Accretion and extinction variations in the low-mass pre-main sequence binary system WX Cha*

ELEONORA FIORELLINO,^{1,2,3} GABRIELLA ZSIDI,^{1,2,4} ÁGNES KÓSPÁL,^{1,2,5,4} PÉTER ÁBRAHÁM,^{1,2,4} ATTILA BÓDI,^{1,2,6} GAITEE HUSSAIN,⁷ CARLO F. MANARA,⁸ AND ANDRÁS PÁL^{1,2,4}

¹Konkoly Observatory, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Eötvös Loránd Research Network (ELKH), Konkoly-Thege Miklós út 15-17, 1121 Budapest, Hungary

²CSFK, MTA Centre of Excellence, Konkoly-Thege Miklós út 15-17, 1121 Budapest, Hungary

³INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, via Moiariello 16, 80131 Napoli, Italy

⁴ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Institute of Physics, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

⁵Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

⁶MTA CSFK Lendület Near-Field Cosmology Research Group

⁷European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Research and Technology Centre (ESTEC), Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The

Netherlands

⁸European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, 85748 Garching bei München, Germany

(Received; Revised; Accepted September 12, 2022)

Submitted to AJ

ABSTRACT

Light curves of young star systems show photometric variability due to different kinematic, and physical processes. One of the main contributors to the photometric variability is the changing mass accretion rate, which regulates the interplay between the forming young star and the protoplanetary disk. We collected high-resolution spectroscopy in eight different epochs, as well as ground-based and space-borne multi-epoch optical and infrared photometry of WX Cha, an M0 binary system, with an almost edge-on disk $(i = 87^{\circ})$ in the Chamaeleon I star-forming region. Spectroscopic observations cover 72 days, the ground-based optical monitoring covers 42 days while space-borne TESS photometry extends for 56 days. The multi-wavelength light curves exhibit quasi-periodic variability of 0.35 - 0.53 mag in the near-infrared, and of 1.3 mag in q band. We studied the variability of selected emission lines that trace the accretion, computed the accretion luminosity and the mass accretion rate using empirical relations and obtained values between $L_{\rm acc} \sim 1.6 \, {\rm L}_{\odot} - 3.2 \, {\rm L}_{\odot}$ and $\dot{M}_{\rm acc} \sim 3.31 \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}/yr} - 7.76 \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}/yr}$. Our results show that WX Cha is accreting at a rate larger than what is typical for T Tauri stars in the same star-forming region with the same stellar parameters. We theorize that this is due to the higher disk mass of WX Cha than what is usual for stars with similar stellar mass, and to the binary nature of the system. Daily changes in the accretion luminosity and in the extinction can explain the photometric variability.

Keywords: T Tauri stars, Accretion, Binary stars, Star formation, Spectroscopy, Light curves, Photometry

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the current star formation scenario, the material flows from a dusty infalling envelope and the

eleonora.fiorellino@inaf.it

circumstellar disk to the star. The magnetospheric accretion model describes the accretion process from the inner disk to the stellar surface on single stars (e.g Hartmann et al. 2016). This mechanism drives the evolution of the disk, the star, and the planet formation (e.g., Manara et al. 2022). For binary systems this scenario is complicated by the interplay of the stellar components, their circumstellar disks, and the possible presence of a circumbinary disk (CBD) (e.g., Offner et al.

^{*} Based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory under ESO programmes 2103.C-5025, 0103.A-9008, and 0100.C-0708(A).

2022). Young stellar objects (YSOs) show a certain degree of variability which is typically related to the accretion process (e.g., Fischer et al. 2022). However, YSOs variability can also be due to other stellar events, such as circumstellar obscuration, hot or cold spots on the star and/or disk, ejection of jets, stellar winds, and rapid structural changes in the inner disk (Cody et al. 2014). To understand the variability nature of young stars, and, in particular, how much the accretion process affects the variability of binaries, each system has to be studied in detail with high resolution spectroscopy and contemporary photometry, for many epochs. The most suitable candidates for such study are located in the nearby (< 500 pc) star-forming regions.

