Human Systems Management 29 (2010) 1–13 DOI 10.3233/HSM-2010-0711 IOS Press

# on organisational participation: The caseof a public administration

- <sup>4</sup> Alessia Sammarra<sup>a,\*</sup>, Roberto Dandi<sup>b</sup>, Caterina Muzzi<sup>c</sup> and Lucio Biggiero<sup>d</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>a</sup>Facoltà di Economia, Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, ex Scuola Reiss Romoli, Italy
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>b</sup>Università Luiss Guido Carli
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>c</sup>Università degli Studi di Brescia
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>d</sup>Università degli Studi di L'Aquila
- Abstract. Improving organisational participation is becoming more and more important as organisations are trying to shift from a bureaucratic model based on work specialisation and division of labour towards knowledge-intensive organisations built on competence sharing and team working. The aim of this paper is to investigate participation in decision making mediated by
- e-mail (e-PDM) among organisational members that are in similar hierarchical positions. The conceptual background of the
- 13 study integrates the organisational theories on PDM and the computer-mediated communication (CMC) literature. Data analysis,
- based on an empirical research conducted in an Italian governmental agency, investigates the factors that affect the adoption of
- horizontal e-PDM in the workplace and to what extent this is mediated by the interplay between technology and social context.
- <sup>16</sup> Our results suggest that social structuration of technology and social processes in organisations do have an impact on e-mail
- use for participative purposes, and that, along with group characteristics, leadership plays a major role in enabling work group
   members to increase horizontal e-PDM.
- 19 Keywords: E-mail communication, organisational participation, CMC



Lucio Biggiero is Full Professor of Organization Theory and Design at the Faculty of Economics, University of L'Aquila (Italy), and director of the Knownetlab Research Center (www.knownetlab.it). His main interests are in the following fields: behavioural methods of decision making; industrial clusters/districts; (inter-)organisational design theory and methods; coordination theory; socio-cognitive aspects of organisational behaviour (trust, identity, norms, reputation); organisational consequences of computer-mediated com-

\*Corresponding author. Alessia Sammarra, Facoltà di Economia, Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, ex Scuola Reiss Romoli, via G. Falcone, 25 Coppito 67100 (AQ) Italy. Tel.: +39 0862 434851; Fax: +39 0862 434803; E-mail: sammarra@ec.univaq.it. munication; methodology and epistemology of organisation science. He applies the following main methodologies: outranking methods for decision making; social network analysis; data analysis; agentbased simulation models.



**Roberto Dandi**, PhD in Organizational Behavior at Università degli Studi del Molise (Campobasso, Italy), is a postdoctoral research fellow at LUISS Guido Carli University (Rome, Italy) in healthcare management. He is adjunct professor of Health Information Systems Management at Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore (Rome, Italy). Formerly, he was a postdoctoral research scientist at the National Center for Supercomputing Applications at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA).

0167-2533/10/\$27.50 © 2010 – IOS Press and the authors. All rights reserved

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

34

Caterina Muzzi currently has a postdoctoral research position at the University of Brescia (Italy). She has got her Ph.D. in Organisational Information Systems at LUISS Guido Carli of Rome and has been teaching Knowledge Management and HRM, Organisational Design and Information Systems Management in several Italian Universities. She has been involved in Italian and European Research projects and she is currently an external consultant for CRIM (Research Center on Innovation management) at CSMT Research Center in Brescia

(Italy). Formerly she was visiting researcher at the Department of Informatics of Copenhagen Business School (Copenhagen, DK).

Dr Alessia Sammarra is Assistant Professor of Management at the Faculty of Economics, the University of L'Aquila, Italy. She has been visiting scholar at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (USA). Her current research interests include organizational identify and identification, organizational identify and identification, organizational networks, learning and innovation. Her work has been published in international academic journals such as the Journal of Management Studies, Journal of Management and Governance, Entrepreneurship & Regional Develop-

ment, European Planning Studies.

#### 84 **1. Introduction**

Most of the literature on the effects of Computer-85 Mediated Communication (CMC) on organisational 86 participation has focused on the supposed increase of 87 democracy in the relationships among superiors and 88 subordinates as a consequence of CMC technologies' 89 adoption. According to several scholars [18, 25, 38, 90 39], the narrow bandwidth of e-mail causes a limited 91 transmission of status indicators and this enhances the 92 uninhibited participation of lower-level organisational 93 actors in decision making processes. 94

However, more recent studies have challenged these 95 results. First, it is not true that non-verbal cues are com-96 pletely filtered out in e-mail communication. Byron 97 and Baldridge [10] found that receivers' personalities 98 influenced their perceptions of the e-mail through per-99 ceptions of non-verbal cues such as emoticons and 100 text formality. Second, e-mail communication does not 101 occur in a social vacuum and status indicators may per-102 sist. Guèguen and Jacob [23], for example, showed that 103 the status embedded in the signature of e-mails was 104 taken into account by the participants in two experi-105

ments: high status solicitors received more responses than low status one. Third, the status structure within organizations, being inherent within all work practices, is unlikely to be undermined by e-mail. In their fieldwork study, Biggiero et al. [6] have found that low status organizational participants were aware of status differences in both face-to-face and e-mail communication. Also, organizations which favoured offline participation in decision making were more likely than less participative organizations to present forms of participation also via e-mail communication. 106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

Some studies [5] have also considered the effect of CMC on group decision making. Nunamaker et al. [36], for example, argued that the characteristics of electronic meeting systems provide several advantages in terms of participation over face-to-face meetings. McDaniel et al. [32] found that Computer Mediated Asynchronous Communication permits a greater volume of discussion than face-to-face meetings.

Although e-mail is the most diffused form of electronic communication in organizations, most studies have focused on synchronous, text-based electronic systems: electronic meeting systems, instant messaging systems, and group decision support systems [2]. Even if some of the results on the use of synchronous electronic communication can be applied on the use of e-mail communication, research will benefit from a more focused approach. To help address this gap in the literature, this paper focuses on participation mediated by e-mail among organisational members that are in similar hierarchical positions.

Previous research on CMC has adopted two dif-137 ferentiated views on how technology affects the 138 organisational members' behaviour [30]. The Techno-139 logical Imperative perspective considers technology 140 as an exogenous variable that forces or strongly con-141 strains the behaviours of individuals and organisations 142 (technology causes behaviour). According to this view, 143 the objective features of e-mail (asynchronycity, rapid 144 transmission and reply, text based communication, 145 dyadic and multiple connections) deterministically lead 146 to an increase of organisational participation in the 147 workplace. As a result, this approach assigns a small 148 role to the social and organisational context in influ-149 encing the actual use of e-mail for both vertical 150 and horizontal participation. The Emergent Perspective 151 refuses the idea that e-mail features alone are suffi-152 cient to enable organisational participation. Adoption 153 and use of e-mail is rather a result of the interplay 154 between e-mail system appropriation and social inter-155 actions. In accordance with organisational theories on 156

52

53

54

55

56

57

58



PDM [8, 12, 13, 27, 41], the emergent perspective suggests that electronic participation depends on several contextual factors.

