



Asymmetric Mannich reactions of (*S*)-*N*-*tert*-butylsulfinyl-3,3,3-trifluoroacetaldimines with yne nucleophiles

Ziyi Li¹, Li Wang¹, Yunqi Huang¹, Haibo Mei¹, Hiroyuki Konno², Hiroki Moriwaki³, Vadim A. Soloshonok^{4,5} and Jianlin Han^{*1}

Full Research Paper

Open Access

Address:

¹Jiangsu Co-Innovation Center of Efficient Processing and Utilization of Forest Resources, College of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of Biological Engineering, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamagata University, Yonezawa, Yamagata 992-8510, Japan, ³Hamari Chemical Ltd., 1-4-29 Kunijima, Higashi-Yodogawa-ku, Osaka 533-0024, Japan, ⁴Department of Organic Chemistry I, Faculty of Chemistry, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Paseo Manuel Lardizábal 3, 20018 San Sebastián, Spain and ⁵KERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Alameda Urquijo 36-5, Plaza Bizkaia, 48011 Bilbao, Spain

Email:

Jianlin Han* - hanjl@njfu.edu.cn

* Corresponding author

Keywords:

arylethynes; asymmetric Mannich reaction; C-nucleophile; CF₃-aldimine; fluorinated propargylamine

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2020**, *16*, 2671–2678.

<https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.16.217>

Received: 30 July 2020

Accepted: 20 October 2020

Published: 29 October 2020

This article is part of the thematic issue "Organo-fluorine chemistry V".

Guest Editor: D. O'Hagan

© 2020 Li et al.; licensee Beilstein-Institut.

License and terms: see end of document.

Abstract

In the present work, arylethynes were studied as new C-nucleophiles in the asymmetric Mannich addition reactions with (*S*)-*N*-*tert*-butylsulfinyl-3,3,3-trifluoroacetaldimine. The reactions were conducted under operationally convenient conditions affording the corresponding Mannich adducts with up to 87% yield and 70:30 diastereoselectivity. The isomeric products can be separated using regular column chromatography to afford diastereomerically pure compounds. The purified Mannich addition products were deprotected to give the target enantiomerically pure trifluoromethylpropargylamines. A mechanistic rationale for the observed stereochemical outcome is discussed.

Introduction

In recent years, substitution of hydrogen by fluorine atoms or fluorine-containing groups usually provides unexpected biological and physicochemical properties, which thus has become an established approach for the development of pharmaceuticals

[1-9], agrochemicals [10-14], and advanced materials [15-19]. On the other hand, chiral propargylamine represents a very important type of organic intermediates, which has been successfully used in the synthesis of natural products and biologically

relevant heterocyclic compounds [20–24]. Thus, fluorinated propargylamine, in particular, chiral trifluoromethylpropargylamine, should be considered of great research interest due to the apparently advantageous pharmaceutical profile of CF₃-containing drugs [25,26]. For example, DPC 961 contains the trifluoromethylpropargylamine moiety and has been developed as the inhibitor against non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus [27] (Figure 1).





Figure 1: Anti-HIV compound containing a trifluoromethylpropargylamine moiety.

Thus, the development of synthetic methods for the preparation of these compounds, featuring trifluoromethylpropargylamine is

of general research interest [28–32]. The asymmetric Ru/(*R*)-CPA-catalyzed chemoselective biomimetic reduction [33] and the organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation [34] of fluorinated alkynylketimines have been developed for the synthesis of fluorinated propargylamines in good yields and high enantioselectivities by the groups of Zhou and Peng, respectively (Scheme 1a). It should be mentioned that the Qing group also reported a method for the synthesis of α -trifluoromethylated α -propargylamines via a Ti-promoted addition reaction between acetylide and chiral CF₃-ketimines (Scheme 1b) [35]. Based on our experience in the preparation of trifluoromethylated amino compounds [36–40] and the chemistry of *N*-*tert*-butylsulfinyl-3,3,3-trifluoroacetaldimine (**1**) [41–54], we noticed that the reactions of chiral imine **1** with yne nucleophiles have never been reported thus far. Accordingly, intrigued by this methodological deficiency, we decided to dedicate a special research project to this objective; herein, we report Mannich reactions between yne nucleophiles and aldimine **1** (Scheme 1c). The results reported in this work expand our knowledge of the reactivity of imines and the origins of stereocontrol, as well as provide synthetic access to a series of trifluoromethylpropargylamine of high biological interest.



