



INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIÓNS MARIÑAS











SHEDDING LIGHT ON THE ULVA HOLOBIONT: ALGA-BACTERIA INTERACTIONS

WITH IMPLICATIONS FOR INTEGRATED MULTITROFIC AQUACULTURE

<u>**Gonzalo Del Olmo^{1*}**</u>, Patricia Ruiz¹, Zujaila Qui¹, Ryan Marchington¹, Eduardo Casais², Javier Cremades², Saray Ramírez³, Thi Kim My Nguyen³, Patricia Jiménez³, Ingrid Masaló³, Thomas Wichard⁴, Torsten Thomas⁵, Suhelen Egan⁵, José Pintado¹

¹ Marine Ecology and Resources Group. Institute of Marine Research (IIM-CSIC), Galicia, Spain | ² Coastal Biology Research Group (BioCost). Centro de Investigaciones Científicas Avanzadas (CICA), Universidad de A Coruña, Galicia, Spain | ³ Departament d'Enginyeria Agroalimentària i Biotecnologia. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Catalunya, Catalunya, Spain | ⁴ Jena School for Microbial Communication, Institute for Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany | ⁵ Centre for Marine Science and Innovation. School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences. The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia | *Presenting authors email address: gdelolmo@iim.csic.es

BACKGROUND

Macroalgae, like Ulva genus, provides an important niche for epiphytic biofilm-forming bacteria, including those of the genus *Phaeobacter* with the ability to antagonize fish pathogens such as Vibrio anguillarum, through the

OBJECTIVES

Objective 1: Understanding the interaction Light-Ulva-Bacteria

Experiments in Multi-well plates:

 Colonization and maintenance of Phaeobacter over Ulva with different light intensity and heterogeneity.



production of tropodithietic acid (TDA) [1–3]. *P. gallaeciensis* has previously demonstrated its effectiveness as a probiotic in aquaculture by reducing mortality in fish larvae experimentally infected with this pathogen as well as its colonization of *U. ohnoi* surface [1]. This colonization can be used as a pathogen control strategy in multitrophic fish-algae cultures in recirculating water systems (IMTA-RAS), improving the health of the fish (Fig. 1). However, the optimal conditions for the culture of U. ohnoi could have a determining influence both on the maintenance of these biofilms and on the production of TDA, especially the intensity of light.



Microbial community analysis (CFU, qPCR, 16S gene sequencing) and chemical profiling (HPLC-MS, GS-MS).



Low Light (L) Darkness (D)



Fig. 2: Multi-well plates with shading meshes

Objective 2: Setting up an IMTA-RAS

Development of a culture protocol for the application of Ulva colonized by *Phaeobacter* in a water-recirculating systems and



Fig. 4:	Algae grov	vth and Phe	aeobacter	detection	over		bo
different surfaces under different light intensities.						1	_

Light intensity:

- Does not affect *Phaeobacter* growth directly.
- Affects negatively the maintenance of *Phaeobacter* in *U. ohnoi*.

Time (davs) Time (davs) ▲ *Ulva* surface Ulva wet weight gallaeciensis (A): Aclimatization; (C): Colonization; (G): Growth **Fig. 5**: Algae growth, total bacteria and *Phaeobacter* counts under different light intensities at different culturing periods. Different light intensities: ✓ Ulva growth + Phaeobacter colonisation **X** *Phaeobacter* maintenance in light.



- Fig. 7: Algae growth, total bacteria and Phaeobacter counts in small IMTA-RAS.
- Despite successful colonisation of *Phaeobacter* in the system, decreases in a successive harvest.
- Algae kept in darkness is able to regrow when exposed to light again.

CONCLUSIONS

Combining dark and light cultures in different connected tanks may be the solution to maintain a balance between optimal algae growth and *Phaeobacter* maintenance. Future analyses (qPCR, sequencing and chemical profiling) will give more information to optimize the system design.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Pintado, J. et al, Co-culturing Ulva ohnoi with antagonistic Phaeobacter bacteria as a strategy to protect fish-algae IMTA-RAS cultures from vibriosis; 2017
- 2. Brinkhoff, T. et al, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2004, 70, 2560–2565, doi:10.1128/AEM.70.4.2560-2565.2003.
- 3. Berger, M. et al, Bacteriol. 2011, 193, 6576–6585, doi:10.1128/JB.05818-11.