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#### Abstract

In this paper we construct new Beauville surfaces with group either PSL $\left(2, p^{e}\right)$, or belonging to some other families of finite simple groups of Lie type of low Lie rank, or an alternating group, or a symmetric group, proving a conjecture of Bauer, Catanese and Grunewald. The proofs rely on probabilistic group theoretical results of Liebeck and Shalev, on classical results of Macbeath and on recent results of Marion.


## 1. Introduction

A Beauville surface $S$ (over $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a particular kind of surface isogenous to a higher product of curves, i.e., $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ is a quotient of a product of two smooth curves $C_{1}, C_{2}$ of genera at least two, modulo a free action of a finite group $G$, which acts faithfully on each curve. For Beauville surfaces the quotients $C_{i} / G$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and both projections $C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i} / G \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are coverings branched over three points. A Beauville surface is in particular a minimal surface of general type.

Beauville surfaces were introduced by F. Catanese in [5], inspired by a construction of A. Beauville (see [4]). Catanese was interested in finding examples of strongly rigid surfaces $S$, i.e., if $S^{\prime}$ is another surface homotopically equivalent to $S$ then $S^{\prime}$ is either biholomorphic or antibiholomorphic to $S$. In [5] he proved that in general if $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are two triangle curves with group $G$, if the action of $G$ on the product $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ is free, then $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ is a strongly rigid surface. Since the original example of Beauville had this property he proposed to name these surfaces Beauville surfaces.

A Beauville surface $S$ is either of mixed or unmixed type according respectively as the action of $G$ exchanges the two factors (and then $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are isomorphic) or $G$ acts diagonally on the product $C_{1} \times C_{2}$. The subgroup $G_{0}$ (of index $\leq 2$ ) of $G$ which preserves the ordered pair ( $C_{1}, C_{2}$ ) is then respectively of index 2 or 1 in $G$.

Any Beauville surface $S$ can be presented in such a way that the subgroup $G_{0}$ of $G$ acts effectively on each of the factors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Catanese called such a presentation minimal and proved its uniqueness in [5]. In this paper we shall consider only minimal Beauville surfaces of unmixed type so that $G_{0}=G$.

[^0]Working out the definition of Beauville surfaces one sees that there is a pure group theoretical condition which characterizes the groups of Beauville surfaces: the existence of what in [2] and [3] is called a "Beauville structure".

Definition 1.1. An unmixed Beauville structure for a finite group $G$ is a quadruple $\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ of elements of $G$, which determines two triples $T_{i}:=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ of elements of $G$ such that:
(i) $x_{i} y_{i} z_{i}=1$,
(ii) $\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=G$,
(iii) $\Sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma\left(T_{2}\right)=\{1\}$, where

$$
\Sigma\left(T_{i}\right):=\bigcup_{g \in G} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\{g x_{i}^{j} g^{-1}, g y_{i}^{j} g^{-1}, g z_{i}^{j} g^{-1}\right\} .
$$

Moreover, $\tau_{i}:=\left(\operatorname{ord}\left(x_{i}\right), \operatorname{ord}\left(y_{i}\right), \operatorname{ord}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)$ is called the type of $T_{i}$, and a type which satisfies the condition:

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}\left(x_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}\left(y_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}\left(z_{i}\right)}<1
$$

is called hyperbolic.
Therefore, the question of which finite groups $G$ admit an unmixed Beauville structure was raised. This question is deeply related to the question of which finite groups are quotients of certain triangle groups, which was widely investigated (see [7, 8] for a survey). Indeed, conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 1.1 clearly imply that two certain triangle groups surject onto the finite group $G$. However, the question about Beauville structures is somewhat more delicate, due to condition (iii) of Definition 1.1 .

In this paper we show that $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$, and some other families of finite simple groups of Lie type of low Lie rank admit a Beauville structure. Moreover for the alternating and symmetric groups we prove the stronger statement that almost all of these groups admit a Beauville structure with fixed type. For a detailed account of which other finite groups admit an unmixed Beauville structure we refer to the introduction of [12].

The main results of this work are the following Theorems.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ be two hyperbolic types. Then almost all alternating groups $A_{n}$ admit an unmixed Beauville structure ( $x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}$ ) where $\left(x_{1}, y_{1},\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2},\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$.

A similar theorem also applies for symmetric groups.
Theorem 1.3. Let $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ be two hyperbolic types, and assume that at least two of $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ are even and at least two of $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ are even. Then almost all symmetric groups $S_{n}$ admit an unmixed Beauville structure $\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ where $\left(x_{1}, y_{1},\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2},\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$.

This two Theorems completely solve [3, Conjecture 7.18] by Bauer, Catanese and Grunewald. The conjecture was inspired by the proof of Everitt [10] to Higman's Conjecture that every hyperbolic triangle group surjects to all but finitely many alternating groups. The proofs of both Theorems are presented in Section 3.1, and are based on results of Liebeck and Shalev [14, who gave an alternative proof, based on probabilistic group theory, to Higman's Conjecture. In Section 3.1 we also provide theorems similar to Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 for surfaces isogenous to a higher product not necessarily Beauville.

Next, we have the other results on Beauville surfaces.
Theorem 1.4. Let $p$ be a prime number, and assume that $q=p^{e}$ is at least 7. Then the group PSL $(2, q)$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure.

Its following Corollary is analogous to Theorem 1.2 for the family of groups $\{\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)\}_{p}$ prime.
Corollary 1.5. Let $r, s>5$ be two relatively prime integers. Then there are infinitely many primes $p$ for which the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure $\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ where $\left(x_{1}, y_{1},\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type $(r, r, r)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2},\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type $(s, s, s)$.

This Theorem and its Corollary are proved in Section 3.2. The proof is based on properties of the groups PSL $(2, q)$ and on results of Macbeath [16.

Moreover, one can generalize Theorem 1.4, and prove similar results regarding some other families of finite simple groups of Lie type of low Lie rank, provided that their defining field is large enough.

