# Overview of Neuromuscular Disorder Molecular Diagnostic Experience for the Population of Latvia

Baiba Lace, PhD, leva Micule, MD, Viktorija Kenina, PhD, Signe Setlere, MD, Jurgis Strautmanis, MD, Inese Kazaine, MD, Gita Taurina, MD, Daiga Murmane, PhD, Ieva Grinfelde, MD, Liene Kornejeva, MD, Zita Krumina, PhD, Olga Sterna, MSc, Ilze Radovica-Spalvina, PhD, Inta Vasiljeva, PhD, Linda Gailite, PhD, Janis Stavusis, PhD, Diana Livcane, BSc, Dita Kidere, MSc, Ieva Malniece, MD, and Inna Inashkina, PhD

Correspondence

Dr. Lace baiba.lace@biomed.lu.lv

Neurol Genet 2022;8:e685. doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000685

#### **Abstract**

#### **Background and Objectives**

Genetic testing has become an integral part of health care, allowing the confirmation of thousands of hereditary diseases, including neuromuscular disorders (NMDs). The reported average prevalence of individual inherited NMDs is 3.7–4.99 per 10,000. This number varies greatly in the selected populations after applying population-wide studies. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of genetic analysis as the first-tier test in patients with NMD and to calculate the disease prevalence and allelic frequencies for reoccurring genetic variants.

#### **Methods**

Patients with NMD from Latvia with molecular tests confirming their diagnosis in 2008–2020 were included in this retrospective study.

#### **Results**

Diagnosis was confirmed in 153 unique cases of all persons tested. Next-generation sequencing resulted in a detection rate of 37%. Two of the most common childhood-onset NMDs in our population were spinal muscular atrophy and dystrophinopathies, with a birth prevalence of 1.01 per 10,000 newborns and 2.08 per 10,000 (male newborn population), respectively. The calculated point prevalence was 0.079 per 10,000 for facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy type 1, 0.078 per 10,000 for limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, 0.073 per 10,000 for non-dystrophic congenital myotonia, 0.052 per 10,000 for spinobulbar muscular atrophy, and 0.047 per 10,000 for type 1 myotonic dystrophy.

#### **Discussion**

DNA diagnostics is a successful approach. The carrier frequencies of the common *CAPN3*, *FKRP*, *SPG11*, and *HINT1* gene variants as well as that of the *SMN1* gene exon 7 deletion in the population of Latvia are comparable with data from Europe. The carrier frequency of the *CLCN1* gene variant c.2680C>T p.(Arg894Ter) is 2.11%, and consequently, congenital myotonia is the most frequent NMD in our population.

From the Medical Genetics Clinic (B.L., I. Micule, G.T., D.M., I.G., O.S., I. Malniece), Children's Clinical University Hospital; Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre (B.L., J. Stavusis, D.L., D.K., I.I.); Rare Disease Centre (V.K.), Riga East Clinical University Hospital; Neurology Department (S.S., J. Strautmanis, I.K.), Children's Clinical University Hospital; Riga Maternity Hospital (L.K.); Riga Stradins University (Z.K.); Genera Ltd (I.R.-S., I.V.); and Scientific Laboratory of Molecular Genetics (L.G.), Riga Stradins University, Riga, Latvia.

Go to Neurology.org/NG for full disclosures. Funding information is provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by the authors.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Genetic testing has become an integral part of health care, allowing the confirmation of thousands of hereditary diseases, including neuromuscular disorders (NMDs). In a single decade, genetic tests have been established as a reliable tool for routine diagnostics and are undoubtedly effective for diagnosing persons with alleviated and atypical symptoms, or those in the presymptomatic period, and are useful for diagnosing multiple inherited diseases in one person. Furthermore, genetic results have recently provided the option of custom-tailored management or treatment of genetic disorders, particularly NMDs. Targeted gene therapy options were another breakthrough for patients with NMDs. Recently, a number of patients with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) and Duchenne muscular dystrophy were able to receive gene therapy and consequently had substantially better outcomes, <sup>1,2</sup> emphasizing the need for a precise and timely genetic diagnosis.

