HUMAN NEUROSCIENCE

provided by Archivio della ricerca- Università published: 23 September 2014 doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00751



Loss of agency in apraxia

Mariella Pazzaglia^{1,2}* and Giulia Galli²

¹ Department of Psychology, University of Rome 'La Sapienza', Rome, Italy

² IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, Rome, Italy

Edited by:

Nicole David, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany

Reviewed by:

Roy Salomon, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland Corrado Corradi-Dell'Acqua, University of Geneva, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Mariella Pazzaglia, Department of Psychology, University of Rome 'La Sapienza', Via dei Marsi 78, 00185 Rome, Italy e-mail: mariella.pazzaglia@ uniroma1.it The feeling of acting voluntarily is a fundamental component of human behavior and social life and is usually accompanied by a sense of agency. However, this ability can be impaired in a number of diseases and disorders. An important example is apraxia, a disturbance traditionally defined as a disorder of voluntary skillful movements that often results from frontal-parietal brain damage. The first part of this article focuses on direct evidence of some core symptoms of apraxia, emphasizing those with connections to agency and free will. The loss of agency in apraxia is reflected in the monitoring of internally driven action, in the perception of specifically self-intended movements and in the neural intention to act. The second part presents an outline of the evidences supporting the functional and anatomical link between apraxia and agency. The available structural and functional results converge to reveal that the frontal–parietal network contributes to the sense of agency and its impairment in disorders such as apraxia. The current knowledge on the generation of motor intentions and action monitoring could potentially be applied to develop therapeutic strategies for the clinical rehabilitation of voluntary action.

Keywords: agency, apraxia, fMRI, rehabilitation, action

EVIDENCE FOR THE LOSS OF SENSE OF AGENCY IN APRAXIA

The sense of agency implies the subjective experience of elaboration, monitoring, and control of external events through one's own motor actions, as well as the neural intention to act. Using cognitive neuroscience techniques, researchers have attempted to elucidate this interesting phenomenon (Farrer et al., 2003b; David et al., 2007; Spengler et al., 2009; Tsakiris et al., 2010; Salomon et al., 2013; Weiss et al., 2014) distinguishing, at the conceptual level, between two important aspects of agency: a retrospective component (the outcome of action-objective) and prospective signal (from the self-perception of generated actions to the intention to move-subjective) (Moore and Obhi, 2012; Chambon et al., 2013). Agency research has attracted investigators and theorists, although the mechanism appears very natural, critical voices have questioned the validity of studying agency via conventional scientific paradigms (David, 2012). An alternate approach is to investigate how brain damage may alter the awareness of being causally involved in an action (de Jong, 2011).

A prime neurological example is apraxia, a disturbance characterized by a marked impairment in performing volitional movements (de Jong, 2011; Dovern et al., 2011; Wolpe et al., 2014). In essence, apraxia encompasses a broad spectrum of higherorder *purposeful* movement disorders (Leiguarda and Marsden, 2000) that affect both sides of the body, even though neurological damage is more frequently associated with unilateral left frontal and parietal lesions (Haaland et al., 2000; Leiguarda and Marsden, 2000; Hermsdörfer et al., 2003). The traditional definition includes deficits in performing, imitating, and recognizing skilled actions known as meaningless or meaningful gestures (Rothi and Heilman, 1984; Pazzaglia et al., 2008a,b). The pathological condition is identified on the basis of an inability to execute both transitive (using an object) and intransitive (without an object) gestures with different body effectors (mouth, hand, or foot) (Leiguarda and Marsden, 2000). This failure to move intentionally cannot be explained by primary motor or sensory impairments, or by deficits in memory or comprehension (De Renzi and Lucchelli, 1988).

Apraxia has been, and is still, subject to intense debate about its deficits to sensorimotor function and higher-level cognitive processes (Goldenberg, 2013). In this perspective article, we will discuss just one of the possible pathological perspectives of the apraxic disturbance: whether the emerging concept of "agency" is consistent with the presentation of neurological symptoms due to apraxia. Distinct from other clinical disturbances such as anosognosia for hemiplegia-where the symptom of disownership (Karnath and Baier, 2010) with consequent disorders of motor awareness of the paralyzed parts have been interpreted in relation to agency (Pia et al., 2013)-the framework we offer here specifically involves a more global and genuine action volitional disorder that typically affects the two sides of the body. Until recently, a limited number of experimental studies have identified the essential aspects of agency that can be objectively quantified in apraxia by the following three lines of evidence: (i) a genuine incapacity with respect to the voluntary control of one's action bound closer to its outcome; (ii) a disordered subjective experience of actions both performed and not; and (iii) altered predictive signals generated during motor planning.

