

Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2011 (2011), No. 51, pp. 1–19.
 ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: <http://ejde.math.txstate.edu> or <http://ejde.math.unt.edu>
<ftp://ejde.math.txstate.edu>

SECOND-ORDER BOUNDARY ESTIMATES FOR SOLUTIONS TO SINGULAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN BORDERLINE CASES

CLAUDIA ANEDDA, GIOVANNI PORRU

ABSTRACT. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded smooth domain. We investigate the effect of the mean curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ on the behaviour of the solution to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem for the equation $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$. Under appropriate growth conditions on $f(t)$ as t approaches zero, we find asymptotic expansions up to the second order of the solution in terms of the distance from x to the boundary $\partial\Omega$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u + f(u) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, and $f(t)$ is a decreasing and positive smooth function in $(0, \infty)$, which approaches infinity as $t \rightarrow 0$. Equation (1.1) arises in problems of heat conduction and in fluid mechanics.

Problems of this kind are discussed in many papers; see, for instance, [5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12] and references therein. For $f(t) = t^{-\gamma}$, $\gamma > 0$, in [4] it is shown that there exists a positive solution continuous up to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. For $f(t) = t^{-\gamma}$, $\gamma > 1$, in [3] it is shown that there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\left| u(x) - \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{\sqrt{2(\gamma - 1)}} \delta \right)^{\frac{2}{1+\gamma}} \right| < B \delta^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}},$$

where $\delta = \delta(x)$ denotes the distance from x to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. For $f(t) = t^{-\gamma}$, $\gamma > 3$, in [2] it is proved that

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{\sqrt{2(\gamma - 1)}} \delta \right)^{\frac{2}{1+\gamma}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{3 - \gamma} H \delta + o(\delta) \right],$$

where $H = H(x)$ is related with the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at the nearest point to x .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35J67.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic problems; singular equations; second order boundary approximation.

©2011 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted January 10, 2011. Published April 13, 2011.

In [1], more general nonlinearities are discussed. More precisely, let

$$F(t) = \int_t^1 f(\tau) d\tau, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F(t) = \infty, \quad \frac{f'(t)F(t)}{(f(t))^2} = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} + O(1)t^\beta, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\gamma \geq 3$, $\beta > 0$ and $O(1)$ denotes a bounded quantity as $t \rightarrow 0$. In addition, we suppose there is M finite such that for all $\theta \in (1/2, 2)$ and for $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\frac{|f''(\theta t)|t^2}{f(t)} \leq M. \quad (1.3)$$

An example which satisfies these conditions is $f(t) = t^{-\gamma} + t^{-\nu}$ with $0 < \nu < \gamma$; here $\beta = \min[\gamma - \nu, \gamma - 1]$.

Let $\phi(\delta)$ be defined as

$$\int_0^{\phi(\delta)} \frac{1}{(2F(t))^{1/2}} dt = \delta. \quad (1.4)$$

For $3 < \gamma < \infty$, in [1] it is proved that

$$u(x) = \phi(\delta) \left[1 + \frac{1}{3-\gamma} H\delta + O(1)\delta^{\sigma+1} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

where σ is any number such that $0 < \sigma < \min[\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma+1}, \frac{2\beta}{\gamma+1}]$. Note that ϕ satisfies the one dimensional problem

$$\phi'' + f(\phi) = 0, \quad \phi(0) = 0.$$

The estimate (1.5) shows that the expansion of $u(x)$ in terms of δ has the first part which is independent of the geometry of the domain, and the second part which depends on the mean curvature of the boundary as well as on γ .

In the present paper we investigate the borderline cases $\gamma = 3$ and $\gamma = \infty$. In the case of $\gamma = 3$ we find the expansion

$$u(x) = \phi(\delta) \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} H\delta \log \delta + O(1)\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right], \quad (1.6)$$

where $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $O(1)$ is bounded as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

To discuss the case $\gamma = \infty$, we make the following assumption

$$f(t) > 0, \quad \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} = -\frac{\ell}{t^{\beta+1}} (1 + O(1)t^\beta), \quad (1.7)$$

with $\ell > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Note that the above condition implies

$$\frac{F(t)}{f(t)} = \frac{t^{\beta+1}}{\ell} (1 + O(1)t^\beta), \quad F(t) = \int_t^1 f(\tau) d\tau. \quad (1.8)$$

Furthermore, (1.7) together with (1.8) imply (1.2) with $\gamma = \infty$; that is,

$$\frac{f'(t)F(t)}{(f(t))^2} = -1 + O(1)t^\beta. \quad (1.9)$$

Instead of (1.3), now we suppose that for some $m > 2$ and some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is $M > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f''(\theta t)|t^2}{f(t)} \leq M \frac{1}{t^{2\beta}} (F(t))^{1/m}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1/2), \quad \forall \theta \in (1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon). \quad (1.10)$$

The function $f(t) = e^{\frac{\ell}{\beta t^\beta}}$ satisfies all these conditions.

Under assumptions (1.7) and (1.10), we find the estimate

$$u(x) = \phi(\delta) \left[1 - \frac{1}{\ell} H\delta(\phi(\delta))^\beta + O(1)\delta(\phi(\delta))^{2\beta} \right],$$

where ϕ is defined as in (1.4).

Throughout this paper, the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is smooth in the sense that it belongs to C^4 .

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A(\rho, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, be the annulus with radii ρ and R centered at the origin. Let $f(t) > 0$ smooth, decreasing for $t > 0$, and such that $\int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, where $F(t) = \int_t^1 f(\tau) d\tau$. We also suppose that the function $s \mapsto (F(s))^{-1} \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt$ is increasing for s close to 0. If $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (1.1) in $\Omega = A(\rho, R)$ and $v(r) = u(x)$ for $r = |x|$, then*

$$v(r) > \phi(R - r) - C \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{(F(v))^{1/2}} (R - r), \quad \tilde{r} < r < R, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$v(r) < \phi(r - \rho) + C\phi'(r - \rho) \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(v)} (r - \rho), \quad \rho < r < \bar{r}, \tag{2.2}$$

where ϕ is defined as in (1.4), $\rho < \bar{r} \leq \tilde{r} < R$ and C is a suitable positive constant.

