Tech Coloproctol (2015) 19:615–626 DOI 10.1007/s10151-015-1370-x

CLINICAL GUIDELINES





# **Practice parameters for the treatment of colonic diverticular disease: Italian Society of Colon and Rectal Surgery (SICCR) guidelines**

G. A. Binda<sup>1</sup> · R. Cuomo<sup>2</sup> · A. Laghi<sup>3</sup> · R. Nascimbeni<sup>4</sup> · A. Serventi<sup>1</sup> · D. Bellini<sup>3</sup> · P. Gervaz<sup>5</sup> · B. Annibale<sup>6</sup>

Received: 11 May 2015/Accepted: 15 May 2015/Published online: 16 September 2015 © Springer-Verlag Italia Srl 2015

**Abstract** The mission of the Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery (SICCR) is to optimize patient care. Providing evidence-based practice guidelines is therefore of key importance. About the present report it concernes the SICCR practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of diverticular disease of the colon. The guidelines are not intended to define the sole standard of care but to provide evidence-based recommendations regarding the available therapeutic options.

**Keywords** Diverticulosis · Diverticular disease · Diverticulitis · Colon

#### Methods

The literature on diverticular disease (DD) of the colon was reviewed by a group of Italian experts joined by a foreign expert on the topic (PG).

G. A. Binda gian.andrea.binda@galliera.it

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, Galliera Hospital, Genoa, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University, Naples, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Radiological Sciences, Oncology and Pathology, Rome I.C.O.T. Hospital, La Sapienza University, Latina, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Coloproctology Unit, La Colline Clinic, Geneva, Switzerland
- <sup>6</sup> Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Translational Medicine, Sant'Andrea Hospital, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

The final grade of recommendation was determined by using the Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system [1]. Recommendations were reviewed by the Board of the Italian Society of Colon and Rectal Surgery.

### Definitions

Colonic diverticula are herniations of the mucosa and submucosa through the bowel muscular layer. Diverticulosis is merely the presence of colonic diverticula: if symptoms and/ or complications appear, we talk of diverticular disease (DD). Symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease (SUDD) is defined as mild, recurring abdominal pain attributed to diverticula and may be difficult to distinguish from irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) [2, 3]. Complicated diverticular disease (CDD) is DD with inflammation and possibly bleeding: the type of onset and duration of symptoms differentiate acute from chronic CDD. The inflammation, by far the most frequent and due to more or less severe diverticular perforation, is called diverticulitis. The presentation of acute diverticulitis (AD) could range from a localized, mild pain and/or tenderness, to an abdominal abscess or a free perforation with diffuse peritonitis. Different classifications of AD have been proposed, and the most utilized is the Hinchey classification [4].

Recurrent episodes of AD could lead to chronic CDD, mainly identified in as the presence of symptomatic chronic bowel stenosis or fistulization, usually colovesical or colouterine fistulas.

#### Epidemiology

DD imposes a significant burden on Western and industrialized societies. The prevalence of diverticulosis increases with age, affecting about 70 % of 80-year-olds, and is increasing because the population is getting older [5]. From 10 to 25 % of individuals with diverticulosis develop complications such as diverticulitis [6].

Hospitalizations for diverticular disease have also been on the rise [7-9].

Recent literature has reported an increase in the incidence of DD among younger patients. In a large review of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) of 267,000 admissions for AD between 1998 and 2005 [7], incidence rates increased most dramatically in 18- to 44-year-olds and 45- to 64-year-olds, while they remained stable in 65to 74-year-olds and actually decreased in persons 75 years of age or older.

In summary, the prevalence of diverticulosis and DD is increasing in Western countries and there has been an increasing rate of hospital admission for diverticulitis. Moreover, there is increasing incidence among individuals younger than 40 years of age (Evidence: 2c).

### Pathophysiology

The pathophysiology of DD can be categorized as (a) diverticula development, (b) symptoms generation in uncomplicated DD and (c) development of diverticulitis.

Lifestyle factors are considered key elements for the development of diverticulosis and its complications. A low-fibre diet appears to play a major role as it appears from case control and prospective dietary studies [10–13]. The low-fibre hypothesis has recently been disputed [14–17]. A study on 2104 individuals undergoing colonoscopy showed that a high-fibre intake was positively associated with the presence of diverticulosis (prevalence ratio = 1.30 95 % confidence interval (CI), 1.13–1.50) [18]. Genetic factors may also play a role [14].

Up to 20 % of individuals with diverticula experience abdominal pain associated with abnormal motor function and a reduced threshold for perception of visceral sensitivity [17], similarly to individuals with IBS [18]. An increased number of mast cells in all the layers of the colonic wall may contribute to pain development [19]. Trauma caused by faecoliths may cause epithelial breakdown and bacterial translocation, which may lead to diverticulitis. Examination of mucosal biopsies from symptomatic DD showed low-grade inflammation (i.e. lymphocytes and neutrophils) despite endoscopically normal mucosa [20]. Post-inflammatory gut dysfunction following acute infectious gastroenteritis (post-infectious IBS) is a condition similar to diverticulitis [21]. Indeed, a recent study showed that patients with diverticulitis were 4.7 times more likely to develop IBS-like symptoms over the observation period compared to matched controls [22].

#### **Diagnostic tests**

# What is the best diagnostic test to confirm or rule out diverticulosis?

We recommend either computed tomographic colonography (CTC) or colonoscopy (CS) as the most accurate imaging tests to diagnose or exclude colonic diverticulosis. The choice of CTC or CS depends on the patient's preference, age, clinical status and risk factors for colorectal cancer (CRC) (1C). Double-contrast barium enema (DCBE) is an alternative only if CTC is unavailable (1B).

Since CTC offers better diagnostic accuracy [23], less invasiveness and a lower complication rate than CS, it can be chosen as a first-line modality and is the test of choice in elderly and frail patients with potential contraindications to CS and sedation. On the other hand, in young patients (<40 years) where the prevalence of DD is low and the possibility of colonic inflammation is higher, CS might be the first choice [24, 25].

DCBE can effectively diagnose diverticulosis [26–28] but is limited by lower compliance [29], longer examination time, higher number of complications [30], absent evaluations of extracolonic findings and higher radiation exposure [31–34] (1–5 mSv with CTC, 7–9 mSv with DBCE). Ultrasonography (US) plays a very limited role [35], while magnetic resonance colonography (MRC) is a promising alternative due to the lack of ionizing radiation [36, 37]. However, it is more time-consuming and prone to motion artefacts than CTC.

What diagnostic test should be used in patients presenting with acute abdominal symptoms and clinical suspicion of diverticulitis?

We recommend multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) with intravenous administration of contrast medium (CM) as the first-line colon examination in patients with symptoms suggesting AD (1A).

In case of a patient presenting in an acute setting with fever and laboratory findings of active inflammation, CE-MDCT is the best examination [38–41] since clinical evaluation has low sensitivity in these settings (about 64 %) [38]. MDCT is able to evaluate complicated and uncomplicated forms, detect life-threatening complications [42], stage the severity of diverticulitis, provide an alternative diagnosis in patients without diverticulitis and guide therapeutic intervention [43, 44].

A recent meta-analysis of prospective performance studies of CT versus US in AD showed similar sensitivity (95 %) but higher specificity for CT [45]. Therefore, in order to minimize the risk of ionizing radiation, a strategy where CT scan follows a preliminary negative or inconclusive US might be advantageous, because the number of CT exams can be reduced by about 50 % [46, 47].

The use of DBCE should be discouraged in acute settings [48, 49].

Performance of MRC in diagnosing acute diverticulitis has been examined in two small studies [50, 51] showing good sensitivity (about 95 %) and specificity (about 88 %). However, feasibility is limited by the difficult access to MRC in emergency departments.

