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# Oscillations in Low-Dimensional Cyclic Differential Delay Systems 

Anatoli F. Ivanov and Zari A. Dzalilov


#### Abstract

Nonlinear autonomous $N$-dimensional systems of cyclic differential equations with delays and overall negative feedback are considered. Such systems serve as mathematical models of numerous real world phenomena in physics and laser optics, physiology and mathematical biology, economics and life sciences among others. In the case of lower dimensions $N=2$ and $N=3$ sufficient conditions are derived for the oscillation of all solutions about the unique equilibrium. Open problems and conjectures are discussed for the higher dimensional case $N \geq 4$ and for more convoluted sign feedbacks.


## 1 Introduction

We consider a system of delay differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{i} x_{i}(t)+f_{i}\left(x_{i+1}\left(t-\tau_{i+1}\right)\right), 1 \leq i \leq N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $f_{i}(u)$ are real-valued and continuous on $\mathbf{R}, f_{i} \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$, the decay rates $\alpha_{i}>0$ are positive, and the delays $\tau_{i}$ are non-negative with the total delay $\tau=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{i}>0$ being positive. The system is a cyclic one with the variables $x_{N+1}$ and $\tau_{N+1}$ defined as $x_{1}$ and $\tau_{1}$, respectively, for the index value $i=N$.

Systems of form (1) are used in various applications, including physics and laser optics, physiology and mathematical biology, economics and life sciences among others. In particular, they naturally appear in physiology and mathematical biology $[5,7,9,10,14]$, where they serve as models of enzyme production $[6,11]$ or of an

[^0]intracellular circadian rhythm generator [12]. An extensive description of various applications can be found in e.g. [4, 5, 13, 14].

The problem of oscillatory behavior of all solutions in systems of type (1) is a very important one. From the applied point of view, when a system is a mathematical model of a real world phenomenon, it is essential to know whether solutions are monotone (and thus approaching an equilibrium) or they oscillate about the unique equilibrium. The oscillatory behavior is more typical in applied models; it also leads, under proper circumstances, to the existence of periodic motions in the model.

This work is devoted to derivation of sufficient conditions when all solutions of system (1) oscillate. Two partial cases of lower dimension $N=2$ and $N=3$ are studied.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic notions and facts about system (1), introduce relevant definitions, and derive preliminary results necessary for the exposition and proof of our main results in Section 3.

The phase space of system (1) is the set $\mathbf{X}=C\left(\left[-\tau_{1}, 0\right], \mathbf{R}\right) \times \ldots \times C\left(\left[-\tau_{N}, 0\right], \mathbf{R}\right)$. For every initial function $\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{N}\right) \in \mathbf{X}, \phi_{i} \in C\left(\left[-\tau_{i}, 0\right], \mathbf{R}\right), 1 \leq i \leq N$, there exists a unique solution $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{x}(t, \Phi)=\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{N}(t)\right)$ satisfying system (1) for all $t>0$. The solution is built by the standard step-by-step integration procedure [1, 3, 8].

We also assume that each nonlinearity $f_{i}$ satisfies either the positive or negative feedback condition in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 1. We say that function $f(u)$ satisfies the positive feedback condition on $\mathbf{R}$ if the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \cdot f(u)>0 \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \mathbf{R}, u \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, function $g(u)$ satisfies the negative feedback condition if the inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \cdot g(u)<0 \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in \mathbf{R}, u \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $i=N$ we set $i+1=1$. If the number of nonlinearities in system (1) satisfying the negative feedback assumption (3) is odd we say that the system possesses the overall negative feedback. If it is even (including zero) the system is said to have the overall positive feedback.

It is easy to see that the sign assumptions (2) and (3) together with the continuity of $f_{i}$ imply that $f_{i}(0)=0,1 \leq i \leq N$. Therefore, system (1) admits the only constant solution $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)$.

We shall make an additional assumption about the smoothness of functions $f_{i}$ in a neighborhood of zero: each $f_{i}$ is continuously differentiable for all $u$ such that $|u| \leq \delta$ for some $\delta>0$. Their derivatives satisfy $f_{i}^{\prime}(0)=a_{i} \neq 0,1 \leq i \leq N$. The
latter inequality describes a generic case for the nonlinearities $f_{i}$ around the zero equilibrium.

