Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paula Ravasco, Catholic University of Portugal, Portugal

REVIEWED BY Erin Stella Sullivan, University College Cork, Ireland Robert Damm, University Hospital Magdeburg, Germany Lindsay Plank, The University of Auckland, New Zealand

*CORRESPONDENCE Yang Deng dengyang3417@126.com Le Zhang sdzhangle@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Clinical Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 25 June 2022 ACCEPTED 02 December 2022 PUBLISHED 14 December 2022

CITATION

Jiang C, Wang Y, Fu W, Zhang G, Feng X, Wang X, Wang F, Zhang L and Deng Y (2022) Association between sarcopenia and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Nutr.* 9:978110. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.978110

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Jiang, Wang, Fu, Zhang, Feng, Wang, Wang, Zhang and Deng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between sarcopenia and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Chuan Jiang^{1†}, Yanyan Wang^{2†}, Wei Fu^{2†}, Guozhuan Zhang^{3†}, Xiaoshan Feng⁴, Xing Wang⁵, Fang Wang⁶, Le Zhang⁶* and Yang Deng⁶*

¹Department of Anoenterology, The Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan, China, ²Health Management Center, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China, ³Department of Pain Management, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, China, ⁴Department of Endocrinology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China, ⁵College of Clinical and Basic Medical Sciences, Shandong First Medical University and Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, China, ⁶School of Public Health, Shandong First Medical University and Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, China

Background: Sarcopenia, characterized by the loss of muscle mass, strength, and physical ability, occurs with aging and certain chronic illnesses such as chronic liver diseases and cancer. Sarcopenia is common in liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Previous reports of association between sarcopenia and prognosis of HCC have been inconsistent. Therefore, the present systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to investigate the impact of sarcopenia on the survival of patients with HCC.

Methods: A systematic literature search was conducted using PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science electronic databases from inception to May 1, 2022. We included retrospective or prospective studies investigating the association between sarcopenia and overall survival (OS) and/or progression free survival (PFS) of HCC. We applied the Quality in Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) instrument to evaluate the risk of bias and quality of included studies. The primary and secondary outcomes were the associations of sarcopenia with OS and PFS, respectively, expressed by a pooled hazard ratio (HR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). Subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis were performed. We further evaluated the publication bias by the funnel plot and Begg's test.

Results: A total of 42 studies comprising 8,445 patients were included. The majority of included studies were at an overall low risk of bias. The pooled prevalence of sarcopenia was 39% (95% CI: 33-45%) (n = 8,203). Sarcopenia was associated with an increased risk of shorter OS, with a pooled adjusted HR of 1.84 (95% CI: 1.62-2.09). An independent association between sarcopenia and reduced PFS was observed (HR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.12-1.56).

Conclusion: The prevalence of sarcopenia was approximately 39% among patients with HCC. Sarcopenia was independently associated with reduced OS and PFS in HCC irrespective of treatment modalities. It is imperative that interventions aimed at alleviating sarcopenia and restoring muscle mass be implemented in order to improve the survival of patients with HCC.

Systematic review registration: [https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/ display_record.php?ID=CRD42022337797], identifier [CRD42022337797].

KEYWORDS

sarcopenia, skeletal muscle index, prognosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, meta-analysis

Introduction

Liver cancer poses a major threat to the global cancer burden, and the number of deaths is estimated to be more than one million annually by 2030 (1, 2). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common histologic type of liver cancer, accounting for approximately 90% of total cases (3). Curative therapies including hepatectomy, radiofrequency or microwave ablation, and liver transplantation are recommended as the first-line treatments when possible. Locoregional therapies such as transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), transarterial radioembolization (TARE), and radiation are associated with improved survival and quality of life for patients with unresectable HCC (4). However, curative therapies or locoregional therapies are not applicable to approximately 50% of HCC cases who are diagnosed at an advanced stage and have progression with transarterial therapies (5). For these patients with advanced HCC, sorafenib, lenvatinib, and atezolizumab combined with bevacizumab have been approved as the first-line systemic therapy, and regorafenib, cabozantinib and ramucirumab are second-line treatment options (6). The long-term prognosis of HCC patients is related to various factors, mainly represented by liver functional reserve, tumor size, treatment modalities, and Barcelona-Clínic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage. Furthermore, maintenance of nutritional balance and physical ability is also an important factor in improving the prognosis of patients with advanced HCC (7).

Sarcopenia, characterized by low muscle mass in addition to impaired muscle strength and physical ability, is usually encountered in aging and patients with chronic illnesses such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic renal failure, and cancer (8, 9). In recent years, the clinical significance of sarcopenia in cancer has attracted increasing attention. The associations between sarcopenia and the prognosis in patients with gastric cancer (10), colorectal cancer (11), lung cancer (12), ovarian cancer (13), and HCC (14, 15) have been investigated. For example, a cohort study revealed that sarcopenic patients with HCC undergoing TACE had a significantly poorer overall survival (OS) than those without sarcopenia (491 vs. 1,291 days, P = 0.017) (15). However, Ha et al. found that sarcopenia was not associated with OS in patients with newly diagnosed HCC (16). Thus, results of studies regarding the prognostic value of sarcopenia in patients with HCC remain inconsistent and even controversial. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we aimed to determine the associations between sarcopenia and survival of patients with HCC following various treatment modalities, which may help identify sarcopenia as a prognostic factor for clinical decision making in patients with HCC.

Materials and methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (17). The protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) with the registration number CRD 42022337797.

Search strategy

We systematically searched the PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science electronic databases for articles published from inception through May 1, 2022. The main search terms were described as follows: ("hepatocellular carcinoma" OR "HCC" OR "hepatoma") AND ("sarcopenia" OR "sarcopenic" OR "skeletal muscle depletion"). Search results were restricted to articles published in English. The detailed search strategy is presented in **Supplementary Table 1**. Literature searching and screening were performed independently by two researchers (CJ and LZ), and disagreements between these two authors were resolved by a third researchers (YD).

Selection criteria

We employed the populations, interventions, comparators, outcomes, and study designs (PICOS) outline to determine the

eligibility of included publications as follows: (1) populations were patients diagnosed as HCC; (2) exposure was defined as sarcopenia; (3) compared to HCC patients without sarcopenia; (4) the outcomes were evaluated by prognostic indicators such as OS and/or progression free survival (PFS); (5) observational studies including retrospective and prospective studies were included. In addition, studies that met the following criteria were included for the qualitative and quantitative analysis: (1) patients diagnosed as HCC, (2) the measurement of sarcopenia or skeletal muscle mass was provided, (3) the association of sarcopenia with prognostic outcomes including OS and/or PFS were involved (4) hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were provided or raw data were sufficient to calculate the HR and 95% CI, (5) retrospective or prospective study. The exclusion criteria included: (1) diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia were not provided, (2) sarcopenia was not regarded as a prognostic factor for OS and/or PFS in patients with HCC, (3) HR and corresponding 95% CI cannot be calculated from the data provided.

