

Ricardo de Oliveira Dart, *Brazil*  
Ricardo Simão Diniz Dalmolin, *Brazil*  
Roza Orozakunova, *Kyrgyzstan*  
Sergio Radic, *Chile*  
Shishkov Toma, *Bulgaria*  
Skye Angela Wills, *United States of America*  
Stephen Roecker, *United States of America*  
Susana Valle, *Chile*  
Suzann Kienast-Brown, *United States of America*  
Svitlana Nakisko, *Ukraine*  
Thomas W. Kuyper, *Netherlands*  
Vadym Solovei, *Ukraine*  
Vasenev Ivan, *Russian Federation*  
Vasyl Cherlinka, *Ukraine*  
Veronica Reynoso De La Mora, *Mexico*  
Vitalii Lebed, *Ukraine*  
William Andrés Cardona, *Colombia*  
Xiaoyuan Geng, *Canada*  
Yan Li, *China*  
Ying Zhang, *China*  
Yiyi Sulaeman, *Indonesia*  
Yurii Zalavskiy, *Ukraine*  
Yusuf Yigini, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*  
Yusuke Takata, *Japan*  
Zheng Sun, *China*

### **Chapter 3.** **Status and challenges of black soils**

Lead Authors:

**Pavel Krasilnikov**, *Russian Federation*

Contributing Authors:

**Ademir Fontana**, *Brazil*  
**Ahmad Landi**, *Islamic Republic of Iran*  
**Ahmet R. Mermut**, *Canada*  
**Beata Labaz**, *Poland*  
**Bożena Smreczak**, *Poland*  
**Carlos Roberto Pinheiro**, *Brazil*  
**Cornelius Wilhelm (Cornie) Van Huyssteen**, *South Africa*  
**Curtis Monger**, *United States of America*  
**Flávio Pereira de Oliveira**, *Brazil*  
**Héctor J. M. Morras**, *Argentina*  
**Hussam HAG Husein**, *Syrian Arab Republic*  
**Jorge Ivelic-Sáez**, *Chile*  
**Kathia Peralta**, *Mexico*  
**Lei Wang**, *China*  
**Lúcia Helena Cunha dos Anjos**, *Brazil*  
**Luís Antônio Coutrim dos Santos**, *Brazil*  
**Marco Pfeiffer**, *Chile*  
**Marcos Gervasio Pereira**, *Brazil*

**Martha Marina Bolanos-Benavides**, *Colombia*  
**Miguel Angel Taboada**, *Argentina*  
**Milton César Costa Campos**, *Brazil*  
**Ricardo Simão Diniz Dalmolin**, *Brazil*  
**Roza Orozakunova**, *Kyrgyzstan*  
**Sergejus Ustinov**, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*  
**Sergio Radic**, *Chile*  
**Susana Valle**, *Chile*  
**Thomas W. Kuyper**, *Netherlands*  
**Vasyl Cherlinka**, *Ukraine*  
**Wilian Demetrio**, *Brazil*  
**William Andrés Cardona**, *Colombia*  
**Ying Zhang**, *China*  
**Yuriy Dmytruk**, *Ukraine*  
**Yusuke Takata**, *Japan*

### **Chapter 4.** **Sustainable management of black soils: from practices to policies**

Lead Authors:

**Luca Montanarella**, *Joint Research Centre, European Commission*

**William Bill May**, *Canada*

**Yuxin Tong**, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*

Contributing Authors:

**Ademir Fontana**, *Brazil*  
**Anatoly Klimanov**, *Russian Federation*  
**Anna Kontoboytseva**, *Russian Federation*  
**Arcangelo Loss**, *Brazil*  
**Bayarsukh Noov**, *Mongolia*  
**Beata Labaz**, *Poland*  
**Bożena Smreczak**, *Poland*  
**Cai Hongguang**, *China*  
**Carlos Clerici**, *Uruguay*  
**Carolina Olivera Sanchez**, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*  
**Deliang Peng**, *China*  
**Elena Timofeeva**, *Russian Federation*  
**Élvio Giasson**, *Brazil*  
**Enkhtuya Bazarradnaa**, *Mongolia*  
**Fan Wei**, *China*  
**Fernando Fontes**, *Uruguay*  
**Gonzalo Pereira**, *Uruguay*  
**Hakkı Emrah Erdogan**, *Türkiye*  
**Hussam Hag Husein**, *Syrian Arab Republic*  
**Ievgen Skrylnyk**, *Ukraine*  
**Jaroslava Sobocká**, *Slovakia*  
**Jianhua Qu**, *China*  
**Jihong Liu Clarke**, *Norway*  
**Jingkuan Wang**, *China*

Jiubo Pei, *China*  
Julia Franco Stuchi, *Brazil*  
Konyushkova Maria, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*  
Leandro Souza da Silva, *Brazil*  
Liang Yao, *China*  
Lyudmila Vorotyntseva, *Ukraine*  
Mamytkanov Sovetbek, *Kyrgyzstan*  
Martha Marina Bolaños-Benavides, *Colombia*  
Martin Entz, *Canada*  
Maryna Zakharova, *Ukraine*  
Mervin St. Luce, *Canada*  
Michael P. Schellenberg, *Canada*  
Mike Schellenberg, *Canada*  
Mykola Miroschnichenko, *Ukraine*  
Nicholas Clarke, *Norway*  
Nuntapon Nongharnpitak, *Thailand*  
Nyamsambuu N., *Mongolia*  
Orozakunova Roza Tursunovna, *Kyrgyzstan*  
Patricia Carfagno, *Argentina*  
Ricardo Bergamo Schenato, *Brazil*  
Selim Kapur, *University of Çukurova, Türkiye*  
Shuming Wan, *China*  
Siri Dybdal, *Norway*  
Sviatoslav Baliuk, *Ukraine*  
Thomas W. Kuyper, *Netherlands*  
Toma Angelov Shishkov, *Bulgaria*  
Vern Baron, *Canada*  
Victoria Hetmanenko, *Ukraine*  
William Andrés Cardona, *Colombia*  
Xiangru Xu, *China*  
Xiaoyu Liu, *China*  
Xiaoyuan Geng, *Canada*  
Xingzhu Ma, *China*  
Xueli Chen, *China*  
Ying Zhang, *China*

## **Chapter 5.**

### **Conclusions and recommendations**

Lead Authors:

Ronald Vargas Rojas, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*  
Rosa Cuevas Corona, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*

Contributing Authors:

Yuxin Tong, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*

Reviewers:

**Intergovernmental Technical Panel on Soils (ITPS)**  
Lúcia Helena Cunha dos Anjos, *Brazil*

**Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)**

Carolina Sanchez Olivera, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*

Lifeng Li, *FAO*

Natalia Eugenio Rodriguez, *FAO-Global Soil Partnership*

Nora Berrahmouni, *FAO*

Sasha KooOshima, *FAO*

**International Network of Black Soils (INBS)**

Ademir Fontana, *Brazil*

Baoguo Li, *China*

Bozena Smreczak, *Poland*

Carlos Clérico, *Uruguay*

Chandra Risal, *Nepal*

Enkhtuya Bazarradnaa, *Mongolia*

Giorgi Ghambashidze, *Georgia*

Hakkı Emrah Erdogan, *Türkiye*

Hussam Hag Mohame Husein, *Syrian Arab Republic*

Ivan Vasenev, *Russian Federation*

Jie Liu, *China*

Jose da Graca Tomo, *Mozambique*

Kutaiba M. Hassan, *Iraq*

Luca Montanarella, *Joint Research Centre, European Commission*

Marcos Esteban Angelini, *Argentina*

Mario Guevara Santamaria, *Mexico*

Markosyan Albert, *Armenia*

Martin Saksa, *Bulgaria*

Matshwene E. Moshia III, *South Africa*

Mykola Miroschnychenko, *Ukraine*

Napoleón Ordóñez Delgado, *Colombia*

Pachikin Konstantin, *Kazakhstan*

Rachid Moussadek, *Morocco*

Rodica Sirbu, *Republic of Moldova*

Rodrigo Patricio Osorio Hermosilla, *Chile*

Roza Orozakunova, *Kyrgyzstan*

Skye Angela Wills, *United States of America*

Stalin Sichinga, *Zambia*

Sunsanee Arunyawat, *Thailand*

Tamás Hermann, *Hungary*

Toma Shishkov, *Bulgaria*

Tusheng Ren, *China*

Xiaoyuan Geng, *Canada*

Yakov Kuzyakov, *Germany*

Yiyi Sulaeman, *Indonesia*



© Yuxin Tong

## 4. Sustainable management of black soils: from practices to policies

### 4.1 Good practices to address sustainable management of black soils

In the coming decades, a crucial challenge for humanity will be meeting future food demands without further undermining the integrity of the Earth's environmental systems. As the food basket of the world, black soils are already degraded significantly after land use change from natural ecosystem to farmland, but main soil threats such as erosion by water and wind, loss of soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil organic matter (SOM), and nutrient imbalance will further endanger its ecosystem service functions. For example, during the past several decades, black soils have lost about 50 percent of their initial SOC stock due to soil erosion, degradation, and other unsustainable human activities (Gollany *et al.*, 2011). Responding to these threats, there is increasing focus on 'Sustainable Soil Management' as a means to maintain or increase productivity on underperforming black soils while simultaneously decreasing the environmental impacts of management practices. However, it is unclear what such efforts might entail for the future of intergraded management practices and policy strategies for black soil conservation. Here we present a global scale assessment of sustainable management practices of black soils that may be necessary to achieve increased yields and decreased environmental impact.

## 18 | Sinograin II: Technological innovation to support environmentally – friendly food production and food safety under a changing climate – opportunities and challenges for Norway–China cooperation



Black soil project implementation, Harbin China, May 2022.

The Sinograin II project, a collaborative project between Norway and China, aims to exploit smart agricultural technologies to improve the sustainability of agriculture in China. Black soils in the north-eastern region of China are very fertile and are mainly used for grain production (Dybdal 2019, 2020), and have been described as a “giant panda in cultivated land”. However, the intensive agricultural production, the lack of sustainable soil management practices and the excessive use of fertilizers have led to a decline in their fertility. With the aim of restoring these soils and implementing climate-smart agrotechnologies such as precision fertilization and APP-based tools for sustainable production systems, scientists from the Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences (HAAS), Nanjing Agricultural University and other Chinese partners are collaborating on the Sinograin II project. This project, which is financed by the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and a total budget of NOK 18.8 million, seeks to apply innovative technologies that contribute to food security and the environment in China. Sinograin II is mainly based on precision nitrogen management technologies, automatic pest prediction to improve pesticide use efficiency, influence of innovative farming technologies, management of nutrient inputs for sustainable food production, and research on the agro-tech extension and household adoption of precision agricultural technology and its economic, social and environmental effects. One technology being developed for black soils in the Heilongjiang region is the validation of a digital soil health card (SHC). This card is easy for farmers to use and makes use of soil health information such as soil structure and number of earthworms in the soil, among other parameters. Using this card allows farmers to make decisions on nutrient and fertilizer requirements for individual farms in order to improve the productivity of their crops while maintaining healthy soils. An APP-based SHC is under development and shall be tested in the near future. The development and exploitation of these climate-smart tools promotes and contributes to the protection, restoration and sustainable management of black soils.

### 4.1.1 Tillage

To reduce the impact of tillage and seeding systems on soil health, the frequency (number of passes across the field that results in a soil disturbance) and intensity (mass of soil disturbed in a single pass) must be reduced. Conventional tillage (CT) usually involves a complete inversion of the soil with a moldboard or disc-plough followed by several tillage operations before seeding. For example, CT which includes moldboard plough (MP), has caused the loss of SOC and the severe soil degradation of soil structure in black soil region due to its very fine-grained feature (Sun *et al.*, 2016). Much of the tillage research has focused on physical and chemical processes under contrasting tillage systems, and found that conservation tillage increased the soil

water content, soil macroporosity and soil organic carbon content and decreased soil bulk density. A range of tillage and seeding systems have been developed to reduce the intensity and frequency with the objective of maintaining and improving soil health and crop yield. Tillage and seeding systems for conservation management tend to fall into three categories, non-inversion tillage, strip tillage and no-till, with tillage intensity and frequency decreasing as you move from non-inversion tillage to strip tillage and finally to no-till (Morris *et al.*, 2010). It is also important to recognize that complementary agronomic practices need to be used in an integrated system with tillage and seeding management practices to protect and conserve black soils (Freitas and Landers, 2014; Veum *et al.*, 2015; Nunes *et al.*, 2018).



## Non-inversion tillage

### Description of the practice

Non-inversion tillage includes crop production systems which mix crop residues with the top 8 to 10 cm of soil in the entire row and inter row area (Hayes, 1985; Morris

*et al.*, 2010). A protective quantity of residue is left on the soil surface during the year. The cultivator system, chisel system, disk system, stubble mulch system, rotary tillage system, and similar systems are all considered to be non-inversion tillage (Photo 4.1.1a).



**Photo 4.1.1a** Non-inversion tillage, Shirokiv of Ukraine

### Range of applicability

The technique is applied under a wide variety of climate conditions and crops such as soybean and maize in black soil areas. It can be potentially applied to all climatic areas and crops, in climatic zones such as warm temperate dry, warm temperate humid, cool temperate moist, and tropical wet.

### Benefits of the practice

Non-inversion tillage was found to improve many physical, chemical and biological soil properties compared to CT (Holland *et al.*, 2004). For instance, with monoculture maize in a black soil area in temperate zone of northeast China, non-inversion tillage reduced soil erosion compared to conventional tillage (Sun *et al.*, 2016). In addition, non-inversion tillage combined with maize-soybean rotation enhanced the soil microbial metabolic activity and increased the fungi-to-bacteria (F/B) ratio in the plough layer, and improved microbial biomass and abundance in the surface layer (Sun *et al.*, 2016). Amino sugar is also an essential tool for investigating the presence of microbial residues in soil, higher amino sugar content in CT improves long-term SOC stabilization in black soils more than traditionally believed (Sun *et al.*, 2016). These practices are

especially important in addressing soil erosion, SOC and biodiversity loss, and compaction.

### Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

Non-inversion tillage can reduce soil erosion compared to convention tillage, but if several tillage operations occur between cropping seasons with very little residue left on the soil surface, there is very little protection of the black soils that may lead to soil degradation.

## No-till

### Description of the practice

No-till is a system where a crop is planted directly into a seedbed that has not been tilled since harvest of the previous crop. It is a common practice used in black soil regions of the world. It is also called zero tillage, under the umbrella of conservation agriculture. The no-till operation consists of a one-pass planting and fertilizer operation in which the soil and the surface residues are minimally disturbed. No-till systems eliminate all mechanical seedbed preparation before seeding and fertilizer placement except for the opening of a narrow (2 to 3 cm wide) strip or small hole in the ground for seed placement to ensure adequate seed to soil contact. The entire soil surface is covered by crop residue,

mulch or sod. The surface residues of such a system are of critical importance for soil and water conservation. Weed control is generally achieved with herbicides

or in some cases with cover crops and crop rotation (Derpsch, 2003) (Photo 4.1.1b).



**Photo 4.1.1b** No-till maize crop, Pampas of Argentina

### Range of applicability

On black soils, particularly in grasslands of dry regions in the northern hemisphere, no-till is widely practiced for soil moisture conservation and soil erosion control (Derpsch *et al.*, 2010). Similar practices are adopted for grain production in the “pampas” region of South America, where soil erosion control is an additional objective (Díaz-Zorita, Duerte and Grove *et al.*, 2002; Alvarez *et al.*, 2009). It has also been shown that conservation tillage promotes the formation of stable macro-aggregates and contributes to black soil structure improvements in northeast China (Fan *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, a recent study assessing conservation agriculture and control of soil erosion in Brazil forecasts a continuous increase in the area with adoption of conservation agriculture by the year 2030, including no-till (Polidoro *et al.*, 2021). The exponential growth of no-till occurred on black soils with annual crops in the south region of Brazil, and crops in rotation or integrated systems with pasture and forest in the cerrado’s biome.

### Benefits of the practice

CT system, including continuous mouldboard and disc-ploughing and the removal of post-harvest residues, has caused a significant loss of SOM and serious soil degradation in several black soil regions (Follett, 2001; Alvarez *et al.*, 2009). The ongoing land degradation has threatened sustainable crop production and even national food security (Liu *et al.*, 2010). To effectively reverse the degradation of black soils, no-till has been proposed to farmers as partial replacements for CT. Positive effects of no-till on soil health parameters have been widely documented and include major reductions in soil erosion and fuel consumption, reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and enhanced water quality, biological activity, soil fertility and production stability (Pretty, 2008; Derpsch *et al.*, 2010; Lafond *et al.*, 2011a). In black soil areas, studies have also reported better soil aggregation, higher SOC, and increased potentially mineralizable nitrogen (N) in no-till soils (McConkey *et al.*, 2003; Pikul *et al.*, 2009; Malhi *et al.*, 2009; Lafond *et al.*, 2011b). Lafond *et al.*, (2011b) observed that N uptake and yields in long-term (31 years) no-till exceeded those in short-term (nine years) no-till, suggesting that even after nine years, and possibly even after 31 years, no-till soils may still be in a soil-building

phase. Higher SOC retention in no-till was observed due to fungal mediated aggregate stabilization in no-till practice in a black soil region of northeast China (Ding *et al.*, 2011). Merante *et al.*, (2017) reported annual SOC increases by zero tillage and direct drilling (also known as no-till seeding) of 0.04 to 0.45 tonnes C/ha in different countries and regions. Meanwhile, microbial biomass and nematode abundance and the alteration in their community composition at the micro-niche within aggregates could contribute to the higher SOC sequestration under no tillage (Zhang *et al.*, 2013).

The effect on no-till on crop yields vary, depending on crop species and weather conditions (Malhi and Lemke, 2007). No-till often increases crop yields and water use efficiency under dry conditions, but can result in reduced yield under wet conditions (Azooz and Arshad, 1998; Arshad, Soon and Arooz, 2002). However, in the tropical mid-latitude black soils of Brazil, no-till has been successfully implemented into a cropping system that has intense, erosive rains that occur normally at the start of the planting season (Freitas and Landers, 2014). Furthermore, studies have also showed the potential to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHS) emissions in areas converted to agriculture by using systems with legume-based crop rotations combined with no-till (Pillar, Tornquist and Bayer, 2012). No-till systems based on the use of herbicides can favor the development of glyphosate-resistant weeds, mainly in low intensity rotations (Johnson *et al.*, 2009). Sustainable management of black soils through no tillage can address main soil threats such as SOC and biological loss, erosion, compaction and nutrient imbalance.

## Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

There are environmental conditions that limit the effectiveness of the no-till seeding system. As mentioned previously, excess moisture that does not allow the seed bed and rooting zone to aerate can be problematical. Currently, the timing and length of this period of aeration is not well understood. Obviously, seeding is delayed and restricted when the seed bed is saturated during seeding. Soil temperature during germination and emergence can also be delayed in some crops such as maize (Licht and Al-Kaisi, 2005; Vyn and Raimbault, 1993), in black soil regions with temperate or cold climates.

In resource-limited cropping systems, it may take an extended period of time for the soil to reach an equilibrium before releasing a similar level of nutrients to the crop as is achieved through tillage. Reduced N mineralization under no-till may also reduce yields where N is limiting (Campbell *et al.*, 2001). Currently, organic cropping systems utilize tillage for weed control.

### No-till strip tillage

#### Description of the practice

Strip till is a tillage system that combines no-till and full tillage to produce row crops (Nowatzki, Endres and DeJong-Hughes, 2017), and is commonly implemented in the black soil areas of the world. Narrow strips 15 to 30 cm wide are tilled in crop stubble, with the area between the rows left undisturbed. Often, fertilizer is injected into the tilled area during the strip-tilling operation. The tilled strips correspond to planter row widths of the next crop, and seeds are planted directly into the tilled strips. Strip tilling normally is done in the fall after harvest, but it also can be done in the spring before planting, where global position system (GPS) guidance is usually required to create the strips and seed the row crop into the strips (Photo 4.1.1c).







© William May

**Photo 4.1.1c** Strip till, Indian Head of Canada

### Range of applicability

Strip till can be applied worldwide in arable crops, preferably on relatively flat land with poorly drained black soils. This type of tillage is performed with special equipment and can require the farmer to make multiple strips, depending on the strip-till implement used, and field conditions.

### Benefits of the practice

Strip till warms the soil and allows aerobic conditions and a better seedbed than no-till. Strip till allows the soil’s nutrients to be better match the plant’s needs, while still giving residue cover to the soil between the rows. Strip tillage reduces bulk density and soil resistance to root growth while increasing the amount of biopores and soil water filtration rate (Laufer *et al.*, 2016). It also improves soil aggregate stability (Garcia-Franco *et al.*, 2018), which all together makes soils less prone to erosion (Dick and Gregorich, 2004). Strip tillage has several advantages in protecting and conserving black soils over CT and seeding systems while maintaining grain yield in row crops. The crop residue maintained on the soil surface between the cultivated strips also maintains SOM. In conclusion, soil erosion, nutrients imbalance, and compaction can be addressed by no-till strip tillage.

### Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

Strip tillage has an advantage over no-till in environments when early seeding results in reduced soil temperatures, and delayed and reduced plant emergence compared to traditional tillage operations. Under these environmental conditions strip till removes the negative impact on yield observed in a no-till seeding system (Licht and Al-Kaisi, 2005). The effect of these depressed yields with no-till are only observed in a few crops, the predominate one being maize. This has limited the development of no-till on black soils where maize is the predominant crop.

## 4.1.2 Soil organic cover

### Cover crops

#### Description of the practice

Cover crops are defined as a “close-growing crop that provides soil protection, seeding protection, and soil improvement between periods of normal crop production, or between trees in orchards and vines in vineyards. When plowed under and incorporated into the soil, cover crops may be referred to as “green

manure crops” (SSSA, 2008). Cover crops are also called “living mulch” or “green manure”. In some cases, cover crops can remain permanently on the soil, which constitutes a living soil cover. There are many cover crop practices implemented in black soil area around the world. Typically, cover crops are grasses, legumes, brassicas or mixtures of two or more species (Jian *et al.*, 2020). In Manitoba of Canada, alfalfa, red

clover and winter pea were consistently established as spring-seeded relay crops in fall-seeded winter cereals (Thiessen Martins, Entz and Hoepfner, 2005; Cicek *et al.*, 2014; Blackshaw, Molnar and Moyer, 2010). In the Republic of Moldova, mixtures of grasses, alfalfa, steppe ryegrass and sainfoin are used as cover crop practices to improve soil quality and crop yield (Leah and Cerbari, 2015; Rusu, 2017) (Photo 4.1.1a).



**Photo 4.1.2a** Hairy vetch as cover crop, Salto, Argentina

### **Range of applicability**

Cover crops can be a good practice for sustainable black soil management and needs to be adapted to the farming system, black soil types and climate. Double cropping, the production of a second crop after the first crop has been harvested, provides an opportunity to utilize late-season moisture and heat resources after the harvest of the cash crop. Early maturing crops, including annual forages or winter cereals can provide a window of opportunity for double cropping with cover crops (Thiessen Martens and Entz, 2001).

### **Benefits of the practice**

Annually, no-till cover crops can sequester between 0.1 and 1 tonne SOC /ha relative to no-till depending on cover crop species, soil type, and precipitation

input (Merante *et al.*, 2017; Poepflau and Don, 2015). Soil cultivated with perennial grass mixture (alfalfa + ryegrass and sainfoin + ryegrass) for 4 to 6 years, led to positive changes in SOM content and favorable modification in physical and chemical properties (Leah and Cerbari, 2015). In addition, the use of cover crop systems has allowed to moderate soil moisture and near-surface air temperature (Thiessen Martins, Hoepfner and Entz, 2001; Kahimba *et al.*, 2008). This effect has implications for snowmelt infiltration, depth of frost, and probably also for pest cycles and nutrient cycling. Cover crop management increased the soil water transport and water retention in black soils, as compared with bare soil rotations (Villarreal *et al.*, 2022). In this sense, a cover crop could be a

suitable management in order to recover soils in terms of increasing of soil water transport compared with the soybean monocropping system in Mollisols in Argentina Pampas region (Villarreal *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, other studies reported that cover crops such as alfalfa, red clover and winter pea can reduce soil moisture in black soils in Canada in the case of an excessive moisture (Blackshaw, Molnar and Moyer, 2010; Kahimba *et al.*, 2008; Thiessen Martens, Hoepfner and Entz, 2001). As cover crops contribute to moisture regulation, their use can be a benefit in wet years as well as in dry years. When legumes were used as cover crops, yield benefits to the subsequent crop were observed in all studies. In addition, weed suppression was observed by the alfalfa cover crop in the study conducted in Canada in winter cereals (Blackshaw, Molnar and Moyer, 2010). In organic farming, the use of fodder mixtures as cover crop is achieved with low consumption of fertilizer and without herbicides, which also has a positive economic impact (Leah and Cerbari, 2015). In summary, cover crops are a good practice to address SOC and biodiversity loss, compaction, and soil nutrients imbalance.