Note that system (1) can be reduced to a standard form where each of the nonlinearities $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N-1$, satisfies the positive feedback condition (2), while the last nonlinearity $f_{N}$ satisfies the negative feedback assumption (3) [2]. Indeed, assume that the $k$-th equation, $k<N$,

$$
x_{k}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{k} x_{k}(t)+f_{k}\left(x_{k+1}\left(t-\tau_{k+1}\right)\right)
$$

is the first one in system (1) where the nonlinearity $f_{k}$ satisfies the negative feedback condition (3). Introduce then the new component $y_{k+1}=:-x_{k+1}$ and the new nonlinearity $\hat{f}_{k}\left(y_{k+1}\right)=f_{k}\left(-y_{k+1}\right)$. One easily sees that $\hat{f}_{k}$ satisfies the positive feedback condition. The next $(k+1)$-st equation of system (1) should also be rewritten in terms of the new $y_{k+1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{k+1}^{\prime}(t) & =-\alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}(t)-f_{k+1}\left(x_{k+2}\left(t-\tau_{k+2}\right)\right) \\
& =-\alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}(t)+\hat{f}_{k+1}\left(x_{k+2}\left(t-\tau_{k+2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\hat{f}_{k+1}, k+1<N$, satisfies the negative feedback condition, then one applies the same procedure of introducing the new variable $y_{k+2}=-x_{k+2}$ to this equation, and renaming the nonlinearity accordingly. If it satisfies the positive feedback condition then one moves to the next equation of the system, and so on until the last equation. The last $N$-th equation will satisfy the negative feedback assumption since the overall feedback in the system is negative.

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ be a solution to system (1). We shall call its $k$-th component $x_{k}$ to be oscillatory (about zero) if there exists a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty, n \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $x_{k}\left(t_{n}\right) \cdot x_{k}\left(t_{n+1}\right)<0$. The component $x_{k}$ will be called non-oscillatory if there exists $T \geq 0$ such that $\left|x_{k}(t)\right|>0$ for all $t>T$. We exclude from consideration solutions which are identical zero for sufficiently large $t: \mathbf{x}=(0, \ldots, 0) \forall t \geq T$ for some $T \geq 0$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ be an arbitrary solution to system (1).
(i) If its $k$-th component $x_{k}$ is oscillatory then any other component $x_{i}, i \neq k$, is oscillatory as well;
(ii) If its $k$-th component $x_{k}$ is non-oscillatory, so that $x_{k}(t) \geq 0$ or $x_{k}(t) \leq 0$ holds for all $t \geq T_{1} \geq 0$, then there exists $T_{2} \geq T_{1}$ such that $x_{k}(t)>0$ or $x_{k}(t)<0$ holds respectively for all $t \geq T_{2}$;
(iii) If its $k$-th component $x_{k}$ is of eventually definite sign, i.e. $x_{k}(t)>0$ or $x_{k}(t)<$ 0 for all $t>T$ and some $T \geq 0$, then any other component $x_{i}, i \neq k$, is also of eventually definite sign;
(iv) Every component $x_{k}$ of any non-oscillatory solution $\mathbf{x}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}^{\prime}(t)=0,1 \leq k \leq N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove Lemma 1 we need several simple facts about solutions of initial value problems for scalar first order ordinary differential equations.

Proposition 1. Consider the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+\alpha u(t)=b(t), \quad u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ is a constant and $b(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function defined for $t \geq t_{0}, b \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbf{R}\right)$, with $b(t) \not \equiv 0$ for large values of $t$.
(i) If $u_{0} \geq 0$ and $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ then there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $u(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. If $u_{0} \leq 0$ and $b(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ then there exists $t_{2} \geq t_{0}$ such that $u(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{2}$;
(ii) If $u_{0}<0$ and $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ then either $u(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$, or there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $u\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. Likewise, if $u_{0}>0$ and $b(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$ then either $u(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$, or there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $u\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. For either one of these two possibilities the solution $u(t)$ is of definite sign eventually (for all $t \geq T \geq t_{0}$ and some $T$ ).

Proof. The proof of this proposition easily follows from the integral representation of the solution of the initial value problem (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u_{0} \exp \left\{-\alpha\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right\}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \exp \{-\alpha(t-s)\} b(s) d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that when $u_{0}>0$ and $b(t) \geq 0$ then $u(t)>0 \forall t \geq t_{0}$. When $u_{0}=0$ and $b(t) \geq 0$ (however, $b(t) \not \equiv 0$ ) then there exists point $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $u(t)>0 \forall t \geq$ $t_{1}$ (since the integral value in (6) becomes positive for all large $t$ ). The remaining possibilities are considered analogously.

