



This is a postprint version of the following published document:

Fernández-Morales, H.R... et al (2015). Generalized sampling: From shift-invariant to U-invariant spaces. *Analysis and Applications*, 13(3), pp. 303-329.

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219530514500213</u>

© World Scientific Publishing Company.

Generalized sampling: from shift-invariant to U-invariant spaces

H. R. Fernández-Morales,^{*} A. G. García[†] M. A. Hernández-Medina[‡] and M. J. Muñoz-Bouzo[§]

- *† Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Avda. de la Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés-Madrid, Spain.
- ‡ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, E.T.S.I.T., U.P.M., Avda. Complutense 30, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- § Departamento de Matemáticas Fundamentales, Facultad de Ciencias, U.N.E.D. Senda del Rey 9, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

Abstract

The aim of this article is to derive a sampling theory in U-invariant subspaces of a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} where U denotes a unitary operator defined on \mathcal{H} . To this end, we use some special dual frames for $L^2(0,1)$, and the fact that any U-invariant subspace with stable generator is the image of $L^2(0,1)$ by means of a bounded invertible operator. The used mathematical technique mimics some previous sampling work for shift-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, sampling frame expansions in U-invariant spaces are obtained. In order to generalize convolution systems and deal with the time-jitter error in this new setting we consider a continuous group of unitary operators which includes the operator U.

Keywords: Stationary sequences; *U*-invariant subspaces; Frames; Dual frames; Timejitter error; Group of unitary operators; Pseudo-dual frames. **AMS**: 42C15; 94A20.

1 By way of motivation

The aim in this paper is to derive a generalized sampling theory for U-invariant subspaces of a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , where $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ denotes a unitary operator. The motivation for our work can be found in the generalized sampling problem in shift-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$; there $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and U is the shift operator $T: f(u) \mapsto f(u-1)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In that setting, the functions (signals) belong to some

^{*}E-mail:hfernand@math.uc3m.es

[†]E-mail:agarcia@math.uc3m.es

[‡]E-mail:miguelangel.hernandez.medina@upm.es

[§]E-mail:mjmunoz@mat.uned.es

(principal) shift-invariant subspace $V_{\varphi}^2 := \overline{\operatorname{span}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \{ \varphi(u-n), n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$, where the generator function φ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the sequence $\{\varphi(u-n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz sequence for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, the shift-invariant space V_{φ}^2 can be described as

$$V_{\varphi}^{2} = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} \ \varphi(u-n) : \ \{\alpha_{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}$$

On the other hand, in many common situations the available data are samples of some filtered versions $f * h_j$ of the signal f itself, where the average function h_j reflects the characteristics of the adquisition device.

For s convolution systems (linear time-invariant systems or filters in engineering jargon) $\mathcal{L}_j f := f * \mathbf{h}_j, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, defined on V_{φ}^2 , and assuming also that the sequence of samples

$$\{(\mathcal{L}_j f)(rm)\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z};\,j=1,2,\ldots,s},\,$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$, is available for any f in V_{φ}^2 , the generalized sampling problem mathematically consists of the stable recovery of any $f \in V_{\varphi}^2$ from the above sequence of samples. In other words, it deals with the construction of sampling formulas in V_{φ}^2 having the form

$$f(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{j} f \right)(rm) S_{j}(u - rm), \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the sequence of reconstruction functions $\{S_j(\cdot - rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a frame for the shift-invariant space V_{φ}^2 .

Sampling in shift-invariant spaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (or $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$), with one or multiple generators, has been profusely treated in the mathematical literature. A few selected references are: [4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

In the present work we provide a generalization of the above problem in the following sense. Let U be a unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ; for a fixed $a \in \mathcal{H}$, consider the closed subspace given by $\mathcal{A}_a := \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{U^n a, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In case that the sequence $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} we have

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n U^n a : \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

In order to generalize convolution systems and mainly to obtain some perturbation results in this new setting, we assume that the operator U is included in a continuous group of unitary operators $\{U^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ in \mathcal{H} as $U := U^1$. Recall that $\{U^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a family of unitary operators in \mathcal{H} satisfying (see Ref. [2, vol. 2; p. 29]):

- (1) $U^t U^{t'} = U^{t+t'}$,
- (2) $U^0 = I_{\mathcal{H}}$,
- (3) $\langle U^t x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a continuous function of t for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Note that $(U^t)^{-1} = U^{-t}$, and since $(U^t)^* = (U^t)^{-1}$, we have $(U^t)^* = U^{-t}$.

Thus, for $b \in \mathcal{H}$ we consider the linear operator $\mathcal{H} \ni x \mapsto \mathcal{L}_b x \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(\mathcal{L}_b x)(t) := \langle x, U^t b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. These operators \mathcal{L}_b , which will be called *U*-systems, can be seen as a generalization of the convolution systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, for the shift operator $U : f(u) \mapsto f(u-1)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\langle f, U^t b \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) \overline{b(u-t)} du = (f * h)(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $h(u) := \overline{b(-u)}$.

Given U-systems \mathcal{L}_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, corresponding to s elements $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_j \equiv \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$ for each j = 1, 2, ..., s, the generalized regular sampling problem in \mathcal{A}_a consists of the stable recovery of any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ from the sequence of the samples

$$\left\{\mathcal{L}_{j}x(rm)\right\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z};\,j=1,2,\ldots,s}$$
 where $r\in\mathbb{N}, r\geq 1$.

This U-sampling problem has been treated, for the first time, in some recent papers [22, 24]. Sampling in shift-invariant subspaces or in modulation-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ becomes a particular case of U-sampling associated with the translation operator $T: f(u) \mapsto f(u-1)$ or with the modulation operator $M: f(u) \mapsto e^{2\pi i u} f(u)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ respectively.

In this paper we propose a completely different approach which allows to analyze in depth the U-sampling problem. In Section 3 we prove the existence of frames in \mathcal{A}_a , having the form $\{U^{rm}c_j\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$, where $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$ for j = 1, 2, ..., s, such that for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ the sampling expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) U^{rm} c_j \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}$$
(1)

holds. To this end, as in the shift-invariant case (see, for instance, Refs. [13, 15]), we use that the above sampling formula is intimately related with some special dual frames in $L^2(0,1)$ (see Section 2 below) via the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}: L^2(0,1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_a$ which maps the orthonormal basis $\{e^{2\pi i n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $L^2(0,1)$ onto the Riesz basis $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for \mathcal{A}_a . In [24] regular sampling expansions like (1) are obtained by using a completely different technique; basically, they use the cross-covariance function $R_{a,b_j}(n) := \langle U^n a, b_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ between the sequences $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{U^n b_j\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$.

Strictly speaking, we do not need the formalism of the continuous group of unitary operators to derive the sampling results in Section 3 since we only use the discrete group $\{U^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ completely determined by U. However, for the study, in Section 4, of the time-jitter error in sampling formulas as in (1), the continuous group of unitary operators $\{U^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ becomes essential. In this case we dispose of a perturbed sequence of samples $\{(\mathcal{L}_j x)(rm + \epsilon_{mj})\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$, with errors $\epsilon_{mj} \in \mathbb{R}$, for the recovery of $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$. We prove that, for small enough errors ϵ_{mj} , the stable recovery of any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ is still possible. Finally, in Section 5 we deal with the case of multiple stable generators. We only sketch the procedure since it is essentially identical to the one-generator case.