WX Cha (2MASS J11095873-7737088) is a young binary system, located at $d = 189.1^{+1.9}_{-2.2}$ pc (Bailer-Jones et al. 2021) in the Chamaeleon I (Cha I) star-forming region. This system was recognized as a binary for the first time by Vogt et al. (2012). They showed that its components form a physical pair with a projected separation of 0.75 arcsec (141 au with the new Gaia distance) whose flux ratios are 8.1 in the *H*-band and 10.2 in the K-band. They remarked also about the K-band photometric variability of the system. Concerning the evolutionary stage of WX Cha, Luhman et al. (2008) compiled its optical-infrared spectral energy distribution (SED) and classified it as a Class II YSO, or Classical T Tauri star (CTTS), based on the spectral index of $\alpha = -1.10$ between 3.6 μ m and 24 μ m. Later, Daemgen et al. (2013) resolved the system using near-infrared (NIR) adaptive optics images obtained with VLT/NACO. Based on this, they computed flux ratios between the primary and the secondary component of 5.1, 6.7, 9.4, and 16.4 in the J, H, K, L' bands, respectively. They classified the primary component as an M1 star with $T_{\rm eff} = 3710 \,\mathrm{K}$, $M_{\star} = 0.49 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}, \ A_V = 3.2 \pm 0.2 \,\mathrm{mag}, \ \mathrm{and} \ \dot{M}_{\mathrm{acc}} =$ $(98 \pm 35) \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}yr^{-1}};$ and the secondary component as an M5 star with $T_{\rm eff} = 3130 \,\mathrm{K}, \, M_{\star} = 0.18 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot},$ $A_V = 2.3 \pm 0.2 \,\mathrm{mag}$, and $\dot{M}_{\mathrm{acc}} < 0.58 \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} yr^{-1}}$, assuming a distance of $160 \pm 15 \,\mathrm{pc}$. Banzatti & Pontoppidan (2015) determined an inner disk inclination of 87° using CRIRES measurements. Daemgen et al. (2013) also computed the probability of each component to have an accretion disk, calculated for each target component from the measured $Br\gamma$ equivalent width, its uncertainty, and the local noise. They reported in their Tab. 4 that this probability for the primary is 1.00 (certain), and the probability for the secondary component is 0.01 (very unlikely). Therefore, the secondary component probably does not have a disk and is not accreting. ALMA archival data show that the disk of the primary was detected but not spatially resolved and

the disk of the secondary not resolved. However there are deeper, higher resolution ALMA observations indicated as *in progress* in the archive. These will hopefully reveal more about the disk of the primary in the future. The observations available at the moment suggest that if there was ever an interaction between the two disks, it must have occurred in the past, and that the secondary does not have an accreting circumstellar disk. Pascucci et al. (2016) provided ALMA flux density $F_{\nu} = (20.81 \pm 0.57) \,\text{mJy}$ at 338 GHz (887 μ m), which corresponds to $M_{dust} = 7.78 M_{\oplus}$ using the updated distance (Manara et al. 2022). The most recent estimates of the stellar and accretion parameters are $M_{\star} = 0.491 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}, \ L_{\star} = 0.86 \,\mathrm{L}_{\odot},$ accretion luminosity of $\log(L_{\rm acc}/L_{\odot}) = 0.0341$, and mass accretion rate of $\log(\dot{M}_{\rm acc}/M_{\odot}{\rm yr}^{-1}) = -6.729$ (Manara et al. 2022), for a M0.5 spectral type, $A_V = 3.0 \text{ mag}$ (Manara et al. 2017). These results are based on X-Shooter spectroscopy, where the system was not resolved, although these values are probably closer to the values of the primary, as that dominates the spectrum of the system.

Although WX Cha is often among the targets of surveys aimed at the ChaI star-forming region (e.g. Hartmann et al. 1998; Cutri et al. 2003; Luhman 2007; Luhman et al. 2008; Nguyen et al. 2012; Vogt et al. 2012; Costigan et al. 2012; Daemgen et al. 2013; Frasca et al. 2015; Manara et al. 2017, 2019), no recent study is dedicated to its detailed analysis, in particular to its light curve variability. In this work, we fill this gap by investigating for the first time the photometric and spectroscopic variability behavior of this binary system and comparing our results with other multiple systems and single young stars in the same region. For this purpose, we used multi-epoch optical and NIR photometry and high-resolution optical spectroscopy, and analyzed the relation between the photometric variability and changes in the spectral lines.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we describe the observations and data reduction; in Sect. 3 we present main results, such as the system parameters, line flux measurements, and accretion rates; in Sect. 4 we discuss our results. We summarize our conclusions in Sect. 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

This work dedicated to WX Cha is part of a large observational effort whose aim was to take full advantage of the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Telescope (TESS, Ricker et al. 2015) monitoring of the Cha I region in 2019 to study the variability of six selected CTTS. For this purpose we organized ground-based multi-wavelength photometric and spectroscopic monitoring contempora-



