

Applied Environmental Research



Journal homepage : http://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/aer

Effects of substrate types on nitrogen removal efficacy and growth of *Canna indica* L.

Arunothai Jampeetong*, Pakawat Janyasupab

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Mueang, Chiang Mai, Thailand *Corresponding author: arunothai.2519@gmail.com

Article History

Submitted: 22 June 2021/ Revision received: 17 September 2021/ Accepted: 27 October 2021/ Published online: 1 March 2022

Abstract

Constructed wetlands (CWs), a cost effective technology for wastewater treatment, consist of substrates and wetland plants, which should be selected carefully to gain highest treatment efficiency. However, studies on plant growth and responses to different types of substrates are very few. This study aims to assess the effects of substrate types on growth and root morphology of Canna indica L. and nitrogen (N) removal. Twenty-four similar sized approximately 1 month old C. indica plants were selected and grown on different substrates (gravel, pumice and biochar). All plants were supplied with a standard growth medium to which 14 mg L^{-1} NH₄⁺, 14 mg L^{-1} NO₃⁻, 3 mg L^{-1} PO₄³⁻ were added and pH was adjusted to 6.5. The growth solution was renewed every week. The plants were grown under greenhouse conditions for 45 d. Results showed that growth of C. indica was not significantly different among treatments but differences on root morphology were found. Plants grown on pumice had the largest root diameters while plants grown on biochar had the longest roots. In the gravel-filled treatment, C. indica showed the lowest root diameter and root length but formed more internal air space in its roots. It indicates that types of substrate can affect O₂ supply and root morphological adaptation. Moreover, the porous substrate bed systems were capable of eliminating more NH4⁺ than gravel bed systems, with the NH4⁺ removal rates of 5.6–6.3 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ compared to 4.7 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹, respectively. The results show that porous substrates can act as plant supporting substrates and play important roles in N adsorption. Also, they can improve oxygen supply and stimulate root growth. Thus, application of porous substrates as filter media could help to increase pollutant removal efficacy of CWs.

Keywords: Constructed wetland; Filter media; N adsorption; Root trait; Oxygenation

Introduction

Constructed wetlands (CWs) are well known as an alternative wastewater treatment system which is a cost effective technology that provides highly efficient water treatment. Wetland technology is designed and constructed to treat wastewater using natural methods. In CWs, pollutants are removed from the wastewater through various processes such as sedimentation, plant uptake, biodegradation, nutrient cycling and adsorption [1–3]. Substrates are important components of the CWs that can influence treating capacity of the systems. The substrates not only support plant growth, their surfaces also provide habitats for microorganisms. Moreover, some types of substrate are good for ion exchange and adsorption [4–7]. Therefore, there is increased interest in evaluating properties and performance of substrates in CWs.

Natural materials such as sand and gravel have been widely used as filter media in CWs. However, systems using these traditional substrates may face problems such as clogging and low O₂ supply that can lead to low pollutant removal efficiency [4, 8–9]. The selection of alternative substrates has become a challenge to scientists trying to minimize these problems and to increase treatment capacity. Porous substrates are materials that have high porosity and large surface area so they are expected to filter more suspended solid, diffuse more O₂, and support microorganisms development and biofilm formation which enhance organic matter degradation and N transformation in CWs [10]. Some porous substrates have special physicochemical characteristics to adsorb nutrients and pollutants [11]. Biochar is a porous substrate prepared by pyrolyzing organic material at high temperatures (300–700 °C) in the absence of oxygen [12]. It is mostly used as a soil amendment in agriculture to improve soil fertility and soil water absorption [13]. Currently, biochar technology is gradually being applied to wastewater treatment. It has been revealed that filling biochar produced from bamboo, corn, and Chinese oak into CWs encouraged NO₃⁻, NH4⁺, total N, total P, and chemical oxygen demand (COD) removals from wastewater [14-15]. However, efficacy of biochar in removing pollutants from wastewater depends on natural source materials and preparation conditions that result

in differences in physical and chemical properties such surface area, pore size and distribution, and surface functional groups [16]. Some kinds of biochar such as biochar derived from oak, wheat straw, and willow released toxic chemical substances that influence plant growth and pollutant removal efficacy in CWs [17–21]. In Northern Thailand, biochar derived from longan (Dimocarpus longan Lour.) branches is a new eco-friendly product of local people in Chiang Mai province. Longan biochar is widely used in agriculture [13], meanwhile application of longan biochar as a filter medium to support plants and remove pollutants from wastewater is not well documented. Alternatively, a porous substrate like pumice has been suggested for use as a filter medium in CWs because it provides better root aeration and high surface for pollutants adsorption, particularly phosphorus [4, 22].