Theorem 1.6. The following finite simple groups of Lie type $G=G(q)$ admit an unmixed Beauville structure, provided that $q$ is large enough.
(1) Suzuki groups, $G=\mathrm{Sz}(q)={ }^{2} B_{2}(q)$, where $q=2^{2 e+1}$;
(2) Ree groups, $G={ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, where $q=3^{2 e+1}$;
(3) $G=G_{2}(q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime number $p>3$;
(4) $G={ }^{3} D_{4}(q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime number $p>3$;
(5) $G=\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$;
(6) $G=\operatorname{PSU}(3, q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$.

This Theorem is proved in Section 3.3, and the proof is based on recent probabilistic group theoretical results of Marion [18, 19], who investigated the possible surjection of certain triangle groups onto finite simple groups of Lie type of low Lie rank. The probabilistic group-theoretical approach was further used and generalized in [13].

Moreover, in the same direction of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, and inspired by conjectures of Liebeck and Shalev [15] (see also Section [3.3.2), we propose the following Conjecture.

Conjecture 1.7. Let $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ be two hyperbolic types. If $G$ is a finite simple classical group of Lie type of Lie rank large enough, then it admits an unmixed Beauville structure $\left(x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$, where $\left(x_{1}, y_{1},\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type ( $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}$ ) and ( $\left.x_{2}, y_{2},\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)$ has type ( $r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ ).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we shall present the geometrical background and explain the link between geometry and group theory. In Section 3 one can find the proofs of the main results.

Remark 1.8. After completing this manuscript, it was brought to our attention that Fuertes and Jones [11], have independently and simultaneously constructed unmixed Beauville structures for the groups $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, the Suzuki groups $G=\mathrm{Sz}(q)={ }^{2} B_{2}(q)$ and the Ree groups $G={ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, thus proving some of our results appearing in Theorems 1.4 and 1.6. However, their constructions are of different type.

## 2. Geometrical Background on Ramification Structures

We shall denote by $S$ a smooth irreducible complex projective surface of general type. We shall also use the standard notation in surface theory, hence we denote by $p_{g}:=h^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}^{2}\right)$ the geometric genus of $S, q:=h^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}^{1}\right)$ the irregularity of $S, \chi(S)=1+p_{g}-q$ the holomorphic Euler-Poincaré characteristic, e(S) the topological Euler number, and $K_{S}^{2}$ the self-intersection of the canonical divisor (see e.g. [1]). In this section, $C$ will always denote a smooth compact complex curve and $g(C)$ will be its genus.

Definition 2.1. A surface $S$ is said to be isogenous to a higher product of curves if and only if $S$ is a quotient $\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$, where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are curves of genus at least two, and $G$ is a finite group acting freely on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$.

In [5] it is proven that any surface isogenous to a higher product has a unique minimal realization as a quotient $\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$, where $G$ is a finite group acting freely and with the property that no element acts trivially on one of the two factors $C_{i}$. From now on we shall work only with minimal realization.

We have two cases: the mixed case where the action of $G$ exchanges the two factors (and then $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are isomorphic), and the unmixed case where $G$ acts diagonally on their product.

A surface $S$ isogenous to a higher product is in particular a minimal surface of general type and it has

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{S}^{2}=8 \chi(S), 4 \chi(S)=e(S), \text { and } \\
& \chi(S)=\frac{\left.\left(g\left(C_{1}\right)-1\right)\left(g\left(C_{2}\right)-1\right)\right)}{|G|}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

by Theorem 3.4 of [5]. Moreover, by Serrano [20, Proposition 2.2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(S)=g\left(C_{1} / G\right)+g\left(C_{2} / G\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see also [5] paragraph 3.
A special case of surfaces isogenous to a higher product is given by Beauville surfaces, which were also defined in [5].

Definition 2.2. A Beauville surface is a surface isogenous to a higher product $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$, which is rigid, i.e., it has no nontrivial deformation.

Remark 2.3. Every Beauville surface of mixed type has an unramified double covering which is a Beauville surface of unmixed type.

The rigidity property of the Beauville surfaces is equivalent to the fact that $C_{i} / G \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and that the projections $C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i} / G \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are branched in three points, for $i=1,2$. Moreover, by Equation (2) one has $q(S)=0$.

In the following we shall consider only the unmixed case.
From the above Remark one can see that studying Beauville surfaces (as well as surfaces isogenous to a higher product in general) is strictly linked to the study of branched covering of complex curves. We shall recall Riemann's Existence Theorem which translates the geometric problem of constructing branch covering into a group theoretical problem. We state it first in great generality.

Definition 2.4. Let $g^{\prime}$ be a non negative integer and $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ be positive integers with $m_{i} \geq 2$ for all $i$. An orbifold surface group of type ( $g^{\prime} \mid$ $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ) is a group presented as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(g^{\prime} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right):=\left\langle a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g^{\prime}}, b_{g^{\prime}}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right| \\
\left.c_{1}^{m_{1}}=\cdots=c_{r}^{m_{r}}=\prod_{k=1}^{g^{\prime}}\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right] c_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot c_{r}=1\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $g^{\prime}=0$ it is called a polygonal group, if $g^{\prime}=0$ and $r=3$ it is called a triangle group.

We remark that an orbifold surface group is in particular a Fuchsian group (see e.g. [14]).

The following is a reformulation of Riemann's Existence Theorem:
Theorem 2.5. A finite group $G$ acts as a group of automorphisms on some compact Riemann surface $C$ of genus $g$ if and only if there are natural numbers $g^{\prime}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$, and an orbifold homomorphism

$$
\theta: \Gamma\left(g^{\prime} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \rightarrow G
$$

such that $\operatorname{ord}\left(\theta\left(c_{i}\right)\right)=m_{i} \forall i$ and such that the Riemann - Hurwitz relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g-2=|G|\left(2 g^{\prime}-2+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this is the case, then $g^{\prime}$ is the genus of $C^{\prime}:=C / G$. The $G$-cover $C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ is branched in $r$ points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ with branching indices $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$, respectively.