The first evidence is related to the fundamental importance of performing an intentional action with an outcome and, secondly,

to the subjective sense of control in the selection of actions. Consider, for example, the active action of taking a cigarette from a pack, opening a book of matches, and then lighting and drawing on the cigarette. A variety of movements may be similarly effective when it comes to performing the given aim of smoking. However, if an apraxic patient attempts to smoke, he typically exhibits poor control over actions, and has difficulty in movement selection, which is compatible with slow, incorrect, and ineffective motor acts ("put a match to the mouth in an attempt to smoke", Pick, 1905). Given that the patient showed intact knowledge of functional uses of objects and a disturbance of the mental control of deliberate motor actions, Pick interpreted this disorder as a sign of apraxia. The patient generally recognizes that the action performed does not unfold as expected, and reports his disappointment. Phenomenologically, we can distinguish at least two aspects interpretable in relation to the sense of agency. The first aspect is a disorder of volitional movement where non volitional movement is spared. In the first description of apraxia, Jackson (1866) observed the core pathology as a motor purposeful deficit ["the patient seems to have lost much of his power to do anything intentionally, even with those muscles that are not paralyzed"]. The second aspect, related to the first, is the incapacity to select the correct action leads to a weak power over the outcome itself. Apraxic patients not only have problems with purposeful object manipulation in everyday activities, but also in selecting actions (Rumiati et al., 2001), demonstrating impairments not related to mere movement execution (Hermsdörfer, 2014), nor to a loss of functional semantic knowledge or resource limitation (Rumiati, 2014). In motor act selection, patients with apraxia lose much of their power to perform intentional actions, are more prone to errors and have typically prolonged response times compared to neurological controls without apraxia (Goldenberg and Hagmann, 1998; Goldenberg et al., 2004; Hermsdörfer et al., 2006; Rumiati, 2014). The fragility of the deliberate control of their own actions may substantially depend on the interference caused by the competition between varieties of degraded movements (Sirigu et al., 2004; Pazzaglia et al., 2008b; Botvinick et al., 2009; Buxbaum and Kalenine, 2010; Jax and Buxbaum, 2010; Nelissen et al., 2010). Thus, weakened movement representation impedes correct and fast action selection processing by reducing freedom and power in selecting between possible movement options, thereby contributing to a reduced fluency (Haggard and Chambon, 2012, for a review) and sense of agency over one's own action effects (Wenke et al., 2010). Consistent with a deficit that implies a failure to select or retrieve stored internal representations, apraxia should affect the subjective perception of generated actions.

Another evidence is the disorder of self-generated action essential to establishing a sense of agency. A seminal paper showed experimentally that a sample of patients who had developed apraxic symptoms exhibited deficits in judging whether they did or did not cause a specific movement of their own body (Sirigu et al., 1999). With a more traditional experimental paradigm such as the explicit attribution in agency task, the patients were asked to execute simple and complex hand–finger movements with their unseen, gloved hand, and to observe in real time hand movements relayed on a video display. The display showed either the patient's own hand or that of a model who performed the same movements. The apraxic patients were selectively impaired in deciding whether the hand moving on the screen was their own or belonged to someone else and become aware of their decision with a significant delay compared to healthy participants (Sirigu et al., 2004).

Different authors questioned the validity of these explicit judgments when studying agency, suggesting a more reliable, implicit quantitative measure for the awareness of action based on an intriguing relationship between voluntary action and subjective time (Haggard et al., 2002). This so-called "intentional binding" measure has been studied in patients with corticobasal degeneration, some with clinical apraxia (Wolpe et al., 2014). Participants were asked to report either when they pressed a button or when they heard a tone. In the case of apraxic patients the intentional binding is associated with a subjective contraction of time between an action and its effect. This change in judgment is proportional to disease severity of apraxia but not to other motor features or cognitive impairments and occurs for the reduced sense of ownership of the action (Wolpe et al., 2014). Increased binding of action in patients is therefore more likely to reflect a deficit in control of actions by the anticipation of their effects. This possibility is explored by closer examination of action prediction in patients with apraxia.

The third evidence are disordered predictive signals, which are critical to the sense of agency (Blakemore et al., 2001). According to the "comparator" model, one makes a choice on the basis of a match between the predicted and actual sensory effect of one's action (Chambon et al., 2013). It is possible that in previous studies, patients failed to compare between an internal model and the expected and actual sensory consequence of the action (Sirigu et al., 2004). Indeed, patients with apraxia are unable to mentally simulate movements of their own hands, (Sirigu et al., 1995) and in monitoring the early phases of movement planning (Sirigu et al., 2004), thus suggesting an impairment in anticipating the sensory consequences of manual movements. The readiness potential (RP), a marker of motor preparation that increases just before an observed movement (Kilner et al., 2003), was explored using electroencephalography in an elegant study on apraxic patients (Sirigu et al., 2004; Fontana et al., 2012). Apraxic patients passively viewed a series of short video clips showing a predictable hand moving on the basis of changes of colored objects. The results revealed a clear association between deficits typically present in patients with apraxia and the alteration to monitor the early planning phases of self-generated actions. Specifically, instead of showing the marker of motor preparation to self-generated movement observation-related events that was exhibited in control participants, no such RP was observed in patients with apraxia. Research has revealed that RP results from forward model predictions of the motor system precisely automatically preceding the movement's onset (Kilner et al., 2003). Within this context, the lack of RP exhibited by patients indicates that the inability to predict the consequences of one's own motor actions lead to inadequate online updating during actions (Pazzaglia, 2013a,b). The online information about movement

Separately, the results from these studies reaffirm the objective difficulty in voluntary control of action and thereby its consequences by predictive mechanisms, and the ever-expanding apraxia picture on perturbation of agentive awareness. Despite few direct studies on agency, the disorder of the processes promoting agency that may co-occur in apraxia could fully explain the higher-order computations (e.g., related to intention to act and to the experience of controlling one's own actions, and, through them, events in the outside world) that likely interact with lowlevel motor mechanisms (e.g., the automatic selection of action primitives on which conscious experience corresponding to efficiency of action selection is based). This hypothetical processing, necessary to account the different form of apraxia observed, may be predicted on the basis of an internal model (see Figure 1) that attributes, evaluates, controls, or predicts the consequences of one's own actions, and compares these predictions to actual outcomes.

