

[http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf](http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf?ref=pdf) Article

Band gap and Morphology Engineering of Hematite Nanoflakes from an *Ex Situ* **Sn Doping for Enhanced Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting**

[Hyo-Jin](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Hyo-Jin+Ahn"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Ahn, Stepan [Kment,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Stepan+Kment"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-6-0) Alberto [Naldoni,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Alberto+Naldoni"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Radek Zbořil, and Patrik [Schmuki](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Patrik+Schmuki"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)*

ABSTRACT: In this article, we report a simple *ex situ* Sn-doping method on hematite nanoflakes (coded as MSnO₂-H) that can protect the nanoflake (NF) morphology against the 800 °C high-temperature annealing process and activate the photoresponse of hematite until 800 nm wavelength excitation. $MSnO_2$ -H has been fabricated by dropping $SnCl_4$ ethanol solution on hematite nanoflakes homogeneously grown over the conductive FTO glass substrate and annealed at 500 \degree C to synthesize the SnO₂ nanoparticles on hematite NFs. The Sn-treated samples were then placed in a furnace again, and the sintering process was conducted at 800 °C for 15 min. During this step, structure deformation of hematite occurs normally due to the grain boundary motion and oriented attachment. However, in the case of $MSnO₂$ -H, the outer $SnO₂$ nanoparticles efficiently prevented a shape deformation and maintained the nanoflake shape owing to the encapsulation of hematite NFs. Furthermore, the interface of hematite/SnO₂ nanoparticles became the spots for a heavy Sn ion doping. We demonstrated the generation of the newly localized states, resulting in an extension of the photoresponse of hematite until 800 nm wavelength light irradiation. Furthermore, we demonstrated that $SnO₂$ nanoparticles can effectively act as a passivation layer, which can reduce the onset potential of hematite for water splitting redox reactions. The optimized MSnO₂-H nanostructures showed a 2.84 times higher photocurrent density and 300 mV reduced onset potential compared with a pristine hematite nanoflake photoanode.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Hematite iron oxide $(\alpha$ -Fe₂O₃) is one of the promising candidates for the production of hydrogen via photoelectrochemical water splitting (PEC-WS) owing to its suitable band gap (2.1 eV) providing a high theoretical solar-tohydrogen efficiency (15.3%), high chemical and photo-corrosion stability, as well as earth abundance.^{[1](#page-6-0)−[3](#page-7-0)} It is an ntype semiconductor and hence is utilized as the photoanode material in the PEC-WS cells. However, the performance of the hematite photoanodes is still limited due to several drawbacks such as a high electrical resistivity, short holediffusion length (*L*^h ≈ 2−4 nm), high charge recombination rate, and poor oxygen evolution reaction kinetics taking place on its surface. $4-6$ $4-6$ $4-6$ A number of approaches have been introduced to address these problems including, particularly, various nanostructuring and morphology controls (e.g., thin film,^{[7](#page-7-0)} nanoflake,⁸ nanorod,⁹ and nanoporous structure¹⁰), doping by foreign elements $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ $(Sn^{4+}, ^{11} Ti^{4+}, ^{12} Pt^{4+}, ^{13} B^{3+}, ^{14} etc.),$ deposition of cocatalysts on the hematite surface (e.g., Zn-Co $LDH₁¹⁵$ $LDH₁¹⁵$ $LDH₁¹⁵$ Co-Pi,^{[16](#page-7-0)} and FeOOH¹⁷), and/or deposition of isocrystalline ultrathin passivation layers. 18,19 18,19 18,19

Morphologically controlled hematite nanostructures are highly desired in terms of increasing light absorption because of their high specific area and addressing problems associated with a short diffusion length of photoholes, which both lead to

Received: June 27, 2022 Accepted: August 31, 2022 Published: September 19, 2022

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the fabrication procedures for (a) Fe film, (b) P-H (Fe₂O₃ NF), (c) SnO₂-H, and (d) MSnO₂-H, respectively.

a significantly enhanced PEC-WS activity.[20](#page-7-0)−[22](#page-7-0) Elemental doping is the efficient way to increase a donor density in hematite and thus the electrical conductivity.^{[23](#page-7-0)} Tin (Sn) is the most typical example of metal impurity used for the hematite doping. The substitution of iron by Sn^{4+} ions in the hematite lattice has led to an increase in the donor density N_D from 7.86 \times 10¹⁹ to 1.95 \times 10²⁰ cm⁻³.^{[24](#page-7-0)} Consequently, the dynamics of photocharges is enhanced because of better electrical conductivity. Both *in situ* and *ex situ* methods have been described to incorporate tin ions into hematite structures. In the case of *in situ* doping, Sn dopants were added to the precursor solution of hematite during hydrothermal growth.²⁵ *In situ* doping is a plain process that provides flexibility in the selection of dopants and uses relatively low sintering temperatures without additional doping steps.²⁶ However, deformation of morphology and changes in crystallinity are observed from *in situ*-doped hematite.²³ The most frequent strategy for *ex situ* doping is a thermal diffusion of tin ions coming from the FTO glass substrate (the glass substrate is coated by a conductive film of fluorine-doped $SnO₂$) at high temperatures (~ 800 °C).^{[15](#page-7-0)} Correspondingly, one can use a tin-containing precursor solution deposited on the hematite or akaganeites (*β*-FeOOH) surface, which is then thermally treated under similar temperatures. 27 The key advantage of the *ex situ* processes is that various hematite and/or akaganeite nanostructures (nanorods, 28 nanotubes, 29 nanoflakes, 30 etc.) can be utilized as the starting substrates. Furthermore, Sn doping also leads to a slightly reduced optical band gap and enhanced optical adsorption coefficient by structural distortion of the hematite lattice. 31 In addition, from computational approaches a band gap reduction of hematite is expected by newly localized energy levels, resulting from orbital hybridization between Fe ions (3d orbital) and Sn ions (5s and 5p orbitals). 32 However, there are only a few studies dealing with the relationship between PEC performance and increased optical property of hematite. $31,33$ $31,33$ $31,33$ Especially, the photoresponse at long wavelength $(\lambda \ge 650 \text{ nm})$ of the incident light without plasmon resonances by novel metals and/or light-trapping structures, which is an important issue for improving the PEC performance of hematite, has been rarely reported. $34,35$ $34,35$ $34,35$