Proof. If $\Omega = A(\rho, R)$, the corresponding solution $u(x)$ to problem (1.1) is radially symmetric (by uniqueness) and positive (by the maximum principle). With $v(r) = u(x)$ for $r = |x|$ we have

$$v'' + \frac{N-1}{r} v' + f(v) = 0, \quad v(\rho) = v(R) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

The latter equation can be rewritten as

$$(r^{N-1} v')' + r^{N-1} f(v) = 0.$$

Since $v(\rho) = v(R)$, we must have $v'(r_0) = 0$ for some $r_0 \in (\rho, R)$. Integrating over (r_0, r) we obtain

$$r^{N-1} v' + \int_{r_0}^r t^{N-1} f(v) dt = 0.$$

Hence, $v(r)$ is increasing for $\rho < r < r_0$ and decreasing for $r_0 < r < R$. Multiplying (2.3) by v' and integrating over (r_0, r) we find

$$\frac{(v')^2}{2} + (N-1) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds = F(v) - F(v_0), \quad v_0 = v(r_0). \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we have $F(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $F(v(r)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow R$, and (2.4) implies

$$|v'| < 2(F(v))^{1/2}, \quad r \in (r_1, R), \quad r_0 \leq r_1 < R. \tag{2.5}$$

As a consequence, with $v_1 = v(r_1)$ we have

$$\int_{r_1}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds \leq \frac{2}{r_1} \int_{r_1}^r (F(v))^{1/2} (-v') ds = \frac{2}{r_1} \int_v^{v_1} (F(t))^{1/2} dt. \tag{2.6}$$

Since

$$\int_v^{v_1} (F(t))^{1/2} dt \leq (F(v))^{1/2} v_1,$$

using (2.6) we find

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\int_{r_1}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds}{F(v)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\int_v^{v_1} (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(v)} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Now, by (2.4) we have

$$\frac{(v')^2}{2F(v)} = 1 - \frac{(N-1) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds + F(v_0)}{F(v)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that, if $v_0 > 1$ then $F(v_0) < 0$. We claim that

$$(N-1) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds + F(v_0) > 0$$

for r close to R . Indeed, by (2.7) and (2.8) it follows that $|v'| > (F(v))^{1/2}$ for $r \in (r_2, R)$. Hence,

$$\int_{r_2}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds > \frac{1}{R} \int_{r_2}^r (F(v))^{1/2} (-v') ds = \frac{1}{R} \int_{v(r)}^{v(r_2)} (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau.$$

By using the assumption $\int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, the latter inequality implies that $\int_{r_2}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow R$, and the claim follows.

Equation (2.8) yields

$$\frac{-v'}{(2F(v))^{1/2}} = 1 - \Gamma(r), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\Gamma(r) = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{(N-1) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds + F(v_0)}{F(v)} \right]^{1/2}.$$

Since

$$1 - [1 - \epsilon]^{1/2} < \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, 1),$$

using (2.6) we find a constant M such that, for r close to R ,

$$0 \leq \Gamma(r) \leq \frac{(N-1) \int_{r_0}^r \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds + F(v_0)}{F(v)} \leq M \frac{\int_v^{v_0} (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(v)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that, by (2.10) and (2.7) we have $\Gamma(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow R$.

The inverse function of ϕ is

$$\psi(s) = \int_0^s \frac{1}{(2F(t))^{1/2}} dt.$$

Integration of (2.9) over (r, R) yields

$$\psi(v) = R - r - \int_r^R \Gamma(s) ds,$$

from which we find

$$v(r) = \phi\left(R - r - \int_r^R \Gamma(s) ds\right). \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.11), we have

$$v(r) = \phi(R-r) - \phi'(\omega) \int_r^R \Gamma(s) ds, \quad (2.12)$$

with

$$R-r - \int_r^R \Gamma(s) ds < \omega < R-r.$$

Since $\phi'(\omega) = (2F(\phi(\omega)))^{1/2}$, and since the function $t \rightarrow F(\phi(t))$ is decreasing we have

$$\phi'(\omega) < \left(2F\left(\phi\left(R-r - \int_r^R \Gamma(s) ds\right)\right)\right)^{1/2} = (2F(v))^{1/2},$$

where (2.11) has been used in the last step. Hence, by (2.12) we have

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r) - (2F(v))^{1/2} \int_r^R \Gamma(s) ds.$$

Using (2.10), we find

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r) - (2F(v))^{1/2} M \int_r^R \frac{\int_{v(s)}^{v_0} (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{F(v(s))} ds. \quad (2.13)$$

Since $(F(t))^{-1} \int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau$ is increasing and since $v(s)$ is decreasing, for s close to R the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_{v(s)}^{v_0} (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{F(v(s))}$$

is decreasing. Using the monotonicity of this function, inequality (2.1) follows from (2.13).

To prove (2.2), we observe that (2.4) also holds for $\rho < r < r_0$. Let us write equation (2.4) as

$$\frac{(v')^2}{2} = F(v) - F(v_0) + (N-1) \int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds, \quad (2.14)$$

with $\rho < r < r_0$. By (2.14), $(v'(r))^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \rho$. Moreover, since $v'(r) > 0$ for $r \in (\rho, r_0)$, by (2.3) we have $v''(r) < 0$. Hence, by [10, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{t} dt}{(v'(r))^2} = 0.$$

Using this result and (2.14) we find $0 < v' < 2(F(v))^{1/2}$ for $r \in (\rho, r_3)$, $r_3 \leq r_0$. As a consequence we have, with $v(r_3) = v_3$,

$$\int_r^{r_3} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds \leq \frac{2}{\rho} \int_r^{r_3} (F(v))^{1/2} v' ds = \frac{2}{\rho} \int_v^{v_3} (F(t))^{1/2} dt. \quad (2.15)$$

Since $\int_v^{v_3} (F(t))^{1/2} dt \leq (F(v))^{1/2} v_3$, (2.15) implies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds}{F(v)} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

By (2.14), we find

$$\frac{(v')^2}{2F(v)} = 1 + \frac{(N-1) \int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds - F(v_0)}{F(v)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) and arguing as in the previous case one finds that

$$(N-1) \int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds - F(v_0) > 0$$

for r close to ρ . Equation (2.17) yields

$$\frac{v'}{(2F(v))^{1/2}} = 1 + \tilde{\Gamma}(r), \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(r) = \left[1 + \frac{(N-1) \int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds - F(v_0)}{F(v)} \right]^{1/2} - 1.$$

