Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijantimicag



Confronting Ceftolozane-Tazobactam Susceptibility in Multidrug-Resistant Enterobacterales Isolates and Whole-Genome Sequencing Results (STEP Study)



Marta Hernández-García^{a,*}, Sergio García-Fernández^a, María García-Castillo^a, José Melo-Cristino^b, Margarida F. Pinto^c, Elsa Goncalves^d, Valquíria Alves^e, Eliana Costa^f, Elmano Ramalheira^g, Luísa Sancho^h, José Diogoⁱ, Rui Ferreira^j, Tânia Silva^k, Catarina Chaves¹, Leonor Pássaro^m, Laura Paixão^m, João Romano^m, Rafael Cantón^a, the STEP study group

^a Servicio de Microbiología. Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal e Instituto Ramón y Cajal de Investigación Sanitaria (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain

^b Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte; Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Lisboa

^c Laboratório de Microbiologia, Servico de Patologia Clínica, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Central, Lisboa, Portugal

^d Laboratório de Microbiologia Clínica Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Lisboa, Portugal

^e Laboratório de Microbiologia, Unidade Local de Saúde de Matosinhos, Matosinhos, Portugal

^f Serviço de Patologia Clínica, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto, Portugal

^g Serviço Patologia Clínica, Hospital Infante Dom Pedro, Aveiro, Portugal

^h Serviço de Patologia Clínica, Hospital Prof. Dr. Fernando da Fonseca, Amadora, Portugal

ⁱ Serviço de Microbiologia, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Almada, Portugal

^j Serviço de Patologia Clínica – Microbiologia – CHUA – Unidade de Portimão, Portimão, Portugal

^k Serviço de Microbiologia do Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Porto, Porto, Portugal

¹Serviço de Microbiologia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^m MSD Portugal, Paco de Arcos, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 March 2020 Accepted 28 November 2020

Editor: Mr Marc Stegger

Keywords: Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales isolates ceftolozane-tazobactam activity whole-genome sequencing

ABSTRACT

Ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) is frequently used for infections caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR)-Enterobacterales isolates. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS, Illumina-Hiseq 4000/NovaSeq 6000, OGC, UK) was used to study the population structure, the resistome and the virulome of C/T-susceptible and resistant MDR Escherichia spp. (n=30) and Klebsiella spp. (n=78) isolates, recovered from lower respiratory, intra-abdominal and urinary tract infections of ICU patients from 11 Portuguese Hospitals (STEP study, 2017-2018). Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined (ISO-broth microdilution, breakpoints EUCAST-2020). In Escherichia spp., a weak concordance between the phenotypic and the WGS method (P=0.051) was observed in the carbapenemase detection (3/30) [bla_{VIM-2} (2/3), bla_{KPC-3} (1/3)]; VIM-2-Escherichia coli isolates were C/T-susceptible and only the KPC-3-Escherichia marmotae producer showed C/T-resistance. Overall. CTX-M-15-E. coli-ST131-O25:H4-H30-Rx (11/30) was the most frequent subclone, followed by CTX-M-27-E. coli-ST131-O25:H4-H30 (4/4). Moreover, a wide resistome and virulome were detected in all E. coli isolates. Among Klebsiella spp. isolates [K. pneumoniae (67/78), K. aerogenes (7/78), K. oxytoca (2/78), K. variicola (2/78)], concordance (P<0.001) was observed between the phenotypic and the genomic carbapenemase detection (21/78) [bla_{KPC-3} (14/21), bla_{OXA-48} (3/21), bla_{OXA-181} (3/21)]. A high correlation between C/T-resistance and carbapenemase detection was established (P<0.05). Overall, a high clonal diversity was observed, mainly in KPC-3-producing K. pneumoniae isolates. An extensive resistome was detected in Klebsiella spp. isolates, whereas virulence determinants were mostly identified in carbapenemase producers (P<0.001). WGS is a powerful tool for typing characterization and microbiological study of MDR-Enterobacterales pathogens. Furthermore, carbapenemase genes are associated with C/T-resistance in Klebsiella spp., but other mechanisms might also be involved.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd and International Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Full address: Servicio de Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Carretera de Colmenar Km 9,1. 28034-Madrid, Spain. E-mail address: martahernandez1986@gmail.com (M. Hernández-García).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106259

0924-8579/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd and International Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) represents a promising approach for the characterization of nosocomial pathogens and its implementation in clinical laboratories for antimicrobial resistance surveillance is challenging for Public Health. The utility of genomic tools application in typing characterization, phylogenetic analysis and the determination of resistome/virulome in clinical isolates has been widely reported [1–3]. WGS has also been proposed as a powerful tool in nosocomial outbreak management, mainly in hospital departments with an increased risk of infection with multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens, such as the Intensive Care Units (ICU) [4,5]. Moreover, several studies have been performed to demonstrate the inference or prediction of antibiotic susceptibility profiles from the genomic data obtained using sequencing technologies and bioinformatics analysis tools [6,7].

In Portugal, as in other European countries, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* are the most common extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacterales species detected in both hospital and community settings [8–10]. Carbapenemase epidemiology in Portugal has been commonly related to the intestinal carriage of Gram-negative bacteria (GNB)-producing class B metallo- β -lactamases (MBLs), such as VIM, NDM and IMP enzymes [11]. Nevertheless, a recent increase of carbapenemaseproducing Enterobacterales (CPE) isolates causing infections has been reported in Portuguese Hospitals due to KPC-3 (class A carbapenemase)-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates [12,13].

Effort has recently been focused on the development of new β -lactam- β -lactamase inhibitor combinations, such as ceftolozanetazobactam (C/T), to combat more effectively infections caused by MDR organisms. C/T shows a high antimicrobial spectrum of activity against MDR-GNB, mostly *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and ESBL-producing Enterobacterales isolates, but has limited activity against microorganisms producing carbapenemases and a minority of AmpC β -lactamases [14]. Furthermore, resistance to C/T has already been described in ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* isolates [15–17].

During the STEP study [18], *P. aeruginosa* and Enterobacterales clinical isolates were recovered from ICU patients admitted to 11 Portuguese hospitals in 2017 and 2018. During this study, susceptibility of C/T against Enterobacterales isolates was 84.0%. The present work comprised the study of the resistance mechanisms involved in C/T susceptibility, the population structure, the resistome and the virulome of a subset of MDR-Enterobacterales (*E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp.) isolates from the STEP collection, with both C/T-susceptible and -resistant phenotypes, using the WGS approach.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Background and selection of isolates

A total of 426 Enterobacterales (175 *E. coli*, 151 *Klebsiella* spp. and 100 other Enterobacterales) clinical isolates were collected from patients admitted to ICUs of 11 Portuguese Hospitals between June 2017 and July 2018 as part of the prospective multicenter STEP study [18]. Isolates were recovered from urinary tract (UTI), intra-abdominal (IAI) and lower respiratory tract (LRTI) infections to study the in vitro activity of C/T and comparators. The Ramón y Cajal University Hospital (Madrid, Spain) was the central laboratory for the microbiological study and subsequent genome analysis. This surveillance study was approved by the ethics committees of all participating Portuguese Hospitals. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL) phenotype was detected in 16.6% and 28.5% of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp., respectively, whereas 1.7% (*E. coli*) and 17.9% (*Klebsiella* spp.) presented a phenotype compatible with car-

bapenemase production. *E. coli* showed a high susceptibility level to C/T (98.9%), but activity was moderate in *Klebsiella* spp. (66.2%) [18].