2 On sampling in *U*-invariant subspaces

For a fixed $a \in \mathcal{H}$, assume that the sequence $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} . Recall that a *Riesz basis* in a separable Hilbert space is the image of an orthonormal basis by means of a bounded invertible operator. Any Riesz basis $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ has a unique biorthogonal (dual) Riesz basis $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e., $\langle x_n, y_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{n,m}$, such that the expansions

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, y_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \, x_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, x_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \, y_n \, ,$$

hold for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We state the definition by considering the integers set \mathbb{Z} as the index set since throughout the paper most of sequences are indexed in \mathbb{Z} . A *Riesz* sequence in \mathcal{H} is a Riesz basis for its closed span (see, for instance, [8]). Thus, the U-invariant subspace $\mathcal{A}_a := \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{ U^n a, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \, U^n a : \, \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

For simplicity and ease of notation we are considering the one-generator setting; as we have already said, the same sampling results for the general case can be obtained by analogy, and it will be drawn in Section 5. The sequence $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an *stationary* sequence since the inner product $\langle U^n a, U^m a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ depends only on the difference $n - m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the *auto-covariance* R_a of the sequence $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ admits the integral representation

$$R_a(k) := \langle U^k a, a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\theta} d\mu_a(\theta) , \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z} ,$$

in terms of a positive Borel measure μ_a on $(-\pi, \pi)$ called the *spectral measure* of the sequence (see [19]). This is obtained from the integral representation of the unitary operator U on \mathcal{H} (see, for instance, [2, 33]). The spectral measure μ_a can be decomposed into an absolute continuous and a singular part as $d\mu_a(\theta) = \phi_a(\theta)d\theta + d\mu_a^s(\theta)$. A necessary and sufficient condition in order for the sequence $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be a Riesz sequence for \mathcal{H} is given in next theorem in terms of the decomposition of the spectral measure μ_a :

Theorem 1. Let $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence obtained from a unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with spectral measure $d\mu_a(\theta) = \phi_a(\theta)d\theta + d\mu_a^s(\theta)$, and let \mathcal{A}_a be the closed subspace spanned by $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then the sequence $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{A}_a if and only if the singular part $\mu_a^s \equiv 0$ and

$$0 < \underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess \, sup}} \phi_a(\theta) \le \underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess \, sup}} \phi_a(\theta) < \infty \, .$$

Theorem 1 is just the one-generator case (L = 1) of Theorem 11 proved below. It is worth to mention that an straightforward computation shows that the dual Riesz basis of $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in \mathcal{A}_a is given by $\{U^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ whith $b = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k U^k a \in \mathcal{A}_a$, where the terms of the sequence $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ are the Fourier coefficients of the function $1/\phi_a(\theta) \in L^2(-\pi,\pi)$. Indeed, for $b = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k U^k a$ in \mathcal{A}_a , the biorthogonality between the sequences $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{U^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ means

$$\delta_{m,0} = \langle U^m a, b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle U^m a, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k U^k a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{b}_k \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(m-k)\theta} \phi_a(\theta) d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{b}_k e^{-ik\theta} \right) \phi_a(\theta) e^{im\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B(\theta) \phi_a(\theta) e^{-im\theta} d\theta,$$

where $B(\theta) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k e^{ik\theta}$; in other words, we have $B(\theta)\phi_a(\theta) \equiv 1$ in $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$. Moreover, it is easy to deduce that $\phi_b(\theta) = 1/\phi_a(\theta), \ \theta \in (-\pi,\pi)$; that is, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain $\langle U^k b, b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\theta} \frac{d\theta}{\phi_a(\theta)}$.

Finally, for the shift operator $T : f(u) \mapsto f(u-1)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, Theorem 1 allows to recover the classical necessary and sufficient condition for the sequence $\{\varphi(t-n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, to be a Riesz basis for the corresponding shift-invariant subspace \mathcal{A}_{φ} in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, consider the Fourier transform as $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-it\theta} d\theta$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$; using the Parseval's equality one easily gets

$$\begin{split} \langle T^{k}\varphi,\varphi\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\varphi(u-k)\,\overline{\varphi(u)}\,du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\widehat{\varphi(u-k)}(\theta)\overline{\widehat{\varphi(\theta)}}\,d\theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)|^{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-ik\theta}\,d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\theta+2\pi n)|^{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-ik\theta}\,d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{e}^{-ik\theta}\,2\pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|\widehat{\varphi}(-\theta+2\pi n)|^{2}\,d\theta\,,\end{split}$$

that is, $\phi_{\varphi}(\theta) = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(-\theta + 2\pi n)|^2$, $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Thus, Theorem 1 yields the classical condition (see, for instance, [8]):

$$0 < \underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi n)|^2 \leq \underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi n)|^2 < \infty \,.$$

The following isomorphism between $L^2(0,1)$ and \mathcal{A}_a will be crucial along this paper:

The isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$

We define the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ which maps the orthonormal basis $\{e^{2\pi i nw}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $L^2(0,1)$ onto the Riesz basis $\{U^n a\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for \mathcal{A}_a , that is,

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,a}: \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} L^2(0,1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_a \\ F = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} n w} & \longmapsto & x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \, U^n a \, . \end{array}$$

The following U-shift property holds: For any $F \in L^2(0,1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,a}\left(F\,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}Nw}\right) = U^N\left(\mathcal{T}_{U,a}F\right).\tag{2}$$

The U-systems

For any fixed $b \in \mathcal{H}$ we define the *U*-system \mathcal{L}_b as the linear operator between \mathcal{H} and the set $C(\mathbb{R})$ of the continuous functions on \mathbb{R} given by

$$\mathcal{H} \ni x \longmapsto \mathcal{L}_b x \in C(\mathbb{R})$$
 such that $\mathcal{L}_b x(t) := \langle x, U^t b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$

For any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by using the Plancherel equality for the orthonormal basis $\{e^{2\pi i n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2(0, 1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{b}x(t) = \langle x, U^{t}b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} U^{n}a, U^{t}b \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} \overline{\langle U^{t}b, U^{n}a \rangle}_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= \left\langle F, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^{t}b, U^{n}a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left\langle F, K_{t} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)},$$
(3)

where $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}F = x$, and the function

$$K_t(w) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^t b, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a(t-n)} e^{2\pi i n w}$$

belongs to $L^2(0,1)$ since the sequence $\{\langle U^t b, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

An expression for the generalized samples

Suppose that s vectors $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, are given and consider their associated U-systems $\mathcal{L}_j := \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s. Our aim is the stable recovery of any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ from the sequence of samples $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ where $r \geq 1$. To this end, first we obtain a suitable expression for the samples. For $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ let $F \in L^2(0,1)$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}F = x$; by using (3), for j = 1, 2, ..., s and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{j}x(rm) &= \left\langle F, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^{rm} b_{j}, U^{n} a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i nw} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left\langle F, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^{k} b_{j}, a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i (rm-k)w} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \\ &= \left\langle F, \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\langle a, U^{k} b_{j} \rangle}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-2\pi i kw} \right] e^{2\pi i rmw} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the change in the summation's index k := rm - n has been done. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{j}x(rm) = \left\langle F, \overline{g_{j}(w)} e^{2\pi i rmw} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, s, \qquad (4)$$

where the function

$$g_j(w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k) \,\mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k w} \tag{5}$$

belongs to $L^{2}(0, 1)$ for each j = 1, 2, ..., s.

As a consequence of (4), the stable recovery of any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ depends on whether the sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ forms a frame for $L^2(0,1)$. Recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a *frame* for a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} if there exist two constants A, B > 0 (frame bounds) such that

$$A||x||^2 \le \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \le B||x||^2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in \mathcal{H} satisfying only the right hand inequality above is said to be a *Bessel sequence* for \mathcal{H} . Given a frame $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for \mathcal{H} the representation property of any vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ as a series $x = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_n x_n$ is retained, but, unlike the case of Riesz bases (*exact frames*), the uniqueness of this representation (for *overcomplete frames*) is sacrificed. Suitable frame coefficients c_n which depend continuously and linearly on x are obtained by using the dual frames $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e., $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is another frame for \mathcal{H} such that $x = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle x, y_n \rangle x_n = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle x, x_n \rangle y_n$ for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For more details on frame theory see Ref. [8]. A deep study of sequences having the form of $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ was done in Refs. [13, 15]. Namely, consider the $s \times r$ matrix of functions in $L^2(0,1)$

$$\mathbb{G}(w) := \begin{bmatrix} g_1(w) & g_1(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & g_1(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \\ g_2(w) & g_2(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & g_2(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_s(w) & g_s(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & g_s(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \end{bmatrix} = \left[g_j \left(w + \frac{k-1}{r} \right) \right]_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s\\k=1,2,\dots,r}} \tag{6}$$

and its related constants

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname{ess\,suf}_{w \in (0,1/r)} \lambda_{\min}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)], \quad \beta_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in (0,1/r)} \lambda_{\max}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)],$$

where $\mathbb{G}^*(w)$ denotes the transpose conjugate of the matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$, and λ_{\min} (respectively λ_{\max}) the smallest (respectively the largest) eigenvalue of the positive semidefinite matrix $\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)$. Observe that $0 \leq \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \infty$. Notice that in the definition of the matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$ we are considering 1-periodic extensions of the involved functions g_j , $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$.