Macrophytes are an essential component of CWs; they have specific properties related to wastewater treatment processes [23-24]. Submerged parts of macrophytes help to precipitate suspended sediments and promote biofilm formation. Roots also play important roles in nutrient uptake, and promote degradation and nitrification. Moreover, O2 released from macrophytes' roots can change the redox potential of the rhizosphere and promote chemical transformation of metals such as iron (Fe^{3+}), manganese (Mn^{2+}) to become insoluble or unabsorbed forms and less toxic to the plants [25]. Because there are several processes depending on the oxygen in the rhizosphere, selection of appropriate macrophytes may help to enhance the performance of CWs. Additionally, substrates can support plants as well as improve dissolved O₂ (DO), making them another component to make CWs successful in treating wastewater. It is expected that porous substrates may alter root morphology and enhance plant performance in CWs. However, effect of substrates used in CWs

on plant growth and their ability to take up nutrient is not well studied.

Roots act as bioengineers in O2 production in CWs. Among aquatic macrophytes, emergent macrophytes have exclusive internal air spaces that enhance O₂ transportation to the buried roots and rhizosphere [26]. This well-developed aerenchyma system makes emergent plants appropriate for all kinds of CWs. Canna indica L. is an attractive appearing species used in tropical CWs. This species grows fast, adapts well to both terrestrial and aquatic conditions and has high N uptake [27]. Employment of this species in tropical CWs is currently increasing. Many studies showed that C. indica has high N removal efficiency and also provides beautiful landscapes [28-29]. Root adaptation is one plant strategy to cope with changed environmental conditions influenced by different physicochemical characteristics of each substrate. Nevertheless, the interaction between root and substrate in CWs is rarely studied. A previous study found that adding plastic residues as a filter material did not affect growth and root morphology of C. indica [30]. To gain new insight into growth and root traits of this species when grown on other kinds of substrates, this study aims to investigate growth, root morphology of C. indica when grown with different filter substrates (gravel, biochar and pumice), as well as to determine N removal efficiency in terms of the whole systems. The results of this study could be informative for selection of substrate and plants to treat wastewater in CWs. For this investigation, we hypothesize that porous substrates could encourage O_2 supply for C. indica to increase growth and N uptake and encourage N removal through adsorption onto pumice and biochar particles.

Materials and methods

1) Plant preparation and filter substrates

Rhizomes of C. indica were separated from plant stocks growing in the greenhouse of the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University. The rhizomes were cut into 100-150 mm long sections and roots were removed. Then, each rhizome was put into a tray filled with sandy soil. All rhizomes were watered every day for 4 weeks. Then, twenty four new shoots (approximately 25 g fresh weight, 50 cm height) were selected for the experiment. Filter substrates used in this experiment were gravel ($\emptyset = 30-50 \text{ mm}$), pumice ($\emptyset = 20-30 \text{ mm}$) and biochar ($\emptyset = 3-5$ mm). The biochar was bought from Warm Heart Foundation, Phrao district, Chiang Mai province, Thailand. This biochar was derived from longan branches and pyrolyzed at 450 °C for two hours in the absence of oxygen.

2) Experimental set-up

Each filter substrate, including gravel, pumice, and biochar, was filled into perforated black plastic bags (15×30 cm) up to 150 mm from the bottom (four bags for each substrate, 12 bags in total). Two selected C. indica were planted in each bag (total 24 plant samples). Then, each individual bag was placed in a 5 liter plastic bucket. After that, standard growth medium solution modified from Smart and Barko [31] with 14 mg L⁻¹ NH4⁺, 14 mg L⁻¹ NO3⁻, 3 mg L⁻¹ PO4³⁻ and 80 mg L⁻¹ Fe-EDDHA (consisted of 10.6 mg L^{-1} Fe) was added onto the bucket. The volume of growth medium in the gravel and biochar-filled treatments was 3.8 liters (78% porosity), while the volume in the pumicefilled treatment was 4 liters (80% porosity). The pH of nutrient solution was measured using a multi-parameter analyzer (Cyber-Scan PC 300, Eutech Instruments Pte Ltd., Singapore) and was adjusted to 6.5 by HCl with and NaOH. In order to prevent porous filter substrates (biochar,

pumice) from floating up, the medium surface was topped up with gravel. The nutrient solution was renewed every week and all plants were grown under greenhouse conditions for 45 d. The air temperature in the greenhouse was $35\pm$ 2°C :25±1 °C day:night.

