MULTIPLICITIES OF CLASSICAL VARIETIES

JACK JEFFRIES, JONATHAN MONTAÑO, AND MATTEO VARBARO

ABSTRACT. The j-multiplicity plays an important role in the intersection theory of Stückrad-Vogel cycles, while recent developments confirm the connections between the ε-multiplicity and equisingularity theory. In this paper we establish, under some constraints, a relationship between the j-multiplicity of an ideal and the degree of its fiber cone. As a consequence, we are able to compute the j-multiplicity of all the ideals defining rational normal scrolls. By using the standard monomial theory, we can also compute the j- and ε-multiplicity of ideals defining determinantal varieties: The found quantities are integrals which, quite surprisingly, are central in random matrix theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a long time, the development of the theory of multiplicities in local rings has been supplying essential techniques for the study of local algebra, intersection theory, and singularity theory in algebraic geometry. The Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity is defined for $\mathfrak{m}$-*primary* ideals I in a noetherian local or graded ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(I) &= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{s^{d-1}} \lambda(I^s/I^{s+1}) \\
&= \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{d!}{s^d} \lambda(R/I^{s+1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, the degree $e(R)$ of R is defined as $e(\mathfrak{m})$. Classically, the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity is used to define the intersection numbers for varieties. Other significant applications include Rees' criterion for integral dependence, and depth conditions for the associated graded algebras ([39, 40, 41]). However, the restriction to $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideals is a strong limit on the applicability of the techniques provided by the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity.

This led, in the 1993 paper [3] of Achilles and Manaresi, to the introduction of the j-multiplicity $j(I)$ of an ideal I (not necessarily $\mathfrak{m}$-primary) of a noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. This multiplicity provides a local algebraic

foundation to the intersection theory of Stückrad-Vogel cycles. Using j-multiplicity, many key results have had generalizations to all ideals including a numerical criterion for integral dependence [26], and depth conditions on the associated graded algebra [34, 35].

However, there is still an evident lack of examples of ideals whose j-multiplicity is known. The main tool to compute this invariant is a formula interpreting the j-multiplicity as the length of a suitable R-module, proved in various forms and levels of generality in [3, 27, 47]. Such a length-formula allowed Nishida and Ulrich to compute, in [38], the j-multiplicity of the ideals defining the rational normal curve of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and the rational normal scroll $\mathbb{P}(2,3) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^7$. There are two major limitations to this approach: the suitable R-module is defined in terms of "sufficiently general" elements in I, a condition which cannot be verified directly and dissolves any extra (e.g., combinatorial) structure that I might have. Also, determining lengths by computational methods such as computing Gröbner bases can be quite slow. An exception is monomial ideals of a polynomial ring (or normal affine toric ring) in d variables. In this case the first two authors of this paper could recently show in [30] that the j-multiplicity is the volume of a suitable polytopal complex in $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is much more amenable to quick computation than the length formula.

Another related multiplicity for an arbitrary ideal is the ε-multiplicity of an ideal $I \subseteq R$, which was first introduced by Ulrich and Validashti in [46] as a generalization of the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity. This multiplicity has close connections to volume of divisors and has found applications in equisingularity theory [32]. This invariant exhibits more mysterious behavior than j-multiplicity, and little is known about calculating it.

The main results of the present paper are:

(i) Theorem 3.1 (iii): If I is a homogeneous ideal of a standard graded domain A, such that I has maximal analytic spread ($= \dim(A)$), is generated in a single degree t and all its sth powers are saturated in degrees $\geqslant st$, then $j(I)$ is equal to the degree of the fiber cone of I multiplied by t.

(ii) Theorem 3.3: A closed formula for the j-multiplicity of the ideals defining any rational normal scroll V, depending only on the dimension and the codimension of V.

(iii) Theorem 6.1: A computation of the j-multiplicity of $I_t(X)$, the ε-multiplicity of $I_t(X)$, and the degree of the fiber cone of $I_t(X)$, where $I_t(X)$ denotes the ideal generated by the t-minors of a generic $m \times n$-matrix X. To give an idea, $j(I_t(X))$ is the integral of a symmetric polynomial over a hypersimplex in $\mathbb{R}^{\min\{m,n\}}$. Surprisingly, such a quantity has a precise meaning in random matrix theory. Similar results are provided for minors (resp. pfaffians) of generic symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) matrices, see Theorems 6.2 and 6.3.

Given an ideal I in a d-dimensional noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, its *j-multiplicity* is defined as:

$$j(I) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{s^{d-1}} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^s/I^{s+1})).$$

Such number is a nonnegative integer that agrees with the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity when I is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary (in which case I^s/I^{s+1} is already $\mathfrak{m}$-torsion). However one can show that $j(I) \neq 0$ if and only if the analytic spread of I is maximal, and this is the case in a much larger variety of situations than the $\mathfrak{m}$-primary case. The ε-*multiplicity* of an ideal $I \subseteq R$ is defined as

$$\varepsilon(I) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{d!}{s^d} \lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^{s+1})).$$

The ε-multiplicity has recently been shown by Cutkosky [17] to exist for any ideal in an analytically unramified ring; however, there are examples, e.g., [18], in which the ε-multiplicity is irrational. Of course, these two multiplicities can be defined for any homogeneous ideal I in a graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{k \geqslant 0} R_k$, where $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ is local and the role of $\mathfrak{m}$ will be played by $\mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{k>0} R_k)$ (in general we have to define the ε-multiplicity as a lim sup).

After a preliminary section recalling the basic facts about these multiplicities, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 3.1. Firstly we show that, if I is an ideal with maximal analytic spread, generated in a single degree t, of a standard graded domain A, then $j(I) = k \cdot e(\mathscr{F}(I))$ for some integer $k \geqslant t$, where $\mathscr{F}(I)$ denotes the fiber cone of I. In general k may be bigger than t, however we prove that, if

$$(\dagger) \qquad [(I^s)^{\mathrm{sat}}]_r = [I^s]_r \text{ for all } s \gg 0 \text{ and } r \geqslant st,$$

then $j(I) = t \cdot e(\mathscr{F}(I))$. Property $(\dagger)$ is rather strong; however, there are interesting classes of ideals satisfying it. For example, by using a result in [12], ideals defining rational normal scrolls satisfy $(\dagger)$. Furthermore, the degree of the fiber cone of such ideals can be computed combining results in [19] and [12], so we are able to compute, in Theorem 3.3, $j(I)$ for every ideal defining a rational normal scroll.

Other ideals satisfying $(\dagger)$ are ideals generated by the t-minors $I_t(X)$ of the $m \times n$ generic matrix X. We might apply Theorem 3.1 and deduce $j(I_t(X))$ from $e(\mathscr{F}(I_t(X)))$. The problem is that $\mathscr{F}(I_t(X))$ is the algebra of minors, denoted by A_t in [11], which is a quite subtle algebra; for example, to describe its defining equations is a very difficult open problem (see [13]). Also, $e(A_t)$ is unknown (problem (b) at the end of the introduction in [11]), therefore Theorem 3.1 is not decisive this time (apart from special cases — for example if $t = m - 1 = n - 1$ then A_t is a polynomial ring, so $j(I_t(X)) = t$ in this case). We note that the analytic spread of such ideals is maximal if and only if $t < \min\{m, n\}$: assume that $m \leqslant n$. If $t < m$, the dimension of the fiber cone of $I_t(X)$ is mn by [10, Proposition 10.16(b)], so $j(I_t(X))$ is a positive integer. If $t = m$, the fiber cone of $I_t(X)$ is the

coordinate ring of the Grassmannian of m-subspaces of an n-dimensional K-vector space, so its Krull dimension is $m(n-m)+1$; in particular the j-multiplicity in this case is 0.

We therefore aim to compute simultaneously the j-multiplicity and the ε-multiplicity of $I_t(X)$, exploiting the standard monomial theory and the description of the primary decomposition of the (integral closure of the) powers of $I_t(X)$. These facts are gathered in Section 4, where also the analog statements concerning symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices are treated. In Section 5, by capitalizing on the hook length formula, we express the number of standard tableaux in a fixed alphabet of a given shape (Young diagram) $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ as a polynomial function in $r_i = |\{j : a_j = i\}|$ (see Proposition 5.5). This allows us to compute $j(I_t(X))$ as an integral of a polynomial in a region of $\mathbb{R}^{\min\{m,n\}}$. With suitable expedients we are able to write it as (assuming that $m \leqslant n$):

$$(1.1) \qquad j(I_t(X)) = c \int\limits_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^2 \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

where $c = \frac{(nm)!}{(n-1)! \cdots (n-m)! m! \cdots 1!}$ (see Theorem 6.1 (i)). Analogous formulas are obtained for the ε-multiplicity and for the degree of the algebra of minors (Theorem 6.1 (ii) and (iii)).