A complete characterization of the sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is given in the next lemma (see [13, Lemma 3] or [15, Lemma 2] for the proof):

Lemma 2. For the functions $g_j \in L^2(0,1)$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, consider the associated matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$ given in (6). Then, the following results hold:

- (a) The sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r nw}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a complete system for $L^2(0,1)$ if and only if the rank of the matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$ is r a.e. in (0,1/r).
- (b) The sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r nw}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a Bessel sequence for $L^2(0,1)$ if and only if $g_j \in L^{\infty}(0,1)$ (or equivalently $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$). In this case, the optimal Bessel bound is $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$.
- (c) The sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for $L^2(0,1)$ if and only if $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$. In this case, the optimal frame bounds are $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$.
- (d) The sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2(0,1)$ if and only if is a frame and s = r.

A comment about Lemma 2 in terms of the average sampling terminology introduced by Aldroubi et al. in [6] is in order. According to [6] we say that

- 1. The set $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$ is an *r*-determining U-sampler for \mathcal{A}_a if the only vector $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$, satisfying $\mathcal{L}_j x(rm) = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is x = 0.
- 2. The set $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$ is an *r-stable U-sampler* for \mathcal{A}_a if there exist positive constants A and B such that

$$A||x||^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)|^2 \le B||x||^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{A}_a.$$

Hence, parts (a) and (c) of Lemma 2 can be read, by using (4), as follows:

- i. The set $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$ is an *r*-determining *U*-sampler for \mathcal{A}_a if and only if rank $\mathbb{G}(w) = r$ a.e. in (0, 1) (and hence, necessarily, $s \geq r$).
- ii. The set $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$ is an *r*-stable *U*-sampler for \mathcal{A}_a if and only if $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$.

An r-determining U-sampler for \mathcal{A}_a can distinguish between two distinct elements in \mathcal{A}_a , but the recovery, if any, is not necessarily stable. If the system $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$ is an r-stable U-sampler for \mathcal{A}_a , then any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ can be recovered, in a stable way, from the sequence of generalized samples $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$, where necessarily $s \geq r$. Roughly speaking, the operator which maps

$$\mathcal{A}_a \ni x \longmapsto \left\{ \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; \ j=1,2,\dots,s} \in \ell^2_s(\mathbb{Z}) := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$$
(s times)

has a bounded inverse.

Having in mind (4), from the sequence of samples $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ we recover $F \in L^2(0,1)$, and by means of the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$, the vector $x = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}F \in \mathcal{A}_a$. This will be the main goal in the next section:

3 Generalized regular sampling in A_a

Along with the characterization of the sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ as a frame in $L^2(0,1)$, in [13] a family of dual frames are also given: Choose functions h_j in $L^{\infty}(0,1), j = 1,2,\dots,s$, such that

$$[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_s(w)] \mathbb{G}(w) = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$$
 a.e. in $(0, 1)$. (7)

It was proven in [13] that the sequence $\{rh_j(w)e^{2\pi i rnw}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a dual frame of the sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)}e^{2\pi i rnw}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ in $L^2(0,1)$. In other words, taking into account (4), we have for any $F \in L^2(0,1)$ the expansion

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) rh_j(w) e^{2\pi i rmw} \quad \text{in } L^2(0,1).$$
(8)

Concerning to the existence of the functions h_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, consider the first row of the $r \times s$ Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse $\mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w)$ of $\mathbb{G}(w)$ given by

$$\mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w) := \left[\mathbb{G}^{*}(w) \,\mathbb{G}(w)\right]^{-1} \,\mathbb{G}^{*}(w)$$

Its entries are essentially bounded in (0, 1) since the functions g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, and $\det^{-1} [\mathbb{G}^*(w) \mathbb{G}(w)]$ are essentially bounded in (0, 1), and (7) trivially holds. All the possible solutions of (7) are given by the first row of the $r \times s$ matrices given by

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{U}}(w) := \mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w) + \mathbb{U}(w) \big[\mathbb{I}_s - \mathbb{G}(w) \mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w) \big], \qquad (9)$$

where $\mathbb{U}(w)$ denotes any $r \times s$ matrix with entries in $L^{\infty}(0,1)$, and \mathbb{I}_s is the identity matrix of order s.

Applying the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ in (8), for $x = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}F \in \mathcal{A}_a$ we obtain the sampling expansion:

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rm) \mathcal{T}_{U,a} [rh_{j}(\cdot) e^{2\pi i rm \cdot}] = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rm) U^{rm} [\mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_{j})]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rm) U^{rm} c_{j,h} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H},$$
(10)

where $c_{j,h} := \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j) \in \mathcal{A}_a$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, and we have used the *U*-shift property (2). Besides, the sequence $\{U^{rm}c_{j,h}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for \mathcal{A}_a . In fact, the following result holds:

Theorem 3. Let $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ and let \mathcal{L}_j be its associated U-system for j = 1, 2, ..., s. Assume that the function g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, given in (5) belongs to $L^{\infty}(0, 1)$; or equivalently, that $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ for the associated $s \times r$ matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0.$
- (b) There exists a vector $[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_s(w)]$ with entries in $L^{\infty}(0, 1)$ satisfying

$$[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_s(w)] \mathbb{G}(w) = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$$
 a.e. in $(0, 1)$

(c) There exist $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, such that the sequence $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for \mathcal{A}_a , and for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ the expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) U^{rk} c_j \quad in \ \mathcal{H} \,, \tag{11}$$

holds.

(d) There exists a frame $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ for \mathcal{A}_a such that, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ the expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rk) C_{j,k} \quad in \ \mathcal{H} \,,$$

holds.

Proof. We have already proved that (a) implies (b) and that (b) implies (c). Obviously, (c) implies (d). As a consequence, we only need to prove that (d) implies (a). Applying the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}$ to the expansion in (d), and taking into account (4) we obtain

$$F = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1} x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rk) \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle F, \overline{g_{j}(w)} e^{2\pi i rkw} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k}) \quad \text{in } L^{2}(0,1) ,$$

where the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for $L^2(0,1)$. The sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^2(0,1)$ since $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$, and satisfying the above expansion in $L^2(0,1)$. According to [8, Lemma 5.6.2] the sequences $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ and $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r k w}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ form a pair of dual frames in $L^2(0,1)$; in particular, by using Lemma 2 we obtain that $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ which concludes the proof.

In case the functions g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s are continuous on \mathbb{R} , condition (a) in Theorem 3 can be expressed in terms of the rank of the matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$; notice that this occurs, for example, whenever the sequences $\{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, j = 1, 2, ..., s$, belong to $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 4. Assume that the 1-periodic extension of the functions g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, given in (5) are continuous on \mathbb{R} . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) rank $\mathbb{G}(w) = r$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) There exist $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, such that the sequence $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for \mathcal{A}_a , and the sampling formula (11) holds for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$.

Proof. Whenever the functions g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, are continuous on \mathbb{R} , the condition $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ is equivalent to det $[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)] \neq 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, if det $\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w) > 0$ then the first row of the matrix $\mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w) := [\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)]^{-1}\mathbb{G}^*(w)$, gives a vector $[h_1, h_2, ..., h_s]$ satisfying the statement (b) in Theorem 3 and, as a consequence, $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$. The converse follows from the fact that det $[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)] \geq \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}^r$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Since, det $[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)] \neq 0$ is equivalent to rank $\mathbb{G}(w) = r$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, the result is a consequence of Theorem 3

Whenever the sampling period r equals the number of U-systems s we are in the presence of Riesz bases, and there exists a unique sampling expansion in Theorem 3:

Corollary 5. Let $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ for j = 1, 2, ..., r, i.e., r = s in Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{L}_j be its associated U-system for j = 1, 2, ..., r. Assume that the function g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., r, given in (5) belongs to $L^{\infty}(0, 1)$; or equivalently, $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ for the associated $r \times r$ matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$. The following statements are equivalent:

(a) $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$.

(b) There exists a Riesz basis $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,r}$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ the expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) C_{j,k} \quad in \mathcal{H}$$
(12)

holds.

