

University of St Augustine for Health Sciences SOAR @ USA

Education Collection

Faculty and Staff Research

10-28-2022

Effectiveness of Simulation-based Learning compared to Integrated Clinical Experience in Development of DPT Students' Clinical Decision-Making Skills

Derrick F. Campbell PT, DPT, Sc.D University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, dcampbell@usa.edu

Kristy Demers

University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, kdemers@usa.edu

Masoor Alameri

University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, malameri@usa.edu

Fabian Bizama

University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, lbizama@usa.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://soar.usa.edu/education



Part of the Higher Education Commons, and the Physical Therapy Commons

Recommended Citation

Campbell, D. F., Demers, K., Alameri, M., & Bizama, F. (2022). Effectiveness of Simulation-based Learning compared to Integrated Clinical Experience in Development of DPT Students' Clinical Decision-Making Skills. American Physical Therapy Association, Educational Leadership Conference Retrieved from https://soar.usa.edu/education/34

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty and Staff Research at SOAR @ USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education Collection by an authorized administrator of SOAR @ USA. For more information, please contact soar@usa.edu, erobinson@usa.edu.

Effectiveness of Simulation-based Learning compared to Integrated Clinical Experience in Development of DPT Students' Clinical Decision-Making Skills



Derrick F. Campbell, PT, DPT, ScD, Kristy Demers PT, DPT, Mansoor Alameri, PT, MPT, PhD, Fabian Bizama, PT, MPT, PhD,

College of Rehabilitation Sciences, Doctor of Physical Therapy Program, University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, Austin, Texas.

Post-Simulation-Based Course

Post-Integrated
Clinical Experience

Background

- Simulation-based learning has a significant footprint on entry-level physical therapy programs.¹
- Students' clinical decision-making (CDM) skills development through simulation-based learning (SBL) format when compared to the Integrated Clinical Experience (ICE) remains unknown.
- Self-efficacy of Clinical and CDM skills tool measures clinical skills, clinical decision-making, and total selfefficacy of clinical and CDM skills.²
- A need to evaluate the effectiveness of simulation-based learning compared to ICE in development of CDM skills.

Purpose

The purpose was to compare the effectiveness of simulation-based learning and the Integrated Clinical Experience (ICE) in the development of DPT student clinical decision-making skills as determined by:

- (1) Total Clinical Decision Making (CDM) Clinical Skills tool scores,
- (2) CDM (21-item) subscale scores, and
- (3) Clinical Skills (4-item) subscale scores.²

Methods

- Survey-based descriptive and exploratory crosssectional design.
- The survey was administered before and after an 8-week simulation course, and after a 6-week Integrated Clinical Experience (ICE).
- Survey comprised of 36-questions on clinical decision making (CDM), clinical skills, self-efficacy, demographics, and effectiveness of simulation.
- Participants- 215 second year DPT students from private health science university during Fall 2021-Summer 2022 simulation—based learning course and ICE.
- N=79 students pre-simulation, N=73 post-simulation, and N=63 post-ICE.

Results



Results

Clinical Decision Making (CDM) clinical skills total:

- Significant increase in score from pre-simulation (Md=94, n=79) to post-simulation (Md=103, n=73), U=4296, r=.42, p=<.001 (Fig 1.a; Table).
- No significant difference in post-simulation (Md=103, n=73) and post-ICE (Md=102, n=63), U=2326, p=.908 (Fig 1b; Table).

CDM (21-item) subscale:

- Significant increase in score from pre-simulation (Md=79, n=79) to post-simulation (Md=86, n=73), U=4257.5, r=.41, p=<.001 (Fig 2.a; Table).
- No significant difference in post-simulation (Md=86, n=73) and post-ICE (Md=85, n=63), U=2326, p=.908 (Fig 2.b; Table).

Clinical Skills subscale:

- Significant increase in score from pre-simulation (Md=16, n=79) to post-simulation (Md=17, n=73), U=4187, r=.40, p=<.001 (Fig 3.a, Table).
- No significant difference in post-simulation Md=17, n=73) and post-ICE (Md=17, n=63), U=2302, p=.991 (Fig 3.b; Table).

Discussion/Conclusion

Significant increases in DPT Students clinical skills, clinical decision-making, and total self-efficacy of clinical and CDM skills tool scores were found between pre-simulation and post-simulation in curriculum.

No Significant differences in clinical skills, clinical decision-making, and total self-efficacy of clinical and CDM skills tool scores were found between post-simulation and post-ICE, although CDM skills continued to improve during ICE, suggesting a ceiling effect for development of CDM skills may exist.

Findings suggest simulation-based learning was effective in preparing DPT students to begin their ICE with enhanced clinical skills, clinical decision-making, and self-efficacy.

We recommend expanding DPT students' simulation-based learning to optimize the development of clinical decision-making skills prior to clinical experiences.^{3,4}

Limitations

- DPT students recruited were from one large private university.
- Self-efficacy answer choice options were defined on a 5point scale limiting elaboration on participant responses.

Future Research

- Investigate longitudinal factors impacting development of clinical decision-making skills to facilitate best practice when transitioning from classroom to clinical experiences.
- Suggest use of Self-efficacy of Clinical and CDM skills tool to identify students who may benefit from remediation before and during clinical experiences.

References

- 1. Huhn, K. (2020). Developing Clinical Reasoning and Decision-Making Skills: Simulations and Debriefing. In Clinical Reasoning and Decision-Making in Physical Therapy: Facilitation, Assessment, and Implementation (pp. 199–206). SLACK Incorporated.
- 2. Brudvig TJ, Macauley K, Segal N. Measuring Clinical Decision-Making and Clinical Skills in DPT Students Across a Curriculum: Validating A New Survey Tool. *J Allied Health*. 2017;46(1):21-25.
- 3. van Lankveld W, Jones A, Brunnekreef JJ, Seeger JPH, Bart SJ. Assessing physical therapist students' self-efficacy: Measurement properties of the Physiotherapist Self-Efficacy (PSE) questionnaire. *BMC Med Educ*. 2017;17(1):250. Published 2017 Dec 12. doi:10.1186/s12909-017-1094-x.
- 4. Venskus DG, Craig JA. Development and validation of a self-efficacy scale for clinical reasoning in physical therapists. J Phys Ther Educ. 2017; 31(1): 14-20. doi. 10. 1097/00001416-201731010-00005