Drawing from the emergent perspective on CMC 160 impacts and the theory on organisational partic-161 ipation, this study examines the effect of task 162 attributes, workgroup's characteristics, leadership style 163 and individuals' attributes on horizontal electronic 164 participation. The paper is structured as follows. In 165 section 2 theoretical considerations are developed to 166 derive hypotheses on the contextual factors which may 167 affect the adoption of electronic horizontal participa-168 tion. In section 3, we outline the research design of the study by describing the empirical context and the 170 methodology used. In section 4 hypotheses are tested 171 on a data-set of 137 employees of a large public organi-172 sation. Finally, in section 5, the paper offers concluding 173 comments on the research findings and a discussion of 174 the theoretical and managerial implications. 175

#### 176 **2.** Theoretical background and hypotheses

#### 177 2.1. Horizontal and vertical electronic

178 participation in decision making (e-PDM)

Although numerous researchers have attempted to 170 clarify the term "participation," a variety of disparate 180 definitions exist [31]. Among the more commonly 181 used are influence sharing [34], joint decision mak-182 ing [27], and degree of employee involvement in 183 decisions [33]. Drawing from Locke and Schweiger's 184 definition [27], we consider e-PDM to be joint deci-185 sion making mediated by e-mail. This definition is 186 general enough to include three distinct dimensions 187 of e-PDM. Horizontal e-PDM refers to electronic 188 joint decision making among workgroup members in 189 the same hierarchical position. Bottom-up (vertical) 190 e-PDM refers to subordinates' electronic participation 191 in decision-making with supervisors, and top-down 192 (vertical) e-PDM concerns supervisors' electronic par-193 ticipation in decision-making with subordinates. 194

# Leadership style, group culture and horizontal e-PDM

Leadership style is widely recognised as one of the most influential factors in PDM. Literature on leadership [4, 27, 40] individuates several leadership styles in the continuum ranging from the entirely autocratic to the purely democratic. Stewart and Manz [40] crossed this dimension (autocratic-democratic) with the degree of leader involvement (highly involved or laissez faire). According to these authors, autocratic leaders undermine the emergence of a climate of communication openness, information exchange, self-management and participation in decision making among subordinates that reduce the likelihood of PDM both in vertical and horizontal relationships.

Besides leadership style, the organisational literature also includes the group's culture, norms and attitude as relevant contextual factors affecting PDM effectiveness. As Locke and Schweiger [27] state: "Groups can be just as autocratic as supervisors, if not more so, and may thereby inhibit the expression of new or unpopular ideas" (p. 321).

In the CMC literature, deterministic approaches to organisational consequences of technology have largely underestimated the influence of leadership style and group culture on electronic participation. Thanks to its technical characteristics, e-mail is often viewed as an intrinsically democratic medium [28] that increases uninhibited communication among organisational members and information sharing. In this perspective, the objective features of e-mail (openness, informality, reduced social cues, higher reachability) are expected to increase electronic participation independently from social factors linked to leader and group's attributes. The Adaptive Structuration Theory [17] opposes this view. According to DeSanctis and Poole [17], although the technical features of e-mail could facilitate and support participation, the social context of the organisation can undermine this potential kind of technology appropriation. Consistently with the emergent perspective, Dandi and Schiavi [15] found evidence that communication patterns (through several media, including e-mail) among colleagues working in units with autocratic leaders and low group participative culture are less dense than patterns among colleagues in units co-ordinated by participative leaders and characterised by a group climate that supports freedom of speech.

*Hypothesis 1: Autocratic leaders inhibit horizontal e-PDM* 

*Hypothesis 2: The level of group participative culture will positively influence horizontal e-PDM* 

#### 2.3. Task attributes and horizontal e-PDM

In the PDM literature, task complexity has been 248 associated with a higher demand for organisational par-249

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

ticipation [35]. Highly complex, non-routinised and 250 unstructured tasks require extensive co-ordination and 251 information sharing among the people who are per-252 forming them [22]. From a network perspective the 253 more complex is the task the more dense should be the 254 network of communication among members involved. 255 Complex tasks thus would require dense structures of 256 communication (in which each node is linked to many 257 others) while simple or routine task may deploy for-258 mal hierarchical structures of communication. Complex 259 tasks are difficult to control by a supervisor (due to their 260 poor analysability and the variety of skills they require) 261 and this enhances the need for horizontal participa-262 tion. Consequently, in the organisational literature, task 263 complexity is expected to have a positive influence on 264 participation. 265

In the CMC literature, the relationship between 266 task complexity and electronic participation is more 267 ambiguous and differentiated than it appears in the 268 PDM literature. According to the Media Richness The-269 ory [14], media differ in "communication richness" 270 depending on their feedback ability, communica-271 tion channel capability, source and language variety. 272 According to the Media Richness Theory, organisa-273 tional members rationally adopt the communication 274 medium which better support their information require-275 ments. This implies that organisational members use 276 richer media, such as face-to-face (FtF) and tele-27 phone, to manage complex tasks in order to reduce 278 equivocality of information and increase co-ordination 279 effectiveness. Since e-mail, based on its objective fea-280 tures is expected to be a poor medium as it allows 28 for slow feedback capability and transmission of text-282 based cues, the Media Richness Theory predicts that 283 organisational members are less willing to use e-mail 284 for horizontal participation when they have to accom-285 plish complex tasks. This deterministic view of the 286 relationship between task complexity and e-PDM is 287 opposed by the emergent perspective on computer-288 mediated communication. In this regard, Fulk [21] 289 argues that media choice depends on the socially con-290 structed perceptions of utility of the medium rather 291 than on its objective features. According to the Adap-292 tive Structuration Theory [17], the actual structuration 293 of the technology, that is the degree and the way of 294 appropriation of it, is an emergence of the course 295 of social interaction. Thus, if in a specific organi-296 sational context, e-mail is perceived as a clear, 297 not ambiguous, and empowering medium that facil-298 itates information exchange and co-ordination, then 299 organisational members will use more the e-mail to 300

participate with their peers to accomplish complex 301 tasks. 302

Hypothesis 3: The perception of e-mail features will303mediate the relationship between task complexity304and horizontal e-PDM in such a way that horizontal305e-PDM will have the strongest, positive relationship306with task complexity when positive perceptions of307e-mail as a useful means of communication are high308

309

327

328

329

330

331

332

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

#### 2.4. Vertical e-PDM and horizontal e-PDM

In the literature there is no reference of a supposed 310 relationship between vertical and horizontal e-PDM. 311 However we wanted to investigate the possibility of 312 an influence of vertical e-PDM on the horizontal one. 313 Consequently we decided to introduce an exploratory 314 hypothesis to test this issue. As a matter of fact it 315 could be argued that the actual use of e-mail in verti-316 cal relationships may affect the members' likelihood to 317 use the electronic medium for horizontal participation 318 because in work organisation vertical relationships are 319 supposed to be more formal and normative than peer-to-320 peer ones. This may imply that the type of relationship 321 members establish with the supervisor is likely to influ-322 ence and shape also the understandings that workgroup 323 members share regarding what constitute appropriate 324 electronic communication behaviour with other work 325 group members. 326

Hypothesis 4: The higher is the member's attitude to use e-mail for vertical PDM (superior/subordinates relationship), the higher his/her use of e-mail for horizontal participation

Figure 1 summarises the hypotheses outlining the effects of contextual factors on e-PDM discussed in this section.

