## DOI: 10.1111/aas.14173

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Revised: 8 November 2022

# Anaesthesiologica

# Estimated glomerular filtration rates are higher when creatinine-based equations are compared with a cystatin C-based equation in coronavirus disease 2019

Anders O. Larsson<sup>1</sup> | Michael Hultström<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> | Robert Frithiof<sup>2</sup> | Miklos Lipcsey<sup>2,6</sup> | Ulf Nyman<sup>7</sup> | Mats B. Eriksson<sup>2,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Clinical Chemistry, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>Section of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Cell Biology, Integrative Physiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>5</sup>Lady Davis Institute of Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

<sup>6</sup>Hedenstierna Laboratory, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>7</sup>Division of Medical Radiology, Department of Translational Medicine, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

<sup>8</sup>NOVA Medical School, New University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal

#### Correspondence

Mats B. Eriksson, Section of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Akademiska sjukhuset, 751 85 Uppsala, Sweden.

Email: mats.eriksson@surgsci.uu.se

#### Funding information

Medicinska Forskningsrådet; SciLifeLab/Knut and Alice Wallenberg National COVID-19 Research Program, Grant/Award Numbers: KAW 2020.0182, KAW 2020.0241; Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation, Grant/Award Numbers: 20210089, 20190639, 20190637;

## Abstract

**Objectives:** Estimations of glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) are based on analyses of creatinine and cystatin C, respectively. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) often have acute kidney injury (AKI) and are at increased risk of drug-induced kidney injury. The aim of this study was to compare creatinine-based eGFR equations to cystatin C-based eGFR in ICU patients with COVID-19.

**Methods:** After informed consent, we included 370 adult ICU patients with COVID-19. Creatinine and cystatin C were analyzed at admission to the ICU as part of the routine care. Creatinine-based eGFR (ml/min) was calculated using the following equations, developed in chronological order; the Cockcroft–Gault (C-G), Modified Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD)1999, MDRD 2006, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI), and Lund–Malmö revised (LMR) equations, which were compared with eGFR calculated using the cystatin C-based Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult (CAPA) equation.

**Results:** The median eGFR when determined by C-G was 99 ml/min and interquartile range (IQR: 67 ml/min). Corresponding estimations for MDRD1999 were 90 ml/min (IQR: 54); MDRD2006: 85 ml/min (IQR: 51); CKD-EPI: 91 ml/min (IQR: 47); and for LMR 83 ml/min (IQR: 41). eGFR was calculated using cystatin C and the CAPA equation value was 70 ml/min (IQR: 38). All differences between creatinine-based eGFR versus cystatin C-based eGFR were significant (*p* < .00001).

**Conclusions:** Estimation of GFR based on various analyses of creatinine are higher when compared with a cystatin C-based equation. The C-G equation had the worst performance and should not be used in combination with modern creatinine analysis methods for determination of drug dosage in COVID-19 patients.

#### KEYWORDS

acute kidney injury, COVID-19, creatinine, critical care, Cystatin C, glomerular filtration rate

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica Foundation.

1

Swedish Kidney Foundation, Grant/Award Number: F2020-0054; Swedish Society of Medicine, Grant/Award Number: SLS-938101; the Swedish Research Council, Grant/Award Numbers: 2014-07606, 2014-02569

## **Editorial Comment**

The estimation of glomerular infiltration rates (GFR) in COVID-19 patients varies depending on whether a creatinine or cystatinme C equation is used. This study showed higher GFR when using creatinine for the estimation, and that the Cockcroft–Gault equation was least accurate.

# 1 | INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has resulted in high rates of patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs). Organ dysfunction is common and up to 90% of the patients requiring mechanical ventilation may also develop some degree of acute kidney injury (AKI).<sup>1,2</sup> Patients with kidney injuries are more susceptible for drug side effects from renally excreted or nephrotoxic drugs.<sup>3</sup> When COVID-19 is so severe that treatment in an ICU is necessary, the patients will receive several different drugs. Several various pathomechanisms may be attributable for drug-induced renal failure in the ICU, which may be worsened by numerous concomitant conditions, including application of nephrotoxins.<sup>4</sup> Drugs are often cleared through the kidneys and the kidney function measured as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in ml/min is important for correct drug dosage. An overestimation of eGFR will lead to larger drug doses and, ultimately, increased risk of worsened kidney injury and toxicity in other organs.<sup>5</sup> Although clinical variables (reduced effective circulating volume, older age, pre-existent renal impairment) must be taken into account drug-induced organ injury, due to overestimated eGFR, is a threat, the potential risk of undertreatment, for example, insufficient concentrations of beta-actam antibiotics, due to insufficient monitoring of renal function should not be neglected.<sup>6</sup>

