

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Fuel



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

## Full Length Article

# An experimental and modeling study of acetylene-dimethyl ether mixtures oxidation at high-pressure



# Lorena Marrodán, Ángela Millera, Rafael Bilbao, María U. Alzueta

Aragón Institute of Engineering Research (13A), Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Zaragoza, R+D Building, Río Ebro Campus, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T				
Keywords: Oxidation Dimethyl ether High-pressure Modeling Oxygenates Acetylene	The oxidation of acetylene (as soot precursor) and dimethyl ether (DME, as a promising fuel additive) mixtures has been analyzed in a tubular flow reactor, under high-pressure conditions (20, 40 and 60 bar), in the 450–1050 K temperature range. The effect of varying the air excess ratio ( $\lambda \approx 0.7$ , 1 and 20) and the percentage of DME with respect to acetylene (10 and 40%) has been analyzed from both experimental and modeling points of view. The addition of DME modifies the composition of the radical pool, increasing the production of OH radicals which cause a shift in the onset temperature for C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> conversion to lower temperatures; the higher the amount of DME, the lower the temperature. The presence of DME favors the oxidation of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> towards products such as CO and CO <sub>2</sub> , eliminating carbon from the paths that lead to the formation of soot. On the other hand, in the presence of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> , DME begins to be consumed at temperatures higher than those required for the high-pressure oxidation of neat DME, around 175–200 K more. Consequently, the negative temperature coefficient (NTC) region charac- teristic of this compound at low temperatures is not observed under those conditions. However, an additional analysis of the influence of DME inlet concentration (at 20 bar and $\lambda = 1$ ) indicates that, if the amount of DME in the mixture is increased to 500 ppm and more (700 or 1000 ppm), the reaction pathways responsible for this high DME reactivity at low temperatures become more relevant and the NTC region can now be observed.				

## 1. Introduction

Dimethyl ether (CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, DME) is the simplest ether, with a high oxygen content, high cetane number (55), low boiling point and no C–C bonds. It can be large-scale produced from non-renewable feedstocks such as natural gas or crude oil, but also from more environmentally friendly raw materials including waste products and biomass [1–3]. The promising properties make DME to be considered as a potential replacement fuel and/or diesel fuel additive [4]. Therefore, the use of DME has been experimentally studied in diesel engines, showing its advantages in terms of emissions and engine efficiency [5,6] and also from a computational point of view. Benajes et al. [7] showed the potential of using DME in compression ignition engines, maintaining the efficiency of diesel and achieving almost zero emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> and soot.

Given the high expectations for DME use, its conversion has been widely analyzed in the last years, especially for its typical two-stage oxidation. In some studies, reactive species, such as ozone, are added to promote low-temperature reactivity and, thus, to achieve a better understanding of the low-temperature oxidation process [8]. The use of DME, as a suitable combustion promoter for compression-ignition engines, has also been proposed in recent studies focused on the study of the combustion properties of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) as a promising carbon-free fuel for mobility and power generation [9,10]. Results from Rapid Compression Machines (RCM) indicated a significant shortening of the ignition delay times and an increase of NH<sub>3</sub> flame speeds [9], even when very low fractions of DME are mixed with NH<sub>3</sub> [10].

Several research works have been focused on the oxidation of DME and different hydrocarbons, evaluating the possibility of being used as an additive. Burke et al. [11] reported a promoting effect of DME on methane ignition at high-pressures (7–40 atm), mainly due to an increase in radical production at low temperatures from DME conversion. In the same line, Hashemi et al. [12] detected, in a high-pressure flow-reactor study, that the addition of DME to CH<sub>4</sub> (even small amounts as 530 ppm) causes an acceleration of its ignition, which is more noticeable for reducing conditions. This fact was also observed by Kaczmarek et al. [13], who found that DME forms radicals that contribute to decrease, under fuel-rich conditions, the onset temperature for the conversion of natural gas by 200 K. Song et al. [14], during ethane oxidation with the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125143

Received 22 April 2022; Received in revised form 27 June 2022; Accepted 28 June 2022 Available online 7 July 2022

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* uxue@unizar.es (M.U. Alzueta).

<sup>0016-2361/© 2022</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Table 1

Matrix of experimental conditions<sup>a</sup>.

Set	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> [ppm]	DME [ppm]	O <sub>2</sub> [ppm]	P (bar)	λ	t <sub>r</sub> (s)
1	1056	107	2326	20	0.78	5–11.6
2	1018	382	3075	20	0.8	
3	1000	154	3063	20	1	
4	1033	317	4242	20	1.2	
5	1080	99	62,906	20	21	
6	1046	388	79,771	20	21.1	
7	1050	100	2034	40	0.7	9.9-23.2
8	1006	351	2975	40	0.83	
9	1023	105	2980	40	1	
9R	1131	114	3180	40	1	
10	1026	360	4885	40	1.34	
11	1040	119	52,730	40	17.8	
12	1082	340	79,437	40	21.3	
13	1088	98	2167	60	0.72	14.9–34.8
14	1091	380	2595	60	0.67	
15	1076	113	2943	60	0.97	
16	1031	323	4712	60	1.32	
17	1059	109	57,420	60	19.3	
18	1048	378	71,780	60	19.12	
19	1146	506	4248	20	0.97	5–11.6
20	1138	693	4780	20	0.97	
21	1147	1034	5661	20	0.95	