Figure 1. The 2019 (top panel) TESS light curve is indicated with black dots, and the contemporaneous ASAS SN g band light curve is shown with green points. SMARTS *I*-band (red), J (pink), H (bordeaux), and K (brown) light curves are also added. Vertical dashed lines correspond to epochs when ESPRESSO (orange) and FEROS (magenta) spectra were taken. Since Epoch 2 (FEROS) and 3 (ESPRESSO) were taken during the same night, so these epochs are superimposed in the figure. The blue line (bottom panel) shows the Lomb-Scargle periodogram obtained using the 2019 TESS data. Points of the same light curve are linked with dashed lines only to help the reader follow each light curve. Horizontal lines represent false alarm probabilities of 1%, and 0.01%, respectively.

neously with TESS. We used the SMARTS 1.3 m telescope (Cerro Tololo, Chile), VLT/ESPRESSO (Paranal Observatory, Chile), and the MPG2.2m/FEROS (La Silla Observatory, Chile). The data reduction has been performed in the same way for the whole sample, and it is discussed in detail in Zsidi et al. (2022b). Here, we briefly summarize the main steps.

2.1. Photometry

TESS provided a 56-day-long light curve of WX Cha with 30 minutes cadence between 2019 April 23 and 2019 June 18, and a 28-day-long light curve with 10 minutes cadence between 2021 May 27 and 2021 June 24. To extract the photometry, we used an aperture radius of 2 pixels (40"), and the average I_C magnitude of WX Cha from our SMARTS monitoring as the reference brightness.

We obtained ground-based photometric monitoring between 2019 April 30 and 2019 June 11. We used the SMARTS/ANDICAM optical-infrared imager mounted on the 1.3 m telescope at Cerro Tololo (Chile), and took $I_C JHK$ images with an approximately nightly cadence. We also took VR_C images for the first three nights. In the optical, we typically obtained 21 images with 14s exposure time and calculated aperture photometry for WX Cha and a comparison star, UCAC3 25-23448, using an aperture radius of six pixels (2.23'') and sky anulus between 10 and 15 pixels (3.71'' - 5.57''). Photometric calibration was done using the APASS9 magnitudes (Henden et al. 2016) of the comparison star that we converted to $R_C I_C$ using the conversion formulae of Jordi et al. (2006). In the infrared (IR) we obtained 5-9 (Jand H-bands) or 5 – 17 (K-band) images, with exposure times between $4 \,\mathrm{s}$ and $60 \,\mathrm{s}$. We performed dithering to remove bad pixels and the sky signal, which we perfomed using our custom procedures written in IDL. Reduced flat-field images were provided by the SMARTS consortium. We performed aperture photometry with an aperture radius of 6 pixels (1.6'') and sky radius between 18 and 24 pixels (4.8'') and (6.5''), except for WX Cha where a larger sky area was used due to contamination by a nearby star. For the photometric calibration in the infrared, we used 2MASS magnitudes (Cutri et al. 2003) of four comparison stars, although not all four



Figure 2. The 2021 (top panel) TESS light curve is indicated with black dots, and the contemporaneous ASAS SN g band light curve is shown with green points. The blue line (bottom panel) shows the Lomb-Scargle periodogram obtained using the 2021 TESS data. Points of the same light curve are linked with dashed lines only to help the reader follow each light curve. Horizontal lines represent false alarm probabilities of 1%, and 0.01%, respectively.

were visible on all frames due to a repositioning of the $2'.4 \times 2'.4$ field of view during the observing campaign. The resultant light curves are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. See Appendix A for further details.

2.2. Spectroscopy

We carried out high-resolution ($R = 140\,000$) optical (380 - 788 nm) spectroscopic observations with ESPRESSO as part of the DDT proposal (Pr.Id.2103.C-5025, PI Á. Kóspál) between 2019 June and August, see Tab. 1. We carried out the bias, dark, and flat-field corrections and the wavelength calibration using Version 3.13.2 of the EsoReflex/ESPRESSO pipeline (Freudling et al. 2013). The resulting 2D spectra were merged producing a rebinned 1D spectra. We used the default parameters for each step of the pipeline.

We obtained two additional high-resolution (R = 48000) optical (350 - 920 nm) spectra on June 8 and June 11 with FEROS in object-calib mode, where contemporaneous spectra of a ThAr lamp were recorded throughout the whole object exposure. The FEROSPIPE pipeline in PYTHON is available for reducing data acquired with the FEROS instrument. A detailed description of the pipeline can be found in Brahm et al. (2017). However, this pipeline was designed to precisely measure

the radial velocity, and it calibrates only 25 of the available 33 échelle orders. The excluded orders are essential for our analysis as they cover the $\sim 673-823$ nm range, which includes multiple accretion tracer lines. Therefore, we modified the original pipeline in a way that we extended the wavelength coverage to all orders.

