Spin state crossover in Co₃BO₅

N.V. Kazak, M.S. Platunov, Yu.V. Knyazev, M.S. Molokeev, M.V. Gorev, S.G. Ovchinnikov Kirensky Institute of Physics, Federal Research Center KSC SB RAS, 660036 Krasnoyarsk, Russia

> Z.V. Pchelkina^{1,2}, V.V. Gapontsev², S.V. Streltsov^{1,2} ¹ M.N. Miheev Institute of Metal Physics UB RAS, 620137 Ekaterinburg, Russia ² Ural Federal University, 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia

> > J. Bartolomé³, A. Arauzo^{3,4}

³Instituto de Nanociencia y Materiales de Aragón (INMA), CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza and Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain ⁴Servicio de Medidas Físicas, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

V.V. Yumashev Institute of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Federal Research Center KSC SB RAS, 660036, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

> S.Yu. Gavrilkin P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of RAS, 119991 Moscow, Russia

> > F. Wilhelm, A. Rogalev

ESRF-The European Synchrotron, 71 Avenue des Martyrs CS40220, F-38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Abstract We have investigated the spin and oxidation states of Co in Co₃BO₅ using the X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) and *dc* magnetic susceptibility measurements. At low temperatures the XMCD experiments have been performed at Co *K*-edge in Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ single crystals in the fully ferrimagnetically ordered phase. The Co (*K*-edge) XMCD signal is found to be related to the Co²⁺ magnetic sublattices in both compounds providing strong experimental support for the low-spin Co³⁺ scenario. The paramagnetic susceptibility is highly anisotropic. An estimation of the effective magnetic moment in the temperature range 100-250 K correlates well with two Co²⁺ ions in the high-spin state and some orbital contribution, while Co³⁺ remains in the low-spin state. The crystal structure of Co₃BO₅ single crystal has been solved in detail at the *T* range 296-703 K. The unit cell parameters and volume show anomalies at 500 and 700 K. The octahedral environment of Co4 site strongly changes with heating. The GGA+U calculations have revealed that at low-temperatures the system is insulating with the band gap of 1.4 eV and the Co²⁺ ions are in the high-spin state, while Co³⁺ are in the low-spin state. At high temperatures (T>700 K) the charge ordering disappears, and the system becomes metallic with all Co ions in 3*d*⁷ electronic configuration and high-spin state.

PACS number(s): 75.50.Gg, 75.30.Wx, 75.30.Gw

1. INTRODUCTION

The cobalt oxides belong to a large class of strongly correlated compounds showing the complex interplay between spin, charge, lattice, and orbital degrees of freedom. Like other 3d transition metals, cobalt exhibits several possible oxidation states – $\text{Co}^{2+}(d^7)$, $\text{Co}^{3+}(d^6)$ and $\text{Co}^{4+}(d^5)$. A property which makes the cobalt oxides very peculiar is the ability of Co^{3+} ions to accommodate various spin states, that is, low spin (LS), high spin (HS) and intermediate spin

(IS). The spin state of Co^{3+} appears to be very sensitive to changes in the Co-O bond length and Co-O-Co bond angle and a spin state transition could happens under action of temperature, magnetic field or external pressure. This makes the physics of the cobalt oxides very complicated, being a subject of a long-standing controversy [1]. Recently, cobalt-containing oxyborates with a general formula of $M^{2+}_2M'^{3+}BO_5$ (M, M' = Co, and 3d metal ions, as well as Al, Ga, and Mg) have attracted much attention due to the discovery of their intriguing magnetic and electronic behaviors [2-7]. These materials crystallize in orthorhombic structure (Sp.gr. *Pbam*) and are isostructural to ludwigite mineral. The M^{2+} and M'^{3+} ions are located at the centers of edge sharing oxygen octahedra forming linear chains propagating along the short crystallographic direction ($c\approx 3$ Å). The boron atoms have a trigonal-planar coordination BO₃, normally linked via common corners with oxygen octahedra. The cations occupy four crystallographically distinct metal sites 2a, 2b, 4g, and 4h, which are usually numbered as M1, M2, M3, and M4, respectively (Fig. 1). The first three are occupied by divalent metal ions, whereas the latter site located in the spaces between BO3-groups is occupied by the trivalent cations. As a result, a dense crystal structure is formed by alternating layers of divalent and trivalent cations. The triads 3-1-3 and 4-2-4 with longest and shortest interionic distances are structurally, magnetically and electronically singled out. The common ludwigite structure allows various types of magnetic interactions involving the metal ions, including superexchange interactions, direct exchange interactions, and dipole-dipole interactions, among which the former is dominant.





Fig. 1.a) The *ab* projection of crystal structure of Co_3BO_5 . The green and blue spheres are octahedrally coordinated divalent and trivalent ions, respectively, that occupy different crystallographic sites 1, 2, 3, and 4. The triangle BO_3 -groups are shown by yellow. b) The triads 3-1-3 with the longest (b) and 4-2-4 with shortest Co-Co distances (c) interionic distances are highlighted by dotted lines

The homometallic ludwigites, Fe₃BO₅ and Co₃BO₅, are the most thoroughly studied systems due to their quite distinct structural, magnetic and electronic properties [2,4,8]. Fe₃BO₅ demonstrates extremely rich physics and undergoes several transitions upon cooling from room temperature. First, a structural orthorhombic – orthorhombic transition takes place at $T_{st} = 283$ K (*Pbam*(N $ext{P}5$) – *Pbnm*(N $ext{P}62$)), which is accompanied by the formation of the pairs ("dimers") of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions in 4-2-4 triad [9,10]. The phase transition in Fe₃BO₅ detected by the X-ray diffraction also manifests itself in the temperature dependence of electrical-resistivity and hyperfine parameters, revealing well-defined anomalies at T_{st} [9,11,12]. With decreasing temperature a cascade of magnetic transitions is observed by means of magnetometry, Mössbauer, and temperature-dependent neutron diffraction studies [13-15]. At T_{N1} =112 K the 4-2-4 spin ladder is antiferromagnetically ordered. At T_{N2} =74 K the ferrimagnetic ordering in the 3-1-3 spin-ladder appears. And finally, the transition to the antiferromagnetic ground state at T_{N3} =30 K with zero magnetic moment per unit cell is observed. The bulk magnetization measurements revealed that the anisotropy axis changes from the *a* to the *b* axis in the low-temperature antiferromagnetic phase.

On the contrary, cobalt ludwigite Co₃BO₅ demonstrates more conventional behavior, with the only ferrimagnetic transition at T_N =42 K and no structural transformations [4, 16,17]. The high magnetic uniaxial anisotropy with the *b*-axis as an easy magnetization direction was detected in the entire temperature range [15,18]. The remanent magnetization per Co atom is ~ 1.1 µ_B. A small slope of magnetization at high magnetic fields seems to indicate the existence of a more complex magnetic structure.

Over the last decade, the attempts to understand the observed difference in magnetic and electronic properties of two homometalic ludwigites led to a synthesis of several new compounds: CoMgGaBO₅ [19], Co_{2.4}Ga_{0.6}BO₅ [20], Co_{2.88}Cu_{0.12}BO₅ [21], Co_{4.76}Al_{1.24}BO₅ [22], Co₂AlBO₅ [23], Co_{3-x}Fe_xBO₅ (0.0<x<1.0) [15,17,18,24,25], Co_{1.7}Mn_{1.3}BO₅ [26], Co₅TiB₂O₁₀ [27], and Co₅SnB₂O₁₀ [28] are some of them. (Here we do not mention ludwigites based on other 3d metal, the studies of which are also numerous). The main conclusions that can be drawn from these studies are the following: i) isovalent substitution of Co^{3+} ions by nonmagnetic ions like Ga and Al or magnetic Mn causes an onset of short-range or long-range orderings, but their critical temperatures are found in the vicinity of $T_{\rm N}$ characteristic of Co₃BO₅. The reason, apparently, is the sensitivity of the magnetic subsystem to the cation distribution. ii) The nonmagnetic substitution of type $2 \cdot \text{Co}^{3+} \rightarrow (\text{Co}^{2+} + \text{M}^{4+})$, where $\text{M}^{4+} = \text{Ti}$ or Sn leads to an antiferromagnetic spin ordering at $T_{\rm N}$ =82 K, i.e. twice of T_N of Co₃BO₅. Taking into account that tetravalent substitution generates Co²⁺ ions at the M4 site, an increase in the Neel temperature should be attributed to the enhancement of exchange interactions because of the substitution of LS Co³⁺ by the HS Co²⁺at this site. iii) An unexpected effect was discovered at the substitution of Co³⁺ by Fe³⁺ ions. In particular, with Fe³⁺ content increase the samples exhibit a magnetic transition at 83 K, which is then split into two magnetic transitions at 77 and 86 K [25], and finally, the Fe³⁺ -rich sample Co₂FeBO₅ shows antiferromagnetic (T_{N1}=110 K) and ferrimagnetic-like (T_{N2}=70 K) transitions similar to the end compound Fe₃BO₅ [15, 18]. An analysis of the Co-containing ludwigite systems indicates that the chemical substitution modifies the magnetically active subsystem only if it results in the appearance of magnetic ions at M4 site (Co^{2+} , Mn^{3+} , or Fe³⁺).

Based on the low-temperature neutron diffraction, *Freitas et.al* [29] have found a rather small magnetic moment (~0.5 μ_B) for Co ions occupying the M4 site, instead of the expected 4 μ_B for high-spin Co³⁺ ($t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$, S=2), while the observed moments of Co at the M1 site (~3.6 μ_B), at the M2 site (3.1 μ B), and at the M3 (3.8 μ B) are consistent with those expected for high-spin Co^{2+} ions ($t_{2g}^5\text{e}_{g}^2$, S=3/2). It was then assumed that Co^{3+} ions in Co₃BO₅ are in the low-spin state $(t_{2g}^{6}e_{g}^{0}, S=0)$. It was found also that all magnetic moments are almost parallel to the *b*-axis, making it an easy magnetization direction. The spin ordering within 3-1-3 triad is antiferromagnetic $(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)$. These triads are ferromagnetically coupled with each other via Co ions at the M2 site resulting in the total uncompensated magnetic moment ~1.4 μ B per Co ion. The recent measurements of crystal structure, magnetic susceptibility, electrical conductivity, and soft X-ray spectroscopy of Co₃BO₅ performed in the paramagnetic regime T=300-700 K, revealed the existence of two phase transitions at 475 and 495 K [30]. These transitions are welldefined from the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and electrical conductivity experiments and are accompanied by anomalies in the unit-cell parameters, although the type of crystal structure is retained and the space group remains unchanged (Pbam). A large paramagnetic moment 4.87 $\mu_{\rm B}$ per Co ion was obtained from the fit of high-temperature part of magnetic susceptibility above room temperature and a deviation from Curie-Weiss behavior below this temperature was found. Although direct experimental evidence of spin transition is still missing, the authors of work [30] deduced that Co₃BO₅ undergoes a gradual Co³⁺ spin-state crossover in the temperature interval $T_N \le T < 300$ K and two-step changes in the Co oxidation state in each of four crystallographic sites at high temperatures. Indeed, the effective magnetic moment $\mu_{eff}=4.16 \mu_B/Co$ obtained from the fitting of the susceptibility in the interval T=100-300 K [16, 18] can be compared with the value $\mu_{eff} = 4.24 \mu_B$ expected for the case in which all Co ions, including the trivalent ones, are in high spin states (without orbital moment contribution). This observation indicates that a crossover or a transition from LS to HS could occur in Co₃BO₅. However, the study of thermodynamic properties of Co₃BO₅ below room temperature [16] did not reveal any anomaly except the magnetic one at $T_N=42$ K. At the same time, conductivity and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements [30] performed on both single crystalline and powder samples at T>300 K showed anomalies, which are typically associated with a first-order phase transition. However, no such phase transition is observed in Co₃BO₅ remains unclear.