 $^{\rm a}$  Experiments are conducted in the 450–1050 K temperature range. The balance is closed with  $\rm N_2.$ 

addition of DME, observed a decrease in aromatic species, key intermediates in soot formation, and the results obtained by Esarte et al. [15] showed a diminution in the formation of soot when DME was added to acetylene at atmospheric pressure. Moreover, the addition of 20% of DME to n-butane in a rapid compression machine (16–30 bar), at an equivalence ratio of 0.5, results in an increase of free radicals, so the reactivity of the system is enhanced, and air–fuel mixtures can be ignited at lower temperatures [16].

Despite the large number of studies on the effects of DME addition on the combustion properties of different hydrocarbons, there is a lack of works that analyze these effects on one of the simplest hydrocarbons, i.e. acetylene ( $C_2H_2$ ). It is widely recognized as an intermediate in the conversion of higher hydrocarbons as well as a soot precursor [17,18]. In this line, a previous work of the present research group [19] analyzes the effect of DME addition to  $C_2H_2$  oxidation, in a gas-phase installation at atmospheric pressure, from both experimental and modeling points of view. The results indicate that DME addition modifies the radical pool, acting as an inhibitor or promoter of  $C_2H_2$  consumption depending mainly on the oxygen availability.

Furthermore, it is also important to broaden the range of experimental conditions towards those closer of modern diesel engines operation. Therefore, in this paper, the high-pressure oxidation of acetylene-DME mixtures is reported, which is of interest for diesel engines operating at high pressures. It will contribute to extend the knowledge of DME behavior as a possible fuel additive. This study has been performed in a quartz tubular flow reactor under high-pressure conditions, from both experimental and modeling points of view.

## 2. Experimental section

High-pressure oxidation experiments of  $C_2H_2$ -DME mixtures have been performed in an experimental setup previously used and widely described in preceding works of the group, addressing homogeneous high-pressure gas-phase reactions (e.g. [20,21]). Therefore, only a brief description of the main features is provided below.

The oxidation of  $C_2H_2$  (approximately 1000 ppm) and DME (10 and 40% of addition with respect to  $C_2H_2$ , i.e. 100 or 400 ppm) mixtures has been carried out in a tubular flow reactor designed to approximate plug flow [22] (inner diameter of 6 mm and length of 1500 mm). Experiments were conducted in the temperature range of 450–1050 K, since this is the



Fig. 1. Influence of the air excess ratio ( $\lambda$ ) on the concentration profiles of  $C_2H_2$ , DME and CO+CO<sub>2</sub> as a function of temperature, for 20 bar and the lowest amount of DME tested (100 ppm). Conditions denoted as sets 1, 3 and 5 in Table 1.

range in which the conversion of both fuels,  $C_2H_2$  and DME, takes place, as can be seen in the figures included in the results and discussion section. The influence of pressure and the oxygen availability has been evaluated. Three different manometric pressures have been tested (20, 40 and 60 bar) and the oxygen concentration at the reactor inlet has been varied from reducing to oxidizing conditions ( $\lambda \approx 0.7$ , 1 and 20, with  $\lambda$  being the inlet oxygen concentration divided by the stoichiometric, considering both components of the fuel mixture,  $C_2H_2$  and DME). Additionally, for 20 bar and  $\lambda=1$ , the DME inlet concentration has been increased to 500, 700 and 1000 ppm, keeping the  $C_2H_2$  concentration constant at 1000 ppm. Reactants, supplied from gas cylinders, are highly diluted in N<sub>2</sub> to minimize the possible thermal effects of the reaction. Table 1 lists the experimental conditions.

For the conditions denoted as set 9 in Table 1, the experiment was carried out in duplicated and designated as set 9R. Thus, for each temperature studied within the range considered, two results were obtained. The experimental error has been estimated by calculating the pooled standard deviation (the square root of the sum of squares of the error) associated with the  $C_2H_2$  normalized concentration, as this is the selected way of displaying results for an easier comparison (e.g. Fig. 1) because not always the same reactant concentrations have been feed to

the system. It has been assumed that the experimental error does not depend on the temperature in the range considered. In this way, the pooled standard deviation obtained is 0.05, i.e. 5%.

The tubular reactor is enclosed in a stainless-steel tube which acts as a pressure shell. K-thermocouples have been positioned between the quartz reactor and this steel shell to measure the longitudinal temperature profiles (which can be found as Supporting Information in [20,21,23]), obtaining an isothermal ( $\pm$ 10 K) reaction zone of 56 cm. The gas flow rate was kept constant (1 L (STP)/min), resulting in a gas residence time ( $t_r$ ) in the isothermal zone represented by equation (1).

$$t_r(s) = \frac{261 \cdot P(bar)}{T(K)} \tag{1}$$

Downstream the reactor, the pressure of the system is reduced to atmospheric level before analysis, which has been performed using a micro gas chromatograph (Agilent 3000A) equipped with thermal conductivity detectors and an ATI Mattson Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer. The uncertainty of measurements can be estimated as  $\pm$  5% for the GC and  $\pm$  10% for the FTIR.