Proposition 2. Consider the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta v^{\prime}(t)+v(t)=c(t), \quad v\left(t_{0}\right)=v_{0}, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta>0$ and $c(t)$ is a continuous function, $c \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbf{R}\right)$, such that the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} c(t)=c_{0}$ is finite. Then the solution $v(t)$ of the initial value problem (7) also has the same limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=c_{0}$ (for any initial value $v_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and any positive parameter value $\beta>0$ ).

Proof. To prove the limit for any solution we shall show that for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $t_{\varepsilon} \geq t_{0}$ such that the solution $v(t)$ satisfies the inclusion $v(t) \in\left[c_{0}-\varepsilon, c_{0}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right]$ for all $t \geq t_{\varepsilon}$.

We shall show first that if a solution enters a sufficiently small neighborhood of value $c$ then it must stay there for all forward times. That is if the above claim about the solution $v(t)$ is not valid for a particular choice of $\beta>0, v_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$, and a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ then the solution $v(t)$ must satisfy $v(t) \notin\left[c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]$ for all $t \geq T_{1} \geq t_{0}$ for some $T_{1}$. Indeed, given $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ one can choose $T_{1}$ large enough such that the inclusion $c(t) \in\left(c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ holds for all $t \geq T_{1}$. If there exists a point $t_{1} \geq T_{1}$ such that $v\left(t_{1}\right) \in\left[c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]$ then $v(t) \in\left[c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]$ must hold for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Indeed, assume that $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ is the first point of exit of the solution $v(t)$ from the interval $\left[c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]$. To be definite, assume that $v\left(t_{2}\right)=c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}$,
and $v(t)>c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}$ for all $t \in\left(t_{2}, t_{2}+\boldsymbol{\delta}\right)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\delta}>0$. Then the interval $\left(t_{2}, t_{2}+\boldsymbol{\delta}\right)$ also contains a point $t_{3}$ such that $v\left(t_{3}\right)>c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}$ and $v^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)>0$. On the other hand, according to the equation, $v^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[c\left(t_{3}\right)-v\left(t_{3}\right)\right]<0$, a contradiction. The other possibility $v\left(t_{2}\right)=c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}$ leads to a contradiction in a similar way.

Therefore, we can assume next that there exists $T_{2} \geq t_{0}$ such that $c(t) \in\left[c_{0}-\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]$ and $v(t) \notin\left[c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0}, c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right]$ for all $t \geq T_{2}$. To be definite, assume that $v(t)>c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0} \forall t \geq T_{2}$. Equation (7) then implies that $\beta v^{\prime}(t)=c(t)-v(t)<0$ for $t \geq T_{2}$, therefore the solution $v(t)$ is monotone decreasing. Set $v_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t) \geq$ $c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}$. By using the limit values for functions $c(t)$ and $v(t)$ the last inequality yields

$$
\beta v^{\prime}(t)=c(t)-v(t)<c_{0}+\sigma-\left(v_{0}-\sigma\right)=c_{0}-v_{0}+2 \sigma<0
$$

for any sufficiently small $\sigma>0$ and all $t \geq t_{\sigma}$ for some large $t_{\sigma}$. The latter implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)=-\infty$, a contradiction with $v(t) \rightarrow v_{0} \geq c_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}$. The other possibility $v(t)<c_{0}-\varepsilon_{0} \forall t \geq T_{2}$ is treated analogously leading to a contradiction in a similar way. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Note that Proposition 2 can also be proved by using the variation of constant formula for the solution of the initial value problem (7).

Now we are in position to prove Lemma 1.
Proof. We shall prove first that when a solution $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ to system (1) is non-oscillatory, so either $x_{k}(t) \geq 0$ or $x_{k}(t) \leq 0$ holds for all $t \geq T_{1}$ and some $k \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, then there exists $T_{2} \geq T_{1}$ such that in fact the strict inequalities hold: either $x_{k}(t)>0$ or $x_{k}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq T_{2}$. Besides, for every other component $x_{i}, i \neq k$, there exists time moment $s_{i}$ such that either $x_{i}(t)>0$ or $x_{i}(t)<0$ holds for all $t \geq s_{i}$.