The integral in (1.1) is surprisingly related to quantities in random matrix theory, as explained in Section 7. When $t = 1$, the exact value of the integral in (1.1) is known by unpublished work of Selberg (see [28] for a detailed account). However, since $I_1(X)$ is the irrelevant ideal, we knew a priori that $j(I_1(X)) = 1$. On the other hand, if $t > 1$, at the moment a closed formula for the exact value of the integral in (1.1) is unknown; at least, however, it is possible to get a N-variate power series whose coefficients are the values of the integrals over a simplex of the products of N fixed linear forms (see [6]). The integrand in (1.1) is a product of linear forms, and the region over which we integrate can be led back to a well studied polytope, a hypersimplex, which has many well-known triangulations (for example see [44, 45]). We can therefore apply the method of [6]. When $t = m - 1$ our region is already a simplex, so in this case the situation is simpler. These aspects are discussed in Section 7.

The analogs of the above results are also reported for t-minors of a generic symmetric matrix and $2t$-pfaffians of a generic skew-symmetric matrix.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section R will be a noetherian local ring and $\mathfrak{m}$ its unique maximal ideal, or an $\mathbb{N}$-graded noetherian ring $\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} R_i$ with $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ local and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{i>0} R_i)$. Given an ideal $I \subseteq R$ (homogeneous if R is graded), we will use throughout the following notation:

(i) $\mathscr{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{s \geqslant 0} I^s$, the *Rees ring*;
(ii) $\mathscr{G}(I) = \bigoplus_{s \geqslant 0} I^s/I^{s+1} = \mathscr{R}(I)/I\mathscr{R}(I)$, the *associated graded ring*;
(iii) $\mathscr{F}(I) = \bigoplus_{s \geqslant 0} I^s/\mathfrak{m}I^s = \mathscr{R}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathscr{R}(I) = \mathscr{G}(I)/\mathfrak{m}\mathscr{G}(I)$, the *fiber cone*.

The j-multiplicity of I can also be computed using the normal filtration $\{\overline{I^s}\}_{s \geqslant 0}$, which we will use to deal with the cases in which R has an exceptional characteristic w.r.t. the ideal I. For a proof of this statement, we will use the notion of j-multiplicity of an R-module M (graded in the graded setting).

The j-multiplicity of M with respect to I is defined as

$$j(I,M) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{s^{d-1}} \lambda \left(\mathrm{H}_0^{\mathfrak{m}}(I^s M/I^{s+1}M) \right)$$

and it is additive on short exact sequences; i.e., if

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0,$$

then $j(I,M) = j(I,M') + j(I,M'')$, see for example [38, Theorem 3.11].

Proposition 2.1. *If R is analytically unramified and $\dim(R) > 0$, then*

(a) $j(I) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \dfrac{(d-1)!}{s^{d-1}} \lambda \left(\left((\overline{I^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I^s} \right)/\overline{I^{s+1}} \right),$

(b) $\varepsilon(I) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \dfrac{d!}{s^d} \lambda \left(\left((\overline{I^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \right)/\overline{I^{s+1}} \right).$

Proof. (a) By [33, Corollary 9.2.1] there exists an integer $l \geqslant 1$ such that $\overline{I^{n+l}}$ is equal to $I^n \overline{I^l}$ for every $n \geqslant 0$. Now, the conclusion follows from the additivity of j-multiplicity in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{I^l} \to R \to R/\overline{I^l} \to 0,$$

and by noting that $j(I, R/\overline{I^l}) = 0$.

(b) This argument is similar to the one in [30, Theorem 5.1 part (b)]. We have the following two short exact sequences for every $s \geqslant l$:

$$0 \to \overline{I^s}/I^s \to R/I^s \to R/\overline{I^s} \to 0,$$

$$0 \to I^{s-l}/\overline{I^s} \to R/\overline{I^s} \to R/I^{s-l} \to 0.$$

Since $\lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(-) \right)$ is subadditive on short exact sequences, we obtain the following inequalities

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^s) \right) &\leqslant \lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(\overline{I^s}/I^s) \right) + \lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/\overline{I^s}) \right) \\ &\leqslant \lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(\overline{I^s}/I^s) \right) + \lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{s-l}) \right) + \lambda_R \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(I^{s-l}/\overline{I^s}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{I^s}/I^s)\big) \leqslant \lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^{s-l}/I^s)\big) \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{l-1}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^{s-l+i}/I^{s-l+i+1})\big).$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{I^s}/I^s)\big) \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{l-1}\limsup_{s\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^{s-l+i}/I^{s-l+i+1}))\big) = 0,$$

where the last equality holds by the definition of j-multiplicity. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\overline{I^s}/I^s)\big) = 0$. Similarly we can conclude using part (a) that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^{s-l}/\overline{I^s})\big) = 0$. Using these two limits in the two inequalities of (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.2)\quad\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{s\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^s)\big) &\leqslant \liminf_{s\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\overline{I^s})\big)\\
&\leqslant \limsup_{s\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\overline{I^s})\big)\\
&\leqslant \limsup_{s\to\infty}\frac{d!}{s^d}\lambda_R\big(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I^s)\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by [17, Corollary 11.3], the first $\liminf$ and last $\limsup$ in (2.2) agree (that is, the ε-multiplicity of I exists as a limit), so equality holds throughout. $\qquad\square$

The following lemma will be crucial to show the results in Section 6.

Lemma 2.2 (Integration Lemma). *Let f be a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[s,x_1,\ldots,x_d]$ of degree e and write*

$$f(s,x) = f_e(s,x) + f_{e-1}(s,x) + \cdots + f_0(s,x)$$

as a sum of homogeneous forms. Fix a positive integer k, a $d\times k$ matrix with real entries $(a_{ij})_{ij}$, a vector $(b_i)_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, two vectors $(p_j)_j, (q_j)_j \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, and three natural numbers $r < t < m$. For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$G(s) = \Big\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \,\big|\, \forall j = 1,\ldots,k,\ \sum a_{ij}x_i \leqslant p_j s + q_j, \sum b_i x_i \equiv ts + r\ (\mathrm{mod}\ m)\Big\}.$$

Then, denoting by $\mathscr{P}$ the region in $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by $\sum a_{ij}x_i \leqslant p_j$ for $j = 1,\ldots,k$,

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{m}{s^{d+e}}\sum_{x\in G(s)}f(s,x_1,\ldots,x_d) = \int_{\mathscr{P}}f_e(1,x_1,\ldots,x_d)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. Let Δ be a fundamental domain for the lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ defined as $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \sum b_i x_i \equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\ m)\}$, and for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$\mathscr{P}_s = \Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \,\big|\, \forall j = 1,\ldots,k,\ \sum a_{ij}x_i \leqslant p_j s + q_j\Big\}.$$

Pick some arbitrary $\alpha \in G(s)$, so that $G(s) = \mathscr{P}_s \cap (L + \alpha)$. Clearly $\frac{1}{s}\Delta$ is a fundamental domain for $\frac{1}{s}L$ with an associated tiling

$$\frac{1}{s}\big(L + \Delta\big) = \Big\{\frac{1}{s}(l + \Delta)\,|\,l \in L\Big\}.$$

This tiling intersected (element-by-element) with the region $\mathscr{P}$ forms a partition of $\mathscr{P}$ such that each nonempty element not intersecting the boundary of $\mathscr{P}$ contains exactly one element of $\frac{1}{s}G(s)$. Then,

$$
\lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{m}{s^{d+e}} \sum_{x\in G(s)} f(s,x)
$$

$$
= \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{m}{s^{d+e}} \sum_{x\in G(s)} \left(s^e f_e(1,x/s) + s^{e-1} f_{e-1}(1,x/s) + \cdots + f_0(1,x/s)\right)
$$

$$
= \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{m}{s^{d}} \sum_{x\in\frac{1}{s}G(s)} \left(f_e(1,x) + s^{-1} f_{e-1}(1,x) + \cdots + s^{-e} f_0(1,x)\right)
$$

$$
= \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{m}{s^{d}} \sum_{x\in\frac{1}{s}\mathscr{P}_s\cap\frac{1}{s}(L+\alpha)} \left(f_e(1,x) + s^{-1} f_{e-1}(1,x) + \cdots + s^{-e} f_0(1,x)\right)
$$

$$
= \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{m}{s^{d}} \sum_{x\in\mathscr{P}\cap\frac{1}{s}(L+\alpha)} \left(f_e(1,x) + s^{-1} f_{e-1}(1,x) + \cdots + s^{-e} f_0(1,x)\right)
$$

$$
= \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{m}{s^{d}} \sum_{x\in\mathscr{P}\cap\frac{1}{s}(L+\alpha)} f_e(1,x)
$$

$$
= \lim_{s\to\infty} \sum_{x\in\mathscr{P}\cap\frac{1}{s}(L+\alpha)} f_e(1,x)\,\mathrm{vol}\left(\frac{1}{s}\Delta\right)
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathscr{P}} f_e(1,x)\,\mathrm{d}x,
$$

where the last equality follows from noting that

$$
\sum_{x\in\mathscr{P}\cap\frac{1}{s}(L+\alpha)} f_e(1,x)\,\mathrm{vol}\left(\frac{1}{s}\Delta\right)
$$

is a Riemann sum for $f_e(1,x)$ on $\mathscr{P}$ with mesh equal to $\mathrm{diam}(\frac{1}{s}\Delta)$. $\qquad\square$