## 3. Chemical kinetic model

The experimental results have been analyzed in terms of a chemical kinetic mechanism, that was already able to describe with success the oxidation of DME under high-pressure conditions [21]. Since no modifications have been made to this mechanism and because a complete picture of the mechanism can be found in Marrodán et al. [21], only a description of the most relevant features is provided below.

A gas-phase reaction mechanism which describes  $C_1$ - $C_2$  and NO interactions, first proposed by Glarborg et al. [24] in 1998, and accordingly revised and modified (e.g. [25]), represents the basis of the mechanism used in the present work. Furthermore, in the process of construction of the mechanism used here, reaction subsets for different oxygenates and relevant intermediate species were progressively incorporated, revised and updated. In this way, several reaction subsets for small organic compounds such as ethanol,  $C_2H_2$ , DME and so on, were previously tested and validated under atmospheric-pressure conditions [19] and references therein, and, subsequently, under high-pressure conditions [20,21,23,26].

Specifically, in the case of the two compounds of interest for this study, the  $C_2H_2$  reaction subset was proposed by Alzueta et al. [27] in an atmospheric gas-phase oxidation study, and later modified by Giménez-López et al. [17] to account for the high-pressure conditions. For DME, the Alzueta et al.'s reaction subset [28], validated at atmospheric pressure, was revised and updated according to more recent kinetic mechanisms from literature [11,29], that mainly proposed pressure dependencies for low-temperature oxidation pathways and to account for the high-pressure conditions [21]. Numerical calculations obtained with the whole mechanism, including those changes in DME subset, were compared against several sets of experimental data from literature covering a wide range of conditions and devices, such as shock tubes, rapid compression machines, jet-stirred reactors and flow reactors (for more details see Figs. S8-S17 in Supplementary Material of [21]). The model succeeded in depicting the main experimental trends.

Thus, the final mechanism used in the present work involves 138 species and contains 795 reactions. Model calculations have been performed using the Chemkin-Pro software package with the plug-flow reactor module [30] and considering the temperature profiles experimentally determined. Thermodynamic data have been taken from the same sources as the original mechanisms and has not been modified from [21].

## 4. Results and discussion

To evaluate the influence of the oxygen availability, different air excess ratios ( $\lambda$ ) have been used for two concentrations of DME, 100 or



Fig. 2. Influence of the air excess ratio ( $\lambda$ ) on the concentration profiles of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, DME and CO+CO<sub>2</sub> as a function of temperature, for 20 bar and the highest amount of DME tested (400 ppm). Conditions denoted as sets 2, 4 and 6 in Table 1.

400 ppm, while keeping the pressure and the  $C_2H_2$  concentration constant (1000 ppm). Figs. 1 and 2 show the experimental results (symbols) and modeling calculations (lines) obtained at 20 bar, for the concentrations of  $C_2H_2$ , DME, and the sum of CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, as the main products quantified. For the reactants  $C_2H_2$  and DME, to facilitate comparison of results, concentrations have been normalized with respect to their inlet concentrations. For the other two pressures analyzed (40 and 60 bar), the trends are the same (Figs. S.1 and S.2 in Supplementary Material) and, in general, the model reproduces the trends experimentally observed.

In Fig. 1, for a constant pressure (20 bar) and 100 ppm of DME, the onset temperature for  $C_2H_2$  conversion is almost the same independently of the oxygen fed to the system as reactant. Similarly, a change in the air excess ratio value from  $\lambda$ =0.7 to  $\lambda$ =1, does not modify the DME conversion profile. Only for oxidizing conditions ( $\lambda$ =20), DME conversion is slightly shifted to lower temperatures, around 25 K. However, if the amount of DME in the mixture is increased up to 400 ppm (Fig. 2), this shifting is emphasized in the case of DME, and also observed in the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> concentration profile. Both compounds, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and DME, start to be consumed at lower temperatures, around 100 K less, that is from 725 K ( $\lambda$ =0.7 and  $\lambda$ =1) to 625 K ( $\lambda$ =20), and consequently, it is also reflected



## 20 bar and 400 ppm DME

**Fig. 3.** First-order sensitivity analysis for CO for different air excess ratios, 400 ppm of DME and 20 bar. (\*) sensitivity coefficients have been divided by two for a clearer representation. Sensitivity coefficients are given as  $A_i \delta Y_i / Y_i \delta A_i$ , where  $A_i$  is the pre-exponential constant for reaction *i* and  $Y_i$  is the mass fraction of *j*<sub>th</sub> species.

in the concentration of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2).