Creatinine, a nitrogenous waste product in plasma and urine is frequently used to determine eGFR, as a surrogate variable of renal function. Shortcomings are interferences by gender, age, nutrition, and increases in plasma creatinine concentrations through drug effects, which not only may modify the release of creatinine, but also interfere with analysis.<sup>7,8</sup> Cystatin C, a cysteine protease inhibitor, expressed by nucleated cells, is a sensitive and reliable GFR marker.<sup>9</sup>

There are a number of problems associated with creatinine-based eGFR equations, in COVID-19 ICU patients. Creatinine-based eGFR equations are mainly based on relatively healthy individuals that are physically active, and have a diet with stable protein content, normal liver function, and normally distributed fluid volumes.<sup>10</sup>

Patients suffering from COVID-19 may have reduced plasma creatinine values due to reduced nutritional intake and reduced muscle mass, but are also at risk of increased creatinine values due to reduced kidney function.<sup>11</sup> Another problem is that the creatinine calibration has changed over the last 25 years. Before the year 2000, the most widely used creatinine methods were Jaffe based. The Jaffe methodology is not fully creatinine specific but also reacts with other substances, for example, glucose and antibiotics, leading to falsely elevated creatinine values.<sup>12</sup> In the beginning of the 21st century there was therefore a shift to isotope dilution mass spectrometry (IDMS) calibration of creatinine methods. This shift in calibration occurred approximately between 2002 and 2008 depending on the manufacturer. There were also additional changes downward during a period after 2008 according to external quality assurance (EQA) programs. It is estimated that the shift in calibration reduced the creatinine values by ~25% with wide variations. Despite the shift in creatinine calibrations the Cockcroft-Gault (C-G) equation is still widely used for drug dosage in combination with current creatinine results leading to an overestimation of absolute eGFR.<sup>13</sup> For example, it is recommended for drug dosage by pharma companies, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the European Medicines Agency, and National Medical Product Agencies.<sup>14-16</sup>

The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of the Cockcroft and Gault,<sup>17</sup> the Modified Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) from 1999,<sup>18</sup> the IDMS-traceable MDRD from 2006,<sup>19,20</sup> the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI),<sup>21</sup> and the Lund-Malmö revised (LMR)<sup>22</sup> equations with modern creatinine calibrated methods in COVID-19 patients. Cystatin C-based Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult (CAPA) equation<sup>23</sup> was used as an independent reference method for comparison purposes having predictive value for COVID-19 severity<sup>24</sup> and also predicts mortality in ICU patients.<sup>25</sup> These equations are presented in chronological order. We also decided to note whether diabetes had any impact on eGFR<sub>LMR</sub> or eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub> at admission to our ICU.

## 2 | PATIENTS AND METHODS

## 2.1 | Setting

This prospective observational study was conducted at the ICU, a mixed surgical/medical ICU, at Uppsala University Hospital, a tertiary care hospital in Uppsala, Sweden.

#### 2.2 | Ethics statement

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent revisions. The study was approved by the National Ethical Review Agency Dnr 2017-043 (with amendments 2019-00169, 2020-01623, 2020-02719, 2020-05730, AND 2021-01469) and 2022-00526-01. Informed consent was obtained from the patients or from next of kin if the patient was unable to give consent. The protocol of this post hoc analysis of a prospective, observational, noninterventional study that was registered a priori at (Clinical Trials ID: NCT04316884). The study was performed according to relevant directives. The STROBE guidelines were followed in reporting.<sup>26</sup>

## 2.3 | Study population

Adult patients with severe COVID-19 infections admitted to the ICU in Uppsala University Hospital between March 2020 and March 2021 due to COVID-19 were considered for inclusion in this study. COVID-19 infections were verified with a positive polymerase chain reaction test of a nasopharyngeal sample. A total of 370 patients were included in this study, which is a part of the Uppsala PRONMEDstudy cohort. Patients that were younger than 18 years of age or pregnant were not considered eligible. Analysis of plasma creatinine and cystatin C were part of routine care of the patients.<sup>27</sup> After weighing and measuring the length of each patient, body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)  $\times$  height (m)<sup>-2</sup>. Patient characteristics in this cohort have previously been described.<sup>28-30</sup> AKI-stage was calculated based on increase in plasma (P-)Creatinine during hospitalization for COVID-19 compared with baseline. Baseline P-Creatinine was determined from the laboratory database in the year before hospitalization if available. CKD was defined as eGFRcreatinine <60 ml/min 1 year or less prior to hospitalization for COVID-19. Diabetes mellitus type 1 and type 2 were noted on ICU admission.

