## Patient Radiation Exposure During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Acute ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction at the Philippine Heart Center

Emily Mae L Yap, Christopher Macaraeg, Richard Ayuson, Alexander Tuazon, Ronaldo Estacio and Ramoncito Tria

Invasive Cardiology Division, Philippine Heart Center, Quezon City, the Philippines

## **Keywords**

STEMI, radiation dose, diagnostic reference level

Citation: Interventional Cardiology Review 2021;16:e21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15420/icr.2021.16.P010 Correspondence: Emily Mae L Yap, Invasive Cardiology Division, Department of Adult Cardiology, Philippine Heart Center, East Avenue, Quezon City, the Philippines. E: emilymaeyap@hotmail.com.

Open Access: This work is open access under the CC-BY-NC 4.0 License which allows users to copy, redistribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes, provided the original work is cited correctly.

**Background:** Emergency procedures, such as primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in the setting of acute ST-elevation MI (STEMI), may entail longer procedural times which translate to higher radiation exposure. In an effort to further improve radiation safety practices, the determination of the diagnostic reference level (DRL) for these procedures may allow the identification of practices which may predispose patients and operators to the undesirable effects of radiation.

**Aim:** This study aims to determine the radiation doses of the patients who underwent primary PCI in the setting of STEMI and to set the DRL for this procedure in our cardiac catheterisation laboratory.

**Methods:** This was a retrospective cohort study conducted at the Philippine Heart Center (PHC) on all patients with STEMI who underwent primary PCI from 1 May 2019 to 29 February 2020. The DRL was established based on the third quartile values of the median dose area products. **Results:** There were 663 consecutive STEMI patients who underwent primary PCI, with a mean age of  $55.75 \pm 11.45$  years. There were significantly more patients who underwent primary PCI using the transradial approach (395, 59.58%) compared to the transfemoral approach (268, 40.42%; p<0.001). For both approaches, the median fluoroscopy time was 11.05 minutes (7.23–16.38). The median cumulative air kerma was 496.46 mGy (330.95–842.8). The median total DAP was 4.22 mGy.m<sup>2</sup> (interquartile ratio 2.78–6.55) and the DRL was 6.55 mGy.m<sup>2</sup>. A significantly higher DRL was seen when the femoral access was used (6.74 versus 6.50, p<0.001).

**Conclusion:** Higher radiation exposure can occur during emergency procedures compared to elective cases. Compared to the established DRL for elective ad hoc PCI in other countries, we report a higher local DRL, highlighting the need to reduce radiation exposure to as low as reasonably achievable for the operators.

## Table 1: Clinical and Procedural Characteristics of Patients

	Total (n=663)	Radial (n=395)	Femoral (n=268)	p-value		
	Frequency (%), mean ± SD					
Age	55.75 + 11.45	55.04 + 10.48	56.78 + 12.69	0.055		
Sex						
Male	530 (79.94)	314 (79.49)	216 (80.60)	0.728		
Female	133 (20.06)	81 (20.51)	52 (19.40)			
Hypertension	345 (52.04)	202 (51.14)	143 (53.36)	0.575		
Diabetes	102 (15.38)	60 (15.19)	42 (15.67)	0.866		
Prior stroke	21 (3.17)	10 (2.53)	11 (4.10)	0.256		
Onset of chest pain (hours)	$6.85 \pm 4.36$	7.02 ± 3.75	6.60 ± 5.12	0.2281		
Inter-hospital transfer	447 (67.42)	249 (63.04)	198 (73.88)			
Primary ER	216 (32.58)	146 (36.96)	70 (26.12)	0.003		
Door-to-wiring time (mins)	25 (20-34)	25 (20-32)	27 (21–36)	0.049		
Door-to-balloon (mins)	29 (23–38)	28 (23–36)	30 (24–40)	0.007		
Infarct-related artery						
LAD	455 (68.63)	290 (73.42)	165 (61.57)			
LCX	40 (6.03)	25 (6.33)	15 (5.60)	0.001		
RCA	168 (25.34)	80 (20.25)	88 (32.84)			
Left main involvement	27 (4.07)	8 (2.03)	19 (7.09)	0.001		
PCI of the infarct-related artery only	411 (61.99)	271 (68.761)	140 (52.24)	<0.001		
POBA of the infarct-related artery	30 (4.52)	17 (4.30)	13 (4.85)	0.740		
Complete revascularization	112 (45.34)	53 (44.17)	59 (46.46)	0.718		
Staged PCI	135 (54.66)	67 (55.83)	68 (53.54)			
DES						
1 stent	436 (65.76)	284 (71.90)	152 (56.72)			
2 stents	111 (16.74)	63 (15.95)	48 (17.91)	0.065		
3 or more stents	61 (9.2)	32 (8.1)	29 (10.82)			
Volume of contrast (ml)	90 (80–110)	80 (75–100)	100 (80–120)	<0.001		
Alive	618 (93.21%)	362 (91.65%)	256 (95.52%)	0.051		
Expired	45 (6.79%)	33 (8.35%)	12 (4.48%)			

## Table 2: Radiation Doses During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

	Total (n=663)	Radial (n=395)	Femoral (n=268)	p-value			
Median (IQR)							
Fluoroscopy time (mins)	11.05 (7.23–16.38)	10.43 (7.02–16.03)	11.215 (7.75–16.9)	0.158			
DAP fluoroscopy (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	2.4 (1.53–4.21)	2.39 (1.42-4.03)	2.49 (1.64–4.27)	0.194			
DAP exposure (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.77 (1.20–2.5)	1.77 (1.21–2.46)	1.75 (1.19–2.57)	0.929			
Total DAP (Gy.cm <sup>2</sup> )	4.22 (2.78–6.55)	4.195 (2.7–6.5)	4.3 (2.89–6.74)	0.162			
Estimated effective dose (mSV)	7.81 (5.14 to 12.12)	7.76 (5 to 12.03)	7.96 (5.35 to 12.47)	0.161			
Air Kerma (mGy)	496.46 (330.95–842.8)	495.89 (323.15–842.8)	500.275 (331.58–823.48)	0.6403			
Estimated peak skin dose (mGy)	461.18 (376.11–639.2)	460.89 (372.1–639.2)	463.14 (376.43–629.27)	0.640			
Diagnostic reference level (Gy.cm²) 6.55		6.5	6.74	<0.001			

DAP = dose area product, which is also known as the kerma area product.