A total of 110 Enterobacterales isolates (31 *E. coli* and 79 *Klebsiella* spp.) were selected for genome characterization using whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Selection was based on ceftolozane-tazobactam in vitro activity, ESBL and carbapenemase phenotypes, following the EUCAST-2020 criteria (http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/ Breakpoint_tables/v_10.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf). Phenotype distribution of selected isolates is shown in Table 1.

2.2. DNA extraction and whole-genome sequencing

Total DNA extraction was performed from 2 mL of exponential growth LB cultures using the Chemagic DNA Bacterial External Lysis Kit (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and following the manufacturer's instructions. Short-read sequencing was carried out using the Illumina Hiseq4000 or the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platforms (OGC, Oxford, UK), with 2 \times 150 pb paired-end reads.

2.3. Sequence processing and analysis

FastQC v.0.11.8 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/ projects/fastqc/) and Prinseq-lite-0.20.3 (http://prinseq.sourceforge. net/) tools were used for quality control and filtering of sequences, respectively. Preprocessing short-reads *de novo* assembling and assembly evaluation were performed using SPAdes v3.11.1 and QUAST v5.0.2 (http://quast.bioinf.sbau.ru/), respectively [19]. Bacterial identification was confirmed by k-mer-based classification using Kraken v1.0 [20]. *De novo*-assembled contigs were annotated by Prokka v.1.13.3 [21].

2.4. Typing characterization

MASH (v2.1) and iTOL application (Interactive Tree Of Life, https://itol.embl.de/) were used to generate and trace a similarity tree based on neighbor-joining algorithm [22]. MLST v2.16.1 (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst) was used for in silico MLST assignment. *E. coli* phylogroups, serotypes and fimH types were determined using ClermonTyping [23], SerotypeFinder and FimTyper (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/) tools, respectively. *E. coli*-ST131-H30Rx sublineage was identified based on a specific SNV, the G723A point mutation in *ybbW*, using Blastn (http://blast.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The C1-M27 clade-specific region (prophage-like region M27PP2+M27PP1) was also detected using Blastn and a reference sequence (GenBank accession number LC209430.1) [24]. In *Klebsiella* spp. isolates, *wzi* gene, capsule (K) and LPS (O) serotype prediction was performed using Kleborate software v.0.4.0-beta [25,26].

2.5. Resistome and virulome analysis

Acquired resistance mechanisms and virulence genes were identified using Abricate v0.8.11 and ResFinder, ARG-ANNOT and VFDB databases (threshold, 95% identity; 90% coverage). ARIBA tool was also used to confirm the presence/absence of carbapenem resistance genes [27]. Chromosomal point mutations related to antibiotic resistance in *E. coli* isolates were identified using PointFinder software (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/). *K. pneumoniae* integrative conjugative element (ICEKp)-associated virulence loci [yersiniabactin (ybt), colibactin (clb)] and virulence plasmid-associated loci [salmochelin (iro), aerobactin (iuc), hypermucoidy (rmpA, rmpA2)] were also detected using Kleborate [28,29].

Downloaded for Ana Quininha (ana.quininha@chlc.min-saude.pt) at Hospital Centre of Central Lisbon from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on December 07, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 1

Selection of Enterobacterales isolates for whole-genome sequencing during the STEP study [18]. Phenotypes were defined according to the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values obtained by standard broth microdilution.

Bacterial spp.	C/T phenotype ¹	ESBL phenotype ²	CP phenotype ³	Non-ESBL-non-CP phenotype	Total
E. coli	C/T susceptible	28	2	-	30
	C/T resistant	-	1	-	1
	Total	28	3	-	31
Klebsiella spp.	C/T susceptible	23	9	7	39
	C/T resistant	17	22	1	40
	Total	40	31	8	79

C/T= ceftolozane-tazobactam; CP= carbapenemase; ESBL= extended-spectrum β -lactamase

¹ C/T resistance phenotype (MICs > 2 mg/L).

 2 ESBL phenotype (MICs ≥ 2 mg/L for cefotaxime, ceftazidime and/or cefepime).

 3 CP phenotype (MICs >1 mg/L for imipenem and/or >0.12 mg/L for meropenem).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Concordance between in vitro antibiotic activity results and genotype data based on genome sequence analysis was evaluated using the Kappa Index (weak agreement <0.40, moderate 0.41-0.60, accurate 0.61-0.80, very accurate >0.81). Fisher's Exact test was used to estimate differences in frequencies and percentages of dichotomous variables and to calculate their odds ratio (OR) with the 95% confidence interval (95%CI). All statistical analysis was performed using R software (RStudio Team 2016 version 1.0.44, RStudio, Boston, MA, USA). A *P*-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

2.7. Sequence data

All complete *Escherichia* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. sequences were deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the BioProject accession number PRJNA602991. Genome accession numbers are shown in Table S1.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Genome characteristics

Assembly of sequenced isolates revealed an average genome size of approximately 5.2 Mb for *Escherichia* spp. and 5.5 Mb for *Klebsiella* spp. isolates, with an average G+C content of 50.6% and 56.9%, respectively. Information about all *Escherichia* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. genome characteristics is summarized in Table S2 and

Table S3, respectively. Two contaminated sequences were identified (1 *E. coli* and 1 *Klebsiella* spp.) and were excluded from the subsequent analysis.

3.2. Molecular epidemiology

E. coli bacterial identification was confirmed in 29 isolates (96.7%, 29/30); the remaining *Escherichia* isolate (Isolate 6-77) was identified as *Escherichia marmotae* with 99.8% identity (Figure 1). According to in silico MLST, ST131 was the most prevalent clone among *E. coli* isolates, accounting for 17 (58.6%) of the 29 studied isolates (Figure 1). A total of 94% (16/17) of the ST131-*E. coli* isolates carried the *fimH30* allele, belonged to the serotype O25:H4 and were assigned to phylogroup B2 (ST131-B2-O25:H4-H30 lineage). Among these isolates, ST131-H30Rx was the predominant subclone (12/16). Moreover, the complete C1-M27 clade–specific region (prophage-like region M27PP2+M27PP1) was identified in three ST131-H30-non-Rx isolates and a truncated M27PP1 region was detected in another (Isolate 8-43). A higher diversity of MLST-clones, serotypes, phylogroups and *fimH* alleles were identified in the remaining *E. coli* isolates of this study (Figure 1).