3. j-MULTIPLICITY AND DEGREE OF THE FIBER

This section includes a crucial result (Theorem 3.1) relating $j(I)$ and the degree of the fiber cone $e(\mathscr{F}(I))$ for peculiar ideals I. As a consequence we compute the j-multiplicity of any ideal defining a rational normal scroll.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A = \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0} A_k$ be a standard graded Noetherian domain over a field $K = A_0$, $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{k>0} A_k$, and I an ideal generated in a single degree $t \geqslant 1$ such that $\ell(I) = \dim A$. Then:*

(i) *$j(I)$ is a positive integer multiple of $e(\mathscr{F}(I))$;*
(ii) *$j(I) \geqslant t \cdot e(\mathscr{F}(I))$, and if equality holds, then $\mathscr{R}(I)_{\mathfrak{m}\mathscr{R}(I)}$ is a DVR;*
(iii) *If $[(I^s)^{\mathrm{sat}}]_r = [I^s]_r$ for all $s \gg 0$ and all $r \geqslant st$, then*

$$
j(I) = t \cdot e(\mathscr{F}(I)).
$$

Proof. While showing (ii) we will show also (i). Let $R = \mathscr{R}(I)$, $G = \mathscr{G}(I)$, and $F = \mathscr{F}(I)$ denote respectively the Rees algebra, the associated graded ring, and the fiber cone of I. Notice that for such I the ideal $\mathfrak{m}G$ is a full dimensional prime ideal of G. In particular, $G_{\mathfrak{m}G}$ is Artinian. Therefore, for $u \gg 0$ we have that $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G) = 0 :_G \mathfrak{m}^u G$ and $\mathfrak{m}^u G_{\mathfrak{m}G} = 0$. This implies that we have an isomorphism of $G_{\mathfrak{m}G}$-modules:

$$(3.1) \qquad \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)_{\mathfrak{m}G} \cong \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}G_{\mathfrak{m}G}}(G_{\mathfrak{m}G}) \cong G_{\mathfrak{m}G}.$$

Thus we obtain from the additivity formula for the multiplicity that

$$j(I) = e(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)) = e(F)\lambda(\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)_{\mathfrak{m}G}) = e(F)\lambda(G_{\mathfrak{m}G}).$$

The above equality yields (i). In order to get (ii) we need to show that $\lambda(G_{\mathfrak{m}G}) = \lambda(R_{\mathfrak{m}R}/IR_{\mathfrak{m}R}) \geqslant t$. For this consider the filtration

$$G_{\mathfrak{m}G} \supset \mathfrak{m}G_{\mathfrak{m}G} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 G_{\mathfrak{m}G} \supset \cdots.$$

By Nakayama's lemma, two consecutive ideals of this sequence are distinct unless equal to 0. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{m}^u G_{\mathfrak{m}G} = \mathfrak{m}^u(R_{\mathfrak{m}R}/IR_{\mathfrak{m}R}) \neq 0$ for $u < t$, since the ideal I is generated in degree t. Hence,

$$\lambda(G_{\mathfrak{m}G}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^i G_{\mathfrak{m}G}/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}G_{\mathfrak{m}G})$$
$$\geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \lambda(\mathfrak{m}^i G_{\mathfrak{m}G}/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1}G_{\mathfrak{m}G}) \geqslant t,$$

which shows the inequality. If $t \geqslant 2$ then $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$ and the equality forces $\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}R}$ to be a principal ideal and therefore $R_{\mathfrak{m}R}$ a DVR. In the case in which $t = 1$, the equality $j(I) = e(F)$ implies that $G_{\mathfrak{m}G}$ is a field, since its length is one. This implies $\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}R} = IR_{\mathfrak{m}R}$. By introducing an auxiliary variable z, write R as $\bigoplus_{k \geqslant 0} I^k z^k$. Take a minimal generator x of $\mathfrak{m}R$. Since xz does not belong to $\mathfrak{m}R$, we get $1/z = x \cdot 1/xz \in \mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}R}$. Now, for all $y \in IR$ we have $y = 1/z \cdot yz$, which proves that $\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}R}$ is principal.

To show (iii), we already have $j(I) \geqslant te(F)$, so we only need to show the other inequality. Let $V(I,s)$ be the K-vector space $((I^{s+1})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap I^s)/I^{s+1}$. The graded component in degree r of $V(I,s)$ is 0 for all $r < st$. If s is big enough, by assumption we also have

$$V(I,s)_r = 0, \quad \forall r \geqslant (s+1)t.$$

Then $V(I,s)$ is non-zero only in the t degrees $ts, ts+1, \ldots, ts+t-1$. Consider an element x of A of degree one, whence multiplication by x maps $V(I,s)_r$ injectively into $V(I,s)_{r+1}$ for all $r = ts, \ldots, ts+t-2$. We then have the following chain of inequalities:

$$\mathrm{rank}_K\left(V(I,s)_{ts}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{rank}_K\left(V(I,s)_{ts+1}\right) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mathrm{rank}_K\left(V(I,s)_{ts+t-1}\right).$$

Moreover, x multiplies $V(I,s)_{ts+t-1}$ injectively into $[I^{s+1}]_{(s+1)t}$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{rank}_K\left(V(I,s)_{ts+t-1}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{rank}_K[I^{s+1}]_{(s+1)t} = \mathrm{rank}_K(F_{s+1}),$$

the latter being equal to the Hilbert function of F evaluated at $s+1$. Taking the suitable limits, this implies at once that $j(I) \leqslant t \cdot e(F)$, as desired. $\quad\square$

The assumption that $[(I^s)^{\text{sat}}]_r = [I^s]_r$ is rather strong, but essential for the theorem as observed in the following example. In particular, the example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.1, (ii), does not hold.

Example 3.2. Let $R = k[x,y]$ and $I = (x^2, y^2)$. We have $\mathscr{F}(I) = k[x^2, y^2]$, so $e(\mathscr{F}(I)) = 1$. Then $t \cdot e(\mathscr{F}(I)) = 2$, but $j(I) = e(I) = 4$. Note that for all s we have $xy^{2s-1} \in [(I^s)^{\text{sat}}]_{2s} \setminus [I^s]_{2s}$.

We will see throughout the rest of the paper that the third point of the above theorem is a very powerful tool, as some good classes of ideals satisfy the hypotheses.

3.1. **The j-multiplicity of rational normal scrolls.** Given positive integers $a_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_d$, the associated d-dimensional rational normal scroll is the projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^N$, where $N = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i + d - 1$, defined by the ideal

$$I = I(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \subseteq K[x_{i,j} : i = 1, \ldots, d; j = 1, \ldots, a_i + 1]$$

generated by the 2-minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,a_1} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{2,a_2} & \cdots & x_{d,1} & \cdots & x_{d,a_d} \\ x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,a_1+1} & x_{2,2} & \cdots & x_{2,a_2+1} & \cdots & x_{d,2} & \cdots & x_{d,a_d+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [12, Corollary 3.9], it has been proved that for all positive integers s and $a_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_d$, the ideals I^s have a $2s$-linear free resolution. So, the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of I^s is $2s$ for any s, which implies

$$[(I^s)^{\text{sat}}]_r = [I^s]_r, \quad \forall r \geqslant 2s.$$

Furthermore, [12, Theorem 3.7(2)] implies that the Betti numbers $\beta_i(I^s)$ depend only on d, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i = c$, and s. In particular the Hilbert function of the fiber cone

$$\text{rank}_K(\mathscr{F}(I)_s) = \beta_0(I^s)$$

depends only on d and c. For fixed values of these invariants, there is a unique rational normal scroll associated to $b_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant b_d$, where $\sum_{i=1}^d b_i = c$ and $b_d \leqslant b_1 + 1$ (such a rational normal scroll is called balanced). The ideal $I(b_1, \ldots, b_d)$ can be expressed as the ideal generated by the 2-minors of a $2 \times c$ extended Hankel matrix of pace d and this fact allowed the authors of [19] to find a quadratic Gröbner basis for the ideal defining $\mathscr{F}(I(b_1, \ldots, b_d))$. As a consequence, they computed the dimension and the degree of the fiber cone of $I(b_1, \ldots, b_d)$ in [19, Corollary 4.2, Corollary 4.5]. Concluding, we infer:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_d$ be positive integers and put $c = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i$. Then the j-multiplicity of $I(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ is:*

$$
\begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } c < d+3, \\
2 \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} 2c-4 \\ c-2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2c-4 \\ c-1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & \text{if } c = d+3, \\
2 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=2}^{c-d-1} \begin{pmatrix} c+d-1 \\ c-j \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} c+d-1 \\ c-1 \end{pmatrix} (c-d-2) \right) & \text{if } c > d+3.
\end{cases}
$$

Example 3.4. From the theorem above, we have that

$$
j(I(4)) = j\left(I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 4,
$$

and

$$
j(I(3,2)) = j\left(I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_5 & x_6 \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_6 & x_7 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 10.
$$

Our values agree with those of Nishida and Ulrich in [38, Example 4.8], computed there for the same ideals by using the length formula.