# What diagnostic test should be used to follow-up patients with DD?

We suggest CS as the imaging modality of choice to follow-up patients after an episode of AD. CTC might become a valuable alternative particularly because of patient preference, but no evidence-based data are available at the moment to sustain this hypothesis (1C).

After an episode of AD, a colonic investigation is required to confirm the diagnosis and to rule out malignancy, although evidence-based data are not available. Thus, in clinical practice, colonic investigation is often performed only in those patients with persistent colonic symptoms after the resolution of the acute episode [24]. CS has been reported to be more difficult than CTC with a higher risk of vasovagal reactions and complications such as perforation and haemorrhage [52–54]. Thus, CTC might be considered a valid alternative. It has high diagnostic accuracy for polyps and cancer and for findings specific for DD (focal wall thickening, reduced lumen diameter, presence of diverticula) [55]. Moreover, several articles have reported better tolerability for CTC than for CS [55–57].

#### Therapy

# Should diverticulosis without abdominal symptoms be treated?

We suggest that diverticulosis should not be treated pharmacologically. A high-fibre diet should be encouraged, but the evidence that it prevents DD is limited (1C).

Crowe et al. [12] documented that vegetarian and highfibre diets are associated with a lower risk of admission to hospital or death from DD. Similar results have been obtained by Aldoori et al. [58] Evidence indicates that insoluble fibre is strongly associated with lower risk of DD and this association was particularly strong for cellulose [58, 59]. A recent systematic review evaluates the therapeutic effect of fibre supplements on DD symptoms. Fibre supplementation alone provides controversial results in terms of symptom relief [60]. There is no rationale to avoid the consumption of nut, corn and popcorn to prevent diverticular complications, as shown in a large, prospective study, the exclusion from the diet of these foods to prevent diverticular complications was not effective [14].

### Which treatment regimen should be employed in symptomatic uncomplicated DD?

We suggest that some patients may benefit of rifaximin associated with fibre and some from mesalazine alone. However, the most effective duration of therapy and number of doses has not been determined. Evidence is limited at 1- to 2-year follow-up (1B).

There is insufficient evidence that treatment with probiotics is effective in reducing symptoms (2B).

A recent systematic review suggests the potential usefulness of rifaximin, mesalamine, fibre and probiotics, and their possible combinations in the treatment of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease, but reliable controlled therapeutic trials are not available [61].

Data suggest that the cyclic treatment with non-absorbable antibiotics plus high-fibre diet is more effective in obtaining symptom relief than fibre alone [62, 63]. The rationale for the use of non-absorbable antibiotics like rifaximin in colonic DD is that stasis of luminal contents can lead to bacterial overgrowth [64] which in turn may give rise to chronic low-grade mucosal inflammation [65]. It has been shown that rifaximin could be useful in IBS and small bowel bacterial overgrowth by reducing bloating, abdominal pain, flatulence and loose stools [66]. A metaanalysis found that 64 % of patients treated with rifaximin plus standard fibre supplement were symptom free at 1-year follow-up, compared with 34.9 % of patients treated with fibre alone [67].

It has been proposed that the chronic inflammation in DD is similar to that in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [68]. For this reason, 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) drugs that are commonly used in IBD have been studied in the management of SUDD [69]. Mesalazine has been investigated in multiple studies as a single agent to achieve and to maintain remission [70, 71]. Mesalazine has demonstrated greater complete symptom response than placebo [72, 73]. Mesalamine has also been studied in combination with rifaximin in patients with recurrent diverticulitis and SUDD suggesting that mesalazine was as effective as rifaximin for diminishing some of the symptom score [74, 75].

Considering dosage (800–3000 mg), timing (bid vs. tid), length (4 weeks–1 year) and modality (continuous vs. 7 or 10 days/month) of treatment, superiority of one regimen versus another has not been tested in clinical trials, and therefore, treatment should be adapted to the individual patient.

The rationale for the use of probiotics in symptomatic DD is formed by their anti-inflammatory effects and capability to enhance the immune response [76-78]. A recent review suggests that therapy with probiotics is safe and potentially useful in the management of patients with DD [79]. Three studies investigated the efficacy of Lactobacillus casei together with mesalazine on reduction of abdominal symptoms in patients with DD [80, 81] showing that the use of probiotics was at least equivalent to the use of the anti-inflammatory drug [80] and enhanced its beneficial effect [80-82]. In a study [83] that investigated a multistrain probiotic (Streptococcus thermophilus, Bifidobacteriaand and Lactobacilli) together with another antiinflammatory drug, balsalazide, both treatment arms were effective in reducing abdominal symptoms without a statistically significance difference between groups (73 vs. 60 %).

Three further studies investigated the efficacy of Lactobacillus acidophilus, *L. helveticus* and Bifidobacterium spp. 420 or Lactobacillus paracasei in patients with uncomplicated DD observing a reduction in abdominal pain and bloating [84–86]. Albeit small and uncontrolled, these studies suggest that probiotics may be effective in the management of DD. Larger randomized, placebo-controlled studies would be needed before probiotics can be definitely recommended in the management of DD.

# What therapy regimen should be used to prevent recurrence of diverticulitis?

Fibre plus rifaximin is more effective than fibre alone in preventing AD with a low therapeutic advantage. There is no substantial evidence that mesalazine alone is effective in preventing recurrence of diverticulitis (1B).

A meta-analysis of 4 RCTs has studied the ability of rifaximin (added to fibre treatment) to prevent acute diverticulitis in patients with colonic diverticular disease, the pooled rate difference used as a measure of the therapeutic effect in the treatment group was -1.9 % (95 % CI -3.4 to -0.6 %, p = 0.0057), and the number needed to treat was 50 [67].

Moreover, a recent multicenter, randomized, open study investigated the efficacy of rifaximin (plus high-fibre intake) in the secondary prevention of AD. Recurrences occurred in 10.4 % of patients given rifaximin plus fibre versus 19.3 % of patients receiving fibre alone (p = 0.033) [87]. Despite the methodological limitations, this study suggests that cyclic rifaximin treatment has the potential to prevent recurrence of diverticulitis in patients with colonic DD [88]. However, the level of evidence of superiority of non-absorbable antibiotics over dietary fibre or fibre supplementation is poor [89], and both the cost and efficacy of a long-life cyclic treatment with non-absorbable antibiotics to prevent diverticulitis in all patients with symptomatic DD have been questioned [61].

The efficacy of mesalazine in preventing recurrence of AD was the primary end point of two recently published RCT placebo studies which failed to show a significant efficacy of mesalazine alone or combined with probiotics over placebo in a follow-up of 12 and 24 months [72, 90]. Some open randomized studies assessed the effectiveness of mesalazine. Except for one study, which failed to show any effectiveness of medical therapy in preventing AD in patients with uncomplicated DD [91], the others, conducted on patients with recent attacks of AD, showed that 7 days/month therapy with mesalazine alone or combined with rifaximin was significantly more effective than rifaximin alone in preventing recurrences of AD in 12- and 24-month follow-up [74, 92]. However, recent results of a robust RCT, where the patients were treated for 2 years, showed that mesalazine was not superior to placebo in preventing recurrent diverticulitis, thus making this treatment highly questionable for this condition [93].

# Does acute uncomplicated diverticulitis need antibiotic treatment?

We suggest avoiding antibiotic in acute uncomplicated diverticulitis since may not improve short- or long-term outcomes. Use on a case-by-case basis should possibly be considered (1B).

Recently strong evidence from a large RCT showing no benefit of antibiotics in AD raised important questions about the aetiology and management of diverticulitis [94, 95]. It has been argued that AD may be an inflammatory rather than an infectious condition [96] making the use of antibiotics questionable.