### 3. Data and methods

### 3.1. Research setting

Research was undertaken in an Italian governmental agency that will be referred to as IPA. IPA was a former department of one of the Italian Ministries that gained autonomy (in organisational, managerial, administrative, financial and patrimonial issues) in January 2000 as a consequence of an important process of decentralisation and reorganisation of the Ministry and, more generally, of the Italian Public Administration.



Fig. 1. Contextual factors affecting horizontal e-PDM.

IPA is a large and complex organisation with about 344 37,000 employees and a geographically dispersed struc-345 ture designed along 3 main geographical levels: central, 346 regional, and local. At the central level there are 347 7 departments: three of them are focused on the core 348 activity of the Agency while the other four include 349 External Institutions Relationships, Human Resources 350 Management, Administration, and Systems and Pro-351 cesses. At the regional level there are 19 Regional 352 Departments (one per region) and 2 Provincial Depart-353 ments (due to the existence of 2 provinces that have 354 a special administrative status similar to the regional 355 one). At the local level there are about 385 Local Offices 356 located all around the Italian peninsula. 357

Since its creation, IPA has made significant efforts 358 to overcome the bureaucratic culture inherited from the 359 past. Before the establishment of IPA as an autonomous 360 agency, the internal communication system was mainly 361 based on traditional communication channels (reports, 362 official notes, memos) following a strict top-down 363 flow. Recently, IPA has launched the implementation 364 of electronic communication as an important means 365

to improve internal communication, to strengthen the sense of affiliation to the organisation, and to enhance the overall level of employees' participation. In order to achieve these goals, IPA's top management has sustained the creation of a community of practice called "network of internal communication supporters" with the aim of facilitating the implementation of the new internal communication strategy and especially, of the e-mail system. This group of volunteers was created in January 2001 and now it counts up to 600 persons. Members of the "network of internal communication supporters" have the role of facilitators and technologyuse mediators [37] of the e-mail system and other forms of internal communication.

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

When the decision of implementing a common e-mail system throughout the whole organisation was taken, only top managers had a personal e-mail address. Some regional and local offices had a collective address while some regional directions had independently adopted their own informal e-mail systems. The implementation of the common e-mail system was undertaken gradually. The criterion chosen in order to

prioritise the attribution of personal e-mail addresses 388 was the position held in the organisational hierarchy. 389 Therefore, in the initial stage of implementation of the 390 electronic communication system, having a personal 39 e-mail account was a status symbol that increased the 392 status difference perceptions within IPA. At the time 393 the study has been conducted, the process of e-mail 394 implementation was almost completed. In central direc-395 tions, all employees had already a personal e-mail 396 account. Only in some local and regional offices there 397 were still collective addresses managed by the offices' 398 responsible or by the local supporter of the Network of 399 Communication Supporters. 400

#### 3.2. Sample and data 401

The research integrates qualitative and quantitative 402 data collection methods in a two-stage case study 403 design. At first stage, we collected organisational doc-404 uments and conducted in-depth qualitative interviews 405 focused on the introduction of the e-mail system and 406 its relation with the on-going process of organisa-407 tional change. All the interviews were based on a 408 common interview guide. The first interviews were 409 done collectively by the authors and by a research 410 assistant well familiar with the research topic. Subse-411 quently, the interviews were carried out individually 412 and were tape-recorded and verbatim transcribed. The 413 target groups for the interviews were the HRM depart-414 ment, the Systems and Processes Department and the 415 Network of Supporters. Within the HRM department 416 we interviewed employees from the Internal Communi-417 cation Office and the Quality Management Office. The 418 Internal Communication Office is part of HRM Depart-419 ment and is responsible for all the activities related 420 to the internal communication, including the content 421 management of the intranet. The Systems and Pro-422 cesses Department is in charge of all the activities that 423 relate to the technical management of IPA's information 424 systems. 425

Qualitative interviews and documentary analysis 426 were aimed to gain in-depth knowledge of the role that 427 e-mail adoption has played in the process of change 428 that IPA has encountered. Specifically, the interview 429 guide focused on the criteria followed in the implemen-430 tation process. We carried out 18 interviews (14 men 431 and 4 women) with 12 managers and employees of 432 the central departments and 6 members of the regional 433 and local offices (at regional and local level we inter-434 viewed employees that were involved in the Network 435 of Communication Supporters). As it concerns docu-436

ment analysis, we collected the organisational chart, the role descriptions for the people we interviewed, general information from the web-site and also from the intranet, copies of the internal communication newspaper, the internal rules about e-mail use and the FAQs on the same subject and some samples of work-related e-mails.

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

449

479

In the second phase, we collected quantitative data 444 through an on-line structured questionnaire. Prelim-445 inary results based on interviews and documentary 446 data were also used to guide us in design of the 447 questionnaire. The sample for the study consisted of 448 550 employees randomly selected (250 from the Network of Supporters). To secure a representative sample 450 of the organisational population, we obtained basic 451 information from the organisation on the population 452 characteristics with respect to gender, geographical 453 distribution (by macro-regions: Northern, Central and 454 Southern regions) and organisational levels (central, 455 regional and local departments/offices).

Surveys were distributed on-line in May 2003 and the 457 confidentiality of completed surveys was guaranteed 458 to all respondents. Three on-line questionnaires were 459 returned as "Undeliverable" by the System Admin-460 istrator, so the actual sample counted 547 persons. 461 Finally, the return of 228 completed questionnaires 462 yielded a response rate of 41.7 percent. The average 463 age of the respondents was 42,28 years (s.d. = 7.5), and 464 37.95 percent of them were women. Forty two per-465 cent of respondents received a personal e-mail account 466 from the organisation after 2001, 40.6 percent in 2001, 467 15.6 percent in 2000, and only 1.8 percent of respon-468 dents had a personal e-mail account before 2000. 469 77.4 percent of respondents were employed in local 470 offices and 22.6 per cent in Central and Regional Direc-471 tions. 11.5 per cent of respondents had a master or 472 Ph.D., 33.6 per cent were university graduates, 52.7 473 held a high-school diploma, and 2.2 of respondents 474 held only an elementary school diploma. The sample 475 respondents had demographic characteristics very sim-476 ilar to those of the target population, suggesting it was 477 a representative one. 478

#### 3.3. Measures

Horizontal e-PDM was measured by four items that 480 asked about the individual's willingness to use the 481 e-mail with other colleagues with a similar hierarchical 482 position to 1) influence their decisions; 2) to propose 483 solutions to their problems; 3) to let them follow what 484 one does; 4) to raise or express a critique. All items used 485

a seven-points response scale ranging from "not at all"
to "very much". Horizontal e-PDM had a Cronbach's
alpha of 0.84.