Data extraction

Two researchers (CJ and YW) independently screened the titles and abstracts of articles which met the selection criteria. Full-text of the article was reviewed when its title or abstract was judged as eligible. Discrepancies between researchers were resolved by discussion with a third researcher (GZ). A predesigned electronic form was used to extract the following data from the included studies: last name of first author, publication year, study design, country of the study population, period of patient recruitment, baseline data of patients [i.e., number, sex, age, etiology, BCLC stage, TNM stage, and treatment], sarcopenia assessment and definitions (i.e., measurement methods, cut-point, and prevalence), and HR with corresponding 95% CI and adjustment factors in multivariate analysis of factors related to OS and/or PFS.

Quality assessment

Two researchers (CJ and XF) independently employed the Quality in Prognosis Studies (QUIPS) Risk of Bias Assessment Instrument to assess the risk of bias for eligible studies (18, 19). The QUIPS instrument was used to evaluate the quality of prognosis studies, encompassing six domains: (1) study participation, (2) study attrition, (3) prognostic factor measurement, (4) outcome measurement, (5) adjustment for other prognostic factors, (6) statistical analysis and reporting. Each domain was rated as high, moderate, or low risk of bias. The judgment criterion of overall risk of bias was as follows: studies with ≤ 2 moderate-risk domains and ≥ 4 low-risk domains were considered as "overall low risk of bias," those

with >2 moderate-risk domains and <4 low-risk domains were classified as "overall moderate risk of bias," while studies with \geq 1 high-risk domain were defined as "overall high risk of bias" (20).

Statistical analysis

The primary and secondary outcomes of this metaanalysis were the associations of sarcopenia with OS and PFS, respectively, expressed by a pooled HR and corresponding 95% CI. Cochran's Q test and I^2 statistics were performed to assess the heterogeneity across the included studies. A random effects model was chosen to estimate the pooled prevalence of sarcopenia and pooled HRs of associations between sarcopenia and OS or PFS. Sensitivity analysis by omitting one study at a time and then pooling the remaining studies was conducted to determine whether one study was a potentially important source of heterogeneity. We further evaluated the publication bias by the funnel plot and Begg's test. If publication bias was observed, the trim-and-fill method was applied to estimate the potential influence of imputed unpublished studies with negative results on the outcome, and fail-safe number was calculated using the Rosenthal's approach (21). All statistical analyses were performed using R software version 4.1.1 with "meta" and "metaphor" packages. A two-sided P value < 0.05 was regarded statistically significant.

Results

Literature search and study selection

Figure 1 presents the flowchart of literature search and study selection according to the PRISMA guidelines. A total of 2,036 potentially relevant publications were identified in the literature search, of which 1,556 were excluded due to duplication. After screening the titles and abstracts of remaining 480 articles, 406 articles were removed for the following reasons: no clear definition of sarcopenia (n = 60), not human studies (n = 10), reviews/case reports/editorials (n = 336). After a full-text review of the 74 articles, another 32 articles were excluded for the following reasons: no available HR and 95% CI (n = 15), same database used for several studies (n = 7), do not report the association between sarcopenia and OS/PFS (n = 10). Finally, 42 articles were included in the qualitative and quantitative synthesis.

Characteristics of included studies

Supplementary Table 2 outlines the main characteristics of the included studies. Overall, 42 studies comprising 8,445 patients (6,376 men and 2,069 women) were included. All



included studies were published from 2013 onward. Regarding the research design, 38 studies were designed as retrospective studies, and four studies were conducted prospectively. Twentytwo studies were included from Japan (14, 22–42), six from Korea (16, 43–47), four from China (48–51), two from Egypt (52, 53), two from Germany (15, 54), two from Italy (55, 56), one each from Netherlands, Canada, United States of America (USA), and France (57–60). HCC patients were treated by hepatectomy, sorafenib, lenvatinib, TACE, yttrium-90 radioembolization, RFA, or the combination of these. Six methods for sarcopenia assessment were reported, including computed tomography (CT)-based skeletal muscle index (SMI), psoas muscle index (PMI) and total psoas volume (TPV) at the third lumbar vertebra (L3) level, CT based transverse psoas muscle thickness per body height (TPMT/BH) and intramuscular adipose tissue content (IMAC) at the level of umbilicus, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) derived fatfree muscle area (FFMA) at the level of the origin of the superior mesenteric artery. SMI is the most widely used index and sarcopenia is defined as SMI < $42 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for men and < $38 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for women based on the guideline proposed by Japan Society of Hepatology (JSH) (61). Among twenty-seven studies using SMI, eight studies used the cut-points proposed by JSH (14, 22, 32, 35, 42, 45, 49, 52), six studies employed the Martin cut-points (41, 53, 55, 57, 58, 60), four studies applied the Vledder cut-points (27, 28, 34, 38), and their own cutpoints were measured in other studies. HR was estimated by univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression to investigate the influence of sarcopenia on OS and/or PFS of HCC patients in the included studies.

Quality assessment

Supplementary Table 3 presents the risk of bias of included studies using the QUIPS tool. Because most of the included studies were designed as retrospective studies, the risk of bias among these studies were regard as moderate in the study participation domain. Moreover, the moderate risk of bias was determined to be due to study attrition in eight studies, adjustment for other prognostic factors in eight studies, statistical analysis and reporting in five studies. In general, of the 42 included studies, 34 studies were at an overall low risk of bias (14–16, 22–25, 28–32, 34, 35, 37, 39–44, 46, 47, 49–59), while eight were at an overall moderate risk of bias (26, 27, 33, 36, 38, 45, 48, 60).