In a retrospective audit of 311 patients hospitalized for AD, it was observed that antibiotic or conservative treatment yielded the same clinical outcome, with an overlapping rate of recurrence [97]. The so-called DIABOLO trial (more than 500 patients, Hinchey stage 1a or 1b), a randomized multicentre clinical trial comparing two treatment strategies for AD, is ongoing [98]. Patients will be randomized to a conservative strategy (antibiotics for 10 days, hospital admission, supportive measures) or to a liberal strategy (no antibiotics, supportive measures and admission only if needed on clinical grounds). The study should be completed by the end of 2014 and will surely provide objective evidence for clinical decisions. At the present time, however, there is no evidence mandating the routine use of antibiotics in AD, despite several guidelines recommending their use [99, 100].

Does acute uncomplicated diverticulitis (CT confirmed) need hospitalization?

We suggest that an ambulatory treatment protocol is safe and effective for a majority of patients and it is justified in clinical practice (1A).

Four studies compared outpatient versus inpatient treatment [101–104]. One RCT (the DIVER Trial) conducted on 132 AD patients has shown that, in selected patients, outpatient treatment is safe and effective [103]. In a recent RCT, 623 patients with CT-verified acute uncomplicated left-sided diverticulitis were recruited. Patients were randomized to treatment with or without antibiotics, and antibiotic use neither accelerated recovery nor prevented complications. Recurrent diverticulitis was similar in the two groups [94]. Recent systematic reviews pointed out that a more progressive, ambulatory-based approach to the majority of cases of acute uncomplicated diverticulitis should be encouraged [105]. This new evidence needs, however, further confirmations before it can be safely adopted in clinical practice [106]. In patients who have comorbidities and/or are immunocompromised, hospitalization should still be considered a good option.

#### Elective surgery for diverticular disease

*Is there a role for prophylactic interval colectomy after one or more episodes of AD?* 

We recommend that the decision to perform elective resection after one or more episodes of AD should be undertaken on a "case by case" basis (1C).

In the late 1990s, three scientific associations, the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS), the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) and the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) [107–109], agreed on the necessity of prophylactic interval sigmoidectomy after two previous episodes of acute diverticulitis (AD), or one episode only if the patient was under 50. This statement was mainly based on outdated studies by Parks [110] and Farmakis [111], which suggested that with each further episode of diverticulitis, there was a higher probability of recurrent attacks with less chances of response to medical treatment and an increasing risk of complicated diverticulitis (as high as 60 %) with a doubling of the mortality rate. A review by Janes in 2005 [112] concluded that these studies give "inadequate evidence" to support such an aggressive surgical policy. In 2006, one of these associations (ASCRS) already adopted a more prudent policy, considering the indication for elective surgery on a "case by case" basis [113].

More recently, many studies have questioned these indications primarily because the majority of patients experience an acute complication at their first presentation of DD, the long-term risk of relapse is quite low [114–118], recurrent episodes of uncomplicated diverticulitis do not lead to failure of conservative treatment or to an increased risk of poor outcomes if patients develop complicated diverticulitis [119, 120], and most important of all, the long-term risks of subsequent emergent surgery, stoma formation and death are low [114, 115, 121-123]. Moreover, the risk of severe complications, as perforation, is usually associated with the first episode of AD [112, 119-121, 124]. A recent nationwide study [125] confirms that a less aggressive strategy is not associated with an increase in complicated diverticulitis at recurrence. Moreover, a retrospective, statewide study on 84,313 patients admitted for diverticulitis from 1987 to 2012, the period of time in which the elective colectomy rate doubled, failed to show a decrease in emergency surgery or admission for diverticulitis [126].

Furthermore, surgery for diverticulitis does not seem to fully protect against the risk of recurrence since the incidence of recurrent attacks is between 5.8 and 15 % [111, 115, 127], and risk of further surgery is up to 3 % [112, 115, 128, 129].

In conclusion, the indication for elective sigmoid resection should not be based on the number of previous episodes of AD [112, 113, 130].

#### What are the actual indications for elective surgery?

Elective surgery should be recommended to patients with symptomatic complicated DD (fistula, stenosis). Specific clinical situations should be carefully evaluated (persisting symptoms and signs, age, degree of diverticulitis, immunecompromised patients) (1C).

The first step is to connect the symptoms to DD as the differential diagnosis with IBS, segmental colitis associated with diverticulosis (SCAD), or other colitis very challenging. If symptoms are clearly connected with DD, their severity has to be balanced with operative risks (i.e. age, body mass index, comorbidities and specific surgical complications) and the risk of severe complications.

Age should not be considered an indication for more aggressive surgery. It has been reported that younger patients are more prone to recurrent disease and more frequently require surgery [131-135], but more recent studies suggested that age is not a predictive factor of poor outcome [114, 136–139]. Similarly, the cut-off age (40 or 50 years) to identify patients at increased risk of recurrence is also controversial.

Immunocompromised patients or patients on immunosuppressive therapy, patients with chronic renal failure or collagen vascular disease had a fivefold greater risk of perforation in a recurrent episode of AD [119, 130, 140], and therefore, these patients may benefit from an early elective resection after a conservatively treated episode of diverticulitis. This statement has been challenged by a study on 166 immunosuppressed patients that showed that they had a significantly higher mortality rate than nonimmunosuppressed patients, but only during the first episode of the disease, and that patients who required emergency surgery for AD had no previous history of diverticular disease [141]. Therefore, no firm conclusions can be drawn about the need of elective, prophylactic sigmoidectomy in immunocompromised patients.

Based on Ambrosetti's classification, the degree of diverticulitis on CT is predictive of long-term outcome. After successful medical treatment of the acute episode, patients with severe diverticulitis on CT had a statistically greater incidence of secondary bad outcome than patients with moderate diverticulitis (36 vs. 17 %) [142, 143]. Hall [144] showed in a multivariate analysis of 672 patents that left-sided AD, length of involved colon >5 cm and a retroperitoneal abscess were predictors for recurrence. However, the study could not report a multivariate model for complicated recurrence because of the small number of events. The extent but not the severity of diverticulitis may be associated with a higher risk of recurrence but could not be considered an independent risk factor.

In conclusion, there is no clear evidence that one single risk factor could be considered an independent indication for elective surgery for DD.

### Surgical technique

#### What is the best timing for elective surgery?

We recommend that an elective colon resection for diverticulitis should be performed in an inflammation-free interval after complete remission of the acute inflammation (1B).

Choosing the optimal time for elective surgery following an episode of AD is important to minimize the risk of intraoperative complications, caused by oedema, acute inflammation, adhesions causing difficulty in identifying the right planes and ureter, and any resulting perioperative complications (fistula, leakage, haematoma or abscess). Early elective surgery was initially considered to be at lower risk [145]. However, Natarjan [146] and Hoffman [147] found no outcome difference in their retrospective case control studies. A prospective comparison of early and late laparoscopic resection showed a significantly higher rate of anastomotic leak, abdominal abscess, hospital stay and conversion rate during early elective surgery [148]. Similar results are reported by Zingg [149].

#### What is the optimal distal level of resection?

We suggest that anastomosis after resection for DD be made between the colon and the rectum with complete resection of the sigmoid colon, although there is poor evidence that constructing an anastomosis on the rectum prevents symptoms and recurrent AD (2B).

There are two old retrospective studies [128, 150] showing a significant reduction in symptoms and recurrent AD when a colorectal anastomosis was constructed, after resection of the entire sigmoid colon. In a prospective study conducted by Binda [115] on 242 patients followed for more than 10 years after surgery, recurrence or persisting symptoms were not associated with the level of resection.

#### What is the best level of vascular ligation?

We suggest that, if malignancy is ruled out preoperatively, the inferior mesenteric artery (IMA) may be preserved in selected patients if it does not reduce the safety of the procedure, although the evidence is limited (2C).