Vertical e-PDM bottom-up was measured by three
items that asked about the individual's willingness to
use the e-mail with the direct supervisor to 1) influence his/her decisions; 2) to propose solutions to his/her
problems; 3) to raise or express a critique to him/her.
All items used a seven-points response scale ranging
from "not at all" to "very much". Cronbach alpha for
this measure was 0.77.

Vertical e-PDM top-down was measured by three
items that asked about the individual's willingness to
use the e-mail with subordinates to 1) exchange personal information; 2) ask for suggestions/explanations
on complex task; 3) to let them follow what one does.
All items used a seven-points response scale ranging
from "not at all" to "very much". Horizontal e-PDM
had a Cronbach's alpha of 0.77.

Task complexity was measured by three items that asked about the task's degree of variety and variability. 506 Following Ashby's [1] definition of complexity, task 507 complexity has been measured in terms of the rate of 508 task variety (number of different activities that must be 509 dealt with everyday to perform the task) and rate of 510 task variability (extent to which activities are subject to 511 change). (The three items were "In a work day I have 512 to perform many different activities", "I often need to 513 deal with new activities", "How often have the course of 514 your planned activities changed in the last 6 months?".) 515 All items used a seven-points response scale ranging, 516 for the first two items from "not at all" to "very much", 517 and for the third one from "never" to "very often". Task 518 complexity had a Cronbach's alpha of 0.75. 519

Perception of e-mail features was measured by a 520 six-items scale. All items used a seven-points scale 521 ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree". 522 (The six items were "e-mail allows clear communica-523 tion", "e-mail allows quick resolution of problems", 524 "e-mail makes clear where accountability lies", "e-mail 525 allows people to avoid conflict", "e-mail allows crit-526 icism expression" and "e-mail reduces hierarchical 527 distance".) The Cronbach for the six items was 0.71. 528

Group participative attitude was measured by a 529 three-item scale that asked about the group attitude 530 towards participative behaviour. All items used a seven-531 points response scale ranging from "strongly disagree" 532 to "strongly agree". (The three items were "respon-533 sibilities are shared by all members", "who raises 534 constructive critics on other colleagues' work does not 535 fear to be penalized", "who proposes alternative point 536

of views is appreciated".) The Cronbach for the three items was 0.70.

Leadership style: we used a one item-scale of hierarchical manager based on Hofstede's [26] measure of leadership style. The item describes a hierarchical manager in the following terms: "Usually makes his/her decisions promptly and communicates them to his/her subordinates clearly and firmly. S/he expects them to carry out the decisions loyally and without raising difficulties" and then it asks the respondent to asses "How much does your direct supervisor most closely correspond to this manager?" on a seven-point scale ranging from "not at all" to "very much". We calculated a binary variable to distinguish hierarchical managers from not hierarchical by recoding as hierarchical (1) all responses above the mean and as not hierarchical (0) all responses to the above item that were below the mean value.

## 3.4. Control measures

To reduce the likelihood that individuals' demographic characteristics would confound the hypotheses examined in the study we included the following measures as control variables.

*Age*: elder people are usually less likely to adopt and trust electronic devices. Therefore we wanted to control if this occurred in our sample and had an impact on their degree of electronic participation. Age was measured in number of years.

Gender: Gender differences may also influence participation outcomes. Denton and Zeytinoglu [16] found that women were less likely than men to perceive themselves as participating in decision-making, even when controlling for other relevant variables. According to a deterministic view of technology, participation of female members in organisational decision processes is likely to increase in virtual settings. According to the Reduced Social Cues Theory [39], e-mail allows a relative anonymity and reduction of perception of the gender that can let female members participate more easily than FtF. However, recent studies found that gender differences are not completely filtered out in CMC. Empirical evidence was found that men are more likely to be dominating and controlling, whereas women are more expressive and likely to try to maintain relationships in e-mails, instant messaging, and Internet relay chat conversations [3, 20, 24]. To control for differences among men and women, we included gender as binary variable ("man" = 0, "woman" = 1).

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

Technology-use mediators: as we had respondents 585 that were members of the "Network of Internal Com-586 munication Supporters", we also used a dummy code 587 to control for effects related to the specific role of technology-use mediators played by the supporters in the organisation ("member of the network" = 1, "not 590 member of the network" = 0). 591

Frequency of e-mail sent locally: we measured the frequency of e-mail use with colleagues located in the same room or in close ones to control for the effect of physical proximity on horizontal e-PDM. Frequency of e-mail sent locally was measured with a one-item five-point scale ranging from "never" to "daily".

For each scale with multiple items, we used the average values as the focal variables.

#### 4. Results 600

588

589

592

593

594

595

596

59

598

599

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

Table 1 reports the means, standard deviations, and correlations for the dependent and independent variables.

The means of the three measures of electronic participation are quite low. However it is worth noticing that horizontal e-PDM scores the highest value.

Among the control variables only gender was not significantly correlated with horizontal e-PDM. This result suggests that, in the studied organisation, there are not gender inequalities in peer-to-peer electronic participation. However, since we did not measure nonelectronic PDM, we can not assess the actual impact of

e-mail on reducing possible gender inequalities in horizontal participation. As expected age was negatively 613 correlated with the dependent variable (r = -0.163,614 p < 0.05) while both the frequency of e-mail use locally and technology-use mediator variables shown a positive and significant correlation. Among the explanatory 617 variables, only autocratic leadership was not signif-618 icantly correlated with horizontal e-PDM. Both the vertical e-PDM variables exhibited the highest correlation coefficients. As can be seen in Table 1, some of the independent variables were intercorrelated (e.g. the correlation for e-PDM top-down and bottom-up was 0.419 and significant at p < 0.001).