Prevalence of sarcopenia

Prevalence of sarcopenia were reported in 40 of the 42 eligible studies, with a sample size of 8,203 patients (14, 16, 22-60). The pooled prevalence of sarcopenia was 39% (95% CI: 33-45%) in the total patients (Figure 2). There was a highly significant heterogeneity in the prevalence of sarcopenia among these studies ($Q = 1,389.98, I^2 = 97.2\%, P < 0.01$). Subgroup analysis revealed that there were significant differences in the pooled prevalence among different methods for sarcopenia assessment ($\chi^2 = 9.00$, P = 0.01). The pooled prevalence of sarcopenia was 40% (95% CI: 33-47%) when assessed by SMI at L3 level, 31% (95% CI: 23-41%) by PMI at L3 level, and 52% (95% CI: 42-62%) by other methods, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). When grouped by the location of individual studies, the pooled prevalence was 36% (95% CI: 30-42%) among studies conducted in Asia, 60% (95% CI: 45-74%) among studies conducted in Europe, 39% (95% CI: 22-58%) among studies conducted in North America, respectively (Supplementary Figure 2).

Association between sarcopenia and OS

A total of 39 included studies reported the association between sarcopenia and OS of HCC patients following various treatment modalities, with a sample size of 7,547 patients (14–16, 22–32, 34–46, 48–60). The result demonstrated that sarcopenia was associated with an increased risk of shorter OS, with a pooled adjusted HR of 1.84 (95% CI: 1.62–2.09) (**Figure 3**). A significant difference was observed in the test for heterogeneity, and a random effects model was conducted

(Q = 166.81, $I^2 = 77\%$, P < 0.01). Subgroup analysis was performed according to the methods for sarcopenia assessment, and the pooled HRs of studies assessed by SMI at L3 level, by PMI at L3 level, and by other methods were 1.80 (95% CI: 1.53–2.11), 1.80 (95% CI: 1.48–2.18), and 2.39 (95% CI: 1.53–3.73), respectively (**Supplementary Figure 3**). In addition, we performed a subgroup analysis according to location of study. The result revealed that sarcopenia was an independent predictor of shorter OS among studies conducted in Asia (HR = 1.77, 95% CI: 1.54–2.03), in Europe (HR = 2.40, 95% CI: 1.72–3.34), and in North America (HR = 1.91, 95% CI: 1.03–3.54) (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

Association between sarcopenia and PFS

A total of 2,295 patients from 11 studies were included in the analyzing the impact of sarcopenia on the PFS (26–28, 33, 34, 37, 38, 44, 45, 48, 49, 60). An independent association between sarcopenia and reduced PFS was observed (HR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.12–1.56), and a substantial statistical heterogeneity was exhibited (Q = 37.24, $I^2 = 70\%$, P < 0.01) (**Figure 4**). Among these 11 studies, 10 studies were assessed by SMI at L3 level, and only one study was assessed by TPMT/BH at umbilical level, therefore, subgroup analysis was not performed according to the methods for sarcopenia assessment. Additionally, 10 studies were conducted in Asia, and only one study was conducted in Europe. Thus, subgroup analysis of association between sarcopenia and PFS among different study locations was not available.

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

As shown in **Supplementary Figures 5**, **6**, the results of sensitivity analysis demonstrated that no individual study had a significant influence on the pooled HRs of the associations of sarcopenia with OS and PFS, indicating that the pooled results were robust.

The funnel plot for assessing publication bias between sarcopenia with OS was asymmetrical on visual evaluation, indicating a potential risk of publication bias, which was consistent with the result of Begg's test (P = 0.044; Figure 5A). The trim-and-fill method was used to generate symmetrical funnel plot through incorporating 17 imputed unpublished studies with negative findings (Supplementary Figure 7). After trim-and-fill method, the pooled HR was 2.10 (95% CI: 1.90–2.30), which was similar to our result (HR = 1.84, 95% CI: 1.62–2.09). Furthermore, fail-safe number calculated using the Rosenthal's approach was 3,476, suggesting that it was difficult to refute our results about the association between sarcopenia with OS. The funnel plot of association between sarcopenia and

Study	Events	Total	Prevalenc	e 95% Cl	Weight
Alsebaey,2021	113	262	0.4	3 [0.37; 0.49]	2.6%
Antonelli,2018	47	96	0.4	9 [0.39; 0.59]	2.5%
Cheng,2020	249	385	0.6	5 [0.60; 0.69]	2.6%
Choi,2020	135	238	0.5	7 [0.50, 0.63]	2.6%
Dong.2022	23	40	0.5	8 0.41:0.73	2.2%
Endo.2020	22	63	0.3	5 [0.23: 0.48]	2.4%
Earon 2020	29	58		[0.37, 0.63]	2.3%
Fuiita 2019	80	179	0.4	5 [0.37:0.52]	2.5%
Fujiwara 2015	130	1257	0	1 [0.00·0.13]	2.0%
	133	1207	0.1	[0.03, 0.13]	2.070
Ha,2010	200	477	0.3		2.5%
Hamaguchi,2015	209	477	. 0.4	+ [0.39, 0.46]	2.0%
Hamaguchi,2020	04	606	- 0.1		2.0%
Harimoto,2016	112	296		8 [0.32; 0.44]	2.6%
Hiraoka,2017	20	93	0.2	2 [0.14; 0.31]	2.4%
Hiraoka,2018	35	171	0.2	0 [0.15; 0.27]	2.5%
Hiraoka,2021	41	151	0.2	7 [0.20; 0.35]	2.5%
lmai,2019	25	61	0.4	1 [0.29; 0.54]	2.4%
Iritani,2014	24	217	- 0.1	1 [0.07; 0.16]	2.6%
ltoh,2014	77	190	0.4	1 [0.33; 0.48]	2.5%
Jang,2021	28	160	0.1	7 [0.12; 0.24]	2.5%
Kim,2021	23	102	0.2	3 [0.15; 0.32]	2.5%
Kobayashi,2018	31	102	0.3	0 [0.22; 0.40]	2.5%
Lanza,2020	121	142	0.8	5 [0.78; 0.91]	2.5%
Lee.2019	99	156	0.6	3 [0.55: 0.71]	2.5%
Levolger 2015	52	90	——————————————————————————————————————	8 [0.47: 0.68]	2.4%
Lim 2021	79	266		0 [0 24 0 36]	2.6%
Mardian 2019	31	100		1 [0.22, 0.41]	2.5%
Meza-Junco 2013	35	116		0.22,0.39	2.5%
Nishikawa 2017	151	232		5 [0.22, 0.00] 5 [0.59·0.71]	2.6%
Salman 2021	42	07		3 [0.33:0.54]	2.0%
Shiha 2018	42	69		[0.33, 0.34]	2.070
Shiroi 2019	124	402		2 [0.22, 0.40]	2.470
Siliai,2016	134	402	0.3	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.29, 0.36 \end{bmatrix}$	2.0%
Takada,2020	43	103			2.5%
	118	254	0.4		2.6%
ian,2021	38	/0	0.5	4 [0.42; 0.66]	2.4%
Uojima,2020	59	100	0.5	9 [0.49; 0.69]	2.5%
Valero 3rd,2015	47	96	0.4	9 [0.39; 0.59]	2.5%
Voron,2015	59	109	0.5	4 [0.44; 0.64]	2.5%
Yeh,2020	22	136	0.1	6 [0.10; 0.23]	2.5%
Yoshio,2021	82	234	0.3	5 [0.29; 0.42]	2.6%
Random effects mode	el	8203		9 [0.33; 0.45]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$,	$\tau^2 = 0.0320, \mu$	0 < 0.01			
			0.2 0.4 0.0 0.0		

PFS was visually symmetrical (**Figure 5B**), and this result was confirmed by the Begg's test (P = 0.091).

