



University of Groningen

Correlation of long-term care facility vaccination practices between seasons and resident types

O'Neill, Emily T.; Bosco, Elliott; Persico, Erin; Silva, Joe B.; Riester, Melissa R.; Moyo, Patience; van Aalst, Robertus; Loiacono, Matthew M.; Chit, Ayman; Gravenstein, Stefan

Published in: BMC Geriatrics

DOI: 10.1186/s12877-022-03540-3

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): O'Neill, E. T., Bosco, E., Persico, E., Silva, J. B., Riester, M. R., Moyo, P., van Aalst, R., Loiacono, M. M., Chit, A., Gravenstein, S., & Zullo, A. R. (2022). Correlation of long-term care facility vaccination practices between seasons and resident types. BMC Geriatrics, 22, [835]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-022-03540-3

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

RESEARCH

Open Access

Correlation of long-term care facility vaccination practices between seasons and resident types



Emily T. O'Neill^{1,2*}, Elliott Bosco^{1,2}, Erin Persico³, Joe B. Silva^{1,2}, Melissa R. Riester^{1,2}, Patience Moyo^{1,2}, Robertus van Aalst^{4,5}, Matthew M. Loiacono⁴, Ayman Chit^{4,6}, Stefan Gravenstein^{1,2,7,8} and Andrew R. Zullo^{1,2,7,9}

Abstract

Background: Influenza vaccination varies widely across long-term care facilities (LTCFs) due to staff behaviors, LTCF practices, and patient factors. It is unclear how seasonal LTCF vaccination varies between cohabitating but distinct short-stay and long-stay residents. Thus, we assessed the correlation of LTCF vaccination between these populations and across seasons.

Methods: The study design is a national retrospective cohort using Medicare and Minimum Data Set (MDS) data. Participants include U.S. LTCFs. Short-stay and long-stay Medicare-enrolled residents age \geq 65 in U.S. LTCFs from a source population of residents during October 1st-March 31st in 2013–2014 (3,042,881 residents; 15,683 LTCFs) and 2014–2015 (3,143,174, residents; 15,667 LTCFs). MDS-assessed influenza vaccination was the outcome. Pearson correlation coefficients were estimated to assess seasonal correlations between short-stay and long-stay resident vaccination within LTCFs.

Results: The median proportion of short-stay residents vaccinated across LTCFs was 70.4% (IQR, 50.0–82.7%) in 2013–2014 and 69.6% (IQR, 50.0–81.6%) in 2014–2015. The median proportion of long-stay residents vaccinated across LTCFs was 85.5% (IQR, 78.0–90.9%) in 2013–2014 and 84.6% (IQR, 76.6–90.3%) in 2014–2015. Within LTCFs, there was a moderate correlation between short-stay and long-stay vaccination in 2013–2014 (r=0.50, 95%CI: 0.49–0.51) and 2014–2015 (r=0.53, 95%CI: 0.51–0.54). Across seasons, there was a moderate correlation for LTCFs with short-stay residents (r=0.54, 95%CI: 0.53–0.55) and a strong correlation for those with long-stay residents (r=0.68, 95%CI: 0.67–0.69).

Conclusions: In LTCFs with inconsistent influenza vaccination across seasons or between populations, targeted vaccination protocols for all residents, regardless of stay type, may improve successful vaccination in this vulnerable patient population.

Keywords: Influenza vaccination, Medicare, Long-term care facilities, Post-acute care, Older adults

*Correspondence: Emily_oneill@brown.edu

¹ Department of Health Services, Policy, and Practice, Brown University School of Public Health, 121 South Main Street, Box G-S121-3, Providence, RI 02912, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

Ninety percent of influenza-related deaths occur in patients ≥ 65 years old [1]. Older adults residing in long-term care facilities (LTCFs) are particularly vulnerable to seasonal influenza infections. Ease of interresident transmissibility within LTCFs in combination with increased frailty and multimorbidity, contributes

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

to the high burden of influenza in this population [2, 3]. Prevention of outbreaks are primarily dependent upon diligent staff hygiene and proper infection control practices, in addition to staff and resident vaccination adherence [4]. While several U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved agents for chemoprophylaxis are recommended in the event of an influenza outbreak, vaccination is a cornerstone of prevention [5].