DOES AGENCY PLAY A CRUCIAL CAUSATIVE ROLE IN THE LEFT FRONTAL-PARIETAL NETWORK?

By examining both fMRI data on voluntary actions that are usually accompanied by an experience of agency and data on the anatomical localization of altered awareness and the control of volitional action in apraxia, it is possible to begin uncovering the neural substrates related to the sense of agency. FMRI allows the detection of brain activity changes that are correlated with motor intentions and subsequent action monitoring. It does not, however, clarify whether such activations play a causal role. In contrast, lesion-mapping analysis can highlight brain areas or circuits actively involved in the process of deriving actions from the original intention and plan of the movement. Several fMRI studies have suggested that the sense of agency, including action monitoring (Matsuzawa et al., 2005; Schnell et al., 2007; Farrer et al., 2008; Kontaris et al., 2009; Tsakiris et al., 2010; Chambon et al., 2013; Koban et al., 2013), prediction (Leube et al., 2003b; Ramnani and Miall, 2004; Spengler et al., 2009; Yomogida et al., 2010; Nahab et al., 2011), self-other coding (Blakemore et al., 1998; Leube et al., 2003a; Balslev et al., 2006; David et al., 2006, 2007; Ogawa and Inui, 2007; Fukushima et al., 2013; Lee and Reeve, 2013), and intentional binding (Kühn et al., 2013; Moore et al., 2013) involve the exchange of signals across a frontal-parietal network that voxel-based lesion symptom mapping (VLSM) analysis demonstrated is typically affected in apraxia (Pazzaglia et al., 2008a,b; Dovern et al., 2011). In particular, the posterior parietal cortex (PPC; Fink et al., 1999; Chaminade and Decety, 2002; Farrer and Frith, 2002; Farrer et al., 2003b, 2008; Chaminade et al., 2005) and the angular gyrus (AG) monitor signals related to action selection in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the ventral premotor cortex (vPM) to prospectively signal subjective experience control over a coming action (Grossman et al., 2000; Leube et al., 2003a; Pelphrey et al., 2004; Ramnani and Miall, 2004; Saxe et al., 2004).



FIGURE 1 | Hypothetical model for performing and recognizing

self-produced movements. The model has been adapted from Rothi and Heilman (1997) within the internal model adapted from Sirigu et al. (2003). Failures in performing or in recognizing gestures may occur because of damage at any stage in the directional flow of action between perceiving (input) and performing (output) an action. Successful completion of any gesture-related task (e.g., execution, imitation or recognition of either correctly or incorrectly, transitive (using objects) or intransitive (without objects) meaningful conventional limb gestures, etc.) requires access to an internal model. A prominent theory in motor control proposes the use of internal models with capacity to control or predict the consequences of one's own actions, and comparing these predictions to actual outcomes (Wolpert et al., 1995) adapted from Sirigu et al. (2003) to the putative level of dysfunction in apraxia. An efference copy of the motor command is used by forward models to predict the sensory feedback. The discrepancy between the predicted and actual sensory feedback is directly associated to a distorted phenomenology in the experience of agency. Hhypothetical models for performing and recognizing self-produced movements highlight the role of an internal model that attributes, evaluates, controls, or predicts the consequences of one's own actions, and compares these predictions to actual outcomes.

Healthy subjects report a decreased sense of agency when their intentions do not match the outcomes of their actions. In this case, activity in the temporo-parietal junction (TPJ) and AG regions increased as a function of the degree of retrospective action-intention mismatch (Farrer et al., 2008; Spengler et al., 2009), and might represent a self-indicator of volition prior to movement itself (Chambon et al., 2013). Therefore, direct electrical stimulation applied to the parietal cortex (AG and supramarginal gyrus, SMG) in patients undergoing awake surgery for tumor removal elicits the subjective experience of an "intention to move" the contralesional hand, arm, or foot (Desmurget et al., 2009).