Discussion

Sarcopenia was first proposed by Rosenberg to describe the loss of muscle mass with aging in 1988, and it was judged by an index calculated as appendicular skeletal muscle mass/the square of height (62). The definition of sarcopenia has evolved in the past decades, and three most common diagnostic indicators include lean muscle mass, impaired muscle strength, and low physical performance (63). Sarcopenia is common in the natural aging, functional, metabolic, and immune disorders, muscle hypercatabolism during cancer, and toxicity due to anti-cancer therapy (9, 64). Previous studies have shown that sarcopenia may co-occur with cachexia, and these two syndromes overlap considerably, especially in aging patients (65, 66). Most cachectic patients are also sarcopenic, but most individuals with sarcopenia are not considered as being cachectic. It is indicated that sarcopenia can be considered as a component of cachexia (67). Traditionally, sarcopenia is regarded as an inevitable consequence of aging. Two common types of sarcopenia are primary sarcopenia associated with aging and secondary sarcopenia caused by acute and chronic disorders which are related to muscle wasting, including chronic

Olddy		Παζαι τι τι τα τι Ο		3070 CI	weight
Alsebaey,2021	0.16 0.021	•	1.17	[1.12; 1.22]	5.3%
Antonelli,2018	0.49 0.224	- <u></u> -	1.63	[1.05; 2.53]	3.2%
Choi,2020	0.75 0.347		2.11	[1.07; 4.17]	2.1%
Dong,2022	1.36 0.576		3.89	[1.26; 12.03]	1.0%
Endo,2020	0.06 0.455		1.06	[0.43; 2.59]	1.4%
Faron,2020	0.98 0.386		2.67	[1.25; 5.70]	1.8%
Fujita,2019	0.63 0.187		1.88	[1.30; 2.72]	3.7%
Fujiwara,2015	0.42 0.129	-	1.52	[1.18; 1.96]	4.4%
Ha,2018	0.17 0.319		1.18	[0.63; 2.21]	2.3%
Hamaguchi,2015	1.08 0.170		2.94	[2.10; 4.11]	3.9%
Hamaguchi,2020	0.29 0.141		1.33	[1.01; 1.76]	4.2%
Harimoto.2013	0.10 0.034	+	1.11	[1.04: 1.19]	5.3%
Hiraoka.2017	0.77 0.358	T	2.16	[1.07: 4.36]	2.0%
Hiraoka 2018	0 64 0 300		1.90	[1 05 3 42]	2.4%
Hiraoka 2021	0 50 0 247		1 65	$[1.02 \cdot 2.68]$	3.0%
Imai 2019	0 90 0 334	<u> </u>	2 45	$[1 27 \cdot 4 73]$	2.2%
Itoh 2014	0.67 0.321		1.96	[1.04:3.68]	2.3%
Jang 2021	0.81 0.402		2 24	$[1.02 \cdot 4.94]$	1.7%
Kim 2021	0 10 0 294		1 11	[0.62 1.98]	2.5%
Kohavashi 2018	0.52 0.247		1.68	[1 03 272]	3.0%
Lanza 2020	0.80 0.400		2 22	[1.00, 2.72]	1 7%
Lanza,2020 ا مم 2019	0.00 0.400		2.22	[1.53, 3.70]	3.2%
Lec,2010	1 32 0 381		3 76	[1.00, 0.70]	1.8%
Lim 2021	0.41 0.150		1 50	[1.70, 7.00]	1.0%
Loosen 2019	1 06 0 517		2.88	[1.12, 2.01] $[1.04 \cdot 7.92]$	1.1%
Mardian 2019	0.65 0.271		1 02	[1.04, 7.32]	2 70/
Mardian, 2013 Meza-lunco 2013	0.03 0.271		2.52	[1.10, 0.27]	2.1 /0
Nichikowa 2017	1 01 0 170		2.55	[1.03, 4.74]	2.070
Salman 2021	2 03 0 461		2.74	[1.95, 5.90]	1 /0/
Salman,2021 Shiha 2018	2.03 0.401		1 25	[0.55 2.22]	1.470
Shiba,2010 Shiroi 2019	0.30 0.400		1.00	[0.35, 3.35]	2 00/
Takada 2020	0.10 0.107		1.10	[0.05, 1.05]	2.970
Takada,2020	0.04 0.207		1.80	[1.00, 3.04]	2.0%
Takayi,2010	1 09 0 520		2.20	[1.32, 3.94]	2.070
Idii,2021	1.00 0.029		2.94	[1.04, 0.31]	1.170
Voloro 2rd 2015			2.20	[1.09, 4.02]	1.9%
Valero Siu,2015	0.29 0.303		1.34		1.0%
Voron,2015	1.16 0.466		3.19	[1.28; 7.95]	1.4%
ren,2020	0.75 0.336		2.11	[1.09; 4.08]	2.2%
Yoshio,2021	0.56 0.532		1.75	[0.62; 4.96]	1.1%
Random effects mod	lel	•	1.84	[1.62; 2.09]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: I ² = 77%	$\tau^2 = 0.0759, p$	0.01			
		0.1 0.5 1 2 10)		

liver diseases (68). Chronic liver diseases are characterized by a progression from hepatitis, cirrhosis to hepatic decompensation or HCC (69). The prevalence of sarcopenia among patients with cirrhosis and those with alcohol-related liver disease or Child-Pugh class C cirrhosis were 37.5 and 50%, respectively (70). Patients with HCC are predisposed to the sarcopenia with prevalence reported between 11 and 85% in the studies included in this systematic review and meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of sarcopenia among patients with HCC was

39% (95% CI: 33–45%) in this study. In addition, the varying prevalence was possibly attributed to the different assessment methods for sarcopenia and heterogeneous populations.