The average prevalence of all inherited NMDs is 37–49.9³ per 100,000. This number varies greatly in the selected populations after applying population-wide studies. In 2017, a meta-analysis of epidemiology of SMA was performed, and reported an average estimated prevalence of 1–2 per 100,000 and an incidence of 1 per 10,000 live births.⁴ In 2021, significantly different prevalence values were calculated using an SMA genetic test in newborn screening pilot studies. The prevalence was as high as 1 in 6,910 in Germany⁵ and as low as 1 in 17,947 in the New England Newborn Screening program in Massachusetts, USA.⁶ Another example is myotonic dystrophy type 1, which has a calculated prevalence of 10.4 per 100,000.³,7 In 2021, using dried blood spot cards from the newborn screening program in the state of New York, Johnson et al.⁶ calculated a ×5 higher prevalence of 4.76 per 10,000 births for the disease.

Historically, the performance of muscle biopsy analysis with standard histochemistry, supplemented by additional immunocytochemical studies and/or Western blot analysis, was paramount in the diagnostic workup for patients with neuromuscular diseases. In the absence of this diagnostic tool, since 2008, there has been a natural shift toward genetic tests as a first-line option for NMDs in our population. Our objective was to evaluate the effect of genetic analysis as the first-tier test in patients with NMD in the population of Latvia.

#### **Methods**

#### **Participants**

Data of molecular testing from the medical records of all patients suspected to have a hereditary NMD were included in this observational retrospective study. Two main centers in Latvia offered genetic diagnosis and counseling for the Latvian population.

Demographic and genetic data from the Medical Genetics Clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital in 2015–2020, and the Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Center in 2008–2020 were included in this study. The inclusion start

date differed between the 2 centers because routine DNA diagnostics for genetic diseases only became available at the Medical Genetics Clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital in 2015. Genetic tests were performed at both clinical and private laboratories as well as research centers nationally and abroad. These included neuromuscular disease gene panels, whole-exome sequencing (WES), whole-genome sequencing, and nucleotide repeat expansion/contraction genetic tests.

In addition, reports (2008–2020) of myotonic dystrophy type 1, spinobulbar muscle atrophy, and SMA were included from the respective national laboratories directly (eTable 1, links. lww.com/NXG/A529).

For the population data, the control group consisted of 190 randomly selected healthy, unrelated individuals from the Genome Database of the Latvian Population, who represented the general population. For the population screening of *SMN1* and *SMN2* copy numbers, DNA samples of 282 healthy volunteers, regardless of their ethnicity, were obtained from the Genome Database of the Latvian Population.<sup>9</sup>

### Standard Protocol Approval, Registration, and Patient Consent

Data collection was performed in accordance with the permission Nr. 27 issued by the Central Medical Ethics Committee of Latvia. The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

All participants or their parents/legal guardians (in the case of children younger than the age of consent) signed an informed consent form if included in the research project.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Demographic data were obtained from the Central Statistical Bureau of Latvia. <sup>10</sup> For SMA and dystrophinopathies, which present during early childhood, birth prevalence was calculated. SMA tests confirmed 27 positive cases among children born in 2008–2020; the number of newborns in this period was 267,713. The prevalence of dystrophinopathy was calculated, with 13 affected boys born in 2015–2020 of 62,284 newborn baby boys in this period. In December 2020, the point prevalence of the following adult-onset diseases was calculated in the population of Latvia (n = 1,907,675): facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD), myotonia congenita, limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, spinobulbar muscular atrophy (male population), and myotonic dystrophy type 1. In addition, the 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated.

