## Radiation Dose in Coronary Angiography and Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: Establishment of Diagnostic Reference Levels at the Philippine Heart Center

Emily Mae L Yap, Livy P Magno, Christopher A Macaraeg, Gilbert E Pedroso, Aeron G Ramos, Mardy Zaldess S Cruz, Alexander A Tuazon, Ronaldo H Estacio, Ramoncito B Tria

Invasive Cardiology Division, Philippine Heart Center, Quezon City, the Philippines

## Keywords

Radiation level, diagnostic reference level, dose area product, fluoroscopy time

Citation: Interventional Cardiology Review 2021;16:e13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15420/icr.2021.16.PO2 Correspondence: Emily Mae L Yap, Invasive Cardiology Division, Department of Adult Cardiology, Philippine Heart Center, East Avenue, Quezon City, the Philippines. E: emilymaeyap@gmail.com

Open Access: This work is open access under the CC-BY-NC 4.0 License which allows users to copy, redistribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes, provided the original work is cited correctly.

**Background:** With the continuing rise in the number of patients undergoing coronary angiography (CA) and percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI), the significance of radiation safety should always be underscored.

**Aim:** To establish the first local diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) for CA, elective PCI and ad hoc PCI at the Philippine Heart Center.

**Methods:** A retrospective review of the medical records of all consecutive patients who underwent CA and PCI from May 1 to December 31, 2019 was done. The DRLs were set from the third quartile (75th percentile) of the median total dose area product (DAP) for each procedure.

**Results:** There were 2,790 patients in the CA group with a median fluoroscopy time (FT) of 2.7 minutes (interquartile range [IQR] 1.48–5.6),

total DAP of 16.6 Gy.cm<sup>2</sup> (IQR 11.2–24.9) and DRL of 24.9 Gy.cm<sup>2</sup>. There were 697 patients in the elective PCI group with a median FT of 9.1 minutes (IQR 4.8–15.1), total DAP of 62.3 Gy.cm<sup>2</sup> (IQR 32.4–122) and DRL of 122.04 Gy.cm<sup>2</sup>. There were 587 patients who underwent single-vessel ad hoc PCI with a median FT of 9.6 minutes (IQR 5.7–13.7), total DAP of 41.6 Gy.cm<sup>2</sup> (IQR 30.8–68.2) and DRL of 68.2 Gy.cm<sup>2</sup>. Higher radiation doses based on the total DAP were seen when the femoral access was used compared to the radial access for CA (18.5 versus 16.2, p<0.001), elective PCI (88.3 versus 52.7, p<0.001) and ad hoc PCI (45.4 to 38.8, p<0.001).

**Conclusion:** Higher radiation doses were consistently observed when the transfemoral approach was used which suggests that use of the radial access can potentially lower radiation exposure. The local DRLs established may be used as a point of reference for other cardiac catheterisation laboratories in our country.

## Table 1: Radiation Doses Recorded During Coronary Angiography, Elective PercutaneousCoronary Intervention and Ad Hoc Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

	СА	PCI*	One Vessel PCI	Two Vessel PCI	Three Vessel PCI	Ad Hoc PCI <sup>+</sup>
	Median (IQR)					
Fluoroscopy time (mins)	2.7 (1.48–5.6)	9.1 (4.8–15.1)	8.1 (4.4–14.3)	11.4 (8–14)	8.7 (4.4–17.3)	9.6 (5.7–13.7)
Cumulative air kerma (mGy)	188.7 (122.1–291)	714.6 (345.3–1,281.7)	434.3 (257.6–723.2)	671.2 (413–1,075.5)	1,174.2 (781–1,881)	507.6 (339.3–856.9)
DAP fluoroscopy (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	5.4 (2.9–11.3)	40 (20.2-85.8)	24.4 (12.2–42.1)	36.4 (22.3–67.6)	88.0 (45.3–130)	23.6 (16–44.7)
DAP exposure (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	10 (7.28–13.9)	17.5 (10.9–29.6)	14.1 (7.4–23.4)	15.8 (8.62–30.9)	22.5 (15.1–40.2)	17.8 (12.9–24.2)
Total DAP (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	16.6 (11.2–24.9)	62.3 (32.4–122)	41.5 (23.2–70.1)	57.7 (33.6–98.6)	116 (71.1–174.5)	41.6 (30.8–68.2)
DRL (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	24.9	122.04	70.1	98.6	174.51	68.2

\* Regardless of the number of vessels involved. \*Ad hoc PCI for single vessels only. CA = coronary angiography; DAP = dose area product; DRL = diagnostic reference level; IOR = interquartile range; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.