Direct evidence of the anatomical and functional association on three different levels (the choice of action where ambiguity is present; self-perception/intentional binding; and the intention to act) has been obtained in patients with apraxia. Neurological investigations into the intention to move in apraxic participants have shown that the AG, in the inferior parietal lobule of the parietal cortex, may be essential for anticipating the multisensory consequences of predicted movements (Sirigu et al., 2004;



essential aspects of agency and apraxia. This figure describes some of the objective measures of agency obtained in patients with apraxia: (A) The "*intentional binding*" paradigm, in particular the chronometric approach for volition. (B) The "*self vs. other*" paradigm, specifically the differentiation of self-generated movements from experimenter-generated actions. (C) The "*selection of action paradigm*", particularly the feeling of less power over

Fontana et al., 2012). Similarly, both frontal and parietal structures may differentially code for self-generated actions as well as for action selection (Sirigu et al., 1999; Pazzaglia et al., 2008b). Patients with apraxia, who systematically identified the hand of a model that performed their same movement as their own, demonstrated mainly fronto-parietal lesions (Sirigu et al., 1999). A clear association was identified between the impairment in selection of four versions of actions to the gesture-sound with lesions mainly involving the inferior parietal region, SMG, and AG, but also extending as far as the frontal lobe (Pazzaglia et al., 2008b). Yet, impairments in correct selection of three versions of the same visual gesture presented within the same trial were related to the gray matter volume of the left anterior inferior parietal cortex extending into the posterior superior temporal gyrus (Nelissen et al., 2010).

Another circuit, anchored in the frontal lobe involving the supplementary motor area (SMA) and its most anterior portion, the pre-SMA (Farrer and Frith, 2002; Farrer et al., 2003a,b; Haggard and Clark, 2003; Haggard and Whitford, 2004; Cunnington et al., 2006; Lau et al., 2006), together with the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Fink et al., 1999; Slachevsky et al., 2001; Schnell et al., 2007; Synofzik et al., 2008), has been proposed to play a role in efficacy of one's own action. **(D)** The "neural intention to act" in which EEG signals were recorded while the subjects passively watched a series of short videos showing the voluntary actions of an actor's hand. The central brain illustration depicts the critical brain areas for apraxia, which are alluded to in these paradigms. MFG = middle frontal gyrus, SMA = supplementary motor area, IFG = inferior frontal gyrus, insula, IPL = inferior parietal lobe, SMG = supra marginal gyrus, AG = angular gyrus.

intentional binding and the judgment of agency, as has the insula (Karnath and Baier, 2010). Only recent behavioral, structural, and functional results converge to reveal the frontal network for altered awareness and the control of voluntary actions in patients with apraxia (Wolpe et al., 2014). Structural neuroimaging of voxel-based morphometry and diffusion tensor imaging showed that the volitional signals that drive internally generated actions in an intentional binding task were modulated by gray and white matter degeneration in the medial frontal-prefrontal network, with its hub in the pre-SMA (Wolpe et al., 2014). In apraxic patients, the dorsal premotor cortex may be essential for intentionally retrieving motor knowledge (Dovern et al., 2011). Although a substantial proportion of right-hemisphere damaged patients also showed apraxia (Donkervoort et al., 2000), involvement of the right hemisphere lesions to the sense of agency is currently lacking. Thus, two regions in the left hemisphere process different information (Figure 2), while the parietal lobe's principal functions might be to self-monitor motor intentions, the frontal lobe might be directly involved in forming, monitoring, and control intentions. Nonetheless, other cortical areas, such as the insula (Pazzaglia et al., 2008a,b), have been implicated in selecting different actions, so that the agentic experience in

apraxia is likely to be sustained by a distributed left brain network rather than by a single brain center. For a schematic representation of the functional and anatomical link between the essential aspects of agency and apraxia see **Figure 2**.

AUTOMATIC RETRIEVAL STRATEGIES IN THERAPY FOR APRAXIA REHABILITATION

The loss of a sense of control over one's own movements plays, in apraxic patients, an important role in many purposeful actions that are an inherent part of daily life. It affects the self-care routines (Foundas et al., 1995; Hanna-Pladdy et al., 2003; Walker et al., 2004; Smania et al., 2006) with respect to, for example, personal hygiene (Goldenberg and Hagmann, 1998), preparing food (van Heugten et al., 2006), eating (Foundas et al., 1995), and dressing (Walker et al., 2004). Occasionally, the inability to predict the consequences of one's own motor acts can have devastating effects that jeopardize the autonomy and safety of the individual (Giovannetti et al., 2002; Hanna-Pladdy et al., 2003; Bettcher et al., 2011). A person with apraxia might be able to safely eat candy, but when attempting to smoke, risks getting burnt on the palm of the hand, cheeks, or elsewhere. From an adaptive point of view, intentional selection about incorrect actions could be deeply pervasive in a patient's life, and sometimes dangerous for their own safety (Hanna-Pladdy et al., 2003).

It is clear that progress in understanding action awareness and control represents a significant opportunity to strengthen the automatic rather than intentional retrieval strategies in the treatment of apraxic patients. After a stroke, patients with apraxia must increase the capacity to automatically retrieve learned motor knowledge by restoring the congruency between sensory-motor and intention systems. The prospective sense of agency might only develop once the brain has automatically re-learned. Matching or mismatching between visual but also multimodal signals and motor output re-stabilizes the relation between actions and outcomes. Automatically re-learning the appropriate responses to familiar action situations using closely associated perceptual-motor codes permits patients with apraxia to improve their selection of action (Smania et al., 2006), and thus function independently, but also, more importantly, can block the generation of unsafe motor patterns (Hanna-Pladdy et al., 2003).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Taken together, the studies discussed in our perspective article seem to reveal a picture of apraxia that, although probably still incomplete, demonstrates how altered mechanisms that underlie awareness and control can be detrimental to agency. As such, these studies disclose more about agency itself. The prospective framework we offer here for apraxia renew the interpretation of the puzzling aspect generally viewed as apraxia, and encourage the advancement of novel and effective treatments to cure the disorder. Moreover, for agency, we provided support for the existence of a left parietal–frontal network underlying agentive self-awareness that continues to be a valuable way for gathering conclusive evidence on the role of agency in motor control and cognition as a natural part of human life, and thus provide ecologically valid data. Future studies focusing specifically on the thematic content of the sense of agency (e.g., related to the control of one's own action or to intention to act to social and cultural conditions in which the idea of responsibility is central for our own actions) may help to understand the wide and complex range of human actions in both normal and pathological conditions.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported by the University of Rome "Sapienza" and the Italian Ministry of Health [grant RC13.G] by IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia.