612

615

616

619

620

621

622

623

We tested our hypotheses with hierarchical (block-625 wise entry) multiple regression analysis. Before con-626 ducting regression analysis we examined residual plots 627 to verify that assumptions of linearity and homoscedas-628 ticity were met. Model 1 included estimated effects 629 for a baseline model with only control variables while 630 model 2 included also the explanatory variables. Table 2 631 reports regression results for the two models. Since we 632 found that some independent variables were intercor-633 related, we checked the VIF and tolerance statistics in 634 order to assess multicollinearity problems. For the two 635 models the VIF values were well below 10 and the tol-636 erance statistics all well below 0.2. The average VIF 637 was 1.031 for the baseline model (model 1) and 1.173 638 for the full model (model 2). Therefore we could safely 639 conclude that collinearity was not a problem for the two 640 models. 641

Mean	S.D.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2.90	1.57	1.000									
1.72	1.65	0.577***	1.000								
1.99	1.27	0.689***	0.419***	1.000							
19.08	8.33	0.339***	0.342***	0.385***	1.000						
4.03	1.24	0.266**	0.106	0.089	0.179*	1.000					
0.44	0.50	-0.043	0.070	0.077	0.081	0.201**	1.000				
1.23	1.13	0.293***	0.144*	0.110	0.082	0.155*	-0.060	1.000			
0.67	0.47	0.145*	-0.006	-0.001	0.246**	-0.021	-0.040	0.003	1.000		
0.33	0.47	-0.025	-0.051	0.055	0.30	-0.113	0.072	-0.114	0.026	1.000	
41.74	7.61	-0.163*	0.021	-0.109	-0.076	-0.059	0.098	-0.166*	-0.126	0.075	1.000
	Mean           2.90           1.72           1.99           19.08           4.03           0.44           1.23           0.67           0.33           41.74	Mean         S.D.           2.90         1.57           1.72         1.65           1.99         1.27           19.08         8.33           4.03         1.24           0.44         0.50           1.23         1.13           0.67         0.47           4.03         0.47           4.74         7.61	MeanS.D.1 $2.90$ $1.57$ $1.000$ $1.72$ $1.65$ $0.577^{***}$ $1.99$ $1.27$ $0.689^{***}$ $19.08$ $8.33$ $0.339^{***}$ $4.03$ $1.24$ $0.266^{**}$ $0.44$ $0.50$ $-0.043$ $1.23$ $1.13$ $0.293^{***}$ $0.67$ $0.47$ $0.145^{*}$ $0.33$ $0.47$ $-0.025$ $41.74$ $7.61$ $-0.163^{*}$	Mean         S.D.         1         2           2.90         1.57         1.000         1.72         1.65         0.577***         1.000           1.99         1.27         0.689***         0.419***         1.000           1.99         1.27         0.689***         0.419***           19.08         8.33         0.339***         0.342***           4.03         1.24         0.266**         0.106           0.44         0.50         -0.043         0.070           1.23         1.13         0.293***         0.144*           0.67         0.47         0.145*         -0.006           0.33         0.47         -0.025         -0.051           41.74         7.61         -0.163*         0.021	MeanS.D.123 $2.90$ $1.57$ $1.000$ $1.72$ $1.65$ $0.577^{***}$ $1.000$ $1.99$ $1.27$ $0.689^{***}$ $0.419^{***}$ $1.000$ $19.08$ $8.33$ $0.339^{***}$ $0.342^{***}$ $0.385^{***}$ $4.03$ $1.24$ $0.266^{**}$ $0.106$ $0.089$ $0.44$ $0.50$ $-0.043$ $0.070$ $0.077$ $1.23$ $1.13$ $0.293^{***}$ $0.144^{*}$ $0.110$ $0.67$ $0.47$ $0.145^{*}$ $-0.006$ $-0.001$ $0.33$ $0.47$ $-0.025$ $-0.051$ $0.055$ $41.74$ $7.61$ $-0.163^{*}$ $0.021$ $-0.109$	Mean         S.D.         1         2         3         4           2.90         1.57         1.000         1.72         1.65 $0.577^{***}$ 1.000         1.99         1.27 $0.689^{***}$ $0.419^{***}$ 1.000         1.99         1.27 $0.689^{***}$ $0.419^{***}$ $1.000$ 19.08         8.33 $0.339^{***}$ $0.342^{***}$ $0.385^{***}$ $1.000$ 4.03         1.24 $0.266^{**}$ $0.106$ $0.089$ $0.179^{**}$ 0.44         0.50 $-0.043$ $0.070$ $0.077$ $0.081$ 1.23         1.13 $0.293^{***}$ $0.144^{**}$ $0.110$ $0.082$ 0.67 $0.47$ $0.145^{*}$ $-0.006$ $-0.001$ $0.246^{**}$ $0.33$ $0.47$ $-0.125$ $-0.051$ $0.055$ $0.30$ $41.74$ $7.61$ $-0.163^{*}$ $0.021$ $-0.109$ $-0.076$	MeanS.D.123452.901.571.0001.721.65 $0.577^{***}$ 1.0001.991.27 $0.689^{***}$ $0.419^{***}$ 1.00019.088.33 $0.339^{***}$ $0.342^{***}$ $0.385^{***}$ 1.0004.031.24 $0.266^{**}$ $0.106$ $0.089$ $0.179^{*}$ $1.000$ 0.44 $0.50$ $-0.043$ $0.070$ $0.077$ $0.081$ $0.201^{**}$ 1.231.13 $0.293^{***}$ $0.144^{*}$ $0.110$ $0.082$ $0.155^{*}$ 0.67 $0.47$ $0.145^{*}$ $-0.006$ $-0.001$ $0.246^{**}$ $-0.021$ 0.33 $0.47$ $-0.025$ $-0.051$ $0.055$ $0.30$ $-0.113$ 41.747.61 $-0.163^{*}$ $0.021$ $-0.109$ $-0.076$ $-0.059$	MeanS.D.1234562.90 $1.57$ $1.000$ $1.72$ $1.65$ $0.577^{***}$ $1.000$ $1.99$ $1.27$ $0.689^{***}$ $0.419^{***}$ $1.000$ $19.08$ $8.33$ $0.339^{***}$ $0.342^{***}$ $0.385^{***}$ $1.000$ $4.03$ $1.24$ $0.266^{**}$ $0.106$ $0.089$ $0.179^{*}$ $1.000$ $0.44$ $0.50$ $-0.043$ $0.070$ $0.077$ $0.081$ $0.201^{**}$ $1.000$ $1.23$ $1.13$ $0.293^{***}$ $0.144^{*}$ $0.110$ $0.082$ $0.155^{*}$ $-0.060$ $0.67$ $0.47$ $0.145^{*}$ $-0.006$ $-0.001$ $0.246^{**}$ $-0.021$ $-0.040$ $0.33$ $0.47$ $-0.025$ $-0.051$ $0.055$ $0.30$ $-0.113$ $0.072$ $41.74$ $7.61$ $-0.163^{*}$ $0.021$ $-0.109$ $-0.076$ $-0.059$ $0.098$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1 Means, standard deviation and correlations<sup>a</sup>

p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001.