Thus, the subject of the present work is to determine the spin and oxidation states of cobalt ions in Co₃BO₅ and to study their evolution with temperature. We have performed singlecrystalline X-ray diffraction, differential scanning calorimetry, and heat capacity measurements in a wide temperature range of 296-773 K. The Co *K*-edge X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) and angle-dependent *dc* susceptibility experiments were carried out in the ferrimagnetic phase (~5 K) and above the magnetic phase transition (100-250 K), respectively. We calculated the electronic structure and magnetic moments of Co atoms at different metal sites for two paramagnetic phases: 296 K (low-temperature phase) 703 K (high-temperature phase) using the density-functional theory (DFT) with the account of strong Coulomb correlations through GGA+U scheme.

These combined experimental and theoretical studies indicate that at temperatures up to room temperature the magnetic lattice of Co₃BO₅ is formed mostly by divalent Co²⁺ ions (S=3/2 with a small orbital contribution), while Co³⁺ is in the LS state. The high-temperature investigation of crystal structure did not reveal any structural transformations (sp.gr. *Pbam*) whereas the lattice parameters and volume show a large thermal expansion and anomalies at T_1 =500 and T_2 =700 K. The unit cell volume increases by about 4.5 %. We found that the octahedral environment of Co ions at the M4 site is drastically changed. The measurements of DSC indicate that the compound does not experience any first/second-order phase transitions besides those into the magnetically ordered state at T_N. This is confirmed by the thermodynamic

properties measurements, which revealed the existence of two smeared anomalies in the high temperature range which are consistent with those observed in the X-ray diffraction experiments.

Our GGA+U calculations have shown, first of all, that the Co^{2+} ions are in the high-spin state, while Co^{3+} are in the low-spin state at low temperatures. Second, in the high temperature phase (*T*=703 K) the charge ordering disappears, all Co ions have the same $3d^7$ electronic configuration, and the system becomes metallic with all Co ions in the high-spin state. Moreover, our calculations point out that the spin-state transition and charge ordering does not necessarily occur at the same temperature.

2. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES AND DETAILS OF THE CALCULATION

Needle-shaped single crystalline specimens of Co_3BO_5 have been synthesized using a flux method in the system $Bi_2Mo_3O_{12}$ - B_2O_3 - CoO - Na_2CO_3 - Co_2O_3 [18].

X-ray Absorption Near-edge Structure (XANES) and X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism (XMCD) measurements at the K-edges of Co and Fe have been performed at the ESRF ID12 beamline. The first harmonic of APPLE-II type helical undulator was used as a source of circularly polarized X-rays. To further monochromatize the undulator emission, a fixed-exit double crystal Si(111) monochromator was used at the required photon energies. The single crystals of Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ were mounted on a cold finger of an "amagnetic" He flow cryostat that was inserted in a cold bore of superconducting solenoid producing a maximum magnetic field of 17 Tesla. All XANES spectra were recorded using total fluorescence yield detection mode in "back-scattering" geometry using Si photodiodes mounted on the liquid nitrogen shield of the solenoid allowing very large solid angle of detection. Experiments were performed at 5 K under a magnetic field of ± 17 T to ensure that the magnetic saturation was reached. Samples were oriented so that the direction of the magnetic field and incident x-ray wavevector were collinear with the crystallographic *b*-axis, which is an easy magnetization direction for both samples. The normalized XANES spectra were corrected for self-absorption effects taking into account the various background contributions (fluorescence of outer electronic subshells and other elements in the sample as well as coherent and incoherent scattering) and the solid angle of the detector. The XMCD signal was obtained as direct difference of XANES spectra measured with opposite helicities of the light at a fixed magnetic field. The resulting XMCD spectra are measured repeatedly with the opposite direction of magnetization to eliminate any artifacts.

For magnetic measurements a single crystal of 0.41 mg has been mounted in the rotator option of a SQUID-based magnetometer. The sample has been fixed with a pinch of vacuum grease with the rotator axis parallel and perpendicular to the needle axis (*c*-axis). We could select

the different *a*-, *b*- and *c*- axis for the measurements as a function of temperature. DC Magnetic susceptibility at 1 kOe has been measured from 100 K to 250 K at different orientations.

The simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) of Co₃BO₅ sample was performed using Jupiter STA 449C analyzer (NETZSCH, Germany) equipped with an Aëolos QMS 403C quadrupole mass spectrometer (NETZSCH, Germany) in Pt-Rh crucibles. A powdered sample of Co₃BO₅ single crystals with a weight of 160 mg was used. The conditions of the experiment in Ref.30 were reproduced except for the temperature range, which was slightly shifted to the high-temperature range of 373-773 K due to instrumental limitations, while being in the range of the thermal effects observed by the authors Ref.30. The measurements of mass change (TG) and heat flux (DSC) was performed in sequential heating and cooling mode at a rate of 10 °C/min within temperature range 373-773 K, supplying the different gas mixtures (20 vol.% O₂ in Ar and Ar with purity 99,9995 vol.%) with total flow rate of 50 cm³(NTP)/min. Two successive heating-cooling cycles were carried out for each gas mixture. The first heating-cooling cycle was used for sample conditioning and the second cycle for data processing.

The specific heat capacity data from 373-773 K were obtained by the "ratio method" using a differential scanning calorimeter Netzsch STA Jupiter 449 C equipped with a special sample holder for C_p measurements. Three different runs under the same conditions (dynamic argon-oxygen atmosphere 20 vol.% O₂, the heating rate 10 K/min) were carried out: (1) the baseline (empty Pt-Rh crucibles with perforated lids); (2) a standard sapphire disk (112 mg, with diameter of 6 mm and high of 1 mm) in the sample crucible; (3) the powder sample of Co₃BO₅ (60 mg) was manually sealed in the sample crucible. For enhancement of precise determination of heat capacity, it is advantageous when the "thermal masses" of the sapphire and the sample are approximately equivalent, i.e. $(m_{st} \times C_{p,st}) \approx (m_{sa} \times C_p)$.

The specific heat capacity of the sample was determined on the corrected Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) curves according to equation:

$$C_p = \frac{m_{st}}{m_{sa}} \frac{(DSC_{sa} - DSC_{bl})}{(DSC_{st} - DSC_{bl})} C_{p,st};$$

where $C_{p,st}$ is the tabulated specific heat of the standard at temperature *T*; m_{st} , m_{sa} are masses of the standard and the sample; DSC_{sa} , DSC_{st} and DSC_{bl} is the value of DSC signal at temperature *T* from the sample, the standard and the baseline curve, respectively. The relative error of C_p measurements did not exceed $\pm 1\%$.

The low-temperature part of specific heat was measured by a relaxation technique in the temperature range 2-300 K on a single crystalline sample with a mass of 3.5 mg using a commercial instrument (Quantum Design PPMS).

The X-ray diffraction patterns were collected from a single crystal of Co₃BO₅ at 296 K, 403 K, 503 K, 603 K and 703 K using the SMART APEX II single crystal diffractometer (Bruker AXS, analytical equipment) equipped with a PHOTON 2 CCD-detector, graphite monochromator and Mo K α radiation source. The measurements were carried out in the Common Access Facility Centre of SB RAS in Krasnoyarsk. The space group was defined by the analysis of extinction rules and intensity statistics obtained from all reflections. Multiscan absorption correction of reflection intensities was performed by SADABS program. Then, the intensities of equivalent reflections were averaged. We note that face-indexed absorption correction could not be applied because one of the crystal faces was irregular and the thermal paste used to fix and protect the sample in the high temperature experiments avoided to apply the edge method. The structure was solved by direct methods [31] using the SHELXS program. [32] using anisotropic thermal parameters of all atoms.

The electronic structure of Co₃BO₅ was calculated within the density-functional theory (DFT) using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [33]. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) version of the exchange-correlation potential [34] was utilized. For the Brillouin zone integration a $8 \times 8 \times 16$ Monkhorst-Pack mesh was used. The cutoff energy for the plane wave basis was set to 500 eV. The total energy calculations were performed within generalized gradient approximation with account of on-site Coulomb repulsion (GGA+U) in rotationally invariant form [35]. The values of on-site Coulomb repulsion and Hund's exchange were taken to be U=7 eV and $J_{\rm H}=0.9$ eV, respectively [36].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism magnetometry

The element-selective XMCD spectroscopy allows to determine the magnetic behavior of the different elements in complex materials through the disentanglement of their contributions to the total magnetic response, or to compare the magnetic behavior of a chosen element in different materials. Here we focus on the isostructural compounds Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅.

In Co₂FeBO₅ the Fe³⁺ ions substitute Co³⁺ ions at M4 sites as it was found from XRD and Mössbauer spectroscopy studies [18,37]. This material has a high magnetic uniaxial anisotropy with the *b*-axis as an easy magnetization direction inherent to Co₃BO₅ and undergoes Ferri- $(T_{N2}=70 \text{ K})$ and AF ($T_{N1}=115 \text{ K}$) magnetic transitions similar to Fe₃BO₅ revealing a great impact of the magnetic ion at the M4 site. The Co₂FeBO₅ displays a strong reduction of the remanent magnetization compared to Co₃BO₅. Assuming the low-spin state of Co³⁺ one might expect a

large uncompensated magnetic moment in 4-2-4 triad, and hence in all the unit cell (this is a crude approximation since there is never the situation where all Co^{3+} ions are in LS), while the appearance of the ion with a nonzero magnetic moment at M4 site should result in the decrease of the moment under the condition of the antiparallel arrangement of this moment relative the host magnetic system of Co^{2+} . Indeed the remanent magnetization in Co_3BO_5 was found to equal $M_r \approx 72$ emu/g whereas in Co_2FeBO_5 the M_r is reduced down to 20 emu/g [18]. Based on the neutron powder diffraction and the angle-dependent magnetization data the schematic representation of magnetic couplings in Co_3BO_5 and Co_2FeBO_5 can be presented as shown in Fig. 2.

The Co³⁺ ion in low-spin state does not have unpaired spins ($t_{2g}^6 e_g^0, S = 0$) and, therefore, it should not contribute to the magnetic moment of Co₃BO₅. If this is the case, the Co²⁺ ions, residing at the 3-1-3 triads and site 2 of the 4-2-4 triads, should resemble those in Co²⁺₂Fe³⁺BO₅ (this is a crude approximation since the Fe³⁺ ion is magnetic and is certainly coupled to the Co²⁺ sublattice in the 4-2-4 triads).



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of magnetic couplings in Co_3BO_5 (a) and Co_2FeBO_5 (b). The direction of Co^{2+} and Fe^{3+} magnetic moments, shown by the green and blue arrows, respectively, reflects the antiferromagnetic coupling between two subsystems. The question mark underlines the hypothesis of M4 site being occupied mainly by Co^{3+} ions. The magnetic moments of Co^{3+} ions are not shown. The solid lines denote the superexchange interactions between the nearest magnetic ions $Co^{2+}-O-Co^{2+}$ and $Co^{2+}-O-Fe^{3+}$ (J_{ij}), while the dashed line is the magnetic coupling $Co^{2+}-O-Co^{3+}$. More detailed consideration on superexchange interactions in the ludwigites is presented in Ref. [38].