The passivation overlayers, for example, TiO_2 , 18 18 18 Al₂O₃, 19 19 19 or $Ga_2O_3^{36}$ $Ga_2O_3^{36}$ $Ga_2O_3^{36}$ conformally and homogeneously covering the hematite surface generally provide two beneficial effects; namely, they improve stability of photoanodes by preventing a direct contact between electrolyte and hematite, as well as they passivate the detrimental surface states that work as recombination centeres. As a consequence of the surface state inactivation and thus of reduced recombination of the charge carriers, the photocurrent density increases, and simultaneously, the onset potential is shifted to a more cathodic region, which results in significantly higher PEC-WS activity.³

In this work, we report morphology-controlled hematite nanoflakes that were treated with a $SnO₂$ coating, which acts as a passivation layer ($MSnO₂-H$) and provides photoresponses at long wavelength (∼800 nm) using *ex situ* surface treatments and a high-temperature annealing process. The photocurrent density of the $MSnO_2$ -H obtained is 0.847 mA/cm² at 1.23 V_{RHE} , which is about 16-fold enhanced PEC activity over pristine hematite nanoflakes (P-H). We attribute the significant enhancement not only to a reduced recombination by surface passivation effects that can be defined using an intensitymodulated photocurrent spectroscopy (IMPS) analysis but also to an enhanced conductivity and extended photoresponses $(650 \le \lambda \le 800 \text{ nm})$ by newly localized energy levels resulting from a high concentration of Sn^{4+} doping.

■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The fabrication of hematite nanoflake-based photoanodes follows several steps, as depicted in Figure 1. First, a 600 nm thick film of pure iron was deposited onto a FTO substrate by using magnetron sputtering. It is worth noting that it is a novel strategy for preparing fully transparent hematite photoanodes with a nanoflake coverage, that is, we use a total thermal oxidation of the iron thin film to obtain this morphology.³⁸ In the second step, the FTO/iron film substrates were annealed at 400 \degree C for 2 h to grow pristine hematite nanoflakes (hereafter, the samples denoted as P-H). In the third step, a precursor solution of $SnCl₄$ diluted in ethanol was drop-casted over the P-H samples and then annealed at 800 °C for 15 min (hereafter, the samples are denoted as $SnO₂-H$). Alternatively, prior to the high-temperature treatment, the samples were

annealed first at 500 °C for 1 h in order to preserve the nanoflake morphology (hereafter, the samples are denoted as $MSnO₂-H$). In other words, during the 500 °C annealing process, the thin and small $SnO₂$ NPs are uniformly formed on the surface of hematite nanoflakes, which can prevent a morphology deformation resulting from the restricted grain boundary motion and oriented attachment.²⁰ It is a strategy similar to the $SiO₂$ encapsulation described by Sivula et al.³

The top-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the hematite photoanodes treated under different conditions are displayed in Figure 2. The as-grown hematite samples

Figure 2. SEM images of (a) P-H, (b) Sn-H, (c) SnO₂-H, and (d) $MSnO₂$ -H, respectively.

(Figures 2a, [S1b](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf)) show a dense array of well-developed nanoflakes, having a length varying between 0.3 and 2 *μ*m and thickness between ∼150 and ∼20 nm at the bottom and their terminating tips, respectively. During the single annealing process at 800 °C of bare hematite nanoflakes (i.e., those without applied $SnCl₄$ solution), an undesirable sintering process of the nanoflakes clearly occurred. It means that the nanoflake morphology transformed to a much sharper nanowire-like shape due to grain boundary diffusion, as demonstrated by SEM and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figures 2b and [S2\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf). In this procedure, the tin doping in the hematite lattice took place via the mechanism of the thermal diffusion of Sn^{4+} ions realized from the bottom FTO substrate (the sample is hereafter coded as $Sn-H$).^{[15,25](#page-7-0)} A semi-preserved nanoflake structure was achieved despite the same high-temperature annealing in the case of $SnCl₄$ -treated hematite nanoflakes (Figure 2c). Finally, it can be observed in Figure 2d that the samples treated with the $SnCl₄$ solution and then annealed in two sequential steps, first at 500 °C for 1 h and then at 800 °C for 15 min (see [Figure](#page-1-0) 1), well-maintained the desired nanoflake morphology ($MSnO₂$ -H, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S3). During the first annealing step, the dried drops of the SnCl4 precursor oxidized to $SnO₂$ NPs, which uniformly covered the hematite nanoflake surface. These nanoparticles then provide two functions during the following second annealing step; namely, they form an encapsulation scaffold to prevent morphology change (a simpler approach than previously reported silica encapsulation) and are a simultaneous source of the ex *situ* Sn^{4+} doping of hematite.

The TEM images of P-H, $SnO₂-H$, and $MSnO₂-H$ are well consistent with corresponding SEM images, as shown in Figure 2. The energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping [\(Figure](#page-3-0) [3](#page-3-0)e−g) visualizes the distribution of Sn, Fe, and O ions in

 $MSnO₂-H$. In particular, the quantitative analysis of a single $SnO₂$ nanoparticle on Fe₂O₃ NF, which is calculated from EDS mapping ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S4), demonstrates that Sn ions from $SnO₂$ and Fe ions from $Fe₂O₃$ NF can be interchangeably diffused into each other during high-temperature annealing, leading to the formation of Fe-doped $SnO₂$ (F/SnO₂) and Sn-doped $Fe₂O₃$ in MSnO₂-H. As shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 3h, the particle size distribution of $Fe/SnO₂$ is from 1.0 to 8.1 nm with a lattice spacing of 0.33 nm, which corresponds to the (110) plane of tetragonal $SnO₂$ (inset of [Figure](#page-3-0) 3h).^{[40](#page-8-0)}

The crystalline structure of the photoanodes was determined by X-ray diffraction. All the samples tested showed a wellcrystalline hematite structure with the preferential orientation of the crystallites along the (110) crystalline plane, which provides the highest electrical conductivity. One of the important features is that only the P-H sample contained a contribution of the magnetite iron oxide $(Fe₃O₄)$ phase, which commonly accompanies iron oxide nanoflakes prepared by thermal oxidation of iron substrates. The presence of magnetite, however, decreases the overall efficiency of the hematite photoanodes. Thanks to the second annealing step at 800 °C, the magnetite phase was fully transformed into the pure hematite phase.