## 2.4 | Laboratory analyses and AKI calculations

Blood samples for analyses were obtained at admission to the ICU as part of our routine procedure. Test tubes were centrifuged at room temperature at  $1500 \times g$  for 10 min. The plasma samples were frozen at -70°C until analyzed. Creatinine and cystatin C were analyzed on an Architect ci16200 (Abbot Laboratories, Abbott Park, Illinois) with IDMS-calibrated enzymatic creatinine reagents from the same manufacturer and cystatin C reagents from Gentian AS (Moss, Norway). Cystatin C-based eGFR was calculated from plasma cystatin C by means of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry equation CAPA (Cystatin C-based eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub>).<sup>23</sup> When developing the CAPA equation all cystatin C analyses were performed at the same laboratory as the present analyses.<sup>23</sup> The laboratory has been participating in the Swedish EQA program organized by Equalis (Uppsala, Sweden) and has maintained a good calibration over time according to the EQA samples. Plasma creatinine (µmol/L) was analyzed using an IDMS calibrated enzymatic method on Roche Cobas Pro (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) at the department of clinical chemistry and pharmacology, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala. The laboratory is accredited by Swedac (Borås, Sweden) according to SS-EN ISO 15189 and is participating in Equalis (Uppsala, Sweden) EQA programs for creatinine.

Absolute GFR in ml/min was estimated using the 1976 C-G, the 1999 MDRD, the 2006 IDMS-traceable MDRD, the 2009 CKD-EPI, the 2011 LMR, and the 2014 CAPA equation. All equations used have previously been described in detail.<sup>22,31</sup> All equations except C-G primarily estimate relative GFR in ml/min/1.73/m<sup>2</sup>. Because of this, their results were deindexed for body surface area using the DuBois equation.<sup>32,33</sup> Notably, the CAPA equation had a median bias of

Anaesthesiologica

-5.7 ml/min (recalculated from a median relative bias of -5.2 ml/min/1.73/m<sup>2</sup>)in the validation set of Swedish adults (median mGFR 56 ml/min, recalculated from relative mGFR) when the equation was developed.<sup>23</sup> In the combined Swedish CAPA development and validation cohort (n = 3495, median mGFR 56 ml/min) the overall median bias for CG, IDMS-traceable MDRD, CKD-EPI, and LMR were 8.3, 3.6, 5.2, and 0.7 ml/min, respectively.<sup>34</sup>

## 2.5 | Statistical analyses

Data are presented as median and IQR. Chi-Square,  $5 \times 5$  calculation was used to evaluate creatinine-based equations on different eGFR intervals. The two-tailed Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test was used to calculate differences between absolute creatinine-based eGFR equations versus the absolute cystatin C-based eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub> equation. The two-tailed Mann–Whitney *U* test was used to compare continuous data in independent samples. Bias plots (Bland-Altman<sup>35</sup>) were created using MedCalc Statistical Software 14.8.1, MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium. Statistics were calculated using R (https://www.r-project.org, version 4.0.2). A *p* < .05 was considered significant.

## 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Patient characteristics

Patients were aged 19-86 years ([median: 64 years]; IQR [Q3-O1 = 18). 266 out of the 370 patients were males. BMI was between 18 and 67 kg/m<sup>2</sup> ([median: 29]; IQR [Q3-Q1] = 8) and Simplified Physiology Score<sup>36,37</sup> was between 24 and 88 ([median: 53]; IQR [Q3-Q1] = 12). A total of 34 patients needed renal replacement therapy between 2 and 43 days ([median: 9.5 days]; IQR [Q3-Q1 = 12.5). On Day 1, median plasma creatinine was 80  $\mu$ mol/L (IQR: 34 µmol/L). Corresponding values for cystatin C were 1.2 mg/L (IQR: 0.6 mg/L). eGFR (creatinine) median was 75 ml/min (IQR: 30). EGFR (cystatin C) median was 59 ml/min (IQR: 36). Thirty-eight percent had CKD at inclusion. Eleven percent of the patients needed renal replacement therapy during ICU stay. Number of days with COVID-19 before admission to ICU was (median 10 days [IQR: 4]). Mortality rates during ICU stay and after 90 days were 18% and 29%, respectively. In total, 31% of the patients had diabetes mellitus on admission to ICU. In diabetics, eGFR<sub>LMR</sub> was 62 (31), whereas eGFR-CAPA was 49 (33; p = .001).

## 3.2 | Determinations of eGFR

The Bland Altman plots (Figures 1A–E) show differences between each creatinine-based equation minus the cystatin C CAPA equation. Previous reports have shown that a significant proportion of intensive care patients had eGFR values below 50 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>38</sup> 4



LARSSON ET AL.