Moreover, if we denote by $x_{i} \in G$ the image of $c_{i}$ under $\theta$, then

$$
\Sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right):=\cup_{a \in G} \cup_{i=0}^{\infty}\left\{a x_{1}^{i} a^{-1}, \ldots a x_{r}^{i} a^{-1}\right\}
$$

is the set of stabilizers for the action of $G$ on $C$.

If we restrict ourselves to the case where the quotient curve is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ then the Theorem suggests the following definition.

Definition 2.6. Let $G$ be a finite group and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \geq 2$.

- An $r$-tuple $T=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ of elements of $G$ is called a spherical $r$-system of generators of $G$ if $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\rangle=G$ and $x_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r}=1$.
- We say that $T$ is of type $\tau:=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ if the orders of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ are respectively $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$.
- We shall denote:

$$
\mathcal{S}(G, \tau):=\{\text { spherical } r-\text { systems for } G \text { of type } \tau\} .
$$

- Moreover, two spherical $r_{i}-$ systems $T_{1}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r_{1}}\right)$ and $T_{2}=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r_{2}}\right)$ are said to be disjoint, if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \bigcap \Sigma\left(T_{2}\right)=\{1\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Sigma\left(T_{i}\right):=\bigcup_{g \in G} \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{r_{i}} g \cdot x_{i, k}^{j} \cdot g^{-1}
$$

We obtain that the datum of a surface isogenous to a higher product of unmixed type $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ with $q=0$ is determined, once we look at the monodromy of each covering of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, by the datum of a finite group $G$ together with two respective disjoint spherical $r_{i}$-systems of generators $T_{1}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r_{1}}\right)$ and $T_{2}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r_{2}}\right)$, such that the types of the systems satisfy (3) with $g^{\prime}=0$ and respectively $g=g\left(C_{i}\right)$. The condition of being disjoint ensures that the action of $G$ on the product of the two curves $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ is free. Observe that this can be specialized to $r_{i}=3$, and therefore can be used to construct Beauville surfaces. This description suggests the following definition.

Definition 2.7. An unmixed ramification structure of size $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ for a finite group $G$, is a pair $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ of tuples $T_{1}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{r_{1}}\right), T_{2}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{r_{2}}\right)$ of elements of $G$, such that $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ is a disjoint pair of spherical $r_{i}$-system of generators of $G$.

The definition of an unmixed Beauville structure given in the introduction is a special case of the above definition for $r_{1}=r_{2}=3$. Therefore, the problem of finding Beauville surfaces of unmixed type is now translated into the problem of finding finite groups $G$ which admit an unmixed Beauville structure.

## 3. Ramification Structures for Finite Simple Groups

3.1. Ramification Structures for $A_{n}$ and $S_{n}$. In this section we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 . The proofs are based on results of Liebeck and Shalev [14].
3.1.1. Theoretical Background - Higman's Conjecture and a Theorem of Liebeck and Shalev on Fuchsian groups. Conder [6] (following Higman) proved that sufficiently large alternating groups are in fact Hurwitz groups, namely they are quotients of the Hurwitz triangle group $\Delta(2,3,7)$, using the method of coset diagrams. In fact, Higman had already conjectured in the late 1960s that every hyperbolic triangle group, and more generally - every Fuchsian group, surjects to all but finitely many alternating groups.

This conjecture was proved by Everitt [10 using the method of coset diagrams, and later Liebeck and Shalev [14] gave an alternative proof based on probabilistic group theory. In fact, they proved a more explicit and general result, which is presented below.

Note that the results of Liebeck and Shalev are applicable to any Fuchsian group $\Gamma$, however, we shall use them only for the case of orbifold surface groups (see Definition [2.4)

$$
\Gamma=\Gamma\left(g^{\prime} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)
$$

that satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g^{\prime}-2+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)>0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1. Let $C_{i}=g_{i}^{S_{n}}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ be conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$, and let $m_{i}$ be the order of $g_{i}$. Define $\operatorname{sgn}\left(C_{i}\right):=\operatorname{sgn}\left(g_{i}\right)$, where $\operatorname{sgn}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is the sign of $g_{i}$. Moreover define

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\Gamma, S_{n}\right)=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, S_{n}\right): \phi\left(c_{i}\right) \in C_{i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq r\right\},
$$

where $\mathbf{C}:=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r}\right)$.
Definition 3.2. Conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$ of cycle-shape $\left(m^{k}\right)$, where $n=$ $m k$, namely, containing $k$ cycles of length $m$ each, are called homogeneous. A conjugacy class having cycle-shape ( $m^{k}, 1^{f}$ ), namely, containing $k$ cycles of length $m$ each and $f$ fixed points, with $f$ bounded, is called almost homogeneous.
Theorem 3.3. [14, Theorem 1.9]. Let $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group, and let $C_{i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ be conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$ with cycle-shapes $\left(m_{i}^{k_{i}}, 1^{f_{i}}\right)$, where $f_{i}<f$ for some constant $f$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{sgn}\left(C_{i}\right)=1$. Set $\mathbf{C}=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r}\right)$. Then the probability that a random homomorphism in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\Gamma, S_{n}\right)$ has image containing $A_{n}$ tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying this when $\Gamma$ is the triangle group $\Delta\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$, Liebeck and Shalev [14] demonstrate that three elements, with product 1, from almost homogeneous classes $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ of orders $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$, randomly generate $A_{n}$ or $S_{n}$, provided $1 / m_{1}+1 / m_{2}+1 / m_{3}<1$. In particular, when $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)=(2,3,7)$, one can choose $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ as conjugacy classes of even permutations and this gives random $(2,3,7)$ generation of $A_{n}$.