Among the *Klebsiella* spp. isolates, *K. pneumoniae* was the most common species (n=67) followed by *K. aerogenes* (n=7), *K. oxy-toca* (n=2) and *K. variicola* (n=2). Overall, a higher clonality than in *E. coli* isolates was observed and ST307 (n=7), ST13 (n=6), ST15 (n=6), ST405 (n=5), ST17 (n=4), ST45 (n=4) and ST280 (n=4) were the predominant *K. pneumoniae* clones (Figure 2). Association was



Figure 1. Similarity tree showing the relationship among the *Escherichia* spp. isolates from the STEP study and the genomics data. Antimicrobial susceptibility results are also included [18]. Branch length is indicative of the MASH-distance. Ceftolozane-tazobactam-resistant *E. marmotae* isolate is framed in the green box. Blue box includes all ST131-*E. coli* isolates. Purple box includes the sublineage ST131-*E. coli*-H30-non-Rx. *CTX-M-27-producing ST131-*E. coli*-H30 isolates that belongs to the C1-M27 clade. ST=Sequence type; UTI= Urinary tract infection; IAI= intra-abdominal infection; LRTI= lower respiratory tract infection; CP= carbapenemase; ESBL= extended-spectrum β -lactamase; MIC= minimum inhibitory concentration; C/T= ceftolozane-tazobactam; IMP= imipenem; ERT= ertapenem; MER= meropenem; AMC= amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; PTZ= piperacillin-tazobactam; TAZ= ceftazidime; FOT= cefotaxime; FEP= cefepime; AZT= aztreonam; CIP= ciprofloxacin; GEN= gentamicin; TOB= tobramycin; AMK= amikacin; FOS= fosfomycin.