In elective sigmoidectomy for diverticulitis, ligation of the IMA is not mandatory, although it can facilitate identification of the ureter in patients with severe perisigmoid inflammation and/or adhesions. On the other hand, its preservation may improve the blood supply of the anastomosis and avoid damage to the pre-aortic nerves. However, in a recent meta-analysis [151] the leak rate was 7.3 % in the IMA preservation group versus 11.3 % in the ligation group, a difference which was not statistically significant. The only randomized study included patients undergoing open surgery and was considered of poor quality [151]. Preservation of the IMA is also achievable laparoscopically: however, two studies on laparoscopic resection for DD show similar effects on sexual and urinary function with [152] or without [153] IMA preservation.

In conclusion, there is limited evidence that there may be a benefit in preserving the IMA. Further studies are needed to clarify advantage and disadvantages of IMA ligation.

# Can elective surgery be performed through a laparoscopic access?

We recommend laparoscopic access for elective colon resection for uncomplicated diverticulitis, but it has to be performed by well-trained surgeons. Laparoscopy has short-term advantages over open surgery in terms of blood loss, post-operative ileus, morbidity, hospital stay and overall costs (1B).

A meta-analysis by Siddiqui on 2383 patients, comparing open and laparoscopic sigmoid colectomy, demonstrated that laparoscopic sigmoid resection is associated with less of the following post-operative complications: wound infection, post-operative ileus, transfusion and incisional hernia [154]. In two prospective randomized trials (PRTs) [155, 156], laparoscopy was shown to reduce blood loss, pain (fewer analgesic requirements) and length of hospital stay and to improve quality of life. The conversion rate is approximately 9 %, but it less (3 %) if the procedure is performed by expert surgeons [157]. In addition, laparoscopic sigmoid resection is more cost-effective [158].

#### What are the indications for urgent surgery?

We suggest urgent surgery for patients with diffuse peritonitis and for those who fail to improve despite appropriate medical therapy (2B).

About 15–20 % of patients hospitalized for AD undergo emergency surgery [159, 160]. The majority of them have symptoms and signs of diffuse peritonitis, and/or pneumoperitoneum at admission. The remainder fail to improve promptly despite an appropriate medical approach. As regards the latter group, there is no information on the optimal timing for surgery, and the decision should be based on clinical and imaging features. Even if non-operative management has been adopted in selected stable patients with diverticular perforation and pneumoperitoneum, further studies are needed in order to establish the efficacy and safety of such an approach [161, 162].

#### What are the treatment options for feculent peritonitis?

We recommend that patients with diverticular perforation and feculent peritonitis (Hinchey IV) undergo urgent sigmoid resection without restoration of bowel continuity. The appropriateness of resection and primary anastomosis has not been clearly established (2B).

A number of studies, including 2 RCTs, have compared the efficacy and safety of primary anastomosis with those of non-restorative surgery (Hartmann's procedure) in patients with diverticular perforation and diffuse peritonitis [163–166].

Even if both techniques have shown similar results in terms of efficacy, when considering feculent peritonitis the number of accrued patients is still inadequate to challenge the established use of non-restorative surgery [163, 164].

### What are the treatment options for purulent peritonitis?

We suggest that sigmoid resection and primary anastomosis with or without proximal diversion are the appropriate surgical option for AD with diffuse purulent peritonitis (Hinchey III). Laparoscopic lavage/drainage combined with antibiotics may be an alternative, but specific indications have not been defined. Hartmann's procedure has to be adopted when a primary anastomosis is judged unsafe (1B).

For patients with diverticular perforation and purulent peritonitis, several surgical options may be appropriate depending on the severity of intra-abdominal and general conditions.

Two prematurely interrupted RCTs [163, 164] and several studies with weaker methodology [165, 166] have demonstrated similar mortality and morbidity after resection with primary anastomosis and non-restorative procedures: it seems highly unlikely that an exhaustive RCT will be performed [167].

Similarly, a RCT (the DILALA study) has shown that morbidity and 90-day mortality after laparoscopic lavage are the same as after Hartmann's procedure [168]. However, a word of caution is in order, as the mortality rate of patients undergoing laparoscopic lavage was substantially higher than previously reported [169]. Moreover, another RCT has stopped accrual in the laparoscopic lavage arm due to safety concerns [170].

Besides, eventual specific criteria for choosing between resection with primary anastomosis and laparoscopic lavage are still undefined.

Hartmann's procedure is advisable when a patient is hemodynamically unstable or has intra-abdominal conditions which make primary anastomosis unsafe.

#### What are the treatment options for diverticular abscess?

We recommend the guided percutaneous drainage combined with antibiotics as the preferable treatment for  $\geq$ 4 cm diverticular abscesses. Those abscesses not responding to or not amenable to non-operative management should be treated surgically (2A).

Though solid supporting evidence is lacking, most abscesses  $\leq 3$  cm in diameter can be treated safely and successfully with antibiotics alone, while larger abscesses most often require combined percutaneous drainage and antibiotics [171–173]. There is no evidence supporting a specific drainage or aspiration technique.

Patients with diverticulitis-related abscesses that are not drainable or who do not respond to percutaneous treatment should undergo urgent surgery [173]. There is no agreement nor evidence supporting a conservative or surgical regimen for abscesses treated successfully by guided percutaneous drainage [173].

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**Informed consent** For this type of study informed consent is not required.

### References

- Guyatt G, Gutterman D, Baumann MH et al (2006) Grading strength of recommendations and quality of evidence in clinical guide-lines: report from an american College of Chest Physicians task force. Chest 129:174–181
- Jung HK, Choung RS, Locke GR III, Schleck CD, Zinsmeister AR, Talley NJ (2010) Diarrhea predominant irritable bowel syndrome is associated with diverticular disease: a populationbased study. Am J Gastroenterol 105:652–661
- Salem TA, Molloy RG, O'Dwyer PJ (2007) Prospective, five years follow-up study of patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease. Dis Colon Rectum 50:1460–1464
- Hinchey EJ, Schaal PG, Richard GK (1978) Treatment of diverticular disease of the colon. Adv Surg 12:85–109
- Everhart JE, Ruhl CE (2009) Burden of digestive diseases in the United States part II: lower gastrointestinal diseases. Gastroenterology 136:741–754
- Heise CP (2008) Epidemiology and pathogenesis of diverticular disease. J Gastrointest Surg 12:1309–1311
- Etzioni DA, Mack TM, Beart RW (2009) Diverticulitis in the United States: 1998–2005: changing patterns of disease and treatment. Ann Surg 249:210–217
- Warner E, Crighton EJ, Moineddin R (2007) Fourteen-year study of hospital admissions for diverticular disease in Ontario. Can J Gastroenterol 21:97–99
- Kang JY, Hoare J, Tinto A (2003) Diverticular disease of the colon—on the rise: a study of hospital admission in England between 1989/1990 and 1999/2000. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 17:1189–1195
- Painter NS, Burkitt DP (1971) Diverticular disease of the colon: a deficiency disease of Western civilization. Brit Med J 2:450–454
- Gear JS, Ware A, Fursdon P et al (1979) Symptomless diverticular disease and intake of dietary fibre. Lancet 1:511–514
- 12. Crowe FL, Appleby PN, Allen NE, Key TJ (2011) Diet and risk of diverticular disease in Oxford cohort of European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): prospective study of British vegetarians and non-vegetarians. BMJ 343:d4131
- Crowe FL, Balkwill A, Cairns BJ et al, Million Women Study Collaborators (2014) Source of dietary fibre and diverticular disease incidence: a prospective study of UK women. Gut 63:1450–1456
- Strate LL, Liu YL, Syngal S, Aldoori WH, Giovannucci EL (2008) Nut, corn, and popcorn consumption and the incidence of diverticular disease. JAMA 300:907–914
- Song JH, Kim YS, Lee JH et al (2010) Clinical characteristics of colonic diverticulosis in Korea: a prospective study. Korean J Intern Med 25:140–146
- Peery AF, Barrett PR, Park D et al (2012) A high-fiber diet does not protect against asymptomatic diverticulosis. Gastroenterology 142:266–272
- Bassotti G, Battaglia E, De Roberto G, Morelli A, Tonini M, Villanacci V (2005) Alterations in colonic motility and relationship to pain in colonic diverticulosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 3:248–253