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) require participating LTCFs to assess all residents and provide influenza vaccine if indicated. At the beginning of 2020, the CMS Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion established a target threshold of 90% for seasonal influenza vaccination among adults in LTCFs [6]. Despite this goal, variation in influenza vaccination across U.S. LTCFs exists, with one study reporting U.S. LTCF vaccination ranging from 50-89.7% in 2014-2015 [7]. Differences in vaccination coverage across LTCFs is likely multifactorial and the result of structural inequalities, LTCF quality, and adequacy of staffing levels or training [8-12]. An understudied yet growing area of importance for infection prevention is the interplay between the commonly cohabitating short-stay and long-stay residents [8, 13, 14]. Though a CMS quality measure for "Influenza Vaccination Assessment and Provision" is reported on Nursing Home Compare for each population within LTCFs, seasonal differences in vaccination of short-stay and long-stay residents are not systematically explored [15].

Determining LTCF vaccination consistency, which can be defined as similar vaccine administration across different seasons and resident types, is necessary to identify barriers to achieving vaccination goals among these vulnerable populations. Stratifying by both season and resident type is an important first-step to understanding the etiologies of LTCF vaccination coverage. For instance, major inconsistencies between resident types in a given LTCF might suggest lack of parity in vaccination policies for each population. Inconsistencies across seasons for one population might reflect vaccine supply or storage barriers within the LTCF.

Thus, in this study we 1) estimated the proportion of short-stay and long-stay residents receiving influenza vaccines in U.S. LTCFs, 2) assessed the correlation of LTCF vaccination between these populations in a given season, and 3) assessed the correlation of LTCF vaccination for each population across influenza seasons. We hypothesize that a strong correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient r > 0.60) would exist for each population within and across seasons due to similar vaccination policies and access to resources within LTCFs.

Methods

Data sources and study design

Minimum Data Set (MDS) version 3.0 LTCF resident clinical assessments were linked to the Medicare Master Beneficiary Summary File (MBSF), Certification And Survey Provider Enhanced Reports System (CASPER), and LTCFocus facility data using unique identifiers for all LTCF residents enrolled in Medicare. These data have been previously described [8]. This was a retrospective cohort study derived from a source population of U.S. LTCF residents with stays during October 1st-March 31st in 2013–2014 (N=3,042,881 residents; 15,683 LTCFs) and 2014–2015 (N=3,143,174 residents; 15,667 LTCFs).

Study population

Eligible LTCF residents were classified as short-stay with a total stay of < 100 days in the same LTCF, or long-stay with a total stay of \geq 100 consecutive days and no more than 10 days outside of the LTCF. The date of LTCF entry and 100th day in the facility were considered index dates for short- and long-stay residents, respectively. Those included in the study population had six months of continuous enrollment in Medicare Part A before index and were \geq 65 years old at index. We excluded: i) residents of hospital-based LTCFs because these differ markedly from most LTCFs in their structure, and ii) residents of LTCFs with missing CASPER data.

LTCF influenza vaccination measures

MDS assessments from October 1st through June 30th in each season were used to determine resident vaccination status, as is common in CMS guality measures [15]. Receipt of influenza vaccination was assessed through a previously published algorithm that utilizes vaccine responses from the MDS [16]. Residents were considered vaccinated if any MDS assessment during the study period indicated the resident received influenza vaccination at that facility or outside of the facility, prior to entry. The proportion vaccinated for each resident group, short-stay and long-stay, was calculated by dividing the number of residents vaccinated within a facility by the total number of residents meeting inclusion criteria in that facility. Additionally, we calculated the "Appropriately Assessed and Provided Vaccination" (AAPV) measure which is reported on Nursing Home Compare and is a composite of vaccinated, refused, and contraindicated MDS responses [15]. Overall, we calculated four vaccination outcomes: vaccinated, refused, contraindicated, or AAPV.

Covariates

Demographic variables such as age, sex, and race/ethnicity were obtained from the MBSF. LTCF-level variables, including staffing and care quality measures, were obtained from CASPER and LTCFocus data.