### **Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption**

After the main crop has been harvested, the consistent establishment of a second crop can be difficult in many black soils regions due to extremely variable precipitation during this period (Thiessen and Entz, 2001). In southern Manitoba, researchers have successfully established a second crop after a winter cereal over several years of research; however, biomass production has been extremely variable, ranging from 95 to 2 357 kg/ha for double cropped black lentil, hairy vetch, and field pea; biomass production achieved at least 1 tonne /ha in only a few instances (Cicek *et al.*, 2014; Thiessen and Entz, 2001). Soil moisture and microclimate can be impacted by late-season cover crops. For example, if the subsequent season is

drier, then the cover crop will compete with the main crop for the available water content, thereby creating soil moisture stress. This, in turn, will affect the crop performance and yield (Kahimba *et al.*, 2008).

There is evidence that an integrated long term strategy and plan which include all relevant sectors are key to achieve cover crop management and its benefit on soil quality and production. For example, in the Republic of Moldova, perennial cover crop of grasses, alfalfa, steppe ryegrass and sainfoin are used to improve soil quality and crop production of fodder. The practice can be implemented only when at least 15 percent of agricultural land used for restoring the livestock sector and perennial grasses (Leah and Cerbari, 2015).

## **Organic mulch**

### **Description of the practice**

Organic mulch involves the application of specific material on the soil surface in order to reduce water loss and soil erosion (which is the biggest challenge of black soils management) but also to suppress weeds, reduce splashing, modify soil temperatures and generally improve crop productivity. Organic mulches would entail any material such as straw, leaves or loose soil, etc. that is spread or formed upon the surface of the soil to protect the soil or plant roots from the effects of raindrops, soil crusting, freezing, evaporation, etc. (SSSA, 2020). In the Republic of Moldova, main mulch practice is shredding and spreading plant residue uniformly on the soil surface after harvest with N fertilizers (mineral or organic) at a depth of 6 to 10 cm. Meanwhile, plowing should be performed as late as possible, especially in October and November (Rusu, 2017). In Chinese black soils, a no-till management scheme with different quantities of maize straw mulching is being implemented in the field (Yang *et al.*, 2020) (Photo 4.1.2b).



Photo 4.1.2b Organic mulch, Lajitas, Argentina

### Range of applicability

The use of mulches based on crop residues is applicable to any type of pedoclimatic context. Black soil areas with higher net primary productivity are less constrained in having enough crop residues such as maize, soybeans and wheat, to keep the soil completely covered with crop residues.

### Benefits of the practice

The use of crop residues as mulches implies several benefits on soil properties. Straw mulching significantly increased the soil water content and SOC, soil total nutrients and soil microfauna (Deng *et al.*, 2021; Yang *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, the effectiveness of mulching in reducing soil erosion was observed in Ukraine, where minimum tillage with 2.5 tonnes/ha of mulch in Mollisols increased available water, reduced runoff up to 3.8 m<sup>3</sup>/ha and improved spring barley yield by 1.6 tonnes/ha (Hospodarenko, Trus and Prokopchuk, 2012). This trial also showed that straw mulching leads to a significant decrease of weeds, thereby decreasing the use of herbicides (Sun *et al.*, 2016).

In past decades, the C balance of Mollisols in croplands has been negative due to soil erosion, degradation, and other improper management (Xu *et al.*, 2020). An application of low quantity maize stover with a high frequency of mulching in major Mollisols areas of the world, compared to low frequency maize stover mulching, lead to significant increases in yield, SOC, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total potassium. This will contribute significantly to food production and climate change regulation (Yang *et al.*, 2020). Generally, organic mulch can address the soil challenges in terms of the SOC loss, soil erosion, and nutrient imbalance.

### Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

Maintaining a low quantity but high frequency of mulch might efficiently boost soil health without compromising crop yields and as well optimize the use of stover. Applying a small quantity of stover mulching at high frequency might be sufficient for regenerative agriculture by efficiently improving soil health. When

the stover quantity was limited, using small quantities for multiple additions can regenerate more stable and active bacterial communities, and result in greater soil fertility. Hence, applying a small quantity of stover mulching at high frequency might be sufficient for regenerative agriculture by efficiently improving soil health (Yang *et al.*, 2020).

An excessive amount of crop residues in specific pedoclimatic conditions can be counterproductive for grain yield. Mulches can lead to allelopathy, a common biological phenomenon by which one organism produces biochemicals that influence the growth, survival, development, and reproduction of other organisms, that reduces crop growth, lower soil temperatures that impede fast establishment of crops (Venterea, Maharjan and Dolan, 2011), as well as exacerbation of frost damage (Snyder and Melo-Abreu, 2005).

In general, to make progress when using mulch, it is not only necessary to adopt multidisciplinary thinking and effective integration of multiple viewpoints from scientific research and practical orientation from farmers, but it is also important to include effective cooperative actions to formulate policies for more regenerative agriculture and a more promising future (Sherwood and Uphoff, 2000).

### 4.1.3 Nutrient management

While black soils are inherently fertile, with a generally high SOM content (FAO, 2020), effective nutrient management is still critical for soil health, food security and environmental protection. The loss of SOM in black soils leads to the loss of related nutrients, such as N, P and K. However, many black soils also suffer acidification processes and loss of exchangeable bases and many essential micronutrients such as Zn. If these nutrients are not replenished, the ability of black soils to produce food may be affected. Nutrient management involves using nutrients as efficiently as possible to improve productivity while protecting the environment. Nutrient management depends on soil fertility, physical and biological as well as climate conditions, but one key principle behind nutrient management is balancing soil nutrient inputs with crop requirements. Achieving this balance, in essence, will lead to increased productivity and farm profitability, while at the same time, minimize nutrient losses to the environment. It is well-known that nutrient cycling in agroecosystems is a function of

biotic (mineralization controlled by living organisms) and abiotic factors (physical or chemical including climate). Here, we describe some of the major issues and challenges related to nutrient management in black soils.

#### Manure additions

##### Description of the practice

Manure includes the excreta of animals raised for meat or other products whose chemical composition depends on the diet and the type of animal from which it originates (such as poultry, cows, sheep, horses and rabbits) and may also include the plant material (straw) used as bedding for animals. Manure can be found in liquid (liquid manure or slurry) or solid (solid manure) form. Manure can add essential plant nutrients (nitrogen, potassium, and phosphorus, collectively known as NPK) to the soil and improve soil quality. While partial substitutions of mineral fertilizers with manure can enhance crop yields, the complete replacement of mineral fertilization with manures can have detrimental effects on crop yields (Photo 4.1.3a).





**Photo 4.1.3a** Manure addition, Harbin city of China

### **Range of applicability**

The use of manure is widely practiced among different climates, crop types, and in conjunction with other techniques such as the addition of synthetic fertilizers, type of tillage, and irrigation according to various black soil regions in our world. For instance, livestock manure and crop straw are the main components of organic fertilizer sources in China (Li, Liu and Ding, 2016). In North America, biosolids and slurries from municipal and industrial sources are also used.

### **Benefits of the practice**

Manure application decreases soil bulk density, improves aggregate stability, and increases organic matter contents, phosphorus, bacterial and archaeal diversity, and infiltration in soils. Return of organic manure was a favorite measure to maintain or increase the content of SOC and its individual fractions, thus improving soil quality and crop production in black soil areas (Han *et al.*, 2006). In the long term, application of manure, whether as a stand-alone treatment, or combined with mineral fertilizers, results in increased levels of all forms of phosphorus (total, organic and mineral fraction) and soil fertility in general. This increase is most pronounced in the surface layer,

which serves as a nutrient deposition zone, in black soils of Serbia (Milić *et al.*, 2019). Inorganic fertilizer and manure amendment alter the soil bacterial and archaeal community. In black soils of northeast China, the incorporation of inorganic fertilizer and manure increase soil bacterial and archaeal diversity (Ding *et al.*, 2016). Applications of manure were not enough to significantly increase the cumulative water infiltration into the black soils although a trend towards increased infiltration and exchange cations with manure application was observed (Assefa *et al.*, 2004).

The addition of fresh or composted manure promotes the growth and yield of vegetables, grains, and forage. In the northern part of black soil area in China, the management of manure is critical to improve crop production. The optimum management for maize and wheat production was to apply chemical fertilizer and manure without irrigation, but for soybean, it was to apply fertilizer and manure with irrigation (Liu *et al.*, 2004). In the Serbian black soil area, application of farmyard manure along with inorganic fertilizer had significant effect on grain yield in the investigated periods (Milić *et al.*, 2019).

The addition of manure improves the physical conditions and availability of organic carbon to support microbial processes that regulate nitrification and methanogenesis. For instance, increasing SOC, the greenhouse effect may be alleviated by sequestering more CO<sub>2</sub> in black soils of northeast China (Han *et al.*, 2006). Legumes and green manure are important for enhancing biological processes and increasing N availability in black soils, resulting in economic and environmental benefits in Ukraine (Baliuk and Miroschnyenko, 2016). The practice can successfully address threats such as SOC and biodiversity loss, nutrient imbalance and compaction if sustainable used.

### **Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption**

Recycled organic manure along with appropriate chemical fertilizers is strongly recommended for the black soil area (Han *et al.*, 2006). The effect of manure application on soil chemical and physical properties in these soils is variable depending on soil and manure type. Salinity and sodicity assessment should be part of a monitoring programme on manured lands to ensure that soil quality and productivity are not adversely affected over the long-term by repeated manure applications (Assefa *et al.*, 2004). Addition of fresh manure should come with a caution because fast decomposition of fresh manure could produce soil warming and result in damage to plant roots. Manure adds N to soils and can lead to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, but by

replacing chemical fertilizers, manure application can mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to a certain extent (Guo *et al.*, 2013). The use of manure requires adequate logistics in terms of storage sites, avoidance of contamination of water and requires the availability of machinery. A total replacement of synthetic fertilizer sources by manure should be considered carefully, at least for agriculture that is carried out over large areas.

## **Compost application**

### **Description of the practice**

“Composting is the biological decomposition of organic materials by microorganisms under controlled, aerobic conditions to a relatively stable humus-like material called compost”. Thus, the application of compost on the soil can increase SOC and provide nutrients to soils. Well-prepared compost features a humus structure of stable aggregates and clay-humus complexes which improve the soil structure (Misra *et al.*, 2003). Through composting, biomass found on-farm can be reused, thus potentially avoid rotting and GHG emissions from crop residues, manure, leaves, etc. Compost can be made out of very different ingredients (such as manure, crop residues, biowaste and kitchen waste) and it is widely used among farmers, especially smallholder farmers. Different composting methods exist, mainly aerobic and anaerobic composting (Misra *et al.*, 2003) (Photo 4.1.3b).



© Yuxin Tong



**Photo 4.1.3b** Compost, Jinlin province of China

### **Range of applicability**

The practice can be applied in any climatic region of black soils except in extreme cold or arid environments.

### **Benefits of the practice**

Compost improves the soil structure through the formation of stable aggregates. Likewise, it regulates soil moisture and increases SOC, fertility, microbial and faunistic diversity of black soils. Consecutive applications of municipal sewage sludge composts improved the soil nutrient status, by adding slowly decomposing organic matter abundant in macronutrients (N, K and P) without causing excessive leaching of nitrate into the groundwater in black soils of Hungary (Farsang *et al.*, 2020). In Japan, the change of microbial biomass in Andosols and Chernozems was faster under the compost-compound fertilizer mixture (CCFM) than under the chemical fertilizer or control treatments. CCFM tended to enhance plant growth in both soils. Compost-compound has a soil carbon storage effect with less resultant GHG emissions, especially in Andosols (Sato *et al.*, 2022). In the Chernozem region of the Russian Federation, complex compost composed of the waste products

of the agriculture (cattle manure and plant residues) and chemical fertilizer (phosphogypsum) improved aggregation in the plough layer and decreased soil bulk density. The water and air properties of the black soils can also be optimized, which was seen from an increase in the field and total water capacity, total porosity, and soil water storage (Belyuchenko and Antonenko, 2015). Regarding soil biodiversity, compost addition led to significant improvement in the soil fertility, while there is a positive effect on the abundance of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. Compost addition play crucial roles in shaping microbial community compositions and co-occurrence networks in black soils of northeast China (Yang *et al.*, 2017).

In the aspect of yield, compared to unfertilized controls, frequent compost application resulted in higher yields. The complete use and composting of available farm biomass (such as crop residues, green waste and manure) can also reduce the rotting and thus GHG emissions. Using a good quality compost regularly (annually or for each cropping season) can reduce the need for application of chemical fertilizers. In conclusion, the compost approach is a practice that



addresses the black soil challenges such as nutrients imbalance, SOC loss, and soil biodiversity losses.

### Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

Using soil residual extractable P after ryegrass removal was high for fish-derived amendments and the commercial product, but the potential risk of P pollution needs to be considered when these are applied according to N requirements in black soils (Laos *et al.*, 2000).

An increase in the sorption potential of the organic mineral complex can decrease in the content of mobile forms of heavy metals in compost. Assessing the content of highly toxic metals in the upper layer of leached chernozem after harvesting is necessary to identify the concentration of heavy metals (Antonenko *et al.*, 2022). Composting animal manure, sewage sludge and wastes from the wine making industry and factories for soil fertilization. Application should be carried out according to the period and conditions of management (Rusu, 2017).

## Chemical and mineral fertilization

### Description of the practice

Even Black soils generally hold high soil fertility, but high intensive production pose a dangers in soil fertility reduction in those soils. Sufficient but not excessive use of fertilizers is necessary to maintain black soils. In addition, strategies that enhance SOM content and reduce overall soil degradation are vital to enhancing soil nutrient supply power in the black soil areas of the world (Campbell *et al.*, 1991, 2001; Malhi *et al.*, 2011a; 2011b; Castañeda-Martin and Montes-Pulido, 2017).

There is a wide range of nutrient sources that are used in black soil regions. The most commonly used N fertilizer source is urea. Other chemical N fertilizer sources include calcium ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulphate, urea ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia, and diammonium phosphate. Due to advances in fertilizer technology, coated fertilizer products that release nutrients slowly to the soil are also being used (such as environmentally smart nitrogen). While these coated products have shown great promise in reducing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and nitrate leaching during the growing season (Gao *et al.*, 2015; Gao *et al.*, 2018), N losses in the non-growing season may be elevated due to high residual soil mineral N content due to slow or delayed release of N (Clément *et al.*, 2020; Zvomuya *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, the associated cost and more or less inconsistent crop yield benefits have hindered widespread adoption of coated fertilizer products. In addition to this, products that utilize a urease or nitrification inhibitor are also used, but on a smaller scale (Amiro *et al.*, 2017).

Major chemical fertilizers used for P include monoammonium phosphate and superphosphate, while potassium chloride is the most widely used source of K. Sulphur (S) is applied in black soils as elemental S (the most commonly concentrated form of S), sulphate form (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) in sulphate-based fertilizers or a combination of both. S fertilizers used in black soils of Canada are ammonium sulphate, urea-ammonium sulphate, and sulphur bentonite. Other products that contain elemental S, as well as both elemental and sulphate-sulphur are also used in black soil areas such as Canada and China. In some regions, gypsum may also be used as an S source, particularly in organic production (Photo 4.1.3c).





© William May

**Photo 4.1.3c** Nitrogen and phosphate fertilizers application, Indian Head of Canada

### Range of applicability

The use of inorganic fertilizers has risen globally from 1960 to 2020. The growth of fertilizer use has been high in black soil regions of Asia. Fertilizer use increased across all the black soil regions including east Europe, northeast Asia, North America and South America. Over 80 percent of globally used fertilizers are made up of N, P and K whose 2020 cumulative demand was estimated at 115.3 million tonnes of N, 56 million tonnes of P and 36.7 million tonnes of K (FAO, 2020). For instance, chemical fertilizer is the main source of farmland nutrient input in China. In 2015, the consumption of chemical fertilizer in China reached 60.2 million tonnes, with the black soil area in the northeast accounting for 10.6 percent of the national fertilizer consumption (Li, Liu and He, 2017; Li, Liu and Ding, 2016).

### Benefits of the practice

In comparison to a few studies where SOC was revealed to maintain or decrease after long term fertilizer application in black soils, other studies showed that additional manure application and optimized macro nutrition fertilization can increase SOC (Xie *et al.*, 2014; Ding *et al.*, 2012; Abrar *et al.*, 2020; Manojlović *et al.*, 2008; Russell *et al.*, 2005). Fertilizers also play

a crucial role in improving the soil chemical properties and processes replacing deficient nutrients (macro and micronutrients). Among other functions, the basic cations ( $K^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ) that are added with fertilizers are crucial for managing soil acidification. High ammoniacal nitrogen and sulfate fertilizers produce acidic reactions with a neutralizing effect on soil alkalinity.

Mineral fertilizer affects the physical properties of black soils. A combination of mineral fertilizers and organic manure and biochar can enhance the sequestration of SOC and improve soil physical environment such as aggregation and compared to the pure organic manure and mineral fertilizers in black soil regions (Chen *et al.*, 2010; Campbell *et al.*, 1986). It is important to note that in most cases inclusion of organic resources like manure resulted in better physical properties compared to mineral fertilizers.

Most of the biological benefits of fertilizer, including the micro, meso and macrofauna activities and processes are associated with SOM (Haynes and Naidu, 1998). Long-term studies have shown that application of NP and NPK fertilizers in addition to secondary and micronutrients reduce soil fungal, bacteria biodiversity and changed community composition in black soils of China (Zhou *et al.*, 2016; Wei *et al.*, 2008).

Globally, over 40 percent of crop yield is attributable to inorganic fertilizer nutrient inputs (Stewart *et al.*, 2005). The grain yield of most cereals could be doubled by applying the recommended rates of macronutrients as mineral fertilizers as well as in black soil regions (Pepo, Vad and Berényi, 2006; Kostić *et al.*, 2021; Campbell *et al.*, 2001; Liu *et al.*, 2001).

Sustainable use of mineral fertilization can address nutrient imbalance issues of black soils, but also can ease threats such as SOC loss and biodiversity losses if sustainably used.

### **Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption**

Due to the dynamic nature of N, its content is highly variable in both space and time. For example, soil nitrate-N measured after harvest in fall autumn over a 12 year period in a semi-arid environment in the Canadian black soils varied from 21 to 44 kg N/ha, thereby significantly affecting N fertilizer application rates (St. Luce *et al.*, 2020). In black soils of northeast China, a study found that high levels of N fertilizer, 190 Kg N/ha and above, applied in the spring resulted in a large increase of soil nitrate-N at depth in the soil, demonstrating the need to manage N application rates to protect both ecological and soil health (Cai, Mi and Zhang, 2012). In addition, there is tremendous risk of acidification due to the widespread use of chemical N fertilizers. Application of nitrate-based rather than ammonium-based fertilizers can help to reduce fertilizer-induced acidity of black soils (Engel *et al.*, 2019).

An essential component of effective nutrient management is timely diagnosis of soil nutrient status. Soil testing is therefore a critical decision support tool for effective fertilizer management. It provides information on the nutrient balance and together with nutrient removal rates, will help guide fertilizer recommendations. Fertilizer application should take place at seeding or just prior to it. However, fertilizer application in late fall prior to soil freezing is quite common, especially with anhydrous ammonia (Tenuta *et al.*, 2016). Meanwhile, banding of N fertilizer has been shown to significantly reduce denitrification, ammonia volatilization, nitrate leaching, and increase N use efficiency (Gao *et al.*, 2015; Malhi *et al.*, 2001). Soil N supply is governed by N mineralization during the growing season and has proven difficult to accurately predict due to the complexity of this process, which is influenced by many biotic and abiotic factors. Nevertheless, in most countries, estimates of

N requirements for various crops are available, which vary with soil type, local climatic conditions and other factors. These recommendations need to be periodically updated to account for varietal improvements through breeding, and changes in soil and crop management.

Technological advances in equipment, software and data processing including cost-effective sampling design and predictive soil mapping using machine learning, have provided more opportunities for measuring and monitoring plant nutrient and soil fertility, and overall health of black soils. Assessments as well as high-throughput laboratory techniques can rapidly and accurately assess several soil parameters that are integral for nutrient management in black soils. However, regional calibration data has to be collected in addition to existing global spectral libraries or soil libraries from countries and regions. The development of calibrations on black soils is a valuable line of research, with much to gain in terms of monitoring their degradation due to increasing pressures for food production and climate change.

Economic, social and physical limitations can hinder the adoption and implementation of sustainable fertilizer management and 4R principles. Interactions between producers and local agronomists, certified crop advisors, or researchers are instrumental in the adoption of the principles (Amiro *et al.*, 2017). Bruulsema, Peterson and Prochnow (2019) further suggested that the adoption and implementation of the principles “depend on engagement between science and industry not only at the farm level but along the full agricultural value chain”.

In general, unlike other soils that require mineral fertilizers to be put into production, the black soils have a high fertility which means that they have high amounts of nutrients related to organic matter, such as N, P and K. In spite of this, the high production pressures that operate on black soils determines the need for nutrient replenishment, since many black soils already show signs of depleted fertility. Currently, black soils not only require adequate fertilization plans, but also the local adjustment of response models to the fertilization of the main crops produced, such as wheat and maize.

## **Biochar**

### **Description of the practice**

Biochar is a relatively recent term, used to name charred organic matter when it is applied to soil in a deliberate manner, with intent to improve soil properties and long-term carbon sequestration (Lehmann and Joseph, 2015). Pyrolysis is the most common technology employed to produce biochar. Biochar can and should

be made from biomass waste materials. In black soil regions, biochar has been produced from maize and soybean straw or woodchip, but is normally added

with manure or mineral fertilizers (Han *et al.*, 2019; Chaturika *et al.*, 2016; Yao *et al.*, 2017; Banik *et al.*, 2021) (Photo 4.1.3d).



**Photo 4.1.3d** Biochar application, Hulin city of China

### **Range of applicability**

The addition of biochar to agricultural soils is receiving much attention due to the apparent benefits to soil quality and enhanced crop yields in black soil regions, as well as the potential to gain carbon credits by active carbon sequestration. The diverse physical and chemical characteristics of biochar make it a compelling and useful substance in a variety of applications, from smallholders to large-scale farming. It should be noted that biochars vary widely in properties depending on the feedstock and production conditions, so suitable biochar should be chosen to address the specific black soil constraints for each intended application. For instance, Charcoal, also known as black carbon or biochar, to refer to its soil quality enhancing properties and to deflect the link between charcoal, fire and forest loss, is considered a major contributor to the fertility of black soils in central Amazon (Glaser and Birk, 2012).

### **Benefits of the practice**

Biochar contains organic matter and nutrients, and its addition can increase organic carbon, pH, total nitrogen, available phosphorus (P), available potassium (K) the cation-exchange capacity (CEC), readily available water (RAW) content, and decreased bulk density (BD).

In a Midwestern Mollisol of the United States of America, biochar application significantly increased soil pH, RAW content and SOC, availability of macro and micronutrients, and bulk density (Rogovska, 2014; Banik *et al.*, 2021). The manure-biochar incubation enabled biochar can stabilize the carbon and several nutrients from manure. The subsequent manure biochar mixture application to soil improved soil quality and plant nutrient availability compared to conventional manure application. In black soil region of northeast China, besides soil quality improvement by biochar amendment in terms of SOC, soil water, bulk density

and available nutrition, soil bacterial community dynamics can also be shifted by biochar input and crop straw management, for example, soil fungal abundance increased with biochar addition (Qiao *et al.*, 2020; Yao *et al.*, 2017). In Canada, biochar application is not a practical management approach for improving soil fertility and nutrient cycling in surface Chernozems. Nevertheless, co-applying biochar with NP fertilizer appears to improve soil P availability in the short-term in Raymond and Lethbridge of Canada (Romero *et al.*, 2021).

Biochars could be used to solve both environmental and agronomic challenges and further improve the sustainability of animal and crop production agriculture. Biochar application can increase grain yield by 11 to 55 percent following very high stover application rates, presumably because biochar mitigated adverse effects of allelochemicals released from the decomposing maize residue. But during a severe drought, the effect of biochar on maize yield was limited (Rogovska, 2014). The result of a three-year project showed that the application of maize-straw biochar at a rate of 15.8 and 31.5 tonnes/ha had positive effects on crop yields in black soil areas (Jin *et al.*, 2020).

Inputting biochar benefits soil health through minimizing soil threats including SOC and biodiversity loss, compaction, nutrients imbalance.

### **Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption**

Knowing the limiting factors of agricultural production in black soils of the country and understanding whether the desired results can be obtained with biochar is critical. Main characteristics of biochar must be considered when planning inputting biochar for its agronomic use, because once distributed, it cannot be removed from the soil. For example, research has indicated that application of corn straw biochar to soil at the rate of 400 g/kg can significantly increase soil pH, EC and resulted in decreases in CEC and exchangeable  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in comparison to untreated soil, then enhance soil salinization risk in black soils of northeast China (Meng *et al.*, 2021). To achieve the goals of biochar application discussed above, the choice of application methods depends on its physical and chemical properties as well as application amount.

The scale of production can be very different (medium, agricultural scale, kitchens) with very variable current costs. Optimal use of new technologies is only possible if they are rapidly adopted and widely disseminated. Although the increased yields of biochar production

may be a boon to the new agricultural technology, the high costs can be a deterrent. As a result, before the widespread promotion of biochar systems, it is important to investigate the economic implications of biochar systems compared to conventional systems. The cost of using biochar in agriculture depends on the biochar application rate, the cost associated with transporting the biochar from the production plant to the experimental field and the value that can be derived from the energy produced.

