end=c(2019,12)),main
="Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI-1month)",textSize
=12, xlab="", ylab="", axes=FALSE, )
#mtext(side=1, line=2, "Time", cex=1.5)
mtext(side=2, line=2, "SPEI", cex=1.5)
axis(side=1, at=seq(2002, 2019, by=1),cex.axis = 1.0, cex.lab = 1)
box()
```

<u>#Plot second plot for 2 months</u>

```
plot.spei(spei(ts(raintemp$ClWaBAL,
freq=12,start=c(1983,1)),3,ref.start=c(1983,1),ref.end=c(2019,12)),main
="Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI-3 months)",textSize
=12, xlab="", ylab="", axes=FALSE, )
```

```
mtext(side=1, line=2, "Time", cex=1.5)
mtext(side=2, line=2, "SPEI", cex=1.5)
axis(side=1, at=seq(1982, 2019, by=1),cex.axis = 1.0, cex.lab = 1)
box()
```

<u>#Plot second plot for 22 months</u>

```
plot.spei(spei(ts(raintemp$ClWaBAL,
freq=12,start=c(1982,1)),12,ref.start=c(1982,1),ref.end=c(2019,12)),main
="Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI-12 months)",textSize
=12, xlab="", ylab="", axes=FALSE, )
mtext(side=1, line=2, "Time", cex=1.5)
mtext(side=2, line=2, "SPEI", cex=1.5)
axis(side=1, at=seq(1982, 2019, by=1),cex.axis = 1.0, cex.lab = 1)
box()
```

<u>ANALYSE THE CLIMATE DATA AND VEGETATION DATA (NDVI)</u> #Plotting climate and NDVI

<u>#Read the data</u>

preciptemp<-read.csv(paste("File.csv",sep="",collapse=""))
head(preciptemp)</pre>

<u>#Covert the data to a dataframe</u>

y<-as.data.frame(preciptemp)

<u>#Covertto the Date understood by r</u>

y\$Dates=as.Date(y\$Date, "%d.%m.%Y") tail(preciptemp)

<u># Plot first set of data (NDVI in this case) and draw its axis</u>

plot(y\$Dates, y\$NDVI, pch=16, axes=TRUE, ylim=c(0,1), xlab="", ylab="",

cex.axis = 1.3, cex.lab = 2, type="b",col="black", main="NDVI and Precipitation")
#axis(2, ylim=c(0,1),col="black",las=1) # las=1 makes horizontal labels

```
mtext("NDVI",side=2,line=2.5, cex=1.5)
```

box()

<u># Allow a second plot on the same graph</u>

par(new=TRUE)

<u># Plot the second plot (precipitation) and put axis scale on right</u>

plot(y\$Dates, y\$Precip, pch=15, xlab="", ylab="", ylim=c(0,500), axes=FALSE, type="b", col="dark red",)

<u># add lables</u>

```
mtext("PRECIPITATION",side=4,col="dark red",line=4, cex=1.5)
```

axis(4, ylim=c(500), col="dark red",col.axis="dark red",las=1,cex.axis = 1.3, cex.lab = 2)

<u># Draw the time axis</u>

mtext("Time",side=1,col="black",line=2.5, cex= 1.8)

<u># Add Legend</u>

legend("topleft",legend=c("NDVI","PRECIPITATION"),bty = "n",

text.col=c("black","dark red"),pch=c(16,15), col=c("black","dark red"))

<u>ANALYSE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CLIMATE DATA (SOIL</u> <u>MOISTURE, SPEI, RSM, PRECIPITATION, TEMPERATURE)</u> <u>ANDVEGETATION DATA</u> <u>**#Read the data**</u>

modis8<-read.csv(paste("XFile.csv",sep="",collapse=""))</pre>

<u>#Create a function to plot</u>

flattenCorrMatrix <- function(cormat, pmat) {</pre>

ut <- upper.tri(cormat)

data.frame(

row = rownames(cormat)[row(cormat)[ut]],

column = rownames(cormat)[col(cormat)[ut]],

```
cor =(cormat)[ut],
  p = pmat[ut]
)
}
s2corAll3<-rcorr(as.matrix(modis8.num[]))
flattenCorrMatrix(s2corAll3$r,s2corAll3$P)
```

<u># Mark the insignificant coefficients according to the specified p-value</u> <u>significance level</u>

cor_5 <- rcorr(as.matrix(modis8.num))</pre>

 $M \leq cor_5$

p_mat <- cor_5\$P

```
col <- colourRampPalette(c("#BB4444", "#EE9988", "#FFFFFF", "#77AADD",
"#4477AA"))
```

```
corrplot(M, method = "colour", col = col(200),
```

type = "upper", order = "hclust",

addCoef.col = "black", # Add coefficient of correlation

Combine with significance level

p.mat = p_mat, sig.level = 0.01,

hide correlation coefficient on the principal diagonal

diag = FALSE)