The flux calibration of all spectra was performed in two steps. First, the spectra were normalized. Then, the calibration coefficients were calculated using the available optical photometry. We interpolated the optical brightness of WX Cha for the exact epochs of the spectroscopic observations in the ASAS-SN g-band ($\lambda_{\text{eff}} =$ 470 nm) and SMARTS I_C-band ($\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 784 \,\text{nm}$) light curves, providing calibration coefficients pixel by pixel. We checked the reliability of the coefficients by applying them to the g-band photometry. We found a relative error on the *I*-band magnitude of 0.003 on average. This was possible for the first four epochs, which were covered by our SMARTS monitoring. For the subsequent epochs, we interpolated the available photometry in g-band, using the results as an estimate of the contemporary photometry. We obtained $m_q = 14.46 \text{ mag}$, 14.16 mag, 14.42 mag for epochs 5, 6 and 7, respectively. The ASAS-SN observations for WX Cha have been in-

Table 1.

Epoch	Date	HJD	Instrument
		(+2458000)	
Epoch 1	2019 Jun 01	635.549	ESPRESSO
$\operatorname{Epoch} 2$	2019Jun 08	642.571	FEROS
$\operatorname{Epoch} 3$	2019 Jun 08	642.639	ESPRESSO
$\operatorname{Epoch} 4$	2019 Jun 11	645.604	FEROS
$\operatorname{Epoch} 5$	$2019~{\rm Aug}~04$	699.530	ESPRESSO
Epoch 6	$2019~{\rm Aug}~07$	703.481	ESPRESSO
$\operatorname{Epoch} 7$	$2019~{\rm Aug}~10$	705.524	ESPRESSO
Epoch 8	2019 Aug 11	707.498	ESPRESSO

terrupted for several months, so it was not possible to determine the photometry of our target in the last epoch (Epoch 8) by interpolating, not even for the g-band. For this reason, to flux calibrate the spectrum of Epoch 8, we used a period of P = 9.6 days (see Sect. 3.2) to extrapolate the available photometry for this epoch, obtaining $m_g = 14.70$ mag. Magnitudes were converted into fluxes by using zero magnitude fluxes¹. The calibrated spectra were obtained by multiplying the normalized spectra by the calibration function. The flux calibrated spectra are shown in Appendix B.

3. RESULTS

3.1. The Binary Components as Seen by Gaia

In order to investigate which component contributes to the observed flux and the variability, we examined the Gaia EDR3 measurements (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2021a,b). Gaia EDR3 5201148946703195904 and 5201148946701210624 correspond to WX Cha A and B, respectively. According to these data, the separation of the two components is $0.7425 \ \pm 0.0014^{\prime\prime}$, their P.A. is $52.764^{\circ} \pm 0.012^{\circ}$. Both results are in agreement with parameters derived earlier by Daemgen et al. (2013): $a = 0.74 \pm 0.01^{\prime\prime}$, P.A. = $51.8^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$.

Gaia G magnitudes are $m_G = 13.23 \text{ mag}$ and 15.94 mag for WX Cha A and B, respectively. Thus, the primary is about 12 times brighter on average than the companion, i.e. 92% of the total flux comes from the primary and 8% of the total flux comes from the companion. There is no proper motion for the companion (nor BP, nor RP magnitudes, nor parallax). The Gaia PSF is about 0.177", thus the WX Cha components are well resolved by Gaia.

3.2. Light Curves

Fig. 1 shows the multi-filter light curves of WX Cha for the year 2019. Irregular variations on a daily scale, with peak-to-peak amplitude of 0.5 mag are present in the TESS light curve (black points). The irregular behavior of the light curve is also revealed by the two maxima, which have different shapes: the first one (HJD =2458618) consists in a single peak of few days, while the second one corresponds to a plateau in the brightness of about two weeks (HJD = 2458627 - 2458635), which ends with the dimmest epoch. The peaks and minima of the TESS light curve are present also in ASAS-SN g-band and in the SMARTS ($I_C JHK$ bands) light curves for year 2019. The q band light curve presents a third peak around HJD = 2458703. We note that the peak-to-peak amplitude varies with the wavelength, being more pronounced in q band (1.3 mag) and smaller in NIR (0.35 mag in J and H bands, and 0.53 mag in Kband). Light curves for the year 2021 also present irregular behavior with a peak-to-peak amplitude similar to that observed in 2019 of 0.55 mag in the TESS light curve and of $1.2 \,\mathrm{mag}$ in the *q* band light curve. Fig. 2 shows two peaks in q band, the first which looks like a series of bursts (HJD = 2459378 - 2459383), and the second resembling a plateau (HJD = 2459386 - 2459389). The corresponding TESS light curve seems to confirm the series of burst during the first peak, shows a plateau in the brightness during the second, and suggests the presence of another peak at HJD = 2459363.