To study the chemical compositions of the P-H and $MSnO₂$ -H samples, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed [\(Figures](#page-3-0) 3i and [S4\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf). [Figure](#page-3-0) 3i presents the Fe 2p scans showing the Fe $2P_{3/2}$ (711 eV) and the Fe $2P_{1/2}$ (724.5) eV) peaks that are well consistent with those reported for hematite. Furthermore, the coexistence of Fe 2P peaks and an additional peak at 715.8 eV, which is indicative of the Sn $3P_{3/2}$ in MSnO₂-H, demonstrates the decoration of $SnO₂$ particles on hematite nanoflakes. In addition, the Fe ion diffusion from hematite NFs into $SnO₂$ (Fe/SnO₂) because of the ion diffusion due to the high-temperature sintering process can be observed by EDX mapping [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S4d).^{[15,](#page-7-0)[41](#page-8-0)} The XPS analysis of the $MSnO₂-H$ shows two major peaks at 487.3 eV (Sn $3d_{5/2}$) and 495.7 eV (Sn $3d_{3/2}$), which are matched with the reported XPS data for Sn-treated hematite, indicating substitutional doping of Sn^{4+} ions in the hematite lattice ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S5).^{[23](#page-7-0)} [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S6 shows the valence band maximum (VBM) of P-H (1.20 eV) and $MSnO₂$ -H (1.55 eV) assessed by linearly fitting the leading edge of the valence band retrieved from the XPS measurements. The analysis indicates that the Fermi level of $MSnO₂-H$ is shifted toward the conduction band (CB) compared with pristine P-H. This Fermi-level shifting demonstrates that hematite nanoflakes are doped by Sn^{4+} ions. A concentration of 12.08% of Sn on $MSnO_2$ -H was estimated from the XPS analysis. This value includes the Snion doping in $Fe/SnO₂$ NPs. To calculate the Sn concentration of $MSnO₂$ -H more precisely, we can assume that the spots of the highest Sn concentration in EDS (red spots in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S7) correspond to a Sn concentration of pristine $SnO₂$ (33.73 at. $\%^{42}$). This leads to a Sn concentration at the interface of Fe/ SnO2/hematite NFs of approximately 10−12.5% and the average Sn concentration of hematite NFs in $MSnO_2-H$ of 7.5%, respectively. The band gap for the samples can be determined from the plot $(i_{ph}h\nu)^{1/2}$ *versus* photo energy $(h\nu)$, where *i*_{ph} is photocurrent density, as shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 3k. In particular, the band gap of P-H and $MSnO_2$ -H can be read as approximately 2.0 and 1.87 eV, respectively, which are the values well corresponding to those reported for hematite iron oxide. Interestingly, from the plot corresponding to the $MSnO₂$ -H sample, potentially, one more value of a band gap

Figure 3. TEM images of (a) P-H, (b) SnO_2-H , and (c,d, and h) $MSnO_2-H$. EDS mapping images of (e) Sn-K, (f) Fe-K, and (g) O-K signals from a MSnO₂-H. (h) TEM image of Fe/SnO₂ NPs. Inset in (h): lattice constant of Fe/SnO₂ NPs. (i) XRD data of P-H, Sn-H, SnO₂-H, and MSnO₂-H, respectively, (j) Fe 2p scans for the P-H and MSnO₂-H, and (k) band gap calculation from an $(I_{\text{ph}}h\nu)^{1/2}$ *vs* photon energy (*hv*) plot of P-H and $MSnO₂-H.$

of 1.53 eV can be observed caused by newly localized energy levels resulting from the high concentration of Sn-ion doping (ca. 10−12.5%). This result is well consistent with the computational simulation, which shows the reduced band gap (1.57 eV) of hematite with 12.5 atom % of Sn.^{[32](#page-7-0)}

The linear sweep voltammetry of P-H, Sn-H, $SnO₂-H$, and $MSnO₂$ -H in a 1 M KOH solution with the Ag/AgCl reference electrode under an AM 1.5 solar simulator is shown in [Figure](#page-4-0) [4](#page-4-0)a. The PEC performance of the bilayer structure of hematite nanoflakes and thin film using an optimized process for its preparation has been already reported by our group elsewhere.³⁸ The charge compensations between the photoexcited electrons from hematite nanoflakes and photoexcited holes from the bottom hematite film layer can effectively reduce the probability of a recombination rate of photoanode. The P-H had a photocurrent density of 0.38 mA/cm² at 1.50 V_{RHE} and an onset potential of 1.21 V_{RHE} , and the photocurrent density of Sn-H increased by 0.63 mA/cm² at 1.50 V_{RHE} with an onset potential of 1.13 V_{RHE} . The increased PEC performance of the Sn-H compared with P-H is caused by not only the reduced magnetite layer located between hematite nanoflakes and FTO glass that hinders the electron transfer, as shown in X-ray diffraction (XRD) data (see Figure 3i), but also the enhanced conductivity by Sn-ion doping from bottom FTO glass due to the 800 $^{\circ}$ C annealing process. When the SnCl₄ was treated on $Fe₂O₃$ NF, as shown in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1, the photocurrent density of $SnO₂$ -H reached 0.92 mA/cm² at 1.50 V_{RHE} with the onset potential of $0.93V_{RHE}$ due to surface passivation and $Sn⁴⁺$ ion

Figure 4. (a) Linear sweep voltammetry for P-H, Sn-H, SnO₂-H, and MSnO₂-H with chopped light under 1 sun condition. (b) IPCE of P-H, Sn-H, SnO_2-H , and $MSnO_2-H$ measured at 1.50 V_{RHE} . (c) Chronoamperometry measurements of P-H, Sn-H, SnO₂-H, and $MSnO_2-H$ at 1.50 V_{RHE} under illumination ($λ = 800$ nm). (d) Charge transfer efficiency of P-H and MSnO₂-H calculated from the IMPS measurement.