4. STANDARD MONOMIAL THEORY

In the rest of the paper we will focus on the computation of the discussed multiplicities for determinantal ideals I. Such ideals satisfy the property of the third point in Theorem 3.1, but this time this is useless: the fiber cone of I is the algebra of minors discussed in [11], and it is quite subtle (for example to determine its defining equations seems a very difficult problem [13]). Also the degree of this algebra is unknown, as stated at the end of the introduction in [11]. For this reasons we will develop a technique allowing us to compute simultaneously the j-multiplicity and the ε-multiplicity of such ideals (thereby we will also get the degree of the algebras of minors).

In this section we are going to provide the necessary information on the structure of powers of the ideals generated by the minors or by the Pfaffians of the following matrices:

   (i) an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates X;
   (ii) an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix of indeterminates Y;
   (iii) an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates Z.

The first proofs of the results we are going to summarize were given in characteristic 0, where representation theoretic tools are available (see [21] for (i), [1] for (ii) and [2] for (iii)). For arbitrary fields one has to find new proofs, reformulating the statements in terms of *standard monomials*, which form a particular K-basis of the polynomial rings containing the above ideals. That the standard monomials form a K-basis was proved in [25] for (i), and in [23] for (ii) and (iii); how to use these tools to understand the powers of the ideals that we are interested in (regardless to the characteristic of the base field) was explained in [7] for (i) and in [20] for (iii). For (ii) we could not find any reference; however this case is completely

analogous to (i), and we will indicate the essential steps to prove the needed statements. Two excellent sources for the standard monomial theory are [22] and [10].

4.1. **The generic case.** Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$-matrix whose entries are indeterminates over K. A k-minor is the determinant of a $k \times k$-submatrix of X, and the usual notation for it is

$$[i_1, \ldots, i_k | j_1, \ldots, j_k] := \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{i_1, j_1} & \cdots & x_{i_1, j_k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_k, j_1} & \cdots & x_{i_k, j_k} \end{pmatrix} \in K[X],$$

so that $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ denote the rows of the minor and $j_1, \ldots, j_k$ the columns of the minor. For $t \leqslant \min\{m, n\}$ the algebraic variety of $\mathbb{A}_K^{mn}$ consisting of the $m \times n$ matrices of rank at most $t - 1$ is cut out by the prime ideal $I_t(X) \subseteq K[X]$ generated by the t-minors of X. To study these ideals it is convenient to consider the set of minors (of any size) with the following partial order:

$$[i_1, \ldots, i_k | j_1, \ldots, j_k] \leqslant [u_1, \ldots, u_h | v_1, \ldots, v_h] \iff$$
$$k \geqslant h, \; i_q \leqslant u_q, \; j_q \leqslant v_q \; \forall \, q \in \{1, \ldots, h\}.$$

A *standard monomial* is a product of minors $\delta_1 \cdots \delta_p$ such that $\delta_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \delta_p$. It turns out that the standard monomials form a K-basis for $K[X]$. If Δ is the product of minors $\delta_1 \cdots \delta_p$ where δ_i is an a_i-minor, then the vector $(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ is referred to be the *shape* of Δ.

It is customary to associate to a standard monomial a pair of tableaux. Recall that a *Young diagram* is a collection of boxes aligned in rows and columns, starting from the left in each row, such that the number of boxes in row i is not less than the number of boxes in row $i + 1$. A *Young tableau (on n)* is a Young diagram filled in with numbers in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. A Young tableau is called *standard* if the filling is such that the entries in each row are strictly increasing and the entries in each column are nondecreasing. The *shape* of a tableau or diagram is the list $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$, where a_i is the number of boxes in row i. We assign to each standard monomial $\Delta = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_p$ a pair of tableaux as follows: for the diagram of each, use the diagram with the same shape as Δ. In the first diagram, in row i, list the rows of δ_i. In the second diagram, in row i, list the columns of δ_i. For example,

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \;, \; \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \right) \; \leftrightarrow \; [1, 3, 4 | 2, 3, 5] \cdot [3 | 2].$$

The condition that the product of minors Δ is a standard monomial is equivalent to the labelling of the diagrams to form standard tableaux.

The good news is that to detect if Δ belongs to the symbolic powers or to (the integral closure of) the ordinary powers of $I_t(X)$ it is enough to look at the shape of Δ. Precisely, by [10, Theorem 10.4] we have:

Proposition 4.1. *With the above notation:*

$$\Delta \in I_t(X)^{(r)} \iff a_i \leqslant \min\{m,n\} \ \forall \ i \quad and \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \max\{0, a_i - t + 1\} \geqslant r.$$

Furthermore, by [7, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3]:

Theorem 4.2. *If* $\operatorname{char}(K) = 0$ *or* $> \min\{t, m - t, n - t\}$, *then* $I_t(X)^s$ *has primary decomposition:*

$$I_t(X)^s = \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} I_j(X)^{((t-j+1)s)}.$$

In arbitrary characteristic such a decomposition holds true for integral closures:

$$\overline{I_t(X)^s} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} I_j(X)^{((t-j+1)s)}.$$

4.2. **The symmetric case.** Let $Y = (y_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix (meaning $y_{ij} = y_{ji}$) whose entries are indeterminates over K. A standard monomial theory is available also in this situation, and is useful for studying the prime ideal $I_t(Y) \subseteq K[Y]$ defining the locus of symmetric matrices of rank at most $t - 1$: The minors are denoted as before. A minor $[i_1, \ldots, i_k | j_1, \ldots, j_k]$ is called a *doset minor* if $i_q \leqslant j_q$ for all $q = 1, \ldots, k$. In the symmetric case the doset minors are the only relevant ones (the doset minors of size t are already enough to generate $I_t(Y)$), and they naturally form a poset by the following order:

$$[i_1, \ldots, i_k | j_1, \ldots, j_k] \leqslant [u_1, \ldots, u_h | v_1, \ldots, v_h] \iff$$
$$k \geqslant h, \ j_q \leqslant u_q \ \forall \ q \in \{1, \ldots, h\}.$$

Again, a product of doset minors $\Delta = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_p$ is a standard monomial if $\delta_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \delta_p$, and the standard monomials form a K-basis of $K[Y]$. If the shape of Δ, defined as before, is the vector $(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$, similarly to the generic case we have:

Proposition 4.3. *With the above notation we have:*

$$\Delta \in I_t(Y)^{(r)} \iff a_i \leqslant n \ \forall \ i \quad and \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \max\{0, a_i - t + 1\} \geqslant r.$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of [10, Lemma 10.3], one shows that y_{nn} is a nonzero divisor for $K[Y]/J(t,r)$, where $J(t,r)$ is the ideal generated by the products of doset minors whose shape $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ satisfies the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \max\{0, a_i - t + 1\} \geqslant r$. At this point we can exploit the "localization trick" at y_{nn} and apply the induction on t to show $J(t,r) = I_t(Y)^{(r)}$, as explained before [10, Lemma 10.3]. □

Also in the symmetric case we have a nice primary decomposition for the powers, namely:

Theorem 4.4. *If* $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ *or* $> \min\{t, n-t\}$, *then* $I_t(Y)^s$ *has primary decomposition:*

$$I_t(Y)^s = \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} I_j(Y)^{((t-j+1)s)}.$$

In arbitrary characteristic such a decomposition holds true for integral closures:

$$\overline{I_t(Y)^s} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} I_j(Y)^{((t-j+1)s)}.$$

Proof. The first part can be derived as in [10, Corollary 10.13], the second as in the proof of [7, Theorem 1.3] (it is enough to specialize to symmetric matrices the equations of [7, Lemma 1.4] and [7, Lemma 1.5]). □

As in the generic case, we can identify standard monomials with Young tableaux. In this case however, the restriction to doset minors and the more stringent partial ordering make the appropriate object to identify with a standard monomial a single tableau, as opposed to a pair in the generic case. Specifically, if a standard monomial $\Delta = \delta_1 \delta_2 \cdots \delta_p$ has shape $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p)$, we associate a diagram D of shape $(a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2, \ldots, a_p, a_p)$. The rows of δ_i are listed in row $2i-1$ of D, and the columns of δ_i are listed in row $2i$ of D. For example,

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\\hline 2 & 4 & 5 \\\hline 2 \\\cline{1-1} 4 \\\cline{1-1}\end{array} \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad [1,3,4|2,4,5] \cdot [2|4].$$