We computed a Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) to look for any periodic signals. The bottom panels of Figs. 1 and 2 show such periodograms obtained from the 2019 and 2021 TESS light curves, respectively. For the 2019 TESS data, we calculated the levels corresponding to the 1% and the 0.01% false alarm probabilities (FAP), and we show the results with horizontal dashed lines in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. This shows that there are two long-term trends under $0.1 \,\mathrm{day}^{-1}$. We, however, do not discuss these trends here, as they are longer than the expected rotational periods for classical T Tauri stars. We detected two additional peaks at 8.75 days and 4.80 days, which fall in the range of typical rotational periods. We carried out a similar period analysis on the ASAS-SN data as well. These data show several peaks, two of them are close to the values presented by the TESS data: one peak is at 4.8 days, and the other is at 9.6 days. Since the latter period seems to better reproduce the g band light curve, see for example the first peak at HJD = 2458618 and the second one after 9 days, we used this period to extrapolate the g band magnitude for Epoch 8 (see Sect. 2.2). We followed the same procedure for the 2021 TESS light

¹ http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/fps/

curve as well. This resulted in a peak at 4.68 day, while the presence of the longer period around 9 day is not confirmed as the peak is very smeared out. We also performed consecutive pre-whiting steps, then after prewhitening with the highest amplitude peak (the 9.6 day period), we found three significant peaks: the first two peaks correspond to 12.4 days and 8.1 day, respectively, and the third one is the 4.6 day. We note that the 9.6 day period is twice the 4.6 - 4.8 day period. It is possible that either the former or the latter is the rotational period of the primary star. However, we point out that, despite this analysis, the WX Cha system do not show regular variability according to our data set and that our choice is driven by the will to flux calibrate the last spectroscopic Epoch. Indeed, we alert the reader about the uncertainty that this assumption propagates on the last epoch results.

According to Cody et al. (2014), a light curve can be described by two parameters. The Q parameter provides information about the periodicity of the light curve (if Q = 0 the light curve is perfectly periodic, while, if Q = 1 the light curve is stochastic), and the M parameter provides information about the symmetry of the light curve (M = -1 if the light curve is asymmetric and dominated by burst, $M \sim 0$ if it is symmetric, and M = 1 if it is asymmetric and dominated by dippers). By applying the same method described in Cody et al. (2014) to TESS light curves, we found that coefficients are Q = 0.77 and M = -0.19 for the 2019 light curve, and Q = 0.60 and M = -0.43 for the 2021 light curve. Using the thresholds shown in Fig. 31 of Cody et al. (2014), we interpreted our results as follows: the light curve is always aperiodic, in agreement with what we found from the LS periodogram analysis, i.e. it is not possible to determine the period of WX Cha; the light curve is symmetric during 2019, even if the M value is close to the bursting threshold, and becomes asymmetric dominated by bursts in 2021.

3.3. Extinction and Spectral Typing

The interstellar extinction is one crucial parameter in our analysis, necessary to deredden the line fluxes and to calculate the accretion rate. The variation of the extinction can also play a role in the photometric variability.

Several A_V estimates are available in the literature for WX Cha. Luhman (2007) estimated $A_J = 0.56$ mag, for the primary source, by converting the color excess between $0.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $0.9 \,\mu\text{m}$. This corresponds to $A_V = 1.97$ mag, using the extinction law by Cardelli et al. (1989) using $R_V = 3.1$. More recently, Daemgen et al. (2013) estimated $A_V^1 = 3.2 \pm 0.2$ mag and $A_V^2 = 2.3 \pm 0.2$ mag for the primary and secondary



Figure 3. Color-Color diagram of WX Cha. Red circles are data from SMARTS observations at different epochs. Blue dashed line represent the CTTS locus. The black arrow shows the shift from the CTTS locus for a source with 1 mag of extinction. The orange solid line and the green dot-dashed line correspond to the ZAMS and the giant branch, respectively.

components, respectively. They provided these results using color-color diagrams, as it is described below in this section. Lastly, Manara et al. (2017) obtained $A_V = 3.0 \pm 0.3$ mag and M0.5 spectral type, by using an automatic routine which analyze the spectra, comparing it with a non accreting template and a slab model, providing the spectral type, the extinction value, and stellar parameters.