Statistical analyses

Median LTCF-level vaccination measures were calculated for each population and each season along with the interquartile range (IQR). Pearson correlation coefficients were estimated to assess the correlation between an individual LTCF's proportion of residents vaccinated: i) comparing short-stay and long-stay residents within a given season, and ii) either the proportion of short-stay or long-stay residents vaccinated across seasons. Correlation coefficients were estimated using LTCFs with shortstay and long-stay residents across the 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 influenza season. Data were analyzed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) and R version 3.6 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

We included 14,116 LTCFs with short-stay residents and 14,473 LTCFs with long-stay residents in the 2013–2014 season, and 14,203 LTCFs with short-stay and 14,444 with long-stay residents in the 2014–2015 season (Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Figure S1). Characteristics of LTCFs with short-stay versus long-stay residents were similar across seasons.

The median proportion of short-stay residents vaccinated in LTCFs was 70.4% (IQR: 50.0–82.7%) in 2013–2014 and 69.6% (IQR: 50.0–81.6%) in 2014–2015 (Table 1). The short-stay AAPV measure was a median of 91.3% (IQR: 79.2–98.8%) in 2013–2014 and 90.4% (IQR: 78.6–97.2%) in 2014–2015. The median proportion of long-stay LTCF residents vaccinated was 85.5% (IQR: 78.0–90.9%) in 2013–2014 and 84.6% (IQR: 76.6–90.3%) in 2014–2015 (Table 1). The long-stay AAPV measure was a median of 97.6.% (IQR: 94.5–100%) in 2013–2014 and 97.2% (IQR:93.8–99.1%) in 2014–2015. Across both seasons and for both populations, vaccination refusals were a larger component response for the AAPV measure than contraindication to vaccination. Median proportions of LTCF residents refusing influenza vaccination were higher among short-stay than long-stay residents.

Within LTCFs, there was a moderate correlation between the proportion of short-stay and long-stay vaccinated in 2013–2014 (r=0.50, 95% CI: 0.49–0.51) and 2014–2015 (r=0.53, 0.51–0.54) (Table 2 and Fig. 1A-D). There was a moderate correlation across seasons for short-stay residents (r=0.54, 0.53–0.55) and a strong correlation for long-stay residents (r=0.68 0.67–0.69) (Table 2 and Fig. 1A-D).

Discussion

Our study assessed LTCF influenza vaccination among short-stay and long-stay residents in the 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 influenza seasons. Over the course of the study period, the proportion of short-stay residents vaccinated was lower than the proportion of long-stay residents vaccinated. Long-stay resident influenza vaccination was consistent across seasons, indicated by a strong correlation, though vaccination of short-stay residents was not consistent. Within each season, vaccination of both populations residing in the same LTCF was not consistent, indicated by a moderate correlation. Compared to LTCF long-stay vaccination measures, proportions of residents refusing vaccination were higher in

Table 1 Long-term care facility vaccination measures by type of resident and influenza season

Cohorts	Vaccinated Median percent (IQR)	Refused Median percent (IQR)	Contraindicated Median percent (IQR)	Appropriately Assessed and Provided Median percent (IQR)	
Short-stay, 2013–2014 season (^a N=14,116)	70.4 (50.0–82.7%)	13.3 (4.4–25.0%)	0.0 (0.0- 0.6%)	91.3 (79.2–98.8%)	
Short-stay, 2014–2015 season (N=14,203)	69.6 (50.0–81.6%)	13.6 (5.4–25.0%)	0.0 (0.0–1.0%)	90.4 (78.6–97.2%)	
Long-stay, 2013–2014 season (N = 14,473)	85.5 (78.0–90.9%)	9.7 (5.5–15.6%)	0.0 (0.0–1.6%)	97.6 (94.5–100%)	
Long-stay, 2014–2015 season (N = 14,444)	84.6 (76.6–90.3%)	10.0 (5.7–16.2%)	0.0 (0.0–1.6%)	97.2 (93.8–99.1%)	