doping. In addition, the photocurrent density of $MSnO₂$ -H is further enhanced to 1.08 mA/cm² at 1.50 V_{RHE} with an onset potential of 0.93 V_{RHE} . This can be ascribed to the larger surface area of $MSnO_2$ -H than that of SnO_2 -H obtained by a simple morphology engineering, which helps to preserve the shape of nanoflakes similar to P-H compared with $SnO₂$ -H (nanowire-like shape, [Figure](#page-3-0) 3b). As a consequence, more light, including long wavelength, can be absorbed by the nanoflakes, and thus a higher photocurrent density can be reached. The IPCE data in Figure 4b shows a higher photoresponse of $SnCl₄$ -treated samples compared to that of P-H and Sn-H according to the wavelength at 1.50 V_{RHE} . Although the IPCE values of P-H from 500 to 650 nm wavelength could not be detected, the IPCE values of $SnO₂·H$ and $MSnO₂$ -H can clearly be observed from 500 to 650 nm due to decreased recombination and the electron−hole pairs (EHPs), which are generated by newly localized states due to a high concentration of Sn doping. To compare the photoresponse of samples at 800 nm wavelength, the chronoamperometry was measured in a 1 M of KOH electrolyte and at an applied bias of 1.50 V_{RHE} under chopped light illumination. The acquired data are presented in Figure 4c. The photocurrent of the $MSnO₂$ -H is found to be 1.5 μ A, whereas that of Sn-H and P-H is approximately zero. The higher photocurrent of $MSnO_2$ -H than SnO_2 -H may be attributed to the higher amount of absorbers resulting from a wider hematite nanoflake because of the morphology-controlled process, as shown in [Figures](#page-2-0) 2 and [3.](#page-3-0)

To further clarify the photoresponses of P-H and $MSnO_2-H$, the photocurrent was measured at the applied potential bias of 1.50 V_{RHE} and particularly under different wavelengths of light illuminating the samples. The results are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) [S8a,b](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf). Although the photoresponse of P-H was negligible for the incident wavelengths higher than 620 nm, which is consistent with its band gap energy (2.0 eV) of hematite, the

photoresponse of $MSnO₂$ -H extended to the wavelength of 800 nm. As reported elsewhere, the localized $Fe²⁺$ 3d derived states are generated above the top of the valence band (VB) of hematite when the extra electron from Sn^{4+} converts a neighboring Fe^{3+} to a localized $\text{Fe}^{2+}, \frac{32,43}{3}$ $\text{Fe}^{2+}, \frac{32,43}{3}$ $\text{Fe}^{2+}, \frac{32,43}{3}$ $\text{Fe}^{2+}, \frac{32,43}{3}$ $\text{Fe}^{2+}, \frac{32,43}{3}$ The Fe^{2+} -localized gap states in Sn-doped hematite have been observed by using a combination of XPS VB spectra and DFT + U calculation.⁴⁴ With increasing Sn contents in $Fe₂O₃$, the number of localized $Fe²⁺$ 3d gap states increases—this is in line with the previous literature.^{[44](#page-8-0)} The extended absorption of $MSnO_2-H$ can be ascribed to the newly created localized $Fe²⁺$ 3d states caused by Sn doping between the VB and CB of hematite, which can absorb photons of smaller energy to generate photoexcited EHPs, which can participate in the PEC reaction.^{[32](#page-7-0)[,45](#page-8-0)}

To elucidate the role of the $Fe/SnO₂$ NPs as a passivation layer, the charge transfer (K_t) and surface recombination (K_r) rate constants of P-H and $MSnO₂-H$ are calculated by using IMPS responses, as shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S9. The calculation for P-H ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S9b) shows that K_r slightly decreases until 1.4 V_{RHE} and significantly decreases at 1.5 V_{RHE} , while the K_t remains constant over the entire potential. Although the K_t of $MSnO_2$ -H shows a similar trend with $Fe₂O₃$ NF after 1.0 V_{RHE} , the K_r of the $MSnO_2$ -H shows a steep negative slope from 0.9 V_{RHE} , which indicates reduced recombination, as shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) [S9c](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf). Figure 4d shows the charge transfer efficiency [$η_{tr}$, defined as $K_t/(K_t + K_r)$, which is involved in the charge transfer reaction as a function of potential for P-H and $MSnO_2-H$.^{[6](#page-7-0)[,46](#page-8-0)} The η_{tr} of P-H is lower than 0.2 until bias potential of 1.3 V_{RHE}, which means that most of the holes are trapped by surface states of hematite, and it is barely increased to 0.6 at a bias potential of 1.5 V_{RHE} . The η_{tr} of SnO₂-H [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S10) and $MSnO_2$ -H is higher than that of the P-H after 1.0 V_{RHE} , which demonstrates that Fe-doped $SnO₂$ NPs on the surface of hematite help facile charge transfer from the space charge region to the electrolyte by effectively decreasing the

recombination resulting from passivated surface states of the hematite. This indicates that tiny Fe-doped $SnO₂ NPs$ (1.0− 8.1 nm) can be a superior passivation layer on hematite.

Last but not the least, to elucidate the charge transfer mechanism between the surface of the hematite and electrolyte, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out in the frequency range of 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz at 1.50 V_{RHE} of applied bias and with 369 nm light source.¹⁵ Nyquist plots for the P-H, Sn-H, SnO₂-H, and $MSnO_2$ -H were fitted by using the equivalent circuit model shown in the inset image of Figure 5. The equivalent circuit consisted of the series

Figure 5. Nyquist plots of P-H, Sn-H, $SnO₂-H$, and $MSnO₂-H$ under a 369 nm LED source at 1.50 V_{RHE} . The inset image is an equivalent circuit model for fitting the experimental data.

resistance of the electrochemical cell, namely R_s , the trapping/ detrapping resistance of electrons, R1, the space charge capacitance of the bulk hematite, C1, the charge transfer resistance between the electrolyte and the surface of the hematite, R2, and the space charge capacitance at the interface between the electrolyte and the surface of the hematite, C2. The *R*_s values for every sample show similar values, indicating the same experimental condition in the electrochemical measurement. 47 Since the Sn⁴⁺ ions by diffusion from bottom FTO glass can effectively increase the conductivity of hematite, the R1 and R2 value of Sn-H (3,550 and 9,449 Ω) is lower than that of the P-H (4,608 and 12,380 Ω), as shown in Figure 5 and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S2.^{[48](#page-8-0)} After the decoration of the $SnO₂$ NPs on Fe₂O₃ NF by an *ex situ* SnCl₄ solution treatment, the R1 and R2 value of $MSnO_2$ -H is decreased to 649.3 and 3,510 Ω , respectively. This indicates that the $SnO₂$ NPs on hematite NF act as a passivation layer, which strongly suppresses the surface recombination owing to the reduced surface defect states, causing the high charge recombination and inefficient water oxidation by photogenerated holes.