3) Growth study

After harvest, all plants were cleaned and the substrate was removed from roots gently. Total height of the plants was recorded. Shoot elongation rates (SER; mm d⁻¹) were calculated as the increase of height divided by time (days) of the experiment. Afterwards, the plants were separated into roots, rhizomes and leaves. All fractions were dried at 60 °C using a hot-air oven. Some parts of all fractions were dried using a freezedryer. The freeze-dried plant materials were then used for chlorophyll and nitrogen analysis. Total dry mass was measured. The relative growth rate (RGR, $g g^{-1} d^{-1}$) based on initial and final plant dry mass (W1 and W2) and initial and final day (t1 and t2) was calculated following the Eq. 1 [32];

$$RGR = (lnW_2 - lnW_1)/(t_2 - t_1)$$
 (Eq. 1)

4) Root study

Three days before harvest, mature roots (four replicates per treatment) were selected. Root diameters at the middle part were measured and root cross sections were prepared by free-hand sectioning technique.

The radial oxygen loss (ROL) of roots was observed following Armstrong and Armstrong [33]. An agar solution was prepared and dissolved methylene blue (0.012 g L^{-1}) was added. Then, dissolved O₂ in the agar solution was completely reduced by adding sodium dithionite (0.12 g L⁻¹ Na₂S₂O₄). Plants (four replicates from each treatment) were selected and placed in glass vials containing a 2 L O2-reduced agar solution. After a blue area all over the roots developed, photos were taken. Root porosity was determined according to Sojka [34]. Fresh roots were cut into pieces 250-300 mm long. Four replicates of the selected roots from each treatment (100 - 300 mg) were gently blotted with tissue paper and weighed (Wr). The pycnometer was filled with distilled water and weighed (Ww). Then, the roots were placed into the pycnometer and weighed (Ww+r). After weighing, the roots were taken out from the pycnometer and crushed in the mortar in order to remove air from the roots. All pieces of crushed roots were placed back into the pycnometer and weighed again after filling the pycnometer with distilled water (Wh). The root porosity was calculated using the formula (Eq. 2) [34].

5) Plant tissue analysis

Freeze-dried leaf samples were cut into small pieces and 8 mg samples were weighed. They were soaked with 8 mL of 95% ethanol and placed in a dark room for 24 h. Then, the absorbance of the extracts was measured at 470, 648.6 and 664.2 nm using a UV-VIS spectro-photometer (Lambda 25 version 2.85.04, USA). The concentrations of chlorophyll a (Chl *a*), chlorophyll b (Chl *b*), and carotenoids were calculated following Lichtenthaler [35]. For N concentrations in plant tissue, 50 mg samples of freeze-dried root and leaf samples were weighted and analyzed for N content using the Kjeldahl method [36].

% root porosity =
$$100 * [Wh-(Ww+r)]/[(Ww+Wr)-(Ww+r)]$$
 (Eq. 2)

6) N removal

Forty-five days after the start of the experiment, the depletion of ammonium (NH₄⁺) and nitrate (NO₃⁻) was measured. The standard growth medium was renewed. The growth solution was sampled (10 mL) at the beginning and then daily to determine NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations until both NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ were depleted. NH₄⁺ was measured using the salicylate method [37], while NO₃⁻ concentration was measured by the UV method [38]. Then, NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ removal rates (mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹) were calculated from the depletion curves with linear regression analyses.

7) Data analysis

Statistics were analyzed using the software Past326b [39]. All data were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between treatments were identified by the Tukey HSD post hoc procedure at the 5% significance level.

Results

1) Plant growth

Growth of *C. indica* was not affected by the different substrates. The relative growth rates and shoot elongation rates in the three treatments were 0.038-0.039 g g⁻¹ d⁻¹ and 11.6-12.9 mm d⁻¹, respectively. The filter substrates did also not influence on plant biomass and shoot: root ratio (Table 1).

2) Root morphology

Types of substrate affected root diameter, root length, and aerenchyma formation of *C. indica*. The plants grown on gravel showed significantly lower root diameter than the plants grown on pumice and also had significantly shorter roots than the plants grown on biochar. However, root internal air space and porosity of *C. indica* grown on gravel were significantly higher than of the plants grown on pumice and biochar (Table 1; Figure 1).

Table 1 Growth rates, dry mass, chlorophylls and carotenoids and root morphology of *Canna indica* (mean \pm SD) grown on different filter substrates. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.05).