4.3. The alternating case.

Now let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix ($z_{ij} = -z_{ji}$) whose entries are indeterminates over K. Here the situation is a bit different by the previous ones, since the ideal $I_t(Z)$ is not radical. This is because any minor of the form $[i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}|i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}]$ is a square of a polynomial, namely its *Pfaffian*, which we will simply denote by $[i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}]$. Indeed the locus of the alternating matrices of rank at most $2t - 2$ is the same as the locus of the alternating matrices of rank at most $2t - 1$, which is defined by the prime ideal $P_{2t}(Z) \subseteq K[Z]$ generated by all the $2t$-Pfaffians $[i_1, \ldots, i_{2t}]$. We can equip the set of Pfaffians with the following partial order:

$$[i_1, \ldots, i_{2k}] \leqslant [u_1, \ldots, u_{2h}] \iff$$
$$k \geqslant h, \; i_q \leqslant u_q \; \forall \, q \in \{1, \ldots, 2h\}.$$

Once again, a product of Pfaffians $\Delta = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_p$ is a standard monomial if $\delta_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \delta_p$, and these standard monomials are a K-basis of $K[Z]$. The shape of Δ will be the vector $(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p$ where δ_i is a $2a_i$-Pfaffian. By [20, Theorem 2.1] we have:

Proposition 4.5. *With the above notation:*

$$\Delta \in P_{2t}(Z)^{(r)} \iff a_i \leqslant \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \ \forall \ i \quad and \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \max\{0, a_i - t + 1\} \geqslant r.$$

Furthermore, by [20, Proposition 2.6] (for the second part of the statement see the comment below [20, Proposition 2.6]):

Theorem 4.6. *If* $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ *or* $> \min\{2t, n - 2t\}$, *then* $P_{2t}(Z)^s$ *has primary decomposition:*

$$P_{2t}(Z)^s = \bigcap_{j=r}^{t} P_{2j}(Z)^{((t-j+1)s)} \quad where \quad r = \max\{1, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - s(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - t)\}.$$

In arbitrary characteristic such a decomposition holds true for integral closures:

$$\overline{P_{2t}(Z)^s} = \bigcap_{j=r}^{t} P_{2j}(Z)^{((t-j+1)s)} \quad where \quad r = \max\{1, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - s(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - t)\}.$$

As above, we associate to each Pfaffian a tableau: to a Pfaffian of shape $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ we associate the tableau of shape $(2a_1, \ldots, 2a_p)$ in which the ith row lists the rows of the ith minor.

5. COUNTING YOUNG TABLEAUX

Proposition 5.1 (The Diagram Criterion, Generic Case)**.** *Let X be a matrix of generic indeterminates. A standard monomial of shape* $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ *belongs to*

$$(\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \setminus \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if its shape satisfies the conditions

1) $a_i \leqslant m$ *for all i,*
2a) $\sum a_i < t(s+1)$, *and*
3) $p \leqslant \sum a_i - (t-1)(s+1)$.

A standard monomial belongs to

$$\left((\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I_t(X)^s} \right) \setminus \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if its shape satisfies the conditions (1) & (3) above plus the condition

2b) $ts \leqslant \sum a_i < t(s+1)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we have

$$(\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \setminus \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}} = \bigcap_{j=2}^{t} I_j(X)^{((t-j+1)(s+1))} \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} I_j(X)^{((t-j+1)(s+1))}.$$

Applying Theorem 4.1, a standard monomial is in this set if and only if its shape satisfies the conditions

1) $a_i \leqslant m$ for all i,

2a) $\sum a_i < t(s+1)$, and

3') $\sum \max\{0, a_i - j + 1\} \geqslant (t - j + 1)(s + 1)$ for all $j = 2, \ldots, t$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, m$, let r_j denote $|\{i : a_i = j\}|$. We compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \max\{0, a_i - j + 1\} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-(r_1+\cdots+r_{j-1})} a_i - (j-1)(p - (r_1 + \cdots + r_{j-1}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i - (r_1 + 2r_2 + \cdots + (j-1)r_{j-1}) - (j-1)(p - (r_1 + \cdots + r_{j-1}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i - (j-1)p + (j-2)r_1 + (j-3)r_2 + \cdots + r_{j-2}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \max\{0, a_i - j + 1\} \geqslant (t - j + 1)(s + 1) \qquad \text{for all } j = 2, \ldots, t$$

if and only if

$$p \leqslant s + 1 + \min_{2 \leqslant j \leqslant t} \left(\frac{\sum a_i - t(s+1)}{j-1} + \frac{(j-2)r_1 + (j-3)r_2 + \cdots + r_{j-2}}{j-1} \right).$$

Since the first fraction above is negative and the second positive, the minimum is achieved for $j = 2$. This shows that condition (3') can be replaced by (3).

For the second statement we note that a standard monomial is in

$$\left((\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I_t(X)^s} \right) \setminus \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if it is in

$$(\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \setminus \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}}$$

and in $I_1(X)^{(ts)}$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad\square$

There are nearly identical characterizations in the symmetric and Pfaffian cases:

Proposition 5.2 (The Diagram Criterion, Symmetric Case). *Let Y be a generic symmetric matrix of indeterminates. A standard monomial M of shape $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p)$ belongs to*

$$(\overline{I_t(Y)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \setminus \overline{I_t(Y)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if conditions (1), (2a), and (3) of Proposition 5.1 hold for

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_p);$$

a such standard monomial corresponds to a diagram, the shape of which is $(a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2, \ldots, a_p, a_p)$. The monomial M belongs to

$$\left((\overline{I_t(Y)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I_t(Y)^s} \right) \setminus \overline{I_t(Y)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if conditions (1), (2b), and (3) of Proposition 5.1 hold for the same $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$.

Proposition 5.3 (The Diagram Criterion, Pfaffian Case). *Let Z be a generic antisymmetric matrix of indeterminates. A standard monomial M of shape $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p)$ belongs to*

$$(\overline{P_{2t}(Z)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \setminus \overline{P_{2t}(Z)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if conditions (1), (2a), and (3) of Proposition 5.1 hold for

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p),$$

with $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$; a such standard monomial represents a diagram of shape $(2a_1, \ldots, 2a_p)$. The monomial M belongs to

$$\left((\overline{P_{2t}(Z)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{P_{2t}(Z)^s}\right) \setminus \overline{P_{2t}(Z)^{s+1}}$$

if and only if conditions (1), (2b), and (3) of Proposition 5.1 hold for the same $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ with $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The number of standard tableaux on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of shape $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ is the dimension of the irreducible (if $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$) $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$-representation associated to $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$. This dimension can be computed by the hook-length formula; however, for our aims it is convenient to have a polynomial formula in suitable data characterizing the given shape, while the hook-length formula is certainly not polynomial in nature.

Remark 5.4. The notation we are using are dual to the standard ones used in representation theory: for example, with our conventions, to the diagram (t) would correspond $\bigwedge^t K^n$.

To this goal, we set r_i to be the number of rows of the diagram $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ with exactly i boxes. If we deal with diagrams with at most m columns, then the diagram will be determined by $r_1, \ldots, r_m$. The next proposition provides a polynomial formula for the number of standard tableaux on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of shape $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ in terms of the r_i. Such a quantity will be denoted by $W_n(r_1, \ldots, r_m)$, and in particular it will be a (inhomogeneous) polynomial of degree $m(n-m) + \binom{m}{2}$.

Proposition 5.5. *For all $i = 1, \ldots, m$, set $B_i = r_m + \cdots + r_{m-i+1}$. Then:*

$$W_n(r_1, \ldots, r_m) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (B_i + i) \cdots (B_i + i + n - m - 1) \cdot \prod_{i<j}(B_j - B_i + j - i)}{(n-1)!(n-2)! \cdots (n-m)!}.$$

Proof. The proof is an application of Stanley's hook length formula [43].

We will divide the diagram in $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ regions $R_{a,b}$, for all $1 \leqslant a \leqslant m$ and $1 \leqslant b \leqslant (m+1-a)$. The boxes of $R_{a,b}$ are the ones (i,b) such that $B_{a-1} + 1 \leqslant i \leqslant B_a$ where $B_0 = 0$.