Taking into consideration XPS, band gap calculation, photoresponse, and IMPS measurement, the band diagram of P-H and $MSnO₂$ -H can be described, as illustrated in Figure 6a,b, respectively. When P-H absorbs the light, the electron−

hole pairs (EHPs) are generated. Although the EHPs, which are generated in the depletion region, can be flowed to the electrolyte to participate in the water splitting reaction, the photoexcited holes in P-H are captured by surface states, resulting in recombination at the surface of P-H, as aforementioned. Meanwhile, the EHPs in the $MSnO_2-H$ can be easily extracted from the electrolyte without recombination due to the passivation layer consisting of $Fe/SnO₂$ NPs. Furthermore, a high concentration of Sn doping can generate a localized Fe^{2+} 3d state above the VB, which can reduce the band gap, as described in [Figures](#page-3-0) 3k and 6b. The Sn doping level at the interface of $Fe/SnO₂$ and hematite is higher than that of the plain surface of $MSnO_2-H$, as shown in EDS analysis ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf) S4b,c). Since the energy level of the localized $Fe²⁺$ 3d state is variable by the Sn doping amount, the interface between $Fe/SnO₂$ and hematite could be the site for absorbing the long wavelength (800 nm).[44](#page-8-0) This demonstrates that *ex situ* doping by a high concentration of Sn^{4+} ions on hematite not only creates the passivation layer consisting of $Fe/SnO₂$ NPs but also reduces the band gap, which can generate additional EHPs at a long wavelength.

In conclusion, we explored shape-preserved hematite nanoflakes with Fe-doped $SnO₂$ NPs fabricated by a simple *ex situ* decoration method to produce an enhanced PEC performance. The $SnO₂$ NPs on hematite nanoflakes not only sustain the original shape of pristine hematite nanoflakes but also act as a passivation layer. Furthermore, $MSnO_2-H$ showed greatly reduced recombination and presented a photocurrent current density of 1.08 mA/cm² at 1.50 V_{RHE} , with a photoresponse even at a long wavelength (800 nm). Our strategy demonstrates the simple way to reduce the EHP recombination of hematite NF and extend the capability of light absorption of hematite for the PEC reaction to the nearinfrared region.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Preparation of HNF and Sn-HB Samples. The iron films with a thickness of 600 nm were deposited on FTO glass by using the magnetron sputtering technique, as reported in our previous paper.^{[38](#page-7-0)} To grow the hematite NFs on the iron film, the prepared iron film/FTO samples were heated at 400 °C for 2 h in air using a furnace. The $SnCl₄/ethanol$ solution was prepared by mixing ethanol/SnCl₄ = 200:1 (v/v). Then, 20 μ L of the $SnCl₄$ ethanol solution was dropped on the hematite NFs/FTO and dried in air for 20 min. The dried $SnCl₄$ treated sample was annealed at 500 °C in the furnace for 1 h and then cooled down to room temperature. The sample was annealed once again at 800 °C for 15 min to prepare $MSnO_2$ -H. For $SnO₂-H$ (without the morphology control), the annealing procedure at 500 °C was skipped. In the case of Sn-H, the

Figure 6. Schematic illustration of the energy band diagram and charge transfer process in (a) P-H and (b) $MSnO₂$ -H.

hematite NF was placed in the furnace for 15 min at 800 °C without $SnCl₄$ treatment.

Characterization of the Samples. The morphology of the samples was investigated using SEM (Hitachi, S-4800). The EDS analysis was carried on by using a TEM machine (HRTEM, Titan G2). XRD was performed with an X'pert Philips MPD (equipped with a Panalytical X'celerator detector) with graphite monochromatic Cu K*α* radiation (*λ* $= 1.54056$ Å). The chemical composition and the VBM were characterized by XPS (PHI 5600), and the peak positions were calibrated according to the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV.

Photoelectrochemical Measurements. The three-electrode system, which consists of a Pt mesh and an Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) electrode as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode, respectively, was used to measure the photoelectrochemical performances of the samples under AM 1.5 illumination in 1 M KOH electrolyte. Photocurrent density was measured based on the linear sweep voltammetry method by using a potential range from −0.5 to 0.7 V at a scan rate of 2 mV s⁻¹. All potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) using the following equation: $E_{\rm RHE}$ = 0.210 + *E*Ag/AgCl + 0.059 pH, where *E*Ag/AgCl is the experimentally measured potential. The incident photocurrent conversion efficiency measurements were carried out in the wavelength range from 300 to 700 nm at the fixed potential of 1.23 V_{RHE} and in the 1 M KOH electrolyte. The EIS data were obtained at 1.23 V_{RHE} in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz with a 369 nm L.E.D light source. The IMPS analysis was conducted in the range from 1.0 to 1.7 V_{RHE} under 452 nm light illumination at an intensity of 10 mW/cm $^2\!$.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028?goto=supporting-info)

> Cross-sectional SEM image of 600 nm of iron film and $Fe₂O₃$ NF on FTO glass; TEM image of Sn-H; highresolution SEM image of $MSnO_2-H$; high-magnification TEM image of $MSnO₂-H$ and the corresponding EDS mapping of Sn/Fe, Sn, and Fe; XPS spectra of Sn 3d scans, O 1s scans for the P-H and $MSnO_2-H$; surface atomic composition obtained from XPS analysis for $MSnO₂-H$ and P-H; VBM of P-H (1.20 eV) and $MSnO₂-H$ (1.55 eV); calculated Sn ion concentration of $MSnO₂$ -H from the EDS measurement; chronoamperometry measurements of P-H and $MSnO_2$ -H at 1.50 V_{RHE} under different wavelengths; typical IMPS response for P-H and $MSnO_2$ -H, respectively, as a function of applied potential and the rate constants of electron−hole recombination (K_r) and hole transfer (K_t) for P-H and $MSnO_2$ -H, respectively, as a function of applied potential; IMPS response for $SnO₂$ -H, as a function of applied potential, charge transfer efficiency of $SnO₂$ -H calculated from IMPS measurement, rate constants of electron−hole recombination (*K*r), and hole transfer (K_t) for P-H and $MSnO_2$ -H, respectively, as a function of applied potential; EIS fitting results of P-H, Sn-H, $SnO₂-H$, and $MSnO₂-H$ [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028/suppl_file/ao2c04028_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