### **4.1.4 Crop diversification**

Many areas covered by black soils are managed under extensive agriculture, based on two or three annual crops (such as wheat, maize or soybeans). This trend to limited crop diversity resulted in a loss of biodiversity and soil physical deterioration (Peralta, Alvarez and Taboada, 2021). Crop diversification is a suitable tool to avoid overly simplified agricultural models, and to improve the quality of soil structure. Diversifying the cropping system is already being practiced by agricultural producers to overcome crop production challenges, such as high land values, increased input costs, varying weather factors, and increased demands for new products. Especially in black soil areas, long-term monocropping system such as maize and soybeans that damage soil health and food security. Thus, sustainability concerns have raised interest in crop diversification among agricultural producers, especially throughout the black soil areas.

Crop diversification means growing more than one crop in an area. Diversification can be accomplished by adding a new crop species or different varieties, or by changing the cropping system currently in use. Commonly it can mean adding more crops into an existing rotation. It can also include an integration of crops and livestock, defined as mixed farming. Crop diversity encompasses several aspects, such as crop species diversity, varietal diversity within crop species, and genetic diversity within crop species. It is recognized as one of the most feasible, cost-effective, and rational ways of developing a resilient agricultural cropping system.

### **Crop rotation**

#### **Description of the practice**

In black soil regions, farmers using different species (mainly legumes) as precedents of the main crop (most often winter cereals) resulted in some increases in grain yields and improvement of soil quality. Nowadays, crop rotations are a common practice and, in some

socioeconomic contexts are encouraged by agricultural policies in black soil areas. Scientific research has revealed that the benefits of this practice are due to

improved resources use efficiency, increased N supply by legumes, and the breaking of pest cycles (Ryan *et al.*, 2008) (Photo 4.1.4a).



**Photo 4.1.4a** Crop rotation (soybeans and wheat), Russian Federation

### **Range of applicability**

The use of crop rotations in permanent croplands can be ubiquitous, although a high degree of crop diversity is usually hindered by pedoclimate and socioeconomic limitations in black soil regions. Low temperatures and snowfall during autumn and winter months restricts crop cultivation until spring. In turn, different soil characteristics can limit the range of crops to be chosen for rotation. A clear example is the role played by soil pH on some crops. For instance, legumes are well adapted in slightly acidic to neutral soils whereas they grow poorly in alkaline black soils.

As stated, socioeconomic factors also play a major role in the use of diverse crop rotations in black soil areas. Since the advent of industrialized agriculture and the availability of synthetic fertilizers, cereal monocropping (i.e., wheat, maize, rice and barley) have been dominant in black soil regions. The specialization of industrial farms to only a few commodities and the lack of financial support to invest in different machinery also impede adoption by farmers in black soil regions.

### **Benefits of the practice**

Crop rotation is closely linked to the diversification of production models. The design of a rotation is determined by the availability of water and the agroclimatic characteristics. The areas covered by black soils are under the threat of very simple rotations, with a tendency to monoculture, which favours not only the

loss of fertility, but also the proliferation of resistant pests and weeds. It is necessary to design crop rotations with a greater presence of grasses (wheat, barley and corn), whose root system favours the development of stable aggregates in the soil. Specifically, soil physical properties are improved significantly when using diverse crops through a variety of mechanisms, which impact on soil structure. Some perennials such as alfalfa are used in the rotation system of black soil areas, as they have a tap-root able to reach to very deep soil layers, enhancing the use of remnant soil water and nutrients, reducing drainage and N leaching. Moreover, legumes such as soybeans present higher activity in the rhizosphere (the environment close to the roots where most of soil biological activity occurs) and greater root exudation.

Regarding soil chemical properties benefits of rotation practice, soybean rotated with other crops that produce high amounts of residue improved soil fertility and thus had good potential to increase soybean yield and profitability in black soil regions of the Russian Federation and Canada (Zentner *et al.*, 1990; Stupakov *et al.*, 2019). And a long-term research of crop rotation leading to an increase of the ratio Cha: Cfa in (humic acids to fulvic acids) has been observed in Ukraine (Hospodarenko *et al.*, 2018).

Crop rotations also lead to improved soil biological properties of black soils. In the case of the northeast China black soil region, soil bacterial communities

remarkably differed between maize monocropping and maize soybean rotation in both bulk and rhizosphere soils, and that bacterial abundance and community diversity were significantly higher in rotation than in monocropping. Compared with continuous cropping of soybeans, crop rotation increased bacterial abundance and diversity and altered the community composition both in the bulk and rhizosphere soils such as increased nematode abundance and their functional metabolic footprint (Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2017).

The benefits of crop rotations in terms of an increase in productivity for subsequent crops have been known in black soil regions. Crop rotations present different socioeconomic benefits. Inclusion of a grass legume forage crop or a legume green manure crop in the rotations with cereals produced consistent yield benefits. Yields of wheat grown on fallow after being cropped to legumes or grass legumes, and without the application of N or P fertilizer, were often higher than yields obtained in the well-fertilized monoculture wheat rotations. Furthermore, adopting diversified crop rotations, together with minimum and zero tillage management practices, will enhance non-renewable energy use efficiency of annual grain production in this sub-humid regions (Zentner *et al.*, 2004). For instance, the maize soybean rotation produced better yield and profitability, particularly in dry years, than monocropping system in the black soils of northeast China (Fan *et al.*, 2012). In the black soil region of Canada, soybeans that were rotated with other crops that produce high amounts of residue, improved soil fertility and thus showed good potential to increase soybean yield and profitability (Zentner *et al.*, 1990). In summary, crop rotations provide multiple benefits that can address soil threats in terms of SOC and biodiversity loss, nutrients imbalance, compaction and pollution.

## Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

One of the most dramatic effects of crop rotation on soil C is how maize tends to increase soil C while soybean tends to lower soil C. This is one reason why crop rotations in the United States of America mid-west was dominated by maize, and not soybeans, in order to capture the highest soil C contents. The black soil zone of Canada includes crops with a range of C contents and C:N ratios. The two dominant grain crops, wheat and canola, tend to have high C:N ratios. Substituting oats for wheat reduces the C:N ratio of the rotation since oat has more N in straw than wheat. Adding pulses such as peas and lentils to the rotation further decreases the C:N ratio. Soybeans is unique among grain legumes in that its residue is very high in C, much higher than pea or lentil.

Before establishing any crop rotation, the pedoclimatic limitations to the different crops must be analyzed and taken into account. Potential markets must be explored and, if possible, secured before establishing alternative or neglected crops. These last should be implemented in small fractions of the available land.

## Perennial crop

### Description of the practice

Perennial crops are crops that, unlike annual crops such as cereals, don't need to be replanted each year. After harvest, they automatically grow back. Many fruit and nut crops are naturally perennial. The perennial crops provide an option to diverse cropping system and benefit properties of black soils, and is being used in the black soil zone of Canada and the United States of America (Entz *et al.*, 2002; Ryan *et al.*, 2018) (Photo 4.1.4b).





© David Lapen and Mark Edwards

**Photo 4.1.4b** Perennial crops (Kernza), Canada

### **Range of applicability**

The practice is applicable worldwide under a wide range of pedoclimatic conditions. The most suitable locations are those with degraded black soils on sloped land and ecologically sensitive areas that need to build soil health in terms of SOC, reducing erosion.

### **Benefits of the practice**

Growing perennial grains for several years can regenerate soil health before rotating to annual crops. While growing perennial grains on sloped land and ecologically sensitive areas can reduce soil erosion and nutrient losses, hence providing both provide ecosystem services and supporting multifunctionality.

For example, perennial legumes in rotation also reduce energy requirements by adding significant amounts of N to the black soils.

One perennial crop innovation since 2002 is “Perennial wheat” or Kernza (*Thinopyrum intermedium*) (Dick, Cattani and Entz, 2018). Adapted Kernza lines have now been developed (Cattani, 2019), and are being grown on a limited acreage, sometimes as a dual purpose grain and forage crop. Kernza has a particularly large root system, which will add to subsoil C in black soil zone cropping systems (Pugliese, Culman and Sprunger, 2019). In conclusion, inclusion of perennial crops is a good practice to address soil erosion and SOC.



## Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption

Strategies that enhance multifunctionality are expected to play a major role in addressing limitations associated with low grain yields. Some management strategies can be combined to achieve greater functionality, such as growing perennial cereals with legumes for grain and forage on land that is sloped.

Because perennial grains are fundamentally different from annual grain or perennial forage crops, farmers need new information about management practices to optimize their production. Functionally diverse perennial grain polycultures can also provide a high level of ecosystem services, but, research is needed to identify combinations of perennial grain crops that are compatible and production practices that minimize management complexity.

## 4.1.5 Water conservation techniques

### Description of the practice

Agronomic practices that can be implemented to control water erosion on cropland include residue management, conservation tillage, contour farming, crop rotation and cover crops (Weesies, Schertz and Kuenstler, 2017). Under tropical black soils in Brazil, soil erosion from precipitation has been successfully reduced by combining crop rotation, no-till, and permanent soil covering (Freitas and Landers, 2014). Those agricultural practices were discussed in previous sections. There are also several different irrigation methods used by producers around the world including: intermittent irrigation in rice, which allows the water level in the paddy to drop to low levels before being replenished; traveling lateral sprinkler systems that irrigate large fields to reduce cost per unit area; this necessitates high instantaneous application rates to meet crop water requirements over the entire field (Sojka and Bjerneberg, 2017); sprinkler systems, particularly centre pivots that operate on variable slopes and topography. Slope direction relative to the lateral affects how runoff accumulates; and in drip irrigation, where water is directly applied to the crop roots using a pressurized pipe system (Photo 4.1.5).





© Yura Afanasjev

**Photo 4.1.5** Drip irrigation, Kherson of Ukraine

### **Range of applicability**

Both agronomic practices and irrigation systems practices are applicable to all black soils including arable lands, croplands, permanent crops and pastures. The structure of the vegetation in those practices determine their efficacy at a given location. They can be typically designed to achieve multiple objectives depending upon the land slope, topographic and climatic conditions.

### **Benefits of the practice**

One of significant factors in the transformation of black soils is soil water management. It changes the main factors of soil formation, connections with the environment, and determines the subsequent evolution of soil cover. The specific changes depend on the quality and volume of soil water supply to the fields, climatic and hydrogeological conditions of irrigation development regions, the original properties of soils, irrigation equipment and technology, and current production practices. The changes can improve moisture supply, a positive balance of humus, macro and micronutrients, increased fertility, and balance soil pH (Baliuk *et al.*, 2017).

Intermittent irrigation in rice, increased storage of rainwater by reducing runoff water by 56 percent and reduced irrigation water use by 22 to 76 percent resulting in a 15 to 346 percent increase in water use efficiency (Avila *et al.*, 2015). The grain yield of the rice was not impacted by the irrigation method used in this study.

In traveling lateral sprinkler systems, sprinkler type, nozzle pressure, and nozzle size influence runoff and soil erosion by affecting application rate, wetted area, and droplet size. Low-pressure sprinklers, which reduce energy costs, have smaller pattern widths and therefore greater application rates (Jat *et al.*, 2009; Tahat *et al.*, 2020).

In drip irrigation, water is conveyed under pressure through pipe and released as drops or drips directly to soil on the field through emitters or drippers. The spacing between emitters depends upon the plant

spacing. Only the immediate root zone of each plant is wetted. Therefore, this can be a very efficient method of irrigation with a low risk for soil erosion. However, this is a very capital and labor intensive system.

To summarise, soil water management and irrigation approaches can provide good opportunities to address soil erosion, SOC loss, and nutrient imbalance.

### **Recommendations before implementing the practice**

Managing the full range of water supply on black soils from drought to periodic flooding requires several different approaches. Excess moisture can reduce the timeliness of seeding, while no-till and residue can increase moisture retention and reduce soil temperatures. A crop such as maize is particularly sensitive to this problem but even other crops such as wheat and canola can also be affected. Even in regions that tend to have excess moisture at seeding, water management is important due to the high likelihood of the crop experiencing moisture stress at some point during the growing season. No-till often increases crop yields and water use efficiency under dry conditions but can result in reduced yield under wet conditions (Azooz and Arshad 1998; Arshad, Soon and Arooz, 2002).

In the regarding of traveling lateral sprinkler systems, application rates for centre pivot and lateral move irrigation systems often exceed the soil infiltration rate meaning that runoff is a potential problem. Besides the irrigation methods, the water resource quality should be also considered during the decision making procedure. For example research results showed that there has not been any major detrimental effect on soil due to irrigation, but constant control of the quality of water for irrigation was necessary, as well as constant monitoring of the chemical properties of the irrigated soil (Choudhary and Kharche, 2018; Bilanchyn *et al.*, 2021). In general, as the climate becomes more arid, there is increased effectiveness of no-till in maximizing water use efficiency and grain yield of crops grown on black soils. This trend does appear to hold true in the midlatitude black soils of South America.

## 4.1.6 Biomass management

### Description of the practice

Generally, crop straw amendment (SAT) is considered as the most effective method to increase soil carbon storage and crop yield through biomass management, especially in flat fields (Photo 4.1.6).

Other beneficial practices for biomass management including crop rotation that providing biomass with a high C to N ratio and cover crops that providing good quality and quantity biomass to soils.



© Xingzhu Ma

**Photo 4.1.6** Biomass management, Zhaoguan county of China

## Range of applicability

Biomass use based on crop residues is applicable to any type of pedoclimatic context. Agroecosystems with greater net primary productivity pose fewer limitations to the availability of sufficient crop residues. Climate conditions such as temperature and soil moisture can impact biomass decomposition and seeding resulting in adjustment of biomass management practices. Besides this, in these and other regions the competition for crop residues for other purposes such as fodder, fuel or construction material further limits the potential to biomass management. The percentage of biomass that can be removed and returned differs as you move to wetter or dryer regions or a region with a shorter or longer winter.

## Benefits of the practice

Surface residues due to biomass return can control erosion, but the amounts vary depending on soil texture and field slope. In the black soil zone, the amount of residues required to control water erosion increases with field slope, with estimates of 0.8 to 1.15 tonnes/ha on 6 to 9 percent slopes and 1.15 to 1.7 tonnes/ha on 10 to 15 percent slopes. Having the harvesting residue results in soil loss, particularly on fields with steep slopes (Gregg and Izaurralde, 2010). Harvesting residues decreases crop yields, under both conventional and conservation management, although less so under conservation management. Biomass retained provide higher soil C, total P, soluble and labile forms of inorganic and organic soil P compared with the biomass removed (Hao *et al.*, 2022; Li *et al.*, 2022). For instance, straw return influenced the dynamics of available nitrogen and phosphorus, and increased yield of crops (Zhang, Wang and Sheng, 2018). Labile organic carbon fractions were also more sensitive to different forms of straw return in Mollisol black soils (Li *et al.*, 2022).

Biomass management practice through rotation can optimize C:N ratios. In the black soil zone of Canada, the two dominant grain crops, wheat and canola, tend to have high C:N ratios. Substituting oats for wheat reduces the C:N ratio of the rotation since oat has more N in straw than wheat. Adding pulses such as peas and lentils to the rotation further decreases the C:N ratio. Soybeans is unique among grain legumes in that its residue is very high in C, and much higher than pea or lentil.

Biomass management has also been shown to moderate the near-surface soil and air temperature (Thiessen Martens *et al.*, 2001; Kahimba *et al.*, 2008).

Biomass management is a very crucial practice that can address many soil threats such as SOC and biodiversity

loss, erosion, nutrients imbalance, acidification, and compaction.

## Recommendations before implementing the practice and Potential barriers for adoption

In black soils of cold regions, the returned straw in the soil cannot complete degrade. The rate of biomass return should be considered according to the ecological condition. Incompletely degraded straw in the soil could block the emergence of seeds in next crop season. Furthermore, biomass management can impact soil moisture and microclimate as well. Studies in Canada have reported that productive cover crops can reduce soil moisture (Blackshaw, Molnar and Moyer, 2010; Kahimba *et al.*, 2008; Thiessen *et al.*, 2001). Depletion of soil water by the biomass management can be a benefit in wet years.

## 4.1.7 Integrated systems

### Organic cropping system

#### Description of the practice

Organic cropping can occur in any of the above cropping systems in black soil areas but two restrictions are added: no chemical pest control and no addition of mineral fertilizers to supply nutrients to the crop. These restrictions increase the skills needed to conserve black soils in an organic farming system. The traditional method to control weeds in an organic cropping system has been to add more cultivation for eradicating weeds to the cropping system, which can increase the risk of soil degradation. Currently, two approaches are being explored and implemented to mitigate this increased risk to the soil, reducing tillage and improving soil physical and biological parameters. Research on reduced tillage in organic cropping systems has been conducted in black soil regions (Vaisman *et al.*, 2011; Podolsky, Blackshaw and Entz, 2016). Tools, such as the blade roller, have allowed vegetation to be managed and organic crops to be no-till seeded for multiple years (Halde, Bamford and Entz, 2015) (Photo 4.1.7a).





**Photo 4.1.7a** Organic no-till farming system (barley and spring wheat), Manitoba of Canada

### **Range of applicability**

There is a worldwide applicability as the pedoclimate limitations are identical to all forms of agriculture in black soil areas.

### **Benefits of the practice**

Legume cover crops included in organic farming system of black soils are particularly useful at improving soil physical (e.g aggregation) (Stainsby *et al.*, 2020) and biological parameters (Lupwayi *et al.*, 2018), and can provide a slow-release form of N for following crops (Thiessen Martins, Entz and Hoepfner, 2005). Thorup-Kristensen *et al.*, (2012) found that the addition of green manure cover crops resulted in an almost doubling in the average soil exploration by active root systems. Greater soil exploration was linked to greater subsoil inorganic N content (Thorup-Kristensen *et al.*, 2012). To sum up, an organic cropping system benefits soil health and addresses soil biodiversity loss, nutrient imbalance, and compaction.

### **Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption**

The current level of practices implemented to protect black soils in organic cropping systems vary greatly from region to region and farm to farm within a region. The management required to implement cropping practices to protect soils in organic farming tend to be higher than in most conventional systems; however, producers utilizing an organic production system tend to be highly motivated to protect and improve their soil. In conclusion, organic agriculture is adequate for the management of soils, but requires fertility management based on organic and biological fertilizers, as well as biological pest control. These requirements make it difficult to adopt on a large scale, as is the case for the extensive production of annual crops such as soybeans, wheat, and maize.

## Grassland conservation and restoration

### Description of the practice

A good part of the world's black soils was formed under the influence of grassland vegetation (Photo 4.1.7b). Being replaced throughout time to produce annual crops. The periodic rotation of these annual systems with pasture periods favours the black soils to recover their original fertility, at least as regards organic matter and the quality of the structure. Grazing intensity and the regulation of stocking rates have been identified as one of the most important management practices to maintain or improve the quality of black soils (Sollenberger *et al.*, 2012). Some of the most common methods of measuring grazing intensity are stocking rate (animals/ha for a specified period of time), forage allowance (ratio of forage mass (kg/ha) to animal live weight (kg/ha)), and grazing pressure (animal live weight kg/ha) to ratio of forage mass (kg/ha) (Allen *et al.*, 2011).



© Ricardo Bergamo Schenato.

**Photo 4.1.7b** Grassland conservation and restoration, Municipality of Quaraí, Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil

### Range of applicability

The practices are applicable worldwide under a wide range of pedoclimate conditions where black soils dominated. Grassland a cold temperate zone is particularly suitable to those practices such as the east European plain, central Great Plains of North America, and pampas in South American.

### Benefits of the practice

Modifying grazing intensity can reduce soil erosion, improve ground cover, C sequestration and plant vigor (Sollenberger *et al.*, 2012; Zhou *et al.*, 2017). It is also important in managing water quality (Van Poolen and Lacey, 1979) and species diversity (Herrero-Jáuregui and Oesterheld, 2018). Forage species, which affected the time of water use during the season, had more impact on soil water content than grazing intensity (Twerdoff *et al.*, 1999b). However, higher grazing intensities tended

to have greater soil water content in the upper 7.5 cm of soil than lighter grazing intensities. This indicates that higher leaf areas observed in lighter grazing treatments utilized more soil water due to greater evaporative surfaces than heavier grazed treatments, which had lower leaf areas (Baron *et al.*, 2002).

The preference of different herbivores for grass, forbs and legumes can impact the species present in a pasture (Dumont *et al.*, 2011). Grazer effect on soil C storage exhibits substantial variability and depends on both herbivore assemblage and characteristics of grazed grassland (Chang *et al.*, 2018). This indicates that the interaction between herbivore type, plant species and grazing intensity may be region-specific and difficult to predict simple management practices over a wide range of environmental conditions.

Maintaining plant cover on a grazed landscape is important for protection of the soil, nutrient

management, and a healthy microbial diversity. Research in Colorado, United States of America on a shortgrass steppe found that soils under plants had consistently higher C and N mineralization rates and, in some cases, higher total and microbial C and N levels than soils without plant cover (Vinton and Burke, 1995). They also found that soils under bunchgrasses tended to have higher C mineralization and microbial biomass C than soil under the rhizomatous grass, *Agropyron smithii*.

In a soil conservation context, stocking method can be used to ensure that soil cover is maintained and to protect soils when in a fragile state such as compaction of water saturated soils during a period of the year.

Overall, SOC and biodiversity loss and nutrient imbalance can be minimized by the practice of grassland conservation and restoration.

### **Recommendations and potential barriers for adoption**

Overgrazing occurs when plants are exposed to intensive grazing for extended periods of time, or without sufficient recovery periods. It reduces the usefulness, productivity, nutritional value for livestock and biodiversity of the land, and can lead to the loss of ground cover, compaction, and soil erosion and reduced soil health. In addition, overgrazing can increase the occurrence of invasive species such as *Eragrostis plana*, which take the place of high quality native forage plants in the pampas of South America (Focht and Medeiros, 2012). Overgrazing is currently occurring in many regions. In Mongolia, the number of livestock increased by 265.3 percent between 1961 and 2019 and 11 percent of the total pastures were overgrazed (Unified Land Fund Classification Report, 2019; Research Conference material, 2015).

On the black soils studied by Twerdoff *et al.*, (1999a), as grazing intensity increased so did bulk density, but the maximum bulk densities attained did not reach levels considered limiting to productivity or sustainability in either perennial or annual pastures. Compaction in the pasture soil surface (0 to 2.5 cm) increased rapidly early in stand life, but levelled out with increasing stand age (Mapfumo *et al.*, 1999; Twerdoff *et al.*, 1999a). It appeared that annual pastures compacted (between 0 to 10 cm more) more rapidly than perennials, but generally bulk density for both annual and perennial pastures followed a quadratic relationship with cumulative cow-days and leveled off after about 40 to 60 cow-days over years and grazing cycles. Since the production of biomass is important to protect and improve the soil, sites that are more productive with higher rates

of biomass tend to be more tolerant of the impacts of grazing intensity (Eldridge *et al.*, 2017; Schönbach *et al.*, 2011) and other management practices. Along with other management strategies, such as reseeding, weed control and prescribed burning, deferred stocking can furthermore improve the response of desired vegetation and, over time, increase animal production potential (Allen *et al.*, 2011). Other stocking methods can be utilized to address specific issues in specific environments (Bailey, McCartney and Schellenberg, 2010).

In this section, we presented a global-scale assessment of sustainable practices for promoting black soil health, ensuring food security, mitigating climate change and overcoming constraints. We find that SOC sequestration is significantly increased in black soil cropland through practices such as non-inversion tillage, no-till, manure additions, biochar, biomass management, and the management practices that are needed to maintain SOC considerably by organic mulch, perennial crops, no-till strip tillage, soil and water conservation techniques. Besides SOC, there are large opportunities to increase chemical, physical and biological properties by cover crops, organic mulch, no-till, no-till strip tillage, manure additions, while still allowing increase in production of major cereals. Meanwhile, practices such as over crops, a crop-livestock farming system, and no-till showed good performance in mitigating climate change with reduction of greenhouse gases from soil. Fertilization is another story. With sustainable management, chemical and mineral fertilizer inputs in terms of macro and micro nutrition can provide sufficient nutrients for crop growth with resulting in good yields and limited environmental damage. In addition to cropland, grassland management practices such as grazing intensity and plant cover can reduce soil erosion and improve C sequestration, while different herbivores can improve soil C storage. To this end, meeting the global food security and sustainability challenges of the coming decades through black soils is possible, but will require considerable changes in sustainable crop system, nutrient, tillage, and water management.

In theory, black soils are suitable for most cropping systems such as rotation, perennial and organic with any crops in both small and large scale farmlands. A diverse cropping system in black soils can provide better ecological services that maintain and increase productivity and mitigate negative impacts.

By targeting measures that minimize soil threats, sustainable management practices in black soil areas



can address SOC loss, nutrient imbalance, biodiversity loss, compaction, and erosion. It is obviously those issues are fundamental to black soil protection especially in the aspect of crop production. However, it is difficult to find research and knowledge to address soil acidification, salinization and soil pollution. The reason could be that not all black soil areas are endangered by those soil threats. But, those three threats can damage black soil productivity and health in the longer term.

The future of black soil protection faces two great challenges: substantial increases in food demand must be met while mitigating soil threats. The sustainable use black soils with beneficial field practices and building suitable cropping systems are necessary strategies towards meeting these challenge, but they must be combined with efforts of awareness raising, education, extension and monitoring. Therefore it is vital that independent policies and agricultural development programmes must address all black soil threats through good practices and cropping system.