^a N represents the number of long-term care facilities in each sub-cohort. Vaccination proportions are represented are represented as percentages (proportion multiplied by 100). The number of facilities differs by type of resident and season because not all facilities care for both resident types in all seasons

 Table 2
 Correlations of LTCF proportion of residents receiving influenza vaccine by season and stay type

Comparisons	Pearson Correlation Coefficient (95% Cls) ^{**}
Between Seasons (2013–2014 vs. 2014–2015	5)
Short-stay [*]	0.54 (0.53–0.55)
Long-stay [†]	0.68 (0.67–0.69)
Between stay type (short-stay vs. long-stay)	
Influenza Season ‡	0.50 (0.49–0.51)
Influenza Season [§]	0.53 (0.51–0.54)

Cls Confidence intervals

** = P-values were < 0.05

N = 13,849 facilities were present in both seasons

⁺ N = 14,326 facilities were present in both seasons

 $^{\pm}$ $N\!=$ 14,061 facilities had both short-stay and long-stay residents in 2013–2014 season

 $^{\$}$ $N\!=$ 14,145 facilities had both short-stay and long-stay residents in 2014–2015 season

short-stay populations and AAPV measures were lower. These findings suggest that short-stay residents may be both assessed and offered vaccination less frequently, while also having higher refusal rates. Median proportions of LTCF residents refusing influenza vaccination were higher among short-stay than long-stay residents. Prior research indicates short-stay residents are more likely to be vaccinated outside the LTCF compared to long-stay residents, which may result in higher refusal rates among these patients [13].

Patient factors that differ across short-stay and longstay residents may drive the observed differences in vaccination rates. Short-stay residents typically spend a short time in LTCFs while recovering from acute illness, while long-stay residents are typically older with physical and cognitive impairment that could prevent independent living [8, 17]. With shorter duration of illness among short-stay patients, it is possible that lower vaccination rates may be attributed to the LTCFs inability to obtain seasonal influenza vaccine in a timely manner prior to discharge [13]. Further, acute illness among short-stay residents may capture the attention of providers, taking preference over ordering preventive measures such as influenza vaccination. Among long-stay residents, increased frailty and decreased autonomy over healthcare decisions may contribute to the higher vaccination coverage. Cognitive impairment may further decrease decision-making capacity of long-stay residents, enabling practitioners to obtain vaccination consent more easily from healthcare decision-makers [18].

Non-patient factors such as vaccination procurement and standing orders could lead to the discrepancies observed between short-stay and long-stay populations. Additionally, these factors may impact vaccination rates by affecting LTCFs ability to obtain the vaccine and effectively administer it. Influenza vaccine stock fluctuates across seasons, leaving some providers and facilities with limited resources, and ultimately impacting which patients receive priority for vaccination. Fluctuations in purchasing costs across health care settings further disrupt vaccine procurement. For example, LTCFs that purchase limited vaccine quantities may pay higher prices due to missed bulk ordering rebates [19–21]. Differences in vaccination programs among LTCFs such as the use of standing orders, vaccination consent and refusal protocols, provider reminders, and frequent review and audit of administration policies may catalyze differences across LTCFs [18, 20]. Minimizing these identified barriers may increase rates of influenza vaccination among this vulnerable population.

Our study builds on existing literature assessing policy "spill-over" within LTCFs where protocols aimed at one resident type impact non-targeted residents [22]. We hypothesized that correlation between resident types and across seasons would be strong due to positive "spillover" effects of vaccination policies within the LTCF. That is, the custodial care provided to long-stay residents and processes to administer seasonal influenza vaccine would extend to short-stay residents within an LTCF. From this, we assumed that LTCFs with high long-stay resident vaccination proportions would have similarly high vaccination of short-stay residents. Unfortunately, our study reveals varying levels of consistency across resident types, with a larger proportion of long-stay residents consistently vaccinated compared to their short-stay counterparts. Susceptibility of older adults to influenza and other respiratory pathogens such as SARS-CoV-2 underlies the need for consistently high levels of vaccination coverage among LTCF residents [1, 23]. Further research assessing vaccination variation among resident types and across seasons is needed to develop tailored vaccination protocols that captures all residents.