- Stepan Kment − *Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic; Nanotechnology Centre, Centre of Energy and Environmental Technologies, VS*̌ *B*−*Technical University of Ostrava, 708 00 Ostrava-Poruba, Czech Republic*; [orcid.org/0000-0002-6381-5093;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6381-5093) Email: stepan.kment@upol.cz
- Patrik Schmuki − *Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-9208-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9208-5771) [5771;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9208-5771) Email: Patrik.Schmuki@ww.uni-erlangen.de

Authors

- Hyo-Jin Ahn − *LSTME Busan Branch, 31, 46742 Busan, Republic of Korea; Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-4283-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4283-8592) [8592](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4283-8592)
- Alberto Naldoni − *Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic; Department of Chemistry and NIS Centre, University of Turin, 10125 Torino, Italy;* orcid.org/0000-0001-5932-2125
- Radek Zboril**̌** − *Regional Centre of Advanced Technologies and Materials, Faculty of Science, Palacky University, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic; Nanotechnology Centre, Centre of Energy and Environmental Technologies, VS*̌ *B*−*Technical University of Ostrava, 708 00 Ostrava-Poruba, Czech Republic;* ● orcid.org/0000-0002-3147-2196

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c04028?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to acknowledge the ERC, the DFG, the Erlangen DFG Cluster of Excellence EAM, project EXC 315 (Bridge), the DFG funCOS, and the Operational Programme Research, Development and Education-European Regional Development Fund, project no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15_003/ 0000416. S.K. and R.Z. acknowledge the funding from the Czech Science Foundation, project GA CR−EXPRO, 19− 27454X. H.-J.A. acknowledges the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (NRF-2021R1F1A1051912). The authors thank JeongEun Yoo for managing the samples and SEM measurement.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Sivula, K.; Le Formal, F.; Grätzel, M. Solar Water [Splitting:](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201000416) Progress Using Hematite (*α*-Fe2O3) [Photoelectrodes.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201000416) *ChemSusChem* 2011, *4*, 432−449.

(2) Ahn, H.-J.; Yoon, K.-Y.; Kwak, M.-J.; Lee, J.-S.; Thiyagarajan, P.; Jang, J.-H. MoSx [supported](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ta06743j) Hematite with Enhanced Photo[electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ta06743j) Performance. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, *3* (). DOI: [10.1039/c5ta06743j](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ta06743j?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(3) Landman, A.; Dotan, H.; Shter, G. E.; Wullenkord, M.; Houaijia, A.; Maljusch, A.; Grader, G. S.; Rothschild, A. [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4876) Water Splitting in Separate Oxygen and [Hydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4876) Cells. *Nat. Mater.* 2017, *16*, 646−651.

(4) Kennedy, J. H.; Frese, K. W. [Photooxidation](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2131532) of Water at *α* - Fe2 O 3 [Electrodes.](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2131532) *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1978, *125*, 709−714.

(5) Barroso, M.; Pendlebury, S. R.; Cowan, A. J.; Durrant, J. R. Charge carrier trapping, [recombination](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3sc50496d) and transfer in hematite (*α*-Fe2O3) water splitting [photoanodes.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3sc50496d) *Chem. Sci.* 2013, *4*, 2724−2734.

(6) Makimizu, Y.; Yoo, J.; Poornajar, M.; Nguyen, N. T.; Ahn, H.-J.; Hwang, I.; Kment, S.; Schmuki, P. Effects of low oxygen [annealing](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA10358A) on the [photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA10358A) water splitting properties of *α*-Fe2O3. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2020, *8*, 1315−1325.

(7) Kang, M. J.; Kang, Y. S. Ultrathin Insulating [Under-Layer](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA03468J) with a Hematite Thin Film for Enhanced [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA03468J) (PEC) Water [Splitting](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA03468J) Activity. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, *3*, 15723−15728.

(8) Wang, L.; Nguyen, N. T.; Zhang, Y.; Bi, Y.; Schmuki, P. Enhanced Solar Water Splitting by Swift Charge [Separation](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201700522) in Au/ FeOOH Sandwiched [Single-Crystalline](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201700522) Fe2 O3 Nanoflake Photo[electrodes.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201700522) *ChemSusChem* 2017, *10*, 2720−2727.

(9) Wang, X.; Gao, W.; Zhao, Z.; Zhao, L.; Claverie, J. P.; Zhang, X.; Wang, J.; Liu, H.; Sang, Y. Efficient [Photo-Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.02.048) Water Splitting Based on Hematite Nanorods Doped with [Phosphorus.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.02.048) *Appl. Catal., B* 2019, *248*, 388−393.

(10) Ahn, H.-J.; Kwak, M.-J.; Lee, J.-S.; Yoon, K.-Y.; Jang, J.-H. [Nanoporous](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta04890c) Hematite Structures to Overcome Short Diffusion Lengths in Water [Splitting.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta04890c) *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, *2*, 19999−20003. (11) Ling, Y.; Li, Y. Review of Sn-Doped Hematite [Nanostructures](https://doi.org/10.1002/ppsc.201400051)

for [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/ppsc.201400051) Water Splitting. *Part. Part. Syst. Char.* 2014, *31*, 1113−1121.

(12) Gao, S.; Wang, D.; Wang, Y.; Li, C.; Liu, Y.; Suzuki, N.; Terashima, C.; Fujishima, A.; Zhang, X. [Activating](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2019.06.131) Titanium Dopants in Hematite [Photoanode](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2019.06.131) by Rapid Thermal Annealing for Enhancing [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2019.06.131) Water Oxidation. *Electrochim. Acta* 2019, *318*, 746−753.

(13) Kim, J. Y.; Magesh, G.; Youn, D. H.; Jang, J. W.; Kubota, J.; Domen, K.; Lee, J. S. [Single-Crystalline,](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02681) Wormlike Hematite [Photoanodes](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02681) for Efficient Solar Water Splitting. *Sci. Rep.* 2013, *3*, 8. (14) Ahn, H.-J.; Yoon, K.-Y.; Kwak, M.-J.; Park, J.; Jang, J.-H. [Boron](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b03184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Doping of [Metal-Doped](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b03184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Hematite for Reduced Surface Recombination in Water [Splitting.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b03184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Catal.* 2018, *8*, 11932−11939.