In case the equivalent conditions are satisfied, necessarily there exist $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, such that $C_{j,k} = U^{rk}c_j$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Moreover, the interpolation property $\mathcal{L}_{j'}c_j(rk) = \delta_{j,j'}\delta_{k,0}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j, j' = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, holds.

Proof. Assume that $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$; since $\mathbb{G}(w)$ is a square matrix, this implies that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{w\in\mathbb{R}} |\det\mathbb{G}(w)| > 0.$$

Therefore, the first row of $\mathbb{G}^{-1}(w)$ gives the unique solution $[h_1(w), h_2(w), \ldots, h_r(w)]$ of (7) with $h_j \in L^{\infty}(0, 1)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

According to Theorem 3, the sequence $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$:= $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$, where $c_j = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j)$, satisfies the sampling formula (12). Moreover, the sequence $\{rh_j(w) e^{2\pi i rkw}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r} = \{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(U^{rk}c_j)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$ is a frame for $L^2(0,1)$. Since r = s, according to Lemma 2, it is a Riesz basis. Hence, $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{A}_a and (b) is proved.

Conversely, assume now that $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{A}_a satisfying (12). From the uniqueness of the coefficients in a Riesz basis, we get that the interpolatory condition $(\mathcal{L}_{j'}C_{j,k})(rk') = \delta_{j,j'}\delta_{k,k'}$ holds for $j, j' = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ and $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism, the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,r}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2(0,1)$. Expanding the function $\overline{g_{j'}(w)} e^{-2\pi i rk'w}$ with respect to the dual basis of $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,r}$, denoted by $\{D_{j,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,r}$, and having in mind (4) we obtain

$$\overline{g_{j'}(w)} e^{2\pi i r k' w} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \overline{g_{j'}(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r k' \cdot}, \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k}) \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} D_{j,k}(w)$$
$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_{j'} C_{j,k}(rk')} D_{j,k}(w) = D_{j',k'}(w) .$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r k w}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$ is the dual basis of the Riesz basis $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$. In particular, it is a Riesz basis for $L^2(0,1)$, which implies, according to Lemma 2, that $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$, i.e., condition (a). Moreover, the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$ is necessarily the unique dual basis of the Riesz basis $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r k w}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$. Therefore, this proves the uniqueness of the Riesz basis $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r k w}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,r}$ for \mathcal{A}_a satisfying (12).

Some comments on the sequence $\left\{U^{rk}b_j\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z};\,j=1,2,\dots,s}$

Concerning Theorem 3, more can be said about the sequence $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$, where the vectors $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ define the U-systems $\mathcal{L}_j \equiv \mathcal{L}_{b_j}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Having in mind (4) and the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$, we obtain that

$$\frac{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}{r} \|\mathcal{T}_{U,a}\|^{-2} \|x\|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle x, U^{rk} b_j \rangle|^2 \le \frac{\beta_{\mathbb{G}}}{r} \|\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}\|^2 \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{A}_a.$$
(13)

- In case that $b_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$ for each j = 1, 2, ..., s, we derive that $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for \mathcal{A}_a , and it is dual to the frame $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ in \mathcal{A}_a . Thus, the sampling expansion (11) is nothing but a frame expansion in \mathcal{A}_a .
- In case that some b_j ∉ A_a, the sequence {U^{rk}b_j}_{k∈ℤ; j=1,2,...,s} is not contained in A_a. However, inequalities (13) hold. Therefore, the sequence {U^{rk}b_j}_{k∈ℤ; j=1,2,...,s}

is a pseudo-dual frame for the frame $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ in \mathcal{A}_a (see [20, 21]). Denoting by $P_{\mathcal{A}_a}$ the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{A}_a , we derive from (13) that the sequence $\{P_{\mathcal{A}_a}(U^{rk}b_j)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ is a dual frame of $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ in \mathcal{A}_a .

• Whenever r = s, according to the above cases, the sequence $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a Riesz basis or a pseudo-Riesz basis for \mathcal{A}_a .

Sampling formulas with prescribed properties

The sampling formula (11) can be thought as a filter-bank. Indeed, assume that for j = 1, 2, ..., s we have

$$c_{j,h} = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j) = r \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_j(n) U^n a \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{h}_j(n) = \int_0^1 h_j(w) e^{-2\pi i n w} dw \,, \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \,.$$

Substituting in (11), after the change of summation index m := rk + n we obtain

$$x = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) \, \widehat{h}_j(m-rk) \right\} U^m a \,,$$

that is, the relevant data is the output of a filter-bank:

$$\alpha_m := \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) \, \widehat{h}_j(m-rk) \,, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \,.$$

where the input is the given samples and the impulse responses depend on the sampling vectors $c_{j,h}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s. In the oversampling setting, i.e., s > r, according to (9) there exist infinitely many sampling vectors $c_{j,h}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, for which the sampling formula (11) holds. A natural question is whether we can choose the sampling vectors $c_{j,h}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, with prescribed properties.

For instance, a challenging problem is to ask under what conditions we are in the presence of a FIR (finite impulse response) filter-bank; i.e. $c_{j,h} = r \sum_{\text{finite}} \hat{h}_j(n) U^n a$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, or equivalently, when the functions h_j , $j = 1, \ldots, s$, are 2π -periodic trigonometric polynomials. Instead, we deal with Laurent polynomials by using the variable $z = e^{2\pi i w}$, that is, $g_j(z) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k) z^k$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. We introduce the $s \times r$ matrix

$$\mathsf{G}(z) := \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{g}_{1}(z) & \mathsf{g}_{1}(zW) & \cdots & \mathsf{g}_{1}(zW^{r-1}) \\ \mathsf{g}_{2}(z) & \mathsf{g}_{2}(zW) & \cdots & \mathsf{g}_{2}(zW^{r-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathsf{g}_{s}(z) & \mathsf{g}_{s}(zW) & \cdots & \mathsf{g}_{s}(zW^{r-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \left[\mathsf{g}_{j}(zW^{k}) \right]_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s\\k=0,1,\dots,r-1}},$$

where $W : e^{2\pi i/r}$. In case the functions $g_j(z), j = 1, 2, ..., s$, are Laurent polynomials, the matrix G(z) has Laurent polynomials entries. Besides, the relationship $\mathbb{G}(w) = G(e^{2\pi i w}), w \in (0, 1)$, holds.

So that, we are interested in finding Laurent polynomials $h_j(z)$, j = 1, 2..., s, satisfying

$$[\mathbf{h}_1(z), \mathbf{h}_2(z), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(z)] \mathbf{G}(z) = [1, 0, \dots, 0].$$

Thus, the trigonometric polynomials $h_j(w) := h_j(e^{2\pi i w}), \ j = 1, 2, ..., s$, satisfy (7), and the corresponding reconstruction vectors $c_{j,h} = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j), \ j = 1, 2, ..., s$, can be expanded in \mathcal{A}_a with just a finite number of terms. Namely,

$$c_{j,h} = r \sum_{\text{finite}} \widehat{h}_j(n) U^n a$$
, where $h_j(z) = \sum_{\text{finite}} \widehat{h}_j(n) z^n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

The following result holds:

Theorem 6. Assume that the sequences $\{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, contain only a finite number of nonzero terms. Then, there exists a vector $h(z) := [h_1(z), h_2(z), \ldots, h_s(z)]$ whose entries are Laurent polynomials, and satisfying $h(z) G(z) = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{rank} \mathsf{G}(z) = r \quad for \ all \ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. This result is a consequence of the next lemma which proof can be found in [34, Theorems 5.1 and 5.6]:

Lemma 7. Let G(z) be an $s \times r$ matrix whose entries are Laurent polynomials. Then, there exists an $r \times s$ matrix H(z) whose entries are also Laurent polynomials satisfying $H(z)G(z) = \mathbb{I}_r$ if and only if rank G(z) = r for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Analogously we can consider the case where the coefficients of the reconstruction vectors $c_{j,h} = r \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{h}_j(n) U^n a$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, have exponential decay, i.e., there exist C > 0 and $q \in (0, 1)$ such that $|\hat{h}_j(n)| \leq Cq^{|n|}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s. Assuming that the sequences $\{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, j = 1, 2, ..., s$, have exponential decay then, we can find reconstruction vectors $c_{j,h}$ such that the sequences $\{\hat{h}_j(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, j = 1, 2, ..., s$, have exponential decay if and only if rank $\mathsf{G}(z) = r$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that |z| = 1. For the details, see [16] and references therein.