#### **Allelic Frequency**

All variants, but not the SMN1/SMN2 exon 7 copy number, were analyzed by direct sequencing in the control group to obtain an allelic frequency in the population. SMN1, SMN2,

 Table 1 Identified Variants in Patients With Neuromuscular Disease

Gene	Variant identified in a patient	Gene	Variant identified in a patient
ACTA1	c.1106C>T p.(Pro369Leu)	DMD	Deletion 44 exon
AR	(CAG)–50 repeats		Duplication 56–57 exons
	(CAG)–48 repeats		Deletion 45-48 exons
	(CAG)–54 repeats		Deletion 8–12 exons
	(CAG)–47 repeats	DNAJB6	c.279C>G, p.(Phe93Leu)
	(CAG)–53 repeats	DYSF	c.4135T>C, p.(Cys1379Arg)
CACNA1A	c.(784+1_785-1)_(978+1_979-1)del		c.5668-824C>T
CAMTA1	c.2500_2501del, p.(Ser834Glnfs*67)	FKRP	c. 204_206del
CAPN3	c.550del p.Thr184fs (n = 3)		c.826C>A, p.(Leu276lle) (n = 7)
	c.643T>C p.(Ser215Pro) (n = 2)	LAMP2	c.(64+1_65-1)_(864+1_865-1)dup
	c.1043del p.(Gly348fs)	LMNA	c.1357C>T, p.(Arg453Trp)
	c.1079G>T, p.(Trp360Leu)	MT-TK	m.8344A>G
	c.1333G>C p.(Gly445Arg) (n = 2)	MUSK	c.1274_1278del, p.(Glu425Alafs*30
CLCN1	c.1746-20C>G (n = 3) c.1437_1450del p.(Pro480fs) (n = 2)	MYBPC1	<b>c.1875&gt;T, p.(Arg625Ser)</b> c.742G>A, p.(Glu248Lys)
	c.1438C>T p.(Pro480Ser) (n = 2)	MYH7	c.3830G>C, p.(Arg1277Pro)
	c.1649C>T p.(Thr550Met)	NEB	c.2211+5G>A
	c.2680C>T p.(Arg894Ter) (n = 19)		c.18665delG, p.(Cys6222Phefs)
COL6A1	c.930+189C>T		c.19324C>T, p.(Arg6442Trp)
COL6A3	c.7447A>G p.(Lys2483Glu)	POMGNT1	c.448G>C, p.(D150H)
	c.8074delT p.(Tyr2692MetfsTer15)		c.1324C>T, p.(Arg442Cys)
DCTN1	c.1740G>T, p.(Gln580His)		c.1539+1G>A
DDC	c.476C>T, p.(Ala159Val)		c.1814G>A, p.(Arg605His)
DMD	c.(31+1_32-1)_(93+1_94-1)dup	POMT1	c.229+2T>C
	c.188del, p.(Pro63Glnfs*12)		c.512T>G, p.(Leu171Arg)
	c.572C>A, p.(Ser191Ter)	PRG4	c.6_7dup, p.(Trp3Tyrfs*17)
	c.1399dup, p.(Thr467Asnfs*16)	SCN11A	c.665G>A, p.(Arg222His)
	c.(?1)_(3786+1_3787-1)del	TTN	c.99673+1G>A
	c.4729C>T, p.(Arg1577Ter)	UNC80	c.2707G>A, p.(Ala903Thr)
	c.5773G>T, p.(Glu1925Ter)		c.3356G>C, p.(Ser1119Thr)
	c.6292C>T, p.(Arg2098Ter)		
	c.6420del, p.(Lys2140Asnfs*23)		
	c.8120delA, p.(A2708Lfs*18)		
	c.8443C>T, p.(Gln2815Ter)		
	c.8944C>T, p.(Arg2982Ter)		

 $\label{eq:abbreviation:DMD = dystrophinopathy.} \\ \mbox{In bold are represented novel genetic variants, which were absent from ClinVar or LOVD databases.} \\$ 

Table 2 Prevalence per 10,000 for SMA, DMD, FSHD1, Congenital Myotonia, LGMD, DM1 and BSMA