Since

$$[1 + \epsilon]^{1/2} - 1 < \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

using (2.15) one finds, for r close to ρ ,

$$0 \leq \tilde{\Gamma}(r) \leq \frac{(N-1) \int_r^{r_0} \frac{(v')^2}{s} ds - F(v_0)}{F(v)} \leq \tilde{M} \frac{\int_v^{v_0} (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(v)}. \quad (2.19)$$

Integration of (2.18) over (ρ, r) yields

$$\psi(v) = r - \rho + \int_\rho^r \tilde{\Gamma}(s) ds,$$

from which we find

$$v(r) = \phi(r - \rho) + \phi'(\omega_1) \int_\rho^r \tilde{\Gamma}(s) ds, \quad (2.20)$$

with

$$r - \rho < \omega_1 < r - \rho + \int_\rho^r \tilde{\Gamma}(s) ds.$$

Since $\phi'(s)$ is decreasing we have

$$\phi'(\omega_1) < \phi'(r - \rho).$$

The latter estimate, (2.20) and (2.19) imply

$$v(r) < \phi(r - \rho) + \phi'(r - \rho) \int_\rho^r \tilde{M} \frac{\int_v^{v_0} (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{F(v)} ds. \quad (2.21)$$

Since $v(s)$ is increasing for s close to ρ , the function

$$s \mapsto \frac{\int_{v(s)}^{v_0} (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{F(v(s))}$$

is increasing. Hence, inequality (2.2) follows from (2.21). The lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Assume the same notation and assumptions as in Lemma 2.1. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there are r_ϵ and \tilde{r}_ϵ such that*

$$\phi(R - r) > v(r) > (1 - \epsilon)\phi(R - r), \quad r_\epsilon < r < R, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\phi(r - \rho) < v(r) < (1 + \epsilon)\phi(r - \rho), \quad \rho < r < \tilde{r}_\epsilon. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. By (2.9) we have

$$\frac{-v'}{(2F(v))^{1/2}} < 1.$$

Integrating over (r, R) we find $\psi(v) < R - r$, from which the left hand side of (2.22) follows. By (2.1) we have

$$v(r) > \left[1 - C \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{(F(v))^{1/2}} \frac{R - r}{\phi(R - r)} \right] \phi(R - r).$$

Since $F(t)$ is decreasing we find

$$\frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{(F(v))^{1/2}} \leq 1.$$

Moreover, putting $R - r = \psi(s)$ we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{R - r}{\phi(R - r)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(s)}{s} \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2F(s))^{1/2}} = 0.$$

The right hand side of (2.22) follows from these estimates.

By (2.18) we have

$$\frac{v'}{(2F(v))^{1/2}} > 1.$$

Integrating over (ρ, r) , we find $\psi(v) > r - \rho$, from which the left hand side of (2.23) follows. By (2.2) we have

$$v(r) < \left[1 + C \phi'(r - \rho) \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(v)} \frac{r - \rho}{\phi(r - \rho)} \right] \phi(r - \rho).$$

We find

$$0 \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(v)} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \rho} \frac{1}{(F(v))^{1/2}} = 0.$$

Moreover, putting $r - \rho = \psi(s)$, we have

$$\frac{(r - \rho)\phi'(r - \rho)}{\phi(r - \rho)} = \frac{\psi(s)(2F(s))^{1/2}}{s} \leq 1.$$

The right hand side of (2.23) follows from these estimates. The proof is complete. \square

3. THE CASE $\gamma = 3$

Let $f(t)$ be a smooth, decreasing and positive function in $(0, \infty)$. Assume (1.2) with $\gamma = 3$; that is,

$$F(t) = \int_t^1 f(\tau) d\tau, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F(t) = \infty, \quad \frac{f'(t)F(t)}{(f(t))^2} = -\frac{3}{2} + O(1)t^\beta, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $O(1)$ denotes a bounded quantity as $t \rightarrow 0$. This condition implies, for t small,

$$-\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} = \left(\frac{3}{2} + O(1)t^\beta \right) \frac{f(t)}{F(t)} > \frac{5}{4} \frac{f(t)}{F(t)}.$$

Integration over (t, t_0) , t_0 small, yields

$$\log \frac{f(t)}{f(t_0)} > \frac{5}{4} \log \frac{F(t)}{F(t_0)}, \quad \frac{f(t)}{F(t)} > \frac{f(t_0)}{(F(t_0))^{5/4}} (F(t))^{1/4}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t)}{f(t)} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let us rewrite (3.1) as

$$(F(t))^{-1/2} \left(\frac{(F(t))^{3/2}}{f(t)} \right)' = O(1)t^\beta. \quad (3.3)$$

Integrating by parts over $(0, t)$ and using (3.2) we find

$$\frac{F(t)}{tf(t)} = \frac{1}{2} + O(1)t^\beta. \quad (3.4)$$

Using the latter estimate and (3.1) again we find

$$\frac{tf'(t)}{f(t)} = -3 + O(1)t^\beta. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us write (3.5) as

$$\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} = -\frac{3}{t} + O(1)t^{\beta-1}.$$

Integration over $(t, 1)$ yields

$$\log \frac{f(1)}{f(t)} = \log t^3 + O(1).$$

Therefore, we can find two positive constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$C_1 t^{-3} < f(t) < C_2 t^{-3}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1). \quad (3.6)$$

Since $F(t) = \int_t^1 f(\tau) d\tau$, using (3.6) we find two positive constants C_3, C_4 such that

$$C_3 t^{-2} < F(t) < C_4 t^{-2}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1/2). \quad (3.7)$$

Lemma 3.1. *If (3.1) holds and if $\phi(\delta)$ is defined as in (1.4) then we have*

$$\frac{\phi'(\delta)}{\delta f(\phi(\delta))} = 2 + O(1)(\phi(\delta))^\beta, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\frac{\phi(\delta)}{\delta \phi'(\delta)} = 2 + O(1)(\phi(\delta))^\beta, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{\phi(\delta)}{\delta^2 f(\phi(\delta))} = 4 + O(1)(\phi(\delta))^\beta, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\phi(\delta) = O(1)\delta^{1/2}. \quad (3.11)$$

For a proof of the above lemma, see [1, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, be a bounded smooth domain, and let $f(t) > 0$ be smooth, decreasing and satisfy (3.1) with $\beta > 0$. If $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (1.1) then*

$$\phi(\delta)[1 - C\delta(-\log \delta)] < u(x) < \phi(\delta)[1 + C\delta(-\log \delta)], \quad (3.12)$$

where ϕ is defined as in (1.4), δ denotes the distance from x to $\partial\Omega$, and C is a suitable constant.