4 Time-jitter error: irregular sampling in A_a

A close look to Section 3 shows that all the regular sampling results have been proved without the formalism of a continuous group of unitary operators $\{U^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ in \mathcal{H} : we have only used the integer powers $\{U^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which are completely determined from the unitary operator U. However, if we are concerned with the jitter-error in a sampling formula as (11), the group of unitary operators becomes essential. Here, we dispose of a perturbed sequence of samples $\{(\mathcal{L}_j x)(rm + \epsilon_{mj})\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$, with errors $\epsilon_{mj} \in \mathbb{R}$, for the recovery of $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$. By using (4) and (3) we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}_j x(rm) = \left\langle F, \overline{g_j(w)} \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} rmw} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_j x(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) = \left\langle F, \overline{g_{m,j}(w)} \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} rmw} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)},$$

where the functions

$$g_j(w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k) e^{2\pi i k w}$$
 and $g_{m,j}(w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k + \epsilon_{mj}) e^{2\pi i k w}$,

belong to $L^2(0,1)$. Let $\mathbb{G}(w)$ be the $s \times r$ matrix given in (6), associated with the functions g_j , $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. In the case that $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$, the sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ is a frame for $L^2(0,1)$ with optimal frame bounds $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$. Thus, as in [14], we can see the sequence $\{\overline{g_{m,j}(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ in $L^2(0,1)$ as a perturbation of the frame $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ in $L^2(0,1)$. The following result on frame perturbation, which proof can be found in [8, p. 354] will be used later:

Lemma 8. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame for the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with frame bounds A, B, and let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} . If there exists a constant R < A such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \langle x_n - y_n, x \rangle \right|^2 \le R ||x||^2 \text{ for each } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

then the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $A(1 - \sqrt{R/A})^2$ and $B(1 + \sqrt{R/B})^2$. If the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis, then the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also a Riesz basis.

The time-jitter error sampling expansion

Given an error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := {\epsilon_{mj}}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$, assume that the operator

$$D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \ell^{2}_{s}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ c = \{c_{l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} & \longmapsto & D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} c := \left(D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},1} c, \dots, D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},s} c\right) \end{array}$$

is well-defined, where, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$,

$$D_{\epsilon,j} c := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k + \epsilon_{mj}) - \mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k) \right] c_k \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$
 (14)

The operator norm (it could be infinity) is defined as usual

$$\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\| := \sup_{c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} c \|_{\ell^2_s(\mathbb{Z})}}{\|c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}},$$

where $\|D_{\epsilon} c\|_{\ell^2_s(\mathbb{Z})}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^s \|D_{\epsilon,j} c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2$ for each $c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 9. Assume that for the functions g_j , j = 1, 2, ..., s, given in (5) we have $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$. Let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ be an error sequence satisfying the inequality $\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$. Then, there exists a frame $\{C_{j,m}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ for \mathcal{A}_a such that, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$, the sampling expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) C_{j,m}^{\epsilon} \quad in \mathcal{H}, \qquad (15)$$

holds. Moreover, when r = s the sequence $\{C_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a Riesz basis for \mathcal{A}_a , and the interpolation property $(\mathcal{L}_l C_{j,n}^{\epsilon})(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) = \delta_{j,l} \delta_{n,m}$ holds.

Proof. The sequence $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a frame (a Riesz basis if r = s) for $L^2(0,1)$ with optimal frame (Riesz) bounds $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$. For any $F(w) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_l e^{2\pi i l w}$

in $L^2(0,1)$ we have

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left| \left\langle \overline{g_{m,j}(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r m \cdot} - \overline{g_{j}(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r m \cdot}, F(\cdot) \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left| \left\langle \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{j}a(k+\epsilon_{mj})} - \overline{\mathcal{L}_{j}a(k)} \right) e^{2\pi i (rm-k) \cdot}, F(\cdot) \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left| \left\langle \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{j}a(rm-k+\epsilon_{mj})} - \overline{\mathcal{L}_{j}a(rm-k)} \right) e^{2\pi i k \cdot}, F(\cdot) \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \right|^{2} \qquad (16)$$

$$= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=1}^{s} \left| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}_{j}a(rm-k+\epsilon_{mj})} - \overline{\mathcal{L}_{j}a(rm-k)} \right) \overline{a}_{k} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\| D_{\epsilon,j} \{a_{l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})}^{2} \leq \left\| D_{\epsilon} \right\|^{2} \left\| \{a_{l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})}^{2} = \left\| D_{\epsilon} \right\|^{2} \left\| F \right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}.$$

By using Lemma 8 we obtain that the sequence $\{\overline{g_{m,j}(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a frame for $L^2(0,1)$ (a Riesz basis if r = s). Let $\{h_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ be its canonical dual frame. Hence, for any $F \in L^2(0,1)$

$$F = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \left\langle F(\cdot), \overline{g_{m,j}(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r m \cdot} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} h_{j,m}^{\epsilon}$$
$$= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) h_{j,m}^{\epsilon} \quad \text{in } L^{2}(0,1) \,.$$

Applying the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$, one gets (15), where $C_{j,m}^{\epsilon} := \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(h_{j,m}^{\epsilon})$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ is an isomorphism between $L^2(0, 1)$ and \mathcal{A}_a , the sequence $\{C_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$ is a frame for \mathcal{A}_a (a Riesz basis if r = s). The interpolatory property in the case r = s follows from the uniqueness of the coefficients with respect to a Riesz basis.

Sampling formula (15) is useless from a practical point of view: it is impossible to determine the involved frame $\{C_{j,m}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$. As a consequence, in order to recover $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ from the sequence of samples $\{(\mathcal{L}_j x)(rm + \epsilon_{mj})\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ we should implement a frame algorithm in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Ref. [14]); another possibility is given in Ref. [1].

In order to prove the existence of sequences $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,...,s}$ such that $\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ we need some results from the group of unitary operators theory:

A brief excursion on groups of unitary operators

Let $\{U^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ denote a continuous group of unitary operators in \mathcal{H} . Classical Stone's theorem [26] assures us the existence of a self-adjoint operator T (maybe unbounded)

such that $U^t \equiv e^{itT}$. This self-adjoint operator T, defined on the dense domain of \mathcal{H}

$$D_T := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^2 d \| E_w x \|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

admits the spectral representation $T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \, dE_w$ which means:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w \, d \langle E_w x, y \rangle$$
 for any $x \in D_T$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}$,

where $\{E_w\}_{w\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the corresponding resolution of the identity, i.e., a one-parameter family of projection operators E_w in \mathcal{H} such that

- (i) $E_{-\infty} := \lim_{w \to -\infty} E_w = O_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad E_{\infty} := \lim_{w \to \infty} E_w = I_{\mathcal{H}},$
- (ii) $E_{w^-} = E_w$ for any $-\infty < w < \infty$,
- (iii) $E_u E_v = E_w$ where $w = \min\{u, v\}$.

Recall that $||E_w x||^2$ and $\langle E_w x, y \rangle$, as functions of w, have bounded variation and define, respectively, a positive and a complex Borel measure on \mathbb{R} .

Furthermore, for any $x \in D_T$ we have that $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{U^t x - x}{t} = i T x$ and the operator i T is said to be the *infinitesimal generator* of the group $\{U^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. For each $x \in D_T$, $U^t x$ is a continuous differentiable function of t. Notice that, whenever the self-adjoint operator T is bounded, $D_T = \mathcal{H}$ and e^{itT} can be defined as the usual exponential series; in any case, $U^t \equiv e^{itT}$ means that

$$\langle U^t x, y \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iwt} d\langle E_w x, y \rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $x \in D_T$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Finally, a comment on the continuity of a group of unitary operators: The group is said to be strongly continuous if, for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $U^t x \to U^{t_0} x$ as $t \to t_0$. If \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space, strong continuity can be deduced from continuity and even from weak measurability, i.e., $\langle U^t x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Lebesgue measurable function of t for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. See, for instance, Refs. [2, 7, 32, 33].