Disorder/group of disorder	No. of patients (n)	Present study birth and point prevalence per 10,000	95% confidence interval, present study	Published data prevalence per 10,000
SMA	27	1.01	0.66-1.47	0.1-1.0 <sup>i</sup>
DMD	13	2.08	1.11-3.57	1.98 <sup>j</sup>
FSHD1	15	0.079	0.044-0.113	1.2ª
Congenital myotonia	14	0.073	0.040-0.123	0.075 <sup>b</sup> -0.9 <sup>k</sup>
LGMD	15	0.078	0.044-0.113	0.52 <sup>c</sup>
DM1	9	0.047	0.022-0.90	1.04 <sup>d</sup> -4.76 <sup>e</sup>
BSMA	5	0.052 <sup>f</sup>	0.016-0.122	0.228-1.5 <sup>g,h</sup>

Abbreviations: BSMA = bulbospinal muscular atrophy; DM1 = myotonic dystrophy type 1; DMD = dystrophinopathy; FSHD1 = facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy, type 1; LGMD = muscular dystrophy, limb-girdle; SMA = spinal muscular atrophy.

and RPP30 concentrations were measured using a Bio-Rad QX200 Droplet Digital PCR system. QuantaSoft Analysis Pro (Bio-Rad) was used for droplet cluster classification and Poisson function applications to calculate absolute and relative SMN1, SMN2, and RPP30 copy numbers. Details of the PCR and ddPCR assays are available in Supplement (eMethods, links.lww.com/NXG/A529).

#### **Data Availability**

Anonymized data not published within this article will be made available on request from any qualified investigator. The statistical analysis plan is available in the Supplement.

#### Results

The disease-associated variant was found in 62 of 137 patients with a suspected NMD seen at the Children's Clinical University Hospital and in 59 of 151 patients seen at the Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Center. Altogether, diagnosis was confirmed in 100 unique cases of 267 persons tested (there was an overlap of 21 patients because analysis was initiated by 1 center, and the patient was transferred to the other center), with a total detection rate of 37%. Independently, 3 genetic laboratories in Latvia reported their diagnostic findings from 2008 to 2020, with SMA confirmed in 47 of 254 persons tested (27 of whom were born in 2008–2020), myotonic dystrophy type 1 identified in 9 individuals (data from 2 laboratories), and 5 unrelated male patients diagnosed with Kennedy disease. NMD diagnosis was confirmed in 153 persons in total, and this will be further analyzed in this study (eTable 1, links.lww.com/ NXG/A529). All the identified unique genetic variants are listed in Table 1, and the number of individual cases is denoted in brackets. If the pathogenic variant was discovered in multiple members of the same family, it was considered a single case. Two and 3 different genetic disorders were simultaneously discovered, each in a single patient, which considerably complicated their clinical phenotype.

The calculated birth prevalence was 1.01 per 10,000 (95% CI: 0.66-1.47) for SMA and 2.08 per 10,000 (newborn male population, 95% CI: 1.11-3.57) for dystrophinopathies. The point prevalence was 0.079 per 10,000 (95% CI: 0.044-0.113) for FSHD type 1, 0.073 per 10,000 (95% CI: 0.040-0.123) for nondystrophic congenital myotonia, 0.078 per 10,000 (95% CI: 0.044–0.113) for limb-girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMD), 0.047 per 10,000 (95% CI: 0.022-0.090) for type 1 myotonic dystrophy, and 0.052 per 10,000 male population (95% CI: 0.016-0.122) for spinobulbar muscle atrophy. A summary of all point prevalence values and their comparison with published data is provided in Table 2. Patients with LGMD were divided into the following subgroups: LGMD R1 calpain3-related (n = 6), LGMD D1 DNAJB6-related (n = 4), LGMD R2 dysferlinrelated (n = 1), and LGMD R9 dystroglycan-related (n = 4).

Reoccurring variants of the CAPN3, CLCN1, and FKRP genes were identified in unrelated individuals, and their allelic frequencies were calculated using samples from the Genome Database of the Latvian Population. Allelic frequencies of these variants are listed in Table 3. In addition, we determined the allelic frequencies of common variants of the HINT1 and SPG11 genes, which are responsible for neuromyotonia/ axonal neuropathy and autosomal recessive spastic paraplegia 11, respectively, because of their observed frequencies in our population. The obtained data are listed in Table 3. In

a Reference 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Reference 19.

c Reference 20. d Reference 7.

e Reference 8.

f Reference 21.

g Reference 22.

h Reference 23.