To directly address the problem of the spin state of Co^{3+} ions, the XMCD experiment was performed at the Co *K*-edge under the same temperature and field conditions on both Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ single crystals. The fully ferrimagnetically ordered phases were considered. The XMCD spectra are shown in Fig. 3b along with corresponding normalized XANES spectra (Fig.3a). The pre-edge peak which is sensitive to the orbital component of the magnetic moment was analyzed. This hard X-ray spectroscopy probes the transitions from a 1*s* core state to the empty 4*p* states and the pre-edge feature (7710-7715 eV) arises from transitions to more localized unoccupied 3*d* states resulting from the Co4*p*-3*d* hybridization. While the shapes of Co(*K*) XANES spectra are very similar for both samples a significant difference in their intensity is seen. This is related to the changes of local symmetry around Co atoms. Because the cobalt local symmetry and magnetization probed by XANES/XMCD provide information averaged over all non-equivalent crystallographic positions of Co, the shape and the intensity of the spectra measured at Co *K*-edge is expected to be dependent on the samples. The intensity of XANES pre-edge feature is reduced in Co₂FeBO₅ sample relative to that of the Co₃BO₅ indicating both the 4p-3d hybridization and the number of the unoccupied 3d states are different. A clear XMCD signal is visible at the pre-edge feature of Co. A nonzero XMCD signal reflects the magnetic polarization of the Co 4p band resulting from the intra-atomic exchange interaction with the 3d band.

The sign of the Co *K*-edge XMCD signal implies that in both Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ the magnetization of the Co sublattice is parallel to the applied magnetic field. The shape of the Co *K*-edge XMCD spectra is very similar for Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ while the signal amplitude at the pre-edge feature enhances as the Co³⁺ ions are replaced by Fe³⁺ ions. Then, we can assume that the Co *K*-edge XMCD pre-peak (7710-7715 eV) spectrum of Co₃BO₅ is composed of the Co²⁺ component, XMCD_{Co}²⁺, and a contribution from Co³⁺, XMCD_{Co}³⁺, while the XMCD signal of Co₂FeBO₅ depends on XMCD_{Co}²⁺ component only:

$$XMCD(Co2Co) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot (2 \cdot XMCD_{Co^{2+}} + XMCD_{Co^{3+}})$$
$$XMCD(Co2Fe) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (2 \cdot XMCD_{Co^{2+}})$$

The signal ratio is determined as follows

$$\frac{XMCD(Co2Co)}{XMCD(Co2Fe)} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{XMCD_{Co^{3+}}}{2 \cdot XMCD_{Co^{2+}}}\right)$$

If the Co^{3+} ions in Co_3BO_5 are in the LS state, they should not play any significant role in the magnetic polarization of the Co(sp) band and, consequently, in the Co *K*-edge XMCD spectra. If this is the case, the signal ratio should be close to 0.66. Any magnetic contribution of the Co^{3+} ions increases this ratio. The magnitude of the XMCD signal was determined by the integration of the XMCD spectrum in the range of the quadrupole transition, and the energy interval was 7705-7715 eV. The ratio of the signals obtained in this way gives the value of 0.70 ± 0.01 . This result shows that there is a rather small net Co^{3+} magnetization in Co_3BO_5 and this provides an experimental support for the LS state of the Co^{3+} ions. The small difference in signals can be explained also by the difference in the contributions of Co^{2+} sublattice in the direction of applied magnetic field in the two studied compounds.

In the framework of the additivity model, the Co *K*-edge XANES spectrum of Co₂CoBO₅ is composed of a Co²⁺ component, XANES_{Co}²⁺, and a Co³⁺ one, XANES_{Co}³⁺. We can assume that the contribution of the Co²⁺ to the Co *K*-edge XANES spectra is the same for Co₂CoBO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ compounds, and by subtracting XANES_{Co}²⁺ spectrum the contribution of the Co³⁺ to

the XANES spectrum of Co₂CoBO₅ can be extracted. The XANES_{Co³⁺} spectrum obtained in this way corresponds to the Fe^{3+} atom substituted for Co^{3+} (Fig. 4a). The following noticeable features can be distinguished in XANES_{Co}³⁺ spectrum. The first one is pre-edge feature which is well resolved (the inset to Fig. 4a) and composes of two peaks at 7712.2 eV (A1) and 7714.8 (A2). Such a doublet structure was observed earlier in a wide range of XAS experimental results obtained on the perovskite-like cobaltites LaCoO₃ and GdCoO₃ [39, 40]. This pre-edge feature can be assigned to the 1s-3d transition, which is forbidden in the dipole approximation but it manifests itself due to the *p*-*d* orbital mixing and quadrupole contribution. The energy separation of about 2.6 eV is close to those 2.3 eV and 2.6 eV found in LaCoO₃ [39, 40] and GdCoO₃ [41], respectively. A rather intense feature arises at \sim 7723 eV (A3), followed by a sharp increase in the absorption with energy position of 7732 e (A4). The latter corresponds to the main 1s-4ptransition for the Co^{3+} ions, while the former is caused by the same transition in the Co^{2+} ions. Thus, the A3 peak reflects the small difference in the number of Co^{2+} ions per f.u. between both compounds, Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅. It is interesting to compare the spectrum of XANES_{Co}³⁺ in Co₃BO₅ with the XANES at the Co K-edge for spinel ZnCo₂O₄ measured at the same temperature and field conditions (Fig. 4b) [42]. The latter compound is a known p-type conducting oxide material in which Co³⁺ is incorporated at octahedral sites (Co_{0h}). The Co(Kedge) XANES spectra of both materials are virtually identical showing the onset of the Co main absorption at the same energy (7732 eV). This observation clearly reveals the presence of trivalent cobalt in Co₃BO₅ ludwigite at low temperatures. Moreover, the shift of the main absorption to higher energies compared with that in Co₂FeBO₅ (7729 eV) reflects the difference in Co^{3+} and Co^{2+} valence states and comparable with so-called chemical shift usually observed in Co-oxides [42].

The Fe *K*-edge XANES/XMCD spectra recorded on Co₂FeBO₅ are shown in Fig. 5. XANES spectrum exhibits two main features: the pre-edge peak located at ~7115 eV, which is attributed to the Fe³⁺ ions occupying the octahedrally coordinated sites, and the main edge at ~7130 eV, which dominates the XMCD signal. The shape of the XMCD spectrum is different from that observed in Ho_{0.5}Nd_{0.5}Fe₃(BO₃)₄ borate [43] and shows a four-peak structure: one negative and three positive ones. The peak intensity (7120 eV) reaches 0.25%. This value is about one order of magnitude larger than that measured in rare-earth ferroborate and is comparable with the amplitude obtained for pure Fe foil [44], showing that Fe sublattice is nearly magnetically saturated in Co₂FeBO₅.

Another interesting feature from the XMCD study is that it provides a simple explanation to the reduction of the magnetic moment under the substitution of Co^{3+} for Fe^{3+} . The element-selective magnetization curves recorded at Co and Fe *K*-edges present hysteresis loops with

opposite signs (Fig. 6). This observation clearly indicates an antiferromagnetic coupling between Co and Fe subsystems and is in line with our previous XMCD measurements at the $L_{2,3}$ edges [45]. The Fe magnetization curve almost reaches saturation, while the Co magnetization curve has a slope highlighting the ferrimagnetic arrangement of cobalt magnetic moments in the Co_2FeBO_5 . Besides the external magnetic field H, which determines the alignment for all magnetic moments and defines the positive direction, two exchange fields act on the Fe magnetic moments: the exchange field H_{ex}^{Fe-Fe} created by Fe spins, which favors the parallel alignment of all Fe moments, and the H_{ex}^{Fe-Co} created by the Co moments. Similar contributions are acting on the Co magnetic moments: $H, H_{ex}^{Co-Co}, H_{ex}^{Co-Fe}$. The fact that the Co magnetization has same orientation in both Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅, i.e. positive with respect to the applied field H, indicates that the Co magnetic subsystem determines the trend in the magnetization of the ludwigites. In the ferrimagnetic phase, where exchange fields are larger than H, the H_{ex}^{Fe-Co} tends to align the Fe moments antiparallel to the Co ones, and consequently, to H. This magnetic coupling seems to be the strongest if we assume that ordering temperature (T_{N1}) reflects the scale of exchange interactions. As a result, the bulk magnetization of the Fe-substituted ludwigite is significantly reduced due to partial compensation of Co and Fe magnetic moments.

The enhanced impact of Co contribution to the overall magnetization can be explained by the presence of a noticeable orbital moment m_L of Co²⁺ ion, which is only partially quenched. At low *T* the orientation of m_L is determined by both *H* and the intra-atomic spin-orbit coupling H_{LS} , which, according to Hund's third rule, favors the parallel alignment of the orbital (m_L) and spin (m_S) moments of Co²⁺ ion.

The experimental changes in the Co *K*-edge XMCD for two Co-containing ludwigites, supplemented by measurements at the Fe *K*-edge, were found to correlate with the overall magnetism of the system $Co_{3-x}Fe_xBO_5$ (*x*=0.0 and 1.0) and qualitatively agree quite well with the magnetic order proposed in Fig. 2. Our results underline the key role of the M4 ion because it is the filling of this site by different magnetic ions, which leads to the dramatic modification of the magnetic ground state. The XANES_{Co}³⁺ spectrum reveals features and onset of Co main absorption similar to those observed in spinel ZnCo₂O₄ providing the evidence of trivalent cobalt in Co₃BO₅. We find that it is better to view these ludwigites in terms of Co²⁺ and M³⁺=Co, Fe sublattices. In summary, the XMCD measurements have shown that the magnetism of Co²⁺ sublattice has a similarity in two isostructural compounds Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅, and the contribution from Co³⁺ ion is strongly suppressed in Co₃BO₅.



Fig. 3. Normalized Co *K*-edge a) XANES and b) XMCD spectra recorded at T=5 K and applied field 17 T for Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅ single crystals. The asterisk denotes the diffraction peak from the crystal.



Fig. 4. a) XANES spectrum corresponding to the Co^{3+} ion in Co_3BO_5 obtained by the subtracting of 2*XANES spectrum of Co_2FeBO_5 from 3*XANES spectrum of Co_3BO_5 . b) XANES spectrum recorded at the Co *K*-edge for the spinel $ZnCo_2O_4$, data are taken from the Ref. [42].



Fig. 5. Normalized Fe K-edge XANES/XMCD spectra for Co_2FeBO_5 single crystals, T=5 K and applied field is 17 T



Fig. 6. The element-selective magnetization curves of Co_2FeBO_5 single crystal recorded at Co *K*- and Fe *K*-edges for applied field along the EMD (*b*-axis) and *T*=5 K.

3.2. Paramagnetic contribution of Co₃BO₅ as a function of crystal orientation

In order to study the Co³⁺ contribution in magnetism of Co₃BO₅ in the paramagnetic state we have performed orientation-dependent susceptibility measurements on Co₃BO₅ needle shaped single crystal at temperature range 100-250 K.

Results of the magnetic characterization measurements are presented in Fig. 7. The paramagnetic susceptibility of Co₃BO₅ is highly anisotropic, where the *b*-axis is an easy magnetization direction (EMD) and the *c*-axis is a hard magnetization one (HMD). The measurements in green were done with the sample oriented with the *c*-axis perpendicular to the field direction, and directly placed on a measuring straw, i.e. without the rotator sample holder. This method circumvents the set back of subtracting the rotator plate contribution to the susceptibility. The χ ·T is relatively flat for this measurement. The measurements with the rotator have some drift which can be due to the inherent error in the background measurement which presents some orientation dependence (7-10 % deviations). This is why these curves were fitted taking into account a temperature independent contribution (inset to Fig. 7).