In the previous C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-DME mixtures oxidation study carried out at atmospheric pressure [19], in a flow reactor, and for the same percentages of DME added to the mixture (10 and 40% of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), results indicate that the onset temperature for the conversion of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and DME depends on the oxygen availability, being lower for the highest value of  $\lambda$  considered and the highest amount of DME tested, similarly to the observations of the present work under oxidizing conditions.

In order to analyze the effect, if any, of DME addition on the conversion of  $C_2H_2$ , and since the mechanism provides a good description of the oxidation process of the mixtures, model calculations for  $C_2H_2$  oxidation without DME have been performed. For a given pressure, there is no influence of the air excess ratio (Figs. S.3-S.5 in the Supplementary Material), what is in agreement with the findings of Giménez-López et al. [17] while studying the oxidation of  $C_2H_2$  under similar conditions to those of this study. Thus, modeling results have been included in Figs. 1 and 2 only for  $\lambda$ =1. It can be concluded that the presence of DME in the reactant mixture shifts the conversion of  $C_2H_2$  to lower temperatures, and the higher the amount of DME, the lower the temperature at which reaction occurs.

Compared to the temperature required for the oxidation of neat DME at high pressure [21], the onset temperature for DME conversion during the high-pressure oxidation of its mixtures with  $C_2H_2$  is comparatively higher. Under the same conditions, for example, 20 bar and  $\lambda=1$ , neat DME starts to be consumed at around 525 K, while, when mixed with  $C_2H_2$ , its conversion does not start until 700–725 K (independently of DME concentration in the mixture).

In conclusion,  $C_2H_2$  oxidation is shifted to lower temperatures in the presence of DME (promotion), whereas DME conversion is shifted to higher temperatures in the presence of  $C_2H_2$  (inhibition).

The main consumption routes for the reactants,  $C_2H_2$  and DME, have been identified through several reaction rate analyses, that can help to explain the observations previously described. Under the present conditions, calculations indicate that the consumption routes for DME and  $C_2H_2$  are almost the same than those previously described while analyzing the high-pressure oxidation of DME [21] and the oxidation of other  $C_2H_2$  mixtures, under high-pressure conditions too [17]. Therefore, only the main relevant routes are described below. The main reaction pathways are included in the Supplementary Material (Figs. S.6 and S.7).

Acetylene conversion is initiated by the sequence described in reaction R1, while the conversion of DME is started by reaction R2. Both initiation reactions represent a source of radicals, which later participate in the consumption of both  $C_2H_2$  and DME. In the case of  $C_2H_2$ , to form the CHCHOH adduct (reaction R3), and in the case of DME, to obtain CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub> radical (reaction R4).

$$C_{2}H_{2}(+M) \rightarrow H_{2}CC(+M) \xrightarrow{+O_{2}} CH_{2} CH_{2} \xrightarrow{+O_{2}} CH_{2} CH_{2$$

$$CH_3OCH_3 + O_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_3OCH_2 + HO_2 \tag{R2}$$

$$C_2H_2 + OH \rightleftharpoons CHCHOH$$
 (R3)

$$CH_3OCH_3 + OH \rightleftharpoons CH_3OCH_2 + H_2O \tag{R4}$$

Hydroperoxyl radicals (HO<sub>2</sub>), generated in reaction R2 and through the reaction of O<sub>2</sub> and HCO radicals (reaction R5), these latter produced from C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and CHCHOH (reactions R6 and R7), also contribute to the generation of DME radicals (reaction R8).

$$HCO + O_2 \rightleftharpoons CO + HO_2$$
 (R5)

$$C_2H_2 + O_2 \rightleftharpoons HCO + H + CO \tag{R6}$$

$$CHCHOH + O_2 \rightleftharpoons HCOOH + HCO \tag{R7}$$

$$CH_3OCH_3 + HO_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_3OCH_2 + H_2O_2 \tag{R8}$$

Two different reaction pathways can be identified during DME conversion as stated in the previous DME high-pressure oxidation study [21]. One path that dominates at low temperatures and another at high temperatures. The first one is summarized in the R9–R11 reaction sequence and it is responsible of the high reactivity of DME at low

temperatures. In this mechanism, hydroperoxides formed by subsequent oxygen additions play an important role [31].

$$CH_{3}OCH_{2} \xrightarrow{+O_{2}} CH_{3}OCH_{2}O_{2} \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow CH_{2}OCH_{2}O_{2}H \xrightarrow{+O_{2}} O_{2}CH_{2}OCH_{2}O_{2}H$$
(R9)

$$O_2CH_2OCH_2O_2H \xrightarrow{-OH} HO_2CH_2OCHO \xrightarrow{-OH} OCH_2OCHO$$
 (R10)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} OCH_2OCHO \rightarrow HOCH_2OCO \xrightarrow{-CO} HOCH_2O \xrightarrow{-H} \\ \xrightarrow{-H} HCOOH \end{array}$$
(R11)

The second one, which dominates at high temperatures, starts with the  $\beta$ -scission of the CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>H radical forming two molecules of formaldehyde and hydroxyl radicals (reaction R12) and becomes more relevant as temperature increases. However, in the presence of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, the high-temperature DME oxidation pathways are dominant.