## 4.2 Relevant policies for the protection, conservation and/or sustainable management of black soils

In order to achieve the goal of protecting black soils, legislation at both global and national levels need to be developed and implemented, supported by a monitoring framework to track the dynamics and status of black soils. There are a number of national soil policies that are relevant to the management, protection and conservation of soils (Table 4.1) but very few are specific to black soils.

Given the importance of black soils for food security and nutrition, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and their value as a limited natural resource, there is a need to consider the following:

- The definition of “black soils” coined by the International Network of black soils should be widely used when referring to black soil legislation.
- A global agreement towards the sustainable management, conservation and protection of black soils is much needed.
- The degradation of black soils should be halted, particularly addressing the main soil threats: soil erosion, loss of soil organic carbon and soil sealing.
- A global programme to restore black soils should be established with the understanding that this is a limited natural resource.
- Capacity development needs to become an important instrument of a global technical cooperation programme between countries that have black soils.



© Yuxin-Tong

**Table 4.1** Legislation, programmes and institutional constraints of the countries for the protection, conservation and/or sustainable management of black soils

Source: Author's elaboration and legal instructions of black soil countries in Annex 1

Country	Availability of specific legislation on black soils	Legislation and regulation related to black soils	Research and programmes at national level on soil protection	Policy and institutional constraints for the conservation of black soils
Canada	No	At present, black soil conservation policies are created and initiated at various levels of government. More specifically, soil conservation related legislative acts such as Alberta Soil Conservation Act (Government of Alberta, 2011) and other provincial government incentive programmes (Government of Manitoba, 2008; Government of Saskatchewan, 2020) were initiated.	Every five years, the Programme reports on the health of the Canadian agriculture and agrifood system for the last 30 years (1981 to 2011) where it is used to compare Canada's agri-environmental performance. Among many agri-environmental performance indicators, soil cover as reported by the soil cover indicator has shown dramatic improvements.	In 1984, a report titled "Soil at Risk – Canada's Eroding Future" by the Standing Senate Committee on Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry was published but a lot has changed since then. Climate, environment, agriculture management, new crops and increased production demand have posed new challenges in soil (including black) conservation.
Mongolia	No	At present, there are over 8 legal laws relevant black soil protection implemented in crop area of Mongolia (e.g Law on Land of Mongolia, 2002; Law on Agriculture of Mongolia, 2016).	"Sustainable development concept of Mongolia-2030" is fundamental for the sustainable development of soil for food production and agriculture including black soil management.	No long-term policy strategies specific focus on improving soil fertility and reducing erosion.
Uruguay	No	The soil conservation law was updated in 2008 and effectively applied from 2013. The Uruguayan law is based on the principle that soil conservation is of general interest, over any particular interest.	The Soil Use and Management Plan (SUMP): i) precise geographic location, ii) description of the rotation to be made, iii) projected yields of the different crops, and pastures in the rotation, iv) dominant soil type in the polygon, and v) topographic characteristics defining length and slope (LS) in USLE/RUSLE.	Despite using no-till (NT), the new cropping intensification was made by abandoning the rotation with pastures, going back to continuous cropping. These changes resulted again in soil erosion problems.

Country	Availability of specific legislation on black soils	Legislation and regulation related to black soils	Research and programmes at national level on soil protection	Policy and institutional constraints for the conservation of black soils
Brazil	No	National legislation of soil conservation apply to black soils. In July 1975, the law on mandatory soil conservation planning and erosion control was approved (Brazil Government, 1975). In August 1981, the National Environment Policy, highlights the rational use of soil, subsoil, water and air, and includes soil conservation in the national policies (Brazil Government, 1981).	Any action for the usage and management of rural soils, including black soils, must be carried out through planning, based on the concept of land use capacity or agricultural suitability, requiring the application of conservation practices validated by official research institutions.	Instruments proposed for the use, management, recovery and conservation of soil and water should consider the current conditions, changes in land occupation, and their limitations or potential.
Thailand	No	There are two types of regulatory documents that are related to soil conservation: Land Development Act and Land Use Plan.	The Land Use Plan of Thailand 2019 was formulated in response to the strategic framework of Thailand's 20-year National Strategy, which covered land suitability analysis and land potentials evaluation.	Legislation is being set forth the whole country on agricultural land preservation; such a policy should be implemented with awareness.



Country	Availability of specific legislation on black soils	Legislation and regulation related to black soils	Research and programmes at national level on soil protection	Policy and institutional constraints for the conservation of black soils
China	One national law, two provincial legislations targeting black soil protection	<p>The 35th meeting of the Standing Committee of the 13th National People's Congress adopted the National Law of the Black Soil Protection on 24 June 2022. The law includes 34 regulations in terms of determining the scope of protection, assessment, monitoring, penalties, and ensure utilization as arable land; Jilin province has carried out the local legislation on the protection of black soils from 2016 including planning and evaluation, specific protection measures, supervision and management, legal liability, and bylaws, stipulation.</p> <p>Heilongjiang province enacted a local regulation on black soil protection in terms of use and planning, conservation and restoration, construction and utilization, monitoring and evaluation, supervision and management, legal liability.</p>	The Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (MARA) has recently launched a plan of action for conservation tillage in the black soil area, and released the "National Black Soil Protection Project Implementation Plan (2021–2025)".	More efforts should be focused on the protection scope clarification, the development of protection mechanism, establishment of protection system, and construction of a legal liability system.



<b>Country</b>	<b>Availability of specific legislation on black soils</b>	<b>Legislation and regulation related to black soils</b>	<b>Research and programmes at national level on soil protection</b>	<b>Policy and institutional constraints for the conservation of black soils</b>
Bulgaria	No	Legal instrument in Bulgaria towards protection of soils is the hierarchy of norms, the principle of intelligibility and accessibility of the law in force. The elements of the legislation are contained in the following normative acts: The Law on Soils, the Act for Protection of Agricultural Land, and the Environmental Protection Act.	The Rural Development Programme (Bulgaria Government, 2014), especially now in the second programming period 2013–2020, supports and financially stimulates (subsidies / compensatory payments) activities in agriculture and rural areas related to environmental protection – soils and waters, and EU Natura 2000 protected areas network zones.	The analysis of the existing legislation in Bulgaria regarding the assessment of the impact on the soils including black soils shows the lack of uniform procedure according to the criteria; from these facts it can be inferred that fragmentation has been observed.
Poland	No	The Act on the Protection of Agricultural and Forest Land (Poland Government, 2017) is aimed to protect mineral soils of high quality and all organic soils located on the agricultural land in Poland.	The contamination status of arable soils is monitored every 5 years since 1995 within the countrywide national Programme “Monitoring of the chemistry of arable soils” (Poland Government, 1995).	The black soils have no special evaluation criteria but like other arable soils have to fulfill the strict criteria stated in the regulation (Poland Government, 2016).
Türkiye	No	The purpose of the Law 5403 is to determine the principles and procedures that will ensure the protection and development of the soil resources including black soils and ensure their planned use in accordance with the environmental priorities and sustainable development principles (Türkiye, 2005).	In order to protect soils, two main mechanisms were adopted (Article 5, 6 and 12) as 1) the establishment of the “soil protection board (SPB)” in each province 2) Preparation of Soil Conservation Projects (SCP).	Need to strengthen the coordination between different governmental bodies and distributed structure of soil information were the main challenges to implement the Voluntary Guidelines on Sustainable Soil Management (VGSSM)

Country	Availability of specific legislation on black soils	Legislation and regulation related to black soils	Research and programmes at national level on soil protection	Policy and institutional constraints for the conservation of black soils
Russian Federation	No	The regulatory documents on black soil conservation can be grouped into three categories.1) Related to the sustainable management of black soils, there is one document, namely: The code of a conscientious land user and it is adopted in the Belgorod administrative region; 2) related to the SSM of agricultural lands; 3) related to the protection of fertile topsoil in the areas subjected to construction, mining, geologic survey and other types of activity that pollutes or destroys the topsoil.	The federal target Programme "Preservation and restoration of soil fertility of agricultural lands and agrolandscapes as a national treasure of Russian Federation started in February 20 2006.	Additional research is required for a clearer categorization of the excess of the content of heavy metals and toxic elements; Comparison of the indicators of the contaminated upper fertile layer with the background values is also used, but the selection of the background territory is an obstacle, since the indicators can be varied much from it.
Slovakia	No	Laws relevant to black soil protection in Slovakia include the Protection and Use of Agricultural Soil (Soil Protection Act), Water Act, the Act for the Application of Sewage Sludge, Land Consolidation Act, The Act on Environmental Impacts Assessment.	Soil Service within the Soil Science and Conservation Research Institute (SSCRI) is responsible for collecting data on the soil quality in Slovakia and ensures that the owners/tenants comply with the provisions of the Soil Protection Act.	Prescribe measures and activities should be easy to be understand that the owners or tenants of the land can undertake in order to rectify the situation.
Ukraine	No	The Land Code of Ukraine is the basic national regulatory document in the field of owning, use, and disposal of land (Ukraine, 2002). Article 150 of Land Code of Ukraine has established the special legal status of black soils. All kinds of black soils are considered "especially valuable land" (EVL).	According to of the Ukrainian Law "On land protection", agrochemical surveys are systematically carried out in agricultural land in order to control the dynamics of soil fertility. Initial and current levels of key soil indicators are recorded in the special agrochemical passport (Ukraine Government, 2003b).	Currently the large areas of black soils in Ukraine are heavily affected by war events leading to extensive contamination, compaction and degradation in general.

## 19 | National Implementation Plan for Black Soil Protection



Black soil monitoring, Nenjiang County of China.

Soil management is sustainable if the supporting, provisioning, regulating and cultural services provided by soil are maintained or enhanced without significantly impairing the soil functions that enable those services or biodiversity. Conservation tillage is a sustainable soil management (SSM) practice that uses crop straw mulching and no-till, which can effectively reduce wind and water erosion of soil, enhance soil fertility, increase moisture retention and drought resistance, and improve agro-ecological and economic benefits.

The Chinese Government plans to vigorously promote SSM practices in terms of conservation tillage and other technologies on black soils in the northeast region. The Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (MARA) launched a national action plan for conservation tillage in black soil areas in 2020, aiming to implement SSM on 7.3 million hectares in two years (MARA, 2020).

The MARA and relevant departments published the “National Implementation Plan for Black Soil Protection (2021–2025)” (MARA, 2021). The plan provides guidance for black soil protection and utilization in the next five years, specifying the target tasks of implementing 16.7 million hectares of black soils following the guidance, mitigating 25 000 erosion ditches and improving the quality of arable land by 2030.

## 20 | Black soil protection legislations



Black soil monitoring, Nenjiang County of China

The Black Soil Protection Law of the People's Republic of China, was enacted on August 1, 2022 (Xinhua News Agency, 2022; Lawinfochina, 2022). According to this law, black soils refers to arable land with a black or dark black humus topsoil layer with good properties and high fertility within the relevant areas of Heilongjiang, Jilin, and Liaoning provinces and Inner Mongolia Autonomous region. The agricultural and rural authorities of the State Council shall determine the scope of black soil protection taken into account the history of black soil reclamation and the currently status. The protection of black soils should be in a scientific and reasonable manner and adjusted in accordance with the principle most conducive to comprehensive protection, integrated management, and systematic restoration. This law specifies that China shall implement a scientific and effective policy for the protection of black soils. Financial investment in black land protection shall be guaranteed, and engineering, agronomic, agricultural and biological measures shall be taken to protect the productivity of black soils and maintain the total area of black soils. In addition, the law emphasizes that anyone who steals or indiscriminately digs or buys black soils shall be punished severely in accordance with the provisions of the relevant laws and regulations on soil management.



# References

- Abrar, M.M., Xu, M., Shah, S.A.A., Aslam, M.W., Aziz, T., Mustafa, A., Ashraf, M.N., Zhou, B. & Ma, X. 2020. Variations in the profile distribution and protection mechanisms of organic carbon under long-term fertilization in a Chinese Mollisol. *Science of the Total Environment*, 723: 138181.
- Allen, V. G., Batello, C., Berretta, E. J., Hodgson, J., Kothmann, M., Li, X., Melvor, J., Milne, J., Morris, C., Peeters, A. & Sanderson, M. 2011. An international terminology for grazing lands and grazing animals. *Grass and Forage Science*, 66(1): 2–28.
- Adhikari, K. & Hartemink, A.E. 2016. Linking soils to ecosystem services—A global review. *Geoderma*, 262: 101–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.08.009>
- Agriculture & Agri-Food Canada. 2003. Prairie soils: the case for conservation. Cited 15 September 2020. <http://www.rural-gc.agr.ca/pfra/soil/prairiesoils.htm>
- Agriculture & Agri-Food Canada. 2011. *Soil erosion indicators in Canada*. Government of Canada, Ottawa.
- Almeida, J.A. 2017. Solos das pradarias mistas do sul do Brasil (Pampa Gaúcho). In: N. Curi, J.C. Ker, R.F. Novais, P. Vidal-Torrado & C.E.G.R. Schaefer, eds. *Pedologia: Solos dos Biomas Brasileiros*, pp. 407–466. 1ª Edição. Viçosa, MG: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo.
- Alvarez, C. R., Taboada, M. A., Gutierrez Boem, F. H., Bono, A., Fernandez, P. L. & Prystupa, P. 2009. Topsoil properties as affected by tillage systems in the Rolling Pampa region of Argentina. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 73(4): 1242–1250.
- Alvarez, C.R., Taboada, M.A., Perelman, S. & Morrás, H.J.M. 2014. Topsoil structure in no-tilled soils in the Rolling Pampa, Argentina. *Soil Research*, 52(6): 533–542. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR13281>
- Amelung, W., Bossio, D., de Vries, W., Kögel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Amundson, R., Bol, R. et al., 2020. Towards a global-scale soil climate mitigation strategy. *Nature Communications*, 11(1): 5427. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18887-7>
- Amiro, B., Tenuta, M., Hanis-Gervais, K., Gao, X., Flaten, D., Rawluk, C. & Lupwayi, N. 2017. Agronomists' views on the potential to adopt beneficial greenhouse gas nitrogen management practices through fertilizer management. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 97(4): 801–804.
- Andrade, B.O., Koch, C., Boldrini, I.I., Vélez-Martin, E., Hasenack, H., Hermann, J.M., Kollmann, J., Pillar, V.D. & Overbeck, G.E. 2015. Grassland degradation and restoration: a conceptual framework of stages and thresholds illustrated by southern Brazilian grasslands. *Natureza & Conservação*, 13: 95–104.
- Andrade, H., Espinosa, E. & H. Moreno. 2014. Impact of grazing in soil organic storage carbon in high lands of Anaime, Tolima, Colombia. *Zootecnia Tropical (Venezuela)*. 32(1):7–21.
- Anne, S.B. 2015. The secret of black soil. DW, 20 January 2015. In: *DW.COM*. Cited 30 May 2022. <https://www.dw.com/en/the-secret-of-black-soil/a-18199797>
- Antonenko, D.A., Nikiforenko, Y.Y., Melnik, O.A., Yurin, D.A. & Danilova, A.A. 2022. Organomineral compost and its effects for the content of heavy metals in the top layer leached chernozem. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, pp. 012028. IOP Publishing.
- Aquino, R.E., Campos, M.C.C., Oliveira, I.A., Marques Júnior, J. & Silva, D.M.P. 2014. Variabilidade espacial de atributos físicos de solos antropogênico e não antropogênico na região de Manicoré, AM. *Bioscience Journal*, 30(5): 988–997.
- Arshad, M.A., Soon, Y.K. & Azooz, R.H. 2002. Modified no-till and crop sequence effects on spring wheat production in northern Alberta, Canada. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 65: 29–36.
- Assefa, B.A., Schoenau J.J. & Grevers M.C.J. 2004. Effects of four annual applications of manure on Black Chernozemic soils. *Canadian Biosystems Engineering*, 46(6): 39–46.
- Avellaneda-Torres, L.M., León-Sicard, T.E. & Torres-Rojas, E. 2018. Impact of potato cultivation and cattle farming on physicochemical parameters and enzymatic activities of Neotropical high Andean Páramo ecosystem soils. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 631–632: 1600–1610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.137>.
- Avetov, N.A., Alexandrovskii, A.L., Alyabina, I.O., Dobrovolskii, G.V. & Shoba, S.A. 2011. *National Atlas of Russian Federation's soils*. Moscow, Astrel.
- Avila, L.A., Martini, L.F.D., Mezzomo, R.F., Refatti, J.P., Campos, R.L., Cezimbra, D.M., Machado, S.L.O., Massey, J., Carlesso, R.L. & Marchesan, E. 2015. Rice water use efficiency and yield under continuous and intermittent irrigation. *Agronomy Journal*, 107: 442–458.

- Azooz, R.H. & Arshad, M.A.** 1998. Effect of tillage and residue management on barley and canola growth and water use efficiency. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 78: 649–656.
- Baethgen, W. & Morón, A.** 2000. Carbon sequestration in agricultural production systems of Uruguay: observed data and CENTURY model simulation runs. *Anales de la V Reunión de la Red Latinoamericana de Agricultura Conservacionista*. Florianópolis, Brasil.
- Bailey, A.W., McCartney, D. & Schellenberg, M.P.** 2010. Management of Canadian Prairie Rangeland. 13 October 2020. (also available at [https://www.beefresearch.ca/files/pdf/factsheets/991\\_2010\\_02\\_TB\\_RangeMgmt\\_EWEB\\_2\\_.pdf](https://www.beefresearch.ca/files/pdf/factsheets/991_2010_02_TB_RangeMgmt_EWEB_2_.pdf))
- Balashov, E. & Buchkina, N.** 2011. Impact of short- and long-term agricultural use of chernozem on its quality indicators. *International Agrophysics*, 25(1).
- Baliuk, S. A. & Kucher, A. V.** 2019. Spatial features of soil cover as a basis for sustainable soil management (In Ukrainian). *Ukrainian Geographical Journal*, 3 (107): 3–14. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15407/ugz2019.03.003>
- Baliuk, S. A., Miroshnychenko, M. M. & Medvedev, V. V.** 2018. Scientific bases of stable management of soil resources of Ukraine (In Ukrainian). *Bulletin of Agricultural Science*, 11: 5–12. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31073/agrovisnyk201811-01>.
- Baliuk, S., Nosonenko, A., Zakharova, M., Drozd, E., Vorotyntseva, L. & Afanasyev, Y.** 2017. Criteria and parameters for forecasting the direction of irrigated soil evolution. *Soil science working for a living*, pp. 149–158. Springer.
- Baliuk, S.A. & Miroshnychenko, M.M.** 2016. *Fertilizer systems of crops in agriculture at the beginning of XXI Century*. Kyiv, Ukraine, Alpha-stevia express.
- Balyuk S.A. & Medvedev, V.V.** 2012. *Strategy of balanced use, reproduction and management of soil resources of Ukraine (In Ukrainian)*. Kiev, Agrarian science.
- Balyuk, S.A. & Medvedev, V.V.** 2015. *The concept of organization and functioning of soil monitoring in Ukraine taking into account the European experience (scientific publication)* (In Ukrainian). NSC Sokolovsky Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry. Kharkiv, TOV “Smuhasta typohrafiya”.
- Balyuk, S.A., Medvedev, V.V. & Miroshnychenko, M.M.** 2018. *The concept of achieving a neutral level of degradation of lands (soils) of Ukraine* (In Ukrainian). NSC IGA. Kharkiv, Brovin O.V.
- Balyuk, S.A., Medvedev, V.V., Miroshnychenko, M.M., Skrylnyk, E.V., Tymchenko, D.O., Fateev, A.I., Khristenko, A.O. & Tsapko, Yu. L.** 2012. Ecological condition of soils of Ukraine (In Ukrainian). *Ukrainian Geographical Journal*, 2: 38–42.
- Balyuk, S.A., Medvedev, V.V., Tarariko, O.G., Grekov, V.O. & Balaev, A.D.** 2010. *National report on the state of soil fertility of Ukraine* (In Ukrainian). MAPU, State Center for Fertility, NAAS, NSC IGA, NULES.
- Banik, C., Koziel, J.A., De, M., Bonds, D., Chen, B., Singh, A. & Licht, M.A.** 2021. Biochar-Swine Manure Impact on Soil Nutrients and Carbon Under Controlled Leaching Experiment Using a Midwestern Mollisols. *Front. Environ. Sci*, 9(10.3389).
- Baron, V.S., Mapfumo, E., Dick, A.C., Naeth, M.A., Okine, E.K. & Chanasyk, D.S.** 2002. Grazing intensity impacts on pasture carbon and nitrogen flow. *Journal of Range Management*, 55: 535–541.
- Bedendo, D.**, 2019. Soils of Entre Ríos. In G. Rubio, R. Lavado, & F. Pereyra, eds. *The Soils of Argentina*, Chapter 4, pp. 165–173. Springer, Switzerland.
- Behling, H.** 2002. South and southeast Brazilian grasslands during Late Quaternary times: a synthesis. *Palaeogeogr.Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 177: 19–27
- Belyuchenko, I.S. & Antonenko, D.A.** 2015. The influence of complex compost on the aggregate composition and water and air properties of an ordinary chernozem. *Eurasian soil science*, 48(7): 748–753.
- Bender, M.** 1971. Variation in the  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  ratios of plants in relation to the pathway of photosynthetic carbon dioxide fixation. *Phytochemistry*, 10: 1239–124.
- Bennetzen, E.H., Smith, P. & Porter, J.R.** 2016. Decoupling of greenhouse gas emissions from global agricultural production: 1970–2050. *Global Change Biology*, 22(2): 763–781. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13120>
- Bieganowski, A., Witkowska-Walczak, B., Glinski, J., Sokolowska, Z., Slawinski, C., Brzezinska, M. & Włodarczyk, T.** 2013. Database of Polish arable mineral soils: a review. *International Agrophysics*, 27(3).

- Bilanchyn, Y., Tsurkan, O., Tertyk, M., Medinets, V., Buyanovskiy, A., Soltys, I. & Medinets, S.** 2021. *Post-irrigation state of black soils in south-western Ukraine*. In: D. Dent & B. Boincean, eds. *Regenerative Agriculture*, pp.303–309. Cham, Springer International Publishing.
- Blackshaw, R.E., Molnar, L.J. & Moyer, J.R.** 2010. Suitability of legume cover crop-winter wheat intercrops on the semi-arid Canadian prairies. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 90(4): 479–488.
- Bockheim, J. G. & Hartemink, A. E.** 2017. Soil-forming processes. In *The Soils of Wisconsin*, pp. 55–65.
- Boroday, I. I.** 2019. The main factors of soil degradation in Ukraine (In Ukrainian). Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference Youth and Technological Progress in Agriculture. *Innovative developments in the agricultural sphere*, 2: 228–229.
- Borodina, O., Kyrzyuk, S., Yarovy, V., Ermoliev, Y. & Ermolieva, T.** 2016. Modeling local land uses under the global change (In Ukrainian). *Economics and Forecasting*, 1: 117–128. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15407/eip2016.01.117>.
- Bossio, D.A., Cook-Patton, S.C., Ellis, P.W., Fargione, J., Sanderman, J., Smith, P., Wood, S., Zomer, R. J., von Unger, M., Emmer I. M. & Griscom, B.W.** 2020. The role of soil carbon in natural climate solutions. *Nature Sustainability*, 3(5): 391–398. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0491-z>
- Bradshaw, B., Dolan, H. & Smit, B.** 2004. Farm-level adaptation to climatic variability and change: crop diversification in the Canadian prairies. *Climatic change*, 67(1): 119–141.
- Breiman, L.** 2001. Random forests. *Machine learning*, 45(1): 5–32.
- Brevik, E.C. & Sauer, T.J.** 2015. The past, present, and future of soils and human health studies. *SOIL*, 1(1): 35–46. <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-1-35-2015>
- Britannica.** 2022. Dust Bowl. In: *Encyclopedia Britannica*. Cited 6 June 2022. <https://www.britannica.com/place/Dust-Bowl>. Accessed 12 October 2022.
- Brooks, J. R., Flanagan, L. B. Buchmann, N. & Ehleringer, J. R.** 1997. Carbon Isotope composition of boreal plants: functional grouping of life forms. *Oecologia*, 110: 301–311.
- Bruulsema, T.W., Peterson, H.M. & Prochnow, L.I.** 2019. The science of 4R nutrient stewardship for phosphorus management across latitudes. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 48(5): 1295–1299.
- Bui, E.N. & Moran, C.J.** 2001. Disaggregation of polygons of surficial geology and soil maps using spatial modelling and legacy data. *Geoderma*, 103(1–2): 79–94.
- Buytaert, W., Iñiguez, V., Celleri, R., De Bièvre, B., Wyseure, G. & Deckers, J.** 2006a. Analysis of the water balance of small paramo catchments in south Ecuador. In *Environmental Role of Wetlands in Headwaters*; Springer: Dordrecht The Netherlands, 271–281.
- Buytaert, W., Céleri, R., De Bièvre, B., Cisneros, F., Wyseure, G., Deckers, J. & Hofstede, R.** 2006b. Human impact on the hydrology of the Andean páramos. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 79: 53–72.
- Cabrera, A.L. & Willink, A.** 1980. *Biogeografía da America Latina*. Second ed. OEA, Washington.
- Cai, H.G., Mi, G.H. & Zhang, X.Z.** 2012. Effect of different fertilizing methods on nitrogen balance in the black soil for continuous maize production in northeast China. *Journal of Maize Sciences*. 18(01): 89–97. (In Chinese)
- Campbell, C.A., Biederbeck, V.O., Selles, F., Schnitzer, M. & Stewart, J.W.B.** 1986. Effect of manure and P fertilizer on properties of a Black Chernozem in southern Saskatchewan. *Canadian journal of soil science*, 66(4): 601–614.
- Campbell, C.A., Biederbeck, V.O., Zentner, R.P. & Lafond, G.P.** 1991. Effect of crop rotations and cultural practices on soil organic matter, microbial biomass and respiration in a thin black Chernozem. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 71: 363–376.
- Campbell, C.A., Selles, F., Lafond, G.P., Biederbeck, V.O. & Zentner, R.P.** 2001. Tillage – fertilizer changes: Effect on some soil quality attributes under long-term crop rotations in a thin Black Chernozem. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 81(2):157–165.
- Campos, M.C.C., Alho, L.C., Silva, D.A.P., Silva, M.D.R., Cunha, J.M. & Silva, D.M.P.** 2016. Distribuição espacial do efluxo de CO<sub>2</sub> em área de terra preta arqueológica sob cultivo de cacau e café no município de Apuí, AM, Brasil. *Revista Ambiente & Água*, 11(4): 788–798.