Using Theorem 3.3, Liebeck and Shalev deduced the following Corollary regarding symmetric groups.

Corollary 3.4. [14, Theorem 1.10]. Let $\Gamma=\Gamma\left(0 \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ be a polygonal group which satisfies the above inequality (5), and assume that at least two of $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ are even. Then $\Gamma$ surjects to all but finitely many symmetric groups $S_{n}$.
3.1.2. Beauville Structures and Ramification Structures for $A_{n}$ and $S_{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ are two hyperbolic types and that $n$ is large enough. By the following Algorithm 3.5, we choose six almost homogeneous conjugacy classes in $S_{n}, C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}, C_{r_{2}}$, $C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}$, of orders $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ respectively, such that they contain only even permutations, and they all have different numbers of fixed points.

By Theorem 3.3, the probability that three random elements ( $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ ) (equivalently ( $x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}$ )) whose product is 1 , taken from the almost homogeneous conjugacy classes $\left(C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}\right)$ (equivalently ( $\left.C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}\right)$ ) will generate $A_{n}$, tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that if $n$ is large enough, one can find six elements $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$, $x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}$ in $A_{n}$ of orders $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ respectively satisfying the following properties.

- $x_{1} \in C_{r_{1}}, y_{1} \in C_{s_{1}}, z_{1} \in C_{t_{1}}, x_{2} \in C_{r_{2}}, y_{2} \in C_{s_{2}}, z_{2} \in C_{t_{2}}$.
- $x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}=x_{2} y_{2} z_{2}=1$ and $\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle=A_{n}$.
- For any choice of integers $l_{x_{1}}, l_{y_{1}}, l_{z_{1}}, l_{x_{2}}, l_{y_{2}}, l_{z_{2}}$, if the six elements $x_{1}^{l_{x_{1}}}, y_{1}^{l_{y_{1}}}, z_{1}^{l_{z_{1}}}, x_{2}^{l_{x_{2}}}, y_{2}^{l_{y_{2}}}, z_{2}^{l_{z_{2}}}$ are not trivial, then they all belong to different conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$, since they all have different numbers of fixed points, and hence $\Sigma\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) \bigcap \Sigma\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{1_{A_{n}}\right\}$.
Therefore, if $n$ is large enough, the quadruple ( $x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}$ ) is an unmixed Beauville structure for $A_{n}$, where ( $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ ) has type ( $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}$ ) and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ has type $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$.
Algorithm 3.5. Choosing six almost homogeneous conjugacy classes $C_{r_{1}}$, $C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}, C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}$ in $S_{n}$, of orders $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ respectively, such that they contain only even permutations, and they all have different numbers of fixed points.

Step 1: Sorting $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$.
Let $m_{6} \leq \cdots \leq m_{1}$ be the sorted sequence whose elements are exactly $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$. Since $n$ can be as large as we want, we may assume that $n>100 m_{1}$.

Step 2: Choosing even integers $k_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$.
For $1 \leq i \leq 6$, let

$$
k_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left\lfloor n / m_{i}\right\rfloor & \text { if it is even } \\ \left\lfloor n / m_{i}\right\rfloor-1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that for $1 \leq i \leq 6$,

$$
k_{i}^{\prime} m_{i} \leq n \leq\left(k_{i}^{\prime}+2\right) m_{i}
$$

Step 3: Choosing even integers $k_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ s.t. for every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 6$, $k_{i} m_{i} \neq k_{j} m_{j}$.

Starting from $i=6$ and going down, set $k_{i}=k_{i}^{\prime}$ if $k_{i}^{\prime} m_{i} \neq k_{j} m_{j}$ for all $j>i$. It may happen that for some $i<j, k_{i}^{\prime} m_{i}=k_{j} m_{j}$. In this case, we shall replace $k_{i}^{\prime}$ by $k_{i}$, by choosing it from the set $\left\{k_{i}^{\prime}-2 l: 1 \leq l \leq 5\right\}$ such that for every $j>i, k_{i} m_{i} \neq k_{j} m_{j}$. Note that by our assumption, the integers $k_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ are positive.

Step 4: Defining the conjugacy classes $C_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$.
Assume that $n$ is large enough and let $C_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ be conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$ with cycle shapes

$$
\left(m_{i}^{k_{i}}, 1^{f_{i}}\right), \text { where } f_{i}=n-k_{i} m_{i} .
$$

Observe that the conjugacy classes $C_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ satisfy the following properties:
(i) For every $1 \leq i \leq 6, \operatorname{sgn}\left(C_{i}\right)=1$, since $C_{i}$ contains an even number of cycles (as the $k_{i}$-s are even).
(ii) Set $f:=12 m_{1}$. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq 6$,

$$
f_{i}=n-k_{i} m_{i} \leq\left(k_{i}^{\prime}+2\right) m_{i}-\left(k_{i}^{\prime}-10\right) m_{i}=12 m_{i} \leq 12 m_{1}=f
$$

and hence it is bounded independently of $n$.
(iii) For every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 6, f_{i} \neq f_{j}$, since $k_{i} m_{i} \neq k_{j} m_{j}$.
(iv) Let $c_{i} \in C_{i}$ be some element, then any non-trivial power $c_{i}^{l_{i}}$ has exactly $f_{i}$ fixed points.
(v) By (iii) and (iv), for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 6$ and any two integers $l_{i}, l_{j}$, if the powers $c_{i}^{l_{i}}$ and $c_{j}^{l_{j}}$ are not trivial, then they belong to different conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$.
Step 5: Defining the conjugacy classes $C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}, C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}$.
Let $k_{r_{1}}, k_{s_{1}}, k_{t_{1}}, k_{r_{2}}, k_{s_{2}}, k_{t_{2}}$ (respectively $f_{r_{1}}, f_{s_{1}}, f_{t_{1}}, f_{r_{2}}, f_{s_{2}}, f_{t_{2}}$ ) be the elements of the set $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{6}\right\}$ (respectively $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{6}\right\}$ ), ordered by the same correspondence between $\left\{r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{6}\right\}$.