- Cuomo R, Barbara G, Andreozzi P et al (2013) Symptom patterns can distinguish diverticular disease from irritable bowel syndrome. Eur J Clin Invest 43:1147–1155
- Bassotti G, Villanacci V, Nascimbeni R et al (2013) The role of colonic mast cells and myenteric plexitis in patients with diverticular disease. Int J Colorectal Dis 28:267–272
- Tursi A, Elisei W, Brandimarte G et al (2010) Predictive value of serologic markers of degree of histologic damage in acute uncomplicated colonic diverticulitis. J Clin Gastroenterol 44:702–706
- 21. Spiller R (2012) Is it diverticular disease or is it irritable bowel syndrome? Dig Dis 30:64–69
- 22. Cohen ER, Fuller G, Bolus R et al (2012) Evidence for postdiverticulitis irritable bowel syndrome (Pdv-IBS): longitudinal analysis reveals higher incidence of IBS in DV cases vs. controls. Gastroenterology 5:S811–S812
- 23. Atkin W, Dadswell E, Wooldrage K et al, SIGGAR Investigators (2013) Computed tomographic colonography versus colonoscopy for investigation of patients with symptoms suggestive of colorectal cancer (SIGGAR): a multicentre randomised trial. Lancet 381:1194–1202
- 24. Cuomo R, Barbara G, Pace F et al (2014) Italian consensus conference for colonic diverticulosis and diverticular disease. United European Gastroenterol J 2:413–442
- De Cecco C, Ciolina M, Annibale B et al (2015) Prevalence and distribution of colonic diverticula assessed with CT colonography (CTC). Eur Radiol. doi:10.1007/s00330-015-3866-1
- Gollub MJ, Jhaveri S, Schwartz E et al (2005) CT colonography features of sigmoid diverticular disease. Clin Imaging 29:200–206
- Lefere P, Gryspeerdt S, Baekelandt M, Dewyspelaere J, van Holsbeeck B (2003) Diverticular disease in CT colonography. Eur Radiol 13(Suppl 4):L62–L74
- Brewster NT, Grieve DC, Saunders JH (1994) Double-contrast barium enema and flexible sigmoidoscopy for routine colonic investigation. Br J Surg 81:445–447
- von Wagner C, Smith S, Halligan S et al (2011) Patient acceptability of CT colonography compared with double contrast barium enema: results from a multicentre randomised controlled trial of symptomatic patients. Eur Radiol 21:2046–2055
- Pendse DA, Taylor SA (2013) Complications of CT colonography: a review. Eur J Radiol 82:1159–1165
- Flicek KT, Hara AK, Silva AC, Wu Q, Peter MB, Johnson CD (2010) Reducing the radiation dose for CT colonography using adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction: a pilot study. AJR Am J Roentgenol 195:126–131
- Neri E, Faggioni L, Cerri F et al (2010) CT colonography versus double-contrast barium enema for screening of colorectal cancer: comparison of radiation burden. Abdom Imaging 35:596–601
- Neri E, Halligan S, Hellstrom M et al, ESGAR CT Colonography Working Group (2013) The second ESGAR consensus statement on CT colonography. Eur Radiol 23:720–729
- 34. Yoon MA, Kim SH, Lee JM et al (2012) Adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction and Veo: assessment of image quality and diagnostic performance in CT colonography at various radiation doses. J Computer Assist Tomogr 36:596–601
- 35. Puylaert JB (2012) Ultrasound of colon diverticulitis. Dig Dis 30:56–59
- 36. Schreyer AG, Furst A, Agha A et al (2004) Magnetic resonance imaging based colonography for diagnosis and assessment of diverticulosis and diverticulitis. Int J Colorectal Dis 19:474–480
- Thornton E, Morrin MM, Yee J (2010) Current status of MR colonography. Radiographics 30:201–218

- Toorenvliet BR, Bakker RF, Breslau PJ, Merkus JW, Hamming JF (2010) Colonic diverticulitis: a prospective analysis of diagnostic accuracy and clinical decision-making. Colorectal Dis 12:179–186
- Liljegren G, Chabok A, Wickbom M, Smedh K, Nilsson K (2007) Acute colonic diverticulitis: a systematic review of diagnostic accuracy. Colorectal Dis 9:480–488
- 40. van Randen A, Lameris W, van Es HW et al, OPTIMA Study Group (2011) A comparison of the accuracy of ultrasound and computed tomography in common diagnoses causing acute abdominal pain. Eur Radiol 21:1535–1545
- Halligan S, Saunders B (2002) Imaging diverticular disease. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 16:595–610
- 42. Hainaux B, Agneessens E, Bertinotti R et al (2006) Accuracy of MDCT in predicting site of gastrointestinal tract perforation. AJR Am J Roentgenol 187:1179–1183
- Buckley O, Geoghegan T, O'Riordain DS, Lyburn ID, Torreggiani WC (2004) Computed tomography in the imaging of colonic diverticulitis. Clin Radiol 59:977–983
- 44. Kaiser AM, Jiang JK, Lake JP et al (2005) The management of complicated diverticulitis and the role of computed tomography. Am J Gastroenterol 100:910–917
- 45. Andeweg CS, Wegdam JA, Groenewoud J, van der Wilt GJ, van Goor H, Bleichrodt RP (2014) Toward an evidence-based stepup approach in diagnosing diverticulitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 49:775–784
- 46. Lameris W, van Randen A, van Es HW et al, OPTIMA Study Group (2009) Imaging strategies for detection of urgent conditions in patients with acute abdominal pain: diagnostic accuracy study. BMJ 338:b2431
- 47. Lameris W, van Randen A, van Gulik TM et al (2010) A clinical decision rule to establish the diagnosis of acute diverticulitis at the emergency department. Dis Colon Rectum 53:896–904
- Cho KC, Morehouse HT, Alterman DD, Thornhill BA (1990) Sigmoid diverticulitis: diagnostic role of CT-comparison with barium enema studies. Radiology 176:111–115
- Stefansson T, Nyman R, Nilsson S, Ekbom A, Pahlman L (1997) Diverticulitis of the sigmoid colon. A comparison of CT, colonic enema and laparoscopy. Acta Radiol 38:313–319
- Heverhagen JT, Sitter H, Zielke A, Klose KJ (2008) Prospective evaluation of the value of magnetic resonance imaging in suspected acute sigmoid diverticulitis. Dis Colon Rectum 51:1810–1815
- 51. Ajaj W, Ruehm SG, Lauenstein T et al (2005) Dark-lumen magnetic resonance colonography in patients with suspected sigmoid diverticulitis: a feasibility study. Eur Radiol 15:2316–2322
- Herman LL, Kurtz RC, McKee KJ, Sun M, Thaler HT, Winawer SJ (1993) Risk factors associated with vasovagal reactions during colonoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 39:388–391
- 53. Williams C, Teague R (1973) Colonoscopy. Gut 14:990-1003
- 54. Dafnis G, Granath F, Pahlman L, Ekbom A, Blomqvist P (2005) Patient factors influencing the completion rate in colonoscopy. Dig Liver Dis 37:113–118
- 55. Hjern F, Jonas E, Holmstrom B, Josephson T, Mellgren A, Johansson C (2007) CT colonography versus colonoscopy in the follow-up of patients after diverticulitis—a prospective, comparative study. Clin Radiol 62:645–650
- 56. van Gelder RE, Birnie E, Florie J et al (2004) CT colonography and colonoscopy: assessment of patient preference in a 5-week follow-up study. Radiology 233:328–337
- Chabok A, Smedh K, Nilsson S, Stenson M, Pahlman L (2013) CT-colonography in the follow-up of acute diverticulitis: patient acceptance and diagnostic accuracy. Scand J Gastroenterol 48:979–986