REFERENCES

- Balslev, D., Nielsen, F. Å., Lund, T. E., Law, I., and Paulson, O. B. (2006). Similar brain networks for detecting visuo-motor and visuo-proprioceptive synchrony. *Neuroimage* 31, 308–312. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.11.037
- Bettcher, B. M., Giovannetti, T., Libon, D. J., Eppig, J., Wambach, D., and Klobusicky, E. (2011). Improving everyday error detection, one picture at a time: a performance-based study of everyday task training. *Neuropsychology* 25, 771– 783. doi: 10.1037/a0024107
- Blakemore, S. J., Frith, C. D., and Wolpert, D. M. (2001). The cerebellum is involved in predicting the sensory consequences of action. *Neuroreport* 12, 1879–1884. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200107030-00023
- Blakemore, S. J., Wolpert, D. M., and Frith, C. D. (1998). Central cancellation of self-produced tickle sensation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 1, 635–640. doi: 10.1038/2870
- Botvinick, M. M., Buxbaum, L. J., Bylsma, L. M., and Jax, S. A. (2009). Toward an integrated account of object and action selection: a computational analysis and empirical findings from reaching-to-grasp and tool-use. *Neuropsychologia* 47, 671–683. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.11.024
- Buxbaum, L. J., and Kalenine, S. (2010). Action knowledge, visuomotor activation and embodiment in the two action systems. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* 1191, 201–218. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05447.x
- Chambon, V., Wenke, D., Fleming, S. M., Prinz, W., and Haggard, P. (2013). An online neural substrate for sense of agency. *Cereb. Cortex* 23, 1031–1037. doi: 10. 1093/cercor/bhs059
- Chaminade, T., and Decety, J. (2002). Leader or follower? Involvement of the inferior parietal lobule in agency. *Neuroreport* 13, 1975–1978. doi: 10. 1097/00001756-200210280-00029
- Chaminade, T., Meltzoff, A. N., and Decety, J. (2005). An fMRI study of imitation: action representation and body schema. *Neuropsychologia* 43, 115–127. doi: 10. 1016/j.neuropsychologia.2004.04.026
- Cunnington, R., Windischberger, C., Robinson, S., and Moser, E. (2006). The selection of intended actions and the observation of others' actions: a timeresolved fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 29, 1294–1302. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2005.09.028
- David, N. (2012). New frontiers in the neuroscience of the sense of agency. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 6:161. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00161
- David, N., Bewernick, B. H., Cohen, M. X., Newen, A., Lux, S., Fink, G. R., et al. (2006). Neural representations of self versus other: visual-spatial perspective taking and agency in a virtual ball-tossing game. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 18, 898–910. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2006.18.6.898
- David, N., Cohen, M. X., Newen, A., Bewernick, B. H., Shah, N. J., Fink, G. R., et al. (2007). The extrastriate cortex distinguishes between the consequences of one's own and others' behavior. *Neuroimage* 36, 1004–1014. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2007.03.030
- de Jong, B. M. (2011). Neurology of widely embedded free will. *Cortex* 47, 1160–1165. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2011.06.011
- De Renzi, E., and Lucchelli, F. (1988). Ideational apraxia. *Brain* 111(Pt. 5), 1173–1185. doi: 10.1093/brain/111.5.1173
- Desmurget, M., Reilly, K. T., Richard, N., Szathmari, A., Mottolese, C., and Sirigu, A. (2009). Movement intention after parietal cortex stimulation in humans. *Science* 324, 811–813. doi: 10.1126/science.1169896
- Donkervoort, M., Dekker, J., van den Ende, E., Stehmann-Saris, J. C., and Deelman, B. G. (2000). Prevalence of apraxia among patients with a first left hemisphere stroke in rehabilitation centres and nursing homes. *Clin. Rehabil.* 14, 130–136. doi: 10.1191/026921500668935800