Proof. If $P \in \partial\Omega$ we can consider a suitable annulus of radii ρ and R contained in Ω and such that its external boundary is tangent to $\partial\Omega$ in P . If $v(x)$ is the solution of problem (1.1) in this annulus, by using the comparison principle for elliptic equations ([7], Theorem 10.1) we have $u(x) \geq v(x)$ for x belonging to the annulus. Choose the origin in the center of the annulus and put $v(x) = v(r)$ for $r = |x|$.

We note that our assumptions imply those of Lemma 2.1. Indeed, the condition $\int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, follows from (3.7). Furthermore, using (3.7) again and (3.6), for s close to 0 we have

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left[(F(s))^{-1} \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt \right] = (F(s))^{-1/2} \left[\frac{f(s) \int_s^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{(F(s))^{3/2}} - 1 \right] > 0.$$

Therefore, we can use Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. By (2.1), we have

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r) - C_1 \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{(F(v))^{1/2}} (R-r), \quad \tilde{r} < r < R. \quad (3.13)$$

By using (3.7) we find that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} \int_{v(r)}^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt = \infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow R} v(r) (F(v(r)))^{1/2} \log(R-r)^{-1}.$$

Using de l'Hôpital rule and (3.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{v(F(v))^{1/2} \log(R-r)^{-1}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{-(F(v))^{1/2} v'}{v' \left((F(v))^{1/2} - \frac{vf(v)}{2(F(v))^{1/2}} \right) \log(R-r)^{-1} + \frac{v(F(v))^{1/2}}{R-r}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{1}{\left(-1 + \frac{vf(v)}{2F(v)} \right) \log(R-r)^{-1} - \frac{v}{v'(R-r)}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{1}{O(1)v^\beta \log(R-r)^{-1} - \frac{v}{v'(R-r)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.22) we have $v(r) < \phi(R-r)$. Using this inequality and (3.11) with $\delta = R-r$ we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} v^\beta \log(R-r)^{-1} = 0.$$

Moreover, using (2.9), de l'Hôpital rule and (3.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{v}{-v'(R-r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{v(2F(v))^{-1/2}}{R-r} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow R} (-v') \left((2F(v))^{-1/2} + v(2F(v))^{-\frac{3}{2}} f(v) \right) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow R} \left(1 + \frac{vf(v)}{2F(v)} \right) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} \frac{\int_v^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{v(F(v))^{1/2} \log(R-r)^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) we find

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r) - C_2 v(r)(R-r) \log(R-r)^{-1}.$$

By (2.22), $v(r) < \phi(R-r)$, hence

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r)(1 - C_2(R-r) \log(R-r)^{-1}). \quad (3.15)$$

For x near to P we have $\delta = R-r$; therefore, (3.15) and the inequality $u(x) \geq v(x)$ yield the left hand side of (3.12).

Consider a new annulus of radii ρ and R containing Ω and such that its internal boundary is tangent to $\partial\Omega$ in P . If $w(x)$ is the solution of problem (1.1) in this annulus, by using the comparison principle for elliptic equations we have $u(x) \leq w(x)$ for x belonging to Ω . Choose the origin in the center of the annulus and put $w(x) = w(r)$ for $r = |x|$. By (2.2) of Lemma 2.1 (with w in place of v) we have

$$w(r) < \phi(r-\rho) + C_3(r-\rho)\phi'(r-\rho) \frac{\int_w^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(w)}, \quad \rho < r < \bar{r}. \quad (3.16)$$

The same proof used to get (3.14) yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \rho} \frac{\int_w^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{w(F(w))^{1/2} \log(r-\rho)^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, for r near ρ ,

$$\frac{\int_w^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(w)} \leq C_4 (F(w))^{-1/2} w \log(r-\rho)^{-1}. \quad (3.17)$$

Since $\phi' = (2F(\phi))^{1/2}$, (3.16) and (3.17) imply

$$w(r) < \phi(r-\rho) + C_5(r-\rho) \left(\frac{F(\phi)}{F(w)} \right)^{1/2} w \log(r-\rho)^{-1}.$$

By (3.7) and (2.23) (with w instead of v) we have

$$\left(\frac{F(\phi)}{F(w)} \right)^{1/2} w \leq C_6 \phi.$$

Hence,

$$w(r) < \phi(r-\rho)(1 + C_7(r-\rho) \log(r-\rho)^{-1}).$$

For x near to P , this estimate and the inequality $u(x) \leq w(x)$ yield the right hand side of (3.12). The lemma is proved. \square

To state the next theorem, we define

$$H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{-k_i}{1 - k_i \delta}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\delta = \delta(x)$ denotes the distance from x to $\partial\Omega$, and $k_i = k_i(\bar{x})$ denote the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ at \bar{x} , the nearest point to x . We note that in several papers, instead of $H(x)$, the function $\frac{1}{N-1}H(x)$ is considered.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, be a bounded smooth domain, and let $f(t) > 0$ be smooth, decreasing and satisfy (3.1), as well as (1.3). If $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (1.1), then*

$$\phi(\delta) \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} H \delta \log \delta - C \delta (-\log \delta)^\sigma \right] < u(x) < \phi(\delta) \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} H \delta \log \delta + C \delta (-\log \delta)^\sigma \right],$$

where ϕ is defined as in (1.4), $H = H(x)$ is defined as in (3.18), $0 < \sigma < 1$ and C is a suitable constant.