We computed A_V by projecting the position of WX Cha back to the CTTS locus (Meyer et al. 1997) in the [J-H] vs. [H-K] color-color diagram following the extinction-vector by Cardelli et al. (1989) and $R_V = 3.1$ (Fig. 3). The mean value of the overall photometry displayed in the color-color diagram corresponds to $A_V =$ 0.71 ± 0.30 mag, which is not in agreement with any of the previous extinction estimates. This is probably due to the large uncertainties on the K-band photometry. Moreover, the disagreement between the extinction obtained by the spectral typing using the visible spectra, and by using the color-color diagrams relation can be due to the fact that the H and K-band magnitudes are affected by disk emission.

We also computed the extinction after having performed the spectral typing of WX Cha. We compared the absorption lines, setting a threshold to 80% of the

flux, of a set of main sequence stellar templates from Coelho et al. (2005), from $T_{\rm eff} = 3500 \,{\rm K}$ to $7000 \,{\rm K}$ to our observed spectrum at Epoch 1, masking the emission lines, in the wavelength range 600 - 800 nm. We found that the template with $T_{\rm eff} = 3740 \,\mathrm{K}$ minimizes the χ^2 between the synthetic spectrum and the measured spectrum absorption lines. This effective temperature corresponds to M0 spectral type according to Pecaut & Mamajek (2013). Once we determined the spectral type, we fitted I_C , J, and H data points of the SED with a Pecaut & Mamajek (2013) model, by fixing the temperature to $T_{\rm eff} = 3750 \,\rm K$. We obtained different values of the extinction for each epoch observed with SMARTS data. In the following analysis we adopt their mean value of $A_V = 3.4 \pm 0.2$ mag. In Tab. 3 we report the exact values we estimated for the corresponding spectra, when available.

The A_V is degenerate with the spectral type. The latter method to compute the extinction we described takes into account also the spectral type of the source, thus we chose to adopt the value provided with this method in the following. Moreover, the result we obtain in this way is in agreement with the literature. Indeed, we find that the spectral type we derive, M0, is in agreement within the uncertainty with the M0.5 type obtained by Manara et al. (2017), where UVB, VIS, and NIR data were used, while it is earlier than M1.25 and M1-M5 types, estimated by Luhman (2007) and Daemgen et al. (2013), respectively, where only NIR data were analyzed. This disagreement is not surprising because the spectral type can be earlier when investigated at shorter wavelengths (e.g. Gully-Santiago et al. 2017). The extinction we find $A_V = 3.4 \pm 0.2 \,\mathrm{mag}$ is in agreement within the error with the values provided by Manara et al. (2017, $A_V = 3.0 \pm 0.5$) and Daemgen et al. (2013, $A_V = 3.2 \pm 0.2$ mag).

3.4. Color-magnitude Diagrams

To investigate the IR photometric properties of WX Cha system, we built color-magnitude diagrams in Fig. 4. The left panel shows linear decreasing trend in the [I] vs. [I - J] diagram. This suggests that the I and J band variations are indeed correlated, but I band variations are larger than J band variations (see light curves on Fig. 1), so the source is redder when fainter, hence the downward trend in the color-magnitude diagram. This trend looks to be consistent with extinction changes. The right panel shows a scattered distribution of 0.2 mag variation on both axes on the [J] vs. [J - H] diagram, which is still not inconsistent with reddening changes. Unfortunately, our ground-based K band suffers of large uncertainties that prevent us to find any



Figure 4. Color-magnitude diagrams of WX Cha.

trend, and, therefore, interpret physically the NIR diagrams of Fig. 3 and 4.

3.5. Veiling

The veiling of a star can be calculated by measuring the equivalent width (W_{eq}) of absorption lines in the spectra:

$$W_{\rm eq}^0 = W_{\rm eq}(V+1)$$
 (1)

where W_{eq} is the measured equivalent width, W_{eq}^{0} is the equivalent width of the line in the unveiled reference spectrum, and V is the veiling. We choose to take an

M0 template as reference (the ESPaDOnS spectrum of a non accreting Class III YSO identified as M0 PMS star by Manara et al. 2013, available from the Polarbase database²), which is the spectral type as we derived for WX Cha (see Sect. 3.3). This enabled us to provide the absolute veiling of the system. We calculated the veiling of WX Cha by using the equivalent widths of the absorption lines in the red part of the spectra ($\lambda = 584 - 788$ nm). We found values comprised between 0.64 and 1.79, see Tab. 3 and Fig. 5 (top panel).