The current study is not without limitations. We were unable to assess if the influenza vaccine was truly received by the patient prior to LTCF entry due to the use of MDS data. The MDS influenza vaccination questions are self-reported measures and may be inaccurate, however they have been found to be valid measures of influenza vaccination [24]. Future studies should integrate vaccination data across settings of care to assess this. We also did not assess the health status of LTCF residents; these factors may vary across LTCFs and determine the resident's likelihood of vaccination. Further, differences in vaccination protocols or ability to obtain vaccine may influence LTCF vaccination but were not assessed due to lack of available data. Lastly, we chose to focus on two



influenza seasons during non-pandemic (e.g., SARS-CoV-2 or 2009 influenza H1N1) time periods to provide a non-pandemic measure of LTCF vaccine administration. Nonetheless, the data may still represent current practices and be informative for interventions to increase COVID-19 vaccine uptake, though additional research should explicitly answer such questions.

Conclusions

Our research identified inconsistencies in vaccination rates between long-stay and short-stay residents across the 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 influenza seasons. LTCFs that vaccinate inconsistently across residents may benefit from standard protocols independent of resident type, while variation across season may indicate the need for increased vaccine stocks or staffing adherence. We hope these findings can further inform LTCFs and public health agencies to develop tailored vaccination policies, and ultimately improve influenza vaccination receipt in this vulnerable patient population.

Abbreviations

MDS: Minimum Data Set; LTCFs: Long-term care facilities; CMS: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; MBSF: Medicare Master Beneficiary Summary File; CASPER: Certification And Survey Provider Enhanced Reports System; AAPV: Appropriately Assessed and Provided Vaccination.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12877-022-03540-3.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Figure S1. Sample selection diagram. Supplementary Table S1. LTCF demographics represented as means (standard deviation) or counts (percentage- represented by %).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Melissa Eliot and Jessica Ogarek for their help with data management and analysis. Employees of the funder (R.V.A., M.L., and A.C.) participated in interpretation of the data, review of the manuscript, and final approval of the submitted manuscript. The study funder was not responsible for analyzing the data or preparing the initial manuscript draft.

Authors' contributions

E.O. and E.B. participated in conceiving the study, data collection, data analysis, interpretation of the data, writing and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and final approval of the manuscript submitted. S.G. and A.R.Z. participated in conceiving the study, data collection, interpretation of the data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and final approval of the manuscript submitted. E.P., J.S., M.R.R., P.M. R.V.A, M.L., and A.C. participated in interpreting results, providing critical revisions, and final approval of the manuscript submitted.

Funding

This Brown University collaborative research was funded by Sanofi. The authors' institution retained the right to publish and publicly present all results. Incorporation of any edits suggested by Sanofi was not compulsory. Dr. Zullo is also supported by National Institute on Aging grants R21AG061632, R01AG065722, RF1AG061221, and R01AG062492. The content and views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the position or policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States government.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The institutional review board (IRB# 00000556) and ethics committee at Brown University approved the study protocol. All methods in the study were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and relevant guidelines. Due to the use of de-identified administrative data, informed consent was not required.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

E.O., E.B., E.P., J.S., M.R.R., P.M., and A.R.Z. declare no competing interests. R.V.A., M.L., and A.C. are employed by Sanofi and may hold shares and/or stock options in the company. S.G. reports grants from Pfizer, Seqirus, and Sanofi; and consulting or speaker fees from Janssen, Moderna, GSK, Novavax, Sanofi, Seqirus, Merck, and the Gerontological Society of America related to vaccines or nursing home care quality.

Author details

¹Department of Health Services, Policy, and Practice, Brown University School of Public Health, 121 South Main Street, Box G-S121-3, Providence, RI 02912, USA. ²Center for Gerontology and Healthcare Research, Brown University

School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA. ³University of Rhode Island College of Pharmacy, Kingston, RI, USA. ⁴Sanofi, Swiftwater, PA, USA. ⁵Department of Health Sciences, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands. ⁶Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. ⁷Center of Innovation in Long-Term Services and Supports, Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Providence, RI, USA. ⁸Department of Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. ⁹Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA.