The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility in the paramagnetic state obeys the Curie–Weiss law,

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{c}{T - \theta} \tag{1}$$

Fitting the data yields a temperature independent term χ_0 , the Curie–Weiss constant *C*, and the Curie–Weiss temperature θ collected in Table 1.



Fig. 7. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for Co₃BO₅ single crystal in applied field oriented parallel to the *a*,*b*, and *c*-axis. Symbols denote the experimental data, the straight lines are fitting using Eq.1. The averaged susceptibility, $\chi_{av} = 1/3(\chi_a + \chi_b + \chi_c)$, denoted by 'Av.', and the corresponding fit to Eq. 1 is also included. Inset: χ^{-1} vs *T* curves and Curie-Weiss fits.

Table 1.Magnetic parameters of Co₃BO₅ extracted in the paramagnetic phase.

	<u> </u>		1 0		
	χ ₀ (emu/mol)	C (emu [·] K/mol)	θ (K)	$\mu_{eff}(\mu_B/f.u.)$	
<i>a</i> -axis	2.2±0.2 ·10 ⁻³	3.3±0.1	21±1	5.1±0.1	IMD
<i>b</i> -axis	$0.0 \pm 0.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	5.9±0.1	22±1	$7.0{\pm}0.1$	EMD

c-axis	$0.0\pm0.1\cdot10^{-2}$	4.4±0.6	-118±20	5.9±0.4	HMD
Av.	$1.3\pm0.3\cdot10^{-3}$	4.0 ± 0.1	11±2	5.7±0.1	

The Curie–Weiss constant *C* reflects strong anisotropy in the PM state. The fit to the averaged susceptibility $\chi_{av}=1/3(\chi_a+\chi_b+\chi_c)$ yields to C=4.0±0.1 (emu·K/mol) resulting in an effective magnetic moment $\mu_{eff}=5.7\pm0.1 \ \mu_B$ per formula unit, which corresponds to a moment of $3.3\pm0.1\mu_B$ per Co ion. This value is much lower than the previously reported ones [16,18].

To compare this experimental value of μ_{eff}^S with the possible spin configurations one has to consider that the average magnetic moment of Co in Co₃BO₅ depends on the number of magnetically active ions $n_{Co^{2+}}$, $n_{Co^{3+}}$, on the *g*-factors $g_{Co^{2+}}$ and $g_{Co^{3+}}$ and on the spin values $S_{Co^{2+}}$ and $S_{Co^{3+}}$ of Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ ions, respectively:

$$\mu_{eff}^{S} = \sqrt{\frac{n_{Co^{2+}} \cdot g_{Co^{2+}}^{2} \cdot S_{Co^{2+}} \cdot (S_{Co^{2+}} + 1) + n_{Co^{3+}} \cdot g_{Co^{3+}}^{2} \cdot S_{Co^{3+}} \cdot (S_{Co^{3+}} + 1)}{n_{Co^{2+}} + n_{Co^{3+}}}}$$
(2)

- i) Assuming that all magnetic ions are in high-spin state, the spin-only magnetic moment comprises of magnetic moments of Co^{2+} $(n_{Co}^{2+}=2)$ and Co^{3+} $(n_{Co}^{3+}=1)$ ions with spin values of $S_{Co}^{HS}=3/2$ and $S_{Co}^{HS}=2$, and $g_{Co}^{3+}=g_{Co}^{2+}=2$. This gives an effective spin moment of $\mu_{eff}^{S}=4.24 \mu_{\text{B}}/\text{Co}$ (right panel of Fig. 8).
- ii) If the Co³⁺ ions are in the LS state ($S_{Co^{3+}}^{LS}=0$) and the Co²⁺ ions are still in HS state the magnetic moment is reduced to $\mu_{eff}^{s}=3.16 \ \mu_{B}/\text{Co}$, which is rather close to the experimental value (3.3 μ_{B}/Co).
- iii) If only two Co²⁺ ions are contributing in magnetism of Co₃BO₅, i.e. $n_{Co^{2+}}=2$ and $n_{Co^{3+}}=0$, the experimentally observed effective spin moment per Co²⁺ ion would be 4.0 µ_B, very close to obtained values in the literature for Co²⁺ in octahedral sites (4.7 5.2 µ_B) where an orbital contribution is rather important [46]. This case is represented by a cross (p.w. Present work) at the left side of Fig. 8, for $n_{Co}/f.u.=2$.

Usually, for divalent cobalt ions the observed values of the $g_{Co^{2+}}$ are significantly larger than 2 due to the orbital contribution. The experimental values of the magnetic moments μ_{eff} for pyroborate Co₂B₂O₅ ($n_{Co^{2+}}=2$) [47], ludwigites Co_{2.5}Ti_{0.5}BO₅ [27] and Co_{2.5}Sn_{0.5}BO₅ ($n_{Co^{2+}}=2.5$) [28], kotoite Co₃B₂O₆ ($n_{Co^{2+}}=3$) [48], and Co₄B₆O₁₃ [49], which contain only Co²⁺ ions as a source of magnetism as a function of the n_{Co} per formula unit are shown in Fig. 8. All of them show the values of μ_{eff} very close to each other and to 4.9 µ_B/Co²⁺, which corresponds to $g_{Co^{2+}}\approx2.5$. The assumption of spin-orbital contribution for Co²⁺ ions with g factor obtained above will change the effective magnetic moment of Co₃BO₅ in two ways: i) the moment has a slow increment with the increase in the n_{Co} if Co³⁺ ions are assumed to be in HS state (orange line and values above it); ii) the moment rapidly decreases if Co^{3+} ions are in LS state (blue line and values below). As can be seen the magnetic moment of Co_3BO_5 obtained at present work and in previous studies for more extended interval T \leq 300 K agrees well with the assumption of LS state of Co^{3+} . Moreover, if our assumption is valid, strong ferromagnetism of Co_3BO_5 with magnetic moment M_T =3.4(1) µB/f.u. should be attributed to the almost collinear ferrimagnetic ordering of two Co^{2+} moments with g = 2.5 that is 0.91 of the expected $M_{sat} = ngS\mu_B$ =3.75 μ_B per formula unit. So, one can conclude that at low temperatures (T<300 K) the value of effective magnetic moment (5.7 μ_B /f.u.) is compatible with two Co^{2+} ions in the HS state (and some orbital contribution) and the Co^{3+} ions in a LS state. This fact additionally supports the results of neutron powder diffraction that only the Co^{2+} ions are magnetic and the Co^{3+} ions are in the LS state [29].

Note, that for Co_{2.4}Ga_{0.6}BO₅ and Co_{2.88}Cu_{0.12}BO₅ ludwigites whose magnetic moments contain the Co²⁺ and a certain amount of Co³⁺, the μ_{eff} falls into the range of LS values. Qualitatively, both compounds show a behavior similar to Co₃BO₅ reflecting a ferrimagnetic ordering of cobalt magnetic moments near 40 K [20,21], thereby indirectly pointing out the similar nature of Co magnetism in these compounds.



Fig. 8 Magnetic moment of Co-based borates/oxyborates vs number of cobalt ions per formula unit (see text). For a better comparability, the magnetic moment data are given per one cobalt ion. The symbol (*L*) denotes the *ludwigite*. Right panel shows the values of magnetic moments per Co ion in Co₃BO₅ expected in the spin-only magnetism approximation. The central panel contains the values of magnetic moments assuming some orbital contribution for HS Co²⁺ ions: the orange line and values above are expected values for the HS Co³⁺ ions, while the blue line and values below are for the LS Co³⁺ ions in the Co-containing ludwigites. The red crosses depict μ_{eff} experimental

results from present work (p.w.). Experimental results: $(n_{Co}/f.u.=2)$ under the assumption of only two Co^{2+} ions contributing to magnetism of Co_3BO_5 , $(n_{Co}/f.u.=3)$ assuming that all Co ions are contributing to its magnetism.

3.3. Differential scanning calorimetry

The mass change (TG) and heat flux (DSC) measurements of Co₃BO₅ are shown in Fig. 9. The heating-cooling cycle in air (20% O₂ + Ar) was performed twice, however, the cooling-heating curves of the second cycle are not shown, since they ideally superimpose the corresponding curves of the first cooling-heating cycle. The DSC-TG curves indicate that there are no changes in weight or thermal effects in a wide temperature range 373-773 K. These results show that Co₃BO₅ is thermally stable in the temperature range of interest. Our observation is in strong contradiction with the data of Ref. [30], where two endothermic (exothermic) peaks at 472 and 493 K were found under heating (cooling) cycles and assigned to phase transitions.



Fig. 9. DSC-TG curves (heating-cooling cycles) showing the thermal stability of the Co_3BO_5 powder sample in different gas mixtures: 20 vol.% O_2 in Ar and high pure Ar.

3.4. Specific heat capacity

The temperature dependence of the heat capacity taken at H=0 T is shown in Fig. 10a. At low temperatures, a quite pronounced λ -type anomaly at $T_N=42$ K implies a second-order phase transition which is in good agreement with the previously published heat capacity data [16]. In magnetic field the λ -type anomaly is progressively smeared out and shifts to higher temperatures (not shown). The above results combined with differential scanning calorimetry measurements confirmed that the title material does not exhibit a phase transitions at high temperatures in contrast to previous report [30].

For Co₃BO₅, the thermodynamic limit of the lattice contribution to the entropy 3Rz=224.37 J/mol K, with R=8.314 J/mol K being the gas constant and z=9 the number of atoms per formula

unit, is apparently reached at 440 K. Above this temperature the specific heat C_p shows a significant contribution above the lattice heat capacity, obviously of electronic or/and magnetic origin. To estimate the anomalous contribution of the specific heat ΔC_p , we have fit the main phononic contribution to $C_p(T)$ using Debye-Einstein approximation at temperatures well outside the region of the T_N anomaly. We obtain a reasonable value of Debye temperature $\Theta_D=493\pm20$ K. Note, the obtained value is larger than the 140 K found in the work [16], but it is in good agreement with the values extracted for other mixed-valence oxyborates Mn₂BO₄ ($\Theta_D=512$ K) [50] and V₂BO₄ ($\Theta_D=360$ K) [51] reflecting the rigid frame of chemical bonds in borate structures.

The anomalous contribution ΔC_p is shown in Fig. 10b. A significant anomalous contribution besides that at T_N is seen in ΔC_p vs T at elevated temperatures. This quite pronounced anomaly has not a jumplike shape, but a smeared one with a maximum at ~700 K and a clear shoulder at about 500 K. The best fitting of ΔC_p is obtained by the sum of two Gaussians centered at 526 K and 695 K.



Fig. 10. a) Temperature dependence of the specific heat in Co_3BO_5 measured from 2 to 300 K and from 373 to 773 K. Dotted line indicates the Dulong-Petit value. The black line refers to a phonon contribution which has been fit to the data well outside the anomaly. Inset: enlarged low temperature part highlights the onset of ferrimagnetic spin ordering at T_N . b) The difference of the experimental data and the phonon contribution. Besides the T_N the arrows show the anomalies in specific heat obtained from the sum of two Gaussians (green and orange). c) Entropy as a function of temperature. The inset is the range of magnetic phase transition.