- Campos, M.C.C., Ribeiro, M.R., Souza Júnior, V.S., Ribeiro Filho, M.R., Souza, R.V.C.C. & Almeida, M.C.** 2011. Caracterização e classificação de terras pretas arqueológicas na Região do Médio Rio Madeira. *Bragantia*, 70(3): 598–609.
- Cárdenas, C. de los A.** 2013. El fuego y el pastoreo en el páramo húmedo de Chingaza (Colombia): efectos de la perturbación y respuestas de la vegetación. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. PhD dissertation.
- Castañeda-Martín, A.E. & Montes-Pulido, C.R.** 2017. Carbono almacenado en páramo andino. *Entramado*, 13 (1): 210–221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18041/entramado.2017v13n1.25112>.
- Cattani, D.J.** 2019. Potential of perennial cereal rye for perennial grain production in Manitoba. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 99(6): 958–960.
- Chaney, N.W., Wood, E.F., McBratney, A.B., Hempel, J.W., Nauman, T.W., Brungard, C.W. & Odgers, N.P.** 2016. POLARIS: A 30-meter probabilistic soil series map of the contiguous United States. *Geoderma*, 274: 54–67.
- Chang, Q., Wang, L., Ding, S., Xu, T., Li, Z., Song, X., Zhao, X., Wang, D. & Pan, D.** 2018. Grazer effects on soil carbon storage vary by herbivore assemblage in a semi-arid grassland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 55(5): 2517–2526.
- Chantigny, M.H., Angers, D.A., Prévost, D., Vézina, L.-P. & Chalifour, F.-P.** 1997. Soil aggregation and fungal and bacterial biomass under annual and perennial cropping systems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61(1): 262–267. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1997.03615995006100010037x>
- Chaturika, J.S., Kumaragamage, D., Zvomuya, F., Akinremi, O.O., Flaten, D.N., Indraratne, S.P. & Dandeniyi, W.S.** 2016. Woodchip biochar with or without synthetic fertilizers affects soil properties and available phosphorus in two alkaline, chernozemic soils. *Canadian journal of soil science*, 96(4): 472–484.
- Chen, F. H.** 2012. Foundations on expansive soils (Vol. 12). Elsevier.
- Chen, Y., Zhang, X., He, H., Xie, H., Yan, Y., Zhu, P., Ren, J. & Wang, L.** 2010. Carbon and nitrogen pools in different aggregates of a Chinese Mollisol as influenced by long-term fertilization. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 10(6): 1018–1026.
- Choudhary, O.P. & Kharche, V.K.** 2018. Soil salinity and sodicity. *Soil science: an introduction*, 12: 353–384.
- Cicek, H., Entz, M.H., Martens, J.R.T. & Bullock, P.R.** 2014. Productivity and nitrogen benefits of late-season legume cover crops in organic wheat production. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 94(4): 771–783.
- CIESIN.** 2018. Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4): Population Density, Revision 11. Center for International Earth Science Information Network. Cited 31 March 2022. <https://doi.org/10.7927/H49C6VHW>. Accessed 31st March 2022.
- Ciolacu, T.** 2017. Current state of humus in arable chernozems of Moldova. *Scientific Papers-Series A, Agronomy*, 60: 57–60.
- Clément, C.C., Cambouris, A.N., Ziadi, N., Zebarth, B.J. & Karam, A.** 2020. Nitrogen source and rate effects on residual soil nitrate and overwinter no<sub>3</sub>-n losses for irrigated potatoes on sandy soils. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 100(1): 44–57.
- Cohen, J.C.P., Beltrão, J.C., Gandu, A.W. & Silva, R.R.** 2007. Influência do desmatamento sobre o ciclo hidrológico na Amazônia. *Ciência e Cultura*, 59(3): 36–39.
- Collantes, M.B. & Faggi, A.M.** 1999. Los humedales del sur de Sudamérica. In: A.I. Malvárez, ed. *Tópicos sobre humedales subtropicales y templados de Sudamérica*, pp. 15–25. Montevideo, Uruguay, UNESCO.
- Conceição, P.C., Bayer, C., Castilhos, Z.M.S., Mielniczuk, J. & Guterres, D.B.** 2007. Estoques de carbono orgânico num Chernossolo Argilúvico manejado sob diferentes ofertas de forragem no Bioma Pampa Sul-Riograndense. In *Anais do 3Ind Congresso Brasileiro de Ciência do Solo*. Gramado, Rio Grande do Sul.
- Cordeiro, F.R.** 2020. Funções de Pedotransferência para Padronização de Base de Dados, Critérios de Classificação Taxonômica e Susceptibilidade Magnética em Terra Preta de Índio. Department of Soil. Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro. Master dissertation.
- Corporación Nacional Forestal (CONAF).** 2006. Catastro de uso del suelo y vegetación, región de Magallanes y Antártica Chilena. *Monitoreo y actualización 2006*. Santiago de Chile.
- Cuervo-Barahona, E.L., Cely-Reyes, G.E. & Moreno-Pérez, D.F.** 2016. Determinación de las fracciones de carbono orgánico en el suelo del páramo La Cortadera, Boyacá. *Ingenio Magno*, 7(2): 139–149.

- Cui, W.L., Wang, J.J., Zhu, J. & Kong, F.Z. 2017. "Lishu black land culture" continues to heat up. *Jilin Daily*. [http://jjuban.moa.gov.cn/fwllm/qgxxlb/qg/201709/t20170914\\_5815758.htm](http://jjuban.moa.gov.cn/fwllm/qgxxlb/qg/201709/t20170914_5815758.htm)
- Cumba, A., Imbellone, P. & Ligier, A. 2005. Propiedades morfológicas, físicas, químicas y mineralógicas de suelos del sur de Corrientes. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina*, 60 (3): 579–590.
- Cunha, J.M., Campos, M.C.C., Gaio, D.C., Souza, Z.M., Soares, M.D.R., Silva, D.M.P. & Simões, E.L. 2018. Spatial variability of soil respiration in Archaeological Dark Earth areas in the Amazon. *Catena*, 162(5): 148–156.
- Cunha, J.M., Gaio, D.C., Campos, M.C.C. Soares, M.D.R., Silva, D.M.P. & Lima, A.F.L. 2017. Atributos físicos e estoque de carbono do solo em áreas de Terra Preta Arqueológica da Amazônia. *Revista Ambiente & Água*, 12 (3): 263–281.
- Cunha, L., Brown, G.G., Stanton, D.W.G., Da Silva, E., Hansel, F.A., Jorge, G., McKey, D., Vidal-Torrado, P., Macedo, R., Velasquez, E., James, S., Samuel, W. & Lavelle, P.K. 2016. Soil animals and pedogenesis: the role of earthworms in anthropogenic soils. *Soil Science*, 181(3–4): 110–125. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SS.000000000000144>
- Degens, B.P. 1997. Macro-aggregation of soils by biological bonding and binding mechanisms and the factors affecting these: a review. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 35: 431–459. <https://doi.org/10.1071/S96016>
- Demattê, J.L.I., Vidal-Torrado, P. & Sparovek, G. 1992. Influência da drenagem na morfogênese de solos desenvolvidos de rochas básicas no município de Piracicaba (SP). *Rev. Bras. Ci Solo*, 16: 241–247.
- Demetrio, W.C., Conrado, A.C., Acioli, A.N.S., Ferreira, A.C., Bartz, M.L.C., James, S.W., da Silva, E., Maia, Lilliane S., Martins, Gilvan C., Macedo, Rodrigo S., Stanton, David W. G., Lavelle, P., Velasquez, E., Zangerlé, A., Barbosa, R., Tapia-Coral, S.C., Muniz, A.W., Santos, A., Ferreira, T., Segalla, R., Decaëns, T., Nadolny, H.S., Peña-Venegas, C.P., Maia, C.M.B.F., Pasini, A., Mota, A.F., Taube Júnior, P.S., Silva, T.A.C., Rebellato, L., de Oliveira Júnior, R.C., Neves, E.G., Lima, H.P., Feitosa, R.M., Torrado, P.V., McKey, D., Clement, C.R., Shock, M.P., Teixeira, W.G., Motta, A.C.V., Melo, V.F., Dieckow, J., Garrastazu, M.C., Chubatsu, L.S., Kille, P., TPI Network, Brown, G.G. & Cunha, L. 2021. A "Dirty" Footprint: Macroinvertebrate diversity in Amazonian anthropic soils. *Global Change Biology*, 27(19): 4575–4591. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15752>
- Deng, F., Wang, H., Xie, H., Bao, X., He, H., Zhang, X. & Liang, C. 2021. Low-disturbance Farming Regenerates Healthy Deep Soil towards Sustainable Agriculture. *bioRxiv*: 828673.
- Derpsch, R. 2003. Conservation tillage, no-tillage and related technologies. In *Conservation agriculture*, pp. 181–190. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Derpsch, R., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A. & Hongwen, L. 2010. Current status of adoption of no-till farming in the world and some of its main benefits. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 3: 1–25.
- Díaz Barradas, M.C., García Novo, F., Collantes, M.B & Zunzunegui, M. 2001. Vertical structure of a wet grassland under and non-grazed conditions in Tierra del Fuego. *J Veg Sci*, 12: 385–390.
- Díaz-Zorita, M., Duarte, G. A. & Grove, J. H. 2002. A review of no-till systems and soil management for sustainable crop production in the subhumid and semiarid Pampas of Argentina. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 65(1), 1–18.
- Dick, C., Cattani, D. & Entz, M.H. 2018. Kernza intermediate wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum intermedium*) grain production as influenced by legume intercropping and residue management. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 98(6):1376–1379.
- Dick, W. A. & Gregorich, E. G. 2004. Developing and maintaining soil organic matter levels. *Managing soil quality: Challenges in modern agriculture*, 103: 120.
- Ding, J., Jiang, X., Ma, M., Zhou, B., Guan, D., Zhao, B., Zhou, J., Cao, F., Li, L. & Li, J. 2016. Effect of 35 years inorganic fertilizer and manure amendment on structure of bacterial and archaeal communities in black soil of northeast China. *Applied soil ecology*, 105: 187–195.
- Ding, X., Han, X., Liang, Y., Qiao, Y., Li, L. & Li, N. 2012. Changes in soil organic carbon pools after 10 years of continuous manuring combined with chemical fertilizer in a Mollisol in China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 122: 36–41.
- Ding, X., Zhang, B., Zhang, X., Yang, X. & Zhang, X. 2011. Effects of tillage and crop rotation on soil microbial residues in a rainfed agroecosystem of northeast China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 114(1): 43–49.
- Dmytruk, Y. 2021. Report on multiple cross-sectoral LDN monitoring benefits developed (In Ukrainian). GCP/UKR/004/GEF (unpublished).

- Dodds, W. K., Blair, J. M., Hnebry, G. M., Koelliker, J. K., Ramundo, R. & Tate, C. M. 1996. Nitrogen transport from tallgrass Prairie Watersheds. *Environmental Quality J.*, 25: 973- 981. On line. 1537-2537
- Dodds, W.K. & Smith, V.H. 2016. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and eutrophication in streams. *Inland Waters*, 6(2): 155-164. <https://doi.org/10.5268/IW-6.2.909>
- Domzał, H., Gliński, J. & Lipiec, J. 1991. Soil compaction research in Poland. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 19(2-3): 99-109.
- Dörner, J., Dec, D., Thiers, O., Paulino, L., Zúñiga, F., Valle, S., Martínez, O. & Horn, R. 2016. Spatial and temporal variability of physical properties of Aquands under different land uses in southern Chile. *Soil Use and Management* 32, 411-421. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12286>.
- Dumont, B., Carrère, P., Ginane, C., Farruggia, A., Lanore, L., Tardif, A., Decuq, F., Darsonville, O. & Louault, F. 2011. Plant-herbivore interactions affect the initial direction of community changes in an ecosystem manipulation experiment. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 12(3):187-194.
- Durán, A, Morrás, H., Studdert, G. & Liu, X. 2011. Distribution, properties, land use and management of Mollisols in South America. *Chinese Geographical Science*, 21 (5): 511-530.
- Duran, A. 2010. *An overview of South American Mollisols: Soil formation, classification, suitability and environmental challenges*. In: *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Soil Quality and Management of World Mollisols*. northeast Forestry University Press, Harbin.
- Durand, R. & Dutil, P. 1971. Soil evolution in a calcic and magnesian clay material in the Der country, Haute-Marne. *Sci Sol*, 1: 65-78.
- Dusén, P. 1903. Die Pflanzenvereine der Magellansländern nebst einem Beitrage zur Ökologie der Magellanischen Vegetation. *Svenska Exped Magellansländerna*, 3: 351-521.
- Duulatov, E., Pham, Q. B., Alamanov, S., Orozbaev, R., Issanova, G. & Asankulov, T. 2021. Assessing the potential of soil erosion in Kyrgyzstan based on RUSLE, integrated with remote sensing. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 80(18): 1-13.
- Dybdal, S.E. 2019. Sinograin II project: Tomorrow's development collaboration. In: Nibio. Cited 3 June 2022. <https://www.nibio.no/nyheter/sinograin-ii-project-tomorrows-development-collaboration>
- Dybdal, S.E. 2020. Black soil – China's giant panda in cultivated land – Nibio. In: Nibio. Cited 3 June 2022. <https://www.nibio.no/en/news/black-soil--chinas-giant-panda-in-cultivated-land>
- Eckmeier, E., Gerlach, R. Gehrt, E. & Schmidt, M. W. I 2007. Pedogenesis of Chernozems in Central Europe a review. *Geoderma*, 288-299.
- Eldridge, D.J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Travers, S.K., Val, J. & Oliver, I. 2017. Do grazing intensity and herbivore type affect soil health? Insights from a semi-arid productivity gradient. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 54: 976-985.
- Engel, R. E., Romero, C. M., Carr, P. & Torrión, J. A. 2019. Performance of nitrate compared with urea fertilizer in a semiarid climate of the northern great plains. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 99(3): 345-355.
- Entz, M.H., Baron, V.S., Carr, P.M., Meyer, D.W., Smith Jr, S.R. & McCaughey, W.P. 2002. Potential of forages to diversify cropping systems in the northern Great Plains. *Agronomy Journal*, 94(2): 240-250.
- Erickson, C.L. 2008. Amazonia: the historical ecology of a domesticated landscape. In: H. Silverman & W. Isbell, eds. *Handbook of South American Archaeology*, pp. 157-183. Springer.
- Eswaran, H., Almaraz, R., van den Berg, E. & Reich, P. 1997. An assessment of the soil resources of Africa in relation to productivity. *Geoderma*, 77: 1-18.
- Evans, P. & Halliwell, B. 2001. Micronutrients: oxidant/antioxidant status. *British journal of nutrition*, 85(S2): S67-S74.
- Fan, R., Liang, A., Yang, X., Zhang, X., Shen, Y. & Shi, X. 2010. Effects of tillage on soil aggregates in black soils in northeast China. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 43(18): 3767-3775.
- Fan, R., Zhang, X., Liang, A., Shi, X., Chen, X., Bao, K., Yang, X. & Jia, S. 2012. Tillage and rotation effects on crop yield and profitability on a Black soil in northeast China. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 92(3): 463-470.
- FAO. 2020. Environment Statistics. Mineral and Chemical Fertilizers: 1961-2018 [online]. [Cited 12 March 2021]. <http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/environment/data/mineral-and-chemical-fertilizers/en/>
- Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho, G., Jobbágy, E.G., Jackson, R.B. & Otero-Casal, C. 2017. Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(40): 10572-10577.

- FAO & ITPS. 2015. Status of the World's Soil Resources (SWSR) – Main report. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and Intergovernmental Technical Panel on Soils*, Rome, Italy. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/6814873-efc3-41db-b7d3-2081a10ede50/>
- FAO & ITPS. 2021. *Recarbonizing global soils – A technical manual of recommended management practices*. Rome, FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb6386en>
- FAO & UNEP. 2021. *Global assessment of soil pollution – Summary for policy makers*. Rome, FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb4827en>
- FAO, ISRIC & JRC. 2012. *Harmonized world soil database*. Harmonised World Soil Database (version 1.2).
- FAO. 2002. *Captura de carbono en los suelos para un mejor manejo de la tierra*. Informes sobre recursos mundiales de suelos. Roma.
- FAO. 2015. *Healthy soils are the basis for healthy food production*. Rome, Italy, FAO. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/645883cd-ba28-4b16-a7b8-34babbb3c505/>
- FAO. 2017. Global Soil Organic Carbon Map. In: *FAO Land and Water Division*. Rome. Cited 5 December 2017. <https://www.fao.org/world-soil-day/about-wsd/wsd-2017/global-soil-organic-carbon-map/en/>
- FAO. 2019. *Black Soils definition*. Cited 20 October 2020. <http://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership/intergovernmental-technical-panel-soils/gsoc17-implementation/internationalnetworkblacksoils/more-on-black-soils/definition-what-is-a-black-soil/en/>
- FAO. 2020. *Soil testing methods manual – Soil Doctors Global Programme – A farmer-to-farmer training programme*. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/ca2796en>
- FAO. 2022a. *Global Map of Black Soils*. Rome, Italy, FAO. <https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc0236en>
- FAO. 2022b. Global Soil Laboratory Network (GLOSOLAN). In: *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*. Cited 12 October 2022. <https://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership/glosolan/en/>
- FAO. 2022c. *Global Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration Potential Map – SOCseq v. I. I*. Technical report. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb9002en>
- FAO. 2022d. *Global Soil Organic Carbon Map – GSOCmap v. I. 6: Technical report*. Rome, FAO. <https://books.google.com.mx/books?id=ML1qEAAAQBAJ>
- FAO-UNESCO. 1981. *Soil map of the world 1:5 000 000*. FAO, Rome.
- Farkas, C., Hagyó, A., Horváth, E. & Várallyay, G. 2008. A Chernozem soil water regime response to predicted climate change scenarios. *Soil and Water Research*, 3 (Special Issue 1).
- Farsang, A., Babcsányi, I., Ladányi, Z., Perei, K., Bodor, A., Csányi, K.T. & Barta, K. 2020. Evaluating the effects of sewage sludge compost applications on the microbial activity, the nutrient and heavy metal content of a Chernozem soil in a field survey. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 13(19): 1–9.
- Fey, M.V. 2010. *Soils of South Africa*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Fileccia, T., Guadagni, M., Hovhera, V. & Bernoux, M. 2014. *Ukraine: Soil Fertility to Strengthen Climate Resilience*. Washington, DC, World Bank and FAO.
- Filipová L. 2011. Soil and vegetation of meadow wetlands (Vegas) in the South of the Chilean Patagonia. Faculty of Science Department of Botany, University Olomouc. PhD dissertation.
- Filipová L., Hédl R. & Covacevich N. 2010. Variability of the soil types in meadow wetlands in the south of the Chilean Patagonia. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 70(2): 266–277.
- Findmypast. 2015. 1939: The year the dust settled. In: *Findmypast – Genealogy, Ancestry, History blog from Findmypast*. Cited 6 June 2022. <https://www.findmypast.com/blog/history/1939-the-year-the-dust-bowl-settled>
- Fischer, R.A. & Connor, D.J. 2018. Issues for cropping and agricultural science in the next 20 years. *Field Crops Research*, 222: 121–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2018.03.008>
- Focht, T. & Medeiros R.B. 2012. Prevention of natural grassland invasion by *Eragrostis plana* Nees using ecological management practices. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 41: 1816–1823.
- Follett, R. F. 2001. Soil management concepts and carbon sequestration in cropland soils. *Soil and tillage research*, 61(1–2): 77–92.
- Foster, P. 2001. The potential negative impacts of global climate change on tropical montane cloud forests. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 55: 73–106.

- Freitas, P.L. de & Landers, J.N.** 2014. The transformation of agriculture in Brazil through development and adoption of Zero Tillage Conservation Agriculture. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 2: 35–46.
- Fujii H., Mori S., & Matsumoto Y.** 2021. Tohoku region. In: R. Hatano, H. Shinjo & Y. Takata, eds. *The Soil of Japan*, pp. 69–134, Springer.
- Fujino A. & Matsumoto E.** 1992. *Topsoil erosion on the cropland in the Sugadaira Basin, Central Japan* (In Japanese). Bulletin of Environmental Research Center, the University of Tsukuba, 16: 69–77.
- Fujita T., Okuda T. & Fujie K.** 2007. Influence of colian dust brought from northern Asia continent on the parent materials in a fine-textured soil developed on the bedrock of the tertiary rock near cape Saruyama, in Noto peninsula, central Japan (In Japanese with English summary). *Pedologist*, 51: 97–103.
- Galán, S.** 2003. Manejo y Enriquecimiento del Bosque a Partir del Uso de las Chagras y Rastrojos de un Núcleo Familiar Indígena en Araracuara, Medio Río Caquetá (Amazonia colombiana). *Departament of Ecology. Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá*:37.
- Gao, M., Guo, Y., Liu, J., Liu, J., Adl, S., Wu, D. & Lu, T.** 2021. Contrasting beta diversity of spiders, carabids, and ants at local and regional scales in a black soil region, northeast China. *Soil Ecology Letters*, 3(2): 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42832-020-0071-1>
- Gao, X., Asgedom, H., Tenuta, M. & Flaten, D. N.** 2015. Enhanced efficiency urea sources and placement effects on nitrous oxide emissions. *Agronomy Journal*, 107(1): 265–277.
- Gao, X., Shaw, W. S., Tenuta, M. & Gibson, D.** 2018. Yield and Nitrogen Use of Irrigated Processing Potato in Response to Placement, Timing and Source of Nitrogen Fertilizer in Manitoba. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 95(5): 513–525.
- Garcia-Franco, N., Hobbey, E., Hübner, R. & Wiesmeier, M.** 2018. Climate-smart soil management in semiarid regions. *Soil management and climate change*, pp. 349–368. Elsevier.
- Geng, X., VandenBygaart, A.J. & He, J.** 2021. Soil organic carbon sequestration potential assessment using Roth-C model from the agriculture land of Canada. FAO.
- German, L.A.** 2003. Historical contingencies in the coevolution of environment and livelihood: contributions to the debate on Amazonian Black Earth. *Geoderma*, 111(3): 307–331.
- Giani, L., Makowsky, L. & Mueller, K.** 2014. Plaggic Anthrosol: Soil of the Year 2013 in Germany: An overview on its formation, distribution, classification, soil function and threats. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 177(3): 320–329.
- Glaser, B. & Birk, J.J.** 2012. State of the scientific knowledge on properties and genesis of Anthropogenic Dark Earths in Central Amazonia (terra preta de Índio). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica acta*, 82: 39–51.
- Glaser, B., Haumaier, L., Guggenberger, G. & Zech, W.** 2001. The ‘Terra Preta’ Phenomenon: A Model for Sustainable Agriculture in the Humid Tropics. *Naturwissenschaften*, 88: 37–41.
- Glauber, J., Laborde, D. & Mamun, A.** 2022. From bad to worse: How Russia-Ukraine war-related export restrictions exacerbate global food insecurity. In: *International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)*. Cited 1 June 2022. <https://www.ifpri.org/blog/bad-worse-how-export-restrictions-exacerbate-global-food-security>
- Gollany, H.T., Rickman, R.W., Liang, Y., Albrecht, S.L., Machado, S. & Kang, S.** 2011. Predicting agricultural management influence on long-term soil organic carbon dynamics: Implications for biofuel production. *Agronomy Journal*, 103(1): 234–246. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2010.0203s>
- Gong, H., Meng, D., Li, X. & Zhu, F.** 2013. Soil degradation and food security coupled with global climate change in northeastern China. *Chinese Geographical Science*, 23(5): 562–573.
- Gregg, J.S. & Izaurralde, R.C.** 2010. Effect of crop residue harvest on long-term crop yield, soil erosion and nutrient balance: trade-offs for a sustainable bioenergy feedstock. *Biofuels*, 1(1): 69–83.
- Gregorich, E. G. & Anderson, D. W.** 1985. Effects of cultivation and erosion on soils of four toposequences in the Canadian prairies. *Geoderma*, 36: 343–354.
- Grekov, Datsko, L.V., Zhilkin, V.A., Maistrenko, M.I. & Datsko, M.O.** 2011. *Methodical instructions for soil protection* (In Ukrainian). Kyiv, The State Center of Soil Fertility Protection. 108 pp.
- Guilpart, N., Grassini, P., Sadras, V.O., Timsina, J. & Cassman, K.G.** 2017. Estimating yield gaps at the cropping system level. *Field Crops Research*, 206: 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.02.008>
- Guo, Y., Amundson, R., Gong, P. & Yu, Q.** 2006. Quantity and Spatial Variability of Soil Carbon in the Conterminous United States. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 70: 590–600.