(15) Ahn, H.-J.; Goswami, A.; Riboni, F.; Kment, S.; Naldoni, A.; Mohajernia, S.; Zboril, R.; Schmuki, P. Hematite [Photoanode](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201800256) with Complex [Nanoarchitecture](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201800256) Providing Tunable Gradient Doping and Low Onset Potential for [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201800256) Water Splitting. *ChemSusChem* 2018, *11*, 1873.

(16) Carroll, G. M.; Zhong, D. K.; Gamelin, D. R. [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE02869D) insights into solar water oxidation by [cobalt-phosphate-modified](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE02869D) *α*-Fe2O3 [photoanodes.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE02869D) *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, *8*, 577−584.

(17) Kim, J. Y.; Youn, D. H.; Kang, K.; Lee, J. S. Highly [Conformal](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201605924) [Deposition](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201605924) of an Ultrathin FeOOH Layer on a Hematite [Nanostructure](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201605924) for Efficient Solar Water Splitting. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 10854−10858.

(18) Ahmed, M. G.; Kretschmer, I. E.; Kandiel, T. A.; Ahmed, A. Y.; Rashwan, F. A.; Bahnemann, D. W. A Facile Surface [Passivation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b07065?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Hematite [Photoanodes](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b07065?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with TiO2 Overlayers for Efficient Solar Water [Splitting.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b07065?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2015, *7*, 24053−24062.

(19) Fan, Z.; Xu, Z.; Yan, S.; Zou, Z. Tuning the Ion [Permeability](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA01430A) of an Al2O3 Coating Layer on Fe2O3 [Photoanodes](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA01430A) for Improved [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA01430A) Water Oxidation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5*, 8402−8407.

(20) Ahn, H.-J.; Yoon, K.-Y.; Kwak, M.-J.; Jang, J.-H. A [Titanium-](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201603666)Doped [SiOxPassivation](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201603666) Layer for Greatly Enhanced Performance of a Hematite-Based [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201603666) System. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 9922−9926.

(21) Li, M.; Yang, Y.; Ling, Y.; Qiu, W.; Wang, F.; Liu, T.; Song, Y.; Liu, X.; Fang, P.; Tong, Y.; Li, Y. [Morphology](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b00184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Doping Engineering of Sn-Doped Hematite Nanowire [Photoanodes.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b00184?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2017, *17*, 2490−2495.

(22) Wang, C. W.; Yang, S.; Fang, W. Q.; Liu, P.; Zhao, H.; Yang, H. G. Engineered Hematite [Mesoporous](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04059?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Single Crystals Drive Drastic [Enhancement](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04059?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Solar Water Splitting. *Nano Lett.* 2016, *16*, 427−433.

(23) Ling, Y.; Wang, G.; Wheeler, D. A.; Zhang, J. Z.; Li, Y. [Sn-](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl200708y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Doped Hematite Nanostructures for [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl200708y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Water [Splitting.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl200708y?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2011, *11*, 2119−2125.

(24) Qin, D.-D.; Li, Y.-L.; Wang, T.; Li, Y.; Lu, X.-Q.; Gu, J.; Zhao, Y.-X.; Song, Y.-M.; Tao, C.-L. [Sn-Doped](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA06872F) Hematite Films as Photoanodes for Efficient [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA06872F) Water Oxidation. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, *3*, 6751−6755.

(25) Annamalai, A.; Shinde, P. S.; Jeon, T. H.; Lee, H. H.; Kim, H. G.; Choi, W.; Jang, J. S. [Fabrication](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2015.09.016) of superior *α*-Fe2O3 nanorod [photoanodes](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2015.09.016) through ex-situ Sn-doping for solar water splitting. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 2016, *144*, 247−255.

(26) Cai, L.; Cho, I. S.; Logar, M.; Mehta, A.; He, J.; Lee, C. H.; Rao, P. M.; Feng, Y.; Wilcox, J.; Prinz, F. B.; Zheng, X. [Sol-Flame](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP01748J) Synthesis of Cobalt-Doped TiO2 Nanowires with Enhanced [Electrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP01748J) Activity for Oxygen [Evolution](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP01748J) Reaction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16*, 12299−12306.

(27) Xi, L.; Chiam, S. Y.; Mak, W. F.; Tran, P. D.; Barber, J.; Loo, S. C. J.; Wong, L. H. A Novel Strategy for Surface [Treatment](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2SC20881D) on Hematite [Photoanode](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2SC20881D) for Efficient Water Oxidation. *Chem. Sci.* 2013, *4*, 164−169.

(28) Annamalai, A.; Lee, H. H.; Choi, S. H.; Lee, S. Y.; Gracia-Espino, E.; Subramanian, A.; Park, J.; Kong, K.; Jang, J. S. [Sn/Be](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23183) Sequentially Co-Doped Hematite [Photoanodes](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23183) for Enhanced Photo[electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23183) Water Oxidation: Effect of Be2+ as Co-Dopant. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 23183. [https://www.nature.com/articles/](https://www.nature.com/articles/srep23183#supplementary-information) srep23183#[supplementary-information](https://www.nature.com/articles/srep23183#supplementary-information)

(29) Duan, S.-F.; Geng, Y.-Y.; Pan, X.-B.; Yao, X.-Q.; Zhao, Y.-X.; Li, X.; Tao, C.-L.; Qin, D.-D. Tubular [Morphology](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8DT03959C) Preservation and Doping Engineering of [Sn/P-Codoped](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8DT03959C) Hematite for Photoelectrochemical Water [Oxidation.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8DT03959C) *Dalton Trans.* 2019, *48*, 928−935.

(30) Wang, L.; Lee, C.-Y.; Mazare, A.; Lee, K.; Müller, J.; Spiecker, E.; Schmuki, P. [Enhancing](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201303427) the Water Splitting Efficiency of Sn-Doped Hematite [Nanoflakes](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201303427) by Flame Annealing. *Chem.*�*Eur. J.* 2013, *20*, 77−82.

(31) Sivula, K.; Zboril, R.; Le Formal, F.; Robert, R.; Weidenkaff, A.; Tucek, J.; Frydrych, J.; Grätzel, M. [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja101564f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Water Splitting with Mesoporous Hematite Prepared by a [Solution-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja101564f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Colloidal [Approach.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja101564f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 7436−7444.