The low temperature λ -peak entropy and enthalpy content has been calculated by extrapolating its HC below T_N to a T³ dependence, as corresponds to the spin-wave contribution

of a ferrimagnetic lattice, and above T_N to the high-temperature T⁻² dependence, yielding to the values:

- a) $0 < T < T_N, \Delta S/R = 1.00 \pm 0.2, \Delta H/R = 33 \pm 3 \text{ K}$
- b) $T_N < T < infinity, \Delta S/R = 0.7 \pm 0.2, \Delta H/R = 59 \pm 3 K$

and total anomalous values $\Delta S/R=1.6\pm0.2$. We observe in Fig. 10c that the anomalous entropy has reached a plateau value already at 100 K. The total enthalpy is $\Delta H/R=92\pm 3$ K, expressed in gas constant units. The critical entropy: i.e. below T_N , per Co ion is $S_c(Co)/R=0.50\pm0.02$. The entropy above T_N per Co²⁺, $(S\infty-Sc)(Co)/R=0.68/2=0.34$, $(S\infty-Sc)/Sc=0.68$. The enthalpy is referenced to U(T= ∞) =0, then (U ∞ -Uo)(Co)/T_N=1.10, (U ∞ -Uc)(Co)/T_N=0.70. These values are comparable to those values found in 3-d Heisenberg antiferromagnets, for example to the SmGa Garnet [52].

The total anomaly entropy content is provided by the long range ordering of the magnetic Co^{2+} ions, since the Co^{3+} ions bear no magnetic moment. At this temperature the compound is an insulator, thus the Co^{2+} electronic states are governed by crystal field interaction, and Co-Co exchange interactions. The former splits the $3d^7$ levels into Kramers doublets, and consequently, its ground state is a Kramers doublet [53, 54], with the excited level several hundred K higher energy. Below T_N , the latter, exchange interaction, further splits the ground doublet upon magnetic ordering. Therefore, the total entropy content expected, per ion, is $\Delta S_{ion}/R=ln 2= 0.692$. Consequently, the experimental entropy content $\Delta S/R=1.6\pm0.2=(2.3\pm0.2)$ ln2, indicates that there are about 2.3 Co^{2+} per formula unit in the Co₃BO₅ compound. Thus, within the experimental error, this result reinforces the conclusion that the M4 site is filled mostly by non-magnetic Co^{3+} , and the other sites are filled by the $Co^{2+}(3d^7)$ ions.

3.5. High-temperature X-ray diffraction

Several experimental studies of the Co₃BO₅ crystal structure have been performed [6,16,30,38,55]. Most of them consist of a structural characterization at room temperature and only works [16] and [30] are devoted to the study of temperature effects. In the latter the structural properties were studied using high-temperature X-ray powder diffraction. Here, we have performed the single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements as a function of temperature that allows determining the temperature driven changes in the bond-lengths and the local coordination of the Co ions.

The structure determination was done at 296 K, 403 K, 503 K, 603 K, and 703 K. No conformation change was observed. The main information about crystal data, data collection and refinement are reported in Table 2. Coordinates of atoms, parameters of isotropic and anisotropic

displacements are presented in Tables 1S and 2S, while bond lengths are summarized in Table 3S of Supplemental Material [56]. The room-temperature lattice parameters are similar to those found in references [6,16,30,38,55,57]. For better comparability, the structural data obtained in the present work are superimposed on the data from Ref. 30 (Fig. 1S [56]). As can be seen, at room temperature the *a*-parameter shows a slight decrement compared with the reference data, which, however, decreases with temperature. Other lattice parameters are in good agreement with those referred.

Crystal data Mr 267.60 Pbam, 4 Space group, Z Size, mm 0.3×0.2×0.1 T. K 296 403 503 603 703 a, (Å) 9.2742 (5) 9.2694 (4) 9.2520(7) 9.2487 (17) 9.2676 (11) b, (Å)12.0745 (9) 12.169 (2) 11.9590(7) 11.9902 (6) 12.2473 (15) c, (Å) 2.9787 (2) 2.9906(1)3.0167 (2) 3.0314 (6) 3.0467 (4) $V, (Å^3)$ 330.37 (3) 332.38(2) 337.01 (4) 341.18(11) 345.81(7) D_x , Mg/m³ 5.380 5.348 5.274 5.140 5.210 14.770 14.681 14.479 14.302 14.111 μ , mm⁻¹ Data collection Wavelength MoK_{α}, λ =0.7106Å 6754 Measured 6883 6526 6734 7355 reflections Independent 1058 914 953 938 1073 reflections 848 735 728 715 713 Reflections with $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$ Absorption Multiscan correction 0.0697 0.0736 0.0776 0.0832 0.0968 R_{int} 78.31 72.36 73.04 72.20 75.88 $2\theta_{max}$ (°) $-15 \rightarrow 15$ $-15 \rightarrow 15$ $-15 \rightarrow 15$ $-15 \rightarrow 15$ $-15 \rightarrow 15$ h k $-20 \rightarrow 20$ $-19 \rightarrow 19$ $-20 \rightarrow 20$ $-20 \rightarrow 20$ $-21 \rightarrow 20$ l $-5 \rightarrow 5$ $-4 \rightarrow 4$ $-5 \rightarrow 5$ $-5 \rightarrow 5$ $-5 \rightarrow 5$ Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ 0.0337 0.0309 0.0305 0.0333 0.0389 $wR(F^2)$ 0.0931 0.0657 0.0716 0.0738 0.0837 0.823 1.084 S 1.078 1.107 1.107 Weight $w=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+$ $w=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+$ $w=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+$ $w=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+$ $=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+$ $(0.0808P)^{2+}$ $(0.0244P)^{2+}$ $(0.03214P)^{2+}$ $(0.0280P)^{2+}$ $(0.0333P)^{2+}$ 0.644P] 2.653P] 0.380P] 0.513P] 0.796P] where $P=\max(F_o^2+2F_c^2)/3$ 0.040(2)0.044(2)0.045 (3) Extinction 0.039(3)0.054(3)< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}$ $\Delta \rho_{max}$, e/Å³ 2.343 1.687 1.468 2.343 2.420 $\Delta \rho_{min}$, e/Å³ -0.817 -1.243 -1.258 -0.817 -1.411

Table 2. Crystallographic data and main parameters of processing and refinement Co₃BO₅. CIF: the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Deposition Numbers 2063621-2063625.

Note, that with increasing temperature, all parameters behave similarly to those obtained in Ref. 30, demonstrating that the slope changes at about 500 K. Additionally, the a-lattice

parameter shows negative thermal expansion (Fig. 11). With heating up the increase in the volume, $\Delta V/V$, reaches a value of 4.5%. The thermal expansion coefficients show an initial linear regime between 300 and 400 K. Above 400 K the three coefficients (*b*, *c*, and volume) rapidly increase reaching a maximum at about T_1 =500 K and then grow again at T_2 =700 K.



Fig. 11. Temperature dependence of the expansion coefficients: a) *a*-parameter, b) *b*-parameter, c) *c*-parameter, d) unit cell volume.

Similar to the lattice parameters, Co-O bond-lengths in Co₃BO₅ show an increase with temperature (Fig. 12a). The temperature has a dramatic effect on the Co₂O₆ and especially on the Co₄O₆ octahedra resulting in the rapid growth of the bond-lengths. The mean bond-lengths of Co₁ and Co₃ sites practically do not change with an increase in the temperature. For the analysis of the local distortions, we used the main component V_{zz} of the $G_{\alpha\beta}$ tensor of the electrical field gradient (EFG) produced by the different octahedral oxygen coordinations around the individual cobalt sites

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 2e\sum_i \frac{3\cos^2\varphi_i - 1}{r_i^3},$$

where φ_i is the angle between the principal octahedra axis and the direction toward the *i*-th oxygen anion, r_i is the distance between the cation and the *i*th oxygen, e – elementary charge [58]. In Fig. 12b the main components V_{zz} for different cobalt sites are plotted as a function of temperature. To begin with, we consider the local distortions at room temperature. The Co²⁺ ions

at Co1 and Co3 sites have a [2+4] coordination, forming axially compressed octahedra and, thus, showing the largest values of V_{zz} . Although the Co2O₆ shows axial compression, it is much less than that for Co1O₆ and Co3O₆. Co4O₆ octahedron has two short (equatorial) Co4-O1, two long (equatorial) Co4-O4 and two intermediate (axial) Co4-(O2)O3 bonds, thereby showing a slight axial elongation. The coordination bond-lengths seem to be more homogeneous around Co4 making it the most regular octahedron with smallest $V_{zz}(4)$.

The difference in the local deformations arises from different boron coordination. As it is seen from Fig. 13 for Co1 and Co3 sites four oxygen atoms in the equatorial plane (O3 for Co1 and O2, O5 for Co3) participate in short B-O bonds resulting in considerable elongation of appropriate bonds. However, there are only two oxygen atoms coordinated with the boron atoms in case of the Co2O₆ and Co4O₆ octahedra (O5 for Co2 and O2, O3 for Co4) also causing elongation of the Co4-O bond in direction of the (BO₃)³⁻ groups. It has to be mentioned that the compression of Co2O₆ octahedron along Co2-O5 bonds is not in line with the expected distortion. The source of this discrepancy is probably the O4 atom in the equatorial plane, which is the bridge between Co2 and Co4 atoms and participates in the simultaneous compression of the Co2-O4 and the stretching of Co4-O4 bonds. This oxygen atom has relative freedom for the displacement, which facilitates the entering of the substitution atoms (Cu, Fe, Mn, Ga, Al) into the 4-2-4 triad [20,21,22,23,26].



Fig. 12. Temperature dependences of mean bond-lengths (a) and main components of EFG (b) in Co₃BO₅



Fig. 13. The metal and boron coordination in Co₃BO₅ ludwigite. The triads Co₃-Co₁-Co₃ (a) and Co₄-Co₂-Co₄ (b) formed by divalent and trivalent ions are shown. The main octahedral axes along which the compression or elongation is observed are highlighted in bold.

Heating up the sample above 400 K triggers a stretching of equatorial Co3-O bonds and thus indirectly a compression of equatorial Co1-O ones inside the 3-1-3 triad. In addition there is an elongation of the axial Co1-O bonds. As a result, the Co1O₆ becomes more regular, while the Co3O₆ shows the opposite tendency. The other subsystem formed by Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ in sites 2 and 4 behaves in a different way. The local distortion of the Co4 site rises while the $V_{zz}(2)$ for Co²⁺ ions sharply drops. As a result, the difference in the octahedral environments of two metal sites decreases and becomes negligible at 703 K. The careful analysis of the Co4O₆ bond-lengths has revealed that the axial bonds, directed along BO₃ groups, grow much faster than equatorial ones resulting in dramatic changes in V_{zz} (Fig. 2S [56]).

The room-temperature oxidation states evaluated using a bond-valence-sum approach [59] suggest that the Co1, Co2, and Co3 sites are occupied by the Co²⁺ ions, whereas the Co4 site is filled by Co³⁺ (atomic charges 2.78(6)/2.84(7) when bond valence parameters are related to Co²⁺/Co³⁺ oxidation state) (Table 3S [56]). The empirical estimates of the valence for boron site are consistent with +3. So, the BVS calculations clearly indicate the localized character of 3*d* electrons at all metal sites, highlighting the charge ordering at room temperature.

The electron count in a triad 4-2-4 corresponds to three Co^{3+} ions with one extra electron per triad. This itinerant electron could be localized at Co2 site, smeared over all three sites, or distributed between two adjacent Co2-Co4 sites leading to the dimerization state. In the Fe₃BO₅ a dimer formation at the 4-2-4 ladder is associated with structural phase transition and change in space group from *Pbam*(No55) to *Pbnm*(No62) at *T*_{ST}=283 K [9,10]. However, in Co₃BO₅ neither present nor previous XRD studies reveal any structural phase transition over the entire investigated temperatures confirming the absence of the dimerization of the 4-2-4 triad in this compound.