Scheme 1: Literature-known methods (a and b) and the here reported (c) approach for the synthesis of α -trifluoromethylated α -propargylamines.


Results and Discussion

Drawing from our previous studies on the chemistry of trifluoromethylated sulfinylimine **1** [41–54], the initial reaction conditions focused on the use of 1.3 equiv of 1-ethynyl-4-methoxybenzene (**2a**) in the presence of *n*-BuLi with CH₂Cl₂ as a solvent at –78 °C. The desired product **3a** was obtained after 2.5 hours in 46% yield as a mixture of two diastereomers (55:45 dr, Table 1, entry 1). Fortunately, the diastereomeric products can be quite easily separated by regular column chromatography using hexane/EtOAc (4:1, v/v) as an eluent. Further optimization of the reaction conditions was then carried out to improve both the yield and diastereoselectivity. First, a series of pilot experiments in dichloromethane were performed to scan the base in the reaction. When using LDA and LiHMDS instead of *n*-BuLi, the yields were noticeably increased to 83% and 87%, respectively (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). In particular, the use of LiHMDS resulted in improved diastereoselectivity (69:31 dr, Table 1, entry 3). On the other hand, the solvent was found to show a significant effect on this transformation (Table 1, entries 4–6) and the results indicated dichloromethane was the best choice. Variation of the loading amount of 1-ethynyl-4-methoxybenzene (**2a**) did not provide any improvement on the chemical yield and the stereochemical outcome (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). We also observed a noticeable tem-

perature effect on the reaction yield (Table 1, entries 9 and 10) and –78 °C was proved to be the best choice. Screening of the reaction time revealed that 2.5 hours were necessary for the completion of this transformation (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). Finally, we found that the use of Lewis acids BF₃·Et₂O and Ti(OiPr)₄ as additives for this reaction was unsuccessful and the same level of chemical yield and diastereoselectivity was observed (Table 1, entries 13 and 14).


With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we examined the generality of these asymmetric Mannich reactions by using various aryethynes **2** (Scheme 2). Under standard reaction conditions, all the tested substrates worked well to generate the corresponding Mannich adducts in moderate to good yields. In particular, ethynylbenzenes bearing electron-donating substituents on the aromatic ring were more compatible with this reaction, resulting in higher chemical yields (53–87%) and better diastereoselectivities (**3a–g**). The ethynylbenzene **2g** featuring a long alkyl chain on *para*-position was also a suitable substrate, which was converted into product **3g** in 77% yield. On the other hand, aryethynes bearing electron-withdrawing groups on the aromatic ring showed lower reactivity along with lower yields (31–55%) as well as poorer diastereoselectivities (**3i–m**), except for **3n** which gave 70:30 dr. It should be mentioned that the po-

Table 1: Optimization of reaction conditions.^a



Entry	Base	Solvent	2a (equiv)	<i>T</i> (°C)	Time (h)	Yield ^b (%)	dr ^c
1	<i>n</i> -BuLi	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	2.5	46	55:45
2	LDA	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	2.5	83	66:34
3	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	2.5	87	69:31
4	LiHMDS	THF	1.3	–78	2.5	57	56:44
5	LiHMDS	CHCl ₃	1.3	–60	2.5	trace	–
6	LiHMDS	PhCH ₃	1.3	–78	2.5	45	63:37
7	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.1	–78	2.5	85	66:34
8	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.6	–78	2.5	79	68:32
9	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	0	2.5	52	68:32
10	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	rt	2.5	38	63:37
11	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	1.0	62	67:33
12	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	1.5	82	67:33
13 ^d	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	2.5	65	66:34
14 ^e	LiHMDS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	–78	2.5	66	70:30

^aReaction conditions: **1** (0.3 mmol), base (1.3 equiv of **2a**), solvent (3 mL), under nitrogen. ^bIsolated yield of two isomers. ^cDetermined by ¹⁹F NMR. ^d0.5 equiv of BF₃·Et₂O was added. ^e0.5 equiv of Ti(OiPr)₄ was added.



