| Title            | The effect of a Functioning Improvement Tool home visit program on instrumental activities of daily living and depressive status in older people  |
|------------------|---|
| Author(s)        | Ukawa, Shigekazu; Yuasa, Motoyuki; Ikeno, Tamiko; Ikoma, Katsunori; Kishi, Reiko  |
| Citation         | International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 27(11), 1206-1208 https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2835   |
| Issue Date       | 2012-11   |
| Doc URL          | http://hdl.handle.net/2115/87327  |
| Rights           | This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Ukawa, S., Yuasa, M., Ikeno, T., Ikoma, K. and Kishi, R. (2012), The effect of a Functioning Improvement Tool home visit program on instrumental activities of daily living and depressive status in older people. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 27: 1206-1208, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2835. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. |
| Туре             | article (author version)  |
| File Information | 66_Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 2012.pdf   |



- 1 The effect of a Functioning Improvement Tool home visit program on instrumental activities of
- 2 daily living and depressive status in older people

3

4 Shigekazu Ukawa<sup>1</sup>, Motoyuki Yuasa<sup>2</sup>, Tamiko Ikeno<sup>3</sup>, Katsunori Ikoma<sup>4</sup>, Reiko Kishi<sup>3</sup>

5

- 6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health Sciences, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine,
- 7 Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health, Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine, Bunkyo-ku,
- 9 Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>3</sup>Center for Environmental and Health Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Hospital of Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido,
- 12 Japan

13

- 14 Corresponding author: Reiko Kishi
- 15 Center for Environmental and Health Sciences, Hokkaido University, N12 W7, Kita-ku, Sapporo
- 16 060-0812, Japan.
- 17 Tel: +81-11-706-4746; Fax: +81-11-706-4725
- 18 E-mail: rkishi@med.hokudai.ac.jp

19

- The name of the sponsor of the research contained in the paper: Grant-in-Aid for Scientific
- Research (C) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (No.
- 22 20590627; principal investigator: Dr. Motoyuki Yuasa).

23

The word count of the body text: 663

25

The number of older persons who need long-term care is rapidly increasing in Japan (Health and Welfare Statistics Association, 2010). However, effective prevention strategies have not been established. We previously reported the effect of a Functioning Improvement Tool (FIT) home visit program which we developed based on an occupational therapy method on cognitive function in 199 subjects aged 65 or older (Ukawa et al., 2011). The aim of this report was to determine whether the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology (TMIG) index of competence, and the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) scores of the older person could be improved as a result of the FIT home visit program (UMIN-CTR number, UMIN000003877).

This study was conducted in two rural towns of Shinhidaka and Hidaka in Hokkaido, Japan. 252 participants aged 65 years or older living at home and receiving preventive services or a community long-term care prevention project according to the Japanese social long-term care insurance system (Tsutsui and Muramatsu, 2007) were enrolled and randomly assigned to an intervention group (n=128) or a control group (n=124). Intervention group subjects received a 60-minute FIT home visit program once a month for 3 months. The subjects completed the FIT activity with the instruction and assistance of trained health care personnel. The FIT aimed to identify factors that can be changed in their daily life (Ukawa et al., 2011). Control subjects did not receive any home visit at all. No subject had any restrictions in usual care involving medical or formal nursing care. The study protocol was approved by the ethics board for epidemiological studies at Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine. All participants gave their written, informed consent.

IADL was assessed by the TMIG index of competence which is a multidimensional scale composed of 13 items: five concerning instrumental independence, four concerning intellectual activity, higher scores indicating a better competence and four concerning social role and has been widely used in the Japanese community (Koyano et al., 1991). Depressive status was assessed by the SDS (Zung, 1965), score ranges from 20 to 80, with a lower score indicating a better mental

state.

1

24

25

FIT home visit program.