This assessment methods for sarcopenia were diverse and not yet standardized. The most common assessment method used in the included studies was cross-sectional CT-based SMI at the L3 level, and cut-points ranged from 36 to 55 cm²/m² in men and 29 to 39 cm²/m² in women (41, 42, 60). Cross-sectional imaging with CT or MRI is the conventional technique for



FIGURE 4

Forest plot of the pooled hazard ratio for association between sarcopenia and progression free survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.



diagnosis, staging, surveillance, and treatment response of HCC (71). Hence, it is available and reasonable to simultaneously evaluate muscle condition and prognosis in patients with HCC. The methods to define sarcopenia in several studies enrolled in this meta-analysis did not measure muscle strength or physical function. This is because sarcopenia was commonly used in cancer to denote low muscle mass without a measure of strength, and most of the included studies employed a retrospective design and did not include measurements of muscle strength or physical function at the data collection stage (7). Furthermore, there are several variations in the diagnosis of sarcopenia due to the different diagnostic criteria used, differences in the measurement methods used to assess muscle mass, differences in the cut-points applied, and heterogeneous study populations in the included studies. These could all contribute to the heterogeneity identified among studies (72-74). Further studies regarding the optimal method and cut-point for diagnosing sarcopenia are needed.

Accumulating evidence suggests that sarcopenia has an unfavorable impact on the prognosis of patients with HCC (56-58). Regarding the prognosis of patients with cancer, the criteria for the effectiveness of cancer drug trials proposed by U.S. Food and Drug Administration include the prolonged survival and improved clinical symptoms after therapy (75). OS and PFS are good criteria for evaluating clinical outcomes of patients with cancer. Currently, a growing number of meta-analyses have concentrated on the association between sarcopenia and prognosis of patients with cancer. In ovarian cancer, sarcopenia defined by a low SMI was associated with reduced OS (HR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.03-1.20) (76). Yang Deng et al. observed that sarcopenia predicted a shorter OS in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (HR = 2.57, 95% CI: 1.79-3.68) and small cell lung cancer (HR = 1.59, 95% CI: 1.17-2.14), but sarcopenia was not associated with PFS in NSCLC patients (HR = 1.28, 95% CI: 0.44-3.69) (20). A meta-analysis of 6 studies comprising 5,497 patients with female breast cancer confirmed that sarcopenia was an independent predictor of higher risk of mortality (HR = 1.71, 95% CI: 1.25–2.33) (77). Among patients with colorectal cancer (CRC), sarcopenia was associated with postoperative complications, postoperative mortality, and prolonged length of stay. Moreover, CRC patients with sarcopenia had worse OS, disease-free survival, and cancer-specific survival, compared to those without sarcopenia (78). Here, we conducted this meta-analysis to confirm that sarcopenia predicted poor OS (HR = 1.84, 95% CI: 1.62–2.09) and poor PFS (HR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.12–1.56) in HCC patients receiving diverse treatments.

The mechanisms through which sarcopenia is associated with poor survival of patients with HCC are not fully understood, but several potential mechanisms can be proposed. Firstly, skeletal muscle homeostasis is maintained by muscle hypertrophy, atrophy, and regeneration. The disequilibrium of homeostasis especially between hypertrophy and regeneration can result in sarcopenia. The main characteristics of sarcopenia are a loss in muscle mass, muscle strength, and functional ability (71). The skeletal muscle is responsible for glucose disposal, and a loss of muscle mass causes insulin resistance, which increases the production and biological activity of insulinlike growth factor 1 (IGF-1). IGF-1 regulates proliferation of hepatocytes via protein kinase B/mammalian target of rapamycin (AKT/mTOR) signaling pathway, which is associated with advanced pathological stage, high risk of recurrence, and poor prognosis of HCC (79). Secondly, cancer patients with sarcopenia characterized by impaired muscle strength and/or physical performance exhibit a poor response to cancer treatments, and are associated with an increased risk of disease progression (27, 80). Thirdly, myokines including myostatin, interleukin 6 (IL-6), follistatin are synthesized and secreted by muscle fibers, exert immunological and anti-inflammatory effects, and facilitate proinflammatory states of liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocarcinogenesis (43). High levels of IL-6 and follistatin are regarded as poor prognostic factors for OS in patients with HCC (81).

The present study has both strengths and limitations. One strength was that we performed appropriate and comprehensive statistical analysis including sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis to confirm the reliability and applicability of the results. In addition, the volume of data assessed within this metaanalysis is sufficient, with 8,445 participants involved in the 42 studies included. However, several limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, studies included in this meta-analysis used different methods and cut-points to assess sarcopenia, resulting in significant heterogeneity in the pooled prevalence of sarcopenia and the association of sarcopenia with OS and PFS. Thus, we chose a random effects model for these analyses, and performed a subgroup analysis. Secondly, the majority of the included studies were retrospective (90.5%), and this meta-analysis might be susceptible to information bias and confounding bias. Thirdly, asymmetric funnel plot for OS

indicates a potential risk of publication bias. To take this into account, we used the trim-and-fill method and calculated the fail-safe number to evaluate the impact of publication bias on the results about the association between sarcopenia with OS. Finally, this meta-analysis was restricted to articles published in English, and qualified articles in other languages were not included in the analysis, which might introduce bias.

Conclusion

In summary, the prevalence of sarcopenia was approximately 39% among patients with HCC. Sarcopenia was considered as an unfavorable prognostic factor and was independently associated with reduced OS and PFS in HCC irrespective of treatment modalities. It is suggested that assessment and early detection of sarcopenia, and interventions including suitable physical exercise and supplemental nutrition should be implemented to improve the prognosis of patients with HCC. A consensus on the optimal method and cut-point to assess sarcopenia needs to be reached.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

CJ, LZ, and YD designed the study protocol and conducted the literature search. CJ, YW, WF, and GZ retrieved and selected the article. CJ, XF, XW, FW, and LZ conducted data extraction. CJ, YW, WF, GZ, and YD performed the statistical analysis of the data. CJ and YW wrote the manuscript draft. LZ and YD supervised the study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82204111), Tai'an Science and Technology Innovation Development Project (Grant Nos. 2021NS335 and 2021ZC524), and Key Topics of Health Policy Research of Shandong Province 2022 (Grant Nos. WZY202291 and WZY202292). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Villanueva A. Hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med. (2019) 380:1450–62. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1713263