Reference 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup> Reference 15.

k Reference 24.

**Table 3** Allele Frequency for the Selected Variants

Gene	Variant	AF in European population (non-Finnish), <sup>a</sup> %	AF in Estonian population, <sup>a</sup> %	AF in Latvian population, present study,%
CAPN3	c.1746-20C>G	0.46	1.47	2.37 <sup>c</sup>
	c.550del p.(Thr184fs)	0.04	0.21	0.16 <sup>b</sup>
CLCN1	c.2680C>T p.(Arg894Ter)	0.34	1.93	2.11 <sup>c</sup>
FKRP	c.826C>A p.(Leu276lle)	0.23	0.19	0.30 <sup>b</sup>
HINT1	c.110G>C p.(Arg37Pro)	0.046	0.31	1.10 <sup>c</sup>
SPG11	c.2431C>T p.(Gln811Ter)	0.0078	0.15	0.53 <sup>c</sup>

Abbreviation: AF = allele frequency.

addition, we determined the copy numbers of *SMN1* and *SMN2* exon 7 because a homozygous deletion of *SMN1* exon 7 is a common cause of SMA. We identified 6 individuals carrying 1 copy of *SMN1* exon 7; therefore, the estimated carrier frequency in the population of Latvia was 2.1% or 1 of 47 individuals. The frequencies of 2, 3, and 4 copies of the *SMN1* gene were 94.7%, 2.8%, and 0.4%, respectively. The *SMN2* copy number ranged from 0 to 3. The frequencies of 0, 1, 2, and 3 copies of the *SMN2* gene were 6.4%, 37.2%, 54.3%, and 2.1%, respectively (Table 4).

#### Discussion

In the past decade, medical care of patients with NMD in Latvia has purposefully shifted toward using DNA diagnostics as the first-line confirmatory test. After essential clinical, electrophysiologic, and biochemical investigations have been performed, all patients with a suspected NMD are referred to clinical geneticists. Larger and more informative tests are preferred over a sequential diagnostics approach. Exceptions include situations when individual tests for facioscapulo-humeral muscle dystrophy or myotonic dystrophy are primarily ordered. In this study, we summarize more than 10 years of experience using the current approach.

The profile of the identified disorders combines patients carrying frequent European pathogenic variants of the *FKRP*, *CAPN3*, and *CLCN1* genes, as well as some ultrarare cases, such as those carrying the *MYBPC1* pathogenic variant that causes congenital myopathy and myogenic tremor. The diversity of these results confirms the necessity of approaches using larger gene panels or WES. However, the numbers of identified patients carrying the *FKRP* gene variant c.826C>A p.(Leu276Ile) (n = 7) and the *CLCN1* gene variant c.2680C>T p.(Arg894Ter) (n = 19) warrants a discussion about testing for these single genetic changes before gene panel testing or WES is performed in patients with relevant clinical symptoms or transferring this step in medical care to additionally trained specialists prior to genetic counseling. This suggestion is supported by the identified allelic frequency of

the *CLCN1* gene variant c.2680C>T p.(Arg894Ter) in the population of Latvia (2.11%), which is higher than that in the non-Finnish European population (0.34%) and the population of Estonia (1.93%), as reported in the gnomAD database. <sup>12</sup> Allelic frequency data in conjunction with the already identified patients led us to hypothesize that congenital myotonia caused by the *CLCN1* gene variant c.2680C>T p.(Arg894Ter) is the most frequent NMD in Latvia.