- Dovern, A., Fink, G. R., Saliger, J., Karbe, H., Koch, I., and Weiss, P. H. (2011). Apraxia impairs intentional retrieval of incidentally acquired motor knowledge. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 8102–8108. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6585-10.2011
- Farrer, C., Franck, N., Georgieff, N., Frith, C. D., Decety, J., and Jeannerod, A. (2003a). Modulating the experience of agency: a positron emission tomography study. *Neuroimage* 18, 324–333. doi: 10.1016/s1053-8119(02)00041-1
- Farrer, C., Franck, N., Paillard, J., and Jeannerod, M. (2003b). The role of proprioception in action recognition. *Conscious. Cogn.* 12, 609–619. doi: 10.1016/s1053-8100(03)00047-3
- Farrer, C., Frey, S. H., Van Horn, J. D., Tunik, E., Turk, D., Inati, S., et al. (2008). The angular gyrus computes action awareness representations. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 254–261. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhm050
- Farrer, C., and Frith, C. D. (2002). Experiencing oneself vs. another person as being the cause of an action: the neural correlates of the experience of agency. *Neuroimage* 15, 596–603. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.1009
- Fink, G. R., Marshall, J. C., Halligan, P. W., Frith, C. D., Driver, J., Frackowiak, R. S. J., et al. (1999). The neural consequences of conflict between intention and the senses. *Brain* 122, 497–512. doi: 10.1093/brain/122.3.497
- Fontana, A. P., Kilner, J. M., Rodrigues, E. C., Joffily, M., Nighoghossian, N., Vargas, C. D., et al. (2012). Role of the parietal cortex in predicting incoming actions. *Neuroimage* 59, 556–564. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.07.046
- Foundas, A. L., Macauley, B. L., Raymer, A. M., Maher, L. M., Heilman, K. M., and Gonzalez Rothi, L. J. (1995). Ecological implications of limb apraxia: evidence from mealtime behavior. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* 1, 62–66. doi: 10. 1017/s1355617700000114
- Fukushima, H., Goto, Y., Maeda, T., Kato, M., and Umeda, S. (2013). Neural substrates for judgment of self-agency in ambiguous situations. *PLoS One* 8:e72267. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072267
- Giovannetti, T., Libon, D. J., Buxbaum, L. J., and Schwartz, M. F. (2002). Naturalistic action impairments in dementia. *Neuropsychologia* 40, 1220–1232. doi: 10. 1016/s0028-3932(01)00229-9
- Goldenberg, G. (2013). Apraxia: The Cognitive Side of Motor Control. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goldenberg, G., and Hagmann, S. (1998). Tool use and mechanical problem solving in apraxia. *Neuropsychologia* 36, 581–589. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(97) 00165-6
- Goldenberg, G., Hentze, S., and Hermsdörfer, J. (2004). The effect of tactile feedback on pantomime of tool use in apraxia. *Neurology* 63, 1863–1867. doi: 10. 1212/01.wnl.0000144283.38174.07
- Grossman, E., Donnelly, M., Price, R., Pickens, D., Morgan, V., Neighbor, G., et al. (2000). Brain areas involved in perception of biological motion. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 12, 711–720. doi: 10.1162/089892900562417
- Haaland, K. Y., Harrington, D. L., and Knight, R. T. (2000). Neural representations of skilled movement. *Brain* 123(Pt. 11), 2306–2313. doi: 10.1093/brain/123.11. 2306
- Haggard, P., and Chambon, V. (2012). Sense of agency. *Curr. Biol.* 22, R390–R392. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.02.040
- Haggard, P., and Clark, S. (2003). Intentional action: conscious experience and neural prediction. *Conscious. Cogn.* 12, 695–707. doi: 10.1016/s1053-8100(03)00052-7
- Haggard, P., Clark, S., and Kalogeras, J. (2002). Voluntary action and conscious awareness. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 382–385. doi: 10.1038/nn827
- Haggard, P., and Whitford, B. (2004). Supplementary motor area provides an efferent signal for sensory suppression. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 19, 52–58. doi: 10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2003.10.018
- Hanna-Pladdy, B., Heilman, K. M., and Foundas, A. L. (2003). Ecological implications of ideomotor apraxia: evidence from physical activities of daily living. *Neurology* 60, 487–490. doi: 10.1212/wnl.60.3.487
- Hermsdörfer, J. (2014). Role of manipulation knowledge in routine tool use. Cortex 57, 292–293; discussion 306–308. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2014.02.016
- Hermsdörfer, J., Blankenfeld, H., and Goldenberg, G. (2003). The dependence of ipsilesional aiming deficits on task demands, lesioned hemisphere and apraxia. *Neuropsychologia* 41, 1628–1643. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(03) 00097-6
- Hermsdörfer, J., Hentze, S., and Goldenberg, G. (2006). Spatial and kinematic features of apraxic movement depend on the mode of execution. *Neuropsychologia* 44, 1642–1652. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.03.023
- Jackson, H. (1866). Notes on the physiology and pathology of language. *Brain* 38, 48–58.