FIGURE 1 Bias plot (Bland Altman) derived from a cohort of intensive care-treated patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)

**TABLE 1** Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; ml/min) using the creatinine-based Cockcroft-Gault (CG), Modified Diet in Renal Disease from 1999 (MDRD1999), IDMS-traceable MDRD from 2006 (MDRD2006), the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI), the Lund-Malmö revised (LMR) equations, and the cystatin C-based CAPA equation (eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub>).

creatinine-based equations Cockcroft-Gault (CG), Modified Diet in
Renal Disease from 1999 (MDRD1999), IDMS-traceable MDRD from
2006 (MDRD2006), the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology
Collaboration (CKD-EPI), and the Lund-Malmö revised (LMR) and the
combined Swedish CAPA development and validation cohort. <sup>23,34</sup>

Median bias differences in absolute eGFR between the

TARIE 2

and the cystatin C-based CAPA equation (eGFR <sub>CAPA</sub> ).				
	Equation to estimate GFR	Median	IQR	
	CG***	99	67	
	MDRD1999***	90	54	
	MDRD2006***	85	51	
	CKD-EPI***	91	47	
	LMR***	83	41	
	САРА	70	38	

Note: IQR is third-first quartile.

Abbreviations: CAPA, IDMS, isotope dilution mass spectrometry; IQR, interquartile range.

\*\*\*p < .00001.

Bias, median difference (ml/min) relative CAPA equation The CAPA development **GFR** equations and validation cohort Present cohort CG 29 14.0 MDRD1999 20 NR MDRD2006 15 9.3 CKD-EPI 21 10.9 LMR 13 6.4 CAPA Reference Reference

Abbreviations: CAPA, Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IDMS, isotope dilution mass spectrometry; NR, not recorded.

**TABLE 3** Cross tabulation showing number of patients in different absolute eGFR intervals (ml/min). Cystatin Cbased CAPA equation (eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub>), creatinine-based Cockcroft-Gault (CG), Modified Diet in Renal Disease from 1999 (MDRD1999), IDMS-traceable MDRD from 2006 (MDRD2006), the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI), and the Lund-Malmö revised (LMR). Anaesthesiologi Scandinavica

9		5

	>60 ml/min	45-60 ml/min	30-45 ml/min	15-30 ml/min	<15 ml/min
CG	304	29	18	10	9
MDRD1999	296	41	11	11	11
MDRD2006	288	47	10	14	11
CKD-EPI	293	42	11	12	12
LMR	282	45	16	15	12
Cystatin $C_{CAPA}$	233	67	32	21	17

Abbreviations: CAPA, Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IDMS, isotope dilution mass spectrometry.

These dispersions are reflected in the increased percentage of eGFR for creatinine-based equations >50 ml/min compared with eGFR determined by the cystatin C-based CAPA equation, which are as follows: C-G +28%, MDRD1999 + 22%, MDRD2006 + 18%, CKD-EPI + 14%, and LMR + 4%, respectively.

The highest GFR values are seen in some estimations where the C-G equation is used (Figure 1A). This equation, which is the oldest one, is associated with the most expressed difference when compared with the cystatin C CAPA equation. Except for CKD-EPI, more recent creatinine-based equations tend to be more densely concentrated around the abscissa. All creatinine-based equations exhibited significantly higher values (p < .00001) than the reference method (Table 1).

Table 2 shows how the choice of creatinine-based equation influences absolute eGFR values in our cohort when CAPA was used as reference.

There were considerable variations in the number of patients within each GFR interval, depending on which eGFR equation that was applied. When GFR was estimated by cystatin C-based eGFR- $_{CAPA}$ , more than one-third of our patients were considered to have eGFR <60 ml/min, which is by far higher than in any of the creatinine-based equations (Table 3). When the five creatinine-based equations were compared towards each other regarding the number of patients in each absolute eGFR interval, the chi-square statistic was 11.45, being nonsignificant (p = .77).

# 4 | DISCUSSION

In this noninterventional, observational study, where sampling was performed for future analyses, in critically ill COVID-19 patients, we found expressed differences in eGFR, depending on which equation that was used. The rationale for evaluating eGFRs determined by various equations in severely ill COVID-19 patients was partly based on the fact that AKI turned out to be a frequent finding in such patients.<sup>1,2,39</sup> Furthermore, our cohort constitutes a fairly homogenous one, facilitating comparisons between various methods. Against this background, we deduce that our results are generalizable, at least, ICU patients in a broader perspective. It should also be taken into account that sampling was initiated during the early phase of the pandemic when nobody knew which consequences this disease would cause on society.