		Isolates	Hospital	Source	Bacterial_ID	<u>ST</u>	wzi gene	Ag K	Ag O	CP_gene	ESBL_gene	CT (MIC)	IMP (MIC)	MER (MIC)	ERT (MIC)	Resistance profile (R)
	_	1-22	1	LRTI	K. oxytoca	ST199		KL27			-	S(1/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, FOS
		- 2-52	2	IAI	K. oxytoca	ST19		KL27			-	S(1/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, FOS
		9-38	9	UTI	K. aerogenes		-	KL107	-		-	S(0.5/4)	1(4)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, FOS
		1-15	1	LRTI	K. aerogenes			KL107			-	R(4/4)	5(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, AZT, FOS
		4-2	4	LRTI	K. aerogenes			KL68	-	-	-	R(8/4)	5(1)	S(≤0.25)	1(1)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, AZT, FOS
		3-48	3	UTI	K. aerogenes			KL68			-	S(0.5/4)	1(4)	S(0.5)	S(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, AZT, FOS
		3-21	3	UTI	K. aerogenes			KL68	100		-	S(0.125/4)	1(4)	S(≤0.25)	S(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, CIP, TOB, FOS
		- 1-11	1	LRTI	K. aerogenes	ST142		KL65	1.00	-	-	R(4/4)	S(1)	S(≤0.25)	1(1)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, AZT, FOS
		- 6-55	6	LRTI	K. aerogenes	ST135		KL65				R(8/4)	1(4)	S(0.5)	1(1)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, TOB, FOS
		4-53	4	IAI	K. variicola	ST344*		KL64	03/03a		CTX-M-15	R(>64/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	1(1)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, TOB, FOS
		6-3	6	LRTI	K. variicola	ST1563		KL123	05	KPC-3	-	R(>64/4)	R(16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, FOS
	Γ	6-57	6	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2	OXA-48	CTX-M-15+SHV-106	R(32/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		9-31	9	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2		SHV-106	S(2/4)	S(0.5)	S(s0.25)	S(s0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOS
		4-84	4	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2	-	CTX-M-15+SHV-106	S(2/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	1	4-83	4	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-106	S(2/4)	S(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(\$0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	1	4-58	4	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-106	S(2/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, FOS, TIG
		4-136	4	LAI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-106	S(2/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	4-72	4	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST307	wzi173	KL102	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-106	S(1/4)	S(0.5)	S(±0.25)	S(\$0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		6-32	6	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST661	wzi211	KL109	02v2		SHV-27	S(2/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(s0.25)	FOS
		4-8	4	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST348	wzi94	KL62	01v1		CTX-M-15	R(16/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, FOS
		6-60	6	LAI	K. pneumoniae	ST348	wzi94	KL62	01v1		CTX-M-15	5(2/4)	S(0.5)	S(±0.25)	S(±0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, TOB, FOS
		5-25	5	IAI	K. pneumoniae	\$1225	wz/59	KL3	02v2	-	-	10(4/4)	5(0.5)	S(S0.25)	5(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FEP, CIP, FOS, TIG
	1.	6-82	6	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST485	~wzi24	KL107	-		-	S(2/4)	S(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	FOS, TIG
		9-44	9	LAI	K. pneumoniae	ST485	~wzi150	KL107		-	-	R(4/4)	5(1)	S(±0.25)	S(S0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, GEN, TOB, COL, FOS
		9-11	9	LKII	K. pneumoniae	5145	w2/101	KLZ4	02v1		CIX-M-15+SHV-145	R(32/4)	5(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	5(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		9-43	9	LAI	k. pneumoniae	5145	W2(101	KL24	0201		C1X-M-15	5(1/4)	5(1)	5(50.25)	5(\$0.25)	AMC, P12, TA2, FUS
		6.20	0	011	K. pheumonide	5145	W21101	KL24	0201		CIX-M-15+5HV-145	10(8/4)	5(50.25)	5(50.25)	5(0.5)	AMIC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS, HG
		0-39	6	LAI	K. pneumoniae	5145	wzr101	KLZ4	02v1	KPC-3	SHV-145	R(>64/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, AMK, COL, FOS
	1 4	1-22	/	UTI	k_ pneumoniae	513031	W21101	KL24	0201		CTX-M-15	10(64/4)	5(1)	5(50.25)	1(1)	AMIC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, FOS, TIG
		0-20	0	011	K. pheumoniae	513031	W21101	KL24	02/1	KOC 3	C1X-M-15	(q4/4)	5(0.5)	5(50.25)	5(50.25)	AMC, P12, TA2, FOT, FEP, A2T, CIP, FOS
	4	2.62	2	LATI	K. pheumoniae	5111	wars	KL105	021/2	NPC-3	ENU 197	R(204/4)	R(P10)	R(P10)	R(210)	AMC, F12, 1A2, F01, FEP, A21, CIP, GEN, 108, AMK, CUC, F03, 110
		7.24	2	LETI	K. prieumonioe	5100	wziz	KL2	01v1		544/197	5(2/4)	5(0.5)	5(50.23)	5(50.25)	AMIC, FOS
		4.9	4	IRTI	K oneumoniae	\$74331	weit2	VI 25	01v1	VPC-2	SHV-107	P/>GA/A)	B(16)	B(>16)	R(>16)	AMAC PTZ TAZ EOT EED AZT CID EOS TIG
		3.4	3	LRTI	K oneumoniae	ST643	writ47	KI 109	02/2		CTX-M-15	\$(1/4)	\$(0.5)	S(<0.25)	S(<0.25)	AMC TAZ FOT FEP AZT CIP GEN TOR FOS
	- <u> </u>	9.79	9	IAI	K oneumoniae	STRA	wzi736	KI 10	01v2	KPC-3		R(16/4)	B(>16)	B(>16)	B(>16)	AMC PTZ TAZ FOT FEP AZT GEN TOR FOS
	1	3-20	2	1171	K oneumoniae	\$720	wright	¥1.28	01/2		CTV-A4-15+SUN/-197	P(9/A)	5(x0.25)	S(r0 25)	5(40.25)	AMC PTZ TAZ FOT FEP AZT CIP GEN TOB FOS
	L	5.21	5	IRTI	K oneumonine	ST17	w/2i360	KI 122	02v2		SHV-187	\$(2/4)	\$(1)	S(<0.25)	\$(<0.25)	AMC FOS
		6.56	6	LETI	K oneumoniae	ST17	wzi141	K1 25	05		SHV-187	5(1/4)	5(2)	S(<0.25)	S(<0.25)	AMC FOS
	4	11-2	11	UTI	K oneumoniae	ST17	wzi141	K1.25	05	OXA-181	CTX-M-15+SHV-187	R(>64/4)	5(2)	S(1)	B(8)	AMC PTZ TAZ FOT FEP AZT CIP GEN TOB FOS TIG
	4	3-15	3	LBTI	K. pneumoniae	ST17	wzi141	KL25	05	OXA-181	CTX-M-15+SHV-187	R(>64/4)	5(2)	S(1)	R(4)	AMC. PTZ. TAZ. FOT. FEP. AZT. CIP. GEN. TOB. FOS
		4-49	4	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST39	wzi2	KL2	01v1		CTX-M-15+SHV-187	R(32/4)	S(0.5)	S(\$0.25)	S(0.5)	AMC. PTZ. TAZ. FOT. FEP. AZT. CIP. GEN. TOB. FOS. TIG
		4-65	4	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST147	wzi64	KL64	02v1		SHV-24	B(32/4)	5(2)	1(4)	B(16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, CIP, TOB, FOS, TIG
		7-27	7	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST147	wzi64	KL64	02v1		CTX-M-15	B(32/4)	5(0.5)	S(<0.25)	\$(0.5)	AMC. PTZ. TAZ. FOT. FEP. AZT. CIP. GEN. TOB. AMK. FOS. TIG
	1	9-21	9	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST147	wzi64	KL64	02v1			S(1/4)	\$(0.5)	S(\$0.25)	S(\$0.25)	AMC. PTZ. TAZ. FOT. FEP. AZT. CIP. GEN. TOB. AMK. FOS. TIG
		3-3	3	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST280	wzi82	KL23	OL102		CTX-M-15+SHV-27	R(>64/4)	\$(0.5)	S(s0.25)	\$(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS, TIG
		5-10	5	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST280	wzi82	KL23	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-27	5(2/4)	5(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, AMK, FOS, TIG
		4-50	4	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST280	wzi82	KL23	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-27	S(1/4)	S(0.5)	S(±0.25)	S(\$0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS, TIG
		4-51	4	LAI	K. pneumoniae	ST280	wzi82	KL23	02v2		CTX-M-15+SHV-27	S(1/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(s0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		4-5	4	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST405	wzi424	KL151	04		CTX-M-15	5(2/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, AMK, FOS, TIG
	[[6-78	6	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST405	wzi424	KL151	04		CTX-M-15	S(0.5/4)	S(1)	S(±0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-69	4	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST405	wzi143	KL151	04	KPC-3+VIM-2	CTX-M-15	R(>64/4)	R(16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, CIP, TOB, FOS
	4	10-21	10	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST405	wzi143	KL151	04		CTX-M-15	R(>64/4)	1(4)	R(16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, TOB, FOS
		4-12	4	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST405	wzi143	KL151	04		CTX-M-15	S(1/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(s0.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, AZT, CIP, TOB, FOS
	_	9-9	9	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST35	wzi16	KL16	01v1	OXA-181	CTX-M-15	5(2/4)	1(4)	S(1)	R(2)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, COL, FOS
	- F	4-98	4	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST466	wzi37	KL22	01v1		CTX-M-15	S(1/4)	S(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS, TIG
	- F	9-8	9	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST449	wzi37	KL22	01v1		CTX-M-15	S(2/4)	S(2)	S(1)	I(1)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	L	4-138	4	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST35	wzi37	KL22	01v1	-		5(2/4)	S(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(0.5)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOS
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6-75	6	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST35	wzi37	KL22	01v1			S(2/4)	S(1)	S(\$0.25)	S(\$0.25)	AMC, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		1-9	1	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST3072	wzi160	KL39	02v1			S(2/4)	S(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, PTZ, COL, FOS, TIG
		3-23	3	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST215	wzi247	KL16	01v1	OXA-48	CTX-M-15+SHV-145	R(16/4)	1(4)	S(0.5)	R(2)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		7-21	7	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST215	wzi247	KL16	01v1	OXA-48	SHV-145	S(1/4)	1(4)	S(2)	R(2)	AMC, PTZ, COL, FOS
		9-2	9	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST219	wzi177	KL114	01v1		CTX-M-15+SHV-145	S(2/4)	S(1)	S(≤0.25)	S(≤0.25)	AMC, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, FOS, TIG
		3-34	3	IAI	K. pneumoniae	ST231	wzi104	KL51	01v2	KPC-3	-	R(>64/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	6	9-4	9	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	51231	wzi104	KL51	01v2	KPC-3	-	R(>64/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		10-22	10	UTI	K. pneumoniae	ST13	wzi40	KL3	01v2	-		5(2/4)	5(0.5)	S(≤0.25)	S(S0.25)	AMC, PTZ, CIP, TOB, FOS
		3-52	3	LAI	K. pneumoniae	ST13	wzi40	KL3	01v2	KPC-3		R(>64/4)	R(16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMIC, P12, 1AZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, AMK, FOS, TIG
	-	3-44	3	LAI	K. pneumoniae	ST13	wzi40	KL3	01v2	KPC-3		R(>64/4)	R(16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, GEN, TOB, FOS
		3-2	3	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	ST13	wzi40	KL3	01v2	KPC-3		R(>64/4)	N(>16)	H(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, AMK, FOS, TIG
		3-10	3	LRTI	K. pneumoniae	5113	wzi40	KL3	01v2	KPC-3		R(>64/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMIC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		3-25	3	JII	k. pneumoniae	5113	wzi40	KL3	01v2	NPC-3		ro(32/4)	R(16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMC, P12, TAZ, PU1, PEP, AZT, GEN, TOB, AMK, FOS
		10-23	10	UTI	K. pneumoniae	5114	WZLZ	KLZ KL22	01/02-2	-	SHV-105	5(1/4)	5(1)	5(50.25)	5(50.25)	AMC, FEP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	46	10-19	10	UTI	k. pneumoniae	5115	WZL24	KL23	01/02/2		CIX-M-15+5HV-106	10(8/4)	5(0.5)	5(50.25)	5(50.25)	AMC, PTZ, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
	46	4-38	4	UTI	k. pneumoniae	5115	w2/9/5	KL112	01v1	KPC-3	5mV-106	ru>64/4)	n(>16)	n(+16)	n(>16)	AMIC, P12, TA2, PUT, FEP, AZT, CIP, FUS
		4-89	4		K. pneumoniae	5115	wzi93	KL112	01v1	KPC-S	SHV-105	R(>64/4)	R(>16)	R(>16)	R(>16)	AMO, F12, 1A2, FUT, FEP, A21, UP, GEN, TUB, AMK, COL, FOS
Tree scale: 0.01	[D-1	6	UT	K. pneumoniae	5115	wzi19	KL19	01v2	-	CTV AA 15+SHV 100	P(0/4)	5(1)	5(20.25)	5(c0.25)	AMO, PTZ, TAZ, FUT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FUS
	E	0-13	0	011	x. pneumonide	5115	w2119	KL19	01/2	-	CTX-M-15+5HV-106	F(0/4)	5(0.5)	5(50.25)	5(20.25)	AMC, PTZ, TAZ, FOT, FEP, AZT, CIP, GEN, TOB, FOS
		0-03	0	1941	A. pricumonide	2113	W2117	PF13	0145	-	CIA-M-1375HV-106	3(4/4)	2(0.2)	3(20.23)	3(30.23)	Amo, F12, 142, F01, FEF, 421, GF, 108, F05