	Substrate		
_	Gravel	Pumice	Biochar
Relative growth rate (g $g^{-1} d^{-1}$)	$0.039{\pm}0.005^{a}$	$0.038{\pm}0.006^{a}$	0.039±0.006 ^a
Shoot elongation rate (mm d ⁻¹)	12.9 ± 1.9^{a}	12.0 ± 2.7^{a}	11.6 ± 2.5^{a}
Leaf dry mass (g)	$8.8{\pm}1.7^{a}$	10.5 ± 3.5^{a}	10.6 ± 3.8^{a}
Rhizome dry mass (g)	$1.0{\pm}1.1^{a}$	$1.0{\pm}0.4^{a}$	1.0±0.3ª
Root dry mass (g)	$1.0{\pm}0.3^{a}$	$1.1{\pm}0.4^{a}$	1.1±0.3ª
Total dry mass (g)	10.7 ± 2.8^{a}	12.6 ± 3.9^{a}	12.5±4.3 ^a
Shoot:root ratio	$9.2{\pm}1.6^{a}$	$9.6{\pm}3.0^{a}$	$10.0{\pm}2.9^{a}$
Chl $a (mg g^{-1} DW)$	$8.0{\pm}0.2^{a}$	$7.9{\pm}0.1^{a}$	7.9±0.1ª
Chl $b (mg g^{-1} DW)$	2.5 ± 0.4^{ab}	$3.0{\pm}0.3^{b}$	$2.4{\pm}0.5^{a}$
Total carotenoids (mg g ⁻¹ DW)	$1.3{\pm}0.09^{a}$	1.6 ± 0.2^{b}	$1.4{\pm}0.3^{ab}$
N in root tissue (mg g ⁻¹ DW)	17.9 ± 1.9^{b}	16.6 ± 1.3^{ab}	14.7 ± 1.1^{a}
N in leaf tissue (mg g ⁻¹ DW)	21.1±1.9 ^a	28.6 ± 1.8^{b}	26.1 ± 1.6^{b}
Root diameter (µm)	1,382.8±67.3ª	1,662.8±122.7 ^b	$1,489.9 \pm 214.1^{ab}$
Root length (cm)	20.1±3.1ª	$22.5{\pm}5.9^{\mathrm{ab}}$	24.9±3.3 ^b
Aerenchyma area (%)	9.9 ± 2.3^{b}	2.7 ± 2.2^{a}	$2.8{\pm}1.1^{a}$
Root porosity (%)	43.6 ± 3.9^{b}	22.5 ± 11.0^{a}	21.0 ± 8.5^{a}

	Substrate		
	gravel	pumice	biochar
NH_4^+ removal rate (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	$4.7{\pm}0.8^{a}$	6.3±0.3 ^b	$5.6{\pm}0.8^{ab}$
NO_3^- removal rate (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	$3.7{\pm}0.1^{ab}$	3.6±0.1 ^a	$3.8 {\pm} 0.0^{b}$

Table 2 N-removal rate of the systems filled with different substrates (mean \pm SD). Different letters superscripts indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.05)



Figure 1 Root cross sections of *Canna indica* grown on (a) gravel, (b) pumice and (c) biochar, respectively.

3) Plant tissue analysis

The concentrations of Chl *a* were not significantly different among treatments. In contrast, Chl *b* and carotenoids in leaves of *C. indica* grown on pumice and biochar were higher than Chl *b* and carotenoids in leaves of *C. indica* grown on gravel. Like N accumulation, especially in leaf, *C. indica* planted on pumice and biochar showed significantly higher N concentrations than leaves of *C. indica* planted on gravel. Meanwhile, a slightly different N accumulation (14.7–17.9 mg g⁻¹) was found in the roots (Table 1).

4) N-depletion

From this study we found NH_4^+ was removed within 3 d but there was a significant difference of NH_4^+ removal rates among treatments. The NH_4^+ removal rate in the pumicefilled treatment was 6.3 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ which was considerably higher than the gravel-filled treatment (4.7 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹). NO₃⁻, removal rates were lower than NH_4^+ removal rates. The NO₃⁻ removal rates were in the range of 3.6–3.8 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Table 2).