Scheme 2: Substrate scope study. Reaction conditions: arylethyne **2** (0.39 mmol), imine **1** (0.3 mmol), LiHMDS (0.51 mmol), CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL), $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, under nitrogen, 2.5 h. Isolated yields of mixture of isomers. Diastereoselectivities were determined by ^{19}F NMR.

sition of substituents showed almost no effects on the reaction efficiency (**3c** vs **3d**, **3i** vs **3j**, **3l** vs **3m**). Also, importantly, two diastereomers, in all the cases **3a–n**, can be readily obtained in diastereomerically pure form by routine column chromatography, underscoring the value of this strategy for the synthesis of chiral α -trifluoromethylated propargylamines.

In order to determine the absolute configuration of the chiral addition products, we successfully performed a crystallographic analysis of the minor product **3a**. The structure is shown in Figure 2, the absolute configuration of the newly generated

chiral center of the minor product **3a** is *S*, which demonstrates accordingly that the major one is *R*. The absolute configurations of other corresponding products were assigned by analogy.

In general, the low-to-moderate diastereoselectivity observed in this study was unexpected. In fact, considering the excellent level (>90% de) of stereocontrol reported for the Mannich additions of aldimine **1** with sp^3 [42,55] and sp^2 [56] nucleophiles, including lithiated aromatics [57] one would not anticipate such a dramatic drop in the selectivity in the reactions of sp nucleo-




Figure 2: ORTEP diagram showing of the minor product of 3a.

philes. Accordingly, we considered the mode of nucleophilic attack on the imine double bond in **1**. As presented in Figure 3, imine **1** might react in the most stable conformation with the bulky *tert*-butyl group pointing away from the imine double bond. In this case the only stereocontrolling factor in play is the difference between the sulfinyl oxygen and the oxygen lone pair. The latter presents a lesser steric obstacle rendering the corresponding nucleophilic attack the more probable event.

These ever-unexpected results strongly suggest that the origin of the stereocontrol in the reactions of imine **1** is not just the bulk of the *tert*-butyl group but, as a major factor, the corresponding chelated transition states.

As all diastereomers **3** can be easily isolated in optical pure form by routine column chromatography, this reaction should






Figure 3: Mode of nucleophilic attacks A and B.

be of certain value for biological studies. In this regard, we decided to demonstrate the reproducibility of this method for large-scale synthesis (Scheme 3) and the removal of the sulfinyl auxiliary to give the free amine (Scheme 4). To our delight,



Scheme 3: Large-scale application of the reaction.



Scheme 4: Removal of the chiral auxiliary.

1.4 g of the desired product **3a** was obtained when the amount of sulfinylimine **1** was raised to 1.0 g (5.0 mmol). Comparing with reaction of 0.3 mmol scale, only a slight decrease in the yield was observed (84%) along with the same stereochemical outcome. Also, the chiral sulfinyl auxiliary can be easily removed under acidic conditions to give the free amine. Treating **3i** with HCl gas in methanol at 0 °C, the *tert*-butylsulfinyl group was cleaved under mild conditions and the target primary amine **4** was obtained with 57% yield.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have explored arylethyne as new nucleophiles for the Mannich reactions of (*R*)-*N*-*tert*-butylsulfinyl-3,3,3-trifluoroacetalimine. Quite unexpectedly, the diastereoselectivity of the reactions were noticeably lower when compared with the corresponding reactions of sp³ and sp² nucleophiles. Nevertheless, the two diastereomers can be easily isolated in diastereomerically pure state by regular column chromatography. This method provides access to chiral trifluoromethylpropargylamines. The application of these compounds for the synthesis of biologically relevant targets and their self-disproportionation of enantiomers (SDE) properties [58–60] are currently under study and will be reported in due course.

Experimental

General procedure for the Mannich reaction of arylethyne with sulfinylimine: Into an oven-dried reaction vial flushed with N₂ were taken the respective arylethyne **2** (0.39 mmol) and anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL). The reaction vial was cooled to –78 °C and LiHMDS (1 M in THF, 0.51 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. After 1 h at –78 °C, sulfinylimine **1** (0.3 mmol), dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL), was added dropwise. Stirring was continued at –78 °C for 2.5 h, then the reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl (2.0 mL), followed by H₂O (5.0 mL) and the mixture was brought to room temperature. The organic layer was taken and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and the solvent was removed to give the crude product **3**, which was purified by column chromatography using hexane/EtOAc (4:1, v/v) as eluent.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Experimental details and spectral data.

[<https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-16-217-S1.pdf>]

Funding

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21761132021) and Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province. IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science (for V. A. Soloshonok) is also acknowledged.