The factors in the hook length formula corresponding to the boxes in the region $R_{a,b}$ are:

$$\prod_{i=B_{a-1}+1}^{B_a} \frac{n-b+i}{(m+2-a-b) + B_{m+1-b} - i}.$$

Now we multiply together the factors corresponding to a fixed b, that is to a fix column:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{B_1} \frac{n-b+i}{(m+1-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i} \cdot \prod_{i=B_1+1}^{B_2} \frac{n-b+i}{(m-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i}$$

$$\cdots \prod_{i=B_{m-b}+1}^{B_{m+1}-b} \frac{n-b+i}{1+B_{m+1-b}-i}.$$

Now, we can rearrange these factors to obtain:

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{B_{m+1-b}} \frac{n-b+i}{(m+1-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i} \cdot \prod_{i=B_1+1}^{B_{m+1-b}} \frac{(m+1-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i}{(m-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i}$$

$$\prod_{i=B_2+1}^{B_{m+1-b}} \frac{(m-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i}{(m-1-b)+B_{m+1-b}-i} \cdots \prod_{i=B_{m-b}+1}^{B_{m+1-b}} \frac{2+B_{m+1-b}-i}{1+B_{m+1-b}-i}.$$

Most of these terms cancel and this product is equal to:

$$= \frac{(n-b+B_{m+1-b})\dots(m+1-b+B_{m+1-b})}{(n-b)\cdots(m+1-b)} \cdot \frac{m-b+B_{m+1-b}-B_1}{m-b} \cdot$$

$$\frac{m-1-b+B_{m+1-b}-B_2}{m-1-b} \cdots \frac{1+B_{m+1-b}-B_{m-b}}{1}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=m+1-b}^{n-b}(i+B_{m+1-b}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m-b}\left((m+1-b-i)+B_{m+1-b}-B_i\right)}{(n-b)!}.$$

Multiplying this last expression over all b we obtain the desired conclusion. $\qquad\square$

6. Multiplicities of determinantal varieties

In this section we give expressions for the j-multiplicity, the ε-multiplicity, and the multiplicity of the fiber cone of any determinantal ideal of generic, generic symmetric, and generic skew-symmetric matrices.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a generic $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates, t an integer with $0 < t < m$, and set*

$$c = \frac{(nm)!}{(n-1)!(n-2)!\cdots(n-m)! \cdot m!(m-1)!\cdots 1!}.$$

Then

(i)

$$j(I_t(X)) = c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^2 \, \mathrm{d}z;$$

(ii)

$$\varepsilon(I_t(X)) = c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \max_i\{z_i\}+t-1\leqslant\sum z\leqslant t}} (z_1\cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^2 \, dz;$$

(iii)

$$e(A_t(X)) = \frac{c}{t} \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z\leqslant t}} (z_1\cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^2 \, dz,$$

where $A_t(X)$ is the algebra of minors $\mathscr{F}(I_t(X))$.

Proof. For (i), by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$j(I_t(X)) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{(d-1)!}{s^{d-1}} \lambda\left(\left((\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I_t(X)^s} \right) / \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}} \right).$$

We compute the length

$$\ell_t(s) := \lambda\left(\left((\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I_t(X)^s} \right) / \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}} \right)$$

by counting the number of standard monomials in

$$\left((\overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}})^{\mathrm{sat}} \cap \overline{I_t(X)^s} \right) \setminus \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}}.$$

Write $G_t(s)$ for the set of diagrams corresponding to these standard monomials. As in the discussion before Proposition 5.5, for a standard monomial denote by r_i the number of rows in the diagram associated to it. The condition in Proposition 5.1 can be expressed in terms of the numbers r_i. Set

$$k = \sum a_i - ts = mr_m + (m-1)r_{m-1} + \cdots + r_1 - ts.$$

A diagram belongs to $G_t(s)$ if and only if

1) $r_i = 0, \forall i > m$
2b) $0 \leqslant k < t$
3) $r_m + r_{m-1} + \cdots + r_1 \leqslant s - t + 1 + k$,

which can be rewritten as

$$0 \leqslant k < t$$
$$(m-1)r_{m-1} + (m-2)r_{m-2} + \cdots + r_1 \leqslant st + k$$
$$(m-1)r_{m-1} + (m-2)r_{m-2} + \cdots + r_1 \equiv st + k \,(\mathrm{mod}\ m)$$
$$r_{m-1} + 2r_{m-2} + \cdots + (m-1)r_1 \leqslant s(m-t) + m(1-t) + k(m-1).$$

Then,

$$\ell_t(s) = \sum_{(r_{m-1},\ldots,r_1)\in G_t(s)} W_m(r_1,\ldots,r_m)W_n(r_1,\ldots,r_m),$$

with $r_m = \frac{1}{m}(st + k - (m-1)r_{m-1} - \cdots - r_1)$. We may now apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{\ell_t(s)}{s^{mn-1}} = \frac{t}{m} \int_{\mathscr{P}} \frac{(B_1\cdots B_m)^{n-m} \prod_{i<j}(B_j - B_i)^2}{(n-1)!\cdots(n-m)!\cdot(m-1)!\cdots 1!} \, dr_1 \cdots dr_{m-1},$$

for $B_k = r_m + \cdots + r_{m-k+1}$, and $\mathscr{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ defined by the inequalities

$$r_i \geqslant 0$$
$$(m-1)r_{m-1} + (m-2)r_{m-2} + \cdots + r_1 \leqslant t$$
$$r_{m-1} + 2r_{m-2} + \cdots + (m-1)r_1 \leqslant m-t,$$

and $r_m = \frac{1}{m}(t - (m-1)r_{m-1} - \cdots - r_1)$. Then, applying the change of variables $z_i = B_i$, for $i = \{1, \ldots, m\}$, one has

$$j(I_t(X)) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{(nm-1)! \ell_t(s)}{s^{mn-1}}$$

$$= t(nm-1)! \int_{\mathscr{R}} \frac{(z_1 \cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j)^2}{(n-1)! \cdots (n-m)! \cdot (m-1)! \cdots 1!} \, d\nu,$$

where $\mathscr{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the region given by

$$0 \leqslant z_1 \leqslant z_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant z_{m-1} \leqslant z_m \leqslant 1$$
$$z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_{m-1} + z_m = t.$$

and ν is the measure on the affine subspace $\sum z = t$ such that $\pi_* \nu$ is Lebesgue measure, where π is projection onto one of the coordinate hyperplanes. Using the fact that the integrand is symmetric under permutation of the variables, we obtain the formula

$$(6.1) \qquad j(I_t(X)) = \frac{ct}{nm} \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z = t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^2 \, d\nu.$$

Note that $f(z) = (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m}(z_j - z_i)^2$ is homogeneous of degree $m(n-1)$, and that the product measure of ν (for any real value of t) and standard Lebesgue measure on a line is standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^m$. We then have

$$c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} f(z) \, dz = c \int_0^t \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z = s}} f(z) \, d\nu \, ds$$

$$= c \int_0^t \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z = s}} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{m(n-1)} f\left(\frac{t}{s} z\right) d\nu \, ds = c \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{m(n-1)} \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z = t}} f(z) \, d\left(\nu \cdot \frac{s}{t}\right) ds$$

$$= c \int_0^t \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{m(n-1)+(m-1)} \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z = t}} f(z) \, d\nu \, ds = \frac{ct}{mn} \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z = t}} f(z) \, d\nu,$$

thus obtaining the form in the statement.

For (ii), one proceeds as above, up through (6.1), using the condition in Proposition 5.1 for a standard monomial to belong to $\overline{(I_t(X)^{s+1})}^{\text{sat}} / \overline{I_t(X)^{s+1}}$.

For (iii), we apply part (i) and Theorem 3.1,(iii). $\qquad \square$

We have analogous statements for the symmetric and skew-symmetric cases, the proofs of which proceed along the same lines as above.

Theorem 6.2. *Let Y be a generic symmetric $n \times n$ matrix of indeterminates, t an integer with $0 < t < n$, and set*

$$c = \frac{2^{\binom{n}{2}} \binom{n+1}{2}!}{n!(n-1)!(n-2)! \cdots 1!}.$$

Then

(i)

$$j(I_t(Y)) = c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^n \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} |z_j - z_i| \, dz;$$

(ii)

$$\varepsilon(I_t(Y)) = c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^n \\ \max_i\{z_i\}+t-1 \leqslant \sum z \leqslant t}} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} |z_j - z_i| \, dz;$$

(iii)

$$e(A_t(Y)) = \frac{c}{t} \int_{\substack{[0,1]^n \\ \sum z = t}} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} |z_j - z_i| \, dz,$$

where $A_t(Y)$ is the algebra of minors $\mathscr{F}(I_t(Y))$.