Proof. We look for a super-solutions of the kind

$$w(x) = \phi(\delta) \left[1 + A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right], \quad A = \frac{H}{4},$$

where α is a positive constant to be determined. We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{x_i} = & \phi' \delta_{x_i} \left[1 + A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right] + \phi \left[A_{x_i} \delta \log \delta \right. \\ & \left. + A \log(e\delta) \delta_{x_i} + \alpha \delta_{x_i} (-\log \delta)^\sigma - \alpha \sigma \delta_{x_i} (-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We know that (see for example [7, page 355])

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i} \delta_{x_i} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i x_i} = -H. \quad (3.19)$$

Using (3.19) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w = & \phi'' \left[1 + A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right] - \phi' H \left[1 + A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right] \\ & + 2\phi' \left[\nabla A \cdot \nabla \delta \log \delta + A + A \log \delta + \alpha(-\log \delta)^\sigma - \alpha\sigma(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} \right] \\ & + \phi \left[\Delta A \delta \log \delta + 2\nabla A \cdot \nabla \delta \log(e\delta) + A\delta^{-1} - AH \log(e\delta) - \alpha H(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right. \\ & \left. - \alpha\sigma(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} \delta^{-1} + \alpha\sigma H(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} + \alpha\sigma(\sigma-1)(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-2} \delta^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By using the equation $\phi'' = -f(\phi)$, as well as (3.8) and (3.10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w = & f(\phi) \left\{ -1 - A\delta \log \delta - \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma - (2 + O(1)\phi^\beta) \delta H \left[1 + A\delta \log \delta \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right] + 2(2 + O(1)\phi^\beta) \delta \left[\nabla A \cdot \nabla \delta \log \delta + A + A \log \delta \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \alpha(-\log \delta)^\sigma - \alpha\sigma(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} \right] + (4 + O(1)\phi^\beta) \delta^2 \left[\Delta A \delta \log \delta + A\delta^{-1} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2\nabla A \cdot \nabla \delta \log(e\delta) - AH \log(e\delta) - \alpha H(-\log \delta)^\sigma - \alpha\sigma(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} \delta^{-1} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \alpha\sigma H(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} + \alpha\sigma(\sigma-1)(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-2} \delta^{-1} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

After some simplification,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w = & f(\phi) \left\{ -1 + 3A\delta \log \delta + 3\alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma - 2H\delta + O(1)\delta^2 \log \delta + O(1)\phi^\beta \delta \log \delta \right. \\ & \left. + 8A\delta - 8\alpha\sigma\delta(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-1} + \alpha O(1)\phi^\beta \delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma + \alpha O(1)\delta(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $-2H + 8A = 0$, for some positive constants C_1 , C_2 and C_3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w < & f(\phi) \left\{ -1 + 3A\delta \log \delta + C_1 \delta^2 (-\log \delta) + C_2 \phi^\beta \delta (-\log \delta) \right. \\ & \left. + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \left[3 - 8\sigma(-\log \delta)^{-1} + C_3(-\log \delta)^{-2} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Note that (3.11) has been used to compare $\phi^\beta \delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma$ with $\delta(-\log \delta)^{\sigma-2}$.

On the other hand, using Taylor's expansion we have

$$f(w) = f(\phi) \left\{ 1 + \phi \frac{f'(\phi)}{f(\phi)} [A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma] + \phi^2 \frac{f''(\bar{\phi})}{2f(\phi)} [A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma]^2 \right\}, \quad (3.21)$$

with $\bar{\phi}$ between ϕ and $\phi(1 + A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma)$. We consider points $x \in \Omega$ such that

$$-\frac{1}{2} < A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma < 1. \quad (3.22)$$

This means that $1/2 < 1 + A\delta \log \delta + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma < 2$; therefore, the term $\bar{\phi}$ which appears in (3.21) satisfies $\bar{\phi} = \theta\phi$, with $1/2 < \theta < 2$. Using the estimates (3.5) and (1.3), by (3.21) we find

$$f(w) = f(\phi) \left\{ 1 + (-3 + O(1)\phi^\beta)A\delta \log \delta + O(1)(\delta \log \delta)^2 + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma [-3 + O(1)\phi^\beta + O(1)\alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma] \right\}. \quad (3.23)$$

By (3.23), we can take suitable positive constants C_4, C_5, C_6 and C_7 such that

$$f(w) < f(\phi) \left\{ 1 - 3A\delta \log \delta + C_4\phi^\beta\delta(-\log \delta) + C_5(\delta \log \delta)^2 + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma [-3 + C_6\phi^\beta + C_7\alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma] \right\}. \quad (3.24)$$

By (3.20) and (3.24) we have

$$\Delta w + f(w) < 0 \quad (3.25)$$

whenever

$$C_1\delta^2(-\log \delta) + C_2\phi^\beta\delta(-\log \delta) + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma [-8\sigma(-\log \delta)^{-1} + C_3(-\log \delta)^{-2}] + C_4\phi^\beta\delta(-\log \delta) + C_5(\delta \log \delta)^2 + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma [C_6\phi^\beta + C_7\alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma] < 0.$$

Rearranging we find

$$C_1\delta(-\log \delta)^{2-\sigma} + (C_2 + C_4)\phi^\beta(-\log \delta)^{2-\sigma} + C_5\delta(-\log \delta)^{3-\sigma} < \alpha [8\sigma - C_3(-\log \delta)^{-1} - C_6\phi^\beta(-\log \delta) - C_7\alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^{1+\sigma}]. \quad (3.26)$$

Since, by (3.11), $\phi^\beta \leq C\delta^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$, and since $\sigma > 0$, (3.26) holds for α fixed and δ small enough.

Using Lemma 3.2 we find

$$w(x) - u(x) \geq \phi(\delta)(-\log \delta)^{-1} [-A\delta(\log \delta)^2 + \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^{1+\sigma} - C\delta(\log \delta)^2].$$

If α and δ are such that (3.22) and (3.26) hold, define $q = \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^{1+\sigma}$ and decrease δ (increasing α) so that $\alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^{1+\sigma} = q$ until

$$-A\delta(\log \delta)^2 + q - C\delta(\log \delta)^2 > 0$$

for $\delta(x) = \delta_1$. Then, applying the comparison principle to (3.25) and (1.1) we find

$$w(x) \geq u(x), \quad x \in \Omega : \delta(x) < \delta_1.$$

By a similar argument one finds a sub-solution of the kind

$$w(x) = \phi(\delta) \left(1 + A\delta \log \delta - \alpha\delta(-\log \delta)^\sigma \right),$$

where A and σ are the same as before and α is a suitable positive constant. The theorem follows. \square

4. THE CASE $\gamma = \infty$

Let $f(t)$ be a smooth, decreasing and positive function in $(0, \infty)$. In this section we assume conditions (1.7) and (1.10). By (1.7) one finds positive constants c_1 , c_2 , ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 such that

$$c_1 e^{\ell_1/t^\beta} < f(t) < c_2 e^{\ell_2/t^\beta}, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Similarly, by (1.8) (which follows from (1.7)), one finds

$$c_3 e^{\ell_1/t^\beta} < F(t) < c_4 e^{\ell_2/t^\beta}, \quad t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}). \quad (4.2)$$

By (4.2), for $m > \ell_2 2^{\beta+1}/\ell_1$, we find

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1/2} \frac{(F(t))^{\frac{2}{m}}}{F(2t)} < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.1. *If (1.7) holds, we have*

$$\frac{\phi'(\delta)}{f(\phi(\delta))} = \delta + O(1)\delta(\phi(\delta))^\beta, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\phi(\delta)$ is defined as in (1.4).