To be definite, assume that $x_{1}(t) \geq 0 \forall t \geq T_{1}$ and $x_{1}(t) \not \equiv 0$ (other possibilities are considered similarly). Then the inequality $f_{N}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right) \leq 0($ and $\not \equiv 0)$ holds for all large $t$. The last equation of system (1) can be represented in the integral form as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{N}(t)=x_{N}\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left\{-\alpha_{N}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right\}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \exp \left\{-\alpha_{N}(t-s)\right\} f_{N}\left(x_{1}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)\right) d s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One applies now Proposition 1 to conclude that either $x_{N}(t)>0$ or $x_{N}(t)<0$ holds eventually, since the kernel of the integral in the representation (8) is non-positive and is not identical zero eventually. Note that similarly to 8 any other equation of system (1) has its integral representation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}(t)=x_{k}\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left\{-\alpha_{k}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right\}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \exp \left\{-\alpha_{k}(t-s)\right\} f_{k}\left(x_{k+1}\left(s-\tau_{k+1}\right)\right) d s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using next the $(N-1)$-st equation of the system, and its analogous representation in a form of integral equation (9) one finds that either $x_{N-1}(t)>0$ or $x_{N-1}(t)<0$ holds eventually. Going up along equations of system (1) one completes the proof of the claim for all the components $x_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq N$.

We shall show next that all the components $x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, of the non-oscillatory solution $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ converge to zero together with their derivatives. To be definite assume that $x_{1}(t)>0 \forall t \geq t_{0}$. Consider the last equation of system (1). Suppose first that $x_{N}(t)>0$ holds for all $t \geq t_{N}$. Then $x_{N}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{N} x_{N}(t)+f_{N}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)<0$ is satisfied for all large $t$. Therefore, the finite limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{N}(t)=x_{N}^{0} \geq 0$ exists. By using the second from the last equation of system (1), $x_{N-1}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{N-1} x_{N-1}(t)+$ $f_{N-1}\left(x_{N}\left(t-\tau_{N}\right)\right)$, its integral representation in the form of (9), and Proposition 2 , one sees that the limit of the component $x_{N-1}(t)$ exists with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{N-1}(t)=$ $f_{N}\left(x_{N}^{0}\right)=: x_{N-1}^{0}$. Likewise, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{N-2}(t)=f_{N-2}\left(x_{N-1}^{0}\right)=: x_{N-2}^{0}$, and finally the limit of the first component is $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{1}(t)=f_{2}\left(x_{2}^{0}\right)=: x_{1}^{0}$. Using again the last equation of system (1) and Proposition 2 one finds that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{N}(t)=f_{N}\left(x_{1}^{0}\right)=: x_{N}^{0}$. Therefore, the constant $x_{N}^{0}$ satisfies the recursive equation

$$
x_{N}^{0}=f_{N}\left(x_{1}^{0}\right)=f_{N} \circ f_{1}\left(x_{2}^{0}\right)=\ldots=f_{N} \circ f_{1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{N-1}\left(x_{N}^{0}\right) .
$$

Since function $F(u)=f_{N} \circ f_{1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{N-1}(u)$ satisfies the negative feedback condition (3) the only solution of the equation $F(u)=u$ is $u=0$. Therefore, $x_{1}^{0}=$ $x_{2}^{0}=\ldots=x_{N}^{0}=0$. Also, one easily finds that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[-\alpha_{k} x_{k}(t)+\right.$ $\left.f_{k}\left(x_{k+1}\left(t-\tau_{k+1}\right)\right)\right]=0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 3 Main Results

In this section we consider two particular cases of system (1) when $N=2$ and $N=3$. We establish sufficient conditions for the oscillatory behavior of all solutions in the system. The complete proof is provided for the case $N=2$. The very same ideas for the proof are applicable for the three-dimensional systems, however, an outline is only given for the more involved case $N=3$, due to the length of considerations.

### 3.1 Two Dimensional Systems

Consider the two-dimensional case $N=2$ of system (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{1} x_{1}(t)+f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) \\
& x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{2} x_{2}(t)+f_{2}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since it is in the standard form $f_{1}$ satisfies the positive feedback assumption (2) while $f_{2}$ satisfies the negative feedback assumption (3). Introduce the following quantities: $a=a_{1} \cdot a_{2}>0, \tau_{1}+\tau_{2}=\tau>0$, where $f_{1}^{\prime}(0)=a_{1}>0, f_{2}^{\prime}(0)=-a_{2}<0$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that the inequality a $\tau>\max \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$ is satisfied. Then all nontrivial solutions of system (10) oscillate.