Proof. The diagrams in this case have an even number of rows of each size. So if we denote by $2r_i$ the number of rows of length i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, the conditions in Proposition 5.2 can be written in terms of the r_i as in the proof of Theorem 6.1. Now, we apply Proposition 5.5 to count the number of tableaux, we compute $W_n(2r_1, \ldots, 2r_n)$ whose leading term equals the one of $2^{\binom{n}{2}} W_n(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$. The rest of the proof follows as in Theorem 6.1. $\square$

Theorem 6.3. *Let Z be a generic skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix of indeterminates. Set $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Let t an integer with $0 < t < m$ and put*

$$c = \frac{\binom{n}{2}!}{m!(n-1)!(n-2)! \cdots 1!}$$

Also, set $\delta(n)$ to be 0 if n is even and 1 otherwise. Then

(i)

$$j(P_{2t}(Z)) = c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{2\delta(n)} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^4 \, dz;$$

(ii)

$$\varepsilon(P_{2t}(Z)) = c \int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \max_i\{z_i\}+t-1 \leqslant \sum z \leqslant t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{2\delta(n)} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^4 \, dz;$$

(iii)

$$e(A_t(Z)) = \frac{c}{t} \int\limits_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{2\delta(n)} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (z_j - z_i)^4 \, dz,$$

where $A_t(X)$ is the algebra of pfaffians $\mathscr{F}(P_{2t}(X))$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the previous two theorems. In this case the diagrams only have rows with even size, then we compute using $W_n(0, r_1, 0, r_2, 0, \ldots, 0, r_m)$ if n is even or $W_n(0, r_1, 0, r_2, 0, \ldots, 0, r_m, 0)$ if n is odd. When $n = 2m$ is even, in the notation of Proposition 5.5, write

$$W_{2m}(0, r_1, 0, r_2, \ldots, 0, r_m) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2m} \left((B_j - B_i) - (j - i) \right)}{(n-1)! \cdots 1!}$$

$$(\star) \qquad = \frac{1}{(n-1)! \cdots 1!} \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} \Big(\big((B_{2j-1} - B_{2i}) - (2j - 2i - 1) \big)$$

$$\big((B_{2j} - B_{2i}) - (2j - 2i) \big) \big((B_{2j-1} - B_{2i-1}) - (2j - 2i) \big)$$

$$\big((B_{2j} - B_{2i-1}) - (2j - 2i + 1) \big) \Big) \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \big(B_{2i} - B_{2i-1} + 1 \big).$$

Since $B_{2i} = B_{2i-1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, the leading term in $(\star)$ is

$$\frac{\prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (B_{2j} - B_{2i})^4}{(n-1)! \cdots 1!}.$$

If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, an extra factor of

$$\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \big((B_{2m+1} - B_{2i}) - (2m - 2i + 1) \big) \big((B_{2m+1} - B_{2i-1}) - (2j - 2i + 2) \big)$$

occurs in equation $(\star)$, and since $B_{2m+1} = 0$, the leading term in this case is

$$\frac{(B_2 B_4 \cdots B_{2m})^2 \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m} (B_{2j} - B_{2i})^4}{(n-1)! \cdots 1!}.$$

The rest of the proof follows as in Theorem 6.1 using Proposition 5.3 and setting $z_i = B_{2i}$. $\qquad\square$

Example 6.4. In Table 1, we present some values of $j(I_t(X))$ and $\varepsilon(I_t(X))$ for small t, m, and n using Theorem 6.1. To compute the multiplicties of larger determinantal varieties via Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3, there are very good specific programs to evaluate integrals of polynomial functions over rational polytopes: LattE [24] (actually the new version LattE integrale) or Normaliz [9] (via the package NmzIntegrate). The algorithms used in these programs are explained, respectively, in the papers [6] and [8].

TABLE 1. Some Values of $j(I_t(X))$ and $\varepsilon(I_t(X))$

t	m	n	$j(I_t(X))$	$\varepsilon(I_t(X))$
2	3	3	2	$1/2$
2	3	4	64	$341/2^4$
2	3	5	1192	$62289/2^7$
2	3	6	17236	$4195559/2^9$
2	4	4	4768	$3083075805403/(2^5 3^{16})$
2	4	5	178368	$23105111807356501/(2^6 3^{20})$
2	4	6	4888048	$473933178352459959683/(2^9 3^{24})$
3	4	4	3	$2827/3^{13}$
3	4	5	2853	$285643307/3^{17}$
3	4	6	368643747	$157895452067/3^{17}$
4	5	5	4	$140911/2^{42}$
4	5	6	130496	$11932597480793/2^{53}$

7. THE INTEGRAL

In this section, we briefly discuss a few aspects of the integrals appearing in Section 6: their meaning in random matrix theory, methods of evaluating them, and a closed formula in the case $t = m - 1$ of Theorem 6.1.

To the first end, we apply a well-known transformation of Hua [31, Section 3.3]: Let

$$\mathscr{D} = \{W \mid W \text{ is } m \times m \text{ Hermitian}, W \text{ and } 1 - W \text{ are positive definite}\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\substack{[0,1]^m \\ \sum z \leqslant t}} (z_1 \cdots z_m)^{n-m} \prod_{i<j}(z_j - z_i)^2 \, dz = \frac{(m-1)! \cdots 1!}{(2\pi)^{\binom{m}{2}}} \int_{\substack{W \in \mathscr{D} \\ \mathrm{tr}(W) \leqslant t}} \left(\det(W)\right)^{n-m} dw$$

where dw is the product of differentials over all real and imaginary components of entries of W. Thus, if W is a random Hermitian matrix with W and $1 - W$ positive definite, with distribution proportional to $\left(\det(W)\right)^{n-m}$, the integral above is proportional to the probability that $\mathrm{tr}(W) \leqslant t$.

These and other related integrals have received considerable interest recently, see [28] for a survey of some of this activity. No closed forms for the integrals above are known in general. However, the case $t = 1$ was settled in somewhat greater generality than the above by unpublished work of Selberg, and by Askey and Richards [5]. For $t = 1$, we have $I_1(X)$ is the homogeneous maximal ideal of the polynomial ring $K[X]$, so that

$$j(I_1(X)) = \varepsilon(I_1(X)) = e(A_1(X)) = 1.$$

We obtain as a corollary of Theorem 6.1 the following special case of the main theorem of [42] (Selberg's Integral):

Corollary 7.1.

$$\int\limits_{\substack{z_1\dots,z_m\geqslant 0 \\ \sum z\leqslant 1}} (z_1\cdots z_m)^{n-m}\prod_{i<j}(z_j-z_i)^2\,\mathrm{d}z = \frac{(n-1)!\cdots(n-m)!\cdot m!\cdots 1!}{(nm)!}.$$

Other special cases of Selberg's integral are obtained from the case $t = 1$ in Theorems 6.2, and 6.3.

In [6], Baldoni et al. prove a nice method to integrate a product of linear forms over a simplex. The integrands in Theorems 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3 are products of linear forms, and the regions of integration in the integral formulas for j-multiplicity and for the degree of the fiber cone in the theorems above can be led back to the well-studied hypersimplices (like the region of integration in the Equation (6.1)), with triangulations given by [44] and [45]. This provides a relatively quick method for evaluating these integrals.

We illustrate this in more detail in the special case of $j\big(I(X)_{m-1}\big)$ — equivalently, by 3.1 (iii), of $t\cdot e\big(\mathscr{F}(I(X)_{m-1})\big)$ — where the region of integration is already the simplex $\Delta\in\mathbb{R}^m$ of vertices $(1,1,\dots,1,0,1,\dots,1)$. Applying [6, Corollary 11], since $\mathrm{vol}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!}$, we get

$$\sum_{M\in\mathbb{N}^{\binom{m+1}{2}}} \frac{(\sum M + m - 1)!\prod_{i\leqslant j} t_{ij}^{M_{ij}}}{\prod_{i\leqslant j}(M_{ij}!)} \int_\Delta x_1^{M_{11}}\cdots x_m^{M_{mm}}\prod_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)^{M_{ij}}\,\mathrm{d}\nu$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod\limits_{k=1}^{m}\Big(1 - \sum\limits_{h\neq k} t_{hh} + \sum\limits_{j>k} t_{kj} - \sum\limits_{i<k} t_{ik}\Big)}$$

with ν as in the proof of Theorem 6.1, so that one may calculate the j-multiplicity by expanding the series on the right to order $m(n-1)$, retrieving the coefficient of the term with exponents $M_{ij} = 2$ for $i < j$ and $M_{ij} = n-m$ for $i = j$, and multiplying by the appropriate constant, namely:

$$\frac{(m-1)\cdot 2^{\binom{m}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1}(n-i)^i\cdot m!(m-1)!\cdots 2!}.$$

In order to retrieve these coefficients define, for all $k = 1,\dots,m$,

$$\ell_k = 1 - \sum_{h\neq k} t_{hh} + \sum_{j>k} t_{kj} - \sum_{i<k} t_{ik}.$$

Denote the inverse of ℓ_k by

$$G_k = \sum \lambda_k((a_{ii},a_{ik})_{i\neq k})\prod_{i\neq k} t_{ii}^{a_{ii}} t_{ik}^{a_{ik}}.$$

It is easy to see that the coefficients of G_k are:

$$\lambda_k((a_{ii},a_{ik})_{i\neq k}) = (-1)^{\sum_{i<k} a_{ik}}\frac{(\sum_{i\neq k}(a_{ii}+a_{ik}))!}{\prod_{i\neq k}(a_{ii}!a_{ik}!)}.$$