- Jax, S. A., and Buxbaum, L. J. (2010). Response interference between functional and structural actions linked to the same familiar object. *Cognition* 115, 350–355. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2010.01.004
- Karnath, H. O., and Baier, B. (2010). Right insula for our sense of limb ownership and self-awareness of actions. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 214, 411–417. doi: 10. 1007/s00429-010-0250-4
- Kilner, J. M., Paulignan, Y., and Blakemore, S. J. (2003). An interference effect of observed biological movement on action. *Curr. Biol.* 13, 522–525. doi: 10. 1016/s0960-9822(03)00165-9
- Koban, L., Corradi-Dell'Acqua, C., and Vuilleumier, P. (2013). Integration of error agency and representation of others' pain in the anterior insula. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 25, 258–272. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00324
- Kontaris, I., Wiggett, A. J., and Downing, P. E. (2009). Dissociation of extrastriate body and biological-motion selective areas by manipulation of visual-motor congruency. *Neuropsychologia* 47, 3118–3124. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia. 2009.07.012
- Kühn, S., Brass, M., and Haggard, P. (2013). Feeling in control: neural correlates of experience of agency. *Cortex* 49, 1935–1942. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2012.09.002
- Lau, H. C., Rogers, R. D., and Passingham, R. E. (2006). On measuring the perceived onsets of spontaneous actions. J. Neurosci. 26, 7265–7271. doi: 10. 1523/jneurosci.1138-06.2006
- Lee, W., and Reeve, J. (2013). Self-determined, but not non-self-determined, motivation predicts activations in the anterior insular cortex: an fMRI study of personal agency. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 8, 538–545. doi: 10.1093/scan/nss029
- Leiguarda, R. C., and Marsden, C. D. (2000). Limb apraxias: higher-order disorders of sensorimotor integration. *Brain* 123(Pt. 5), 860–879. doi: 10.1093/brain/123. 5.860
- Leube, D. T., Knoblich, G., Erb, M., Grodd, W., Bartels, M., and Kircher, T. T. (2003a). The neural correlates of perceiving one's own movements. *Neuroimage* 20, 2084–2090. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.07.033
- Leube, D. T., Knoblich, G., Erb, M., and Kircher, T. T. J. (2003b). Observing one's hand become anarchic: an fMRI study of action identification. *Conscious. Cogn.* 12, 597–608. doi: 10.1016/s1053-8100(03)00079-5
- Matsuzawa, M., Matsuo, K., Sugio, T., Kato, C., and Nakai, T. (2005). Temporal relationship between action and visual outcome modulates brain activation: an fMRI study. *Magn. Reson. Med. Sci.* 4, 115–121. doi: 10.2463/mrms.4.115
- Moore, J. W., and Obhi, S. S. (2012). Intentional binding and the sense of agency: a review. *Conscious. Cogn.* 21, 546–561. doi: 10.1016/j.concog.2011.12.002
- Moore, J. W., Teufel, C., Subramaniam, N., Davis, G., and Fletcher, P. C. (2013). Attribution of intentional causation influences the perception of observed movements: behavioral evidence and neural correlates. *Front. Psychol.* 4:23. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00023
- Nahab, F. B., Kundu, P., Gallea, C., Kakareka, J., Pursley, R., Pohida, T., et al. (2011). The neural processes underlying self-agency. *Cereb. Cortex* 21, 48–55. doi: 10. 1093/cercor/bhq059
- Nelissen, N., Pazzaglia, M., Vandenbulcke, M., Sunaert, S., Fannes, K., Dupont, P., et al. (2010). Gesture discrimination in primary progressive aphasia: the intersection between gesture and language processing pathways. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 6334–6341. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0321-10.2010
- Ogawa, K., and Inui, T. (2007). Lateralization of the posterior parietal cortex for internal monitoring of self- versus externally generated movements. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 19, 1827–1835. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2007.19.11.1827
- Pazzaglia, M. (2013a). Does what you hear predict what you will do and say? *Behav.* Brain Sci. 36, 370–371. doi: 10.1017/s0140525x12002804
- Pazzaglia, M. (2013b). Impact commentaries. Action discrimination: impact of apraxia. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 84, 477–478. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2012-304817
- Pazzaglia, M., Pizzamiglio, L., Pes, E., and Aglioti, S. M. (2008a). The sound of actions in apraxia. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1766–1772. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.09.061
- Pazzaglia, M., Smania, N., Corato, E., and Aglioti, S. M. (2008b). Neural underpinnings of gesture discrimination in patients with limb apraxia. J. Neurosci. 28, 3030–3041. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5748-07.2008
- Pelphrey, K. A., Morris, J. P., and McCarthy, G. (2004). Grasping the intentions of others: the perceived intentionality of an action influences activity in the superior temporal sulcus during social perception. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 16, 1706– 1716. doi: 10.1162/0898929042947900
- Pia, L., Garbarini, F., Fossataro, C., Fornia, L., and Berti, A. (2013). Pain and body awareness: evidence from brain-damaged patients with delusional body ownership. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 7:298. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00298