Figure 2. Similarity tree showing the relationship among the *Klebsiella* spp. isolates from the STEP study and the genomics data. Antimicrobial susceptibility results are also included [18]. Branch length is indicative of the MASH-distance. Colored box indicates the *Klebsiella* species different to *K. pneumoniae*: blue (*K. oxytoca*), orange (*K. aerogenes*) and pink (*K. variicola*). ST= Sequence type; UTI= Urinary tract infection; IAI= intra-abdominal infection; IATI= lower respiratory tract infection; CP= carbapenemase; ESBL= extended-spectrum β -lactamase; MIC= minimum inhibitory concentration; C/T= ceftolozane-tazobactam; IMP= imipenem; ERT= ertapenem; MER= meropenem; AMC= amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; PTZ= piperacillin-tazobactam; TAZ= ceftazidime; FOT= cefotaxime; FEP= cefepime; AZT= aztreonam; CIP= ciprofloxacin; GEN= gentamicin; TOB= tobramycin; AMK= amikacin; COL= colistin; FOS= fosfomycin; TIG= tigecycline.

observed between the assigned ST and the *wzi* gene/serotype K (Antigen K)/serotype O (Antigen O) (Figure 2).

3.3. Genomic antibiotic resistance characterization

Overall, a high content of antibiotic resistance genes was found among the studied Escherichia spp. collection (Figure S1). Carbapenemase genes detection was demonstrated in three Escherichia spp. isolates (10%, 3/30) [bla_{KPC-3}-E. marmotae (n=1) and bla_{VIM-2} -E. coli (n=2)] and a weak concordance [Kappa Index (k)= 0.35; P=0.051] was observed in the carbapenemase detection between the phenotypic and WGS-based genotypic method. In this collection, the two VIM-1 E. coli-producers were susceptible to C/T (MIC_{C/T} = 0.5/4-1/4 mg/L) and only the KPC-3-producing E. marmotae isolate (3.3%, 1/30) showed a C/T-resistant phenotype $(MIC_{C/T} = 16/4 \text{ mg/L})$. Correlation between the presence of carbapenemase genes and resistance to C/T could not be fully determined (OR= 0.0; 95%CI= 0.0-4.3; P=0.1). All ST131-E. coli isolates were susceptible to C/T (MIC_{C/T}=0.25/4-2/4 mg/L) and were mostly related to ESBL enzymes production (94.1%, 16/17). bla_{CTX-M-15} and *bla*_{CTX-M-27} genes were associated with ST131-H30Rx (11/12) (OR=116.4; 95%CI=8.2-7760.4; P<0.001) and ST131-H30-C1-M27 (4/4) (OR=0.0; 95%CI=0.0-0.9; P<0.001) sublineages, respectively. VIM-2 production was also detected in one ST131-H30-Rx-CTX-M-15 isolate. Moreover, gyrA (S83L, D87N), parC (S80I, E84V) and parE (I529L) fluoroquinolone resistance mutations in quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) were only found in ST131H30Rx and ST131-H30-C1-M27 isolates (OR=0.0; 95%CI= 0.0-0.06; *P*<0.001) (Figure 1).

An extensive resistome was also observed in the K. pneumoniae, K. oxytoca and K. variicola isolates (Figure S2). Carbapenemase-(26.9%, 21/78) and ESBL- (65.4%, 51/78) encoding genes were detected, mainly in the K. pneumoniae species. Moreover, both carbapenemase and ESBL genes were identified in 14.1% (11/78) of cases. Overall, the Kappa Index showed a very accurate agreement (k=0.88; P<0.001) between in vitro carbapenems activity and the WGS detection of carbapenemase-encoding genes. Based on sequence data, bla_{KPC-3} (n=14) was the most common carbapenemase, followed by bla_{OXA-48} (n=3) and $bla_{OXA-181}$ (n=3). Moreover, co-production of two carbapenemases (KPC-3+VIM-2) was also identified in one isolate. Most frequent associations between carbapenemase genes and K. pneumoniae clones were: KPC-3-ST13 (n=5), KPC-3-ST15 (n=2), KPC-3-ST231 (n=2), OXA-181-ST17 (n=2) and OXA-48-ST215 (n=2). The 90.5% of the carbapenemase-Klebsiella spp. isolates (19/21) showed resistance to C/T (MIC_{C/T}=4/4->64/4 mg/L) and correlation between C/T-resistant phenotype and carbapenemase gene detection was demonstrated (OR=15.7; 95%CI=3.3-152.5; P<0.001). Note that all KPC-3-Klebsiella spp. isolates were resistant to the C/T combination (OR=0.0; 95%CI=0.0-0.2; P<0.001).

Among non-carbapenemase producers (73.1%, 57/78), bla_{ESBL} genes were identified in 70.2% (40/57) of cases and correlation with resistance to ceftolozane-tazobactam (37.5%, 15/40) (MIC_{C/T}= 8/4->64/4 mg/L) was not established (OR=1.1; 95%CI=0.3-4.4; P>0.05). Associations between particular bla_{ESBL} genes and K.



Figure 3. Distribution of both *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolates of the STEP study according to the different minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) [18], the carbapenemase-encoding gene detected and the infection source (IAI, intra-abdominal infection; LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; UTI, urinary tract infection). Dotted line represents the ceftolozane-tazobactam EUCAST-2020 breakpoint ($S \le 2 mg/L$; R > 2 mg/L). In *Klebsiella* spp., carbapenemase gene detection was statistically correlated to a ceftolozane-tazobactam-resistant phenotype (P < 0.001). NA= carbapenemase-encoding gene not detected.

pneumoniae clones were also detected: CTX-M-15+SHV-106-ST307 (n=5), CTX-M-15+SHV-106-ST15 (n=4), CTX-M-15+SHV-27-ST280 (n=4), CTX-M-15-ST405 (n=4), CTX-M-15-ST455 (n=3), SHV-187-ST17 (n=2), CTX-M-15-ST3031 (n=2), CTX-M-15-ST348 (n=2) and SHV-187-ST86 (n=2). Moreover, a total of 17 *Klebsiella* spp. isolates showed a non-ESBL-non-carbapenemase genotype (21.8%, 17/78) and six of them (35.3%, 6/17) were resistant to C/T (MIC_{C/T} = 4/4-8/4 mg/L) (Figure 2). Distribution of both *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolates according to the C/T minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC_{C/T}) values, the carbapenemase-encoding gene detected and the infection source (IAI, LRTI and UTI) is shown in Figure 3.

On the other hand, a phenotype compatible with colistin resistance (MIC=4->4 mg/L) was also found in seven *K. pneumoniae* isolates (8.9%, 7/78), five of them with a carbapenemase gene (Figure 2). However, *mcr* genes implicated in the colistin-transferable resistance mechanism were not detected (Figure S2).