- Guo, Y., Luo, L., Chen, G., Kou, Y. & Xu, H.** 2013. Mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from a maize-cropping black soil in northeast China by a combination of reducing chemical N fertilizer application and applying manure in autumn. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 59(3): 392–402.
- Gupta, S.C. & Allmaras, R.R.** 1987. Models to assess the susceptibility of soils to excessive compaction. In: B.A. Stewart, ed. *Advances in Soil Science*. pp. 65–100. New York, NY, Springer New York.
- Halde, C., Bamford, K.C. & Entz, M.H.** 2015. Crop agronomic performance under a six-year continuous organic no-till system and other tilled and conventionally-managed systems in the northern Great Plains of Canada. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 213: 121–130.
- Han, J., Mao, K., Xu, T., Guo, J., Zuo, Z. & Gao, C.** 2018. A Soil Moisture Estimation Framework Based on the CART Algorithm and Its Application in China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.05.051>
- Han, X., Wang, S., Veneman, P.L. & Xing, B.** 2006. Change of organic carbon content and its fractions in black soil under long-term application of chemical fertilizers and recycled organic manure. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 37(7–8): 1127–1137.
- Han, Y., Chen, X., Wang, E. & Xia, X.** 2019. Optimum biochar preparations enhance phosphorus availability in amended Mollisols of northeast China. *Chilean journal of agricultural research*, 79(1): 153–164.
- Han, Z.M., Deng, M.W., Yuan, A.Q., Wang, J.H., Li, H. & Ma, J.C.** 2018. Vertical variation of a black soil's properties in response to freeze-thaw cycles and its links to shift of microbial community structure. *Sci. Total Environ*, 625: 106–113.
- Hansen, M. C., P. V. Potapov, R. Moore, M. Hancher, S. A. Turubanova, A. Tyukavina, D. Thau, S. V. Stehman, S. J. Goetz, T. R. Loveland, A. Kommareddy, A. Egorov, L. Chini, C. O. Justice, & Townshend, J. R. G.** 2013. "High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change." *Science* 342 (15 November): 850–53. 10.1126/science.1244693. <https://glad.earthengine.app/view/global-forest-change>.
- Hao, X., Han, X., Wang, S. & Li, L.** 2022. Dynamics and composition of soil organic carbon in response to 15 years of straw return in a Mollisol. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 215: 105221.
- Hartemink, A.E., Krasilnikov, P. & Bockheim, J.G.** 2013. Soil maps of the world. *Geoderma*, 207: 256–267.
- Hayes, W.A.** 1985. Conservation Tillage Systems and Equipment Requirements. In F. D'Itri, ed. *A Systems Approach to Conservation Tillage*. Boca Raton, the USA, CRC Press.
- Haynes, R. J. & Naidu, R.** 1998. Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical conditions: a review. *Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems*, 51(2): 123–137.
- Herrero-Jáuregui, C. & Oesterheld, M.** 2018. Effects of grazing intensity on plant richness and diversity: A meta-analysis. *Oikos*, 127(6), 757–766.
- Hincapié, J.C.A., Castillo, C.B., Argüello, S.C., Aguilera, D.P.R., Holguín, F.S., Triana, J.V. & Lopera, A.** 2002. Transformación y cambio en el uso del suelo en los páramos de Colombia en las últimas décadas. In: C. Castaño, ed. *Páramos y ecosistemas alto andinos de Colombia en condición hotspot y global climatic tensor*, pp. 211–333. Bogotá, IDEAM.
- History.** 2020. Dust Bowl. In: *HISTORY*. Cited 6 June 2022. <https://www.history.com/topics/great-depression/dust-bowl>
- Hofstede, R.G. & Rossenaar, A.J.** 1995. Biomass of grazed, burned, and undisturbed Paramo Grasslands, Colombia. II. Root mass and aboveground: Belowground ratio. *Arct. Alp. Res*, 27: 13–18.
- Hofstede, R.G.** 1995. The effects of grazing and burning on soil and plant nutrient concentrations in Colombian paramo grasslands. *Plant Soil*, 173: 111–132.
- Hofstede, R.G.** 2001. El Impacto de las actividades humanas sobre el Páramo. In: *Los Páramos del Ecuador, particularidades, problemas y perspectivas*, pp. 161–182. Quito, Ecuador, Editorial Abya-Yala.
- Holland, J.M.** 2004. The environmental consequences of adopting conservation tillage in Europe: reviewing the evidence. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 103(1): 1–25.
- Holmes, K.W., Griffin, E.A. & Odgers, N.P.** 2015. Large-area spatial disaggregation of a mosaic of conventional soil maps: evaluation over Western Australia. *Soil Research*, 53(8): 865–880.
- Horn, S.P. & Kappelle, M.** 2009. Fire in the paramo ecosystems of Central and South America. In *Tropical Fire Ecology*, pp. 505–539. Heidelberg, Berlin, Germany, Springer.

- Hospodarenko, H., Trus, O. & Prokopchuk, I.** 2012. Humus Conservation Conditions in a Field Crop Rotation. *Biological Syst.*, 4: 31–34.
- Hothorn, T.** 2022. CRAN Task View: Machine Learning & Statistical Learning. Cited 7 March 2022. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/view=MachineLearning>
- Hou, D.** 2022. China: protect black soil for biodiversity. *Nature*, 604(7904): 40–40. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-00942-6>
- Haiwi, M.** 2001. Soils of the Syrian Arab Republic. Soil resources of Southern and Eastern Mediterranean countries. *CIHEAM, Bari*, 227–242.
- Imbellone, P. & Mormeneo, L.** 2011. Vertisoles hidromórficos de la planicie costera del Río de la Plata, Argentina. *Ciencia del Suelo*, 29: 107–127.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística (INE).** 2014. *Estadística Pecuaria, período 2008–2013 y primer semestre 2014*. Santiago, Chile.
- Instituto Nacional De Estadística (INE).** 2007. *XII Censo Agropecuario y Forestal*. Santiago, Chile.
- IPCC.** 2019. Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M. Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, J. Malley, (eds.)]. In press.
- Isbell, R. F.** 1991. Australian vertisols. *Characterization, classification and utilization of cold Aridisols and Vertisols. Proc. VI ISCOM, USDA-SCS*. National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln NB:73–80.
- Iturraspe, R. & Uriuolo.** 2000. Caracterización de las cuencas hídricas de Tierra del Fuego. Actas del XVIII Congreso Nacional del Agua. Junio de 2000, Termas de Río Hondo, Santiago del Estero.
- IUSS Working Group WRB.** 2006. World Reference Base. World reference base for soil resources. Available at: <https://www.fao.org/soils-portal/data-hub/soil-classification/world-reference-base/en/>
- IUSS Working Group WRB.** 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome.
- Iutynskaya, G. A. & Patyka V. F.** 2010. Soil biology: problems and perspectives (In Ukrainian). Agricultural chemistry and soil science. *Proceedings of Soil Science Council*. Vol. 1, Zhitomir, Ruta, 2008 pp.
- Ivelic-Sáez, J., Dörner, J., Arumí, J.L., Cisternas, L., Valenzuela, J., Muñoz, E., Clasing, R., Valle, S., Radic, S., Alonso, H., López, R., Uribe, H., Muñoz, R., Ordoñez, I. & Carrasco, J.** 2021. Balance hídrico de humedales de uso agropecuario: El primer paso para el mejoramiento en la gestión hídrica a nivel predial en Magallanes”. Una investigación multidisciplinaria. *Centro Regional de Investigación Kampenaike. Boletín INIA N°435*, pp. 162. Punta Arenas, Chile.
- Japanese Soil Conservation Research Project Nationwide Council.** 2012. National Farmland Soil Guidebook (In Japanese). Japan Soil Association, Tokyo, 121 p.
- Jat, M. L., Cathala, M. K., Ladha, J. K., Saharawat, Y. S., Jat, A. S., Kumar, V., Sharma, S. K., Kumar, V. & Gupta, R.** 2009. Evaluation of precision land leveling and double zero-till systems in the rice-wheat rotation: Water use, productivity, profitability and soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 105(1): 112–121.
- Jian, J., Du, X., Reiter, M.S. & Stewart, R.D.** 2020. A meta-analysis of global cropland soil carbon changes due to cover cropping. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 143: 107735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107735>
- Jin, L., Wei, D., Yin, D., Zhou, B., Ding, J., Wang, W., Zhang, J., Qiu S., Zhang C., Li, Y., An, Z., Gu, J. & Wang, L.** 2020. Investigations of the effect of the amount of biochar on soil porosity and aggregation and crop yields on fertilized black soil in northern China. *Plos one*, 15(11): e0238883.
- Johnson, W. G., Davis, V. M., Kruger, G. R. & Weller, S. C.** 2009. Influence of glyphosate-resistant cropping systems on weed species shifts and glyphosate-resistant weed populations. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 31(3): 162–172.
- Jones, A., Breuning-Madsen, H., Brossard, M., Dampha, A., Deckers, J., Dewitte, O., Gallali, T., Hallett, S., Jones, R., Kilasara, M., Le Roux, P., Micheli, E., Montanarella, L., Spaargaren, O., Thiombiano, L., Van Ranst, E., Yemefack, M. & Zougmoré, R.** 2013. Soil Atlas of Africa. *European Commission*, pp. 176. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

- Ju, X., Liu, X., Zhang, F. & Roelcke, M.** 2004. Nitrogen fertilization, soil nitrate accumulation, and policy recommendations in several agricultural regions of China. *Ambio*, 33(6): 300–305. <https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-33.6.300>
- Kahimba, F.C., Ranjan, R.S., Froese, J., Entz, M. & Nason, R.** 2008. Cover crop effects on infiltration, soil temperature, and soil moisture distribution in the Canadian Prairies. *Applied engineering in agriculture*, 24(3): 321–333.
- Kämpf, N., Woods, W., Sombroek, W., Kern, D. & T. Cunha, T.** 2003. Classification of Amazonian Dark Earths and other ancient anthropic soils. In J. Lehmann, D.K.B. Glaser D.K.B. & W. Woods, eds. *Amazonian Dark Earths: Origin, Properties, Management*, pp. 77–102. The Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Kay, B.D.** 1990. Rates of change of soil structure under different cropping systems. In: Stewart, B.A, eds. *Advances in Soil Science*, vol 12. New York, NY, Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3316-9\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-3316-9_1)
- Kazakova, I.** 2016. The impact of global changes at soil resources and agricultural production (In Ukrainian). *Agricultural and Resource Economics: International Scientific E-Journal*, 2(1): 21–44.
- Kern, D.C. & Kämpf, N.** 1989. Old Indian settlements on the formation of soils with archaeological black earth at Oriximina region (In Portuguese). *Para, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo*, 13: 219–225.
- Kern, J., Giani, L., Teixeira, W., Lanza, G. & Glaser, B.** 2019. What can we learn from ancient fertile anthropic soil (Amazonian Dark Earths, shell mounds, Plaggen soil) for soil carbon sequestration? *Catena*, 172: 104–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.08.008>
- Kobza, J. & Pálka, B.** 2017. Contribution to black soils in Slovakia according to INBS criteria. [In Slovak: Príspevok k tmavým pôdam na Slovensku podľa kritérií INBS]. *Proceedings of Soil Science and Conservation Research Institute*, 29: 34–42.
- Kogan, F., Adamenko, T. & Kulbida, M.** 2011. Satellite-based crop production monitoring in Ukraine and regional food security. In F. Kogan, A. Powell & O. Fedorov, eds. *Use of satellite and in-situ data to improve sustainability*. pp. 99–104. NATO Science for Peace and Security Series C: Environmental Security. Paper presented at, 2011, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9618-0\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9618-0_11)
- Kostić, M.M., Tagarakis, A.C., Ljubičić, N., Blagojević, D., Radulović, M., Ivošević, B. & Rakić, D.** 2021. The Effect of N Fertilizer Application Timing on Wheat Yield on Chernozem Soil. *Agronomy*, 11(7): 1413.
- Krasilnikov, P., Martí, J.-J. I., Arnold, R. & Shoba, S.** 2009. *A handbook of soil terminology, correlation and classification*. London, Sterling, UK, Earthscan. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849774352>
- Krasilnikov, P., Sorokin, A., Golozubov, O. & Bezuglova, O.** 2018. Managing chernozems for advancing sdgs. In R. Lal, R. Horn & T. Kosaki, eds. *Soil and Sustainable Development Goals*, pp. 175–188. GeoEcology Essays, Catena-Schweizerbart Stuttgart.
- Krupenikov, I.A.** 1992. *The soil layer of Moldova: past, present, management, forecast* [In Slovak: Moldova: Proshloe, nastoyashchec, upravlenie, prognoz].
- Kucher, A.** 2017. Adaptation of the agricultural land use to climate change (In Ukrainian). *Agricultural and Resource Economics: International Scientific E-Journal*, 3(1): 119–138.
- Lafond, G.P., Brandt S.A., Clayton G.W., Irvine R.B. & May W.E.** 2011a. Rainfed Farming Systems on the Canadian Prairies. In: Tow P., Cooper I., Partridge I., Birch C. (eds) *Rainfed Farming Systems*. Dordrecht, the UK, Springer.
- Lafond, G.P., Walley, F., May, W.E. & Holzapfel, C.B.** 2011b. Long term impact of no-till on soil properties and crop productivity on the Canadian prairies. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 117: 110–123.
- Lal, R.** 2014. Soil conservation and ecosystem services. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 2(3): 36–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-6339\(15\)30021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-6339(15)30021-6)
- Lal, R.** 2019. Accelerated soil erosion as a source of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 188: 35–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2018.02.001>
- Lal, R.** 2021. Managing Chernozem for Reducing Global Warming. In: D. Dent & B. Boincean, eds. *Regenerative Agriculture*. Cham, Springer International Publishing, 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72224-1\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72224-1_7)
- Lal, R., Monger, C., Nave, L. & Smith, P.** 2021. The role of soil in regulation of climate. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 376: 20210084.
- Landi, A., Mermut, A. R., & Anderson, D. W.** 2003a. Origin and Rate of Pedogenic Carbonate Accumulation in Saskatchewan Soils, Canada. *Geoderma*. 117:143–156.

- Landi, A., Anderson D. W. & Mermut A. R.** 2003b. Organic carbon storage and stable isotope composition of soils along a grassland to forest environmental gradient in Saskatchewan. *Can. J. Soil Sci*, 83: 405–414.
- Landi, A., Mermut A. R. & Anderson, D. W.** 2004. Carbon Dynamics in a Hummocky Landscape from Saskatchewan. *SSSAJ*, 68: 175–184.
- Laos, F., Satti, P., Walter, I., Mazzarino, M.J. & Moyano, S.** 2000. Nutrient availability of composted and noncomposted residues in a Patagonian Xeric Mollisol. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 31(6): 462–469.
- Laufer, D., Loibl, B., Märlander, B. & Koch, H.-J.** 2016. Soil erosion and surface runoff under strip tillage for sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) in Central Europe. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 162: 1–7.
- Lavado, R.** 2016. Degradación de suelos argentinos. In F. Pereyra & M. Torres Duggan, eds. *Suelos y Geología Argentina. Una visión integradora desde diferentes campos disciplinarios*. AACs-AGA, UNDAV Ediciones, pp. 313–328.
- Lavado, R.S. & Taboada, M. A.** 2009. The Argentinean Pampas: A key region with a negative nutrient balance and soil degradation needs better nutrient management and conservation programs to sustain its future viability as a world agroresource. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 64(5), 150A–153A. <https://doi.org/10.2489/jswc.64.5.150A>
- Lawinfochina.** 2022. Black Soil Protection Law of the People’s Republic of China, Cited 24 June 2022. <https://www.lawinfochina.com/display.aspx?id=38784&lib=law>
- Leah, T. & Cerbari, V.** 2015. Cover crops–Key to storing organic matter and remediation of degraded properties of soils in Moldova. *Scientific Papers-Series A, Agronomy*, 58: 73–76.
- Lee, J. & Gill, T.** 2015. Multiple causes of wind erosion in the Dust Bowl. *Aeolian Research*, 19: 15–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2015.09.002>
- Lehmann, J. & Joseph, S.** 2015. *Biochar for environmental management: science, technology and implementation*. Routledge.
- Lehmann, J.** 2009. Terra Preta Nova: where to from here?. In W. Woods *et al.*, eds. *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek’s vision*, pp. 473–486. Springer.
- Li, H., Yao, Y., Zhang, X., Zhu, H. & Wei, X.** 2021. Changes in soil physical and hydraulic properties following the conversion of forest to cropland in the black soil region of northeast China. *Catena*, 198: 104986.
- Li, H., Zhu, H., Qiu, L., Wei, X., Liu, B. & Shao, M.** 2020. Response of soil OC, N and P to land-use change and erosion in the black soil region of the northeast China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 302: 107081.
- Li, N., Lei, W., Sheng, M., Long, J. & Han, Z.** 2022. Straw amendment and soil tillage alter soil organic carbon chemical composition and are associated with microbial community structure. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 110: 103406.
- Li, P., Kong, D., Zhang, H., Xu, L., Li, C., Wu, M., Jiao, J., Li, D., Xu, L., Li, H. & Hu, F.** 2021. Different regulation of soil structure and resource chemistry under animal- and plant-derived organic fertilizers changed soil bacterial communities. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 165: 104020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104020>
- Li, S., Liu, X. & Ding, W.** 2016. Estimation of organic nutrient sources and availability for land application. *Better Crops*, 100: 4–6.
- Li, S., Lobb, D.A. & Lindstrom, M.J.** 2007. Tillage translocation and tillage erosion in cereal-based production in Manitoba, Canada. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 94(1): 164–182.
- Li, S., Liu, X. & He, P.** 2017. Analyses on nutrient requirements in current agriculture production in China. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Fertilizers*, 23: 1416–1432.
- Licht, M.A. & Al-Kaisi, M.** 2005. Strip-tillage effect on seedbed soil temperature and other soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 80: 233–249.
- Lima, H.N., Schaefer, C.E.R., Mello, J.W.V., Gilkes, R.J. & Ker, J.C.** 2002. Pedogenesis and Pre-Colombian Land Use of “Terra Preta Anthrosols” (“Indian black earth”) of Western Amazonia. *Geoderma*, 110: 1–17.
- Lins, J., Lima, H.P., Baccaro, F.B., Kinupp, V. F., Shepard Jr, G. H. & Clement, C.R.** 2015. Pre-Columbian floristic legacies in modern homegardens of Central Amazonia. *Plos one*, 10(6): 1–10.
- Liu, H., Wang, D., Wang, S., Meng, K., Han, X., Zhang, L. & Shen, S.** 2001. Changes of crop yields and soil fertility under long-term application of fertilizer and recycled nutrients in manure on a black soil. *Ying Yong Sheng tai xue bao= The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 12(1): 43–46.

- Liu, J., Yu, Z., Yao, Q., Hu, X., Zhang, W., Mi, G., Chen, X. & Wang, G. 2017. Distinct soil bacterial communities in response to the cropping system in a Mollisol of northeast China. *Applied soil ecology*, 119: 407–416.
- Liu, S., Fan, R., Yang, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, X. & Liang, A. 2019. Decomposition of maize stover varies with maize type and stover management strategies: A microcosm study on a black soil (Mollisol) in northeast China. *J. Environ. Manage.* 234: 226–236.
- Liu, X., Burras, C., Kravchenko, Y., Durán, A., Huffman, T., Morras, H., Studdert, G., Zhang, X., Cruse, R. & Yuan, X. 2012. Overview of Mollisols in the world: distribution, land use and management. *Can. J. Soil. Sci.* 92: 383–402.
- Liu, X., Herbert, S.J., Jin, J., Zhang, Q. & Wang, G. 2004. Responses of photosynthetic rates and yield/quality of main crops to irrigation and manure application in the black soil area of northeast China. *Plant and Soil*, 261(1): 55–60.
- Liu, X., Lee Burras, C., Kravchenko, Y.S., Duran, A., Huffman, T., Morras, H., Studdert, G., Xhang, X., Cruse, R.M. & Yuan, X.H. 2012. Overview of Mollisols in the world: Distribution, land use and management. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 92(3): 383–402. <https://doi.org/10.4141/cjss2010-058>
- Liu, X., Zhang, S., Zhang, X., Ding, G., & Cruse, R. M. 2011. Soil erosion control practices in northeast China: A mini-review. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 117, 44–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2011.08.005>
- Liu, X., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Sui, Y., Zhang, S., Herbert, S.J. & Ding, G. 2010. Soil degradation: a problem threatening the sustainable development of agriculture in northeast China. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 56(2): 87–97.
- Lupwayi, N.Z., May, W.E., Kanashiro, D.A. & Petri, R.M. 2018. Soil bacterial community responses to black medic cover crop and fertilizer N under no-till. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 124: 95–103.
- MacDonald, G.K., Bennett, E.M., Potter, P.A. & Ramankutty, N. 2011. Agronomic phosphorus imbalances across the world's croplands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(7): 3086–3091. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010808108>
- Maia, S.M., Ogle, S.M., Cerri, C.C. & Cerri, C.E. 2010. Changes in soil organic carbon storage under different agricultural management systems in the Southwest Amazon Region of Brazil. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 106 (2): 177–184.
- Malhi, S. S. & Lemke, R. 2007. Tillage, crop residue and N fertilizer effects on crop yield, nutrient uptake, soil quality and nitrous oxide gas emissions in a second 4-yr rotation cycle. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 96: 269–283.
- Malhi, S. S., Grant, C. A., Johnston, A. M. & Gill, K. S. 2001. Nitrogen fertilization management for no-till cereal production in the Canadian Great Plains: a review. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 60(3–4): 101–122.
- Malhi, S. S., Nyborg, M., Goddard, T. & Puurveen, D. 2011a. Long-term tillage, straw management and N fertilization effects on quantity and quality of organic C and N in a Black Chernozem soil. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 90(2): 227–241.
- Malhi, S. S., Nyborg, M., Solberg, E. D., Dyck, M. F. & Puurveen, D. 2011b. Improving crop yield and N uptake with long-term straw retention in two contrasting soil types. *Field Crop Research*, 124(3): 378–391.
- Malhi, S.S., Brandt, S.A., Lemke, R., Moulin, A.P. & Zentner, R.P. 2009. Effects of input level and crop diversity on soil nitrate-N, extractable P, aggregation, organic C and N, and nutrient balance in the Canadian Prairie. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 84: 1–22.
- Mamytov A.M. & Bobrov V.P. 1977. Black Earths of Central Asia (In Russian). Frunze, USSR.
- Mamytov, A.M. & Mamytova, G.A. 1988. Soils of the Issyk-Kul Basin and the adjacent territory (In Russian). Frunze, USSR.
- Mamytov, A.M. 1973. Features of Soil Formation in Mountainous Conditions (In Russian). Kirghiz Institute of Soil Science, vol. IV. Frunze, USSR.
- Mann, L. K. 1986. Changes in soil carbon storage after cultivation. *Soil Sci.* 142: 279–288.
- Manojlović, M., Aćin, V. & Šeremešić, S. 2008. Long-term effects of agronomic practices on the soil organic carbon sequestration in Chernozem. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 54(4): 353–367.
- Mapfumo, E. Chanasyk, D.S., Naeth, M.A. & Baron, V.S. 1999 Soil compaction under grazing of annual and perennial forages. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 79: 191–199.
- MARA (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs). 2020. northeast Black Soil Conservation Tillage Action Plan, adopted by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs. Cited 28 June 2022. [http://www.moa.gov.cn/nybgb/2020/202004/202005/t20200507\\_6343266.htm](http://www.moa.gov.cn/nybgb/2020/202004/202005/t20200507_6343266.htm)

- MARA (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs).** 2021. National Implementation Plan on Black Soil Protection (2021–2025), adopted by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of People’s Republic of China. Cited 28 June 2022. <http://www.moa.gov.cn/ztlz/gdzlbhys/htdbhl/202108/P020210804604124115741.pdf>
- Maranhão, D.D., Pereira, M.G., Collier, L.S., Anjos, L.H. dos, Azevedo, A.C. & Cavassani, R. de S.** 2020. Pedogenesis in a karst environment in the Cerrado biome, northern Brazil. *Geoderma*, 365: 114169.
- Martens, J. T., Entz, M. & Wonneck, M.** 2013. *Ecological farming systems on the Canadian prairies. A path to profitability, sustainability and resilience*. Manitoba: University of Manitoba.
- Matsui K., Takata Y., Matsuura S. & Wagai R.** 2021a. Soil organic carbon was more strongly linked with soil phosphate fixing capacity than with clay content across 20 000 agricultural soils in Japan: a potential role of reactive aluminum revealed by soil database approach. *Soil.Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 67: 233–242.
- Matsui K., Takata Y., Maejima Y., Kubotera H., Obara H. & Shirato Y.** 2021b. Soil carbon and nitrogen stock of the Japanese agricultural land estimated by the national soil monitoring database (2015–2018). *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* (In press)
- Matsumoto Y.** 1992. Soil conservation conducted by actual furrowing practice on steep farmland of Kuroboku soil (In Japanese). *J. Jap. Soc. Soil Phys.*, 66: 55–63.
- Matsuyama N., Saigusa M. et al.,** 2005. Acidification and soil productivity of allophanic andosols affected by application of fertilizers. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr.*, 51: 117–123.
- McConkey, B.G., Liang, B.C., Campbell, C.A., Curtin, D., Moulin, A., Brandt, S.A. & Lafond, G.P.** 2003. Crop rotation and tillage impact on carbon sequestration in Canadian prairie soils. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 74: 8190.
- McMichael, C. H., Palace, M. W., Bush, M. B., Braswell, B., Hagen, S., Neves, E. G., Silman M. R., Tamanaha E. K. & Czarnecki, C.** 2014. Predicting pre-Columbian anthropogenic soils in Amazonia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1777): 20132475.
- Medvedev, V.V.** 2012. Soil monitoring of the Ukraine. *The Concept. Results. Tasks. (2nd rev. and adv. edition)*. Kharkiv: CE “City printing house.
- Melo, A.F.D., Souza, C.M.M., Rego, L.G.S., Lima, N.S. & Moura, I.N.B.M.** 2017. Pedogênese de chernossolos derivados de diferentes materiais de origem no oeste potiguar. *Revista Agropecuária Científica no Semiárido*. 13: 229–235.
- Meng, Q., Zhao, S., Geng, R., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Yu, F., Zhang, J. & Ma, J.** 2021. Does biochar application enhance soil salinization risk in black soil of northeast China (a laboratory incubation experiment)? *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 67(11): 1566–1577.
- Menšík, L., Hlisenikovsky, L. & Kunzová, E.** 2019. The state of the soil organic matter and nutrients in the long-term field experiments with application of organic and mineral fertilizers in different soil-climate conditions in the view of expecting climate change. In *Organic fertilizers-history, production and applications*. IntechOpen.
- Merante, P., Dibari, C., Ferrise, R., Sánchez, B., Iglesias, A., Lesschen, J. P. Peter, K., Jagadeesh Y., Pete S. & Bindi, M.** 2017. Adopting soil organic carbon management practices in soils of varying quality: Implications and perspectives in Europe. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 165: 95–106.
- Mermut A. R. & Acton, D. F.** 1984. The Age of Some Holocene Soils on the Ear Lake Terraces in Saskatchewan. *Canadian J. Soil Science*. 64, 163–172.
- Milić, S., Ninkov, J., Zeremski, T., Latković, D., Šeremešić, S., Radovanović, V. & Žarković, B.** 2019. Soil fertility and phosphorus fractions in a calcareous chernozem after a long-term field experiment. *Geoderma*, 339: 9–19.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of the Russian Federation.** 2022. Central Black Earth State Reserve named after Professor V.V. Alekhine [In Russian]. In: *Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of the Russian Federation*. Russia. Cited 7 June 2022. <http://zapoved-kursk.ru/>
- Miroshnychenko, M. & Khodakivska, O.** 2018. Black soils in Ukraine. International Symposium on Black Soils (ISBS18): Protect Black Soils, Invest in the Future. Charbin
- Misra, R.V., Roy, R.N. & Hiraoka, H.** 2003. *On-farm composting methods*. Rome, Italy: UN-FAO. (also available at: <http://www.fao.org/docepr/007/y5104e/y5104e00.htm#Contents>)
- Modernel, P., Rossing, W.A.H., Corbeels, M., Dogliotti, S., Picasso V. & Tittonell, P.** 2016. Land use change and ecosystem service provision in Pampas and Campos grasslands of southern South America. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11–113002.