The thermal expansion coefficients of *b*-, *c*-, and unit cell have non-monotonic dependence on the temperature, going through a maximum at T_1 =500 K where heat capacity and conductivity show the anomalies. This correlates with a rapid increase in the octahedral Co-O distances at Co4 site, occupied by Co^{3+} and points out a manifestation of another mechanism of lattice expansion besides the conventional lattice anharmonicity. This mechanism can be attributed to the spin fluctuations arising from the change in the ionic radius of Co^{3+} ion at spin-state transition from LS to HS.

It is instructive to compare the LS-HS transition of the Co^{3+} ion discussed here with simpler perovskite rare-earth cobalities $LnCoO_3$ (Ln=La, Dy, Sm, Gd, ...) [60,61,62 and herein]. In LaCoO₃ a spin-state transition is well known and reveals itself with a sharp peak in the magnetic susceptibility at 150 K and smooth metallization above 500 K [63,64]. The maximum thermal expansion coefficient results from strong thermal fluctuations of the Co^{3+} ions multiplicity between the low and high spin terms. All thermodynamic properties including the thermal expansion, magnetic susceptibility, specific heat are correlated with the spin-state transition in rare-earth cobaltites [65]. Moreover, maxima in the thermal expansion and magnetic susceptibility are related to the maximal rate of the multiplicity fluctuations when the derivative of the concentration of thermally excited HS terms dn_{HS}/dT has maximum.

This comparison indicates that in the Co₃BO₅ the maximal rate of the multiplicity fluctuations occurs at the temperature close to T_1 =500 K. In addition, the comparison of the ionic radii of Co³⁺ ion at high- (0.61 Å) and low-spin states (0.545 Å) gets the difference of about 0.07 Å [66]. This value well matches the change in the average Co4-O distance (0.08 Å) above 500 K and is a clear hint of the spin-state crossover. Using the same methodology as for perovskite-like cobaltites, the second anomaly observed in the temperature dependences of the expansion coefficients and the heat capacity with the maximum at T₂=700 K can be associated with the smooth electronic transition caused by the modification of electronic structure. The conductivity data on Co₃BO₅ presented in Ref. [30] shows a gradual increase in $\sigma(T)$ up to the highest measured temperature of 505 K. The electrical measurements data at elevated temperatures are not currently available.

Note that we have observed negative thermal expansion of *a*-parameter in other homometallic oxyborate Mn₂BO₄ within the same *T* interval (300-500 K) [67]. The warwickites and ludwigites have strong structural affinities and belong to the "3Å wall-paper structures". In both compounds the planar BO₃ triangles parallel to (110) plane connect adjacent layers of metal ions in [100] direction by corner-sharing. In ludwigites M4 sites located in the voids between the BO₃-tunnels are filled by M^{3+} ions, whereas in warwickites similar sites are occupied by both diand trivalent ions. From the crystal-chemical background it can be expected that these structures should be more rigid in the *a*-direction due to the rigidity of the bonds within the boron group and that the thermal/substitution effects should be more pronounced in *b*- and *c*- directions. This

is confirmed by the comparison of values of thermal expansion coefficients for both materials. So, we can assume that the observed anomalies of *a*-parameters result from the structural peculiarities of the related oxyborates.

Focusing on the available structural data on the Co-based ludwigites and taking the mean bond-length <Co-O> as a size of a given CoO₆ octahedron we have estimated the influence of the temperature and the cation size on the crystal structure. We checked the dependence of the lattice parameters and cell volume on the effective ion radius of metal ions (r_i) located at different sites M1, M2, M3, and M4 and found that only the size of the M4 site causes a monotonic change in the crystal parameters. The larger the r_i at M4 site, the larger the lattice parameters (Fig.14 a,b, and c). The c-parameter and the unit cell volume show almost linear dependence on the r_i (Figs. 14c and d), which demonstrates a regular linear increase with the effective radius of the metal ion located at M4 site (in Fig.14d). The use of the linear fit allows to predict the cell volume of Co₃BO₅ in the case when M4 site is occupied by the LS instead of the HS Co^{3+} ions (see bottom labels in Fig.14d). The volumes defined in this way were found to be ~ 325.9±4.2 and 340.1±3.9 Å³, for LS and HS, respectively, which corresponds to the change Δ V/V of about 4.4% very close to that experimentally observed. The substitution of smaller Co³⁺ ion for larger Ga³⁺, Mn³⁺, Fe³⁺, Co²⁺/Ti⁴⁺, Co²⁺/Sn⁴⁺ modifies the structural properties in the same way as the thermal expansion of pure Co₃BO₅ above 500 K. This conclusion is suitable for all Co-substituted ludwigites and clearly indicates the crucial role of the M4 ion in long-range crystal structure.

Second conclusion is that there is a minimum *a*-axis length over which the ludwigite structure is stable. The lack of compressibility below about 9.24 Å is likely due to the incompressibility (and stiffness to resist thermal expansion) of the BO₃ triangles. This stiffness does not affect either the *c*-axis (due to connectivity differences) or the *b*-axis (due to its ability of to absorb the compression through the buckling of the corner-sharing octahedra connections). This incompressibility of the structure in the *a*-axis direction is probably the cause for the Co^{3+} cation in the M4 site to be in low-spin state at room temperature, despite the high-spin configuration being normally favored, since this reduces the ion size. When the structure as a whole expands because of thermal expansion (or partial substitution on the M4 site), the larger high-spin Co^{3+} ion can fit in without causing conflicts with the bonding requirements of the BO₃ triangles.

Finally, the data on pure Co₃BO₅ reported by different groups and earlier by our group demonstrate some difference in the lattice parameters, though remaining in the general trend. These features of the crystal structure may reflect the influence of the synthesis technique, as well as the technological factors within the same technique, for example, the flux one. Although,

the magnetic properties of the samples (the magnetic moment, temperature of magnetic transition etc.) [16,18,30] differ little, they can somewhat determine the electronic properties of the compound and lead to difference in the crystal's behavior (for example, phase transitions seen in DSC in Ref. 30 but not in the present work). The cationic distribution and different degree of ordering due to different thermal history may be also affected. The Cu₂Fe [68,69] and Co₂Al [22,23] ludwigites can be considered as examples, where the Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ ions are differently distributed over nonequivalent metal sites leading to difference in electronic and magnetic behavior. The influence of the cation distribution requires additional study as it was done for rare-earth ferroborates [70].



Fig. 14. (a)-(c) *a*, *b*, and *c* lattice parameters presented as a function of the effective ion radius (r_i) at the M4 site and (*d*) unit cell volume *vs.* r_i^3 . The bottom labels of c) and d) show the effective ionic radii of Co³⁺ at LS and HS states according to Shannon [66].

3.6. Results of the DFT+U calculations

To understand the obtained results and determine the magnetic and electronic structure of Co₃BO₅, complementary theoretical studies have been carried out. The calculations were done using the GGA+U approach for both low- and high-temperature phases. For this the crystal structures corresponding to T=296 and 703 K, respectively, obtained in our X-ray diffraction experiments and magnetic structure determined in Ref. [29] were utilized.

Thanks to the ability of the GGA+U approach to stabilize different solutions corresponding not only to the global, but also different local minima of the density functional, we calculated

total energies of two configurations, where Co4 ion is either magnetic or not (these configurations were obtained in conventional self-consistent DFT+U calculations without any constrains). These configurations are dubbed as $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$ or $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow0$, where \uparrow stands for spin up and \downarrow for spin down and the order of signs corresponds to labels of the Co ions.

We found that the low temperature phase $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow0$ configuration with nearly nonmagnetic Co4 ions has the lowest total energy, while $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$ solution is 46.88 meV per cell (12 Co ions) or 11.72 meV per Co4 ion higher in energy. Taking into account that the calculations were performed for the structure corresponding to *T*=296 K one may expect that this configuration can be thermally excited at temperatures ~432 K, i.e. close to the first anomaly in unit cell parameters observed experimentally.

Careful analysis of the occupation matrixes shows that there is a charge ordering in this low temperature phase: Co1, Co2, and Co3 are 2+ and have $3d^7$ electronic configuration, while Co4 is 3+ with six 3*d* electrons in both solutions. Moreover, Co4 ion is in the low-spin state in $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow0$ and the high-spin state in $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$ configuration. List of magnetic moments on each Co ion can be found in Tab. 4. The density of states plots for both configurations are shown in Fig. 15. One may see that the system is insulating with the band gap of 1.4 eV for $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow0$ configuration corresponding the ground state. This is rather important since the spin-state transition by itself does not lead to the metal-insulator transition. As we will show below only breaking of the charge ordering results in formation of a metallic state. The obtained value of the insulating gap is in reasonably good agreement with the experimental value of $E_g = 2 \cdot E_a \approx 1.7$ eV previously found from conductivity measurements below room temperature [71].

On the other hand, we see that the charge ordering is not sensitive to the spin state of Co4 ion: in both calculated configurations this ion adopts 3+ charge state. Moreover, we performed additional calculations of other magnetic structures and obtained that even in fully ferromagnetic state Co4 ions are in $3d^6$ electronic configuration. Thus, we see that the mechanism of charge disproportionation is related with features of the crystal structure.



Fig. 15. Co₃BO₅ total DOS for LT and HT phases.

calculations.							
	R(L)T phase		HT	phase			
	↓↑↑↓	↓↑↑0	↓↑↑↓	↓ ↑↑0			
Co1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8			
Co2	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8			
Co3	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8			
Co4	3.2	0.2	2.6	0.2			

Table 4. Magnetic moment (μ_B) of Co ions in Co₃BO₅ obtained for high- and low-temperature phases in the GGA+U calculations.

As it has been explained above local surroundings of the Co1 and Co3 are very different from Co2 and Co4. There are four O^{2-} ions having bonds with B^{3+} ions for Co1 and Co3 ions, but only two for Co2 and Co4. Then, the effective electrostatic field acting on the Co1 and Co3 is weaker and already from these geometrical arguments one may expect that average Co-O distances for these ions should be longer than for Co2 and Co4 and, thus, Co1 and Co3 are prone to be 2+. This type of reasoning fully agrees with actual experimental Co-O bond distances, presented in Fig. 12. From the same Fig. 12 we see that this is Co4 ion, which has the smallest average Co-O bond length and may adopt 3+ charge state, which ionic radius (for any spin-state) is less than the one of Co²⁺ ions.

However, with increase of the temperature the difference between average Co-O bond distances for different Co ions nearly vanishes destabilizing charge ordering. Our GGA+U

calculations for the high temperature phase show that the charge ordering does disappear, all Co ions have $3d^7$ electronic configuration, and the system becomes metallic in the $\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$ configuration (see Fig. 15), which now has the lowest total energy. This finding could reasonably explain the observed effective moment $\mu_{eff} = 4.87 \ \mu_B$ extracted from high-temperature range T=500-700 K [30]. Indeed, if it is assumed that at high temperatures all cobalt ions become divalent $n_{Co^{2+}}=3$ and have some orbital moment inherent in Co²⁺ then $\mu_{eff} = 4.84 \ \mu_B/\text{Co}^{2+}$ (left panel of Fig. 8). It has to be mentioned that with given chemical formula one cannot have an insulating state with all Co ions being 2+, while in case of metal this is possible. But if such a state will be probed by, e.g., X-ray spectroscopy, then numerous ligand holes should be observed, compensating 2+ valence state.