3.4. Virulence determinants characterization

Overall, the *E. coli* isolates (29/30) showed a high virulence gene content (Figure S3). All C/T-susceptible *E. coli* isolates (96.7%, 29/30) presented a high number of virulence loci (n=11-26), and these were longer in the ST131-*E. coli* isolates (n=16-22). In the *E. marmotae* strain with a C/T-resistant phenotype (isolate 6-77), only the *iro* virulence locus (*iroB, iroC, iroD, iroE, iroN*) was detected (Figure S3).

Virulence determinants were identified in a lower number of *Klebsiella* spp. isolates (62.8%, 49/78) (47 *K. pneumoniae*, 1 *K. aero-genes* and 1 *K. oxytoca*) (Figure S4). Seven distinct ICEKp lineages [ICEKp3 (n=7), ICEKp4 (n=15), ICEKp5 (n=1), ICEKp10 (n=5), ICEKp11 (n=2), ICEKp12 (n=7), ICEKp-unknown (n=9)] were assigned to 46 (59%, 46/78) *Klebsiella* spp. isolates (45 *K. pneumo*-

niae and 1 *K. aerogenes*) in which *ybt* (iron-scavenging siderophore yersiniabactin) (58.9%, 46/78) and *clb* (genotoxin colibactin) (7.5%, 6/78) virulence determinants were identified (Figure S4). Virulence loci encoding aerobactin (*iuc*) and salmochelin (*iro*) siderophore synthesis, in addition with hypermucoidy genes (rmpA, rmpA2), were also detected in two other *K. pneumoniae* strains (2.5%, 2/78) (Figure S4). Moreover, *ybt, clb, iuc, iro* and *rmpA* genes were more frequently detected in *K. pneumoniae* isolates that carried carbapenemase-encoding genes (OR=4.0; 95%CI=1.1-18.5; *P*=0.02). However, correlation between these virulence loci and the resistance to C/T was not established (OR=2.1; 95%CI=0.8-5.9; *P*=0.16).

4. DISCUSSION

In this work, WGS was used to study the epidemiological and microbiological characteristics of a representative collection of MDR-Enterobacterales isolates recovered from ICU patients admitted to Portuguese Hospitals as a part of the multicenter STEP study [18]. Implementation of the WGS-based approach for the prediction of antibiotic resistance phenotypes is challenging for clinical laboratories. However, although genome sequencing may be a rapid and cost-effective method to characterize MDR pathogens, the effectiveness between genotype-to-phenotype relationship is still uncertain [3]. Some studies have demonstrated a high concordance between the conventional antimicrobial susceptibility methods and the in silico antimicrobial resistance phenotype prediction using WGS [6,7]. In this work, a very accurate correlation was established between both methods in Klebsiella spp., but relevant discrepancies were observed in E. coli isolates. Moreover, in concordance with other studies [30], carbapenemase-encoding genes were not detected by the sequencing method in a low proportion of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales isolates and other resis-

Downloaded for Ana Quininha (ana.quininha@chlc.min-saude.pt) at Hospital Centre of Central Lisbon from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on December 07, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

tance mechanisms, such as mutations in resistance genes affecting permeability (i.e. *ompK35*, *ompK36* and *ompK37*, *ramR* and *acrR* genes), could also be involved (data not shown). In addition, resistance to colistin was phenotypically detected in 9% of *Klebsiella* spp. isolates, although transferable genes (i.e. *mcr-1*) related to this resistance mechanism were not identified based on the genome analysis. Resistance to colistin due to chromosomal mutations has been largely demonstrated in Enterobacterales isolates, but acquisition and dissemination of *mcr*-encoding genes plasmid-mediated colistin-resistance is also increasing [31]. However, surveillance studies performed in Denmark to detect the *mcr-1* gene in ESBL-, , carbapenemase- and AmpC-producing *E. coli* isolates using WGS have demonstrated that the prevalence of this gene is very low [32].

According to our previous study, C/T exhibited a good overall spectrum activity against non-carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales isolates [18]. In the present work, correlation between carbapenemase detection using WGS and resistance to C/T was demonstrated in *Klebsiella* spp. isolates, particularly in KPC-3producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates. In addition, ESBL production was also identified (37.5%) among non-carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella* spp. isolates with a C/T-resistant phenotype, although correlation was not established. In the *E. coli* collection, conclusive results were not found, probably because the STEP study did not include a high enough number of *E. coli* isolates harboring a carbapenemase gene and/or with a C/T-tazobactam-resistance phenotype.

Overall, concordant with previous data in Portugal [12,13], KPC-3-producing K. pneumoniae isolates were the predominant MDR-Enterobacterales pathogen in ICU patients during the STEP study. A great diversity of K. pneumoniae high-risk clones was observed associated with the KPC-3 carbapenemase, including some lineages first reported in Portuguese Hospitals (ST13, ST34, ST405, ST1563, ST4331) [13]. The most common carbapenemase-K. pneumoniae clone in this study was ST13-KPC-3, recently detected in Argentina associated also with KPC-3 production [33]. ST13-K. pneumoniae lineage has also been identified associated with the OXA-48 carbapenemase in clinical samples in Ireland [34] and Finland [35], and in ecological niches in Algeria [36]. The nosocomial dissemination of the ST405-K. pneumoniae clone has been widely detected related to different carbapenemases in other South European countries, such as Spain [37,38] and Italy [39]. According to Portuguese reports, other K. pneumoniae clones detected in the STEP study have been previously related not only with hospital outbreaks (ST45-KPC-3-K. pneumoniae), but also with non-hospitalized patients (ST231-KPC-3-K. pneumoniae) [12]. To the best of our knowledge this is also the first description in Portugal of the ST17 and ST215 K. pneumoniae clones producing OXA-181 and OXA-48 carbapenemases, respectively. Interestingly, the ST17-K. pneumoniae clone had been previously identified in Portugal but not in association with carbapenemase production [12]. The ST17-OXA-181-K. pneumoniae clone description has only been performed in hospitals from Singapore [40] and as a cause of nosocomial outbreaks in South Africa [41].

Notably in this study, carbapenemase production in *Klebsiella* spp. isolates was significantly related to the detection of the virulence genes, *ybt, clb, iuc, iro and rmpA* that have been previously associated with hypervirulent and invasive *K. pneumoniae* infections [28,29]. Regarding the ESBL-*K. pneumoniae* isolates, a greater diversity of clones was observed in the STEP collection than in other studies performed in Portugal [9]. These findings reveal that the global spread of both carbapenemase- and ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* is also happening in departments of Portuguese hospitals with a higher risk of infection, such as the ICU.

ST131 was the most common *E. coli* clone detected in ICU patients during the STEP study. In Portugal, the worldwide disseminated ST131-H30 high-risk clone was previously identified

not only in fecal carriers related to CTX-M-15 and CTX-M-27 enzymes [8], but also in clinical isolates producing KPC-21 [12]. The high virulence profile of these isolates combined with the resistance to fluoroquinolones and more recently to β -lactams antibiotics have played a critical role in the dissemination of the highrisk clone ST131-H30 sublineage, and are currently the most common extra-intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC) clone [42]. In the present study, ST131-H30 was the most common *E. coli* sublineage, and CTX-M-15-producing ST131-H30-Rx was the predominant subclone, followed by CTX-M-27-producing ST131-H30.