Estimations of GFR by CG, MDRD1999, MDRD2006, CKD-EPI, and LMR were all higher when compared with cystatin C-based eGFR-CAPA. It cannot be excluded that differences in eGFR, especially eGFR<sub>CG</sub>, between various equations may have some clinical implications, as several drugs, for example, aminoglycosides, used in the ICU have narrow therapeutic windows and toxic potential. AKI is one, out of several, well-known comorbidities that increase the risk for druginduced ototoxicity<sup>40</sup> and/or nephrotoxicity.<sup>41,42</sup> Although creatinine measurements have several well-known drawbacks, interfering with its measurement, it is frequently used as a determinant of GFR. Glucocorticoid treatment, which has become a hallmark in the treatment of COVID-19 patients in need of respiratory support, may affect both creatinine and cystatin C.43,44 Steroid effects are most likely dosedependent and time-dependent which makes it difficult to give an exact number as that implies that a specific dose has been administered. Less than one-third of the patients received dexamethasone during the study period. Although the difference at 50 ml/min in eGFR between creatinine-based and cystatin C CAPA-based equations was set arbitrarily, it reflects a substantial difference in renal performance that may be considered in drug dosage. We used 60 ml/min (or 60 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) as cutoff, since this limit is the one most frequently used for adjustment of drugs. If the GFR value is below this point there should be a dose reduction for drugs that are cleared by the kidneys. There are also other lower cutoff limits but 60 ml/min is the most common. In total, 60 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> is also the cutoff used for CKD Stage 3.45

Differences in GFR between creatinine-based and cystatin Cbased equations, respectively, observed in this entire cohort followed the same pattern regardless of whether or not the patients had diabetes mellitus at ICU admission. However, Day 1 was not a true baseline value since the patients had already been sick with COVID-19 before admission to ICU. Whether this period with COVID-19 may be attributable for this observed difference in eGFR cannot be evaluated from present data. In a previous study, the difficulty of estimating GFR in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients was noted.<sup>46</sup>

There is no ultimate determinant of glomerular function, although iohexol, a radiographic contrast medium, fulfills several requirements of an ideal GFR marker.<sup>47-49</sup> Inulin or 51Cr-EDTA have limited value in measuring GFR in ICU patients,<sup>44,50,51</sup> whose kinetics of GFR may change rapidly<sup>52,53</sup> and hereby contribute to drug-induced toxicity,

Anaesthesiologic

which also may be influenced by pharmacokinetics, compartmental dispersion, metabolism, and elimination. Hypoalbuminemia increases the free fraction of several antibiotics in plasma and hereby its elimination when cleared via the renal route.<sup>54,55</sup> Furthermore, increased distribution volume may similarly be seen in conditions associated with the development of endothelial damage and increased vascular permeability leading to capillary leak syndrome.<sup>56</sup> Augmented renal clearance may also be encountered in increased cardiac output, for example, during the hypermetabolic phase of sepsis.<sup>57</sup> Therapeutic drug monitoring is of uttermost importance, especially in the ICU, where rapid shifts in clinical situations rapidly occur.<sup>58</sup>

Whether accurate determination of GFR in real time, from the point-of-care aspect, is to be a realistic and useful tool in the ICU is yet to be clinically evaluated in large scale, but a tracer agent, MB-102, has fluorescence property, allowing it to be transdermally detected after bolus intravenous administration, may possibly point in that direction.<sup>59</sup> Still, critical evaluation during a prolonged period is necessary if MB-102 determined eGFR is to be used for drug dosing in ICUs.

## 4.1 | Strengths

As far as we know, we are the first to report, expressed median differences between creatinine-based equations and cystatin C-based eGFR in a COVID-19 cohort. An asset of the study is that samples were collected prospectively in consecutive patients in an essentially homogenous material. Also, when the CAPA equation was developed all cystatin C assays<sup>23</sup> were performed in the same laboratory analyzing the present samples, which contradicts the possibility of any major difference in calibration of analytes.

## 4.2 | Limitations

The study also has some limitations. The main weakness is that our comparator (cystatin C-based eGFR) does not perfectly mirror actual renal function but is itself based upon an equation, having a median bias of -5.7 ml/min but with less bias differences relative to the creatinine-based equations in the CAPA development and validation cohort<sup>23,34</sup> compared with the present cohort. Another drawback, is the fact that the current cohort consists of patients with severe COVID-19, whereas the equations used were derived from more clinically stable cohorts. Although our results do not suggest such a shortcoming, this possibility cannot a priori be excluded. From a clinical perspective, we consider this comparator to be a relevant standard. Cystatin C seems to be less affected than creatinine by dynamic events of long durations, for example, loss of muscle mass.<sup>11</sup> Such consequences of severe disease are frequently seen in ICU-patients. The use of cystatin C is validated in ICU-patients.<sup>25,60</sup> Furthermore, samples were taken at admission to ICU. It is therefore not possible to determine whether reduced GFR is due to preexisting CKD or to the development of AKI before entry to the ICU. Another weakness is the fact that data were collected from a COVID-19 cohort in a single hospital, which makes extrapolation of our results to other conditions more unreliable.