- Pick, A. (1905). Studienüber Motorische Apraxie und ihre Nahe Stehende Erscheinungen. Leipzig: Deuticke.
- Ramnani, N., and Miall, R. C. (2004). A system in the human brain for predicting the actions of others. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 85–90. doi: 10.1038/ nn1168
- Rothi, L. J., and Heilman, K. M. (1984). Acquisition and retention of gestures by apraxic patients. Brain Cogn. 3, 426–437. doi: 10.1016/0278-2626(84)90032-0
- Rothi, L. J., and Heilman, K. M. (1997). Apraxia, the Neuropsychology of Action. Hove, UK: Psychology Press.
- Rumiati, R. I. (2014). One or two things I know about apraxia. Cortex 57, 279–280; discussion 306–308. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2014.03.004
- Rumiati, R. I., Zanini, S., Vorano, L., and Shallice, T. (2001). A form of ideational apraxia as a delective deficit of contention scheduling. *Cogn. Neuropsychol.* 18, 617–642. doi: 10.1080/02643290126375
- Salomon, R., Lim, M., Kannape, O., Llobera, J., and Blanke, O. (2013). "Self popout": agency enhances self-recognition in visual search. *Exp. Brain Res.* 228, 173– 181. doi: 10.1007/s00221-013-3549-6
- Saxe, R., Xiao, D. K., Kovacs, G., Perrett, D. I., and Kanwisher, N. (2004). A region of right posterior superior temporal sulcus responds to observed intentional actions. *Neuropsychologia* 42, 1435–1446. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2004. 04.015
- Schnell, K., Heekeren, K., Schnitker, R., Daumann, J., Weber, J., Hesselmann, V., et al. (2007). An fMRI approach to particularize the frontoparietal network for visuomotor action monitoring: detection of incongruence between test subjects' actions and resulting perceptions. *Neuroimage* 34, 332–341. doi: 10. 1016/j.neuroimage.2006.08.027
- Sirigu, A., Cohen, L., Duhamel, J. R., Pillon, B., Dubois, B., and Agid, Y. (1995). A selective impairment of hand posture for object utilization in apraxia. *Cortex* 31, 41–55. doi: 10.1016/s0010-9452(13)80104-9
- Sirigu, A., Daprati, E., Buxbaum, L. J., Giraux, P., and Pradat-Diehl, P. (2003). "How the human brain represents manual gestures: effect of brain damage," in *Taking Action: Cognitive Neuroscience Perspectives on Intentional Acts*, ed S. H. Johnson-Frey (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press), 167–183.
- Sirigu, A., Daprati, E., Ciancia, S., Giraux, P., Nighoghossian, N., Posada, A., et al. (2004). Altered awareness of voluntary action after damage to the parietal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 80–84. doi: 10.1038/nn1160
- Sirigu, A., Daprati, E., Pradat-Diehl, P., Franck, N., and Jeannerod, M. (1999). Perception of self-generated movement following left parietal lesion. *Brain* 122, 1867–1874. doi: 10.1093/brain/122.10.1867
- Slachevsky, A., Pillon, B., Fourneret, P., Pradat-Diehl, P., Jeannerod, M., and Dubois, B. (2001). Preserved adjustment but impaired awareness in a sensorymotor conflict following prefrontal lesions. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 13, 332–340. doi: 10.1162/08989290151137386
- Smania, N., Aglioti, S. M., Girardi, F., Tinazzi, M., Fiaschi, A., Cosentino, A., et al. (2006). Rehabilitation of limb apraxia improves daily life activities in patients with stroke. *Neurology* 67, 2050–2052. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000247279. 63483.1f

- Spengler, S., von Cramon, D. Y., and Brass, M. (2009). Was it me or was it you? How the sense of agency originates from ideomotor learning revealed by fMRI. *Neuroimage* 46, 290–298. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.01.047
- Synofzik, M., Vosgerau, G., and Newen, A. (2008). Beyond the comparator model: a multifactorial two-step account of agency. *Conscious. Cogn.* 17, 219–239. doi: 10. 1016/j.concog.2007.03.010
- Tsakiris, M., Longo, M. R., and Haggard, P. (2010). Having a body versus moving your body: neural signatures of agency and body-ownership. *Neuropsychologia* 48, 2740–2749. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.05.021
- van Heugten, C., Visser-Meily, A., Post, M., and Lindeman, E. (2006). Care for carers of stroke patients: evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 38, 153–158. doi: 10.1080/16501970500441898
- Walker, C. M., Sunderland, A., Sharma, J., and Walker, M. F. (2004). The impact of cognitive impairment on upper body dressing difficulties after stroke: a video analysis of patterns of recovery. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 75, 43–48.
- Weiss, C., Tsakiris, M., Haggard, P., and Schutz-Bosbach, S. (2014). Agency in the sensorimotor system and its relation to explicit action awareness. *Neuropsychologia* 52, 82–92. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.09.034
- Wenke, D., Fleming, S. M., and Haggard, P. (2010). Subliminal priming of actions influences sense of control over effects of action. *Cognition* 115, 26–38. doi: 10. 1016/j.cognition.2009.10.016
- Wolpe, N., Moore, J. W., Rae, C. L., Rittman, T., Altena, E., Haggard, P., et al. (2014). The medial frontal-prefrontal network for altered awareness and control of action in corticobasal syndrome. *Brain* 137, 208–220. doi: 10.1093/brain/ awt302
- Wolpert, D. M., Ghahramani, Z., and Jordan, M. I. (1995). An internal model for sensorimotor integration. *Science* 269, 1880–1882. doi: 10.1126/science. 7569931
- Yomogida, Y., Sugiura, M., Sassa, Y., Wakusawa, K., Sekiguchi, A., Fukushima, A., et al. (2010). The neural basis of agency: an fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 50, 198– 207. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.054

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 19 June 2014; accepted: 06 September 2014; published online: 23 September 2014.

Citation: Pazzaglia M and Galli G (2014) Loss of agency in apraxia. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8:751. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00751

This article was submitted to the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience.

Copyright © 2014 Pazzaglia and Galli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.