On the existence of sequences ϵ such that $||D_{\epsilon}||^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$

Assuming that $b_j \in D_T$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, the functions $\mathcal{L}_j a(t)$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, are continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} . If, for instance, we demand in addition that, for each j = 1, 2, ..., s, there exists $\eta_j > 0$ such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(t) = O(|t|^{-(1+\eta_j)}) \quad \text{whenever } |t| \to \infty,$$
(17)

then we can find out a finite bound for the norm $||D_{\epsilon}||^2$. Indeed, for j = 1, 2, ..., s and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote

$$d_{m,k}^{(j)} := \mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k + \epsilon_{m,j}) - \mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k) \,.$$

Taking into account (14), for any sequence $c = \{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$\begin{split} \|D_{\epsilon}c\|_{\ell^{2}_{s}(\mathbb{Z})}^{2} &= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{m,k}^{(j)} c_{k} \right|^{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} c_{l} \overline{d}_{m,k}^{(j)} \overline{c}_{k}| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{l}| |c_{k}| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|c_{l}|^{2} + |c_{k}|^{2}}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \qquad (18) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{l}|^{2} \sum_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \,. \end{split}$$

Under the decay conditions (17), for $|\gamma| \leq 1/2$ we define the continuous functions,

$$M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \max_{t \in [k - \gamma, k + \gamma]} |(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(t)|,$$

and

$$N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma) := \max_{k=0,1,\dots,r-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \max_{t \in [rm+k-\gamma,rm+k+\gamma]} |(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(t)|$$

Notice that $N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma) \leq M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma)$ and for r = 1 the equality holds.

Theorem 10. Given an error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,...,s}$, define the constant $\gamma_j := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\epsilon_{mj}|$ for each j = 1, 2, ..., s. Then, the inequality

$$\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j^2 N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma_j) M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma_j)$$

holds and, as a consequence, condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \gamma_j^2 N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma_j) M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma_j) < \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{G}}}{r}$$

ensures the hypothesis $\|D_{\epsilon}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ in Theorem 9.

Proof. For each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, the mean value theorem gives

$$\sup_{d \in [-\gamma_j, \gamma_j]} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j a(n+d) - \mathcal{L}_j a(n)| \le \gamma_j \ M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma_j) ,$$
(19)

and

$$\sup_{\substack{k=0,1,\dots,r-1\\\{d_n\}\subset [-\gamma_j,\gamma_j]}} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j a(rn+k+d_n) - \mathcal{L}_j a(rn+k)| \le \gamma_j \ N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'}(\gamma_j) \,.$$
(20)

Thus, using (19) and (20), inequality (18) becomes

$$\begin{split} \|D_{\epsilon}c\|_{\ell^{2}_{s}(\mathbb{Z})}^{2} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{l}|^{2} \sum_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{l}|^{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)}| \ \gamma_{j} \ M_{(\mathcal{L}_{j}a)'}(\gamma_{j}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{l}|^{2} \ (\gamma_{j})^{2} \ M_{(\mathcal{L}_{j}a)'}(\gamma_{j}) N_{(\mathcal{L}_{j}a)'}(\gamma_{j}) \\ &= \|c\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \gamma_{j}^{2} \ N_{(\mathcal{L}_{j}a)'}(\gamma_{j}) M_{(\mathcal{L}_{j}a)'}(\gamma_{j}) \ , \end{split}$$

$$(21)$$

which concludes the proof.

5 The case of multiple generators

The case of L generators can be analogously derived. Indeed, consider the U-invariant subspace generated by $\mathbf{a} := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_L\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}} := \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{ U^n a_l, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}; \ l = 1, 2, \dots, L \} \,.$$

Assuming that the sequence $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ is a Riesz sequence in \mathcal{H} , the U-invariant subspace $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^l U^n a : \{\alpha_n^l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}); \, l = 1, 2, \dots, L \right\}.$$

The sequence $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ can be thought as an *L*-dimensional stationary sequence. Its covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}(k)$ is the $L \times L$ matrix

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}(k) := \left[\langle U^k a_m, a_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right]_{m,n=1,2,\dots,L}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Its admits the spectral representation [19]:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\theta} d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \,, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \,.$$

The spectral measure $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}$ is an $L \times L$ matrix; its entries are the spectral measures associated with the cross-correlation functions $R_{m,n}(k) := \langle U^k a_m, a_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. It can be decomposed into an absolute continuous part and its singular part. Thus we can write

$$d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)d\theta + d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}^{s}(\theta).$$

In case that the singular part $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}^s \equiv 0$, the hermitian $L \times L$ matrix $\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)$ is called the *spectral density* of the sequence $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$. The following theorem holds:

Theorem 11. Let $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ be a sequence obtained from a unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with spectral measure $d\mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) = \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)d\theta + d\mu_{\mathbf{a}}^s(\theta)$, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the closed subspace spanned by $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$. Then the sequence $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ if and only if the singular part $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}^s \equiv 0$ and

$$0 < \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)} \lambda_{\min} \left[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \le \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)} \lambda_{\max} \left[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] < \infty \,.$$
(22)

Proof. For a fixed ℓ_L^2 -sequence $c := \{c_n^l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ we have

$$\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{k}^{l}U^{k}a_{l}\right\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{L}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{m}^{i}\bar{c}_{m}^{j}\langle U^{m}a_{i}, U^{n}a_{j}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{L}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{m}^{i}\bar{c}_{n}^{j}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}e^{\mathrm{i}m\theta}e^{-\mathrm{i}n\theta}d\mu_{a_{i},a_{j}}(\theta) \qquad (23)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{c}_{m}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}m\theta})^{\top}d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)\bar{\mathbf{c}}_{n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}n\theta},$$

where $\mathbf{c}_k = (c_k^1, c_k^2, \dots, c_k^L)^\top$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First we show that if the measure $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure λ then $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ is not a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$. Indeed, if the spectral measure $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure then there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, L\}$ such that the positive spectral measure μ_{a_i,a_i} is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure; this comes from the fact that, if any spectral measure in the diagonal μ_{a_j,a_j} is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, the same occurs for each measure μ_{a_j,a_k} with $k \neq j$ (see [7, p. 137]). Then, $\mu_{a_i,a_i}(B) > 0$ for a (Lebesgue) measurable set $B \subset (-\pi, \pi)$ of Lebesgue measure zero. Bearing in mind that every measurable set is included in a Borel set, actually an intersection of a countable collection of open sets, having the same Lebesgue measure (see [25, p. 63]), we take B to be a Borel set. Moreover, since every finite Borel measure on $(-\pi, \pi)$ is inner regular (see [25, p. 340]) we may also assume that B is a compact set. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of disjoint open intervals $I_j \subset (-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$$
 and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_j) \leq \lambda(B) + \varepsilon = \varepsilon$,

(see [25, pp. 58 and 42]). Since B is compact we may take the sequence to be finite. Hence, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist open disjoint intervals $I_1^N, I_2^N, \ldots, I_{j_N}^N$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_N} I_j^N$$
 and $\sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \lambda(I_j^N) \leq \frac{1}{3^N}$.

Besides, $\sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \mu_{a_i,a_i}(I_j^N) \ge \mu_{a_i,a_i}(B)$. Consider the function $g_N \colon (-\pi,\pi) \to \mathbb{R}$, where $g_N = 2^{N/2} \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{j_N} I_j^N}$, that satisfies

$$||g_N||_2^2 = 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \lambda(I_j^N) \le \frac{2^N}{3^N} < 1.$$

We modify and extend each g_N to obtain a 2π -periodic function $f_N \colon \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f_N and its derivative are continuous on \mathbb{R} , $\|f_N\|_2^2 \leq 1$ and $f_N(\theta) = g_N(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_N} I_j^N$. Let $\sum_k c_k^N e^{ik\theta}$ be the Fourier series of f_N . First, by using Parseval's identity we have

$$\|c_k^N\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f_N\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi}$$
 for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

so that $\{c^N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Besides, the regularity of each f_N ensures that each Fourier series converges uniformly to f_N . Therefore each series $\sum_k c_k^N e^{ik\theta}$ converges to f_N in $L^2_{\mu_{a_i,a_i}(-\pi,\pi)}$ and consequently,

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{k} c_{k}^{N} e^{ik\theta}\right\|_{L^{2}_{\mu_{a_{i},a_{i}}}(-\pi,\pi)}^{2} &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_{N}|^{2} d\mu_{a_{i},a_{i}} \geq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{N}|^{2} d\mu_{a_{i},a_{i}} = 2^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{N}} \mu_{a_{i},a_{i}}(I_{j}^{N}) \\ &\geq 2^{N} \mu_{a_{i},a_{i}}(B) \,. \end{split}$$

For every $c^N \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ we consider the ℓ_L^2 -sequence $\{c_n^{Nl}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ given by $c_n^{Ni} = c_n^N$ and $c_n^{Nl} = 0$ if $l \neq i$. Substituting each $\{c_n^{Nl}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ in (23) we have that

$$\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{k}^{Nl}U^{k}a_{l}\right\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{k}^{N}\operatorname{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\theta}\right|^{2}d\mu_{a_{i},a_{i}}(\theta)$$

tends to infinity with N, so $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ cannot be a Bessel sequence, therefore, not a Riesz basis.