Proof. Recall that (1.7) implies (1.9). Using (1.9) and the relation

$$-1 - 2[-1 + O(1)t^\beta] = 1 + O(1)t^\beta,$$

we have

$$-1 - 2F(t)f'(t)(f(t))^{-2} = 1 + O(1)t^\beta.$$

Multiplying by $(2F(t))^{-1/2}$ we find

$$-(2F(t))^{-1/2} - (2F(t))^{1/2}f'(t)(f(t))^{-2} = (2F(t))^{-1/2} + O(1)t^\beta(2F(t))^{-1/2},$$

and

$$((2F(t))^{1/2}(f(t))^{-1})' = (2F(t))^{-1/2} + O(1)t^\beta(2F(t))^{-1/2}. \quad (4.5)$$

By (1.8) we have

$$\frac{(F(t))^{1/2}}{f(t)} = \frac{1}{(F(t))^{1/2}} \frac{F(t)}{f(t)} = \frac{1}{(F(t))^{1/2}} \frac{t^{\beta+1}}{\ell} (1 + O(1)t^\beta).$$

The latter estimate yields

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (F(t))^{1/2}(f(t))^{-1} = 0.$$

Hence, integrating (4.5) on $(0, s)$ we obtain

$$(2F(s))^{1/2}(f(s))^{-1} = \int_0^s (2F(t))^{-1/2} dt + O(1) \int_0^s t^\beta (2F(t))^{-1/2} dt. \quad (4.6)$$

Since t^β is increasing we have

$$0 \leq \int_0^s t^\beta (2F(t))^{-1/2} dt \leq s^\beta \int_0^s (2F(t))^{-1/2} dt,$$

and equation (4.6) implies

$$\frac{(2F(s))^{1/2}}{f(s)} = \int_0^s (2F(t))^{-1/2} dt + O(1)s^\beta \int_0^s (2F(t))^{-1/2} dt.$$

Putting $s = \phi(\delta)$ and recalling that $\phi'(\delta) = (2F(\phi(\delta)))^{1/2}$, (4.4) follows and the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, be a bounded smooth domain, let $f(t) > 0$ be smooth, decreasing and satisfying (1.7). If $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (1.1) then*

$$\phi[1 - C\delta\phi^\beta] < u(x) < \phi\left[1 + C\delta\left(\frac{F(\phi)}{F(2\phi)}\right)^{1/2}\phi^\beta\right], \quad (4.7)$$

where $\phi = \phi(\delta)$ is defined as in (1.4), C is a suitable constant and $\delta = \delta(x)$ denotes the distance from x to $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 using the same notation. We prove first that our assumptions imply those of Lemma 2.1. Indeed, estimate (4.2) implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau = \infty.$$

To prove the monotonicity of the function $s \mapsto (F(s))^{-1} \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt$ for s close to 0, we claim that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left[(F(s))^{-1} \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt \right] = (F(s))^{-1/2} \left[\frac{\int_s^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{(F(s))^{3/2} (f(s))^{-1}} - 1 \right] > 0.$$

Indeed, using (1.9), for s close to 0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F(s))^{3/2} (f(s))^{-1} &= - \int_s^1 \left((F(t))^{3/2} (f(t))^{-1} \right)' dt \\ &= \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} \left(\frac{3}{2} + F(t) f'(t) (f(t))^{-2} \right) dt \\ &> \frac{1}{4} \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate and (4.2) yield

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} (F(s))^{3/2} (f(s))^{-1} = +\infty.$$

Using de l'Hôpital rule and (1.9) we find

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_s^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{(F(s))^{3/2} (f(s))^{-1}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} + F(s) (f(s))^{-2} f'(s)} = 2.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left[(F(s))^{-1} \int_s^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt \right] > 0,$$

as claimed.

Now we can use Lemma 2.1 and its Corollary. By (2.1),

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r) - C \frac{\int_v^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{(F(v))^{1/2}} (R-r), \quad \tilde{r} < r < R. \quad (4.8)$$

By (4.2) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\beta+1} (F(t))^{1/2} = +\infty.$$

Using de l'Hôpital rule and (1.8) we find

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_t^1 (F(\tau))^{1/2} d\tau}{t^{\beta+1} (F(t))^{1/2}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{-(\beta+1)t^\beta + \frac{t^{\beta+1} f(t)}{2F(t)}} = \frac{2}{\ell}. \quad (4.9)$$

Equations (4.8) and (4.9) imply

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r) - C_1(v(r))^{\beta+1}(R-r).$$

By (2.22), $v(r) < \phi(R-r)$. Hence,

$$v(r) > \phi(R-r)[1 - C_1(\phi(R-r))^\beta(R-r)]. \quad (4.10)$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, one proves that (4.10) implies the left hand side of (4.7).

By (2.2) of Lemma 2.1 (with w in place of v) we have

$$w(r) < \phi(r-\rho) + C\phi'(r-\rho) \frac{\int_w^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(w)}(r-\rho), \quad \rho < r < \tilde{r}. \quad (4.11)$$

By (4.9) we can find a constant C_2 such that

$$\frac{\int_w^1 (F(t))^{1/2} dt}{F(w)} \leq C_2 \frac{1}{(F(w))^{1/2}} w^{\beta+1}.$$

By using this estimate and the equation $\phi' = (2F(\phi))^{1/2}$, from (4.11) we find

$$w(r) < \phi + C_3(r-\rho) \left(\frac{F(\phi)}{F(w)} \right)^{1/2} w^{\beta+1}. \quad (4.12)$$

By (2.23) (with w in place of v and with $\epsilon = 1$), for r close to ρ we have $w(r) < 2\phi(r-\rho)$. Hence, from (4.12) we find

$$w(r) < \phi \left[1 + C_4(r-\rho) \left(\frac{F(\phi)}{F(2\phi)} \right)^{1/2} \phi^\beta \right].$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we obtain the right hand side of (4.7). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, be a bounded smooth domain, let $f(t)$ be smooth, decreasing and satisfying (1.7) and (1.10). If $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (1.1) then*

$$\phi \left[1 - \frac{1}{\ell} H \delta \phi^\beta - C \delta \phi^{2\beta} \right] \leq u(x) \leq \phi \left[1 - \frac{1}{\ell} H \delta \phi^\beta + C \delta \phi^{2\beta} \right],$$

where $\phi = \phi(\delta)$ is defined as in (1.4), $H = H(x)$ is defined as in (3.18), and C is a suitable positive constant.