Proof. Consider consecutively all the possibilities for non-oscillatory solutions of system (10).
(i) Assume first that inequalities $x_{1}(t)>0$ and $x_{2}(t)>0$ hold eventually. Then by Lemma 1 (iv) one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{1}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{2}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equation of system (10) shows that $x_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0$ eventually, so $x_{2}(t)$ is monotone decreasing to zero for large $t$. The first equation of (10) can be written in the form $\left(1 / \alpha_{1}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=-x_{1}(t)+\left(1 / \alpha_{1}\right) f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)$. Since $\left(1 / \alpha_{1}\right) f_{1}\left(x_{2}(t-\right.$ $\left.\left.\tau_{2}\right)\right)>0$ and is decreasing to zero as $x_{2} \rightarrow 0^{+}$one sees that the inequality $x_{1}(t) \leq$ $\left(1 / \alpha_{1}\right) f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)$ holds for all sufficiently large $t$.

Assume now that for arbitrary $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ the values of $t$ are chosen to be large enough, $t \geq T$, so that the following inequalities hold:
$f_{2}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right) \leq\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{1}\right] x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)$ and $f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) \geq\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{2}\right] x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)$.
Integrate now the second equation of system (10) over the interval $[t-\tau, t]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2}(t)-x_{2}(t-\tau) & =-\alpha_{2} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x_{2}(s) d s+\int_{t-\tau}^{t} f_{2}\left(x_{1}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s \leq\right. \\
& -\alpha_{2} x_{2}(t) \tau+\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{1}\right] \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x_{1}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s \leq \\
& -\alpha_{2} x_{2}(t) \tau+\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{1}\right] \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f_{1}\left(x_{2}(s-\tau)\right) d s \leq \\
& \left.-\alpha_{2} x_{2}(t) \tau+\frac{\tau}{\alpha_{1}}\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{1}\right]\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{2}\right] x_{2}(t-\tau)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the inequality

$$
x_{2}(t)\left[1+\alpha_{2} \tau\right] \leq x_{2}(t-\tau)\left\{1+\frac{\tau}{\alpha_{1}}\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{1}\right]\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{2}\right]\right\} .
$$

In the case when $1+\frac{\tau}{\alpha_{1}}\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{1}\right]\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{2}\right]<0$ is satisfied we arrive at a contradiction with $x_{2}(t)>0$. This will clearly be the case when the inequality $\tau a>\alpha_{1}$ is satisfied and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ are sufficiently small.
(ii) Assume next that inequalities $x_{1}(t)>0$ and $x_{2}(t)<0$ are satisfied eventually. As in part (i) one has the limits (11). The first equation of system (10) shows that $x_{1}^{\prime}(t)<0$ so $x_{1}(t)$ is decreasing to zero. The second equation of the system implies that $x_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ eventually, so $x_{2}(t)$ is increasing with $x_{2}(t) \leq\left(1 / \alpha_{2}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)$ satisfied for all large $t$. Now integrate the first equation of the system over the interval $[t-\tau, t]$, assuming similar smallness of $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ as in part (i) above:

$$
x_{1}(t)-x_{1}(t-\tau)=-\alpha_{1} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x_{1}(s) d s+\int_{t-\tau}^{t} f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(s-\tau_{2}\right) d s \leq\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\alpha_{1} \tau x_{1}(t) d s+\int_{t-\tau}^{t}\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{1}\right] x_{2}\left(s-\tau_{2}\right) d s \leq \\
& -\alpha_{1} \tau x_{1}(t) d s+\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{1}\right] \int_{t-\tau}^{t}\left(1 / \alpha_{2}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{1}(s-\tau)\right) d s \leq \\
& -\alpha_{1} \tau x_{1}(t) d s+\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{1}\right]\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{2}\right] \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x_{1}(s-\tau) d s \leq \\
& -\alpha_{1} \tau x_{1}(t) d s+\frac{\tau}{\alpha_{2}}\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{1}\right]\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{2}\right] x_{1}(t-\tau) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality implies that the following estimate holds

$$
x_{1}(t)\left[1+\alpha_{1} \tau\right] \leq x_{1}(t-\tau)\left\{1+\frac{\tau}{\alpha_{2}}\left[f_{1}^{\prime}(0)-\varepsilon_{1}\right]\left[f_{2}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon_{2}\right]\right\}
$$

Therefore when the condition $a \tau>\alpha_{2}$ is satisfied the latest inequality leads to a contradiction with $x_{1}(t)>0$.
(iii) Two remaining subcases, $\left\{x_{1}(t)<0, x_{2}(t)<0\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}(t)<0, x_{2}(t)>0\right\}$ are symmetric to those treated above in cases (i) and (ii), respectively. The details of the proof are derived along the same lines, with a contradiction obtained to the assumption that $x_{1}(t)<0$. They are left to the reader.