2 Continuous variables are presented as mean±standard deviation. Changes in TMIG and SDS scores from baseline to the post-intervention were evaluated by paired t-test. Group differences 3 4 each scores changes between baseline and post-intervention were evaluated by student's t-test. An alpha level of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed 5 using JMP version 9.0.2 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). 6 7 100 subjects (45: withdrawal, hospitalization, death, relocation; 55: missing data of TMIG 8 or SDS) were excluded. Thus, 152 subjects (50 males and 102 females; mean age 78.1±7.5 years) 9 were evaluated. No significant differences between the intervention and control groups were found 10 in subjects' baseline characteristics. Table 1 shows the TMIG and SDS scores between the Table 1 11 intervention and control groups at baseline and post-intervention. There were no significant differences between intervention and control groups in the TMIG (10.1±3.2 vs. 9.0±3.5 P=0.06) and 12 SDS (36.9±9.4 vs. 36.9±8.9, P=0.91) scores at baseline. After the study, no significant scores 13 changes were observed within groups compared with those at baseline. Nor were there significant 14 improvement in the TMIG (-0.3±2.0 vs. -0.1±1.8, P=0.40) and SDS (-1.3±6.6 vs. -0.6±6.9, P=0.55) 15 16 scores between the intervention and control groups. These results were not change after adjusting 17 for valuables such as baseline score, age, and sex. 18 In conclusion, no significant improvement was found in the TMIG and SDS scores after 3 19 months FIT home visit program. Although we hypothesized that our FIT home visit program likely 20 stimulates subject's cognitive function through the FIT steps and dialogue between subject and 21health care personnel, IADL and depressive status might not improved. In addition, more than twice subjects were excluded due to missing data of outcome variables, especially SDS, compared to 22 23 previous our report which showed cognitive improvement. This may attribute to the inadequate

statistical power. Further studies with enough sample size are needed to elucidate the effect of our

## References

1

14

2 Health and Welfare Statistics Association. 2010. Health state of the nation, annual report. Japan: Ministry of Health and Welfare. 3 Ukawa S, Satoh H, Yuasa M, Ikeno T, Kawabata T, Araki A, Yoshioka E, Murata W, Ikoma K, Kishi 4 R. 2011. A randomized controlled trial of a Functioning Improvement Tool home-visit 5 6 program and its effect on cognitive function in older persons. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 4 7 JUL 2011 | DOI: 10.1002/gps.2753. [Epub ahead of print] 8 Tsutsui T, Muramatsu N. 2007. Japan's universal long-term care system reform of 2005: containing costs and realizing a vision. J Am Geriatr Soc 55, 1458-63. 9 10 Koyano W, Shibata H, Nakazato K, Haga H, Suyama Y. 1991. Measurement of competence: reliability and validity of the TMIG Index of Competence. Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr 13, 11 12 103–6. 13 Zung, W.W.K. 1965. A self-rating depression scale. Arch Gen Psychiatr 12. 63-70.

Table 1. TMIG and SDS scores between the intervention and control groups at baseline and post-intervention

|  | Intervention Group <sup>a</sup> |                      | Control Group <sup>a</sup> |                      |          |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------|
|  | Mean±SD                         | P-Value <sup>†</sup> | Mean±SD                    | P-Value <sup>‡</sup> | P-Value§ |
| Baseline (T <sub>0</sub> )                       |                                 |                      |                            |                      |          |
| TMIG   | 9.7±3.3                         |                      | 9.0±3.4                    |                      |          |
| SDS  | 36.9±9.4                        |                      | 36.9±8.9                   |                      |          |
| Post-intervention (T <sub>1</sub> )              |                                 |                      |                            |                      |          |
| TMIG   | 9.7±3.3                         | 0.17                 | 9.0±3.4                    | 0.77                 |          |
| SDS  | 35.4±9.0                        | 0.09                 | 35.8±9.0                   | 0.41                 |          |
| Scores changes (T <sub>1</sub> -T <sub>0</sub> ) |                                 |                      |                            |                      |          |
| TMIG   | -0.3±2.0                        |                      | -0.1±1.8                   |                      | 0.40     |
| SDS  | -1.3±6.6                        |                      | -0.6±6.9                   |                      | 0.55     |

<sup>3</sup> TMIG, The Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology index of competence (range, 0 to 13); SDS, The Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (range, 20 to 80). TMIG,

- 8  $^{\ddagger}$ Paired t-test to compare scores between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  in the control group.
- 9 §Student's t-test to compare scores between the intervention and control groups.

<sup>4</sup> positive value means improvement; SDS, negative value means improvement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>90 intervention subjects and 93 control subjects were evaluated in the TMIG scores, 74 intervention subjects and 78 control subjects were evaluated in the SDS

<sup>6</sup> scores due to missing data.

<sup>7 †</sup>Paired t-test to compare scores between  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  in the intervention group.