Discussion

Generally, growth and biomass production of C. indica were not affected by different types of substrate. Similarly, Zamora-Castro et al. [40] found that growing plants in different substrate materials (porous river rocks and tepezyl) did not affect growth of C. indica, Pontederia sagittata C. Presl, and Spathiphyllum wallisii Regel, but they found significant growth differences among these three species. In our study, however, it seems that the plants grew better and had larger roots with higher fine root production when grown on porous substrates. Both pumice and biochar are extremely porous, which increases aeration in the rhizosphere and enhances water and nutrient uptake by the root system [41–44]. Small holes distributed around the substrates allow diffusion of air for root respiration. Also, their high surface area aids lateral roots to grow and penetrate throughout the substrates. Results from a meta-analysis by Xiang et al [43] showed that most plants increased root biomass, root length, root diameter, root volume and surface area under biochar addition. It was assumed that biochar may adsorb nutrient ions particularly

inorganic N leading to greater nutrient deficiency, so changes in root morphology including increasing root length and root surface area could be an important root trait that plants have developed in order to prevent or alleviate nutrient deficiency. Therefore, biochar application has been introduced for use in constructed wetlands due to its adsorption property and also because it can stimulate root growth and increase nutrient demand for plant growth [43]. In the present study, we found the plants grown on the porous substrates had dense roots and long lateral roots compared with the roots of the plants grown on gravel. Moreover, roots of C. indica under the biochar treatment tended to be longer than roots of the plants under the pumice treatment. Regarding substrate properties, pumice is an inert inorganic substrate that does not alter nutrients availability in the root medium. For plants grown on pumice it is not necessary to invest in additional root biomass, so there was no change in root:shoot ratio [42-43].

Because the high porosity of pumice and biochar facilitates aeration in the rhizosphere, it may affect aerenchyma development in the roots. It is well known that aerenchyma provides internal pathway for gas transportation from the shoots to the below ground tissue. Under submerged or water saturated soil, the ability to form aerenchyma can alleviate O2 stress in plants [45-46]. A previous study found that wheat, maize, and rice did not develop aerenchyma in their roots when grown on dry and well-drained soil, while plants grown on wet soil had well produced aerenchyma [47]. However, the degree of internal air space formed in the root cortex seems to depend on the O₂ supply. In the present study, roots of the plants grown on pumice and biochar have less aerenchyma development compared with the plants grown on gravel. The slight response of the roots may indicate that dissolved oxygen in the rhizosphere did not decrease much in the pumice and biochar systems. Similar results found in the study of Fu et al. [48] revealed that porous substrates such as ceramsite and activated carbon can retard the reduction of DO and enhance reoxygenation in CWs. Therefore, porous filter substrates provide not only a major function as supporting substrates, but can also improve oxygen supply and stimulate root growth.

In CWs, removal of NH4⁺ is complex because it can be removed by various processes such as adsorption onto substrate, plant uptake, and transformation to nitrate by nitrification [49]. In this study, the concentrations of NH4⁺ in systems with plants growing on porous substrates dramatically decreased within 3 d with high removal rates (6.3 mg $L^{-1} d^{-1}$ and 5.6 mg $L^{-1} d^{-1}$ for pumice and biochar, respectively). Meanwhile, the NH4⁺ removal rate in the gravel-filled systems was approximately 4.7 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹. However, the removal rate for NO3⁻ in all treatments was 3.6-3.8 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹. It is obvious that porous substrates affected NH4⁺ removal more than NO3⁻. Because high surface areas and porosity can promote growth of microorganisms and aeration in the rooting media, decreasing of NH4⁺ may be caused by nitrification processes whereas some of the NH4⁺ was taken up by plants. In this study, adequate O2 in rhizospheres of the systems planted with porous filter substrates (pumice and biochar) encouraged root growth and enhanced N uptake as well. As a result, photosynthetic pigments and N concentrations in the plant tissue increased. Konnerup and Brix [27] found that high NH4⁺ uptake rate of C. indica resulting in high N accumulation in the plant tissue, and high concentrations of chlorophylls and carotenoids in their leaves, but the RGR was insignificantly different. However, a study by Liu et al. [5] found that NH₄⁺ removal depended on types of substrates with differences in physicochemical characteristics such as specific surface area, micropore distribution, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and

adsorption capacity. Biochar has a negative charge and high CEC, so its properties are beneficial for NH₄⁺ adsorption [50]. Many previous studies showed high efficiency of biochar on NH4⁺ adsorption [51–53]. Also, pumice containing -OH groups is suggested for use as an adsorbent [54]. High efficiency of pumice on NH4⁺ adsorption was reported as well [55]. As stated, biochar and pumice could enhance N removal directly by N adsorption onto the filters and indirectly by increasing root growth leading to higher N uptake. Therefore, the use of these two kinds of substrate in CWs have been increasing, and they showed high N removal efficiency which is influenced by microbial development (encouraging N transformation), N adsorption, and plant uptake [15, 56-57]. However, removal efficiency of porous substrates may decrease after being used for a while [5, 58]. In order to maintain adsorption capacity of these filter materials, refreshing materials by washing with water or acid has been suggested [59-60].