$$CH_2OCH_2O_2H \Rightarrow 2CH_2O + OH$$
 (R12)

It has been previously stated that one of the effects of adding DME to the  $C_2H_2$  oxidation is that its conversion starts at lower temperatures and, the higher the amount of DME, the lower the temperature. The main reason can be found in the OH radicals generated during the conversion of DME. Specifically, OH radicals are mainly produced in reaction R12 from the high-temperature mechanism, and reactions R13 and R14 from the low-temperature oxidation regime.

$$O_2CH_2OCH_2O_2H \Rightarrow HO_2CH_2OCHO + OH \tag{R13}$$

$$HO_2CH_2OCHO \Rightarrow OCH_2OCHO + OH$$
(R14)

Depending on the oxygen availability and the amount of DME present in the mixture, DME addition modifies the radical pool composition, acting as an inhibitor or promoter, shifting the onset for  $C_2H_2$  conversion to higher or lower temperatures, respectively, as was also observed at atmospheric pressure in [19]. That is, for  $\lambda$ =0.7, when DME is present in the mixture, less H radicals participate in  $C_2H_2$  consumption through the H addition to form vinyl radicals ( $C_2H_2 + H (+M) \rightleftharpoons C_2H_3 (+M)$ ), and as a consequence, at atmospheric pressure,  $C_2H_2$  conversion is shifted to higher temperatures; the higher the amount of DME in the mixture, the higher the temperature. However, for  $\lambda$ =20 the trend is the opposite, and both  $C_2H_2$  and DME conversions are shifted to lower temperatures due to the increase in O and OH radicals. On the contrary, under the current high-pressure conditions, the formation of vinyl radicals is not as relevant as at atmospheric pressure, and the formation of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radicals is favored.

The analysis of the main reaction pathways has been completed with a first-order sensitivity analysis for CO, 20 bar and the different air excess ratios analyzed. The highest concentration of DME has been selected since it is for which the most notable changes have been observed. Fig. 3 shows a comparison of the results obtained. Most of the sensitivity reactions identified correspond to DME and  $C_2H_2$  consumption. In general, reactions involving DME and the species generated during its conversion have a promoting effect. It is noteworthy the effect of reaction R4, i.e. the initiation of DME oxidation, specially under oxidizing conditions.

Among the reactions with an inhibiting effect, there are two that involve the consumption of highly reactive radicals: reaction R3 and reaction R15. Reaction R3 implies the consumption of OH radicals generating CHCHOH, which later reacts with molecular oxygen (reaction R7) producing formic acid, a stable product. In the case of reaction R15, it also represents a sink of radicals, in this case of hydroperoxyl radicals (HO<sub>2</sub>), which participate in the generation of DME radicals (reaction R8).

$$HO_2 + HO_2 \rightleftharpoons H_2O_2 + O_2 \tag{R15}$$

The sensitivity analysis has also been performed for the reactants,



Fig. 4. Comparison of DME concentration evolution with temperature during the high-pressure oxidation of DME [21] and its mixtures with  $C_2H_2$  [present work] in the same experimental setup.

DME and  $C_2H_2$ , and the sensitivity reactions identified in both cases are almost the same as for CO, as it can be seen in Figs. S.8 and S.9 in the Supplementary Material.

DME is a suitable compound to be blended with low-reactivity fuels such as cyclopentane [32] because it is reactive at low temperatures, although this reactivity decreases with temperature (the well-known negative temperature coefficient (NTC) zone). However, unlike neat DME oxidation under high-pressure conditions [21], in the present work, during its oxidation in mixtures with  $C_2H_2$ , also at high pressure, this NTC region is not clearly observed, neither from an experimental point of view nor kinetic modeling.

The issue of the appearance or not of the NTC region deserves a further discussion. To illustrate the different behaviors of DME oxidation, Fig. 4 shows a comparison of experimental and model results for DME consumption during its oxidation under high-pressure conditions with and without NO [21], and its mixtures with  $C_2H_2$ . All the experiments shown have been carried out in the same experimental setup.

As it can be seen, during the high-pressure oxidation of DME in the absence of NO, in the 550–750 K temperature range, there is a zone where DME reactivity decreases with temperature.

This is due to a modification in the consumption routes for DME. As temperature increases, the  $\beta$ -scission of the CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>H radical (reaction R12) becomes more relevant preventing this radical to continue the reaction sequence above described as low-temperature reaction pathway (R9–R11).

However, the trends observed here related to the different DME concentrations during the high-pressure oxidation of its mixtures with  $C_2H_2$  are more similar to the one previously described during its high-pressure oxidation in the presence of NO, where the NTC is not observed. This is due to the competition of DME radicals to continue reacting with  $O_2$  and  $NO_2$ . In the case of the  $C_2H_2$ -DME mixtures, there is a competition of the reactants with the  $O_2$ , and, as a consequence, DME conversion, in the presence of  $C_2H_2$ , is shifted to higher temperatures where low-temperature reaction pathways are not so relevant.