Now, $C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}, C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}$ are the six conjugacy classes in $S_{n}$ with cycle-shapes $\left(r_{1}^{k_{r_{1}}}, 1^{f_{r_{1}}}\right),\left(s_{1}^{k_{s_{1}}}, 1^{f_{s_{1}}}\right),\left(t_{1}^{k_{t_{1}}}, 1^{f_{t_{1}}}\right),\left(r_{2}^{k_{r_{2}}}, 1^{f_{r_{2}}}\right),\left(s_{2}^{k_{s_{2}}}, 1^{f_{s_{2}}}\right),\left(t_{2}^{k_{t_{2}}}, 1^{f_{t_{2}}}\right)$ respectively.

In a similar way, we prove Theorem 1.3 regarding the symmetric groups.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ are two hyperbolic types, such that at least two of $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ are even and at least two of ( $r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ ) are even, and that $n$ is large enough. By slightly modifying Algorithm [3.5, we may choose six almost homogeneous conjugacy classes $C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}, C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}$ in $S_{n}$, of orders $r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}, r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}$ respectively, such that two classes of $C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}$ and two classes of $C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}$ contain only odd permutations, and all these classes have different numbers of fixed points.

By Theorem 3.3 and Corollary [3.4 the probability that three random elements $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ (equivalently $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ ) whose product is 1 , taken from the almost homogeneous conjugacy classes $\left(C_{r_{1}}, C_{s_{1}}, C_{t_{1}}\right)$ (equivalently $\left(C_{r_{2}}, C_{s_{2}}, C_{t_{2}}\right)$ ) will generate $S_{n}$, tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, if $n$ is large enough, there exists a quadruple ( $x_{1}, y_{1} ; x_{2}, y_{2}$ ) which is an unmixed Beauville structure for $S_{n}$, where ( $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ ) has type $\left(r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ has type $\left(r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$.

Moreover, since Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 apply to any polygonal group, one can modify Algorithm 3.5 and deduce the following Corollaries.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\tau_{1}=\left(m_{1,1}, \ldots, m_{1, r_{1}}\right)$ and $\tau_{2}=\left(m_{1,1}, \ldots, m_{1, r_{2}}\right)$ be two sequences of natural numbers such that $m_{k, i} \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r_{k}}\left(1-1 / m_{k, i}\right)>2$ for $k=1,2$. Then almost all alternating groups $A_{n}$ admit an unmixed ramification structure of type $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 3.7. Let $\tau_{1}=\left(m_{1,1}, \ldots, m_{1, r_{1}}\right)$ and $\tau_{2}=\left(m_{1,1}, \ldots, m_{1, r_{2}}\right)$ be two sequences of natural numbers such that $m_{k, i} \geq 2$, at least two of $\left(m_{k, 1}, \ldots, m_{k, r_{k}}\right)$ are even and $\sum_{i=1}^{r_{k}}\left(1-1 / m_{k, i}\right)>2$, for $k=1,2$. Then almost all symmetric groups $S_{n}$ admit an unmixed ramification structure of type $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$.
3.2. Beauville Structures for $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$. In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5. The proof is based on well-known properties of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$ (see for example [9, G0, 21]) and on results of Macbeath 16.
3.2.1. Theoretical Background $I$ - Properties of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$. Let $q=p^{e}$, where $p$ is a prime number and $e \geq 1$. Recall that $\operatorname{GL}(2, q)$ is the group of invertible $2 \times 2$ matrices over the finite field with $q$ elements, which we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $\operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ is the subgroup of GL $(2, q)$ comprising the matrices with determinant 1. Then $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ are the quotients of $\mathrm{GL}(2, q)$ and $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ by their respective centers.

When $q$ is even, then one can identify $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ with $\operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ and also with $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$, and so its order is $q(q-1)(q+1)$. When $q$ is odd, the orders of $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ are $q(q-1)(q+1)$ and $\frac{1}{2} q(q-1)(q+1)$ respectively, and therefore we can identify $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ with a normal subgroup of index 2 in $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$. Also recall that $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ is simple for $q \neq 2,3$.

One can classify the elements of PSL $(2, q)$ according to the possible Jordan forms of their pre-images in $\operatorname{SL}(2, q)$. The following table lists the three types of elements, according to whether the characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda):=$ $\lambda^{2}-\alpha \lambda+1$ of the matrix $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ (where $\alpha$ is the trace of $A$ ) has 0,1 or 2 distinct roots in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { element } \\ \text { type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { roots } \\ \text { of } P(\lambda)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { canonical form in } \\ \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\right)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { order in } \\ \operatorname{PSL}(2, q)\end{array} & \text { conjugacy classes } \\ \hline \hline \text { unipotent } & 1 \text { root } & \left(\begin{array}{cc} \pm 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1\end{array}\right) & p & \text { two conjugacy classes } \\ \alpha= \pm 2\end{array}\right)$

The subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ are well-known (see [9, 21]), and fall into the following three classes.

Class I: The small triangle subgroups.
These are the finite triangle groups $\Delta=\Delta(l, m, n)$, which can occur if and only if $1 / l+1 / m+1 / n>1$.

This inequality holds only for the following triples:

- $(2,2, n): \Delta$ is a dihedral subgroup of order $2 n$.
- $(2,3,3): \Delta \cong A_{4}$.
- $(2,3,4): \Delta \cong S_{4}$.
- $(2,3,5): \Delta \cong A_{5}$.

Moreover, if at least two of $l, m$ and $n$ are equal to 2 or if $2 \leq l, m, n \leq 5$, then a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ which is generated by three elements $x, y$ and $z=(x y)^{-1}$, of orders $l, m$ and $n$ respectively, may be a small triangle group (for a detailed list of such triples see [16, §8]).