2. McGlynn K, Petrick J, El-Serag H. Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*. (2021) 73:4–13. doi: 10.1002/hep.31288

3. Llovet J, Kelley R, Villanueva A, Singal A, Pikarsky E, Roayaie S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2021) 7:6. doi: 10.1038/s41572-020-00240-3

4. Couri T, Pillai A. Goals and targets for personalized therapy for HCC. *Hepatol Int.* (2019) 13:125–37. doi: 10.1007/s12072-018-9919-1

5. Park J, Chen M, Colombo M, Roberts L, Schwartz M, Chen P, et al. Global patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma management from diagnosis to death: the BRIDGE study. *Liver Int.* (2015) 35:2155–66. doi: 10.1111/liv.12818

6. Fan Y, Xue H, Zheng H. Systemic therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: current updates and outlook. *J Hepatocell Carcinoma*. (2022) 9:233-63. doi: 10. 2147/JHC.S358082

7. Chang K, Chen J, Wu W, Huang K, Hsu C, Han D. Association between loss of skeletal muscle mass and mortality and tumor recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Liver Cancer.* (2018) 7:90–103. doi: 10.1159/000484950

8. Topan M, Sporea I, Dănilă M, Popescu A, Ghiuchici A, Lupuşoru R, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on survival and clinical outcomes in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Front Nutr.* (2021) 8:766451. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.766451

9. Ruan G, Ge Y, Xie H, Hu C, Guozhuan Zhang Q, Zhang X, et al. Association between systemic inflammation and malnutrition with survival in patients with cancer sarcopenia-a prospective multicenter study. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 8:811288. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.811288

10. Kawamura T, Makuuchi R, Tokunaga M, Tanizawa Y, Bando E, Yasui H, et al. Long-term outcomes of gastric cancer patients with preoperative sarcopenia. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2018) 25:1625–32. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6452-3

11. Lee C, Park I, Kim K, Shin Y, Lim S, Kim C, et al. Influence of postoperative changes in sarcopenia on long-term survival in non-metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Cancers*. (2021) 13:2410. doi: 10.3390/cancers13102410

12. Nakamura R, Inage Y, Tobita R, Yoneyama S, Numata T, Ota K, et al. Sarcopenia in resected NSCLC: effect on postoperative outcomes. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2018) 13:895–903. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2018.04.035

13. Ataseven B, Luengo T, du Bois A, Waltering K, Traut A, Heitz F, et al. Skeletal muscle attenuation (sarcopenia) predicts reduced overall survival in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer undergoing primary debulking surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2018) 25:3372–9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6683-3

14. Uojima H, Chuma M, Tanaka Y, Hidaka H, Nakazawa T, Iwabuchi S, et al. Skeletal muscle mass influences tolerability and prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with lenvatinib. *Liver Cancer.* (2020) 9:193–206. doi: 10.1159/000504604

15. Loosen S, Schulze-Hagen M, Bruners P, Tacke F, Trautwein C, Kuhl C, et al. Sarcopenia is a negative prognostic factor in patients undergoing transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) for hepatic malignancies. *Cancers.* (2019) 11:1503. doi: 10.3390/cancers11101503

16. Ha Y, Kim D, Han S, Chon Y, Lee Y, Kim M, et al. Sarcopenia predicts prognosis in patients with newly diagnosed hepatocellular carcinoma, independent

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fnut.2022.978110/full#supplementary-material

of tumor stage and liver function. Cancer Res Treat. (2018) 50:843-51. doi: 10.4143/ crt.2017.232

17. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D, Prisma Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* (2009) 6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

18. Hayden J, Côté P, Bombardier C. Evaluation of the quality of prognosis studies in systematic reviews. *Ann Int Med.* (2006) 144:427–37. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-6-200603210-00010

19. Hayden J, van der Windt D, Cartwright J, Côté P, Bombardier C. Assessing bias in studies of prognostic factors. *Ann Int Med.* (2013) 158:280–6. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-158-4-201302190-00009

20. Yang M, Shen Y, Tan L, Li W. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest.* (2019) 156:101–11. doi: 10.1016/j.chest. 2019.04.115

21. Rosenthal R. The file drawer problem and tolerance for null results. *Psychol Bull.* (1979) 86:638–41. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.86.3.638

22. Endo K, Kuroda H, Kanazawa J, Sato T, Fujiwara Y, Abe T, et al. Impact of grip strength in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma treated with lenvatinib. *Cancers*. (2020) 12:2146. doi: 10.3390/cancers12082146

23. Fujita M, Takahashi A, Hayashi M, Okai K, Abe K, Ohira H. Skeletal muscle volume loss during transarterial chemoembolization predicts poor prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* (2019) 49:778–86. doi: 10. 1111/hepr.13331

24. Fujiwara N, Nakagawa H, Kudo Y, Tateishi R, Taguri M, Watadani T, et al. Sarcopenia, intramuscular fat deposition, and visceral adiposity independently predict the outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol.* (2015) 63:131–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.031

25. Hamaguchi Y, Kaido T, Okumura S, Ito T, Fujimoto Y, Ogawa K, et al. Preoperative intramuscular adipose tissue content is a novel prognostic predictor after hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci.* (2015) 22:475–85. doi: 10.1002/jhbp.236

26. Hamaguchi Y, Kaido T, Okumura S, Kobayashi A, Shirai H, Yao S, et al. Preoperative visceral adiposity and muscularity predict poor outcomes after hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Cancer.* (2019) 8:92–109. doi: 10.1159/000488779

27. Harimoto N, Shirabe K, Yamashita Y, Ikegami T, Yoshizumi T, Soejima Y, et al. Sarcopenia as a predictor of prognosis in patients following hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Surg.* (2013) 100:1523–30. doi: 10.1002/bjs.9258

28. Harimoto N, Yoshizumi T, Shimokawa M, Sakata K, Kimura K, Itoh S, et al. Sarcopenia is a poor prognostic factor following hepatic resection in patients aged 70 years and older with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* (2016) 46:1247–55. doi: 10.1111/hepr.12674

29. Hiraoka A, Hirooka M, Koizumi Y, Izumoto H, Ueki H, Kaneto M, et al. Muscle volume loss as a prognostic marker in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib. *Hepatol Res.* (2017) 47:558–65. doi: 10.1111/hepr.12780

