SETON HALL LAW REVIEW

Volume Twenty-Seven

1997

Number Four

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES LECTURE	
Parents, Religion, and Schools: Reflections on <i>Pierce</i> , 70 Years Later Stephen L. Carter	1194
RELIGION AS PUBLIC RESOURCE	1225
THE IMPROBABILITY OF RELIGION CLAUSE THEORY	1233
How Yale Law School Trivializes Religious Devotion	1259
MOUNT LAUREL HOUSING SYMPOSIUM	
THE IMPACT OF MOUNT LAUREL INITIATIVES: AN ANALYSIS OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF APPLICANTS AND OCCUPANTSNaomi Bailin Wish, Ph.D. & Stephen Eisdorfer, Esq.	1268
PANEL ONE—RACIAL SEGREGATION IN HOUSING	
RESURRECTING MOUNT LAUREL: USING TITLE VIII LITIGATION TO ACHIEVE THE ULTIMATE MOUNT LAUREL GOAL OF INTEGRATION	1338
Injecting a Race Component into Mount Laurel-Style Litigation john a. powell	
THE ROLE OF THE STATE, THE NECESSITY OF RACE-CONSCIOUS REMEDIES, AND OTHER LESSONS FROM THE MOUNT LAUREL STUDY Florence Wagman Roisman	
PANEL TWO—HOUSING MOBILITY	1500
Certifications and Warranties: Keys to Effective Residential Mobility Programs James E. Rosenbaum & Shazia Rafiullah Miller	1426

PANEL THREE—LESSONS LEARNED FROM MOUNT LAUREL	
MOUNT LAUREL AT 21 YEARS: REFLECTIONS ON THE POWER OF COURTS AND LEGISLATURES TO SHAPE SOCIAL CHANGE	1450
PANEL FOUR—AFFORDABLE HOUSING & SOCIAL SCIENCE	
MOUNT LAUREL AND URBAN POSSIBILITY: WHAT SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH MIGHT TELL THE NARRATIVES OF FUTILITY	1471
REPORT ON THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING COLLOQUIUM CONFERENCE ON DEVELOPING A RESEARCH AGENDA TO MOVE THE NATION TOWARDS A RIGHT TO DECENT, AFFORDABLE HOUSING	
COMMENTS	
PANDORA'S BOX OR TREASURE CHEST?: CIRCUIT COURTS FACE 28 U.S.C. § 1367'S EFFECT ON MULTI-PLAINTIFF DIVERSITY ACTIONS	1497
LIGHTS, CAMERA, TRIAL: PURSUIT OF JUSTICE OR THE EMMY?	1542
Affirmative Action: An Idea Whose Time Has Gone	1579
A DOCTRINE IN DISARRAY: WHY THE FIRST AMENDMENT DEMANDS THE ABANDONMENT OF THE CENTRAL HUDSON TEST FOR COMMERCIAL SPEECH	1626
NOTE	
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REMEDIAL AUTHORITY—ALTHOUGH HAVING BROAD DISCRETION TO FASHION SCHOOL DESEGREGATION ORDERS, IMPLEMENTING A REMEDIAL DECREE AIMED AT MAKING THE VIOLATING DISTRICT MORE ATTRACTIVE TO STUDENTS IN SURROUNDING SUBURBS EQUALED AN INTERDISTRICT SOLUTION WHICH IN THE ABSENCE OF AN INTERDISTRICT VIOLATION EXCEEDED THE REMEDIAL AUTHORITY OF THE DISTRICT COURT	1658
SURVEY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN NEW IERSEY LAW	1710