Class II: Structural subgroups.
Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ defined by the images of the matrices

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right): a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\},
$$

and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ defined by the images of the matrices

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & 0 \\
0 & t^{q}
\end{array}\right): t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}, t^{q+1}=1\right\} .
$$

Any subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ which can be conjugated (in $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ to a subgroup of either $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{C}$ is called a structural subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$.

Class III: Subfield subgroups.

If $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$ is a $\operatorname{subgroup}$ of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$. If the quadratic extension $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 r}}$ is also a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$. These groups, as well as any other subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ which is isomorphic to any one of them, will be referred to as subfield subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$.
3.2.2. Theoretical Background II - Generation Theorems of Macbeath. Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$, and denote

$$
E(\alpha, \beta, \gamma):=\{A, B, C \in \mathrm{SL}(2, q): A B C=I, \operatorname{tr} A=\alpha, \operatorname{tr} B=\beta, \operatorname{tr} C=\gamma\}
$$

Since all elements in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ whose pre-images in $\operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ have the same trace are conjugate in $\operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$, all of them have the same order in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$. Therefore, we may denote by $\mathcal{O} r d(\alpha)$ the order in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ of the image of a matrix $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ whose trace equals $\alpha$.

Example 3.8. $\mathcal{O} r d(0)=2, \mathcal{O} r d( \pm 1)=3$ and $\mathcal{O} r d( \pm 2)=p$.
Theorem 3.9. [16, Theorem 1]. $E(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \neq \emptyset$ for any $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$.
Definition 3.10. Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$. We say that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is singular if

$$
\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}-\alpha \beta \gamma=4
$$

Let $l=\mathcal{O} r d(\alpha), m=\mathcal{O} r d(\beta)$ and $n=\mathcal{O} r d(\gamma)$. We say that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is small if at least two of $l, m, n$ are equal to 2 or if $2 \leq l, m, n \leq 5$.
Theorem 3.11. [16, Theorem 2]. $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$ is singular if and only if for $(A, B, C) \in E(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the group generated by the images of $A$ and $B$ is a structural subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$.

Theorem 3.12. [16, Theorem 4]. If $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$ is neither singular nor small, then for any $(A, B, C) \in E(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the group generated by the images of $A$ and $B$ is a subfield subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$.

Macbeath [16] used these generation theorems of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ to prove that $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ can be generated by two elements one of which is an involution. Moreover, he classified all the values of $q$ for which $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ is a Hurwitz group, namely a quotient of the Hurwitz triangle group $\Delta(2,3,7)$. In addition, he deduced the following.

Corollary 3.13. [16, Theorem 7]. If $p$ is an odd prime, then $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ can be generated by two unipotents whose product is also unipotent.

### 3.2.3. Beauville Structures for $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$.

Proof of 1.4. It is known by [2, Proposition 3.6] (and can be easily verified) that $\operatorname{PSL}(2,2) \cong S_{3}, \operatorname{PSL}(2,3) \cong A_{4}$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2,4) \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,5) \cong A_{5}$ do not admit an unmixed Beauville structure.

Case $q=p^{e}$ odd.

Let $q \geq 13$ be an odd prime power, then we will construct an unmixed Beauville structure for $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q),\left(A_{1}, B_{1} ; A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$, of type $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\tau_{1}=\left(\frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}\right) \text { and } \tau_{2}=\left(\frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}\right) .
$$

Let $r=\frac{q-1}{2}$ (respectively $r=\frac{q+1}{2}$ ), and note that $r>5$. Let $\alpha$ be a trace of some diagonal split (respectively non-split) element $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, q)$ whose image in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ has exact order $r$, and note that $\alpha \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, since $A$ is neither of orders 2 or 3 nor unipotent (see Example 3.8).

Observe that $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$ is a non-singular triple. Indeed, the equality $3 \alpha^{2}-$ $\alpha^{3}=4$ is equivalent to $(\alpha-2)^{2}(\alpha+1)=0$, but the latter is not possible.

By Theorem 3.9, $E(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$, and since $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$ is not singular nor small, for $(A, B, C) \in E(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$, one has $A \neq \pm B$, and moreover, the image of the subgroup $\langle A, B\rangle$ is a subfield subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, by Theorem 3.12, However, since the order of $A$ is exactly $\frac{q-1}{2}$ (respectively $\frac{q+1}{2}$ ) then the image of the subgroup $\langle A, B\rangle$ is exactly $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$.

Observe that $\frac{q-1}{2}$ and $\frac{q+1}{2}$ are relatively prime. Hence, if $A_{1}, A_{2} \in$ $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ have orders $\frac{q-1}{2}$ and $\frac{q+1}{2}$ respectively, then every two non-trivial powers $A_{1}^{i}$ and $A_{2}^{j}$ have different orders, thus

$$
\left\{g_{1} A_{1}^{i} g_{1}^{-1}\right\}_{g_{1}, i} \cap\left\{g_{2} A_{2}^{j} g_{2}^{-1}\right\}_{g_{2}, j}=\{1\}
$$

implying that $\Sigma\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma\left(A_{2}, B_{2}, C_{2}\right)=\{1\}$, as needed.
For smaller values of $q$, a computer calculation (using MAGMA) shows that $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure of type $((4,4,4),(7,7,7))$, $\operatorname{PSL}(2,9) \cong A_{6}$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure of type $((4,4,4),(5,5,5))$, and $\operatorname{PSL}(2,11)$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure of type $((5,5,5),(6,6,6))$.