Moreover, in previous studies in flow reactors (FR) at atmospheric pressure, this region did not appear neither during the oxidation of neat DME [28] nor in mixtures with  $C_2H_2$  [19]. Nonetheless, an early reactivity of DME at very low temperatures was observed in a jet-stirred reactor (JSR) study [33]. Differences in the DME inlet concentration analyzed in both studies are significant: 20000 ppm in the case of the JSR and 500 ppm in both FR studies. Therefore, a more in-depth analysis of the influence of DME inlet concentration on the possible appearance of NTC behavior has been carried out. A complementary experimental



Fig. 5. Influence of inlet DME concentration on the concentration profiles of  $C_2H_2$ , DME, and CO+CO<sub>2</sub> as a function of temperature during the high-pressure oxidation  $C_2H_2$ -DME mixture oxidation, for the conditions denoted as sets 3, 19–21 in Table 1.

and modeling study has been performed for the conditions denoted as sets 19–21 in Table 1, i.e., 20 bar, stoichiometric conditions and 500, 700 and 1000 ppm of DME, while keeping constant the amount of  $C_2H_2$  at 1000 ppm. Results are shown in Fig. 5.

In this case, even for the lowest amount of DME tested (500 ppm), the NTC zone is clearly observed, especially in the experimental concentration profile. This behavior is intensified by increasing the amount of DME in the mixture with  $C_2H_2$ . It should be noted that, to compare the results, the variable  $\lambda$  must be kept constant, so if the amount of DME is increased, the amount of  $O_2$  that is fed to the system must also be increased. Under these conditions (20 bar and  $\lambda$ =1), reaction rate analysis indicate that there is a DME amount threshold above which low-temperature reaction pathways (R9–R11 reaction sequence) are fast enough to play an important role in DME consumption. Otherwise, DME conversion only occurs in the high-temperature regimen through the



**Fig. 6.** Influence of pressure on the concentration profiles of  $C_2H_2$ , DME, and CO+CO<sub>2</sub> as a function of temperature during the high-pressure oxidation of  $C_2H_2$ -DME mixture oxidation, for the conditions denoted as sets 3, 9 and 15 in Table 1.

 $\beta$ -scission of CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>H radicals (reaction R12), as happens in the case of the mixtures with a content of 400 or 100 ppm of DME.

The influence of a change in the working pressure (20, 40 and 60 bar) during the oxidation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-DME mixtures has also been evaluated in the present work. A comparison of experimental results and modeling calculations for stoichiometric conditions ( $\lambda$ =1) and 100 ppm of DME is shown in Fig. 6. For C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, DME and the sum of CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, modeling calculations are in good agreement with the trends experimentally observed.

The onset temperature for  $C_2H_2$  and DME conversion is shifted to lower temperatures as the working pressure is increased. This behavior was previously observed during the high-pressure oxidation of other mixtures with acetylene, for example,  $C_2H_2$ -ethanol [23]. It is worth to mention that when pressure is increased from 20 to 40 bar or from 40 to 60 bar, for the same temperature, the gas residence time also increases (Equation (1)). As a consequence, the change in the onset temperature can be attributed both to the increase in pressure, and the consequent

DME/DME

 $C_2H_2/C_2H_{2 \text{ inlet}}$ 

CO+CO<sub>2</sub> (ppm)



Fig. 7. Evaluation through model calculations of the effect of pressure (left) and gas residence time (right) on the  $C_2H_2$ -DME mixtures conversion (400 ppm of DME), under stoichiometric conditions ( $\lambda = 1$ ).

increase in the concentration of reactants, and to the related increase in the gas residence time. Previous works carried out under similar conditions in the same facility, have tried to elucidate which of the effects is predominant. For example, in an ethanol high-pressure oxidation work [26], results indicated that both pressure and gas-residence time had an appreciable effect displacing the conversion of ethanol to lower temperatures, if either of these two variables was increased. On the other hand, in a DME high-pressure oxidation work [21], the effect of the gas residence time was clearer and more noticeable than the effect of the pressure.

Modeling calculations, with the mechanism used in the present work, have been performed to try to distinguish between the effect of the pressure or the gas residence time, following the same approach of [21] and [26]. The results of this evaluation are shown in Fig. 7. The left side of the figure includes calculations made at different pressures (20, 40 and 60 bar) while keeping constant the gas residence time. On the other hand, the right side includes calculations made at constant pressure (20 bar) and different gas residence times. Results indicate that both the pressure and the gas residence time have an effect on acetylene conversion, which is shifted to lower temperatures if any of these variables is increased while keeping constant the other one. As a consequence, the aforementioned results of the influence of pressure on the conversion of  $C_2H_2$  and DME correspond to the joint effect of both variables, pressure and residence time of the gas in the reaction zone.

In any case, what is highly relevant is that the modeling calculations in all the studies, including the present work and the previous ones, are able to represent the trends experimentally observed.