The situation with calpainopathy in our population is complicated by the presence of the frequent allele c.1746-20C>G, with conflicting interpretation of its pathogenicity. This allele was identified in 3 cases in a trans compound heterozygous state with another pathogenic/likely pathogenic recessive variant. Until further studies are performed to confirm or refute its role in the development of limb girdle muscle dystrophy, patients with this allele require individual case-bycase management by clinical geneticists together with an NMD team. While the high frequencies of the pathogenic variants

**Table 4** Spinal Muscular Atrophy Carrier Screening Results

Gene	Copy number	Frequency, %
SMN1	0	0
	1	2.1
	2	94.7
	3	2.8
	4	0.4
SMN2	0	6.4
	1	37.2
	2	54.3
	3	2.1
	4	0
-		

gnomADv2.1.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Reference 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Present study.

c.826C>A p.(Leu276Ile) of the *FKRP* gene and c.550del p.(Thr184fs) of the *CAPN3* gene in Latvia are similar to those in other European populations, the frequency of the *CAPN3* gene variant c.1746-20C>G is significantly higher at 2.37%. Nevertheless, the current data do not allow us to draw conclusions about its role in the pathogenesis of calpainopathy.

Since 2008, DNA diagnostics have helped to diagnose 37% of our patients with NMD. This detection rate is not directly compatible with those in previous publications because the genetic tests mentioned in those studies have evolved over time. Only small gene panels and limited copy number analysis were available 10 years ago, while WES, enriched with mitochondrial genome analysis, can be the first-line option nowadays. Nevertheless, very similar results were reported by Harris et al. in cohorts of patients with LGMD for whom WES was performed instead of sequential gene panel testing. They were able to genetically diagnose 37% of patients, and although the total diagnostic yield of standard sequential testing was not much lower at 33%, it was less timely.<sup>14</sup>

To ascertain the validity of our results, point prevalence was calculated, and the results were compared with those from published studies. Pediatric patients are a priority for genetic counseling and testing. Some of the most common childhood-onset NMDs in our population were SMA and dystrophinopathies, with a birth prevalence of 1.01 per 10,000 and 2.08 per 10,000 (male population), respectively, which are comparable with data published by other countries. 5,15,16 The carrier frequency of the SMN1 exon 7 deletion varies between 1 of 40 and 1 of 100 individuals, depending on geographic origin and ancestry. 17 The carrier frequency in the population of Latvia was 1 of 47 individuals or 2.1%, which matches with the general European genetic landscape. SMA and dystrophinopathies are the most common disorders, and specialists are well-trained to recognize them. Accessibility of gene therapy for these diseases intensifies the pressure for early diagnosis, and the industry offers regular training for specialists to raise awareness of these disorders.

The unavailability of genetic testing on a regular basis for adults before 2015 left this group of patients in a particularly sorrowful situation. This is well represented in our disease prevalence calculations; the number of identified patients with myotonic dystrophy type 1 and FSHD is at least 10 times lower than in published studies from Europe and the USA.<sup>8,18</sup> A possible explanation for this is the complicated stepwise genetic test of the DMPK gene and the difficulties justifying further investigation of cases with inconclusive genetic screening results. Access to myotonic dystrophy type 1 and FSHD confirmatory level tests in national laboratories would facilitate the diagnosis of patients, allowing all involved specialists, cardiologists, ophthalmologists, and, most importantly, neurologists to order these tests directly. The phenotypic variability observed as well as anticipation makes identifying these diseases more difficult.

#### **Study Funding**

This work was supported by the European Regional Development Fund (Project No.: 1.1.1.1/18/A/097. "Functional and genetic research of rare unidentified neuromuscular disorders").

#### **Disclosure**

The authors have no conflict of interest to report. Go to Neurology.org/NG for full disclosures.

#### **Publication History**

Received by *Neurology: Genetics* December 21, 2021. Accepted in final form March 30, 2022. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Margherita Milone, MD, PhD.