ORCID® iDs

Hiroyuki Konno - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6629-6102>

Hiroki Moriwaki - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2028-2565>

Vadim A. Soloshonok - <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0681-4526>

Jianlin Han - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3817-0764>

References

- Zhou, Y.; Wang, J.; Gu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W.; Aceña, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 422–518. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00392
- Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 2432–2506. doi:10.1021/cr4002879
- Kirk, K. L. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2006**, *127*, 1013–1029. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2006.06.007
- Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2006**, *127*, 992–1012. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2006.05.006
- O'Hagan, D. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 1071–1081. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2010.03.003
- Mei, H.; Remete, A. M.; Zou, Y.; Moriwaki, H.; Fustero, S.; Kiss, L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *31*, 2401–2413. doi:10.1016/j.ccl.2020.03.050
- Mei, H.; Han, J.; Klika, K. D.; Izawa, K.; Sato, T.; Meanwell, N. A.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *186*, 111826. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111826
- Mei, H.; Han, J.; White, S.; Graham, D. J.; Izawa, K.; Sato, T.; Fustero, S.; Meanwell, N. A.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 11349–11390. doi:10.1002/chem.202000617
- Zhu, Y.; Han, J.; Wang, J.; Shibata, N.; Sodeoka, M.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Coelho, J. A. S.; Toste, F. D. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 3887–3964. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00778
- Fujiwara, T.; O'Hagan, D. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2014**, *167*, 16–29. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2014.06.014
- Isanbor, C.; O'Hagan, D. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2006**, *127*, 303–319. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2006.01.011
- Hagmann, W. K. *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 4359–4369. doi:10.1021/jm800219f
- Aceña, J. L.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Moriwaki, H.; Sato, T.; Soloshonok, V. A. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2013**, *155*, 21–38. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2013.06.004
- Inoue, M.; Sumii, Y.; Shibata, N. *ACS Omega* **2020**, *5*, 10633–10640. doi:10.1021/acsomega.0c00830
- Zhang, W. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 749–795. doi:10.1021/cr800412s
- Berger, R.; Resnati, G.; Mentrangolo, P.; Weber, E.; Hulliger, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 3496–3508. doi:10.1039/c0cs00221f
- Hagiwara, R.; Ito, Y. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2000**, *105*, 221–227. doi:10.1016/s0022-1139(99)00267-5
- Fang, M.; Okamoto, Y.; Koike, Y.; He, Z.; Merkel, T. C. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2016**, *188*, 18–22. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2016.05.013