## 5. Conclusions

The high-pressure oxidation of  $C_2H_2$ -DME mixtures has been analyzed in a tubular-flow reactor. The influence of several variables has been evaluated: pressure (20, 40 and 60 bar), oxygen inlet concentration (defined by different  $\lambda$ ) and amount of DME in the reactant mixture. The experimental data have been compared with model calculations obtained with an in-house kinetic model developed, over the past years, by including different reaction subsets for small organic compounds in the basis mechanism. The final one obtained has been used successfully in previous studies, as well as in the present work.

For a constant pressure, regardless of the availability of oxygen, the onset temperature for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and DME conversion is almost the same, except for oxidizing conditions ( $\lambda$ =20), when both reactants start to be consumed at lower temperatures, up to 100 K less (for 400 ppm of DME).

The presence of DME in the reactant mixtures promotes the oxidation of  $C_2H_2$ , whereas  $C_2H_2$  inhibits the conversion of DME at low temperatures (for 100 and 400 ppm of DME).

The displacement of the C2H2 conversion to lower temperatures

(promotion) is due to an increase in the formation of OH radicals during the oxidation of DME; the higher the amount of DME, the lower the temperature at which  $C_2H_2$  begins to be consumed. The addition of DME does not modify the oxidation regime of  $C_2H_2$ , it only modifies the composition of the radical pool. As a consequence, the relevance of  $C_2H_2$ reactions with OH increases, favoring the oxidation of  $C_2H_2$  towards CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, and eliminating carbon from the reaction pathways that lead to the formation of soot.

A typical feature of DME oxidation is its high reactivity at low temperatures that decreases with temperature, the NTC region. However, it is not always present. When mixed with  $C_2H_2$ , at 20 bar and  $\lambda=1$ , it seems that there is a DME concentration threshold above which the reactions that usually occur at these temperatures become relevant and this characteristic NTC region appears. For the lowest amounts of DME tested (100 and 400 ppm), high-temperature oxidation pathways predominate, and DME oxidation starts at temperatures comparatively higher than those required for its neat oxidation at high-pressures [21], approximately 175–200 K more. As a consequence of this shift to higher temperatures, the NTC region does not appear, indicating an inhibiting effect of  $C_2H_2$  on the low-temperature oxidation of DME.

An increase in the working pressure shifts the onset temperature for  $C_2H_2$  and DME conversion to lower temperatures. It is attributed to the increase in both the concentration of reactants and the gas residence time, due to an increase in pressure, rather than to a change in the pathways that control the reaction rate.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Lorena Marrodán: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. Ángela Millera: Writing – review & editing. Rafael Bilbao: Writing – review & editing. María U. Alzueta: Conceptualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

Data are included as supplementary material

#### Acknowledgements

This publication is part of the Project RTI2018-098856-B-I00

financed by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/FEDER "Una manera de hacer Europa". The authors express their gratitude to Aragón Government (Ref. T22\_20R), cofounded by FEDER 2014-2020 "Construyendo Europa desde Aragón".

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125143.

#### References

- Arcoumanis C, Bae C, Crookes R, Kinoshita E. The potential of di-methyl ether (DME) as an alternative fuel for compression-ignition engines: a review. Fuel 2008; 87:1014–30.
- [2] Kohse-Höinghaus K, Oβwald P, Cool TA, Kasper T, Hansen N, Qi F, et al. Biofuel combustion chemistry: from ethanol to biodiesel. Angew Chem Int Ed 2010;49: 3572–97.
- [3] Azizi Z, Rezaeimanesh M, Tohidian T, Rahimpour MR. Dimethyl ether: a review of technologies and production challenges. Chem Eng Process 2014;82:150–72.
- [4] Semelsberger TA, Rodney LB, Greene HL. Dimethyl ether (DME) as an alternative fuel. J Power Sources 2006;156:497–511.
- [5] Ying W, Genbao L, Wei Z, Longbao Z. Study on the application of DME/diesel blends in a diesel engine. Fuel Process Technol 2008;89:1272–80.
- [6] Junjun Z, Xinqi Q, Zhen W, Bin G, Zhen H. Experimental investigation of lowtemperature combustion (LTC) in an engine fueled with dimethyl ether (DME). Energy Fuels 2009;23:170–4.
- [7] Benajes J, Novella R, Pastor JM, Hernández-López A, Kokjohn S. Computational optimization of a combustion system for a stoichiometric DME fueled compression ignition engine. Fuel 2018;223:20–31.
- [8] Liao H, Kang S, Hansen N, Zhang F, Yang B. Influence of ozone addition on the low-temperature oxidation of dimethyl ether in a jet-stirred reactor. Combust Flame 2020;214:277–86.
- [9] Issayev G, Giri BR, Elbaz AM, Shrestha KP, Mauss F, Roberts WL, et al. Ignition delay time and laminar flame speed measurements of ammonia blended with dimethyl ether: a promising low carbon fuel blend. Renewable Energy 2022;181: 1353–70.
- [10] Dai L, Hashemi H, Glarborg P, Gersen S, Marshall P, Mokhov A, et al. Ignition delay times of NH<sub>3</sub>/DME blends at high pressure and low DME fraction: RCM experiments and simulations. Combust Flame 2021;227:120–34.
- [11] Burke U, Somers KP, O'Toole P, Zinner CM, Marquet N, Bourque G, et al. An ignition delay and kinetic modeling study of methane, dimethyl ether, and their mixtures at high pressures. Combust Flame 2015;162:296–314.
- [12] Hashemi H, Christensen JM, Glarborg P. High-pressure pyrolysis and oxidation of DME and DME/CH4. Combust Flame 2019;205:80–92.
- [13] Kaczmarek D, Herzler J, Porras S, Shaqiri S, Fikri M, Schuls C, et al. Plug-flow reactor and shock-tube study of the oxidation of very fuel-rich natural gas/DME/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures. Combust Flame 2021;225:86–103.