#### **Appendix** Authors

	1 12	G
Name	Location	Contribution
Baiba Lace, PhD	Medical Genetics Clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital; Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre, Riga, Latvia	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; and analysis or interpretation of data
leva Micule, MD	Medical Genetics Clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content; study concept or design; and analysis or interpretation of data
Viktorija Kenina, PhD	Rare Disease Centre, Riga East Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Signe Setlere, MD	Neurology Department, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Jurgis Strautmanis, MD	Neurology Department, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Inese Kazaine, MD	Neurology Department, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Gita Taurina, MD	Medical Genetics clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Daiga Murmane, PhD	Medical Genetics Clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
leva Grinfelde, MD	Medical Genetics Clinic, Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Liene Kornejeva, MD	Riga Maternity Hospital, Riga, Latvia	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data
Zita Krumina, PhD	Riga Stradins University, Riga, Latvia	Study concept or design

#### Appendix (continued) Location Contribution Name Medical Genetics Clinic, Olga Sterna, Major role in the acquisition MSc Children's Clinical University of data Hospital, Riga, Latvia Ilze Radovica-Genera Ltd, Riga, Latvia Major role in the acquisition Spalvina, PhD of data Major role in the acquisition Inta Genera Ltd, Riga, Latvia Vasiljeva, of data PhD Linda Gailite, Scientific Laboratory of Major role in the acquisition Molecular Genetics, Riga PhD of data; analysis or Stradins University, Riga, interpretation of data Latvia **Ianis** Latvian Biomedical Drafting/revision of the Stavusis, PhD Research and Study Centre, article for content, including medical writing for content; Riga, Latvia major role in the acquisition of data; and analysis or interpretation of data Diana Latvian Biomedical Analysis or interpretation of Livcane, BSc Research and Study Centre, Riga, Latvia Dita Kidere, Latvian Biomedical Analysis or interpretation of MSc Research and Study Centre, Riga, Latvia Medical Genetics Clinic, Study concept or design leva Malniece, MD Children's Clinical University Hospital, Riga, Latvia Inna Latvian Biomedical Drafting/revision of the

#### References

Inashkina,

PhD

Aartsma-Rus A, Bremmer-Bout M, Janson AAM, Den Dunnen JT, Van Ommen GJB, Van Deutekom JCT. Targeted exon skipping as a potential gene correction therapy for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Neuromuscul Disord. 2002;12(suppl):S71-S77.

article for content, including

medical writing for content;