- Mokhtari, M. & Dehghani, M.** 2012. Swell-shrink behavior of expansive soils, damage and control. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 17: 2673–2682.
- Monger, H.C., Kraimer, R.A., Khresat, S., Cole, D.R., Wang, X.J. & Wang, J.P.** 2015a. Sequestration of inorganic carbon in soil and groundwater. *Geology*, 43:375–378. doi:10.1130/G36449.1.
- Monger, H.C., Sala, O.E., Duniway, M., Goldfus, H., Meir, I.A., Poch, R.M. & Vivoni, E.R.** 2015b. Legacy effects in linked ecological–soil–geomorphic systems of drylands. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 13(1): 13–19.
- Montanarella, L., Panagos, P. & Scarpa, S.** 2021. The Relevance of Black Soils for Sustainable Development. In D. Dent & B. Boincean, eds. *Regenerative Agriculture*, pp. 69–79. Cham, Springer.
- Montanarella, L., Pennock, D.J., McKenzie, N., Badraoui, M., Chude, V., Baptista, I., Mamo, T., Yemefack, M., Aulakh, m.s., Yagi, K., Hong, Suk Young., Vijarnsorn, P., Zhang, G., Arrouays, D., Black, H., Krasilnikov, P., JSobocká, A., Alegre, J., Henriquez, C.R., Mendonça-Santos, M.L., Taboada, M., Espinosa-Victoria, D., AlShankiti, A., AlaviPanah, S.K., Elsheikh, E.A.E.M., Hempel, J., Arbestain, M.C., Nachtergaele, F. & Ronald V.** 2016. World's soils are under threat. *SOIL*, 2(1): 79–82. <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-79-2016>
- Moon, D.** 2020. Soil Science I. In: The American Steppes: The Unexpected Russian Roots of Great Plains Agriculture, 1870s–1930s. pp. 188–225. Studies in Environment and History. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316217320.006>
- Mora, S.** 2003. Archaeobotanical methods for the study of Amazonian Dark Earths. In J. Lehmann, D. Kern, B. Glaser, & W. Woods, eds., *Amazonian Dark Earths: Origin, Properties, Management*, pp. 205–225. Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Morales, M., Otero, J., Van der Hammen, T., Torres, A., Cadena, C., Pedraza, C., Rodríguez, N., Franco, C., Betancourth, J.C., Olaya, E., Posada, E. & L. Cárdenas.** 2007. Atlas de páramos de Colombia. Instituto de Investigación de Recursos Biológicos Alexander von Humboldt, pp. 208. Bogotá, D. C.
- Morcote-Ríos, G. & Sicard, T.L.** 2012. *Las Terras Pretas del Igarapé Takana. Un Sistema de Cultivo Precolombino en Leticia-Amazonas*. Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia.
- Moretti, L., Morrás, H., Pereyra, F. & Schulz, G.** 2019. Soils of the Chaco Region. In G. Rubio, R. Lavado, & F. Pereyra, eds. *The soils of Argentina*, Chapter 10, pp. 149–160. World Soils Book Series, Switzerland, Springer.
- Morrás, H. & Moretti, L.** 2016. A new soil-landscape approach to the genesis and distribution of Typic and Vertic Argiudolls in the Rolling Pampa of Argentina. In A. Zinck, G. Metternich, G. Bocco, & H. del Valle eds. *Geopedology – An Integration of Geomorphology and Pedology for Soil and Landscape Studies*, pp. 193–209.
- Morrás, H.** 2017. Propiedades químicas y físicas de suelos hidromórficos de la fracción norte de los Bajos Submeridionales. In E. Taleisnik & R. Lavado, eds. *Ambientes salinos y alcalinos de la Argentina*, pp. 29–54. Recursos y aprovechamiento productivo. Buenos Aires, Orientación Gráfica Editora.
- Morrás, H.** 2020. Modelos composicionales y áreas de distribución de los aportes volcánicos en los suelos de la Pampa Norte (Argentina) en base a la mineralogía de arenas. In P. Imbellone & O. Barbosa, eds. *Suelos y Vulcanismo*, pp. 127–167. Buenos Aires, Asociación Argentina de la Ciencia del Suelo.
- Morris, N.L., Miller, P.C.H., Orson, J.H. & Froud-Williams, R. J.** 2010. The adoption of non-inversion tillage systems in the United Kingdom and the agronomic impact on soil, crops and the environment—A review. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 108(1–2): 1–15.
- Mueller, N.D., Gerber, J.S., Johnston, M., Ray, D.K., Ramankutty, N. & Foley, J.A.** 2012. Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. *Nature*, 490(7419): 254–257. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11420>
- Nanzyo, M., Dahlgren R. & Shoji S.** 1993. “Chemical characteristics of volcanic ash soils.” In S. Shoji, M. Nanzyo and R. Dahlgren, eds. *Volcanic ash soils – Genesis, Properties and Utilization*, pp. 145–187. The Netherlands, Elsevier.
- National Bureau of statistics of China.** 2015. China Statistical Yearbook. <http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2015/indexeh.htm>
- National report on the state of the environment in Ukraine in 2018.** 2020. Kiev. Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine (In Ukrainian). Cited 1 May 2021. <https://mepr.gov.ua/news/35937.html>
- Nauman, T.W. & Thompson, J.A.** 2014. Semi-automated disaggregation of conventional soil maps using knowledge driven data mining and classification trees. *Geoderma*, 213: 385–399.

- Neall, V. E. 2009. Volcanic soils. *Land use, land cover and soil sciences*, 7: 23–45.
- Neves, E.G., Petersen, J.B., Bartone, R.N. & Heckenberger, M.J. 2004. *The timing of Terra preta formation in the Central Amazon: archaeological data from three sites*. In B. Glaser, & W. Woods, eds. *Explorations in Amazonian Dark Earths*, pp. 125–134.
- Ngatia, L., Grace III, J. M., Moriasi, D., & Taylor, R. 2019. Nitrogen and phosphorus eutrophication in marine ecosystems. *Monitoring of marine pollution*, 1–17.
- Nowatzki, J., Endres, G. & DeJong-Hughes, J. 2017. *Strip Till for Field Crop Production* Pages 1–10. Fargo, US, North Dakota State University express.
- Nunes, M.R., Van Es, H.M., Schindelbeck, R., Ristow, A.J. & Ryan, M. 2018. No-till and cropping system diversification improve soil health and crop yield. *Geoderma*, 328: 30–43.
- Oades, J.M. 1993. The role of biology in the formation, stabilization and degradation of soil structure. In: L. Brussaard & M.J. Kooistra, eds. *Soil Structure/Soil Biota Interrelationships*. pp. 377–400. Amsterdam, Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-81490-6.50033-9>
- O'Donnell, J. A., Aiken, G. R., Butler, K. D., Guillemette, F., Podgorski, D. C. & Spencer, R. G. 2016. DOM composition and transformation in boreal forest soils: The effects of temperature and organic-horizon decomposition state. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 121(10): 2727–2744.
- Okuda, T., Fujita, T., Fujie, K., Kitagawa, Y., Saito, M. & Naruse, T. 2007. Influence of eolian dust brought from the Precambrian area in northern Asia on the parent materials in a fine-textured soil developed on the tertiary rock in Mt. Horyu, Noto peninsula, central Japan (In Japanese with English summary). *Pedologist*, 51:104–110.
- Oldfield, E.E., Bradford, M.A. & Wood, S.A. 2019. Global meta-analysis of the relationship between soil organic matter and crop yields. *SOIL*, 5(1): 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-5-15-2019>
- Oliveira, I.A., Campos, M.C.C., Freitas, L. & Soares, M.D.R. 2015a. Caracterização de solos sob diferentes usos na região sul do Amazonas. *Acta Amazonica*, 45(3): 1–12.
- Oliveira, I.A., Campos, M.C.C., Marques Junior, J., Aquino, R.E., Teixeira, D.B. & Silva, D.M.P. 2015b. Use of scaled semivariograms in the planning sample of soil chemical properties in southern Amazonas, Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Ci Solo*, 39(5): 31–39.
- OpenLandMap/global-layers. 2022. In: *GüLab*. Cited 4 April 2022. <https://gitlab.com/openlandmap/global-layers>
- Otero, J.D., Figueroa, A., Muñoz, F.A. & Peña, M.R. 2011. Loss of soil and nutrients by surface runoff in two agro-ecosystems within an Andean paramo area. *Ecol. Eng.*, 37 (12): 2035–2043, 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2011.08.001.
- Ouyang, W., Wu, Y., Hao, Z., Zhang, Q., Bu, Q. & Gao, X. 2018. Combined impacts of land use and soil property changes on soil erosion in a mollisol area under long-term agricultural development. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 613–614: 798–809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.173>
- Overbeck, G.E., Müller, S.C., Fidelis, A., Pfadenhauer, J., Pillar, V.D., Blanco, C.C., Boldrini, I.I., Both, R. & Foerneck, E.D. 2007. Brazil's neglected biome: The South Brazilian Campos. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*. 9:101–116.
- Overbeck, G.E., Müller, S.C., Pillar, V.D. & Pfadenhauer, J. 2005. Fine-scale post-fire dynamics in southern Brazilian subtropical grassland. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 16: 655–664.
- Overbeck, G.E., Müller, S.C., Pillar, V.D. & Pfadenhauer, J. 2006. Floristic composition, environmental variation and species distribution patterns in burned grassland in southern Brazil. *Braz. J. Biol.*, 66: 1073–1090.
- Pape, J.C. 1970. Plaggen soils in the Netherlands. *Geoderma*, 4: 229–255.
- Peña-Venegas, C.P. & Vanegas-Cardona, G.I. 2010. *Dinámica de los suelos amazónicos: Procesos de degradación y alternativas para su recuperación*. Instituto Sinchi. Bogotá, Colombia.
- Peña-Venegas, C.P., Stomph, T.J., Verschoor, G., Echeverri, J.A. & Struik, P.C. 2016. Classification and Use of Natural and Anthropogenic Soils by Indigenous Communities of the Upper Amazon Region of Colombia. *Hum Ecol*, 44: 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-015-9793-6>.
- Pepo, P., Vad, A. & Berényi, S. 2006. Effect of some agrotechnical elements on the yield of maize on chernozem soil. *Cereal Research Communications*, 34(1): 621–624.



- Peralta, G., Alvarez, C.R. & Taboada, M.Á.** 2021. Soil compaction alleviation by deep non-inversion tillage and crop yield responses in no tilled soils of the Pampas region of Argentina. A meta-analysis. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 211: 105022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2021.105022>
- Pereira, M.G., Schiavo J.A., Fontana A., Dias Neto, A.H. & Miranda, L.P.M.** 2013. Caracterização e classificação de solos em uma toposequência sobre calcário na serra da Bodoquena, MS. *Rev. Bras. Ci.Solo*, 37: 25–36.
- Pereyra, F. & Bouza, P.** 2019. Soils from the Patagonian Region. In G. Rubio, R. Lavado, & F. Pereyra, eds. *The soils of Argentina Chapter 7*, pp. 101–121. Switzerland, Springer, World Soils Book Series.
- Pikul, J.L., Chilom, G., Rice, J., Eynard, A., Schumacher, T.E., Nichols, K., Johnson, J.M.F., Wright, S., Caesar, T. & Ellsbury, M.** 2009. Organic matter and water stability of field aggregates affected by tillage in South Dakota. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 73: 197–206.
- Pillar, V.D., Tornquist, C.G. & Bayer, C.** 2012. The southern Brazilian grassland biome: soil carbon stocks, fluxes of greenhouse gases and some options for mitigation. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 72:673–681.
- Pinto, L.F.S. & Kämpf, N.** 1996. Solos derivados de rochas ultrabásicas no ambiente subtropical do Rio Grande do Sul. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 20: 447–458.
- Plisko, I.V., Bigun, O.M., Lebed, V.V., Nakisko, S.G. & Zalavsky, Y.V.** 2018. Creation of a national map of organic carbon reserves in the soils of Ukraine. *Agrochemistry and soil science*, 87: 57–62.
- Podolsky, K., Blackshaw, R.E. & Entz, M.H.,** 2016. A comparison of reduced tillage implements for organic wheat production in Western Canada. *Agronomy Journal*, 108(5): 2003–2014.
- Poeplau, C. & Don, A.** 2015. Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils via cultivation of cover crops—A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 200: 33–41.
- Poeplau, C., Don, A., Vesterdal, L., Leifeld, J., Van Wesemael, B., Schumacher, J. & Gensior, A.** 2011. Temporal dynamics of soil organic carbon after land-use change in the temperate zone – carbon response functions as a model approach. *Global Change Biology*, 17(7): 2415–2427. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02408.x>
- Polidoro, J. C., Freitas, P.L. de, Hernani, L.C., Anjos, L. H. C., Rodrigues, R. de A. R., Cesário, F.V., Andrade, A. G. & Ribeiro, J. L.** 2021. Potential impact of plans and policies based on the principles of Conservation Agriculture on the control of soil erosion in Brazil. *Land Degradation & Development*. 32: 1–12.
- Polupan, M.I., Velichko, V.A. & Solovey, V.B.** 2015. Development of Ukrainian agronomic soil science: genetic and production bases (In Ukrainian). Kyiv, Ahrarna nauka.
- Polupan, N.I.** 1988. *Soils of Ukraine and increase of their fertility: Vol. 1. Ecology, regimes and processes, classification and genetic and production aspects* (In Russian). Kiev, Urogaj.
- Poulenard, J., Podwojewski, P., Janeau, J.L. & Collinet, J.** 2001. Runoff and soil erosion under rainfall simulation of andisols from the ecuadorian páramo: effect of tillage and burning. *Catena*, 45(3): 185–207.
- Pretty, J.** 2008. Agricultural sustainability: concepts, principles and evidence. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 363(1491): 447–465.
- Pugliese, J.Y., Culman, S.W. & Sprunger, C.D.** 2019. Harvesting forage of the perennial grain crop kernza (*Thinopyrum intermedium*) increases root biomass and soil nitrogen cycling. *Plant and Soil*, 437(1–2): 241–254.
- Pylypenko, H.P., Varlamova, N.Y., Borshch, O.V. & Borshch, A.V.** 2002. Aridization and desertification of the steppes of southern Ukraine (In Ukrainian). *Bulletin of Odessa National University*, 7 (4): 45–51.
- Qiao, Y., Miao, S., Zhong, X., Zhao, H. & Pan, S.** 2020. The greatest potential benefit of biochar return on bacterial community structure among three maize-straw products after eight-year field experiment in Mollisols. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 147: 103432.
- Qin, Z., Yang, X., Song, Z., Peng, B., Zwieten, L.V., Yue, C., Wu, S., Mohammad, M.Z. & Wang, H.** 2021. Vertical distributions of organic carbon fractions under paddy and forest soils derived from black shales: Implications for potential of long-term carbon storage. *Catena*, 198: 105056.
- Raza, S., Miao, N., Wang, P., Ju, X., Chen, Z., Zhou, J. & Kuzakov, Y.** 2020. Dramatic loss of inorganic carbon by nitrogen-induced soil acidification in Chinese croplands. *Global change biology*, 26(6): 3738–3751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15101>

- Reifenberg, A.** 1947. *The Soils of Palestine*. Rev. 2nd ed. Thomas Murbery and Co., London.
- Research Conference material.** 2015. Strengthening Mongolia's Pastureland Rehabilitation Capacity. Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.
- Rezpour, S. & Alipour, O.** 2017. Degradation of Mollisols quality after deforestation and cultivation on a transect with Mediterranean condition. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 76(22): 755. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-7099-2>
- Richter, D.D. & Babbar, L.I.** 1991. Soil Diversity in the Tropics. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 21: 315–389.
- Ritter, J.** 2012. *Soil erosion – Causes and effects*, OMAFRA Factsheet, Queens Printer for Ontario, Toronto. Nov 11, 2020. (also available at <http://www.omafra.gov.on.ca/english/engineer/facts/12-053.htm>)
- Roecker, S., Ferguson, C. & Wills, S.** Chapter 2 "Portrait of Black Soils." USA. The Global Status of Black Soils. A FAO Report (this publication)
- Roesch, L.F.W., Vieira, F.C.B., Pereira, V.A., Schünemann, A.L., Teixeira, I.F., Senna, A.J.T. & Stefeno, V.M.** 2009. The Brazilian Pampa: A Fragile Biome. *Diversity*, 1: 82–198.
- Rogovska, N., Laird, D.A., Rathke, S.J. & Karlen, D.L.** 2014. Biochar impact on Midwestern Mollisols and maize nutrient availability. *Geoderma*, 230: 340–347.
- Rojas, R.V., Achouri, M., Maroulis, J. & Caon, L.** 2016. Healthy soils: a prerequisite for sustainable food security. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(3): 180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-5099-7>
- Romero, C.M., Hao, X., Li, C., Owens, J., Schwinghamer, T., McAllister, T.A. & Okine, E.** 2021. Nutrient retention, availability and greenhouse gas emissions from biochar-fertilized Chernozems. *Catena*, 198: 105046.
- Royal Society of London.** 2009. Reaping the benefits: Science and the sustainable intensification of global agriculture. The Royal Society. London SW1Y 5AG
- Rubio, G., Lavado, R. S. & Pereyra, F. X.** 2019. *The soils of Argentina*. Springer International Publishing.
- Rubio, G., Lavado, R., Pereyra, F., Taboada, M., Moretti, L., Rodríguez, D., Echeverría, H. & Panigatti, J.** 2019. Future Issues. In Rubio, G., Lavado, R. & Pereyra, F. eds. *The soils of Argentina*. Springer, World Soils Book Series, Switzerland, Chapter 19, pp. 261–263
- Rubio, G., Pereyra, F. & Taboada, M.** 2019. Soils of the Pampean Region. In G. Rubio, R. Lavado, & F. Pereyra, eds. *The soils of Argentina*, pp. 81–100. Switzerland, Springer, World Soils Book Series.
- Russell, A.E., Laird, D.A., Parkin, T.B. & Mallarino, A.P.** 2005. Impact of nitrogen fertilization and cropping system on carbon sequestration in Midwestern Mollisols. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 69(2): 413–422.
- Rusu A.** 2017. The influence of the straw applied as a fertilizer on the humus in the ordinary chernozem. In: Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference, dedicated to the 120th anniversary of the birth of Academician Ion Dicusar, 6–7 September 2017, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova. [in Romanian]
- Ryan, J., Pala, M., Masri, S., Singh, M. & Harris, H.** 2008. Rainfed wheat-based rotations under Mediterranean conditions: Crop sequences, nitrogen fertilization, and stubble grazing in relation to grain and straw quality. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 28(2): 112–118.
- Ryan, M.R., Crews, T.E., Culman, S.W., DeHaan, L.R., Hayes, R.C., Jungers, J.M. & Bakker, M.G.** 2018. Managing for Multifunctionality in Perennial Grain Crops. *BioScience*, 68: 294–304.
- Saigusa M., Matsuyama N. & Abe A.** 1992. Distribution of Allophanic Andosols and Nonallophanic Andosols in Japan based on the data of soil survey reports on reclaimed land (In Japanese with English summary). *Jpn J Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 63: 646–651.
- Sainz-Rozas, H., Echeverría, H. & Angelini, H.** 2011. Niveles de materia orgánica y pH en suelos agrícolas de la Región Pampeana y extra-Pampeana argentina. *Ciencia del Suelo*, 29 (1):29–37.
- Santos, H.G., Jacomine, P.K.T., Anjos, L.H.C., Oliveira, V.A., Lumberras, J.F., Coelho, M.R., Almeida, J.A, Araújo Filho, J.C., Oliveira, J.B. & Cunha, T.J.F.** 2018a. *Brazilian Soil Classification System. 5th ed. rev. and exp. E-book*. Brasília: Embrapa.
- Santos, L.A.C., Araújo, J.K.S., Souza Júnior, V.S., Campos, M.C.C., Corrêa, M.M. & Souza, R.A.S.** 2018b. Pedogenesis in an Archaeological Dark Earth – Mulatto Earth Catena over Volcanic Rocks in Western Amazonia, Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Ci Solo*, 42(3): 1–18.
- Santos, L.A.C., Campos, M.C.C., Aquino, R.E., Bergamin, A.C., Silva, D.M.P., Marques Junior, J. & Franca, A.B.C.** 2013. Caracterização e gênese de terras pretas arqueológicas no sul do Estado do Amazonas. *Rev. Bras. Ci Solo*, 37(5): 825–836.