3.6. Accretion Line Fluxes

In the magnetospheric accretion scenario, the accretion of material from the disk onto the central star in a young stellar object is traced by many emission lines in the optical spectra, for example HI, HeI, CaII, and NaI (Fig. 6), see Hartmann et al. (2016) for a review. In the last two decades, empirical relations between the luminosity of these emission lines and the accretion luminosity have been developed (e.g. Muzerolle et al. 1998b; Antoniucci et al. 2014; Alcalá et al. 2014). We used the most recent relations of Alcalá et al. (2017) to estimate the accretion rates of WX Cha, starting from the line flux measurements of the different accretion tracers. Therefore, we searched for lines for which the line luminosity vs. accretion luminosity relation has been

element	λ_{line}	F_{obs}^{ep1}	$F_{obs}^{ep2}(\mathbf{F})$	$F^{ep3}_{obs}(E)$	F_{obs}^{ep4}	F^{ep5}_{obs}	F^{ep6}_{obs}	F^{ep7}_{obs}	F_{obs}^{ep8}
	[mm]				$[10^{-14} \text{ erg}]$	${ m s}^{-1}~{ m cm}^{-2}]$			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)
H3	656.5	213.760 ± 0.096	164.263 ± 0.212	185.911 ± 0.078	103.881 ± 0.439	159.347 ± 0.083	123.482 ± 0.082	166.180 ± 0.182	224.002 ± 0.083
H4	486.3	28.694 ± 0.121	14.931 ± 0.122	21.350 ± 0.034	3.345 ± 0.144	25.257 ± 0.103	19.523 ± 0.081	25.274 ± 0.300	31.545 ± 0.080
H5	434.2	9.843 ± 0.090	1.997 ± 0.107	6.674 ± 0.077	0.676 ± 0.185	9.522 ± 0.077	8.617 ± 0.063	10.973 ± 0.262	10.311 ± 0.050
H6	410.3	7.310 ± 0.254	6.495 ± 0.467	3.888 ± 0.043	9.021 ± 1.018	7.037 ± 0.108	6.671 ± 0.083	7.408 ± 0.415	5.927 ± 0.058
H7	397.1	13.166 ± 0.200	7.081 ± 0.700	4.756 ± 0.057	4.964 ± 1.132	7.899 ± 0.160	7.759 ± 0.133	7.044 ± 0.556	8.700 ± 0.092
H8	389.0	3.088 ± 0.262	< 2.317	1.180 ± 0.057	< 2.963	3.514 ± 0.216	2.803 ± 0.174	3.225 ± 0.816	1.996 ± 0.080
HeI	447.3	0.951 ± 0.077	0.577 ± 0.100	0.803 ± 0.034	< 1.385	1.315 ± 0.069	1.068 ± 0.048	1.518 ± 0.231	0.958 ± 0.044
HeI	471.5	0.198 ± 0.024	< 0.305	0.104 ± 0.008	0.867 ± 0.274	0.134 ± 0.012	0.164 ± 0.018	< 0.264	0.151 ± 0.016
HeIFeI	492.4	2.152 ± 0.081	1.902 ± 0.079	1.442 ± 0.045	< 0.512	2.021 ± 0.071	1.175 ± 0.029	1.506 ± 0.124	2.586 ± 0.029
HeI	501.7	2.836 ± 0.101	2.278 ± 0.099	1.930 ± 0.056	1.652 ± 0.279	2.638 ± 0.086	1.295 ± 0.072	2.255 ± 0.314	3.553 ± 0.067
HeI	587.7	2.512 ± 0.037	2.872 ± 0.065	2.907 ± 0.044	1.825 ± 0.113	3.621 ± 0.035	3.139 ± 0.028	3.659 ± 0.089	3.675 ± 0.054
HeI	668.0	1.264 ± 0.023	1.339 ± 0.057	1.428 ± 0.018	1.239 ± 0.109	1.973 ± 0.022	1.770 ± 0.018	1.839 ± 0.056	1.835 ± 0.023
HeI	706.7	1.119 ± 0.024	4.540 ± 0.088	1.229 ± 0.019	12.414 ± 0.190	1.488 ± 0.021	1.358 ± 0.020	1.396 ± 0.054	1.428 ± 0.022
HeI	728.4	0.143 ± 0.015	0.156 ± 0.024	0.187 ± 0.011	0.219 ± 0.052	0.220 ± 0.020	0.192 ± 0.014	0.230 ± 0.026	0.146 ± 0.014
HeII	468.7	0.645 ± 0.058	0.352 ± 0.073	0.354 ± 0.014	1.223 ± 0.291	0.485 ± 0.048	0.579 ± 0.039	0.552 ± 0.170	0.382 ± 0.034
CaII(K)	393.5	13.255 ± 0.525	1.711 ± 0.344	4.026 ± 0.062	2.519 ± 0.499	6.849 ± 0.392	4.698 ± 0.331	5.124 ± 1.317	7.636 ± 0.203
CaII(H)	397.0	14.027 ± 0.452	7.497 ± 0.678	4.959 ± 0.063	3.971 ± 1.111	8.815 ± 0.357	8.014 ± 0.299	7.775 ± 1.237	9.485 ± 0.201
CaII	850.1	I	29.858 ± 0.232	I	4.803 ± 0.269	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
CaII	866.5	I	30.195 ± 0.281	Ι	6.345 ± 0.355	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
NaI	589.2	1.346 ± 0.067	1.754 ± 0.105	1.148 ± 0.054	1.083 ± 0.211	1.212 ± 0.062	0.344 ± 0.054	0.628 ± 0.109	2.123 ± 0.070
NaI	589.8	0.716 ± 0.068	0.782 ± 0.106	0.729 ± 0.055	< 0.534	0.693 ± 0.062	< 0.144	< 0.279	0.939 ± 0.074
IO	777.4	0.759 ± 0.027	3.107 ± 0.128	0.804 ± 0.038	1.013 ± 0.222	1.059 ± 0.049	0.626 ± 0.046	0.736 ± 0.074	1.167 ± 0.055
Ю	7.77.7	0.395 ± 0.038	2.955 ± 0.165	0.475 ± 0.036	1.013 ± 0.222	0.680 ± 0.046	0.365 ± 0.042	0.363 ± 0.066	0.645 ± 0.054
Ю	777.8	0.266 ± 0.042	2.904 ± 0.163	0.273 ± 0.042	1.006 ± 0.221	0.363 ± 0.055	0.153 ± 0.048	0.221 ± 0.073	0.426 ± 0.061