# 5 | CONCLUSIONS

In a cohort of critically ill COVID-19 patients, we noted that eGFR determined by creatinine-based equations were higher than when renal performance was evaluated by a cystatin C-based equation. This is most prominent with the C-G equation and this equation should not be used in today's ICUs but replaced with either modern creatinine equations that are adapted to modern creatinine methods or cystatin C-based eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub>. If a creatinine-based equation of LMR, which essentially is in agreement with cystatin C-based eGFR<sub>CAPA</sub>.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Anders O. Larsson, Mats B. Eriksson, Miklos Lipcsey, Robert Frithiof, Michael Hultström, and Ulf Nyman conceived this study. All authors and the Intensive Care COVID-19 research group collected patient data. Anders O. Larsson analyzed the blood samples. Anders O. Larsson, Mats B. Eriksson, and Miklos Lipcsey performed data analysis. Anders O. Larsson, Mats B. Eriksson, and Miklos Lipcsey drafted the original article. All authors participated in the revisions of the article. All authors read and approved the final article for publication.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank research nurses Joanna Wessbergh and Elin Söderman for their expertise in compiling patient data, biobank assistants Philip Karlsson, Erik Danielsson, Labolina Spång, and Amanda Svensson for sample collection and patient inclusion, and the collaborators of the Uppsala Intensive Care COVID-19 research group. We are also indebted to Biostatistician Johan Westerbergh at Uppsala Clinical Research Center, Uppsala, Sweden for most valuable support in the statistical management of data presented in this study. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### FUNDING INFORMATION

The study was funded by the SciLifeLab/Knut and Alice Wallenberg national COVID-19 research program (Michael Hultström; KAW 2020.0182, KAW 2020.0241), the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation (Michael Hultström; 20210089, 20190639, 20190637), the Swedish Research Council (Robert Frithiof; 2014-02569, 2014-07606), The Swedish Kidney Foundation (Robert Frithiof; F2020-0054), and The Swedish Society of Medicine (Michael Hultström; SLS-938101). Funding bodies had no role in the design of the study, data collection, interpretation, or in the writing of the article.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Datasets used and/or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request (https://doi.org/10. 17044/scilifelab.14229410).

7

## ORCID

Anders O. Larsson D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3161-0402 Michael Hultström https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4675-1099 Miklos Lipcsey https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1976-4129 Mats B. Eriksson https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3178-4210

## REFERENCES

- 1. Hirsch JS, Ng JH, Ross DW, et al. Acute kidney injury in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Kidney Int*. 2020;98:209-218.
- Luther T, Bülow-Anderberg S, Larsson A, et al. COVID-19 patients in intensive care develop predominantly oliguric acute kidney injury. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2021;65:364-372.
- 3. Cox ZL, McCoy AB, Matheny ME, et al. Adverse drug events during AKI and its recovery. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2013;8:1070-1078.
- 4. Joannidis M. Drug-induced renal failure in the ICU. Int J Artif Organs. 2004;27:1034-1042.
- 5. Wargo KA, Edwards JD. Aminoglycoside-induced nephrotoxicity. *J Pharm Pract.* 2014;27:573-577.
- Jacobs A, Taccone FS, Roberts JA, et al. β-Lactam dosage regimens in septic patients with augmented renal clearance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2018;62:e02534-17.
- Soares AA, Eyff TF, Campani RB, Ritter L, Camargo JL, Silveiro SP. Glomerular filtration rate measurement and prediction equations. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2009;47:1023-1032.
- Andreev E, Koopman M, Arisz L. A rise in plasma creatinine that is not a sign of renal failure: which drugs can be responsible? *J Intern Med.* 1999;246:247-252.
- Roos JF, Doust J, Tett SE, Kirkpatrick CM. Diagnostic accuracy of cystatin C compared to serum creatinine for the estimation of renal dysfunction in adults and children—a meta-analysis. *Clin Biochem*. 2007;40:383-391.
- Junge W, Wilke B, Halabi A, Klein G. Determination of reference intervals for serum creatinine, creatinine excretion and creatinine clearance with an enzymatic and a modified Jaffé method. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2004;344:137-148.
- Cervantes-Pérez E, Cervantes-Guevara G, Martínez-Soto Holguín MC, et al. Medical nutrition therapy in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infection in a non-critical care setting: knowledge in Progress. *Curr Nutr Rep.* 2020;9:309-315.
- Crocker H, Shephard MD, White GH. Evaluation of an enzymatic method for determining creatinine in plasma. J Clin Pathol. 1988;41: 576-581.
- Delanaye P, Björk J, Courbebaisse M, et al. Performance of creatinine-based equations to estimate glomerular filtration rate with a methodology adapted to the context of drug dosage adjustment. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2022;88:2118-2127.
- Huang SM, Temple R, Xiao S, Zhang L, Lesko LJ. When to conduct a renal impairment study during drug development: US Food and Drug Administration perspective. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;86:475-479.
- Lalonde RL, Wagner JA. Drug development perspective on pharmacokinetic studies of new drugs in patients with renal impairment. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;86:557-561.
- Hart LA, Anderson GD. Methods of estimating kidney function for drug dosing in special populations. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2018;57: 943-976.
- 17. Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. *Nephron.* 1976;16:31-41.
- Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of diet in renal disease study group. Ann Intern Med. 1999;130:461-470.
- 19. Levey AS, Coresh J, Greene T, et al. Using standardized serum creatinine values in the modification of diet in renal disease