30. Hiraoka A, Otsuka Y, Kawasaki H, Izumoto H, Ueki H, Kitahata S, et al. Impact of muscle volume and muscle function decline in patients undergoing surgical resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 33:1271-6. doi: 10.1111/jgh.14058 31. Hiraoka A, Kumada T, Kariyama K, Tada T, Tani J, Fukunishi S, et al. Clinical importance of muscle volume in lenvatinib treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma: analysis adjusted with inverse probability weighting. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 36:1812–9. doi: 10.1111/jgh.15336

32. Imai K, Takai K, Miwa T, Taguchi D, Hanai T, Suetsugu A, et al. Rapid depletions of subcutaneous fat mass and skeletal muscle mass predict worse survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with sorafenib. *Cancers.* (2019) 11:1206. doi: 10.3390/cancers11081206

33. Iritani S, Imai K, Takai K, Hanai T, Ideta T, Miyazaki T, et al. Skeletal muscle depletion is an independent prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 50:323–32. doi: 10.1007/s00535-014-0964-9

34. Itoh S, Shirabe K, Matsumoto Y, Yoshiya S, Muto J, Harimoto N, et al. Effect of body composition on outcomes after hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2014) 21:3063–8. doi: 10.1245/s10434-014-3686-6

35. Kobayashi T, Kawai H, Nakano O, Abe S, Kamimura H, Sakamaki A, et al. Rapidly declining skeletal muscle mass predicts poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma treated with transcatheter intra-arterial therapies. *BMC Cancer*. (2018) 18:756. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4673-2

36. Mardian Y, Yano Y, Ratnasari N, Choridah L, Wasityastuti W, Setyawan N, et al. Sarcopenia and intramuscular fat deposition are associated with poor survival in Indonesian patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective study. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2019) 19:229. doi: 10.1186/s12876-019-1152-4

37. Nishikawa H, Nishijima N, Enomoto H, Sakamoto A, Nasu A, Komekado H, et al. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing sorafenib therapy. *Oncol Lett.* (2017) 14:1637–47. doi: 10. 3892/ol.2017.6287

38. Shiba S, Shibuya K, Katoh H, Koyama Y, Okamoto M, Abe T, et al. No deterioration in clinical outcomes of carbon ion radiotherapy for sarcopenia patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* (2018) 38:3579–86. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.12631

39. Shirai H, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Kobayashi A, Okumura S, Yao S, et al. Preoperative low muscle mass and low muscle quality negatively impact on pulmonary function in patients undergoing hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Cancer.* (2018) 7:76–89. doi: 10.1159/000484487

40. Takada H, Amemiya F, Yasumura T, Yoda H, Okuwaki T, Imagawa N, et al. Relationship between presarcopenia and event occurrence in patients with primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:10186. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-67147-7

41. Takagi K, Yagi T, Yoshida R, Shinoura S, Umeda Y, Nobuoka D, et al. Sarcopenia and american society of anesthesiologists physical status in the assessment of outcomes of hepatocellular carcinoma patients undergoing hepatectomy. *Acta Med Okayama*. (2016) 70:363–70. doi: 10.18926/AMO/5 4594

42. Yoshio S, Shimagaki T, Hashida R, Kawaguchi T, Tsutsui Y, Sakamoto Y, et al. Myostatin as a fibroblast-activating factor impacts on postoperative outcome in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* (2021) 51:803–12. doi: 10.1111/hepr.13667

43. Choi K, Jang H, Ahn J, Hwang S, Chung J, Choi Y, et al. The association of the serum levels of myostatin, follistatin, and interleukin-6 with sarcopenia, and their impacts on survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Mol Hepatol.* (2020) 26:492–505. doi: 10.3350/cmh.2020.0005

44. Jang H, Choi G, Hwang S, Jang E, Kim J, Ahn J, et al. Sarcopenia and visceral adiposity predict poor overall survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients after curative hepatic resection. *Trans Cancer Res.* (2021) 10:854–66. doi: 10.21037/tcr-20-2974

45. Kim N, Yu J, Park H, Yoo G, Choi C, Hong J, et al. Incorporating sarcopenia and inflammation with radiation therapy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with nivolumab. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2021) 70:1593–603. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02794-3

46. Lee J, Cho Y, Park S, Kim J, Lee I. Skeletal muscle depletion predicts the prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with radiotherapy. *Front Oncol.* (2019) 9:1075. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01075

47. Lim J, Kim K, Ko Y, Jang I, Lee Y, Chung Y, et al. The role of muscle depletion and visceral adiposity in HCC patients aged 65 and over undergoing TACE. *BMC Cancer.* (2021) 21:1164. doi: 10.1186/s12885-021-08905-2

48. Cheng T, Lee P, Chen Y, Chao Y, Hou M, Huang Y. Pre-sarcopenia determines post-progression outcomes in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma after sorafenib failure. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:18375. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-75198-z

49. Dong D, Shi J, Shang X, Liu B, Xu W, Cui G, et al. Prognostic significance of sarcopenia in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with lenvatinib: a retrospective analysis. *Medicine*. (2022) 101:e28680. doi: 10.1097/MD. 000000000028680

50. Tan Y, Duan T, Li B, Zhang B, Zhu Y, Yan K, et al. Sarcopenia defined by psoas muscle index independently predicts long-term survival after living donor liver transplantation in male recipients. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* (2022) 12:215–28. doi: 10.21037/qims-21-314

51. Yeh W, Chiang P, Kee K, Chang C, Lu S, Chen C, et al. Pre-sarcopenia is the prognostic factor of overall survival in early-stage hepatoma patients undergoing radiofrequency ablation. *Medicine*. (2020) 99:e20455. doi: 10.1097/MD. 0000000020455

52. Alsebaey A, Sabry A, Rashed H, Elsabaawy M, Ragab A, Aly R, et al. MELDsarcopenia is better than ALBI and MELD score in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma awaiting liver transplantation. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* (2021) 22:2005– 9. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2021.22.7.2005

53. Salman A, Salman M, Moustafa A, Shaaban H, El-Mikkawy A, Labib S, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on two-year mortality in patients with HCV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma after radiofrequency ablation. *J Hepatocell Carcinoma*. (2021) 8:313–20. doi: 10.2147/JHC.S300680

54. Faron A, Sprinkart A, Pieper C, Kuetting D, Fimmers R, Block W, et al. Yttrium-90 radioembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: outcome prediction with MRI derived fat-free muscle area. *Eur J Radiol.* (2020) 125:108889. doi: 10. 1016/j.ejrad.2020.108889