For the remainder of the proof we assume that the singular part $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}^s \equiv 0$ and that $d\mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) = \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)d\theta$. Then (23) yields that

$$\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{k}^{l}U^{k}a_{l}\right\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{c}_{m}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}m\theta}\right)^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)\overline{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{c}_{n}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n\theta}}d\theta\,.$$
 (24)

We have to show that $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ if and only if (22) holds. Rayleigh-Ritz theorem (see [17, p. 176]) provides the inequalities

$$\lambda_{\min} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \Big| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\theta} \Big|^2 \le \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_m \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}m\theta} \right)^\top \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \overline{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_n \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n\theta}} \le \lambda_{\max} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \Big| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\theta} \Big|^2$$

and taking into account (24) we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lambda_{\min} \big[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \big] \big| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\theta} \big|^2 d\theta &\leq & \Big\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \Big\|^2 \\ &\leq & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lambda_{\max} \big[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \big] \big| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k\theta} \big|^2 d\theta \,, \end{split}$$

so that

$$\underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \lambda_{\min} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 \\ \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)} \lambda_{\max} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2 \,.$$

Therefore (22) implies that $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$.

Conversely, if $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ then there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|c_{k}^{l}|^{2} \leq \left\|\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{k}^{l}U^{k}a_{l}\right\|^{2} \leq B\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|c_{k}^{l}|^{2}$$
(25)

for every ℓ_L^2 -sequence $c := \{c_n^l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$. Let us prove that

$$A \leq \underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \lambda_{\min} \left[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \leq \underset{\theta \in (-\pi,\pi)}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}} \lambda_{\max} \left[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \leq B.$$
(26)

Proceeding by contradiction, if (26) would not hold, then

$$A \leq \lambda_{\min} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \leq \lambda_{\max} \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \right] \leq B$$

does not hold on a subset of $(-\pi, \pi)$ with positive Lebesgue measure. In case the set $\Gamma_B := \{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) : \lambda_{\max} [\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] > B\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure we introduce the Fourier expansion of the function $F \in L^2_L(-\pi, \pi)$ ($L^2_L(-\pi, \pi)$ denotes the usual product Hilbert space $L^2(-\pi, \pi) \times \cdots \times L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ (L times)) in (24), where $F(\theta) = \mathbf{X}(\theta) \chi_{\Gamma_B}(\theta)$ and $\mathbf{X}(\theta)$ is an eigenvector of norm 1 associated with the biggest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)$. We get

$$\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{k}^{l}U^{k}a_{l}\right\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_{B}}\lambda_{\max}\left[\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)\right]d\theta > \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_{B}}Bd\theta$$

which contradicts the right inequality in (25) for such a Fourier expansion. Whenever Lebesgue measure of the set Γ_B is zero then we proceed in a similar way with the set of positive Lebesgue measure $\Gamma_A := \{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) : \lambda_{\min} [\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] < A\}$. \Box

The above proof is similar to that of Lemma 2 in [24], except we do not exclude the case in which the singular measure is atomless. Another characterization for being $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ a Riesz basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ can be found in [3].

The resulting regular sampling formulas

As in the one-generator case, the space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the image of the usual product Hilbert space $L_L^2(0, 1)$ by means of the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}}: L_L^2(0, 1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$, which maps the orthonormal basis $\{e^{-2\pi i n w} \mathbf{e}_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ for $L_L^2(0, 1)$ (here, $\{\mathbf{e}_l\}_{l=1}^L$ denotes the canonical basis for \mathbb{C}^L) onto the Riesz basis $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,...,L}$ for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{F} := \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle F_l, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} n \cdot} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} U^n a_l = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^l U^n a_l \,, \tag{27}$$

where $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_L)^\top \in L_L^2(0, 1)$. Here, for $\mathbf{F} \in L_L^2(0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ the U-shift property reads:

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{F}e^{2\pi iNw}) = U^N(\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{F}).$$
(28)

Concerning the representation of an U-system \mathcal{L}_b , for $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{b}x(t) = \langle x, U^{t}b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n}^{l} \overline{\langle U^{t}b, U^{n}a_{l} \rangle}_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left\langle F_{l}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^{t}b, U^{n}a_{l} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left\langle \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K}_{t} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{L}(0,1)},$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}\mathbf{F} = x$, $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_L)^\top \in L^2_L(0, 1)$, and the function

$$\mathbf{K}_t(w) := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a_1(t-n)} \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} n w}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a_2(t-n)} \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} n w}, \dots, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a_L(t-n)} \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} n w}\right)^{\top}$$

belongs to $L^2_L(0,1)$. In particular, given *s U*-systems $\mathcal{L}_j := \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$ associated with $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, we get the expression for the samples $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\ldots,s}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{j}x(rm) = \left\langle \mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{g}_{j}(w)} e^{2\pi i rmw} \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{L}(0,1)} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad (29)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}\mathbf{F} = x$ and

$$\mathbf{g}_j(w) := \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a_1(k) \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k w}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a_2(k) \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k w}, \dots, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a_L(k) \, \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k w}\right)^\top \in L^2_L(0, 1) \, .$$

As in the one-generator case we must study the sequence $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ in $L^2_L(0,1)$. Consider the $s \times rL$ matrix of functions in $L^2(0,1)$

$$\mathbb{G}(w) := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_{1}^{\top}(w) & \mathbf{g}_{1}^{\top}(w+\frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_{1}^{\top}(w+\frac{r-1}{r}) \\ \mathbf{g}_{2}^{\top}(w) & \mathbf{g}_{2}^{\top}(w+\frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_{2}^{\top}(w+\frac{r-1}{r}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_{s}^{\top}(w) & \mathbf{g}_{s}^{\top}(w+\frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_{s}^{\top}(w+\frac{r-1}{r}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_{j}^{\top}\left(w+\frac{k-1}{r}\right) \\ \mathbf{g}_{j}^{\top}\left(w+\frac{k-1}{r}\right) \end{bmatrix}_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s\\k=1,2,\dots,r}} (30)$$

and its related constants

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{w \in (0,1/r)} \lambda_{\min}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)], \quad \beta_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{w \in (0,1/r)} \lambda_{\max}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)]$$

In [15, Lemma 2] one can find the proof of the following lemma:

Lemma 12. Let \mathbf{g}_j be in $L^2_L(0,1)$ for j = 1, 2, ..., s and let $\mathbb{G}(w)$ be its associated matrix given in (30). Then, the following results hold:

- (a) The sequence $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r nw}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a complete system for $L^2_L(0,1)$ if and only if the rank of the matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$ is rL a.e. in (0,1/r).
- (b) The sequence $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a Bessel sequence for $L^2_L(0,1)$ if and only if $\mathbf{g}_j \in L^{\infty}_L(0,1)$ (or equivalently $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$). In this case, the optimal Bessel bound is $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$.
- (c) The sequence $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for $L^2_L(0,1)$ if and only if $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$. In this case, the optimal frame bounds are $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$.
- (d) The sequence $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2_L(0,1)$ if and only if is a frame and s = rL.