study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2006;145:247-254.

- Levey AS, Coresh J, Greene T, et al. Expressing the modification of diet in renal disease study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate with standardized serum creatinine values. *Clin Chem.* 2007; 53:766-772.
- 21. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150:604-612.
- 22. Nyman U, Grubb A, Larsson A, et al. The revised Lund–Malmö GFR estimating equation outperforms MDRD and CKD-EPI across GFR, age and BMI intervals in a large Swedish population. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2014;52:815-824.
- Grubb A, Horio M, Hansson LO, et al. Generation of a new cystatin C-based estimating equation for glomerular filtration rate by use of 7 assays standardized to the international calibrator. *Clin Chem.* 2014; 60:974-986.
- 24. Lin L, Chen X, Chen J, et al. The predictive value of serum level of cystatin C for COVID-19 severity. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11:21964.
- 25. Helmersson-Karlqvist J, Lipcsey M, Ärnlöv J, et al. Cystatin C predicts long term mortality better than creatinine in a nationwide study of intensive care patients. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11:5882.
- 26. Cuschieri S. The STROBE guidelines. Saudi J Anaesth. 2019;13: S31-s34.
- Larsson A, Hultström M, Frihiof R, Nyman U, Lipcsey M, Eriksson M. Differential bias for creatinine and cystatin C derived estimated glomerular filtration rate in critical COVID-19. *Biomedicine*. 2022;10: 2708.
- Larsson A, Lipcsey M, Hultström M, Frithiof R, Eriksson M. Plasma leptin is increased in intensive care patients with COVID-19-an investigation performed in the PronMed-cohort. *Biomedicine*. 2021;10:4.
- Frithiof R, Bergqvist A, Järhult JD, Lipcsey M, Hultström M. Presence of SARS-CoV-2 in urine is rare and not associated with acute kidney injury in critically ill COVID-19 patients. *Crit Care*. 2020;24:587.
- Stattin K, Lipcsey M, Andersson H, et al. Inadequate prophylactic effect of low-molecular weight heparin in critically ill COVID-19 patients. J Crit Care. 2020;60:249-252.
- Werner K, Pihlsgård M, Elmståhl S, Legrand H, Nyman U, Christensson A. Combining cystatin C and creatinine yields a reliable glomerular filtration rate estimation in older adults in contrast to β-trace protein and β2-microglobulin. *Nephron*. 2017;137:29-37.
- Shuter B, Aslani A. Body surface area: Du Bois and Du Bois revisited. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2000;82:250-254.
- DuBois D, DuBois E. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and weight be known. Arch Intern Med. 1916;17: 863-871.
- Nyman U, Grubb A, Lindström V, Björk J. Accuracy of GFR estimating equations in a large Swedish cohort: implications for radiologists in daily routine and research. *Acta Radiol.* 2017;58:367-375.
- Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet.* 1986;1: 307-310.
- Moreno RP, Metnitz PG, Almeida E, et al. SAPS3-from evaluation of the patient to evaluation of the intensive care unit. Part 2: development of a prognostic model for hospital mortality at ICU admission. *Intensive Care Med.* 2005;31:1345-1355.
- 37. Azoulay E, Metnitz B, Sprung CL, et al. End-of-life practices in 282 intensive care units: data from the SAPS 3 database. *Intensive Care Med.* 2009;35:623-630.
- Lipcsey M, Furebring M, Rubertsson S, Larsson A. Significant differences when using creatinine, modification of diet in renal disease, or cystatin C for estimating glomerular filtration rate in ICU patients. Ups J Med Sci. 2011;116:39-46.
- Luther TEP, Cox E, Lipcsey M, et al. Decreased renal perfusion during acute kidney injury in critical COVID-19 assessed by

magnetic resonance imaging: a prospective case control study. *Crit Care*. 2022;26(1):262.