- Fuel 327 (2022) 125143
- [14] Song KH, Nag P, Litzinger TA, Haworth DC. Effects of oxygenated additives on aromatic species in fuel-rich, premixed ethane combustion: a modeling study. Combust Flame 2003;135:341–9.
- [15] Esarte C, Millera Á, Bilbao R, Alzueta MU. Effect of ethanol, dimethylether, and oxygen, when mixed with acetylene on the formation of soot and gas products. Ind Eng Chem Res 2010;49:6772–9.
- [16] Wu H, Shi Z, Lee C, Zhang H, Xu Y. Experimental and kinetic study on ignition of DME/n-butane mixtures under high pressures on a rapid compression machine. Fuel 2018:225:35–46.
- [17] Giménez-López J, Rasmussen CT, Hashemi H, Alzueta MU, Gao Y, Marshall P, et al. Experimental and kinetic modeling study of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> oxidation at high pressure. Int J Chem Kinet 2016;48:724–38.
- [18] Frenklach M. Reaction mechanism of soot formation in flames. Phys Chem Chem Phys 2002;4:2028–37.
- [19] Marrodán L, Berdusán L, Aranda V, Millera Á, Bilbao R, Alzueta MU. Influence of dimethyl ether addition on the oxidation of acetylene in the absence and presence of NO. Fuel 2016;183:1–8.
- [20] Marrodán L, Millera Á, Bilbao R, Alzueta MU. High-pressure study of methyl formate oxidation and its interaction with NO. Energy Fuels 2014;28:6107–15.
- [21] Marrodán L, Arnal AJ, Millera Á, Bilbao R, Alzueta MU. The inhibiting effect of NO addition on dimethyl ether high-pressure oxidation. Combust Flame 2018;197: 1–10.
- [22] Rasmussen CL, Hansen J, Marshall P, Glarborg P. Experimental measurements and kinetic modeling of CO/H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> conversion at high pressure. Int J Chem Kinet 2008;40:454–80.
- [23] Marrodán L, Fuster M, Millera Á, Bilbao R, Alzueta MU. Ethanol as a fuel additive: high-pressure oxidation of its mixtures with acetylene. Energy Fuels 2018;32: 10078–87.
- [24] Glarborg P, Alzueta MU, Dam-Johansen K, Miller JA. Kinetic modeling of hydrocarbon/nitric oxide interactions in a flow reactor. Combust Flame 1998;115: 1–27.
- [25] Glarborg P, Østberg M, Alzueta MU, Dam-Johansen K, Miller JA. The recombination of hydrogen atoms with nitric oxide at high temperatures. Symp (Int) Combust 1998;27:219–26.
- [26] Marrodán L, Arnal ÁJ, Millera Á, Bilbao R, Alzueta MU. High-pressure ethanol oxidation and its interaction with NO. Fuel 2018;223:394–400.
- [27] Alzueta MU, Borruey M, Callejas A, Millera A, Bilbao R. An experimental and modeling study of the oxidation of acetylene in a flow reactor. Combust Flame 2008;152:377–86.
- [28] Alzueta MU, Muro J, Bilbao R, Glarborg P. Oxidation of dimethyl ether and its interaction with nitrogen oxides. Isr J Chem 1999;39:73–86.
- [29] Zhao Z, Chaos M, Kazakov A, Dryer FL. Thermal decomposition reaction and a comprehensive kinetic model of dimethyl ether. Int J Chem Kinet 2008;40:1–18.
- [30] ANSYS Chemkin-Pro 17.2; Reaction Design: San Diego, 2016.
- [31] Wang Z, Herbinet O, Hansen N, Battin-Leclerc F. Exploring hydroperoxides in combustion: History, recent advances and perspectives. Prog Energy Combust Sci 2019;73:132–81.
- [32] Lokachari N, Wagnon SW, Kukkadapu G, Pitz WJ, Curran HJ. An experimental and kinetic modeling study of cyclopentane and dimethyl ether blends. Combust Flame 2021;225:255–71.
- [33] Rodriguez A, Frottier P, Herbinet O, Fournet R, Bounaceur R, Fittschen C, et al. Experimental and modelling investigation of the low-temperature oxidation of dimethyl ether. J Phys Chem A 2015;119:7905–23.