- Sarmiento, F.O. & Frolich, L.M.** 2002. Andean cloud forest tree lines: Naturalness, agriculture and the human dimension. *Mt. Res. Dev.* 22: 278–287.
- Sato, M., Tállai, M., Kovács, A.B., Vágó, I., Kátai, J., Matsushima, M.Y., Sudo, S. & Inubushi K.** 2022. Effects of a new compost-chemical fertilizer mixture on CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O production and plant growth in a Chernozem and an Andosol. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 68(1): 175–182.
- Sayegh, A. H. & Salib, A. J.** 1969. Some physical and chemical properties of soils in the Beqa'a plain, Lebanon. *Journal of Soil Science*, 20: 167–175.
- Schmidt, M.J., Rapp Py-Daniel, A., de Paula Moraes, C., Valle, R.B.M., Caromano, C.F., Texeira, W.G., Barbosa, C.A., Barbosa, C.A., Fonseca, J.A., Magalhães, M.P., Santos, D.S.C., Silva, R.S., Guapindaia, V.L., Moraes, B., Lima, H.P., Neves, E.G. & Heckenberger, M.J.** 2014. Dark earths and the human built landscape in Amazonia: a widespread pattern of anthrosol formation. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 42: 152–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.11.002>
- Schönbach, P., Wan, H., Gierus, M., Bai, Y., Müller, K., Lin, L., Susenbeth, A. & Taube, F.** 2011. Grassland responses to grazing: effects of grazing intensity and management system in an Inner Mongolian steppe ecosystem. *Plant and Soil*, 340(1): 103–115.
- Sedov, S., Solleiro-Rebolledo, E., Morales-Puente, P., Arias-Herrera, A., Vallejo-Gómez, E. & Jasso-Castañeda, C.** 2003. Mineral and organic components of the buried paleosols of the Nevado de Toluca, Central Mexico as indicators of paleoenvironments and soil evolution. *Quaternary International*, 106: 169–184.
- Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG).** 2003. El pastizal de Tierra del Fuego. Guía de uso, condición actual y propuesta de seguimiento para determinación de tendencia. *Punta Arenas, XII Región de Magallanes y Antártica Chilena*. Chile, Gobierno de Chile.
- Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG).** 2004a. El pastizal de Magallanes. Guía de uso, condición actual y propuesta de seguimiento para determinación de tendencia. *XII Región de Magallanes y Antártica Chilena, Punta Arenas*. Chile, Gobierno de Chile.
- Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG).** 2004b. El pastizal de Última Esperanza y Navarino. Guía de uso, condición actual y propuesta de seguimiento para determinación de tendencia. *XII Región de Magallanes y Antártica Chilena*. Punta Arenas, Chile, Gobierno de Chile.
- Sherwood, S. & Uphoff, N.** 2000. Soil health: research, practice and policy for a more regenerative agriculture. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15(1): 85–97.
- Shiono, T.** 2015. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Measures for Farmlands in Japan, MARCO International Symposium 2015: Next Challenges of Agro-Environmental Research in Monsoon Asia, 26–28 August 2015. Tsukuba, Japan.
- Shiono, T., Okushima S., Takagi A. & Fukumoto M.** 2004. Influence of Cabbage Cultivation on Soil Erosion in a Ridged Field with Kuroboku Soil (In Japanese). *Journal of Irrigation Engineering and Rural Planning*, 230: 1–9.
- Shoji, S.** 1984. Andosols, Exploring its Today's Issues, Kagakutoseibutsu (In Japanese). *Chemistry and Biology*. 22, 242–250.
- Shoji, S., Nanzyo M. & Dahlgren R.A.** 1993. Volcanic ash soils-genesis, properties and utilization. *Developments in Soil Science 21*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Shpedt, A. A. & Aksenova, Y. V.** 2021. Modern assessment of soil resources of Kyrgyzstan. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science Vol. 624, No. 1*, p. 012233). IOP Publishing.
- Silveira, V.C.P., Gonzalez, J.A. & Fonseca, E.L.** 2017. Land use changes after the period commodities rising price in the Rio Grande do Sul State. *Brazil. Ciência Rural*, 47(4): e20160647.
- Skidmore, E. L.** 2017. Wind erosion. In *Soil erosion research methods*, pp. 265–294. Routledge.
- Smith, A.** 2022. A Russia-Ukraine war could ripple across Africa and Asia. In: *Foreign Policy*. Cited 1 June 2022. <https://foreignpolicy.com/2022/01/22/russia-ukraine-war-grain-exports-africa-asia/>
- Smith, K.L.** 1999. *Epidemiology of Anthrax in the Kruger National Park, South Africa: Genetic Diversity and Environment*. LSU Historical Dissertations and Theses. 6962.
- Smith, P., Calvin, K., Nkem, J., Campbell, D., Cherubini, F., Grassi, G., Korotkov, V., Hoang, A.L., Lwasa, S., McElwee, P., Nkonya, E., Saigusa, N., Soussana, J., Taboada, M.A., Manning, F.C., Nampanzira, D., Arias-Navarro, C., Vizzarri, M., House, J., Roe, S., Cowie, A., Rounsevell, M. & Arneeth, A.** 2020. Which practices co-deliver food security, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and combat land degradation and desertification? *Global Change Biology*, 26(3): 1532–1575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14878>

- Smith, P., House, J.I., Bustamante, M., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., West, P.C., Clark, J.M., Adhya, T., Rumpel, C., Paustian, K., Kuikman, P., Cotrufo, M.F., Elliott, J.A., McDowell, R., Griffiths, R.I., Asakawa, S., Bondeau, A., Jain, A.K., Meersmans, J. & Pugh, T.A.M. 2016. Global change pressures on soils from land use and management. *Global Change Biology*, 22(3): 1008–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13068>
- Snyder, R.L. & Melo-Abreu, J. de. 2005. Frost protection: fundamentals, practice and economics. Volume 1. FAO.
- Soil Science Society of America (SSSA). 2008. Glossary of soil science terms. [online]. [Cited 19 February 2020]. <https://www.soils.org/publications/soils-glossary>
- Soil Science Society of America (SSSA). 2020. Glossary of terms. [Online]. [Cited 27 November 2020]. <https://www.soils.org/publications/soils-glossary#>
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. *Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys*. Second edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 436. Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 12th ed. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC.
- Sojka, R.E. & Bjorneberg, D.L. 2017. *Encyclopedia of Soil Science*. Boca Raton, USA, CRC Press.
- Sollenberger, L. E., Kohman, M. M., Dubeux, Jr. J. C. B. & Silveira, M. L. 2019. Grassland Management Affects Delivery of Regulating and Supporting Ecosystem Services. *Crop Science*, 59: 441–459.
- Sollenberger, L.E., Agouridis, C.T., Vanzant, E.S., Franzluebbers, A.J. & Owens, L.B. 2012. *Conservation outcomes from pastureland and hayland practices: Assessment, Recommendations, and Knowledge Gaps*. Lawrence, KS, Allen Press.
- Sombroek, W. I. M., Ruivo, M. D. L., Fearnside, P. M., Glaser, B. & Lehmann, J. 2003. Amazonian dark earths as carbon stores and sinks. *In Amazonian dark earths*, pp: 125–139. Dordrecht, Springer.
- Song, Z., Gao, H., Zhu, P., Peng, C., Deng, A., Zheng, C., AbdulMannaf, M., Nurullislam, M. & Zhang, W. 2015. Organic amendments increase corn yield by enhancing soil resilience to climate change. *The Crop Journal*, 3(2): 110–117.
- Sorokin, A., Owens, P., Láng, V., Jiang, Z.-D., Michéli, E. & Krasilnikov, P. 2021. “Black soils” in the Russian Soil Classification system, the US Soil Taxonomy and the WRB: Quantitative correlation and implications for pedodiversity assessment. *Catena*, 196: 104824.
- Soussana, J.F., Lutfalla, S., Ehrhardt, F., Rosenstock, T., Lamanna, C., Havlík, P., Richards, M., Wollenberg, Eva (Lini), Chotte, Jean-Luc., Torquebiau, Emmanuel., Ciaï, Philippe., Smith, Pete. & Lal, Rattan. 2019. Matching policy and science: Rationale for the ‘4 per 1000 – soils for food security and climate’ initiative. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 188: 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.12.002>
- SSSA (Soil Science Society of America). 2015. Desertification and the American Dust Bowl. Cited 6 June 2022. <https://www.soils.org/files/sssaiys/dust-bowl-activity.pdf>
- St. Luce, M., Lemke, R., Gan, Y., McConkey, B., May, W.E., Campbell, C., Zentner, R., Wang, H., Kroebel, R., Fernandez, M. & Brandt, K. 2020. Diversifying cropping systems enhances productivity, stability, and nitrogen use efficiency. *Agronomy Journal*, 112(3): 1517–1536.
- Stainsby, A., May, W.E., Lafond, G.P. & Entz, M.H. 2020. Soil aggregate stability increased with a self-regenerating legume cover crop in low-nitrogen, no-till agroecosystems of Saskatchewan, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 100: 314–318.
- Steffan, J.J., Brevik, E.C., Burgess, L.C. & Cerdà, A. 2018. The effect of soil on human health: an overview. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 69(1): 159–171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12451>
- Stewart, W. M., Dibb, D. W., Johnston, A. E. & Smyth, T. J. 2005. The contribution of commercial fertilizer nutrients to food production. *Agronomy journal*, 97(1): 1–6.
- Strauch, B. & Lira, R. 2012. Bases para la producción ovina en Magallanes. *Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Boletín INIA N° 244*, pp. 154. Punta Arenas, Chile, Centro Regional de Investigación Kampenaike.
- Stupakov, A.G., Orekhovskaya, A.A., Kulikova, M.A., Manokhina, L.A., Panin, S.I. & Geltukhina, V.I. 2019. Ecological and agrochemical bases of the nitrogen regime of typical chernozem depending on agrotechnical methods. *Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, pp. 052027. IOP Publishing.

- Sun, B., Jia, S., Zhang, S., McLaughlin, N.B., Zhang, X., Liang, A., Chen, X., Wei, S. & Liu, S. 2016. Tillage, seasonal and depths effects on soil microbial properties in black soil of northeast China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 155: 421–428.
- Taboada, M.Á., Costantini, A.O., Busto, M., Bonatti, M. & Sieber, S. 2021. Climate change adaptation and the agricultural sector in South American countries: Risk, vulnerabilities and opportunities. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Solo*, 45. <https://doi.org/10.36783/18069657rbcs20210072>
- Tahat, M.M., Alananbeh, K.M., Othman, Y.A. & Leskovar, D.I. 2020. Soil health and sustainable agriculture. *Sustainability*, 12: 48–59.
- Takata, Y., Kawahigashi, M., Kida, K., Tani, M., Kinoshita, R., Ito, T., Shibata, M., Takahashi, T., Fujii, K., Imaya, A., Obara, H., Maejima, Y., Kohyama, K. & Kato, T. 2021. Major Soil Types, In R. Hatano, H. Shinjo & Y. Takata eds. *The Soil of Japan*, pp. 69–134, Springer.
- Taniyama, S. 1990. The Future Direction of Agricultural Engineering in Japan With relation to the 7th ICID Afro-Asian regional conference in Tokyo. *Journal of Irrigation Engineering and Rural Planning*, 1990 (19): 1–6.
- Tarzi, J. G. & Paeth, R. C. 1975. Genesis of a Mediterranean red and a white rendzina soil from Lebanon. *Soil Science*, 120: 272–277.
- Teixeira, W.G. & Martins, G.C. 2003. Soil physical characterization. In Lehmann *et al.*, eds. *Amazonia Dark Earths: Origin, properties, management*. pp. 271–286. Printed in Netherlands, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Tenuta, M., Gao, X., Flaten, D. N. & Amiro, B. D. 2016. Lower nitrous oxide emissions from anhydrous ammonia application prior to soil freezing in late fall than spring pre-plant application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45(4): 1133–1143.
- Thiessen Martens, J.R. & Entz, M.H. 2001. Availability of late-season heat and water resources for relay and double cropping with winter wheat in prairie Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 81(2): 273–276.
- Thiessen Martens, J.R., Entz, M.H. & Hoepfner, J.W. 2005. Legume cover crops with winter cereals in southern Manitoba: Fertilizer replacement values for oat. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 85: 645–648.
- Thiessen Martens, J.R., Entz, M.H. & Wonneck, M.D. 2015. Redesigning Canadian prairie cropping systems for profitability, sustainability, and resilience. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 95(6): 1049–1072.
- Thiessen Martens, J.R., Hoepfner, J.W. & Entz, M.H. 2001. Legume cover crops with winter cereals in southern Manitoba: Establishment, productivity, and microclimate effects. *Agronomy Journal*, 93(5): 1086–1096.
- Thorup-Kristensen, K., Dresbøll, D. B. & Kristensen, H. L. 2012. Crop yield, root growth, and nutrient dynamics in a conventional and three organic cropping systems with different levels of external inputs and N re-cycling through fertility building crops. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 37(1): 66–82.
- Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Belfort, B.L. 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50): 20260–20264. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108>
- Tisdall, J.M. & Oades, J.M. 1982. Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 33(2): 141–163. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1982.tb01755.x>
- Tong, Y. 2018. Influence of crop conversion on SOC, soil pH and soil erosion in mollisols region of Songnen Plain. China Agricultural University. PhD dissertation.
- Tong, Y., Liu, J., Li, X., Sun, J., Herzberger, A., Wei, D., Zhang, W., Dou, Z. & Zhang, F. 2017. Cropping system conversion led to organic carbon change in China's Mollisols regions. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1): 1–9.
- Twerdoff, D.A., Chanasyk, D.S., Mapfumo, E., Naeth, M.A. & Baron, V.S. 1999a. Impacts of forage grazing and cultivation on near surface relative compaction. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 79: 465–471.
- Twerdoff, D.A., Chanasyk, D.S., Naeth, M.A. & Baron, V.S. 1999b. Soil water regimes under rotational grazing of annual and perennial forages. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 79: 627–637.
- Unified Land Fund Classification Report. 2019. Ulaanbaatar. Department of Land Affairs and Geodesy under the Ministry of Construction and Urban Development. University of Saskatchewan. 2020. Soils of Saskatchewan. University of Saskatchewan. November 24, 2020. (also available at <https://soilsofsask.ca/soil-classification/chemozemic-soils.php>)

- USDA. 2014. *Keys to Soil Taxonomy*. Soil Survey Staff. Twelfth Edition.
- Vaisman, I., Entz, M.H., Flaten, D.N. & Gulden, R.H. 2011. Blade roller–green manure interactions on nitrogen dynamics, weeds, and organic wheat. *Agronomy Journal*, 103(3): 879–889
- Valle, S., Radic, S. & Casanova, M. 2015. Soils associated to three important grazing vegetal communities in South Patagonia. *Agrosur*, 43(2): 89–99.
- Van der Hammen, T., Pabón-Caicedo, J.D., Gutiérrez, H. & J.C. Alarcón. 2002. El cambio global y los ecosistemas de alta montaña de Colombia. In: C. Castaño Uribe, ed. *Páramos y ecosistemas altoandinos de Colombia en condición hotspot y global climatic tensor*, pp. 163–209. Bogotá, D.C., Colombia, IDEAM.
- Van der Merwe, G.M.E., Laker, M.C. & Bühmann, C. 2002a. Factors that govern the formation of melanic soils in South Africa. *Geoderma*, 107: 165–176.
- Van der Merwe, G.M., Laker, M.C. & Bühmann, C. 2002b. Clay mineral associations in melanic soils of South Africa. *Soil Research*, 40: 115–126.
- Van Hofwegen, G., Kuyper, T.W., Hoffland, E., Van den Broek, J.A. & Becx, G.A. 2009. Opening the black box: Deciphering carbon and nutrient flows in Terra Preta. In *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision*, pp. 393–409. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Van Poollen, H.W. & Lacey, J.R. 1979. Herbage Response to Grazing Systems and Stocking Intensities. *Journal of Range Management*, 32(4): 250–253.
- Venterea, R.T., Maharjan, B. & Dolan, M.S. 2011. Fertilizer source and tillage effects on yield-scaled nitrous oxide emissions in a corn cropping system. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 40(5): 1521–1531.
- Veum, K.S., Kremer, R.J., Sudduth, K.A., Kitchen, N.R., Lerch, R.N., Baffaut, C., Stott, D.E., Karlen, D.L. & Sadler, E.J. 2015. Conservation effects on soil quality indicators in the Missouri Salt River Basin. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 70(4):232–246.
- Viglizzo, E., Frank, F., Carreño, L., Jobbagy, E., Pereyra, H., Clattz, J., Pincén, D. & Ricard, F. 2010. Ecological and environmental footprint of 50 years of agricultural expansion in Argentina. *Global Change Biology*, doi: 10.1111/j.1365–2486.2010.02293.x
- Villarreal, R., Lozano, L.A., Polich, N., Salazar, M.P., Barraco, M. & Soracco, C.G. 2022. Cover crops effects on soil hydraulic properties in two contrasting Mollisols of the Argentinean Pampas region. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*.
- Vinton, M.A. & Burke, I.C. 1995. Interactions between Individual Plant Species and Soil Nutrient Status in Shortgrass Steppe. *Ecology*, 76(4): 1116–1133.
- Voronov, S.I. & Mamytova, B.A. 1987. *Humus state and calculation of humus balance in soils of Chui valley of Kyrgyz SSR* (In Russian). In: *Scientific-applied questions of preservation and increase of fertility of soils of Kyrgyzstan*. Frunze, Kyrgyz SSR, USSR.
- Vyatkin, K.V., Zalavsky, Y.V., Bigun, O.N., Lebed, V.V., Sherstyuk, A.I., Plisko, I.V. & Nakisko, S.G. 2018. Creation of a national map of organic carbon reserves in the soils of Ukraine using digital methods of soil mapping (In Russian). *Soil Science and Agrochemistry*, 2: 5–17.
- Vyn, T.J. & Raimbault, B.A. 1993. Long-term effect of five tillage systems on corn response and soil structure. *Agronomy Journal*, 85: 1074–1079.
- Wagg, C., Bender, S.F., Widmer, F. & Van Der Heijden, M.G. 2014. Soil biodiversity and soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(14): 5266–5270. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320054111>
- Waller, S. S. & Lewis, J. K. 1979. Occurrence of C3 and C4 photosynthetic pathways in North America grasses. *J. Range Manage.* 32: 12–28.
- Wang, J., Monger, C., Wang, X., Serena, M. & Leinauer, B. 2016. Carbon Sequestration in Response to Grassland–Shrubland–Turfgrass Conversions and a Test for Carbonate Biomineralization in Desert Soils, New Mexico, USA. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 80: 1591–1603.
- Wang, Z., Mao, D., Li, L., Jia, M., Dong, Z., Miao, Z., Ren, C. & Song, C. 2015. Quantifying changes in multiple ecosystem services during 1992–2012 in the Sanjiang Plain of China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 514: 119–130.
- Weesies, G.A., Schertz, D.L. & Kuenstler, W.F. 2017. Erosion: Agronomic practices. In R.B. Lal, ed. *Encyclopedia of Soil Science*. Boca Raton, USA, CRC Press.

- Wei, D., Qian, Y., Zhang, J., Wang, S., Chen, X., Zhang, X. & Li, W. 2008. Bacterial community structure and diversity in a black soil as affected by long-term fertilization. *Pedosphere*, 18(5): 582–592.
- Wen, Y., Kasielke, T., Li, H., Zhang, B. & Zepp, H. 2021. May agricultural terraces induce gully erosion? A case study from the black soil Region of northeast China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 750: 141715.
- Winckell, A., Zebrowski, C. & Delaune, M. 1991. Evolution du modèle Quaternaire et des formations superficielles dans les Andes de l'Équateur. *Géodynamique* 6: 97–117.
- Woods, W.I. & Mann, C.C. 2000. Earthmovers of the Amazon. *Science* 287: 786–789.
- World Bank. 2022. "War in the Region" Europe and Central Asia Economic Update (Spring). Washington, DC, World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/eca/publication/europe-and-central-asia-economic-update>
- Xie, H., Li, J., Zhu, P., Peng, C., Wang, J., He, H. & Zhang, X. 2014. Long-term manure amendments enhance neutral sugar accumulation in bulk soil and particulate organic matter in a Mollisol. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 78: 45–53.
- Xinhua News Agency. 2022. Black soil protection law comes into act on August 1. Beijing, China. Cited 24 June 2022. [http://www.news.cn/legal/2022-06/24/c\\_1128773849.htm](http://www.news.cn/legal/2022-06/24/c_1128773849.htm)
- Xu, X., Pei, J., Xu, Y. & Wang, J. 2020. Soil organic carbon depletion in global Mollisols regions and restoration by management practices: a review. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 20(3): 1173–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02557-3>
- Xu, X., Xu, Y., Chen, S., Xu, S. & Zhang, H. 2010. Soil loss and conservation in the black soil region of northeast China: a retrospective study. *Environmental science & policy*, 13(8): 793–800. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2010.07.004>
- Yagasaki, Y. & Shirato, Y. 2014. Assessment on the rates and potentials of soil organic carbon sequestration in agricultural lands in Japan using a process-based model and spatially explicit land-use change inventories – Part 1: Historical trend and validation based on nationwide soil monitoring. *Biogeosciences*, 11: 4429–4442.
- Yang, W., Guo, Y., Wang, X., Chen, C., Hu, Y., Cheng, L. & Gu, S. 2017. Temporal variations of soil microbial community under compost addition in black soil of northeast China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 121: 214–222.
- Yang, Z., Guan, Y., Bello, A., Wu, Y., Ding, J., Wang, L. & Yang, W. 2020. Dynamics of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers in response to compost addition in black soil, northeast China. *PeerJ*, 8: e8844.
- Yao, Q., Liu, J., Yu, Z., Li, Y., Jin, J., Liu, X. & Wang, G. 2017. Three years of biochar amendment alters soil physiochemical properties and fungal community composition in a black soil of northeast China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 110: 56–67.
- Yatsuk, I.P. 2015. *Periodic report on the state of soils on agricultural lands of Ukraine according to the results of the 9th round (2006–2010) of agrochemical survey of lands* (In Ukrainian). Kyiv, DU «Derzhgruntokhorona».
- Yatsuk, I.P. 2018. Scientific bases of restoration of natural potential of agroecosystems of Ukraine (In Ukrainian). Institute of Agroecology and Nature Management of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv. PhD dissertation.
- Yusufbekov, Y. 1968. *Improvement of Pastures and Hayfields of Pamir and Alay Valley* (In Russian). Dushanbe, Donish, Tajik SSR, USSR.
- Zanaga, D., Van De Kerchove, R., De Keersmaecker, W., Souverijns, N., Brockmann, C., Quast, R., Wevers J., Grosu, A., Vergnaud, S., Cartus, O., Santoro, M., Fritz, S., Georgieva, I., Lesiv, M., Carter, S., Herold, M., Li, L., Tsendbazar, N., Ramoino, F. & Arino, O. 2021. ESA WorldCover 10 m 2020 v100. *Zenodo*. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Zárate, M. 2003. Loess of southern South America. *Quaternary Science Review*, 22: 1987–2006.
- Zatula, V.I. & Zatula, N.I. 2020. Aridization of Ukraine's climate and its impact on agriculture (In Ukrainian). The Impact of Climate Change on Spatial Development of Earth's Territories: Implications and Solutions. 3rd International Scientific and Practical Conference, 121–124.
- Zentner, R. P., Lafond, G. P., Derksen, D. A., Nagy, C. N., Wall, D. D. & May, W. E. 2004. Effects of tillage method and crop rotation on non-renewable energy use efficiency for a thin Black Chernozem in the Canadian Prairies. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 77(2): 125–136.
- Zentner, R. P., Stephenson, J., Campbell, C., Bowren, K., Moulin, A. & Townley-Smith, L. 1990. Effects of rotation and fertilization on economics of crop production in the Black soil zone of north-central Saskatchewan. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 70(3): 837–851.

- Zhang, J., Beusen, A.H.W., Van Apeldoorn, D.F., Mogollón, J.M., Yu, C. & Bouwman, A.F. 2017. Spatiotemporal dynamics of soil phosphorus and crop uptake in global cropland during the 20th century. *Biogeosciences*, 14(8): 2055–2068. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-2055-2017>
- Zhang, J., An, T. & Chi, F. 2019. Evolution over years of structural characteristics of humic acids in black soil as a function of various fertilization treatments. *J. Soils Sediments*, 19: 1959–1969.
- Zhang, S., Li, Q., Lü, Y., Zhang, X. & Liang, W. 2013. Contributions of soil biota to C sequestration varied with aggregate fractions under different tillage systems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 62: 147–156.
- Zhang, S., Wang, Y. & Shen, Q. 2018. Influence of straw amendment on soil physicochemical properties and crop yield on a consecutive mollisol slope in northeastern China. *Water*, 10(5): 559.
- Zhang, W., Gregory, A., Whalley, W.R., Ren, T. & Gao, W. 2021. Characteristics of soil organic matter within an erosional landscape under agriculture in northeast China: stock, source, and thermal stability. *Soil Tillage Res*, 209: 104927.
- Zhang, X.Y. & Liu X. B. 2020. Key Issues of Mollisols Research and Soil Erosion Control Strategies in China. *Bulletin of Soil and Water Conservation*, 40(4): 340–344.
- Zhang, Y., Hartemink, A.E., Huang, J. & Minasny, B. 2021b. Digital Soil Morphometrics. In: *Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822974-3.00008-2>
- Zhang, Z., Zhang, X., Jhao, J., Zhang, X. & Liang, W. 2015. Tillage and rotation effects on community composition and metabolic footprints of soil nematodes in a black soil. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 66: 40–48.
- Zhou, G., Zhou, X., He, Y., Shao, J., Hu, Z., Liu, R., Zhou, H. & Hosseinibai, S. 2017. Grazing intensity significantly affects belowground carbon and nitrogen cycling in grassland ecosystems: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 23(3): 1167–1179.
- Zhou, J., Jiang, X., Zhou, B., Zhao, B., Ma, M., Guan, D. & Qin, J. 2016. Thirty four years of nitrogen fertilization decreases fungal diversity and alters fungal community composition in black soil in northeast China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 95: 135–143.
- Zimmermann, M., Meir, P., Silman, M.R., Fedders, A., Gibbon, A., Malhi, Y. & Zamora, F. 2010. No differences in soil carbon stocks across the tree line in the Peruvian Andes. *Ecosystems*, 13(1): 62–74.
- Zúñiga-Escobar, O., Peña-Salamanca, E.J., Torres-González, A.M., Cuero-Guepando, R. & Peña-Ospina, J.A. 2013. Assessment of the impact of anthropic activities on carbon storage in soils of high montane ecosystems in Colombia. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 31(1): 112–119.
- Zvomuya, F., Rosen C.J., Russelle M.P. & Gupta S.C. 2003. Nitrate leaching and nitrogen recovery following application of polyolefin-coated urea to potato. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 32: 480–489