Case $q=2^{e}$ even.
Let $q \geq 8$ be an even prime power, then we will construct an unmixed Beauville structure for $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q),\left(A_{1}, B_{1} ; A_{2}, B_{2}\right)$, of type $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\tau_{1}=(q-1, q-1, q-1) \text { and } \tau_{2}=(q+1, q+1, q+1)
$$

Let $r=q-1$ (respectively $r=q+1$ ), and note that $r>5$. Let $\alpha$ be a trace of some diagonal split (respectively non-split) element $A \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, q)=$ $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ of exact order $r$, and note that $\alpha \neq 0,1$, since $A$ is neither unipotent nor of order 3 (see Example (3.8). Then the claim follows as in the previous case by considering $(A, B, C) \in E(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$.

Observe that the above proof actually shows that the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ can admit many Beauville structures of various types. On the other hand, if the types are fixed then we can deduce the following.

Corollary 3.14. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $r, s>5$ be two relatively prime integers each of which divides either $\frac{p-1}{2}$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$ or $p$. Then $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure of type $((r, r, r),(s, s, s))$.

Proof. If each of $r$ and $s$ divides either $\frac{p-1}{2}$ or $\frac{p+1}{2}$ then the result follows from the proof of Theorem 1.4. Otherwise, if $r=p$ (or $s=p$ ) then it relies on Corollary 3.13 as well.
proof of Corollary 1.5. Without loss of generality we may assume that $s$ is odd. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem there exists a unique integer $0 \leq x<2 r s$ solving the system of simultaneous congruences

$$
x \equiv 1 \bmod 2 r, x \equiv-1 \bmod s
$$

Note that such $x$ necessarily satisfies that $r \left\lvert\, \frac{x-1}{2}\right.$ and $s \left\lvert\, \frac{x+1}{2}\right.$.
Moreover, by Dirichlet's Theorem, the arithmetic progression $A_{r, s}:=$ $\{2 r s n+x: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains infinitely many primes.

By Corollary 3.14 the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ admits an unmixed Beauville structure of type $((r, r, r),(s, s, s))$.

The following two Remarks explain why Theorem 1.2 fails to hold in its great generality for the family of groups $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$.

Remark 3.15. Note that unlike the case of Alternating groups, for the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$, the condition that $r$ and $s$ are relatively prime is also necessary.

Indeed, assume that $r$ and $s$ are not relatively prime, and let $d$ be a prime divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)$. Then either $d=p$, or $d$ divides $\frac{p-1}{2}$, or $d$ divides $\frac{p+1}{2}$.

Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two elements in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ of orders $r$ and $s$ respectively, and write $r=r^{\prime} d$ and $s=s^{\prime} d$. Assume that $d$ divides $\frac{p-1}{2}$ (or $\frac{p+1}{2}$ ), then $A_{1}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $A_{2}^{s^{\prime}}$ are both of exact order $d$, hence the cyclic subgroups $\left\langle A_{1}^{r^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle A_{2}^{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ are conjugate in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$, implying that there exist some integers $k, l$ such that $A_{1}^{r^{\prime} k}$ and $A_{2}^{s^{\prime} l}$ are conjugate to the same element of order $d$.

If $d=p$ then $A_{1}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $A_{2}^{s^{\prime}}$ are both of order $p$, and so they can be conjugated in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ to the image of some matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & a_{i} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, where $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. Since half the elements in $S:=\{k: 1 \leq k \leq p-1\}$ are squares in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ and half are non-squares, there exist $k, l \in S$ such that $A_{1}^{r^{\prime} k}$ and $A_{2}^{s^{\prime} l}$ are both conjugate in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ to the image of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Remark 3.16. Note that Corollary 3.14 does not hold for the family of groups $\left\{\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)\right\}_{p}$ prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$, since one cannot fix a hyperbolic type $(r, s, t)$ and hope that almost all groups $G=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$ where $r, s$ and $t$ all divide $|G|$, will be quotients of $\Delta(r, s, t)$.

Indeed, Macbeath [16, Theorem 8] proved that $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$ is a Hurwitz group, namely a quotient of $\Delta(2,3,7)$, if either $e=1$ and $p=0, \pm 1(\bmod 7)$, or $e=3$ and $p= \pm 2, \pm 3(\bmod 7)$. Recently, Marion [17] showed that this phenomenon occurs in general for any prime hyperbolic type. Namely, he showed that if $(r, s, t)$ is a hyperbolic triple of primes and $p$ is a prime number, then there exists a unique integer $e$ such that $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{e}\right)$ is a quotient of the triangle group $\Delta(r, s, t)$.

Interestingly, this situation is different for other families of groups of Lie type of low Lie rank (under the assumption that ( $r, s, t$ ) are not too small), as was shown in recent results of Marion [18, 19, which are detailed in Theorem 3.17 below.

### 3.3. Beauville Structures for Other Finite Simple Groups of Lie

 Type. In this section we prove Theorem 1.6 regarding certain families of finite simple groups of Lie type of low Lie rank. The proof is based on recent results of Marion [18, 19]. Moreover, we discuss some Conjectures on finite simple groups of Lie type in general.3.3.1. Beauville Structures for Finite Simple Groups of Low Lie Rank.

Theorem 3.17. [18, Theorems 1,2,4] and [19, Theorem 1]. Let $G$ be one of the finite simple groups of Lie type listed below, and let $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ be a hyperbolic triple of primes $p_{1} \leq p_{2} \leq p_{3}$, such that lcm $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ divides $|G|$, which, moreover, satisfy the conditions given bellow.
(1) Suzuki groups, $G={ }^{2} B_{2}(q)$, where $q=2^{2 e+1}$;
(2) Ree groups, $G={ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, where $q=3^{2 e+1}$;
(3) $G=G_{2}(q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime number $p>3$, and $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \notin\{(2,5,5),(3,3,5),(3,5,5),(5,5,5)\}$;
(4) $G={ }^{3} D_{4}(q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime number $p>3$, and $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ are distinct primes, s.t. $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\} \neq\{2,3\}$;
(5) $G=\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$, and $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ are odd primes;
(6) $G=\operatorname{PSU}(3, q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$, and $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ are odd primes.
Then, if $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\Delta, G)$ is a randomly chosen homomorphism from the triangle group $\Delta=\Delta\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ to $G$, then