Proof. We look for a super-solution of the form

$$w(x) = \phi(\delta) - A\delta\phi^{\beta+1} + \alpha\delta\phi^{2\beta+1}, \quad A = \frac{1}{\ell}H,$$

where α is a positive constant to be determined. We have

$$w_{x_i} = \phi' \delta_{x_i} - A_{x_i} \delta \phi^{\beta+1} - A [\phi^{\beta+1} + (\beta+1)\delta\phi^\beta \phi'] \delta_{x_i} + \alpha [\phi^{2\beta+1} + (2\beta+1)\delta\phi^{2\beta} \phi'] \delta_{x_i}.$$

Recalling (3.19) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= \phi'' - \phi' H - \Delta A \delta \phi^{\beta+1} - 2\nabla A \cdot \nabla \delta (\phi^{\beta+1} + (\beta+1)\delta \phi^\beta \phi') \\ &\quad - A [2(\beta+1)\phi^\beta \phi' + (\beta+1)\beta \delta \phi^{\beta-1} (\phi')^2 + (\beta+1)\delta \phi^\beta \phi''] \\ &\quad + AH [\phi^{\beta+1} + (\beta+1)\delta \phi^\beta \phi'] + \alpha [2(2\beta+1)\phi^{2\beta} \phi' + (2\beta+1)2\beta \delta \\ &\quad - \phi^{2\beta-1} (\phi')^2 + (2\beta+1)\delta \phi^{2\beta} \phi'' - (\phi^{2\beta+1} + (2\beta+1)\delta \phi^{2\beta} \phi') H]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Equation (4.4) yields

$$\phi' = [1 + O(1)\phi^\beta] \delta f(\phi). \quad (4.14)$$

Since $\phi'' = -f(\phi)$, by (4.13) and (4.14) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= f(\phi) \left[-1 - H\delta + O(1)\delta \phi^\beta + O(1) \frac{\phi^{\beta+1}}{f(\phi)} + O(1)\delta^3 \phi^{\beta-1} f(\phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha O(1)\delta \phi^{2\beta} + \alpha O(1) \frac{\phi^{2\beta+1}}{f(\phi)} + \alpha O(1)\delta^3 \phi^{2\beta-1} f(\phi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

We claim that, for δ small,

$$\frac{\phi^{\beta+1}}{f(\phi)} \leq \delta \phi^\beta. \quad (4.16)$$

Rewrite (4.16) as

$$\frac{\phi}{\delta f(\phi)} \leq 1.$$

The latter inequality follows by the statement

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi}{\delta f(\phi)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t(f(t))^{-1}}{\psi(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(t))^{-1} - t(f(t))^{-2} f'(t)}{(2F(t))^{-1/2}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\left(\frac{2F(t)}{f(t)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(f(t))^{1/2}} - \frac{t}{(2F(t))^{1/2}} \frac{2F(t)f'(t)}{(f(t))^2} \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have used (1.8), (1.9), (4.1) and (4.2).

Now we claim that, for δ small,

$$\delta^3 \phi^{\beta-1} f(\phi) \leq \delta \phi^\beta. \quad (4.17)$$

Rewrite (4.17) as

$$\frac{\delta^2 f(\phi)}{\phi} \leq 1.$$

The latter inequality follows by the statement

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta}{\phi^{1/2} (f(\phi))^{-1/2}} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{1/2} (f(t))^{-1/2}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2(2F(t))^{-1/2}}{(tf(t))^{-1/2} - t^{1/2} (f(t))^{-3/2} f'(t)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{F(t)}{tf(t)} \right)^{1/2}}{\frac{F(t)}{tf(t)} - \frac{F(t)f'(t)}{(f(t))^2}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where (1.8) and (1.9) have been used.

Let us consider now the terms containing α . By (4.16), for δ small we have

$$\frac{\phi^{2\beta+1}}{f(\phi)} \leq \delta \phi^{2\beta}. \quad (4.18)$$

Finally, by (4.17) we find

$$\delta^3 \phi^{2\beta-1} f(\phi) \leq \delta \phi^{2\beta}. \quad (4.19)$$

Therefore, by (4.15) and estimates (4.16)-(4.19), we find suitable positive constants M_1, M_2 , such that

$$\Delta w < f(\phi) [-1 - H\delta + M_1 \delta \phi^\beta + \alpha M_2 \delta \phi^{2\beta}]. \quad (4.20)$$

On the other hand, by Taylor's formula we have

$$f(t + \omega t) = f(t) \left[1 + \frac{t f'(t)}{f(t)} \omega + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t^2 f''(\theta t)}{f(t)} \omega^2 \right], \quad (4.21)$$

where θ is between 1 and $1 + \omega$. If $-\epsilon < \omega < \epsilon$ we can use (1.10); using also (1.7), from (4.21) we find

$$f(t + \omega t) = f(t) \left[1 - \frac{\ell}{t^\beta} (1 + O(1)t^\beta) \omega + O(1) \frac{1}{t^{2\beta}} (F(t))^{1/m} \omega^2 \right].$$

Here m is so large that (1.10) and (4.3) hold. Let

$$\omega = -A\delta \phi^\beta + \alpha \delta \phi^{2\beta},$$

and take α and δ_0 so that, for $\{x \in \Omega : \delta(x) < \delta_0\}$

$$-\epsilon < -A\delta \phi^\beta + \alpha \delta \phi^{2\beta} < \epsilon. \quad (4.22)$$