- Aldoori WH, Giovannucci EL, Rimm EB, Wing AL, Trichopoulos DV, Willett WC (1994) A prospective study of diet and the risk of symptomatic diverticular disease in men. Am J Clin Nutr 60:757–764
- Aldoori W, Ryan-Harshman M (2002) Preventing diverticular disease. Review of recent evidence on high-fibre diets. Can Fam Physician 48:1632–1637
- Unlu C, Daniels L, Vrouenraets BC, Boermeester MA (2012) A systematic review of high-fiber dietary therapy in diverticular disease. Int J Colorectal Dis 27:419–427
- Maconi G, Barbara G, Bosetti C, Cuomo R, Annibale B (2011) Treatment of diverticular disease of the colon and prevention of acute diverticulitis: a systematic review. Dis Colon Rectum 54:1326–1338
- Latella G, Pimpo MT, Sottili S et al (2003) Rifaximin improves symptoms of acquired uncomplicated diverticular disease of the colon. Int J Colorectal Dis 18:55–62
- 63. Colecchia A, Vestito A, Pasqui F et al (2007) Efficacy of long term cyclic administration of the poorly absorbed antibiotic Rifaximin in symptomatic, uncomplicated colonic diverticular disease. World J Gastroenterol 13:264–269
- 64. Ventrucci M, Ferrieri A, Bergami R, Roda E (1994) Evaluation of the effect of rifaximin in colon diverticular disease by means of lactulose hydrogen breath test. Curr Med Res Opin 13:202–206
- 65. Colecchia A, Sandri L, Capodicasa S et al (2003) Diverticular disease of the colon: new perspectives in symptom development and treatment. World J Gastroenterol 9:1385–1389
- 66. Pimentel M, Lembo A, Chey W et al, TARGET Study Group (2011) Rifaximin therapy for patients with irritable bowel syndrome without constipation. N Engl J Med 364:22–32
- 67. Bianchi M, Festa V, Moretti A et al (2011) Meta-analysis: longterm therapy with rifaximin in the management of uncomplicated diverticular disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 33:902–910
- Horgan A, McConnell E, Wolff B, The S, Paterson C (2001) Atypical diverticular diseases: surgical results. Dis Colon Rectum 44:1315–1318
- 69. Tursi A (2007) New physiopathological and therapeutic approaches to diverticular disease of the colon. Expert Opin Pharmacother 8:299–307
- 70. Trespi E, Colla C, Panizza P et al (1999) Therapeutic and prophylactic role of mesalazine (5-Asa) in symptomatic diverticular disease of the large intestine. 4 year follow-up results. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 45:245–252
- 71. Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Giorgetti G, Elisei W (2007) Continuous versus cyclic mesalazine therapy for patients affected by recurrent symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease of the colon. Dig Dis Sci 52:671–674
- 72. Stollman N, Magowan S, Shanahan F, Quigley EM; DIVA Investigator Group (2013) A randomized controlled study of mesalamine after acute diverticulitis: Results of the DIVA trial. J Clin Gastroenterol 47:621–629
- 73. Kruis W, Meier E, Schumacher M, Michkisch O, Greinwald R, Mueller R, German SAG-20 Study Group (2013) Randomised clinical trial: mesalazine (Salofalk granules) for uncomplicated diverticular disease of the colon–a placebo-controlled study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 37:680–690
- 74. Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Daffina R (2002) Long-term treatment with mesalazine and rifaximin versus rifaximin alone for patients with recurrent attacks of acute diverticulitis of colon. Dig Liver Dis 34:510–515
- 75. Di Mario F, Aragona G, Leandro G et al (2005) Efficacy of mesalazine in the treatment of symptomatic diverticular disease. Dig Dis Sci 50:581–586
- Sanders ME (2008) Probiotics: definition, sources, selection, and uses. Clin Infect Dis 46:S58–S61

- Sullivan A, Nord CE (2005) Probiotics and gastrointestinal disease. J Intern Med 257:78–92
- Gill HS (2003) Probiotics to enhance anti-infective defences in the gastrointestinal tract. Best Practice Res Clin Gastroenterol 17:755–773
- Narula N, Marshall JK (2010) Role of probiotics in management of diverticular disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 25:1827–1830
- Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Giorgetti GM, Elisei W (2006) Mesalazine and/or *Lactobacillus casei* in preventing recurrence of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease of the colon: a prospective, randomized, open-label study. J Clin Gastroenterol 40:312–316
- Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Giorgetti GM, Elisei W (2008) Mesalazine and/or *Lactobacillus casei* in maintaining long-term remission of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease of the colon. Hepatogastroenterology 55:916–920
- 82. Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Elisei W et al (2013) Randomised clinical trial: mesalazine and/or probiotics in maintaining remission of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 38:741–751
- Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Giorgetti GM, Elisei W, Aiello F (2007) Balsalazide and/or high-potency probiotic mixture (VSL#3) in maintaining remission after attack of acute, uncomplicated diverticulitis of the colon. Int J Colorectal Dis 22:1103–1108
- 84. Lamiki P, Tsuchiya J, Pathak S et al (2010) Probiotics in diverticular disease of the colon: an open label study. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 19:31–36
- 85. Annibale B, Maconi G, Lahner E, De Giorgi F, Cuomo R (2011) Efficacy of Lactobacillus paracasei sub. paracasei F19 on abdominal symptoms in patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease: a pilot study. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 57:1–10
- Lahner E, Esposito G, Zullo A et al (2012) High-fibre diet and Lactobacillus paracasei B21060 in symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease. World J Gastroenterol 18:5918–5924
- Lanas A, Ponce J, Bignamini A, Mearin F (2013) One year intermittent rifaximin plus fibre supplementation vs. fibre supplementation alone to prevent diverticulitis recurrence: a proofof-concept study. Dig Liver Dis 45:104–109
- Conte D, Orlando S (2013) Rifaximin plus fibre versus fibre alone in preventing diverticulitis recurrence: a (problematic) trial to tackle an epidemiologically relevant problem. Dig Liver Dis 45:102–103
- Humes D, Smith JK, Spiller RC (2011) Colonic diverticular disease. Clinical Evidence 14:pii0405
- 90. Parente F, Bargiggia S, Prada A et al, Gismi Study Group (2013) Intermittent treatment with mesalazine in the prevention of diverticulitis recurrence: A randomized multicenter pilot double-blind placebo-controlled study of 24-month duration. Int J Colorectal Dis 28:1423–1431
- Comparato G, Fanigliulo L, Cavallaro LG et al (2007) Prevention of complications and symptomatic recurrences in diverticular disease with mesalazine: a 12-month follow-up. Dig Dis Sci 52:2934–2941
- 92. Tursi A, Elisei W, Giorgetti GM et al (2013) Effectiveness of different therapeutic strategies in preventing diverticulitis recurrence. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 17:342–348
- Raskin JB, Kamm MA, Jamal MM et al (2014) Mesalamine did not prevent recurrent diverticulitis in phase 3 controlled trials. Gastroenterology 147:793–802
- 94. Chabok A, Pahlman L, Hjern F, Haapaniemi S, Smedh K, AVOD Study Group (2012) Randomized clinical trial of antibiotics in acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Br J Surg 99:532–539