 $a_N = 137.$ 

Multiple regression analysis <sup>a</sup>											
	Mod	el 1	Model 2								
	Beta	t	Beta	t							
1. Horizontal e-PDM	-	_	-	-							
2. Top-down e-PDM	-	-	0.342***	5.934							
3. Bottom-up e-PDM	-	_	0.541***	9.219							
4. Task complexity $\times$	-	_	-0.066	-1.126							
perception of											
e-mail											
5. Group participative	-	_	0.198***	3.709							
attitude											
6. Autocratic	-	_	-0.124*	-2.367							
supervisor											
7. Frequency of	0.277**	3.327	0.145**	2.762							
e-mails locally											
8. Technology-use	0.131	1.585	0.156**	2.944							
mediator											
9. Gender	0.010	0.124	0.012	0.229							
10. Age	-0.101	-1.209	-0.050	-0.952							
F	4.35	6**	44.348***								
$R^2$	0.11	7	0.678								
Adjusted $R^2$	0.09	0	0.655								
$\Delta R^2$	0.11	7	0.562								

Table 2

<sup>a</sup>Values are standardised regression coefficients.

As can be seen in the baseline model including 642 only the control variables (model 1), only frequency 643 of e-mail sent locally was significant and positively 644 related to horizontal e-PDM (Beta = 0.277, p < 0.01). 645 This shows that horizontal electronic participation is 646 more likely to happen when group members use e-mail 647 to communicate with physically close colleagues, that 648 is when they perceive e-mail as an appropriate means 649 for participating in decision processes with physi-650 cally close colleagues. This result may confirm - as 651 Bikson et al. [7] argued - that "electronic links [as the 652 emergent perspective states] primarily enhance exist-653 ing patterns of communication rather than creating new 654 ones" (p. 102). 655

As shown in model 2 we found support for hypothesis 1. Autocratic leadership had a negative and significant impact on horizontal e-PDM (Beta = -0.124, p < 0.05).

Hypothesis 2 was also supported. As it is shown in Table 2 the group participative attitude had a positive and significantly influence on the use of e-mail to participate with peer members (Beta = 0.198, p < 0.001).

<sup>664</sup> Hypothesis 3 predicted that individuals' positive <sup>665</sup> perception of e-mail features interact with task complexity to influence horizontal participation. As shown in model 2, the interaction variable was not significant and thus hypothesis 3 was not supported.

Hypothesis 4 was strongly supported. Both topdown e-PDM (Beta = 0.342, p < 0.001) and bottom-up e-PDM (Beta = 0.541, p < 0.001) made significant contributions, although the latter had a prominent role.

In model 2, the frequency of e-mails sent locally confirmed its positive impact (Beta = 0.145, p < 0.01) on the dependent variable. Among the other control variables, only technology-use mediators had a significant and positive impact (Beta = 0.156, p < 0.01) on horizontal e-PDM.

The results of the hierarchical regression analysis shown in Table 2 indicate that, when the five explanatory variables are added to the regression model, the  $R^2$ for the full model increases from 0.117 to 0.678. In other words, adding the independent variables to the baseline model (which included only the four control variables) enabled the model to explain an additional 56.2 percent of the variance. The incremental *F* statistic of 44.348, corresponding to the 56.2 percent increase in  $R^2$ , was significant at p < 0.001.

### 5. Discussion and conclusion

In this study, we revisited an important topic in management research – organisational participation in decision-making – with a focus on the use of e-mail for participative purposes. Building on the CMC literature and the organisational participation theory, we distinguished three different forms of electronic participation: horizontal, bottom-up, and top-down.

Empirical results from the studied organisation shows that the average levels of e-PDM are quite low for horizontal, top-down, and bottom-up relationships. This finding may suggest that organisational members are not willing to use e-mail for participative purposes. However, since we could not compare electronic and non-electronic participation, this result cannot provide any evidence on media preferences for participation. Therefore, the limited e-PDM could reflect a low level of organisational participation. This interpretation finds some support from the qualitative data we collected in the first stage of the case study design. Interviews with managers and employees confirmed that IPA's culture was still influenced by the bureaucratic management style inherited from the public administration to which IPA used to belong. As previous research shows, this organisational characteristic may act as a barrier to 666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

effective participation [11]. The rationale for this con-714 textual effect is that bureaucratic organisations may 715 embrace rules and regulations that limit autonomy and 716 self-expression, thus blocking even the potential for any 717 form of participation. 718

Another important consideration related to the low 719 level of e-PDM in the studied organisation concerns 720 the recent introduction of e-mail in the organisation. 721 As anticipated in the sample's description, the imple-722 mentation of the e-mail system started in 2000 and 723 42 percent of respondents participating in the study 724 received a personal e-mail account from the organisa-725 726 tion after 2001. This recent introduction of e-mail in IPA could account for the low level of e-PDM found. 727 According to the Social Information Processing Theory 728 [42, 43], the organisational impacts of CMC adoption 729 are time-dependent. In Walther's view, all other things 730 being equal, given sufficient time and exchange of mes-731 sages, FtF and CMC communication tend to be the 732 same. Following this approach, it could be argued that, 733 in IPA, the low levels of e-PDM should be ascribed to 734 the recent introduction of e-mail and that, in a longitudi-735 nal perspective, it would be likely that the use of e-mail 736 for participation would equate the use of FtF and other 737 mediated forms of participation. It is worth noticing that 738 the role of Communication Supporter as a technology-739 use mediator is positively related to horizontal e-PDM. 740 This confirms that trained and motivated people are 74 more likely to use e-mail effectively for PDM. 742

Although we found a limited use of electronic partici-743 pation in the studied organisation, the empirical results 744 confirm that horizontal e-PDM is affected by a num-745 ber of contextual factors. Our findings show that, even 746 in a computer-mediated setting, leaders attributes and 747 group characteristics affect peer-to-peer participation. 748 Although e-mail, in the Technological Imperative per-749 spective, is supposed to enhance PDM in any context of 750 use, our study shows that autocratic leadership inhibits 751 the use of e-mail for participative purposes and that 752 horizontal e-PDM is more likely to happen when the 753 workgroup shares a participative culture. 754

The study also shows interesting findings concerning 755 the relationship between participation, task complex-756 ity and media choice. Our results provide empirical 75 support for the contingency assertion [22] that task 758 complexity, by creating an increase in horizontal need 759 for information sharing and for exchange of ideas and 760 suggestions, enhances participation. Indeed, we found 761 that higher levels of task complexity were associated to 762 a more intense use of e-mail for participative purposes 763 with other peer colleagues. This result clearly rejects 764

the Media Richness Theory argument that organisa-765 tional members would not use "poor media" such as 766 e-mail to communicate and coordinate with their peers 767 when dealing with complex tasks. It is also interesting to note that this result does not either confirm the 769 Emergent Perspective which considers that it is not task 770 complexity alone to determine media choice but the 771 interaction among technology features and the individ-772 uals' perception of the technology. The results of this 773 study show that when task complexity increases, elec-774 tronic participation grows even when organisational 775 members consider e-mail as an ambiguous means of 776 communication. Indeed, in the studied organisation, 777 the members' perception of e-mail did not mediate the 778 relationship between electronic participation and task 779 complexity. 780

768

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

Another interesting finding of this study arises from the relationship between horizontal and vertical e-PDM. We found that, although horizontal e-PDM is higher than vertical e-PDM, when the use of e-mail for vertical PDM becomes an habit, the likelihood for horizontal PDM also increases. This result has a lot of intuitive appeal and it suggests that the type of electronic communication members establish with the supervisor also influences and shapes their communication behaviour with peer colleagues. However we consider this as a preliminary finding which needs to be theoretically validated and empirically confirmed in future research.