With $t = \phi(\delta)$ we have $t + t\omega = w$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= f(\phi) \left[1 - \ell(1 + O(1)\phi^\beta) (-A\delta + \alpha \delta \phi^\beta) + O(1) (-A\delta + \alpha \delta \phi^\beta)^2 (F(\phi))^{1/m} \right] \\ &= f(\phi) \left[1 + \ell A\delta - \alpha \ell \delta \phi^\beta + O(1) \delta \phi^\beta + \alpha O(1) \delta \phi^{2\beta} + O(1) \delta^2 (F(\phi))^{1/m} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha^2 O(1) \delta^2 \phi^{2\beta} (F(\phi))^{1/m} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, using (1.8), (4.2), and recalling that $m > 2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta^2 (F(\phi))^{1/m}}{\delta \phi^\beta} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta}{\phi^\beta (F(\phi))^{-1/m}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)}{t^\beta (F(t))^{-1/m}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(2F(t))^{-1/2}}{\beta t^{\beta-1} (F(t))^{-1/m} + \frac{1}{m} t^\beta (F(t))^{-\frac{1}{m}-1} f(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t)}{f(t)} \frac{1}{t^\beta (F(t))^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{m}}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can find positive constants M_3, M_4, M_5 such that

$$f(w) < f(\phi) [1 + \ell A\delta - \alpha \ell \delta \phi^\beta + M_3 \delta \phi^\beta + \alpha M_4 \delta \phi^{2\beta} + \alpha^2 M_5 \delta^2 \phi^{2\beta} (F(\phi))^{1/m}].$$

Recalling that $H = \ell A$, by (4.20) and the latter inequality we have

$$\Delta w + f(w) < 0 \quad (4.23)$$

provided

$$M_1 \delta \phi^\beta + \alpha M_2 \delta \phi^{2\beta} - \alpha \ell \delta \phi^\beta + M_3 \delta \phi^\beta + \alpha M_4 \delta \phi^{2\beta} + \alpha^2 M_5 \delta^2 \phi^{2\beta} (F(\phi))^{1/m} < 0.$$

Rearranging we find

$$M_1 + M_3 < \alpha [\ell - (M_2 + M_4) \phi^\beta - \alpha M_5 \delta \phi^\beta (F(\phi))^{1/m}]. \quad (4.24)$$

Since

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta(F(\phi))^{1/m} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t)(F(t))^{1/m} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t(F(t))^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{2}} = 0,$$

it follows that (4.24) holds for δ small and α large.

Using the right hand side of (4.7) we have

$$w - u > \phi^{\beta+1}(F(\phi))^{-1/m} \left[-A\delta(F(\phi))^{1/m} + \alpha\delta\phi^\beta(F(\phi))^{1/m} - C\delta \frac{(F(\phi))^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}}}{(F(2\phi))^{1/2}} \right].$$

Take α_1 large and δ_1 small so that (4.22) and (4.24) hold for $\{x \in \Omega : \delta(x) < \delta_1\}$, and define

$$q = \alpha_1\delta_1\phi^\beta(F(\phi))^{1/m}.$$

Let us show that we can decrease δ increasing α according to $\alpha\delta\phi^\beta(F(\phi))^{1/m} = q$ until

$$-A\delta(F(\phi))^{1/m} + q - C\delta \frac{(F(\phi))^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}}}{(F(2\phi))^{1/2}} > 0 \quad (4.25)$$

for $\{x \in \Omega : \delta(x) = \delta_2\}$. Indeed, we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta(F(\phi))^{1/m} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t)(F(t))^{1/m} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (F(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}} = 0.$$

Furthermore, using (4.3) we find

$$0 \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta \frac{(F(\phi))^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}}}{(F(2\phi))^{1/2}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)(F(t))^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}}}{(F(2t))^{1/2}} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t(F(t))^{1/m}}{(F(2t))^{1/2}} = 0.$$

If (4.25) holds, then $w - u > 0$ for $\delta(x) = \delta_2$. Since $w - u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, by (4.23) and (1.1) we have $w - u \geq 0$ on $\{x \in \Omega : \delta(x) < \delta_2\}$. We have proved that, for C large,

$$u(x) < \phi \left[1 - \frac{1}{\ell} H\delta\phi^\beta + C\delta\phi^{2\beta} \right].$$

In a very similar manner, using the left hand side of (4.7), one finds that

$$v = \phi - \frac{1}{\ell} H\delta\phi^{\beta+1} - \alpha\delta\phi^{2\beta+1},$$

satisfies $v - u \leq 0$ in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ provided α is large enough. The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Anedda; *Second order boundary estimates for solutions to singular elliptic equations*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol 2011 (2011), no. 90, 1-15.
- [2] C. Anedda, F. Cuccu and G. Porru; *Boundary estimates for solutions to singular elliptic equations*, Le Matematiche, LX (2005), 339-352.
- [3] S. Berhanu, F. Cuccu and G. Porru; *On the boundary behaviour, including second order effects, of solutions to singular elliptic problems*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 23 (2007), 479-486.
- [4] M. G. Crandall, P. H. Rabinowitz and L. Tartar; *On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq., 2 (1997), 193-222.
- [5] F. Cuccu, E. Giarrusso and G. Porru; *Boundary behaviour for solutions of elliptic singular equations with a gradient term*, Nonlinear Analysis 69 (2008), 4550-4566.
- [6] M. Ghergu and V. Rădulescu; *On a class of sublinear singular elliptic problems with convection term*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 311 (2005), 635-646.
- [7] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger; *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1977).
- [8] B. Kawohl; *On a class of singular elliptic equations*, Progress in PDE: elliptic and parabolic problems, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Series, 266, Longman (1992), 156-163.

- [9] A. Lazer and P. J. McKenna; *On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem*, Proc. American. Math. Soc., 111 (1991), 721-730.
- [10] A. Lazer and P. J. McKenna; *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of boundary blow-up problems*, Differential and Integral Equations, 7 (1994), 1001-1019.
- [11] Z. Zhang and J. Cheng; *Existence and optimal estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, 57 (2004), 473-484.
- [12] Z. Zhang and J. Yu; *On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem with a convection term*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (2000), 916-927.

CLAUDIA ANEDDA

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI, VIA OSPEDALE 72,
09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

E-mail address: `canedda@unica.it`

GIOVANNI PORRU

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI, VIA OSPEDALE 72,
09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

E-mail address: `porru@unica.it`