We want to compute $G = \prod_{k=1}^m G_k = \sum \lambda((a_{ij})_{i \leqslant j}) \prod_{i \leqslant j} t_{ij}^{a_{ij}}$. To this goal we have to identify all m terms (one for each G_k) whose product is $\prod_{i \leqslant j} t_{ij}^{a_{ij}}$. This is the set $A((a_{ij})_{i \leqslant j})$ consisting of elements of the form $(a_{ii}^k, a_{ik}^k)_{\substack{k=1,\ldots,m \\ i \neq k}}$, where the a_{ij}^k are natural numbers satisfying:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_{ii}^k = a_{ii} \quad \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_{pq}^k = a_{pq} \quad \forall\, 1 \leqslant p < q \leqslant m,$$

where we have put $a_{ij}^k = 0$ if $i = j = k$ or $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$, and $a_{ij}^k = a_{ji}^k$ in the remaining cases. With this notation we have

$$\lambda((a_{ij})_{i \leqslant j}) = \sum_{(a_{ii}^k, a_{ik}^k)_{i \neq k} \in A((a_{ij})_{i \leqslant j})} \prod_{k=1}^m (-1)^{\sum_{i<k} a_{ik}^k} \frac{(\sum_{i \neq k} (a_{ii}^k + a_{ik}^k))!}{\prod_{i \neq k} (a_{ii}^k! a_{ik}^k!)}.$$

Recall that the coefficient that is relevant to $j(I_{m-1}(X))$ is $\lambda((a_{ij})_{i \leqslant j})$ with $a_{ii} = n - m$ and $a_{ij} = 2$ for $i < j$. For such $(a_{ij})_{ij}$ the set $A((a_{ij})_{i \leqslant j})$ can be identified with the set $A(m,n)$ of all pairs of $m \times m$ matrices $(a_{ij}, b_{ij})_{ij}$ with natural entries such that

$$a_{ij} = b_{ij} = 0 \qquad \text{if } i = j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = n - m \quad \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

$$b_{pq} + b_{qp} = 2 \quad \forall\, 1 \leqslant p < q \leqslant m.$$

Finally we get the following:

Proposition 7.2. *The j-multiplicity of $I_{m-1}(X)$ is*

$$\frac{(m-1) \cdot 2^{\binom{m}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (n-i)^i \cdot m!(m-1)! \cdots 1!} \left(\sum_{(a_{ij}, b_{ij})_{ij} \in A(m,n)} \prod_{j=1}^m (-1)^{\sum_{i<j} b_{ij}} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^m (a_{ij} + b_{ij}))!}{\prod_{i=1}^m (a_{ij}! b_{ij}!)} \right).$$

REFERENCES

[1] S. Abeasis. *The* GL(V)-*invariant ideals in* $S(S^2 V)$ Rend. Mat. (6) **13** (1980), no. 2, 235-262.

[2] S. Abeasis and A. Del Fra. *Young diagrams and ideals of Pfaffians* Adv. Math. **35** (1980), no. 2, 158-178.

[3] R. Achilles and M. Manaresi, *Multiplicities of ideals of maximal analytic spread and intersection theory*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **33** (1993), no. 4, 569–578.

[4] R. Achilles and M. Manaresi, *Multiplicities of a bigheaded ring and intersection theory*, Math. Ann. **309** (1997), no. 4, 573–591.

[5] R. Askey and D. Richards, *Selberg's second beta integral and an integral of Mehta* In T.W. Anderson et al., editor, *Probability, Statistics, and Mathematics*, 27–39, Academic Press, New York, 1989.

[6] V. Baldoni, N. Berline, J.A. De Loera, M. Köppe and M. Vergne, *How to integrate a polynomial over a simplex*, Math. Compu. **273**, (2011), 297–325.

[7] W. Bruns, *Algebras defined by powers of determinantal ideals*, J. Algebra **142** (1991), 150–163.

[8] W. Bruns, C. Söger, *The computation of generalized Ehrart series in Normaliz*, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.5178`

[9] W. Bruns, B. Ichim, C. Söger, *Normaliz. Algorithms for rational cones and affine monoids*, available at `http://www.mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de/normaliz/`.

[10] W. Bruns and U. Vetter, *Determinantal rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1327**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[11] W. Bruns and A. Conca, *Algebras of Minors*, J. Algebra **246** (2001), 311–330.

[12] W. Bruns, A. Conca, M. Varbaro, *Maximal minors and linear powers*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1776v2`.

[13] W. Bruns, A. Conca, M. Varbaro, *Relations between the minors of a generic matrix*, Adv. Math. **244** (2013), 171–206.

[14] A. Conca, *Grobner Basis of Ideals of Minors of a Symmetric Matrix*, J. Algebra, **166** (1994), no. 2, 406–421.

[15] A. Conca, *Straightening law and powers of determinantal ideals of Hankel matrices*, Adv. Math. **138** (1998), no. 2, 263–292.

[16] S.D. Cutkosky. *Asymptotic growth of saturated powers and epsilon multiplicity*. Math. Res. Lett., **18** (2001), no. 1, 93–106.

[17] S.D. Cutkosky. *Multiplicities associated to graded families of ideals,* arXiv:1206.4077 (2012).

[18] S.D. Cutkosky, H.T. Ha, H Srinivasan, E. Theodorescu, *Asymptotic behaviour of the length of local cohomology*, Canad. J. Math. **57** (2005), 1178–1192.

[19] A. Conca, J. Herzog, G. Valla, *Sagbi bases with applications to blow-up algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **474** (1996), 113–138.

[20] E. DeNegri, *K-Algebras generated by pfaffians*, Mathematical Journal of Toyama University **19** (1996), 105–114.

[21] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi, *Young diagrams and determinantal varieties*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), no. 2, 129–165.

[22] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi, *Hodge Algebras*, Astérisque **91** (1982).

[23] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), no. 3, 330–354.

[24] J.A. De Loera, M. Köppe, *LattE*, available at `https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~latte/`.

[25] P. Doubilet, G.-C. Rota, J. Stein, *On the foundations of combinatorial theory: IX, Combinatorial methods in invariant theory*, Studies in Applied Mathematics **LIII** (1974), 185–216.

[26] H. Flenner and M. Manaresi, *A numerical characterization of reduction ideals*, Math. Z. **238** (2001), no. 1, 205–214.

[27] H. Flenner and M. Manaresi, *A length formula for the multiplicity of distinguished components of intersections*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **165** (2001), no. 2, 155–168.

[28] P.J. Forrester and S.O. Warnaar, *The importance of the Selberg integral*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (2008), no. 4, 489–534.

[29] W. Fulton, *Young Tableux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **35**, 1997.

[30] J. Jeffries, J. Montaño, *The j-Multiplicity of Monomial Ideals*, to appear in Math. Res. Lett., available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1419` (2012).

[31] L.K. Hua, *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 6, AMS, Providence, RI, 1979.

[32] S. Kleiman, B. Ulrich, J. Validashti, *Principle of specialization of integral dependence*, in preparation.

[33] I. Swanson and C. Huneke, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **336**. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[34] P. Mantero and Y. Xie, *Generalized stretched ideals and Sally's Conjecture*, arXiv:1112.0055 (2011)

[35] C. Polini and Y. Xie, *j-Multiplicity and depth of associated graded modules*, J. Algebra **379** (2013), 31–49.

[36] L.D. Nam, *The determinantal ideals of extended Hankel matrices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 1502–1515.

[37] L.D. Nam, *Multiplicity of algebras of minors of extended Hankel matrices*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome **54** (102) (2011), no. 3, 245–260.

[38] K. Nishida and B. Ulrich, *Computing j-multiplicities*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 12, 2101–2110.

[39] M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, *A conjecture of J. Sally*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), no. 13, 4249–4261.

[40] J. D. Sally, *On the associated graded ring of a local Cohen-Macaulay ring*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **17** (1977), 19–21.

[41] J. D. Sally, *Cohen-Macaulay local rings of embedding dimension $e + d - 2$*, J. Algebra **83** (1983), 393–408.

[42] A. Selberg, *Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral*, Norsk Mat. Tidsskr. **36** (1944), 71–78.

[43] R. Stanley, *Theory and application of plane partitions II*, Studies in Appl. Math. **50** (1971), 259–279.

[44] R. Stanley, *Eulerian partitions of a unit hypercube*, Higher Combinatorics (M. Aigner, ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht/Boston (1977), p. 49.

[45] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Series **8**, AMS, Providence (1995).

[46] B. Ulrich, J. Validashti, *Numerical criteria for integral dependence*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **151** (2011), no. 1, 95–102.

[47] Y. Xie, *Formulas for the mulitplicity of graded algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **364** (2102), no. 8, 4085–4106.

JACK JEFFRIES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, 155 S 1400 E, SALT LAKE CITY, UT. 84112
   E-mail address: jeffries@math.utah.edu

JONATHAN MONTAÑO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 NORTH UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN. 47907
   E-mail address: jmontano@math.purdue.edu

MATTEO VARBARO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI GENOVA, VIA DODECANESO, 35, 16146 GENOVA - ITALY
   E-mail address: varbaro@dima.unige.it