In conclusion, KPC-3-producing *K. pneumoniae* and CTX-M-15-producing ST131-H30Rx-*E. coli* are the most common MDR-Enterobacterales causing infections in ICU patients from Portuguese Hospitals. Moreover, carbapenemase-encoding genes are associated with C/T resistance in *Klebsiella* spp., but other mechanisms may also be involved in other species such as *E. coli*. WGS is a powerful tool for the typing characterization and study of the resistome and virulome of these nosocomial pathogens. However, the ability to establish genotype-to-phenotype relationships in MDR-Enterobacterales isolates may still be limited for an appropriate genome sequencing clinical application.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The STEP Study Group includes the following members: José Melo-Cristino, Serviço de Microbiologia Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte, Lisboa, Portugal; Margarida F. Pinto, Cristina Marcelo, Helena Peres, Isabel Lourenço, Isabel Peres, João Marques, Odete Chantre, Teresa Pina, Laboratório de Microbiologia, Serviço de Patologia Clínica, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Central, Lisboa, Portugal; Elsa Gonçalves, Cristina Toscano. Laboratório de Microbiologia Clínica Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Lisboa, Portugal; Valquíria Alves, Serviço de Microbiologia, Unidade Local de Saúde de Matosinhos, Matosinhos, Portugal; Manuela Ribeiro, Eliana Costa, Ana Raquel Vieira, Serviço Patologia Clínica, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto, Portugal; Sónia Ferreira, Raquel Diaz, Elmano Ramalheira, Serviço Patologia Clínica, Hospital Infante Dom Pedro, Aveiro, Portugal; Sandra Schäfer, Luísa Tancredo, Luísa Sancho, Serviço de Patologia Clínica, Hospital Prof. Dr. Fernando Fonseca, Amadora, Portugal; Ana Rodrigues, José Diogo, Serviço de Microbiologia, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Almada, Portugal; Rui Ferreira, Serviço de Patologia Clínica - Microbiologia - CHUA - Unidade de Portimão, Portugal; Helena Ramos, Tânia Silva, Daniela Silva, Servico de Microbiologia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Porto, Porto, Portugal; Catarina Chaves, Carolina Queiroz, Altair Nabiev, Serviço de Microbiologia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; Leonor Pássaro, Laura Paixao, João Romano, Carolina Moura. MSD Portugal, Paço de Arcos, Portugal. María García del Castillo, Sergio García-Fernández, Marta Hernández-García and Rafael Cantón, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain. We also thank Mrs. Mary Harper for English correction of the manuscript.

Funding

The study was funded by MSD Portugal (protocol VP6918) and supported by Plan Nacional de I+D+i 2013-2016 and Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Redes y Centros de Investigación Cooperativa, Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad, Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (RD16/0016/0011) cofinanced by European Development Regional Fund "A way to achieve Europe" (ERDF), Operative program Intelligent Growth 2014-2020.

Downloaded for Ana Quininha (ana.quininha@chlc.min-saude.pt) at Hospital Centre of Central Lisbon from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on December 07, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Competing Interests

Dr. Rafael Canton has participated in educational programmes organized by MSD and Pfizer. Dra. Margarida F. Pinto had a travel grant for ECCMID-2019 from MSD Portugal. Leonor Pássaro, Laura Paixão and João Romano are MSD Portugal employees and/or may hold stock options in Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA. The other authors have no conflict of interests.

Ethical Approval

Not required

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020. 106259.

REFERENCES

- Tagini F, Greub G. Bacterial genome sequencing in clinical microbiology: a pathogenoriented review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2017;36:2007–20. doi:10.1007/s10096-017-3024-6.
- [2] Ruppé E, Cherkaoui A, Lazarevic V, Emonet S, Schrenzel J. Establishing genotype-to-phenotype relationships in bacteria causing hospital-acquired pneumonia: A prelude to the application of clinicalmetagenomics. Antibiotics 2017;6:1–15. doi:10.3390/antibiotics6040030.
- [3] Schürch AC, van Schaik W. Challenges and opportunities for whole-genome sequencing-based surveillance of antibiotic resistance. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2017;1388:108–20. doi:10.1111/nyas.13310.
- [4] Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, Pankhurst L, de Maio N, Moore CE, Sebra R, et al. Evolutionary history of the global emergence of the Escherichia coli epidemic clone ST131. MBio 2016;7:1–15. doi:10.1128/mBio.02162-15.
- [5] Ruppé E, Olearo F, Pires D, Baud D, Renzi G, Cherkaoui A, et al. Clonal or not clonal? Investigating hospital outbreaks of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae with wholegenome sequencing. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23:470–5. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2017.01.015.
- [6] Tyson GH, McDermott PF, Li C, Chen Y, Tadesse DA, Mukherjee S, et al. WGS accurately predicts antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015;70:2763–9. doi:10.1093/jac/dkv186.
- [7] Stoesser N, Batty EM, Eyre DW, Morgan M, Wyllie DH, Del Ojo Elias C, et al. Predicting antimicrobial susceptibilities for Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates using whole genomic sequence data. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013;68:2234–44. doi:10.1093/jac/dkt180.
- [8] Aires-de-Sousa M, Lopes E, Gonçalves ML, Pereira AL, Machado e Costa A, de Lencastre H, et al. Intestinal carriage of extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae at admission in a Portuguese hospital. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2020;39(4):783–90. doi:10.1007/s10096-019-03798-3.
- [9] Rodrigues C, Machado E, Ramos H, Peixe L, Novais Â. Expansion of ESBLproducing Klebsiella pneumoniae in hospitalized patients: A successful story of international clones (ST15, ST147, ST336) and epidemic plasmids (IncR, IncFIIK). Int J Med Microbiol 2014;304:1100–8. doi:10.1016/j.ijmm.2014. 08.003.
- [10] Mendonça N, Leitão J, Manageiro V, Ferreira E, Caniça M. Spread of extendedspectrum βlactamase CTX-M-producing Escherichia coli clinical isolates in community and nosocomial environments in Portugal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:1946–55. doi:10.1128/AAC.01412-06.
- [11] Viau R, Frank KM, Jacobs MR, Wilson B, Kaye K, Donskey CJ, et al. Intestinal carriage of carbapenemase-producing organisms: Current status of surveillance methods. Clin Microbiol Rev 2016;29:1–27. doi:10.1128/CMR.00108-14.
- [12] Manageiro V, Romão R, Moura IB, Sampaio DA, Vieira L, Ferreira E, et al. Molecular epidemiology and risk factors of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae isolates in Portuguese hospitals: Results From European survey on carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (EuSCAPE). Front Microbiol 2018;9:1–8. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02834.
- [13] Manageiro V, Ferreira E, Almeida J, Barbosa S, Simões C, Bonomo RA, et al. Predominance of KPC-3 in a survey for carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Portugal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:3588–92. doi:10. 1128/AAC.05065-14.
- [14] van Duin D, Bonomo RA. Ceftazidime/avibactam and ceftolozane/tazobactam: Secondgeneration β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor combinations. Clin Infect Dis 2016;63:234–41. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw243.
- [15] Pfaller MA, Shortridge D, Sader HS, Gales A, Castanheira M, Flamm RK. Ceftolozane-tazobactam activity against drug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa causing healthcare-associated infections in Latin America: report from an antimicrobial surveillance program (2013–2015). Brazilian J Infect Dis 2017;21:627–37. doi:10.1016/jj.bjid.2017.06.008.