In case that the sequence $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ is a frame for $L_L^2(0,1)$ (here, necessarily $s \geq rL$), a dual frame is given by $\{r\mathbf{h}_j(w) e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$, where the functions $\mathbf{h}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, form an $L \times s$ matrix $\mathbf{h}(w) := [\mathbf{h}_1(w), \mathbf{h}_2(w), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(w)]$ with entries in $L^{\infty}(0,1)$, and satisfying

$$\left[\mathbf{h}_1(w), \mathbf{h}_2(w), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(w)\right] \mathbb{G}(w) = \left[\mathbb{I}_L, \mathbb{O}_{L \times (r-1)L}\right] \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, 1)$$

(see Ref. [15] for the details). That is, the matrix $\mathbf{h}(w)$ is formed with the first L rows of a left-inverse of the matrix $\mathbb{G}(w)$ having essentially bounded entries in (0, 1). In other words, all the dual frames of $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}rnw})}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z};\ j=1,2,\dots,s}$ with the above property are obtained by taking the first L rows of the $rL \times s$ matrices given by

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{U}}(w) := \mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w) + \mathbb{U}(w) \left[\mathbb{I}_{s} - \mathbb{G}(w) \mathbb{G}^{\dagger}(w) \right],$$

where $\mathbb{U}(w)$ denotes any $rL \times s$ matrix with entries in $L^{\infty}(0, 1)$. Thus, any $\mathbf{F} \in L^2_L(0, 1)$ can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{F} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w} \right\rangle_{L^2_L(0,1)} r \mathbf{h}_j(w) e^{2\pi i r n w} \quad \text{in } L^2_L(0,1) \,.$$

Applying the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ and taken into account (29), for each $x = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ we get the sampling expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rn) U^{rn} \big[\mathcal{T}_{U,a}(r\mathbf{h}_{j}) \big] = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{j} x(rn) U^{rn} c_{j,\mathbf{h}} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} \,,$$

where $c_{j,\mathbf{h}} = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(r\mathbf{h}_j) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, and the sequence $\{U^{rn}c_{j,\mathbf{h}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$. Proceeding as in Section 3, it is straightforward to state and prove the corresponding results.

The time-jitter error sampling formulas

Under appropriate slight changes, the time-jitter error results in Section 4 still remain valid for the case of multiple generators. Namely, given an error sequence $\epsilon := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,...,s}$, assume that the operator

$$\begin{array}{cccc} D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} : & \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \mathbf{c} & \longmapsto & D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \, \mathbf{c} := \left(D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},1} \, \mathbf{c}, \dots, D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},s} \, \mathbf{c} \right), \end{array}$$

is well-defined, where $\mathbf{c} := \left(\{c_k^1\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{c_k^2\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \dots, \{c_k^L\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\right) \in \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$,

$$D_{\epsilon,j} \mathbf{c} := \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\mathcal{L}_j a_l (rm - k + \epsilon_{mj}) - \mathcal{L}_j a_l (rm - k) \right] c_k^l \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

The operator norm (it could be infinity) is defined as usual

$$\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\| := \sup_{\mathbf{c} \in \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathbf{c} \|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}}{\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z})}},$$

where $\|D_{\epsilon} \mathbf{c}\|_{\ell^2_s(\mathbb{Z})}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^s \|D_{\epsilon,j} \mathbf{c}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2$ and $\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\ell^2_L(\mathbb{Z})}^2 = \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2$ for each $\mathbf{c} \in \ell^2_L(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that the matrix \mathbb{G} in (30) satisfies $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$, and let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ be an error sequence satisfying the inequality $\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$. Then, proceeding as in Section 4, there exists a frame $\{C_{j,m}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ such that, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ a sampling formula as in (15) holds.

Now assume that $b_j \in D_T$, j = 1, 2, ..., s; thus the functions $\mathcal{L}_{b_j} a_l(t) \equiv \mathcal{L}_j a_l(t)$, j = 1, 2, ..., s and l = 1, 2, ..., L, are continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} . Again, as in Section 4, under the decay condition (17) for each $(\mathcal{L}_j a_l)'(t)$, j = 1, 2, ..., s and l = 1, 2, ..., L, one can easily prove that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\gamma_j := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\epsilon_{mj}| < \delta$ for each j = 1, 2, ..., s, implies that $||D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}||^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ for the error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}; j=1, 2, ..., s$.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable and constructive comments. This work has been supported by the grant MTM2009–08345 from the Spanish *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación* (MICINN).

References

- B. Adcock and A. C. Hansen. Stable reconstructions in Hilbert spaces and the resolution of the Gibbs phenomenon. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 32:357–388, 2012.
- [2] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman. Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space. Dover Publications, New York, 1993.
- [3] A. Aldroubi. Oblique projections in atomic spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124(7):2051–2060, 1996.
- [4] A. Aldroubi. Non-uniform weighted average sampling and reconstruction in shiftinvariant and wavelet spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 13:151–161, 2002.
- [5] A. Aldroubi and K. Gröchenig. Non-uniform sampling and reconstruction in shiftinvariant spaces. SIAM Rev., 43:585–620, 2001.
- [6] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun and W. S. Tang. Convolution, average sampling, and a Calderon resolution of the identity for shift-invariant spaces. J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 11(2):215–244, 2005.
- [7] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak. Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space. Springer, New York, 1987.
- [8] O. Christensen. An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [9] O. Christensen and Y. C. Eldar. Oblique dual frames and shift-invariant spaces. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 17(1):48–68, 2004.
- [10] O. Christensen and Y. C. Eldar. Generalized shift-invariant systems and frames for subspaces. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 11(3):299–313, 2005.
- [11] I. Djokovic and P. P. Vaidyanathan. Generalized sampling theorems in multiresolution subspaces. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 45:583–599, 1997.

- [12] H. R. Fernández-Morales, A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Generalized sampling in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ shift-invariant subspaces with multiple stable generators. In Multiscale Signal Analysis and Modeling, pp. 51–80, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [13] A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Dual frames in $L^2(0,1)$ connected with generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 20(3):422–433, 2006.
- [14] A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Generalized irregular sampling in shiftinvariant spaces. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process., 5(3):369–387, 2007.
- [15] A. G. García, M. A. Hernández-Medina and G. Pérez-Villalón. Generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces with multiple stable generators. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 337:69–84, 2008.
- [16] A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Multivariate generalized sampling in shiftinvariant spaces and its approximation properties. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 355:397– 413, 2009.
- [17] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [18] S. Kang and K. H. Kwon. Generalized average sampling in shift-invariant spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 377:70–78, 2011.
- [19] A. N. Kolmogorov. Stationary sequences in Hilbert space. Boll. Moskow. Gos. Univ. Mat., 2:1–40, 1941.
- [20] S. Li and H. Ogawa. Pseudo-Duals of frames with applications. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 11:289–304, 2001.
- [21] S. Li and H. Ogawa. Pseudoframes for subspaces with applications. J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 10(4):409–431, 2004.
- [22] T. Michaeli, V. Pohl and Y. C. Eldar. U-invariant sampling: extrapolation and causal interpolation from generalized samples. IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 59(5):2085–2100, 2011.
- [23] A. Papoulis. Generalized sampling expansion. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst., 24:652– 654, 1977.
- [24] V. Pohl and H. Boche. U-invariant sampling and reconstruction in atomic spaces with multiple generators. IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 60(7):3506–3519, 2012.
- [25] H. L. Royden. Real Analysis, 3rd ed. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988.
- [26] M. H. Stone. On one-parameter unitary groups in Hilbert spaces. Ann. Math., 33(3):643-648, 1932.

- [27] W. Sun and X. Zhou. Average sampling in shift-invariant subspaces with symmetric averaging functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 287:279–295, 2003.
- [28] M. Unser and A. Aldroubi. A general sampling theory for non ideal acquisition devices. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 42(11):2915–2925, 1994.
- [29] M. Unser and J. Zerubia. A generalized sampling theory without band-limiting constraints. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, 45(8):959–969, 1998.
- [30] R. Venkataramani and Y. Bresler. Sampling theorems for uniform and periodic nonuniform MIMO sampling of multiband signals. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 51(12):3152–3163, 2003.
- [31] G. G. Walter. A sampling theorem for wavelet subspaces. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 38:881–884, 1992.
- [32] J. Weidmann. Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces Springer, New York, 1980.
- [33] K. Yosida. Functional Analysis. Springer, New York, 1995.
- [34] J. Zhou. Multidimensional Multirate Systems Characterization, Design, and Applications. PhD dissertation, University of Illinois, 2005.