major role in the acquisition

of data; study concept or

design; and analysis or

interpretation of data

Research and Study Centre,

Riga, Latvia

- Chiriboga CA, Swoboda KJ, Darras BT, et al. Results from a phase 1 study of nusinersen (ISIS-SMN(Rx)) in children with spinal muscular atrophy. Neurology. 2016; 86(10):890-897
- Norwood FL, Harling C, Chinnery PF, Eagle M, Bushby K, Straub V. Prevalence of 3. genetic muscle disease in Northern England: in-depth analysis of a muscle clinic population. Brain. 2009;132(11):3175-3186.
- Verhaart IEC, Robertson A, Wilson IJ, et al. Prevalence, incidence and carrier fre-4. quency of 5q-linked spinal muscular atrophy-a literature review. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2017;12(1):124-215.
- 5. Vill K, Schwartz O, Blaschek A, et al. Newborn screening for spinal muscular atrophy in Germany: clinical results after 2 years. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2021;16(1):1-10.
- 6. Hale JE, Darras BT, Swoboda KJ, et al. Massachusetts' findings from statewide  $newborn\ screening\ for\ Spinal\ muscular\ atrophy.\ \textit{Int J Neonatal Screen}.\ 2021; 7(2): 1-11.$
- 7. Siciliano G, Manca M, Gennarelli M, et al. Epidemiology of myotonic dystrophy in Italy: re-apprisal after genetic diagnosis. Clin Genet. 2001;59(5):344-349.
- Johnson NE, Butterfield RJ, Mayne K, et al. Population-Based prevalence of myotonic dystrophy type 1 using genetic analysis of statewide blood screening program. Neurology. 2021;96(7):e1045-e1053.
- Rovite V, Wolff-Sagi Y, Zaharenko L, Nikitina-Zake L, Grens E, Klovins J. Genome Database of the Latvian Population (LGDB): design, goals, and primary results. J Epidemiol. 2018;28(8):353-360.
- CSP. Central statistical Bureau of Latvia. Accessed December 21, 2021. csp.gov.lv.
- Nurputra DK, Lai PS, Harahap NI, et al. Spinal muscular atrophy: from gene discovery to clinical trials. Ann Hum Genet. 2013;77(5):435-463.
- Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature. 2020;581(7809):434-443.
- Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, et al. ClinVar: improving access to variant inter-13. pretations and supporting evidence. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D1062-D1067.
- Harris E, Topf A, Barresi R, et al. Exome sequences versus sequential gene testing in the UK highly specialised Service for Limb Girdle Muscular Dystrophy. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2017;12(1):151-212.
- Crisafulli S, Sultana J, Fontana A, Salvo F, Messina S, Trifirò G. Global epidemiology of Duchenne muscular dystrophy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15(1):141.
- Graziano A, Bianco F, Moroni I, et al. Prevalence of congenital muscular dystrophy in Italy. Neurology. 2015;84:904-911.
- Hendrickson BC, Donohoe C, Akmaev VR, et al. Differences in SMN1 allele frequencies among ethnic groups within North America. J Med Genet. 2009;46(9):641-644.
- Deenen JCW, Arnts H, Van Der Maarel SM, et al. EPopulation-based incidence and 18. prevalence of facioscapulohumeral dystrophy. Neurology. 2014;83(12):1056-1059.
- Stunnenberg BC, Raaphorst J, Deenen JCW, et al. Prevalence and mutation spectrum of skeletal muscle channelopathies in The Netherlands. Neuromuscul Disord. 2018; 28(5):402-407.
- Liu W, Pajusalu S, Lake NJ, et al. Estimating prevalence for limb-girdle muscular dystrophy based on public sequencing databases. Genet Med. 2019;21(11):2512-2520.
- Millere E, Rots D, Glazere I, et al. Clinical phenotyping and biomarkers in spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy. Front Neurol. 2021;11:586610.
- Bertolin C, Querin G, Martinelli I, Pennuto M, Pegoraro E, Sorarù G. Insights into the genetic epidemiology of spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy: prevalence estimation and multiple founder haplotypes in the Veneto Italian region. Eur J Neurol. 2019;26(3):519-524.
- Udd B, Juvonen V, Hakamies L, et al. High prevalence of Kennedy's disease in Western Finland-is the syndrome underdiagnosed? Acta Neurol Scand. 2009;98(2):128-133.
- INSERM US14. ORPHA. 2021. Accessed December 21, 2021. orpha.net.
- Inashkina I, Jankevics E, Stavusis J, et al. Robust genotyping tool for autosomal recessive type of limb-girdle muscular dystrophies. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2016; 17(1):200-206.

# Neurology® Genetics

## Overview of Neuromuscular Disorder Molecular Diagnostic Experience for the Population of Latvia

Baiba Lace, Ieva Micule, Viktorija Kenina, et al. Neurol Genet 2022;8; DOI 10.1212/NXG.0000000000000685

#### This information is current as of May 16, 2022

**Updated Information &** including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://ng.neurology.org/content/8/3/e685.full.html

**References** This article cites 23 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:

http://ng.neurology.org/content/8/3/e685.full.html##ref-list-1

**Subspecialty Collections** This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the

following collection(s):

All Genetics

http://ng.neurology.org//cgi/collection/all\_genetics

All Neuromuscular Disease

http://ng.neurology.org//cgi/collection/all\_neuromuscular\_disease

Muscle disease

http://ng.neurology.org//cgi/collection/muscle\_disease

Trinucleotide repeat diseases

http://ng.neurology.org//cgi/collection/trinucleotide\_repeat\_diseases

Permissions & Licensing Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in

its entirety can be found online at:

http://ng.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions

**Reprints** Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

http://ng.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus

*Neurol Genet* is an official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published since April 2015, it is an open-access, online-only, continuous publication journal. Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.. All rights reserved. Online ISSN: 2376-7839.