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Prob}\{\phi \text { is surjective }\}=1 .
$$

Now we have all the ingredients needed for the proof of Theorem 1.6,
Proof of Theorem [1.6. (1) Let $G={ }^{2} B_{2}(q)$, where $q=2^{2 e+1}$, then

$$
|G|=q^{2}\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q-1) .
$$

Since $q^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$, there are at least two prime numbers, 5 and some $r>5$, which divide $|G|$. Indeed, $q-1 \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Moreover $q-1$ is not a power of 5 since $5 \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, but $q-1 \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. If $q$ is large enough, then, by Theorem 3.17, the two triangle groups, $\Delta(5,5,5)$ and $\Delta(r, r, r)$, surject onto $G$, and hence $G$ admits a Beauville structure of type $((5,5,5),(r, r, r))$.
(2) Let $G={ }^{2} G_{2}(q)$, where $q=3^{2 e+1}$, then

$$
|G|=q^{3}(q-1)\left(q^{3}+1\right) .
$$

Since $q^{3}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$, there are at least two odd prime numbers, 7 and some $r(7 \neq r>3)$, which divide $|G|$. Indeed, $q-1$ is not divisible by 3
nor by 4 . Moreover $q-1$ is not a power of 7 since $7 \equiv-1(\bmod 8)$, but $q-1 \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$. If $q$ is large enough, then, by Theorem 3.17, the two triangle groups, $\Delta(7,7,7)$ and $\Delta(r, r, r)$, surject onto $G$, and hence $G$ admits a Beauville structure of type $((7,7,7),(r, r, r))$.
(3) Let $G=G_{2}(q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime number $p>3$, then

$$
|G|=q^{6}(q-1)^{2}(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right),
$$

and so there are at least two distinct prime numbers, $r, s \geq 7$, which divide $|G|$. To see this, for example, notice that $q^{2}+q+1$ and $q^{2}-q+1$ are odd, coprime, and not divisible by 5 . So there exists a prime $r \geq 7$ that divides $|G|$. To find $s$ is enough to prove that $q^{2}+q+1$ or $q^{2}-q+1$ are not powers of 3 . For this is enough to prove that, if they are divisible by 3 , are not divisible by 9 . If $q^{2}+q+1$ is divisible by 3 , then $q \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, and $q^{2}+q+1 \equiv 3(\bmod 9)$. If $q^{2}-q+1$ is divisible by 3 , then $q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, and $q^{2}-q+1 \equiv 3(\bmod 9)$. If $q$ is large enough, then, by Theorem 3.17, the two triangle groups, $\Delta(r, r, r)$ and $\Delta(s, s, s)$, surject onto $G$, and hence $G$ admits a Beauville structure of type $((s, s, s),(r, r, r))$.
(4) Let $G={ }^{3} D_{4}(q)$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime number $p>3$, then

$$
|G|=q^{12}(q-1)^{2}(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{4}-q^{2}+1\right),
$$

and so there are at least six distinct primes, $p_{1}=2, p_{2}=3, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}, p_{6}$, which divide $|G|$. Indeed, we can choose for example $p_{3}=p$, and $p_{4}$ and $p_{5}$ as in (3). For $p_{6}$ it is enough to notice that $q^{4}-q^{2}+1$ is odd, not divisible by 3 , and coprime to $q^{2}+q+1$ and $q^{2}-q+1$. If $q$ is large enough, then, by Theorem 3.17, the two triangle groups, $\Delta\left(2, p_{3}, p_{5}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(3, p_{4}, p_{6}\right)$, surject onto $G$, and hence $G$ admits a Beauville structure of type $\left(\left(2, p_{3}, p_{5}\right),\left(3, p_{4}, p_{6}\right)\right)$.
(5) Let $G=\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)($ resp. $G=\operatorname{PSU}(3, q))$, where $q=p^{e}$ for some prime $p$, then

$$
|G|=\frac{1}{d} q^{3}(q-1)^{2}(q+1)\left(q^{2}+q+1\right),
$$

(resp. $\left.|G|=\frac{1}{d} q^{3}(q-1)(q+1)^{2}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)\right)$, where $d=1$ or 3 .
Hence, there are at least two distinct odd prime numbers, greater then 3 , $r$ and $s$, which divide $|G|$. Indeed, if $p=2$ and $e>1$ it is clear, if $p=3$ and $e>1$ then at least one between $(q-1)$ and $(q+1)$ is not a power of two, hence we can choose $r$, then proceed as in (3). Else take $r=p$ and proceed as in (3). If $q$ is large enough, then, by Theorem 3.17, the two triangle groups, $\Delta(r, r, r)$ and $\Delta(s, s, s)$, surject onto $G$, and hence $G$ admits a Beauville structure of type $((s, s, s),(r, r, r))$.
3.3.2. Conjectures on Finite Simple Classical Groups of Lie Type. Liebeck and Shalev raised the following Conjecture in [15] regarding finite simple classical groups of Lie type.

Conjecture 3.18 (Liebeck-Shalev). For any Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ there is an integer $f(\Gamma)$, such that if $G$ is a finite simple classical group of Lie rank
at least $f(\Gamma)$, then the probability that a randomly chosen homomorphism from $\Gamma$ to $G$ is an epimorphism tends to 1 as $|G| \rightarrow \infty$.

If this Conjecture holds, it immediately implies that any finite simple classical group $G$ of Lie rank large enough admits an unmixed Beauville structure. Indeed, let $s$ and $t$ be two distinct primes greater than 3 , then the triangle groups $\Delta(s, s, s)$ and $\Delta(t, t, t)$ will surject onto $G$, if $G$ is of Lie rank large enough, yielding a Beauville structure of type ( $(s, s, s),(t, t, t)$ ) for $G$. Moreover, this Conjecture inspired us to formulate Conjecture 1.7,
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