4. CONCLUSION

We have studied the electronic and magnetic state of single-crystalline Co₃BO₅ using Xray magnetic circular dichroism, magnetic susceptibility, X-ray diffraction, differential scanning calorimetry, and heat capacity measurements. The experiments were done in a wide temperature interval covering both magnetically ordered and paramagnetic phases. The Co K-edge XANES/XMCD experiments were performed in a ferrimagnetic state. The extracted XANES_{Co}³⁺ spectrum at low temperature is an experimental evidence of the Co³⁺ ions in Co₃BO₅. The Co sublattice magnetism has a similarity in two isostructural compounds Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅, in the latter the Co^{3+} ions are replaced by Fe^{3+} ones. The rather small contribution from Co^{3+} ion to XMCD signal of Co₃BO₅ is indicative of the LS state of this ion. The Co and Fe K-edges XMCD measurements recorded in the Co₂FeBO₅ single crystal have uncovered an antiferromagnetic coupling between the two sublattices, which indicates the generality of the formation of magnetic structures in Co₃BO₅ and Co₂FeBO₅, namely the ferrimagnetic arrangement of Co²⁺ moments and antiferromagnetic arrangement of their moment relative to the magnetic moment at the M4 site. The sample-oriented measurements of magnetic susceptibility have revealed that a magnetic behavior of Co₃BO₅ in the paramagnetic regime (T<300 K) is dominated by the high uniaxial anisotropy. Below that temperature, the average effective magnetic moment 5.7 μ B/f.u is compatible with two Co^{2+} ions in the HS state and orbital contribution, and Co^{3+} in the LS state.

Our measurements of the thermodynamic properties of Co₃BO₅ have explored two anomalies at $T_1\approx500$ and $T_2\approx700$ K besides the magnetic phase transition at T_N . In contrast to work [30] the high-temperature anomalies we observed in Co₃BO₅ are smooth, which indicates that they are not first/second-order phase transitions. In line with this finding, our DSC study of the single crystal heated up to 770 K, confirmed that the sample is thermally stable. These anomalies detected by heat-capacity also manifested itself in the X-ray diffraction measurement, which reveals well-defined maxima of thermal expansion coefficients. We also observed a remarkable sensitivity of Co4 octahedral site to the temperature effect consisting in a rapid increase in the octahedral Co-O distances and the local distortion (V_{zz}). These changes correlate with the evolution of the Co³⁺ ion in the spin-state crossover above 500 K. With the increase in temperature the difference in the electronic state between Co2 and Co4 sites nearly vanishes making them equivalent.

Besides, the GGA+U calculations show that at the low-temperature phase the Co_3BO_5 is an insulator with the band gap of 1.4 eV, the ground state is ferrimagnetic with Co^{3+} ion is being in LS state. Above 500 K, there is a progressive disappearance of charge ordering, all Co ions have $3d^7$ electronic configuration, and the system becomes metallic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge many inspirational discussions on the topic of this paper with the late Natalia Ivanova and Leonard Bezmaternykh.

The authors acknowledge A. Ney for allowing us to use the XANES spectrum of ZnCo₂O₄ film. We are grateful to the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 20-02-00559), and President Council on Grants (project no. MK-2339.2020.2) for supporting our work. This research was carried out within the state assignment of the Russian Ministry of Science and High Education via program "Quantum" (No. AAAA-A18-118020190095-4). We also acknowledge support by Russian Ministry of Science via contract 02.A03.21.0006. We acknowledge financial support from the Spanish MINECO DWARFS project MAT2017-83468-R, and Gobierno de Aragón (RASMIA group, E12-17R).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.B. Goodenough, An interpretation of the magnetic properties of the perovskite-type mixed crystals La1-xSrxCoO3-λ, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 6, 287 (1958).
- [2] R.B. Guimaraes, M. Mir, J.C. Fernandes, M.A. Continentino, H.A. Borges, G. Cernicchiaro, M.B. Fontes, D.R.S. Candela, and E. Baggio-Saitovitch, Cation-mediated interaction and weak ferromagnetism in Fe₃O₂BO₃, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 6617 (1999).
- [3] R. Norrestam, K. Nielsen, I. Søtofte, and N. Thorup, Structural investigation of two synthetic oxyborates: the mixed magnesium-manganese and the pure cobalt ludwigites, Mg1.93Mn1.07O2BO3 and Co3O2BO3, Z. Kristallogr. 189, 33 (1989).
- [4] N.B. Ivanova, A.D. Vasil'ev, D.A. Velikanov, N.V. Kazak, S.G. Ovchinnikov, G.A. Petrakovskiĭ, and V.V. Rudenko, Magnetic and electrical properties of cobalt oxyborate Co₃BO₅, Phys. Solid State 49, 651 (2007).

- [5] J.C. Fernandes, R.B. Guimaraes, M.A. Continentino, H.A. Borges, A. Sulpice, J.L. Tholence, J.L. Siqueira, L.I. Zawislak, J.B.M. Da Cunha, and C.A. Dos Santos, Magnetic interactions in the ludwigite Ni₂FeO₂BO₃, Phys. Rev. B 58, 287 (1998).
- [6] R. Norrestam, M. Kritikos, K. Nielsen, I. Søtofte, and N. Thorup, Structural characterizations of two synthetic Ni-ludwigites, and some semiempirical EHTB calculations on the ludwigite structure type, J. Solid State Chem. 111, 217 (1994).
- [7] M. Onoda, Crystal Structure and Electronic State of the Disordered S=1 System $(Li_xV_{1-x})_3BO_5$ with x ≈ 0.3 , J. Solid State Chem. 141, 418 (1998).
- [8] J.C. Fernandes, R.B. Guimarães, M.A. Continentino, L. Ghivelder, and R.S. Freitas, Specific heat of Fe₃O₂BO₃: Evidence for a Wigner glass phase, Phys. Rev. B 61, R850 (2000).
- [9] M. Mir, R.B. Guimarães, J.C. Fernandes, M.A. Continentino, A.C. Doriguetto, Y.P. Mascarenhas, J. Ellena, E.E. Castellano, R.S. Freitas, and L. Ghivelder, Structural Transition and Pair Formation in Fe₃O₂BO₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 147201 (2001).
- [10] M. Mir, J. Janczak and Y. P. Mascarenhas, X-ray diffraction single-crystal structure characterization of iron ludwigite from room temperature to 15 K, J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 42 (2006).
- [11] A.P. Douvalis, A. Moukarika, T. Bakas, G. Kallias, and V. Papaefthymiou, Mössbauer and magnetization studies of Fe₃BO₅, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 14, 3303 (2002).
- [12] J. Larrea J., D.R. Sánchez, F.J. Litterst, E.M. Baggio-Saitovitch, J.C. Fernandes, R.B. Guimarães, and M.A. Continentino, Magnetism and charge ordering in Fe3O2BO3 studied by 57Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 70, 174452 (2004).
- [13] J.P. Attfield, J.F. Clarke, and D.A. Perkins, Magnetic and crystal structures of iron borates, Physica B 180-181, 581 (1992).
- [14] P. Bordet and E. Suard, Magnetic structure and charge ordering in Fe₃BO₅: A single-crystal x-ray and neutron powder diffraction study, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 144408 (2009).
- [15] J. Bartolomé, A. Arauzo, N. V. Kazak, N. B. Ivanova, S. G. Ovchinnikov, Yu V. Knyazev, and I. S. Lyubutin, Uniaxial magnetic anisotropy in Co2.25Fe0.75O2BO3 compared to Co3O2BO3 and Fe3O2BO3 ludwigites, Phys. Rev. B 83, 144426 (2011).
- [16]D.C. Freitas, M.A. Continentino, R.B. Guimaraes, J.C. Fernandes, J. Ellena, and L. Ghivelder, Structure and magnetism of homometallic ludwigites: Co₃O₂BO₃ versus Fe₃O₂BO₃, Phys. Rev. B 77, 184422 (2008).
- [17]N.V. Kazak, N.B. Ivanova, O.A. Bayukov, S.G. Ovchinnikov, A.D. Vasiliev, V.V. Rudenko, J. Bartolomé, A. Arauzo, and Yu.V. Knyazev, The superexchange interactions in mixed Co–Fe ludwigite, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 323, 521 (2011).

- [18]N.B. Ivanova, N.V. Kazak, Yu.V. Knyazev, D.A. Velikanov, L.N. Bezmaternykh, S.G. Ovchinnikov, A.D. Vasiliev, M.S. Platunov, J. Bartolomé, and G.S. Patrin, Crystal structure and magnetic anisotropy of ludwigite Co₂FeO₂BO₃, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **113**, 1015 (2011).
- [19]N.B. Ivanova, M.S. Platunov, Yu.V. Knyazev, N.V. Kazak, L.N. Bezmaternykh, E.V. Eremin, and A.D. Vasiliev, Spin-glass magnetic ordering in CoMgGaO₂BO₃ ludwigite, Low Temp. Phys. 38, 172 (2012).
- [20]N.B. Ivanova, M.S. Platunov, Yu.V. Knyazev, N.V. Kazak, L.N. Bezmaternykh, A.D. Vasiliev, S.G. Ovchinnikov, and V.I. Nizhankovskii, Effect of the diamagnetic dilution on the magnetic ordering and electrical conductivity in the Co₃O₂BO₃:Ga ludwigite, Phys. Solid State 54, 2212 (2012).
- [21]N.B. Ivanova, N.V. Kazak, Yu.V. Knyazev, D.A. Velikanov, A.D. Vasiliev, L.N. Bezmaternykh, and M.S. Platunov, Structure and magnetism of copper-substituted cobalt ludwigite Co₃O₂BO₃, Low Temp. Phys. **39**, 709 (2013).
- [22]C.P.C. Medrano, D.C. Freitas, E.C. Passamani, C.B. Pinheiro, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, M.A. Continentino, and D.R. Sanchez, Field-induced metamagnetic transitions and two-dimensional excitations in ludwigite Co_{4.76}Al_{1.24}(O₂BO₃)₂, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 214419 (2017).
- [23] J. Kumar, S.N. Panja, D.J. Mukkattukavil, A. Bhattacharyya, A.K. Nigam, and S. Nair, Reentrant superspin glass state and magnetization steps in the oxyborate Co₂AlBO₅, Phys. Rev. B 95, 144409 (2017).
- [24]D.C. Freitas, M.A. Continentino, R.B. Guimaraes, J.C. Fernandes, E.P. Oliveira, R.E. Santelli, J. Ellena, G.G. Eslava, and L. Ghivelder, Partial magnetic ordering and crystal structure of the ludwigites Co₂FeO₂BO₃ and Ni₂FeO₂BO₃, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 134437 (2009).
- [25]N.V. Kazak, Yu.V. Knyazev, M.S. Platunov, A.O. Vasiliev, L.N. Bezmaternykh, V.V. Rudenko, N.B. Ivanova, J. Bartolome, A. Arauzo, and S.G. Ovchinnikov, Electronic and magnetic states of the Co and Fe in ludwigite system Co_{3-x}Fe_xBO₅, in Proceedings of the VII Euro-Asian symposium "Trends in Magnetism", Ekaterinburg, (2019).
- [26]Yu.V. Knyazev, N.B. Ivanova, N.V. Kazak, M.S. Platunov, L.N. Bezmaternykh, D.A. Velikanov, A.D. Vasiliev, S.G. Ovchinnikov, and G.Yu. Yurkin, Crystal structure and magnetic properties of Mn substituted ludwigite Co₃O₂BO₃, J. Magn. Magn. Mater **324**, 923 (2012).
- [27]D.C. Freitas, R.B. Guimarães, D.R. Sanchez, J.C. Fernandes, M.A. Continentino, J. Ellena, A. Kitada, H. Kageyama, A. Matsuo, K. Kindo, G. G. Eslava, and L. Ghivelder, Structural and magnetic properties of the oxyborate Co₅Ti(O₂BO₃)₂, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 024432 (2010).