- Lanvers-Kaminsky C, Zehnhoff-Dinnesen AA, Parfitt R, Ciarimboli G. Drug-induced ototoxicity: mechanisms, pharmacogenetics, and protective strategies. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;101:491-500.
- 41. Perazella MA. Drug use and nephrotoxicity in the intensive care unit. *Kidney Int.* 2012;81:1172-1178.
- 42. Pazhayattil GS, Shirali AC. Drug-induced impairment of renal function. *Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis.* 2014;7:457-468.
- 43. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:693-704.
- 44. Weinert LS, Camargo EG, Soares AA, Silveiro SP. Glomerular filtration rate estimation: performance of serum cystatin C-based prediction equations. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2011;49:1761-1771.
- Getachew H, Tadesse Y, Shibeshi W. Drug dosage adjustment in hospitalized patients with renal impairment at Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital, Addis Ababa. *Ethiopia BMC Nephrol.* 2015;16:158.
- Machado JD, Camargo EG, Boff R, et al. Combined creatinine-cystatin C CKD-EPI equation significantly underestimates measured glomerular filtration rate in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Biochem*. 2018;53:43-48.
- El Assri S, Sam H, El Assri A, et al. lohexol assay for direct determination of glomerular filtration rate: optimization and development of an HPLC-UV method for measurement in serum and urine. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2020;508:115-121.
- Delanaye P, Ebert N, Melsom T, et al. lohexol plasma clearance for measuring glomerular filtration rate in clinical practice and research: a review. Part 1: how to measure glomerular filtration rate with iohexol? *Clin Kidney J.* 2016;9:682-699.
- Delanaye P, Melsom T, Ebert N, et al. lohexol plasma clearance for measuring glomerular filtration rate in clinical practice and research: a review. Part 2: why to measure glomerular filtration rate with iohexol? *Clin Kidney J.* 2016;9:700-704.
- Robert S, Zarowitz BJ, Peterson EL, Dumler F. Predictability of creatinine clearance estimates in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med.* 1993; 21:1487-1495.
- Bragadottir G, Redfors B, Ricksten SE. Assessing glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury - true GFR versus urinary creatinine clearance and estimating equations. *Crit Care*. 2013;17:R108.

- 52. Kadivarian S, Heydarpour F, Karimpour H, Shahbazi F. Measured versus estimated creatinine clearance in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: an observational study. *Acute Crit Care.* 2022;37: 185-192.
- 53. de Oliveira MF, Oliveira SA, de Lima ESPF, et al. Kinetic estimated glomerular filtration rate in critically ill patients: beyond the acute kidney injury severity classification system. *Crit Care*. 2017;21:280.
- 54. Craig WA, Ebert SC. Protein binding and its significance in antibacterial therapy. *Infect Dis Clin North Am.* 1989;3:407-414.
- Ulldemolins M, Roberts JA, Rello J, Paterson DL, Lipman J. The effects of hypoalbuminaemia on optimizing antibacterial dosing in critically ill patients. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2011;50:99-110.
- Hosein S, Udy AA, Lipman J. Physiological changes in the critically ill patient with sepsis. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol.* 2011;12:1991-1995.
- 57. Carrié C, Petit L, d'Houdain N, et al. Association between augmented renal clearance, antibiotic exposure and clinical outcome in critically ill septic patients receiving high doses of β-lactams administered by continuous infusion: a prospective observational study. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2018;51:443-449.
- Gorham J, Taccone FS, Hites M. Ensuring target concentrations of antibiotics in critically ill patients through dose adjustment. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2022;18:177-187.
- 59. Shieh JJ, Riley IR, Rogers TE, Kao LF, Dorshow RB. Characterization of MB-102, a new fluorescent tracer agent for point-of-care renal function monitoring. *J Pharm Sci.* 2020;109:1191-1198.
- Ravn B, Rimes-Stigare C, Bell M, et al. Creatinine versus cystatin C based glomerular filtration rate in critically ill patients. J Crit Care. 2019;52:136-140.

How to cite this article: Larsson AO, Hultström M, Frithiof R, Lipcsey M, Nyman U, Eriksson MB. Estimated glomerular filtration rates are higher when creatinine-based equations are compared with a cystatin C-based equation in coronavirus disease 2019. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2022;1-8. doi:10.1111/ aas.14173