### 3.2 Three Dimensional Systems

Consider the three-dimensional case $N=3$ of system (1)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{1} x_{1}(t)+f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) \\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{2} x_{2}(t)+f_{2}\left(x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\right)  \tag{12}\\
x_{3}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{3} x_{1}(t)+f_{3}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Since it is in the standard form $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the positive feedback assumption (2) while $f_{3}$ satisfies the negative feedback assumption (3). Introduce the following quantities: $a=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}>0, \tau_{1}+\tau_{2}+\tau_{3}=\tau>0$ where $f_{1}^{\prime}(0)=a_{1}>0, f_{2}^{\prime}(0)=a_{2}>$ $0, f_{3}^{\prime}(0)=-a_{3}<0$.
Theorem 2. Suppose that the inequality a $\tau>\max \left\{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right\}$ is satisfied. Then all nontrivial solutions of system (10) oscillate.
Proof. The proof of this theorem in very similar to that of Theorem 1. One has to consider the following three principal subcases for the eventual signs of the components $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of a non-oscillating solution $\mathbf{x}:\left\{x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0, x_{3}>0\right\}$, $\left\{x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0, x_{3}<0\right\}$, and $\left\{x_{1}>0, x_{2}<0, x_{3}<0\right\}$. The remaining five subcases are symmetric opposite or similar to those three, and are considered along the same lines. For example, the case $\left\{x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0, x_{3}>0\right\}$ leads to the following integral equation for the component $x_{3}$, when the last equation of the system is integrated over the interval $[t-\tau, t]$,

$$
x_{3}(t)-x_{3}(t-\tau)=-\alpha_{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} x_{3}(s) d s+\int_{t-\tau}^{t} f_{3}\left(x_{1}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s\right.
$$

and to the following two inequalities for the components $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$

$$
x_{1}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} f_{1}\left(x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right), \quad x_{2}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} f_{2}\left(x_{3}\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\right)
$$

Substituting the latter into the former, one derives a contradiction with the assumption $x_{3}(t)>0$, when the inequality $\tau a>\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ is satisfied. The other two principal subcases lead to a similar contradiction when the other two assumptions are in place, $\tau a>\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}$ and $\tau a>\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}$. We leave details to the reader.

## 4 Discussion

Theorems 1 and 2 provide simple and verifiable sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of system (1) in cases $N=2$ and $N=3$. In the case when the feedback functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ are fixed, and the rates of decay of all the components are bounded above, $\max _{i} \alpha_{i} \leq \alpha_{0}$ for some fixed constant $\alpha_{0}>0$, a sufficiently large overall delay $\tau=\sum_{i=0}^{N}$ in the system forces all its solutions to oscillate. We believe that an analogue of these two theorems is valid in the case of general dimension $N$. However, we are not in a position to provide a complete proof at this time. The ideas used in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 cannot be extended to the case $N \geq 4$, due to the variety and complexity of all the subcases. Therefore, we are only in a position to state the following conjecture.

Set $a=-a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{N-1} a_{N}>0$ and $\tau=\tau_{1}+\ldots+\tau_{N}>0$, where $f_{i}^{\prime}(0)=a_{i}>$ $0,1 \leq i \leq N-1$ and $f_{N}^{\prime}(0)=a_{N}<0$. Given positive $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}$ introduce the following quantities: $\Lambda_{i}=\prod_{k \neq i} \alpha_{k}, 1 \leq i \leq N$.

Conjecture 1. Suppose that the inequality $a \tau>\max \left\{\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{N}\right\}$ is satisfied. Then all nontrivial solutions of system (1) oscillate.

Another interesting and challenging problem is to derive sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions in cyclic type systems when either a positive or a negative type feedback is in place between any two consecutive components $x_{k}$ and $x_{k+1}$, however, all other components are also involved on every step. In the simplest case of dimension $N=2$ such system would have the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{1} x_{1}(t)+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right) \\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha_{2} x_{2}(t)+f_{2}\left(x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right),
\end{array}
$$

where the nonlinearities $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the positive and negative feedback assumptions, respectively, in the following sense:

$$
v \cdot f_{1}(u, v)>0 \forall(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}, v \neq 0 \quad u \cdot f_{2}(u, v)<0 \forall(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}, u \neq 0
$$

This problem can be generalized to the case of arbitrary dimension $N$. This oscillation problem and the above conjectured Conjecture 1 represent a program for future research.
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