(32) Meng, X.; Qin, G.; Goddard, W. A.; Li, S.; Pan, H.; Wen, X.; Qin, Y.; Zuo, L. Theoretical [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp310740h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Enhanced Photo[electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp310740h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Catalytic Activity of Sn-Doped Hematite: Anisotropic Catalysis and Effects of Morin [Transition](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp310740h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Sn Doping. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, *117*, 3779−3784.

(33) Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Zheng, P.; Meng, F.; Chu, D.; Wu, N. [Plasmon-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3651) Photonic and Energy-Transfer Enhancement of Solar Water Splitting by a [Hematite](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3651) Nanorod Array. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, *4*, 2651.

(34) Kim, S. J.; Thomann, I.; Park, J.; Kang, J.-H.; Vasudev, A. P.; Brongersma, M. L. Light Trapping for Solar Fuel [Generation](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl404575e?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with Mie [Resonances.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl404575e?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2014, *14*, 1446−1452.

(35) Gao, H.; Liu, C.; Jeong, H. E.; Yang, P. [Plasmon-Enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn203457a?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Photocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn203457a?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activity of Iron Oxide on Gold Nanopillars. *ACS Nano* 2012, *6*, 234−240.

(36) Hisatomi, T.; Le Formal, F.; Cornuz, M.; Brillet, J.; Tétreault, N.; Sivula, K.; Grätzel, M. [Cathodic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EE01194D) Shift in Onset Potential of Solar Oxygen Evolution on Hematite by 13-Group Oxide [Overlayers.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EE01194D) *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, *4*, 2512−2515.

(37) Liu, R.; Zheng, Z.; Spurgeon, J.; Yang, X. [Enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE00450G) [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE00450G) Water-Splitting Performance of Semiconductors by Surface [Passivation](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE00450G) Layers. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, *7*, 2504− 2517.

(38) Ahn, H.-J.; Kment, S.; Yoo, J.; Truong Nguyen, N. T.; Naldoni, A.; Zboril, R.; Schmuki, P. [Magnetite-Free](https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202200066) Sn-Doped Hematite Nanoflake Layers for Enhanced [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.202200066) Water Splitting. *Chemelectrochem* 2022, *9*, No. e202200066.

(39) Brillet, J.; Grätzel, M.; Sivula, K. [Decoupling](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl102708c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Feature Size and Functionality in [Solution-Processed,](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl102708c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Porous Hematite Electrodes for Solar Water [Splitting.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl102708c?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2010, *10*, 4155−4160.

(40) Thirumala Rao, G.; Babu, B.; Ravikumar, R. V. S. S. N.; Shim, J.; Venkata Reddy, C. Structural and optical [properties](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/aa9de5) of Fe-doped [SnO2quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/aa9de5) dots. *Mater. Res. Express* 2017, *4*, 125021.

(41) Jiao, Z.; Wang, S.; Bian, L.; Liu, J. Stability of [SnO2/Fe2O3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5408(00)00264-6) [Multilayer](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5408(00)00264-6) Thin Film Gas Sensor. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2000, *35*, 741− 745.

(42) Stranick, M. A.; Moskwa, A. [SnO2](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.1247724) by XPS. *Surf. Sci. Spectra* 1993, *2*, 50−54.

(43) Lad, R. J.; Henrich, V. E. [Photoemission](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.13478) study of the valenceband electronic structure [inFexO,Fe3O4,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.13478) and*α*-Fe2O3single crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* 1989, *39*, 13478−13485.

(44) Tian, C. M.; Li, W.-W.; Lin, Y. M.; Yang, Z. Z.; Wang, L.; Du, Y. G.; Xiao, H. Y.; Qiao, L.; Zhang, J. Y.; Chen, L.; Qi, D.-C.; MacManus-Driscoll, J. L.; Zhang, K. H. L. [Electronic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c02875?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Structure, Optical Properties, and [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c02875?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Activity of Sn-Doped [Fe2O3](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c02875?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Thin Films. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2020, *124*, 12548−12558.

(45) Zhou, Z.; Huo, P.; Guo, L.; Prezhdo, O. V. [Understanding](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b08081?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Hematite Doping with Group IV [Elements:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b08081?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) A DFT+U Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2015, *119*, 26303−26310.

(46) Peter, L. M.; Wijayantha, K. G. U.; Tahir, A. A. [Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1FD00079A) of light-driven oxygen evolution at *α*[-Fe2O3electrodes.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1FD00079A) *Faraday Discuss.* 2012, *155*, 309−322.

(47) Bertoluzzi, L.; Bisquert, J. [Equivalent](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz3010909?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Circuit of Electrons and Holes in Thin Semiconductor Films for [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz3010909?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Water Splitting [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz3010909?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2012, *3*, 2517−2522.

(48) Wang, D.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Suzuki, N.; Terashima, C. Boosting [Photoelectrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.05.164) Performance of Hematite [Photoanode](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.05.164) with TiO2 Underlayer by Extremely Rapid High [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.05.164) Annealing. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2017, *422*, 913−920.

Recommended by ACS

[8](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[0](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[%](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) **[Efficient](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Submicron](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [CuIn](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[\(](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[S](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[Se](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Solar](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Cells](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [on](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Sn](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[:](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[In](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[O](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Back](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Contact](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [via](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [a](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Facile](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Solution](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Process](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**

Yao Gao, Martina Schmid, et al. SEPTEMBER 23, 2022

ACS APPLIED ENERGY MATERIALS [READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01764?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) EXAMPLED ENERGY MATERIALS

[Nanostructured](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Electrodes](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Based](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [on](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Two](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**[Dimensional](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [SnO](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [for](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Photoelectrochemical](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Water](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Splitting](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c01087?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)**

Samantha Prabath Ratnayake, Enrico Della Gaspera, et al.

[A](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [SnS](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Molecular](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Precursor](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [for](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Conformal](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Nanostructured](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Coatings](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05241?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)

Yong Zuo, Andreu Cabot, et al. FEBRUARY 11, 2020 CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS **READ** \mathbb{Z}^n

[Efficient](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Solar](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Water](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Splitting](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [via](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Enhanced](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Charge](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Separation](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [BiVO](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)[4](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) [Photoanode](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028)

Lina Wang, Qizhao Wang, et al. MAY 12, 2022 ACS APPLIED ENERGY MATERIALS [READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.2c00779?utm_campaign=RRCC_acsodf&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1669587602&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Facsomega.2c04028) EXAMPLED ENERGY MATERIALS

[Get More Suggestions >](https://preferences.acs.org/ai_alert?follow=1)