Conclusions

Pumice and biochar showed high efficiency of N removal, especially NH4⁺ (6.3 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ and 5.6 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹, respectively). They encouraged root diameter increase, root elongation, and N uptake of *C. indica*, and reduced aerenchyma formation (only 2% aerenchyma area compared with 9% in *C. indica* root grown on gravel). These two substrates and *C. indica* are good candidates to create CWs systems. However, further studies should evaluate the effects of these two porous substrates on plant growth and wastewater treatment efficiency in full-scale CWs.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Thailand. P. Janyasupab was supported by the Science Achievement Scholarship of Thailand (SAST). Authors thank Mr. Alvin Yoshinaga for his kind help to review and correct the English text.

References

- Vymazal, J. Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: A review. *In:* Sengupta, M., Dalwani, R., editors. In Proceedings of the 12th World Lake Conference (Taal2007), Jaipur, Rajasthan, India, 28 November – 2 December 2007, 965–980.
- [2] Choudhary, A.K., Kumar, S., Sharma, C. Constructed wetlands: An approach for wastewater treatment. Elixir Pollution, 2011, 37, 3666–3672.
- [3] Valipour, A., Ahn, H.Y. Constructed wetlands as sustainable ecotechnologies in decentralization practices: A review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2015, 23, 180–197.
- [4] Ballantine, D.J., Tanner, C.C. Substrate and filter materials to enhance phosphorus removal in constructed wetlands treating diffuse farm runoff: A review. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 2010, 53, 71–95.
- [5] Liu, M., Wu, S., Chen, L., Dong, R. How substrate influences nitrogen transformations in tidal flow constructed wetlands treating high ammonium wastewater. Ecological Engineering, 2014, 73, 478– 486.
- [6] Wang, Q., Hu, Y., Xie, H., Yang, Z. Constructed wetlands: A review on the role of radial oxygen loss in the rhizosphere by macrophytes. Water, 2018, 10, 1–11.
- [7] Fu, G., Wu, J., Han, J., Zhao, L., Chan, G., Leong, K. Effects of substrate type on denitrification efficiency and microbial community structure in constructed wet-

lands. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 307, 123222.

- [8] Knowles, P., Dotro, G., Nivala, J., García, J. Clogging in subsurface-flow treatment wetlands: Occurrence and contributing factors. Ecological Engineering, 2011, 37, 99–112.
- [9] Wu, H., Zhang, J., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., Hu, Z., Liang, S., ..., Liu, H. A review on the sustainability of constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: Design and operation. Bioresource Technology, 2015, 175, 594–601.
- [10] Brovelli, A., Carranza-Diaz, O., Barry, D.A. Design methodology accounting for the effects of porous medium heterogeneity on hydraulic residence time and biodegradation in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetlands. Ecological Engineering, 2011, 37(5), 758–770.
- [11] Rahman, M.E., Bin Halmi, M., Bin Abd Samad, M.Y., Uddin, M.K., Mahmud, K., Abd Shukor, M.Y., ..., Shamsuzzaman, S.M. Design, operation and optimization of constructed wetland for removal of pollutant. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17(22), 8339.
- [12] Lehmann, J., Joseph, S. Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology. London: Earthscan, 2012.
- [13] Suksawat, C., Ariyadet, C., Sutigoolabud, P., Sangchyoswat, C. Towards a zerowaste model in longan farms: impact of longan biochar and corn mulch on longan plantation soils. Journal of Thai Interdisciplinary Research, 2017, 12, 1–7.
- [14] Kizito, S., Lv, T., Wu, S., Ajmal, Z., Luo,
 H., Dong, R. Treatment of anaerobic digested effluent in biochar-packed vertical flow constructed wetland columns: Role of media and tidal operation. Science

of the Total Environment, 2017, 592, 197–205.