- 95. Andersen JC, Bundgaard L, Elbrond H, Laurberg S, Walker LR, Støvring J, Danish Surgical Society (2012) Danish national guidelines for treatment of diverticular disease. Dan Med J 59:C4453
- Westwood DA, Eglinton TW (2013) Antibiotics may not improve short-term or long-term outcomes in acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Evid Based Med 18:32–33
- 97. Hjern F, Josephson T, Altman D et al (2007) Conservative treatment of acute colonic diverticulitis: Are antibiotics always mandatory? Scand J Gastroenterol 42:41–44
- 98. Ūnlü C, de Korte N, Daniels L et al, Dutch Diverticular Disease 3D Collaborative Study Group (2010) A multicenter randomized clinical trial investigating the cost-effectiveness of treatment strategies with or without antibiotics for uncomplicated acute diverticulitis (DIABOLO trial). BMC Surg 10:23
- 99. De Korte N, Klarenbeek BR, Kuyvenhoven JP, Roumen RM, Cuesta MA, Stockmann HB (2011) Management of diverticulitis: results of a survey among gastroenterologists and surgeons. Colorectal Dis 13:e411–e417
- 100. Biondo S, Lopez Borao J, Millan M, Kreisler E, Jaurrieta E (2012) Current status of the treatment of acute colonic diverticulitis: a systematic review. Colorectal Dis 14:e1–e11
- 101. Moya P, Arroyo A, Pérez-Legaz J et al (2012) Applicability, safety and efficiency of outpatient treatment in uncomplicated diverticulitis. Tech Coloproctol 16:301–307
- 102. Unlü C, Gunadi PM, Gerhards MF, Boermeester MA, Vrouenraets BC (2013) Outpatient treatment for acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 25:1038–1043
- 103. Biondo S, Golda T, Kreisler E et al (2014) Outpatients versus hospitalisation management for uncomplicated diverticulitis: a prospective, multicenter randomised clinical trial (DIVER Trial). Ann Surg 259:38–44
- 104. Lorente L, Cots F, Alonso S et al (2013) Outpatient treatment of uncomplicated acute diverticulitis: impact on healthcare costs. Cir Esp 91:504–509
- 105. Jackson JD, Hammond T (2014) Systematic review: outpatient management of acute uncomplicated diverticulitis. Int J Colorectal Dis 29:775–781
- 106. Shabanzadeh DM, Wille-Jørgensen P (2012) Antibiotics for uncomplicated diverticulitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 11:9092
- 107. Stollman NH, Raskin JB (1999) Diagnosis and management of diverticular disease of the colon in adults. Ad Hoc Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Am J Gastroenterol 94:3110–3121
- Roberts P, Abel M, Rosen L et al (1995) Practice parameters for sigmoid diverticulitis. The Standards Task Force American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. Dis Colon Rectum 38:125–132
- 109. Köhler L, Sauerland S, Neugebauer E (1999) Diagnosis and treatment of diverticular disease: results of a consensus development conference. The Scientific Committee of the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery. Surg Endosc 13:430–436
- 110. Parks TG (1969) Natural history of diverticular disease of the colon. A review of 521 cases. BMJ IV:639–642
- 111. Farmakis N, Tudor RG, Keighley MRB (1994) The 5-year natural history of complicated diverticular disease. Br J Surg 84:733–735
- 112. Janes S, Meagher A, Frizelle FA (2005) Elective surgery after acute diverticulitis. Br J Surg 92:133–142
- 113. Rafferty J, Shellito P, Hyman NH, Buie WD (2006) Recommendations of the standard committee, ASCRS practice parameters for sigmoid diverticulitis. Dis Colon Rectum 49:939–944
- 114. Eglinton T, Nguyen T, Raniga S, Dixon L, Dobbs B, Frizelle FA (2010) Patterns of recurrence in patients with acute diverticulitis. Br J Surg 97:952–957

- 115. Binda GA, Arezzo A, Serventi A, Bonelli L, GISDIC (2012) Natural history of left-sided acute diverticulitis: a multicenter prospective study. Br J Surg 99:276–285
- 116. Broderick-Villa G, Burchette RJ, Collins JC, Abbas MA, Haigh PI (2005) Hospitalization for acute diverticulitis does not mandate routine elective colectomy. Arch Surg 140:576–581
- 117. Anaya DA, Flum DR (2005) Risk of emergency colectomy and colostomy in patients with diverticular disease. Arch Surg 140:681–685
- 118. Li D, de Mestral C, Baxter NN et al (2014) Risk of readmission and emergency surgery following nonoperative management of colonic diverticulitis: a population based analysis. Ann Surg 260:423–430
- 119. Chapman J, Davies M, Wolff B et al (2005) Complicated diverticulitis: Is it time to rethink the rules? Ann Surg 242:576–581
- Pittet O, Kotzampassakis N, Schmidt S, Denys A, Demartines N, Calmes JM (2009) Recurrent left colonic diverticulitis episodes: More severe than the initial diverticulitis? World J Surg 33:547–552
- 121. Ritz JP, Lehmann KS, Frericks B, Stroux A, Buhr HJ, Holmer C (2011) Outcome of patients with acute sigmoid diverticulitis: multivariate analysis of risk factors for free perforation. Surgery 149:606–613
- 122. Salem L, Veenstra DL, Sullivan SD, Flum DR (2004) The timing of elective colectomy in diverticulitis: a decision analysis. J Am Coll Surg 199:904–912
- 123. Shaikh S, Krukowski ZH (2007) Outcome of a conservative policy for managing acute sigmoid diverticulitis. Br J Surg 94:876–879
- 124. Humes DJ, West J (2012) The role of acute diverticulitis in the development of complicated colonic diverticular disease and one year mortality following diagnosis in the UK: population based cohort study. Gut 61:95–100
- 125. Ricciardi R, Baxter NN, Read TE, Marcello PW, Hall J, Roberts PL (2009) Is the decline in the surgical treatment for diverticulitis associated with an increase in complicated diverticulitis? Dis Colon Rectum 52:1558–1563
- 126. Simianu VV, Bastawrous AL, Billingham RP et al (2014) Addressing the appropriateness of elective colon resection for diverticulitis: a report from the SCOAP CERTAIN collaborative. Ann Surg 260:533–538
- 127. Wolff BG, Ready RL, MacCarty RL, Dozois RR, Beart RW Jr (1984) Influence of sigmoid resection on progression of diverticular disease of the colon. Dis Colon Rectum 27:645
- 128. Thaler K, Baig MK, Berho M et al (2003) Determinants of recurrence after sigmoid resection for uncomplicated diverticulitis. Dis Colon Rectum 46:385–388
- 129. Pasternak I, Wiedemann N, Basilicata G, Melcher GA (2012) Gastrointestinal quality of life after laparoscopic-assisted sigmoidectomy for diverticular disease. Int J Colorectal Dis 27:781–787
- 130. Klarenbeek BR, Samuels M, van der Wal MA, van der Peet DL, Meijerink WJ, Cuesta MA (2010) Indications for elective sigmoid resection in diverticular disease. Ann Surg 251:670–674
- 131. Chautems RC, Ambrosetti P, Ludwig A, Mermillod B, Morel P, Soravia C (2002) Longterm follow-up after first acute episode of sigmoid diverticulitis: Is surgery mandatory? A prospective study of 118 patients. Dis Colon Rectum 45:962–966
- 132. Freischlag J, Bennion RS, Thompson JE Jr (1986) Complications of diverticular disease of the colon in young people. Dis Colon Rectum 29:639–643
- 133. Pautrat K, Bretagnol F, Huten N, de Calan L (2007) Acute diverticulitis in very young patients: a frequent surgical management. Dis Colon Rectum 50:472–477