Our study extends prior research in three ways. First, 794 it sheds light on the horizontal dimension of PDM, 795 that has been quite under-analysed in the organisation 796 literature, traditionally focused on vertical relation-797 ships. Even the literature on CMC has preferred to 798 focus on the supposed equalisation effect of tech-799 nology among different-status members. In our view, 800 horizontal participation is becoming more and more 801 important as organisations increasingly rely on team 802 work and knowledge sharing to achieve effectiveness 803 in a complex environment. Consequently, we have 804 addressed our interest on peer-to-peer participation. 805 Second, our study does not support the determinis-806 tic assumptions of most computer-mediated literature. 807 As previously analysed, our results confirm that social 808 structuration of technology and social processes in 809 organisations do have an impact on e-mail use for 810 participative purposes. Third, from a methodologi-811 cal point of view, most CMC studies on PDM are 812 based on one-shot laboratory experiments with under-813 graduate students carrying out simple group tasks 814 [9]. Several considerations induce us to be cautious 815

about the extension of the results of these experi-816 ments to the organisational context. First, the limitation 817 of time (few minutes or hours) may force partici-818 pants in experiments to use e-mail as a synchronous 819 medium, like a chat, rather than an asynchronous one. Second, tasks performed during experiments are quite 821 different from organisational tasks and students have 822 different incentives or none to perform the assigned 823 tasks. Third, differently from students in experimen-824 tal settings, organisational members are aware of the 825 status of people they interact with. Fourth, in nat-826 ural settings (as real organisations are), interactions 827 via e-mail are highly dependent on the pre-existing interactions through other means of communication. 829 Finally, unlike organisational members, participants in 830 experimental studies expect to have no more future 831 interactions with other participants. All these consid-832 erations severely hinder the assumption that short-time 833 experiments can provide a realistic proxy of what occurs 834 in organisations. Our study, by analysing real organi-835 sational members in their workplace overcomes these 836 limitations. 837

Our study has two main managerial implica-838 tions. First, our findings show that organisational 839 change is not only a matter of technology imple-840 mentation, as the Technological Imperative approach 841 suggests, but it necessitates the assessment and man-842 agement of contextual social factors. Empirical results 843 from this study indicate that every effort of tech-844 nological/organisational change, aimed at making an 845 organisation more flexible and reactive through an 846 increase of PDM, should take into account the influence 847 of leadership style and group culture on the employees' 848 use of technology for participative purposes. Con-849 sequently, technology introduction and adoption for 850 increasing teamwork cannot be effective without an 851 organisational effort in changing coherently also man-852 agerial practices, leadership style and group culture. 853 Internal communication should be addressed to spread 854 the vision of change among managers, and to transform 855 them into the principal supporters of change. Strangely 856 enough, in the literature on CMC, this achievement is 857 quite new and under-represented (Technology Impera-858 tive still dominates over the Emergent Perspective). On 859 the contrary, in organisation theory the role of contex-860 tual factors on PDM is a finding that we can track since 861 the first anti-fordist perspectives such as the School of 862 Human Relations, Quality of Working Life and Socio-863 Technical Theory [19, 27]. 864

The second important implication of this study is that, along with group characteristics, leadership plays a major role in enabling and supporting a group to increase horizontal e-PDM. The latter actually depends not only on peer-to-peer relationships but also on the role that immediate superiors play in letting people become accountable and responsible for the group as a whole. Leaders are those who create the organisational climate and the organisational framework that shape the development of horizontal participation. The lack of leader's openness and feedback towards upward communication can increase status/cognitive distance, equivocality and a sense of powerlessness among team members: "A «hands-off» approach fails to cultivate skills required to team selfmanagement. These skills include self-reinforcement, self-criticism, self-goal-setting, self-observation, selfexpectation and rehearsal" [29, p. 122]. In particular the study shows evidence that autocratic leadership has a negative effect on horizontal e-PDM. Furthermore it is also clear that wherever open relationships among superiors and subordinates do exist through e-mail communication, then the likelihood of replicating these relationships with peer-level members increases.

This study presents some limitations. First, we recognise the importance of time in organisations, due to the dynamics that are inherent in all social and organisational processes. Our ability to evaluate those changes is severely hindered by a lack of longitudinal data. However this study is only a preliminary step in investigating horizontal e-PDM: our purpose is to integrate our findings with subsequent data gathering in IPA. As the learning curves increase and as social joint construction of the technology develops, we expect to witness changes in the members' use of e-mail for participative purposes as Walther suggests [42, 43]. Second, because our research design is cross-sectional, the data from our survey do not allow us to necessarily predict causality. Future research using a longitudinal design is likely to provide important insights on causal relationships among variables investigated in this study. Similarly, since the variables were measured at the same time from the same source, common method variance cannot be fully ruled out. Third, we only analysed e-PDM. Therefore our study does not include comparisons among media impact on horizontal PDM. Future research might address this comparison by examining electronic and non-electronic peer-to-peer PDM. Finally, we conducted the research in one Italian public organisation. A generalisation of our findings requires further investigation in different organisational contexts.

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

867

868

869

870

#### 918 Acknowledgements

This study has been realised thanks to the Commorg 919 research project (IST-2000-26075) on organisational 920 consequences of e-mail introduction, adoption and dif-921 fusion funded by the European Commission under the 922 cross-programme Action 7 (Socio-Economic Analysis 923 for the Information Society) of the Action Line IST 924 2000 – v.1.7 of the First Activity IST (User-friendly 925 Information Society) of V Framework Programme. 926

#### 927 References

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958 959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968 969