- [16] Farrell DJ, Sader HS, Flamm RK, Jones RN. Ceftolozane/tazobactam activity tested against Gram-negative bacterial isolates from hospitalised patients with pneumonia in US and European medical centres (2012). Int J Antimicrob Agents 2014;43:533–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.01.032.
- [17] Bouxom H, Fournier D, Bouiller K, Hocquet D, Bertrand X. Which noncarbapenem antibiotics are active against extended-spectrum β-lactamaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae? Int J Antimicrob Agents 2018;52:100–3. doi:10. 1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.03.014.
- [18] García-Fernández S, García-Castillo M, Melo-Cristino J, Pinto MF, Gonçalves E, Alves V, et al. In vitro activity of ceftolozane-tazobactam against Enterobacterales and Pseudomonas aeruginosa causing urinary, intra-abdominal and lower respiratory tract infections in intensive care units in Portugal: The STEP multicenter study. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;55(3):10588. doi:10.1016/j. ijantimicag.2020.105887.
- [19] Bankevich A., Nurk S., Antipov D., Gurevich A.A., Dvorkin M., Kulikov A.S., et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing 2012;19:455–77. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- [20] Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: Ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. Genome Biol 2014;15:R46. doi:10.1186/ gb-2014-15-3-r46.
- [21] Seemann T. Prokka: Rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 2014;30:2068–9. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.
- [22] Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, et al. Mash: Fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. Genome Biol 2016;17:1–14. doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0997-x.
- [23] Beghain J, Bridier-Nahmias A, Le Nagard H, Denamur E, Clermont O. ClermonTyping: An easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia genus strain phylotyping. Microb Genomics 2018;4:1–8. doi:10.1099/mgen.0. 000192.
- [24] Matsumura Y, Pitout JDD, Gomi R, Matsuda T, Noguchi T, Yamamoto M, et al. Global Escherichia coli sequence type 131 clade with blaCTX-M-27 gene. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22:1900–7. doi:10.3201/eid2211.160519.
- [25] Wick RR, Heinz E, Holt KE, Wyres KL. Kaptive web: User-friendly capsule and lipopolysaccharide serotype prediction for Klebsiella genomes. J Clin Microbiol 2018;56:e00197. doi:10.1128/JCM.00197-18.
- [26] Wyres KL, Wick RR, Gorrie C, Jenney A, Follador R, Thomson NR, et al. Identification of Klebsiella capsule synthesis loci from whole genome data. Microb Genomics 2016;2:e000102. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000102.
- [27] Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, Page AJ, Parkhill J, Keane JA, et al. ARIBA: Rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. Microb Genomics 2017;3:e000131. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000131.
- [28] Lam MMC, Wick RR, Wyres KL, Gorrie CL, Judd LM, Jenney AWJ, et al. Genetic diversity, mobilisation and spread of the yersiniabactin-encoding mobile element ICEKp in Klebsiella pneumoniae populations. Microb Genomics 2018;4:e000196. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000196.
- [29] Lam MMC, Wyres KL, Judd LM, Wick RR, Jenney A, Brisse S, et al. Tracking key virulence loci encoding aerobactin and salmochelin siderophore synthesis in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Genome Med 2018;10:1–15. doi:10.1186/ s13073-018-0587-5.
- [30] Pecora ND, Li N, Allard M, Li C, Albano E, Delaney M, et al. Genomically informed surveillance for carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae in a health care system. MBio 2015;6:1–11. doi:10.1128/mBio.01030-15.
- [31] Stefaniuk EM, Tyski S. Colistin resistance in Enterobacterales strains -A current view. Polish J Microbiol 2019;68:417–27. doi:10.33073/pjm-2019-055.
- [32] Hasman H, Hammerum AM, Hansen F, Hendriksen RS, Olesen B, Agersø Y, et al. Detection of mcr-1 encoding plasmid-mediated colistin-resistant escherichia coli isolates from human bloodstream infection and imported chicken meat, Denmark 2015. Eurosurveillance 2015;20:1–5. doi:10.2807/ 1560-7917.ES.2015.20.49.30085.
- [33] Cejas D, Elena A, Guevara Nuñez D, Sevilla Platero P, De Paulis A, Magariños F, et al. Changing epidemiology of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in Argentina: Emergence of hypermucoviscous ST25 and high-risk clone ST307. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2019;18:238–42. doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2019.06.005.
- [34] Wrenn C, O'Brien D, Keating D, Roche C, Rose L, Ronayne A, et al. Investigation of the first outbreak of OXA-48-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in Ireland. J Hosp Infect 2014;87:41–6. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2014.03.001.
- [35] Österblad M, Kirveskari J, Hakanen AJ, Tissari P, Vaara M, Jalava J. Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Finland: The first years (2008-11). J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67:2860-4. doi:10.1093/jac/ dks299.
- [36] Mairi A, Pantel A, Ousalem F, Sotto A, Touati A, Lavigne JP. OXA-48producing Enterobacterales in different ecological niches in Algeria: Clonal expansion, plasmid characteristics and virulence traits. J Antimicrob Chemother 2019;74:1848–55. doi:10.1093/jac/dkz146.
- [37] Hernández-García M, Pérez-Viso B, Carmen Turrientes M, Díaz-Agero C, López-Fresneña N, Bonten M, et al. Characterization of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae from colonized patients in a university hospital in Madrid, Spain, during the R-GNOSIS project depicts increased clonal diversity over time with maintenance of high-risk clones. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018;73:3039– 43. doi:10.1093/jac/dky284.
- [38] Oteo J, Ortega A, Bartolomé R, Bou G, Conejo C, Fernández-Martínez M. Prospective multicenter study of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae from 83 hospitals in Spain reveals high in vitro susceptibility to colistin and meropenem. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:3406–12. doi:10.1128/ AAC.00086-15.

Downloaded for Ana Quininha (ana.quininha@chlc.min-saude.pt) at Hospital Centre of Central Lisbon from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on December 07, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

M. Hernández-García, S. García-Fernández, M. García-Castillo et al.

- [39] Del Franco M, Paone L, Novati R, Giacomazzi CG, Bagattini M, Galotto C, et al. Molecular epidemiology of carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae in Valle d'Aosta region, Italy, shows the emergence of KPC-2 producing Klebsiella pneumoniae clonal complex 101 (ST101 and ST1789). BMC Microbiol 2015;15:1–9. doi:10.1186/s12866-015-0597-z.
- [40] Balm MND, Ngan G, Jureen R, Lin RTP, Teo JWP. OXA-181-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae establishing in Singapore. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:1. doi:10.1186/ 1471-2334-13-58.
- [41] Strydom KA, Chen L, Kock MM, Stoltz AC, Peirano G, Nobrega DB, et al. Klebsiella pneumoniae ST307 with OXA-181: threat of a high-risk clone and promiscuous plasmid in a resource-constrained healthcare setting. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020;75(4):896–902. doi:10.1093/jac/dkz550.
- [42] Pitout JDD, DeVinney R. Escherichia coli ST131: A multidrug-resistant clone primed for global domination. F1000Research 2017;6:1–7. doi:10.12688/ f1000research.10609.1.