- [15] Zhou, X., Liang, C., Jia, L., Feng, L., Wang, R., Wu, H. An innovative biocharamended substrate vertical flow constructed wetland for low C/N wastewater treatment: Impact of influent strengths. Bioresource Technology, 2018, 247, 844– 850.
- [16] Mukherjee, A., Zimmerman, A.R., Harris, W. Surface chemistry variations among a series of laboratory-produced biochars. Geoderma, 2011, 163, 247–255.
- [17] Baldwin, I.T., Halitschke, R., Paschold, A., von Dahl, C.C., Preston, C.A. Volatile signaling in plant-plant interactions: "Talking Trees" in the genomics era. Science, 2006, 311, 812–815.
- [18] Calabrese, E.J., Iavicoli, I., Calabrese, V. Hormesis: Why it is important to biogerontologists. Biogerontology, 2012, 13, 215–235.
- [19] Mukherjee, A., Zimmerman, A.R. Organic carbon and nutrient release from a range of laboratory-produced biochars and biochar-soil mixtures. Geoderma, 2013, 193 –194, 122–130.
- [20] Buss, W., Mašek, O. Mobile organic compounds in biochar–a potential source of contamination–phytotoxic effects on cress seed (*Lepidium sativum*) germination. Journal of Environmental Management, 2014, 137, 111–119.
- [21] Koltowski, M., Oleszczuk, P. Toxicity of biochars after polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons removal by thermal treatment. Ecological Engineering, 2015, 75, 79–85.
- [22] Gokalp, Z., Taş, I. Different substrate materials for phosphorus removal from wastewaters in constructed wetlands. Current Trends in Natural Sciences, 2018, 7, 69–75.

- [23] Brix, H., Schierup, H.H. The use of aquatic macrophytes in water-pollution control. AMBIO A Journal of the Human Environment, 1989, 18, 100–107
- [24] Shelef, O., Gross, A., Rachmilevitch, S. Role of plants in a constructed wetland: Current and new perspectives. Water, 2013, 5, 405–419.
- [25] Sandoval, L., Zamora-Castro, S.A., Vidal-Álvarez, M., Marín-Muñiz, J.L. Role of wetland plants and use of ornamental flowering plants in constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: A review. Applied Sciences, 2019, 9, 685.
- [26] Rehman, F., Pervez, A., Khattak, B.N., Ahmad, R. Constructed wetlands: Perspectives of the oxygen released in the rhizosphere of macrophytes. Clean-Soil Air Water. 2017, 45, 1–9.
- [27] Konnerup, D., Brix, H. Nitrogen nutrition of *Canna indica*: Effects of ammonium versus nitrate on growth, biomass allocation, photosynthesis, nitrate reductase activity and N uptake rates. Aquatic Botany, 2010, 92, 142–148.
- [28] Cui, L., Ouyang, Y., Lou, Q., Yang, F., Chen, Y., Zhu, W., Luo, S. Removal of nutrients from wastewater with *Canna indica* L. under different vertical-flow constructed wetland conditions. Ecological Engineering, 2010, 36, 1083–1088.
- [29] Zamora-Castro, S., Marín-Muñiz, J.L., Sandoval, L., Vidal-Álvarez, M., Carrión-Delgado, J.M. Effects of ornamental plants, seasonality, and filter media material in fill-and-drain constructed wetlands treating rural community wastewater. Sustainability, 2019, 11, 1–14.
- [30] Zamora, S., Marín-Muñíz J.L., Nakase-Rodríguez, C., Fernández-Lambert, G., Sandoval, L. Wastewater treatment by constructed wetland eco-technology: Influence of mineral and plastic materials as

filter media and tropical ornamental plants. Water, 2019, 11(11), 2344.

- [31] Smart, R.M., Barko, J.W. Laboratory culture of submerged freshwater macrophytes on natural sediments. Aquatic Botany, 1985, 21, 251–263.
- [32] Evans, C.G. The quantitative analysis of plant growth. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific; 1972.
- [33] Armstrong, J., Armstrong, W. Phragmites australis – A preliminary study of soil-oxidizing sites and internal gas transport pathways. New Phytologist, 1988, 108, 373–382.
- [34] Sojka, R.E. Measurement of root porosity (volume of root air space). Environmental and Experimental Botany, 1988, 28, 275– 280.
- [35] Lichtenthaler H.K. Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Pigments of photosynthetic membranes. Methods in Enzymology, 1987, 148, 350–382.
- [36] Nelson, D.W., Sommers, L.E. Total nitrogen analysis of soil and plant tissue. Journal Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1980, 63(4), 770–778.
- [37] Stieg, S. Determination of ammonia in surface water and wastewater by flow injection analysis. USA: Lachat Instruments, 1991.
- [38] Oscarson, P., Ingemarsson, B., Ugglas, M., Larsson, C.M. Characteristics of NO₃⁻ uptake in *Lemna* and *Pisum*. Plant and Soil, 1988, 111, 203–205.
- [39] Hammer, O., Harper, D.A.T. Paleontological Statistics, version 4.01, reference manual. Oslo: Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, 2020.
- [40] Zamora-Castro, S., Marín-Muñiz, J.L., Sandoval, L., Vidal-Álvarez, M., Carrión-Delgado, J.M. Effects of ornamental plants, seasonality, and filter media material in fill-and-drain constructed wetlands treating

rural community wastewater. Sustainability, 2019, 11, 1–14.