- [28]C.P.C. Medrano, D.C. Freitas, D.R. Sanchez, C.B. Pinheiro, G.G. Eslava, L. Ghivelder, and M.A. Continentino, Nonmagnetic ions enhance magnetic order in the ludwigite Co₅Sn(O₂BO₃)₂, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 054402 (2015).
- [29]D.C. Freitas, C.P.C. Medrano, D.R. Sanchez, M. Nunez Regueiro, J.A. Rodríguez-Velamazán, and M.A. Continentino, Magnetism and charge order in the ladder compound Co₃O₂BO₃, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 174409 (2016).
- [30]C.W. Galdino, D.C. Freitas, C.P.C. Medrano, R. Tartaglia, D. Rigitano, J.F. Oliveira, A.A. Mendonça, L. Ghivelder, M. A. Continentino, D.R. Sanchez, and E. Granado, Magnetic, electronic, structural, and thermal properties of the Co₃O₂BO₃ ludwigite in the paramagnetic state, Phys. Rev. B 100, 165138 (2019).
- [31]G.M. Sheldrick, A short history of SHELX, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 64, 112 (2008).
- [32]G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXS and SHELXL97. Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [33]G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11169 (1996).
- [34] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [35] A.I. Liechtenstein, V.I. Anisimov and J. Zaanen, Density-functional theory and strong interactions: Orbital ordering in Mott-Hubbard insulators, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R5467 (1995).
- [36] M.M. Markina, B.V. Mill, E.A. Zvereva, A.V. Ushakov, S.V. Streltsov, and A.N. Vasiliev, Magnetic phase diagram and first-principles study of Pb3TeCo3V2O14, Phys. Rev. B 89, 104409 (2014).
- [37] Yu.V. Knyazev, N.B. Ivanova, O.A. Bayukov, N.V. Kazak, L.N. Bezmaternykh, and A.D. Vasiliev, Evolution of the Mössbauer spectra of ludwigite Co_{3-x}Fe_xO₂BO₃ with substitution of iron for cobalt, Phys. Solid State 55, 1175 (2013).
- [38]Yu.V. Knyazev, N.V. Kazak, I.I. Nazarenko, S.N. Sofronova, N.D. Rostovtsev, J. Bartolomé, A. Arauzo, and S. G. Ovchinnikov, Effect of magnetic frustrations on magnetism of the Fe₃BO₅ and Co₃BO₅ ludwigites, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 474, 493 (2019).
- [39]G. Thornton, I.W. Owen, and G.P. Diakun, The two-band model of the LaCoO₃ semiconductor-metal transition: a spectroscopic evaluation, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3, 417 (1991).
- [40]O. Haas, R.P. W. J. Struis, and J. M. McBreen, Synchrotron X-ray absorption of LaCoO₃ perovskite, J. Solid State Chem. 177, 1000 (2004).
- [41] V.A. Dudnikov, N.V. Kazak, Yu.S. Orlov, S.N. Vereshchagin, S.Yu Gavrilkin, A.Yu Tsvetkov, M.V. Gorev, A.A. Veligzhanin, A.L. Trigub, I.O. Troyanchuk, S.G.

Ovchinnikov, Structural, Magnetic, and Thermodynamic Properties of Ordered and Disordered Cobaltite Gd_{0.1}Sr_{0.9}CoO_{3-δ}, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **128**, 630 (2019).

- [42]B. Henne, V. Ney, K. Ollefs, F. Wilhelm, A. Rogalev, and A. Ney, Magnetic interactions in the Zn-Co-O system: tuning local structure, valence and carrier type from extremely Co doped ZnO to ZnCo₂O₄, Sci. Rep. 5, 16863 (2015).
- [43] M. Platunov, N. Kazak, V. Dudnikov, V. Temerov, I. Gudim, Y. Knyazev, S. Gavrilkin, V. Dyadkin, I. Dovgaliuk, D. Chernyshov, A. Hen, F. Wilhelm, A. Rogalev, S. Ovchinnikov, Element selective magnetism in Ho_{0.5}Nd_{0.5}Fe₃(BO₃)₄ single crystal probed with hard X-ray magnetic circular dichroism, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 479, 312 (2019).
- [44]O. Mathon, F. Baudelet, J-P. Itié, S. Pasternak, A. Polian, and S. Pascarelli, XMCD under pressure at the Fe K edge on the energy-dispersive beamline of the ESRF, J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 423 (2004).
- [45] M.S. Platunov, S.G. Ovchinnikov, N.V. Kazak, N.B. Ivanova, V.N. Zabluda, E. Weschke,
 E. Schierle, and K.V. Lamonova, Identification of local magnetic contributions in a Co₂FeBO₅ single crystal by XMCD spectroscopy, JETP Lett. 96, 650 (2013).
- [46] R.L. Carlin, Magnetochemistry (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
- [47] T. Kawano, H. Morito, and H. Yamane, Synthesis and characterization of manganese and cobalt pyroborates: M₂B₂O₅ (M= Mn, Co), Solid State Sciences **12**, 1419 (2010).
- [48]N.V. Kazak, M.S. Platunov, N.B. Ivanova, Yu.V. Knyazev, L.N. Bezmaternykh, E.V. Eremin, A.D. Vasil'ev, O.A. Bayukov, S.G. Ovchinnikov, D.A. Velikanov, Ya.V. Zubavichus, Crystal structure and magnetization of a Co₃B₂O₆ single crystal, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **117**, 94 (2013).
- [49]H. Hagiwara, H. Sato, M. Iwaki, Y. Narumi, and K. Kindo, Quantum magnetism of perfect spin tetrahedra in Co₄B₆O₁₃, Phys. Rev. B 80, 014424 (2009).
- [50]N.V. Kazak, M.S. Platunov, Yu.V. Knyazev, E.M. Moshkina, S.Yu. Gavrilkin, O.A. Bayukov, M.V. Gorev, E.I. Pogoreltsev, G.M. Zeer, S.M. Zharkov, S.G.Ovchinnikov, Fe-induced enhancement of antiferromagnetic spin correlations in Mn_{2-x}Fe_xBO₄, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **452**, 90 (2018).
- [51]E.M. Carnicom, K. Górnicka, T. Klimczuk, and R.J. Cava, The homometallic warwickite V₂OBO₃, J. Solid State Chem. 265, 319 (2018).
- [52]D.G. Onn, Horst Meyer, and J. P. Remeika, Calorimetric study of several rare-earth gallium garnets, Phys. Rev. **156**, 663 (1967).
- [53]D. Piwowarska, P. Gnutek, and Cz. Rudowicz, Origin of the Ground Kramers Doublets for Co²⁺ (3d7) Ions with the Effective Spin 3/2 Versus the Fictitious 'Spin'¹/₂, Appl. Magn. Reson. 50, 797 (2019).

- [54] A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electron paramagnetic resonance of transition ions* (Clarendon press Oxford, 1970).
- [55]Zi-Xiang Huang, Wen-Dan Cheng, and Hao Zhang, Synthesis and Crystal Structure of Borate Oxide Co3BO5, Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 20, 97 (2001).
- [56] See Supplemental Material at [URL] for details of the crystal structure.
- [57]G.M. Cai, L. Wang, L.M. Su, H.S. Liu, and Z.P. Jin, Subsolidus phase relations in CoO– In₂O₃–B₂O₃ system and crystal structure of Co_{3-x}In_xBO₅ solid solution for 0< x≤ 1, J. All. Com. 615, 809 (2014).
- [58] M.H. Cohen and F. Reif, Quadrupole effects in nuclear magnetic resonance studies of solids, Solid State Phys. 5, 321 (1957).
- [59]R.M. Wood and G.J. Palenik, Bond Valence Sums in Coordination Chemistry. A Simple Method for Calculating the Oxidation State of Cobalt in Complexes Containing Only Co-O Bonds, Inorg. Chem. 37, 4149 (1998).
- [60] Yu.S. Orlov, L.A. Solovyov, V.A. Dudnikov, A.S. Fedorov, A.A. Kuzubov, N.V. Kazak, V.N. Voronov, S.N. Vereshchagin, N.N. Shishkina, N.S. Perov, K.V. Lamonova, R.Yu Babkin, Yu.G. Pashkevich, A.G. Anshits, and S.G. Ovchinnikov, Structural properties and high-temperature spin and electronic transitions in GdCoO₃: Experiment and theory, Phys. Rev. B 88, 235105 (2013).
- [61] M. Tachibana, T. Yoshida, H. Kawaji, T. Atake, and E. Takayama-Muromachi, Evolution of electronic states in RCoO₃ (R= rare earth): Heat capacity measurements, Phys. Rev. B 77, 094402 (2008).
- [62]K. Knizek, Z. Jirak, J. Hejtmanek, M. Veverka, M. Marysko, G. Maris, T.T.M. Palstra, Structural anomalies associated with the electronic and spin transitions in LnCoO₃, Eur. Phys. J. B 47, 213 (2005).
- [63]B. Raveau and Md.M. Seikh, *Cobalt Oxides. From Crystal Chemistry to Physics* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2012).
- [64]N.B. Ivanova, S.G. Ovchinnikov, M.M. Korshunov, and N.V. Kazak, Specific features of spin, charge, and orbital ordering in cobaltites, Physics-Uspekhi **52**, 789 (2009).
- [65]Yu.S. Orlov, V.A. Dudnikov, M.V. Gorev, S.N. Vereshchagin, L.A. Solov'ev, and S.G. Ovchinnikov, Thermal properties of rare earth cobalt oxides and of La_{1-x}Gd_xCoO₃ solid solutions, JETP Lett. **103**, 607 (2016).
- [66] R.D. Shannon, Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **32**, 751 (1976).
- [67]N.V. Kazak, M.S. Platunov, Yu.V. Knyazev, E.M. Moshkina, L.A. Solovyov, S.N. Vereshchagin, Yu.L. Mikhlin, A.A. Veligzhanin, A.L. Trigub, and S.G. Ovchinnikov, Study

of mixed-valence Mn₂BO₄ using XRD, XPS and XAFS spectroscopies, Physica B **560**, 228 (2019).

- [68] J. Schaefer and K. Bluhm, Zur Kristallstruktur von Cu2M(BO3)O2 (M= Fe3+, Ga3+), Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 621, 571 (1995).
- [69]G.A. Petrakovskiĭ, L.N. Bezmaternykh, D.A. Velikanov, A.M. Vorotynov, O.A. Bayukov, and M. Schneider, Magnetic properties of single crystals of ludwigites Cu2MBO5 (M= Fe3+, Ga3+), Phys. Solid State, **51**, 2077 (2009).
- [70]K.N. Boldyrev, M.N. Popova, M. Bettinelli, V.L. Temerov, I.A. Gudim, L.N. Bezmaternykh, and N.I. Leonyuk, Quality of the rare earth aluminum borate crystals for laser applications, probed by high-resolution spectroscopy of the Yb3+ ion, Opt. Mater. 34, 1885 (2012).
- [71]N.V. Kazak, N.B. Ivanova, V.V. Rudenko, S.G. Ovchinnikov, A.D. Vasil'ev, and Yu.V. Knyazev, Conductivity study of Co₃O₂BO₃ and Co_{3-x}Fe_xO₂BO₃ oxyborates, Solid State Phenom. 152-153, 104 (2009).