19. Amatucci, G. G.; Pereira, N. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2007**, *128*, 243–262. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2006.11.016
20. Hoeppling, A.; Johnson, K. M.; George, C.; Flippen-Anderson, J.; Kozikowski, A. P. *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 2064–2071. doi:10.1021/jm0001121
21. Davidson, M. H.; McDonald, F. E. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1601–1603. doi:10.1021/ol049630m
22. Trost, B. M.; Chung, C. K.; Pinkerton, A. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4327–4329. doi:10.1002/anie.200460058
23. Fleming, J. J.; Du Bois, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 3926–3927. doi:10.1021/ja0608545
24. Llobat, A.; Escorihuela, J.; Sedgwick, D. M.; Rodenes, M.; Román, R.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Medio-Simón, M.; Fustero, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, 4193–4207. doi:10.1002/ejoc.202000598
25. Zhu, W.; Wang, J.; Wang, S.; Gu, Z.; Aceña, J. L.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H.; Soloshonok, V. A. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2014**, *167*, 37–54. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2014.06.026
26. Huang, Y.-Y.; Yang, X.; Chen, Z.; Verpoort, F.; Shibata, N. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 8664–8684. doi:10.1002/chem.201500361
27. Kauffman, G. S.; Harris, G. D.; Dorow, R. L.; Stone, B. R. P.; Parsons, R. L.; Pesti, J. A.; Magnus, N. A.; Fortunak, J. M.; Confalone, P. N.; Nugent, W. A. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 3119–3121. doi:10.1021/ol006321x
28. Crucianelli, M.; Angelis, F. D.; Lazzaro, F.; Malpezzi, L.; Volonterio, A.; Zanda, M. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2004**, *125*, 573–577. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2003.11.034
29. Jiang, B.; Si, Y.-G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 216–218. doi:10.1002/anie.200352301
30. Zhang, F.-G.; Ma, H.; Nie, J.; Zheng, Y.; Gao, Q.; Ma, J.-A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 1422–1428. doi:10.1002/adsc.201100926
31. Zhang, F.-G.; Ma, H.; Zheng, Y.; Ma, J.-A. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 7663–7669. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2012.05.086
32. Morisaki, K.; Sawa, M.; Nomaguchi, J.-y.; Morimoto, H.; Takeuchi, Y.; Mashima, K.; Ohshima, T. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 8417–8420. doi:10.1002/chem.201301237
33. Chen, M.-W.; Wu, B.; Chen, Z.-P.; Shi, L.; Zhou, Y.-G. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 4650–4653. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.6b02283
34. Chen, M.-W.; Yang, Q.; Deng, Z.; Zhou, Y.; Ding, Q.; Peng, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 8688–8694. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.8b00873
35. Xiao, H.; Huang, Y.; Qing, F.-L. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2010**, *21*, 2949–2955. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2010.11.028
36. Xie, C.; Wu, L.; Han, J.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Pan, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6019–6023. doi:10.1002/anie.201500908
37. Han, J.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Ono, T.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Curr. Org. Synth.* **2011**, *8*, 281–294. doi:10.2174/157017911794697277
38. Xie, C.; Zhang, L.; Sha, W.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 3270–3273. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.6b01516
39. Xie, C.; Dai, Y.; Mei, H.; Han, J.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Pan, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 9149–9152. doi:10.1039/c5cc02256h
40. Xie, C.; Wu, L.; Mei, H.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 5908–5910. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2014.09.001
41. Xie, C.; Wu, L.; Mei, H.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 7836–7843. doi:10.1039/c4ob01575d
42. Mei, H.; Xiong, Y.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 1402–1406. doi:10.1039/c0ob00586j
43. Xie, C.; Mei, H.; Wu, L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 4763–4768. doi:10.1039/c3ra45773g
44. Mei, H.; Xie, C.; Wu, L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 8018–8021. doi:10.1039/c3ob41785a
45. Mei, H.; Xiong, Y.; Xie, C.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 2108–2113. doi:10.1039/c3ob42348d
46. Liu, Y.; Huang, Y.; Qing, F.-L. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 4955–4961. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2012.04.070
47. Liu, Y.; Yang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F. L. *Synlett* **2015**, *26*, 67–72. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1379600
48. Shibata, N.; Nishimine, T.; Tokunaga, E.; Kawada, K.; Kagawa, T.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 4124–4126. doi:10.1039/c2cc30627a
49. Mei, H.; Han, J.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, 5917–5932. doi:10.1002/ejoc.201600578
50. Mei, H.; Han, J.; Fustero, S.; Román, R.; Ruzziconi, R.; Soloshonok, V. A. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2018**, *216*, 57–70. doi:10.1016/j.jfluchem.2018.10.003
51. Robak, M. T.; Herbage, M. A.; Ellman, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3600–3740. doi:10.1021/cr900382t
52. Liu, P.; Liu, Z.-J.; Wu, F. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, *357*, 818–822. doi:10.1002/adsc.201400992
53. Boerth, J. A.; Hummel, J. R.; Ellman, J. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 12650–12654. doi:10.1002/anie.201603831
54. Sanz-Vidal, Á.; Torres, J.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Zhu, Y.; Han, J.; Fustero, S.; del Pozo, C. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 366–373. doi:10.1002/adsc.201701284
55. Shevchuk, M. V.; Kukhar, V. P.; Röscenthaler, G.-V.; Bassil, B. S.; Kawada, K.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Sorochinsky, A. E. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 6479. doi:10.1039/c3ra40687c
56. Wu, L.; Xie, C.; Mei, H.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 7677–7681. doi:10.1021/jo5012009
57. Mei, H.; Dai, Y.; Wu, L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 2429–2433. doi:10.1002/ejoc.201400118
58. Sorochinsky, A. E.; Katagiri, T.; Ono, T.; Wzorek, A.; Aceña, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Chirality* **2013**, *25*, 365–368. doi:10.1002/chir.22180
59. Sorochinsky, A. E.; Aceña, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Synthesis* **2013**, *45*, 141–152. doi:10.1055/s-0032-1316812
60. Han, J.; Nelson, D. J.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Soloshonok, V. A. *Curr. Org. Synth.* **2011**, *8*, 310–317. doi:10.2174/157017911794697303

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>). Please note that the reuse, redistribution and reproduction in particular requires that the authors and source are credited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (<https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc>)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at:
<https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.16.217>