- [41] Gizas, G., Savvas, D. Particle size and hydraulic properties of pumice affect growth and yield of greenhouse crops in soilless culture. HortScience, 2007, 42, 1274–1280.
- [42] Altland, J., Owen, J.S., Gabriel, M.Z. Influence of pumice and plant roots on substrate physical properties over time. HortTechnology, 2011, 21, 554–557.
- [43] Xiang, Y., Deng, Q., Duan, H., Guo, Y. Effects of biochar application on root traits: A meta-analysis. GCB Bioenergy, 2017, 9, 1563–1572.
- [44] Pérez-Urrestarazu, L., Fernández-Cañero, R., Campos-Navarro, P., Sousa-Ortega, C., Egea, G. Assessment of perlite, expanded clay and pumice as substrates for living walls. Scientia Horticulturae, 2019, 254, 48–54.
- [45] Evans, D.E. Aerenchyma formation. New Phytologist, 2004, 161, 35–49.
- [46] Videmšek, U., Turk, B., Vodnik, D. Root aerenchyma - formation and function. Acta Agriculturae Slovenica, 2006, 87(2), 445–453.
- [47] Pedersen, O., Sauter, M., Colmer, T.D., Nakazono, M. Regulation of root adaptive anatomical and morphological traits during low soil oxygen. New Phytologist, 2021, 229, 42–49.
- [48] Fu, G., Wu, J., Han, J., Zhao, L., Chan, G., Leong, K. Effects of substrate type on denitrification efficiency and microbial community structure in constructed wetlands. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 307, 1–9.
- [49] Shen, S., Li, X., Cheng, F., Zha, X., Lu, X. Review: Recent developments of substrates for nitrogen and phosphorus removal in CWs treating municipal waste-

water. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2020, 27, 29837–29855.

- [50] Foereid, B. Biochar in Nutrient Recycling—The effect and its use in wastewater treatment. Open Journal of Soil Science, 2015, 5, 39–44.
- [51] Zeng, Z., Zhang, S.D., Li, T.Q., Zhao, F.L., He, Z.L., Zhao, H.P., ..., Rafiq, M.T. Sorption of ammonium and phosphate from aqueous solution by biochar derived from phytoremediation plants. Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE B, 2013, 14(12), 1152–1161.
- [52] Hu, X., Zhang, X., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., Wen, H., Li, C., ..., Ma, C. Comparison study on the ammonium adsorption of the biochars derived from different kinds of fruit peel. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 707, 135544.
- [53] Begum, S.A., Hyder, A.G., Hicklen, Q., Crocker, T., Oni, B. Adsorption characteristics of ammonium onto biochar from an aqueous solution. AQUA-Water Infrastructure, Ecosystems and Society, 2021, 70(1), 113–122.
- [54] Çifçi, D., Meriç, S. A review on pumice for water and wastewater treatment. Desalination and Water Treatment, 2015, 57, 18131–18143.
- [55] Pratiwi, N.I., Huwaida, A., Indah, S., Helard, D. Adsorption and regeneration of Sungai Pasak pumice as an adsorbent for ammonium removal in water. Research Journal of Industrial Pollution Prevention Technology, 2019, 10(1), 38–46.
- [56] Li, J., Hu, Z., Li, F., Fan, J., Zhang, J., Li, F., Hu, H. Effect of oxygen supply strategy on nitrogen removal of biocharbased vertical subsurface flow constructed wetland: Intermittent aeration and tidal flow. Chemosphere, 2019, 223, 366–374.
- [57] Aregu, M.B., Asfaw, S.L., Khan, M.M. Developing horizontal subsurface flow

constructed wetland using pumice and *Chrysopogon zizanioides* for tannery wastewater treatment. Environmental Systems Research, 2021, 10, 33.

- [58] Gronwald, M., Don, A., Tiemeyer, B., Helfrich, M. Effects of fresh and aged chars from pyrolysis and hydrothermal carbonization on nutrient sorption in agricultural soils. Soil, 2015, 1, 475–489.
- [59] Ro, K.S., Lima, I.M., Reddy, G.B., Jackson, M.A., Gao, B. Removing gaseous NH₃ using biochar as an adsorbent. Agriculture, 2015, 5(4), 991–1002.
- [60] Munar-Florez, D.A., Varón-Cardenas, D.A., Ramírez-Contreras, N.E., García-Núñez, J.A. Adsorption of ammonium and phosphates by biochar produced from oil palm shells: Effects of production conditions. Results in Chemistry, 2021, 3, 100119.