55. Antonelli G, Gigante E, Iavarone M, Begini P, Sangiovanni A, Iannicelli E, et al. Sarcopenia is associated with reduced survival in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing sorafenib treatment. *United Eur Gastroenterol J.* (2018) 6:1039–48. doi: 10.1177/2050640618781188

56. Lanza E, Masetti C, Messana G, Muglia R, Pugliese N, Ceriani R, et al. Sarcopenia as a predictor of survival in patients undergoing bland transarterial embolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0232371. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0232371

57. Levolger S, van Vledder M, Muslem R, Koek M, Niessen W, de Man R, et al. Sarcopenia impairs survival in patients with potentially curable hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol.* (2015) 112:208–13. doi: 10.1002/jso.23976

58. Meza-Junco J, Montano-Loza A, Baracos V, Prado C, Bain V, Beaumont C, et al. Sarcopenia as a prognostic index of nutritional status in concurrent cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* (2013) 47:861–70. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e318293a825

59. Valero V III, Amini N, Spolverato G, Weiss M, Hirose K, Dagher N, et al. Sarcopenia adversely impacts postoperative complications following resection or transplantation in patients with primary liver tumors. J Gastrointest Surg. (2015) 19:272–81. doi: 10.1007/s11605-014-2680-4

60. Voron T, Tselikas L, Pietrasz D, Pigneur F, Laurent A, Compagnon P, et al. Sarcopenia impacts on short- and long-term results of hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg.* (2015) 261:1173–83. doi: 10.1097/SLA. 000000000000743

61. Nishikawa H, Shiraki M, Hiramatsu A, Moriya K, Hino K, Nishiguchi S. Japan society of hepatology guidelines for sarcopenia in liver disease (1st edition): recommendation from the working group for creation of sarcopenia assessment criteria. *Hepatol Res.* (2016) 46:951–63. doi: 10.1111/hepr.12774

62. Rosenberg I. Sarcopenia: origins and clinical relevance. J Nutr. (1997) 127:990S-1S. doi: 10.1093/jn/127.5.990S

63. Chen L, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung T, Chou M, Iijima K, et al. Asian Working group for sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* (2020) 21:300–7.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.12. 012

64. Baracos V, Martin L, Korc M, Guttridge D, Fearon K. Cancer-associated cachexia. Nat Rev Dis Primers. (2018) 4:17105. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2017.105

65. Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker S, Bosaeus I, Bruera E, Fainsinger R, et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. *Lancet Oncol.* (2011) 12:489–95. doi: 10.1016/S1470-204570218-7

66. Peixoto da Silva S, Santos J, Costa Silva M, Gil da Costa R, Medeiros R. Cancer cachexia and its pathophysiology: links with sarcopenia, anorexia and asthenia. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. (2020) 11:619–35. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12528

67. Muscaritoli M, Anker S, Argilés J, Aversa Z, Bauer J, Biolo G, et al. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: joint document elaborated by special interest groups (SIG) "cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". *Clin Nutr.* (2010) 29:154–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2009.12. 004

68. Bauer J, Morley J, Schols A, Ferrucci L, Cruz-Jentoft A, Dent E, et al. Sarcopenia: a time for action. An SCWD position paper. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. (2019) 10:956–61. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12483

69. Craig A, von Felden J, Garcia-Lezana T, Sarcognato S, Villanueva A. Tumour evolution in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2020) 17:139–52. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0229-4

70. Tantai X, Liu Y, Yeo Y, Praktiknjo M, Mauro E, Hamaguchi Y, et al. Effect of sarcopenia on survival in patients with cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* (2022) 76:588–99. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.11.006

71. Perisetti A, Goyal H, Yendala R, Chandan S, Tharian B, Thandassery R. Sarcopenia in hepatocellular carcinoma: current knowledge and future directions. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2022) 28:432–48. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i 4.432

72. Barazzoni R, Jensen G, Correia M, Gonzalez M, Higashiguchi T, Shi H, et al. Guidance for assessment of the muscle mass phenotypic criterion for the global leadership initiative on malnutrition (GLIM) diagnosis of malnutrition. *Clin Nutr.* (2022) 41:1425–33. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2022.02.001

73. Takimoto M, Yasui-Yamada S, Nasu N, Kagiya N, Aotani N, Kurokawa Y, et al. Development and validation of cutoff value for reduced muscle mass for GLIM criteria in patients with gastrointestinal and hepatobiliary-pancreatic cancers. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:943. doi: 10.3390/nu14050943

74. Donini L, Busetto L, Bischoff S, Cederholm T, Ballesteros-Pomar M, Batsis J, et al. Definition and diagnostic criteria for sarcopenic obesity: ESPEN and EASO consensus statement. *Clin Nutr.* (2022) 41:990–1000. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2021.11. 014

75. Ford R, Schwartz L, Dancey J, Dodd L, Eisenhauer E, Gwyther S, et al. Lessons learned from independent central review. *Eur J Cancer*. (2009) 45:268–74. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.031

76. Ubachs J, Ziemons J, Minis-Rutten I, Kruitwagen R, Kleijnen J, Lambrechts S, et al. Sarcopenia and ovarian cancer survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* (2019) 10:1165–74. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12468

77. Zhang X, Dou Q, Zeng Y, Yang Y, Cheng A, Zhang W. Sarcopenia as a predictor of mortality in women with breast cancer: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *BMC Cancer.* (2020) 20:172. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-6645-6

78. Trejo-Avila M, Bozada-Gutiérrez K, Valenzuela-Salazar C, Herrera-Esquivel J, Moreno-Portillo M. Sarcopenia predicts worse postoperative outcomes and decreased survival rates in patients with colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* (2021) 36:1077–96. doi: 10.1007/s00384-021-03839-4

79. Su R, Nan H, Guo H, Ruan Z, Jiang L, Song Y, et al. Associations of components of PTEN/AKT/mTOR pathway with cancer stem cell markers and prognostic value of these biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* (2016) 46:1380–91. doi: 10.1111/hepr.12687

80. Prado C, Lieffers J, McCargar L, Reiman T, Sawyer M, Martin L, et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2008) 9:629–35. doi: 10.1016/S1470-204570153-0

81. Schmidt-Arras D, Rose-John S. IL-6 pathway in the liver: from physiopathology to therapy. *J Hepatol.* (2016) 64:1403–15. doi: 10.1016/j. jhep.2016.02.004