- R.W. Ashby, An Introduction to Cybernetics, Chapman and Hall, London, (1956). Available online at: http:// pespmc1.vub.ac.be/ASHBBOOK.html.
- [2] B.B. Baltes, M.W. Dickson, M.P. Sherman, C.C. Bauer and J.S. LaGanke, Computer-mediated communication and group decision making: A meta-analysis, *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 87(1) (2002), 156–179.
- [3] N. Baron, See you online: Gender issues in college student use of instant messaging, *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 23 (2004), 397–423.
- [4] J.C. Barrow, The variables of leadership: A review and conceptual framework, *Academy of Management Review* 2(2) (1977), 231–251.
- [5] G.R. Berry, Can computer-mediated asynchronous communication improve team processes and decision making? Learning from the management literature, *Journal of Business Communication* 43(4) (2006), 344–366.
- [6] L. Biggiero, A. Sammarra, C. Muzzi and R. Dandi, Organizational consequences of email adoption and diffusion: Theoretical issues and empirical results, in: *eAdoption and the Knowledge Economy: Issues, Applications, Case Studies,* P. Cunningham and M. Cunningham, eds, IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2004, pp. 134–140.
- [7] T.K. Bikson, J.D. Eveland and B.A. Cuter, Flexible interactive technologies for multiperson tasks: Current problems and future prospects, in: *Technological Support for Work Group Collaboration*, M. Olson, ed., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Hilladale, N.J., 1989, pp. 89–112.
- [8] S.J. Black and H.B. Gregersen, Participative decision-making: An integration of multiple dimensions, *Human Relations* 50(7) (1997), 859–878.
- [9] P. Bordia, Face-to-face versus computer-mediated communication: A synthesis of the experimental literature, *The Journal* of Business Communication **34** (1997), 99–120.
- [10] K. Byron and D.B. Baldridge, E-mail recipients' impressions of senders' likeability: The interactive effect of nonverbal cues and recipients' personality, *Journal of Business Communication* 44(2) (2007), 137–160.
- [11] J.A. Conger and R.N. Kanungo, The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice, *Academy of Management Review* 13(3) (1988), 471–482.
- J. Cotton, D.A. Vollrath, K.L. Froggatt, M.L. Lengnick-Hall
   and K.R. Jennings, Employees participation: Diverse forms

and different outcomes, *Academy of Management Review* **13**(1) (1988), 8–22.

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

- [13] P.H. Dachler and B. Wilpert, Conceptual dimensions and boundaries of participation in organisations: A critical evaluation, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 23 (1978), 1–39.
- [14] R.L. Daft and R.H. Lengel, Information richness: A new approach to managerial behavior and organisational design, *Research in Organisational Behavior* 6 (1984), 191–233.
- [15] R. Dandi and S. Schiavi, Partecipazione alle decisioni e e-mail: un caso aziendale, Conference Paper presented at *IVth Workshop of Italian Organisational Scholars*, Florence, 2003.
- [16] M. Denton and I.U. Zeytinoglu, Perceived participation in decision-making in a university setting: The impact of gender, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 46(2) (1993), 320–331.
- [17] G. DeSanctis and M.S. Poole, Capturing the complexity in advanced technology use: Adaptive structuration theory, *Organisation Science* 5(2) (1994), 121–147.
- [18] V.J. Dubrovsky, S. Kiesler and B.N. Sethna, The equalization phenomenon: Status effects in computer-mediated and face-toface decision-making groups, *Human Computer Interaction* 6 (1991), 119–146.
- [19] N.A. Euske and K.H. Roberts, Evolving perspectives in organisation theory: Communication implications, in: *Handbook of Organisational Communication. An Interdisciplinary Perspective*, F.M. Jablin, L.L. Putnam, K.H. Roberts, L.W. Porter, eds, Sage Publications, 1987.
- [20] A.B. Fox, D. Bukatko, M. Hallahan and M. Crawford, The medium makes a difference: Gender similarities and differences in instant messaging, *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* **26** (2007), 389–397.
- [21] J. Fulk, Social construction of communication technology, *Academy of Management Journal* **36**(5) (1993), 921–950.
- [22] J.R. Galbraith, Organisational design, in: *Handbook of Organisational Behavior*, J.W. Lorsch ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1987.
- [23] N. Guèguen and C. Jacob, Solicitation by e-mail and solicitor's status: A field study of social influence on the web, *CyberPsychology and Behavior* 5(4) (2002), 377–383.
- [24] S.C. Herring, Gender and power in online communication, in: *The Handbook of Language and Gender*, J. Holmes and M. Meyerhoff, eds, Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 2003, pp. 202–228.
- [25] P. Hinds and S. Kiesler, Communication across boundaries: Work, structure, and use of communication technologies in a large organization, *Organization Science* 6(4) (1995), 373–393.
- [26] G. Hofstede, Culture's Consequences, Sage, Beverly Hills, CA, 1980.
- [27] E.A. Locke and D.M. Schweiger, Participation in decisionmaking: One more look, in: *Research in Organisational Behaviour*, B. Staw and L.L. Cummings, eds, JAI Press, Greenwich, CT, 1 (1979), pp. 265–339.
- [28] G. Mantovani, Is computer-mediated-communication intrinsically apt to enhance democracy in organisations? *Human Relations* 47(1) (1994), 45–62.
- [29] C.C. Manz and H.P. Jr. Sims, Leading workers to lead themselves: The external leadership of self-managing work teams, *Administrative Science Quarterly* **32** (1987), 106–128.

- [30] M.L. Markus and D. Robey, Information technology and
   organisational change: Causal structuring theory and research,
   *Management Science* 34(5) (1988), 583–598.
- 1034[31]A.A. Marshall and C. Stohl, Participating as participation:1035A network approach, Communication Monograph 60 (1993),1036137–157.
- [32] S. McDaniel, G. Olson and J. Magee, Identifying and analyzing multiple threads in computer-mediated and face-to-face conversation, in: *Proceedings of the Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, ACM Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996, pp. 39–47.
- [33] K.I. Miller and P.R. Monge, Participation, satisfaction, and productivity: A meta-analytic review, *Academy of Management Journal* 29 (1986), 727–753.
- [34] T. Mitchell, Motivation and participation: An integration,
   *Academy of Management Journal* 16 (1973), 670–679.
- 1047 [35] J.J. Morse and J.W. Lorsch, Beyond theory, *Harvard Business* 1048 *Review* 18 (1970), 61–68.
- Image: J. State of the state of

[37] W.J. Orlikowski, J. Yates, K. Okamura and M. Fujimoto, Shaping electronic communication: The metastructuring of technology in use, *CISR Working Paper* No. 274 (1994). 1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

- [38] L. Sproull and S. Kiesler, Reducing social context cues: Electronic mail in organisational communication, *Management Science* 32(11) (1986), 1492–1512.
- [39] L. Sproull and S. Kiesler, Connections: New Ways of Working in the Networked Organisation, MIT Press, Cambridge, CA, 1991.
- [40] G.L. Stewart and C.C. Manz, Leadership for self-managing work teams: A typology and integrative model, *Human Relations* 48(7) (1995), 747–771.
- [41] J.A. Wagner III and R.Z. Gooding, Effects of societal trends on participation research, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 32 (1987), 241–262.
- [42] J.B. Walther, Interpersonal effects in computer-mediated interaction: A relation perspective, *Communication Research* 19 (1992), 52–90.
- [43] J.B. Walther, Relational aspects of computer-mediated communication: Experimental observations over time, *Organisation Science* 6 (1995), 186–203.