Received: 28 October 2021 Accepted: 18 October 2022 Published online: 04 November 2022

References

- Thompson WW, et al. Mortality associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in the United States. JAMA. 2003;289(2):179–86.
- Strausbaugh LJ, Sukumar SR, Joseph CL. Infectious disease outbreaks in nursing homes: an unappreciated hazard for frail elderly persons. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;36(7):870–6.
- Pop-Vicas A, Gravenstein S. Influenza in the elderly: a mini-review. Gerontology. 2011;57(5):397–404.
- 4. Lee MH, et al. A systematic review on the causes of the transmission and control measures of outbreaks in long-term care facilities: Back to basics of infection control. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(3): e0229911.
- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. What You Should Know About Flu Antiviral Drugs. 2019; Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/flu/ treatment/whatyoushould.htm.
- Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. HealthyPeople2020 Objective IID-12.8: Vaccination Against Seasonal Influenza 2019. 2020 [cited 2020 23 April 2020]; Available from: https://www.healthypeople. gov/2020/data-search/Search-the-Data#objid=4667;.
- Black, C.L., et al., Trends in Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccination Among US Nursing Home Residents, 2006–2014. J Am Med Dir Assoc, 2017. 18(8): p. 735 e1–735 e14.
- Bosco, E., et al., Long-term Care Facility Variation in the Incidence of Pneumonia and Influenza. Open Forum Infect Dis, 2019. 6(6): p. ofz230.
- Mor V, et al. Driven to tiers: socioeconomic and racial disparities in the quality of nursing home care. Milbank Q. 2004;82(2):227–56.
- Lu PJ, et al. Trends in racial/ethnic disparities in influenza vaccination coverage among adults during the 2007–08 through 2011–12 seasons. Am J Infect Control. 2014;42(7):763–9.
- Hebert PL, et al. The causes of racial and ethnic differences in influenza vaccination rates among elderly Medicare beneficiaries. Health Serv Res. 2005;40(2):517–37.
- Mueller AL, McNamara MS, Sinclair DA. Why does COVID-19 disproportionately affect older people? Aging (Albany NY). 2020;12(10):9959–81.
- Moyo P, et al. Risk factors for pneumonia and influenza hospitalizations in long-term care facility residents: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Geriatr. 2020;20(1):47.
- 14. Bosco E, et al. Geographic Variation in Pneumonia and Influenza in Long-Term Care Facilities: A National Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(8):e202–5.
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Nursing Home Quality Measures. February 5, 2020 [cited 2020 September 9, 2020]; Available from: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Asses sment-Instruments/NursingHomeQualityInits/NHQIQualityMeasures.
- Bardenheier BH, et al. Influenza immunization coverage among residents of long-term care facilities certified by CMS, 2005–2006: the newest MDs guality indicator. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2010;11(1):59–69.
- 17. Keeler EB, Kane RL, Solomon DH. Short- and long-term residents of nursing homes. Med Care. 1981;19(3):363–70.
- Bardenheier B, et al. Characteristics of long-term-care facility residents associated with receipt of influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004;25(11):946–54.
- Ahmed F, et al. Evaluation of a legislatively mandated influenza vaccination program for adults in Rhode Island, USA. J Public Health Manag Pract. 2010;16(5):E01-8.
- 20. Freed GL, et al. Variation in provider vaccine purchase prices and payer reimbursement. Pediatrics. 2009;124(Suppl 5):S459–65.

- 21. Orenstein WA, Schaffner W. Lessons learned: role of influenza vaccine production, distribution, supply, and demand–what it means for the provider. Am J Med. 2008;121(7 Suppl 2):S22–7.
- 22. Wang XJ, Hefele JG. A Rising Tide Lifts "Related" Boats-Post-Acute Care Quality Improvement is Associated with Improvement in Long-Term Care Quality in Nursing Homes. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2021;22(3):706-711 e4.
- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA. 2020;323(13):1239–42.
- 24. Grosholz JM, et al. Accuracy of influenza vaccination rate estimates in United States nursing home residents. Epidemiol Infect. 2015;143(12):2588–95.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