- 134. Lahat A, Menachem Y, Avidan B et al (2006) Diverticulitis in the young patient—Is it different? World J Gastroenterol 12:2932–2935
- Konvolinka CW (1994) Acute diverticulitis under age forty. Am J Surg 167:562–565
- 136. Kotzampassakis N, Pittet O, Schmidt S, Denys A, Demartines N, Calmes JM (2010) Presentation and treatment outcome of diverticulitis in younger adults: A different disease than in older patients? Dis Colon Rectum 53:333–338
- 137. Biondo S, Pares D, Marti Rague J, Kreisler E, Fraccalvieri D, Jaurrieta E (2002) Acute diverticulitis in patients under 50 years of age. Br J Surg 89:1137–1141
- Nelson RS, Velasco A, Mukesh BN (2006) Management of diverticulitis in younger patients. Dis Colon Rectum 49:1341–1345
- Makela JT, Kiviniemi HO, Laitinen ST (2009) Acute sigmoid diverticulitis in young patients. Hepatogastroenterology 56:1382–1387
- 140. Tyau ES, Prystowsky JB, Joehl RJ, Nahrwold DL (1991) Acute diverticulitis. A complicated problem in the immunocompromised patients. Arch Surg 126:855–858
- 141. Biondo S, Borao JL, Kreisler E et al (2012) Recurrence and virulence of colonic diverticulitis in immunocompromised patients. Am J Surg 204:172–179
- 142. Ambrosetti P, Becker C, Terrier TF (2002) Colonic diverticulitis: impact of imaging on surgical management—a prospective study of 542 patients. Eur Radiol 12:1145–1149
- Ambrosetti P, Grossholz M, Becker C, Terrier F, Morel P (1997) Computed tomography in acute left colonic diverticulitis. Br J Surg 84:532–534
- 144. Hall JF, Roberts PL, Ricciardi R et al (2011) Long-term followup after an initial episode of diverticulitis: What are the predictors of recurrence? Dis Colon Rectum 54:283–288
- 145. Siewert JR, Huber FT, Brune IB (1995) Early elective surgery of acute diverticulitis of the colon. Chirurg 66:1182–1189
- 146. Natarajan S, Ewings EL, Vega RJ (2004) Laparoscopic sigmoid colectomy after acute diverticulitis: When to operate? Surgery 136:725–730
- 147. Hoffmann H, Dell-Kuster S, Genstorfer J, Kettelhack C, Langer I, Rosenthal R (2012) Surgical treatment of acute recurrent diverticulitis: early elective or late elective surgery. An analysis of 237 patients. World J Surg 36:898–907
- 148. Reissfelder C, Burh HJ, Ritz JP (2006) What is the optimal time of surgical intervention after an acute attack of sigmoid diverticulitis: Early or late elective laparoscopic resection? Dis Colon Rectum 49:1842–1849
- 149. Zingg U, Pasternak I, Guertler L, Dietrich M, Wohlwend K-A, Metzger U (2007) Early vs, delayed elective laparoscopicassisted colectomy in sigmoid diverticulitis: timing of surgery in relation to the acute attack. Dis Colon Rectum 50:1911–1917
- 150. Benn PL, Wolff BG, Ilstrup DM (1986) Level of anastomosis and recurrent colonic diverticulitis. Am J Surg 151:269
- 151. Cirocchi R, Trastulli S, Farinella E et al (2012) Is inferior mesenteric artery ligation during sigmoid colectomy for diverticular disease associated with increased anastomotic leakage? A meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized clinical trials. Colorectal Dis 14:521–529
- 152. Forgione A, Leroy J, Cahill RA et al (2009) Prospective evaluation of functional outcome after laparoscopic sigmoid colectomy. Ann Surg 249:218–224
- 153. Lesurtel M, Fritsch S, Sellam R, Molinier N, Mosnier H (2004) Does laparoscopic colorectal resection for diverticular disease impair male urinary and sexual function? Surg Endosc 18:1774–1777
- 154. Siddiqui MR, Sajid MS, Qureshi S, Cheek E, Baig MK (2010) Elective laparoscopic sigmoid resection for diverticular disease

has fewer complications than conventional surgery: a metaanalysis. Am J Surg 200:144–161

- 155. Klarenbeek BR, Veenhof AA, Bergamaschi R et al (2009) Laparoscopic sigmoid resection for diverticulitis decreases major morbidity rates: a randomized control trial. Ann Surg 249:39–44
- 156. Gervaz P, Inan I, Perneger T, Schiffer E, Morel P (2010) A prospective, randomized, single-blind comparison of laparoscopic versus open sigmoid colectomy for diverticulitis. Ann Surg 252:3–8
- 157. Jones OM, Stevenson ARL, Clark D, Stitz RW, Lumley JW (2008) Laparoscopic resection for diverticular disease: follow up of 500 consecutive patients. Ann Surg 248:1092–1097
- 158. Senagore AJ, Duepree HJ, Delaney CP, Dissanaike S, Brady KM, Fazio VW (2002) Cost structure of laparoscopic and open sigmoid colectomy for diverticular disease: similarities and differences. Dis Colon Rectum 45:485–490
- 159. John SKP, Teo NB, Forster AL (2007) A prospective study of acute admissions in a surgical unit due to diverticular disease. Dig Surg 24:186–190
- 160. Li D, Baxter NN, McLeod RS, Moineddin R, Wilton AS, Nathens AB (2014) Evolving practice patterns in the management of acute colonic diverticulitis: a population-based analysis. Dis Colon Rectum 57:1397–1405
- 161. Dharmarajan S, Hunt SR, Birnbaum EH, Fleshman JW, Mutch MG (2011) The efficacy of nonoperative management of acute complicated diverticulitis. Dis Colon Rectum 54:663–671
- 162. Sallinen VJ, Mentula PJ, Leppäniemi AK (2014) Nonoperative management of perforated diverticulitis with extraluminal air is safe and effective in selected patients. Dis Colon Rectum 57:875–881
- 163. Oberkofler CE, Rickenbacher A, Raptis DA et al (2012) A multicenter randomized clinical trial of primary anastomosis or Hartmann's procedure for perforated left colonic diverticulitis with purulent or fecal peritonitis. Ann Surg 256:819–827
- 164. Binda GA, Karas JR, Serventi A et al, Study Group on Diverticulitis (2012) Primary anastomosis vs nonrestorative resection for perforated diverticulitis with peritonitis: a prematurely

terminated randomized controlled trial. Colorectal Dis 14:1403-1410

- 165. Constantinides VA, Tekkis PP, Athanasiou T et al (2006) Primary resection with anastomosis vs. Hartmann's procedure in nonelective surgery for acute colonic diverticulitis: a systematic review. Dis Colon Rectum 49:966–981
- 166. Abbas S (2007) Resection and primary anastomosis in acute complicated diverticulitis, a systematic review of the literature. Int J Colorectal Dis 22:351–357
- 167. Binda GA, Serventi A, Puntoni M, Amato A (2015) Primary anastomosis versus Hartmann's procedure for perforated diverticulitis with peritonitis: an unpracticable trial. Ann Surg 261:e116–e117
- 168. Angenete E, Thornell A, Burcharth J et al (2014) Laparoscopic lavage is feasible and safe for the treatment of perforated diverticulitis with purulent peritonitis: the first results from the randomized controlled trial DILALA. Ann Surg [Epub head of print]
- 169. Toorenvliet BR, Swank H, Schoones JW, Hamming JF, Bemelman WA (2010) Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage for perforated colonic diverticulitis: a systematic review. Colorectal Dis 12:862–867
- 170. Bemelman WA (2015) Laparoscopic peritoneal lavage or resection for generalized peritonitis for perforated diverticulitis (ladies). http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01317485. Accessed Jan 5
- 171. Lamb MN, Kaiser AM (2014) Elective resection versus observation after nonoperative management of complicated diverticulitis with abscess: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dis Colon Rectum 57:1430–1440
- 172. Ambrosetti P, Chautems R, Soravia C, Peiris-Waser N, Terrier F (2005) Long-term outcome of mesocolic and pelvic diverticular abscesses of the left colon: a prospective study of 73 cases. Dis Colon Rectum 48:787–791
- 173. Brandt D, Gervaz P, Durmishi Y, Platon A, Morel P, Poletti PA (2006) Percutaneous CT scan-guided drainage vs. antibiotherapy alone for Hinchey II diverticulitis: a case-control study. Dis Colon Rectum 49:1533–1538