 Table 2. Observed flux of accretion tracers before correcting for the extinction.

WX Cha

performed, and we measured the line flux as we describe in the following.

First of all, while FEROS spectra are Nyquist binned, the ESPRESSO spectra are oversampled. To compute the line flux in a homogeneous way, we rebinned the ESPRESSO spectra in the Nyquist way. Then, for each line, we fitted the continuum by choosing a suitable local continuum around the line. Therefore, we determined the line flux with a python routine which measures the flux of the pixels contained in the area between the fitted continuum and the line. We estimated the noise of the line as

$$N = \sigma \times \frac{\lambda_{line}}{R} \times \sqrt{N_{\text{pix}}} \tag{2}$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the local continuum, λ_{line} is the central wavelength of the line, R is the resolution of the instrument in the corresponding band, and $N_{\rm pix}$ is the number of the pixels contained in the line. A line is considered detected when its S/N > 3. For the non-detected lines, we estimated upper limits for the line flux as: $F_{line}^{upp} = 3 \times N$. Results are shown in Tab. 2.

3.7. Accretion Luminosity and Mass Accretion Rate

We corrected the observed line fluxes of Tab. 2 for the extinction. We first used $A_V = 3.4 \text{ mag}$ for all epochs. Then, we took the individual A_V values for when we could estimate them (Epochs 1, 2, 3 and 4). In both cases, we converted the resultant fluxes to luminosity, by using the adopted distance. Then, we used the empirical relations by Alcalá et al. (2017) to compute the accretion luminosity (L_{acc}) from the line luminosity (L_{line}):

$$\log \left(L_{\rm acc} / L_{\odot} \right) = a_{\rm line} \log \left(L_{\rm line} / L_{\odot} \right) + b_{\rm line} \qquad (3)$$

where a_{line} and b_{line} are coefficients that vary with the line. We chose the mean value of the accretion luminosities obtained from all the detected lines as the best estimate of the accretion luminosity for WX Cha. L_{acc} ranges between $1.58 \,\text{L}_{\odot}$ and $3.16 \,\text{L}_{\odot}$, as shown in Tab. 3 and plotted in Fig. 5 (bottom panel).

Then, we computed the mass accretion rate $M_{\rm acc}$ using the relation:

$$\dot{M}_{\rm acc} \sim \left(1 - \frac{R_{\star}}{R_{\rm in}}\right)^{-1} \frac{L_{\rm acc} R_{\star}}{G M_{\star}} \tag{4}$$

where $R_{\rm in}$ is the inner-disk radius which we assume to be $R_{\rm in} \sim 5R_{\star}$ (Hartmann et al. 1998), and M_{\star} and R_{\star} are the stellar mass and radius of the primary component (Manara et al. 2022). We estimated the absolute error on $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ to be 0.13 – 0.22 dex by propagating the error and taking into account uncertainties on stellar parameters. Since we are interested in studying the variability



Figure 5. Top: Absolute veiling measurements for WX Cha. Bottom: The accretion luminosity and the mass accretion rate as a function of the time. The filled circles represent accretion rates computed by using the mean value ($A_V =$ 3.4 ± 0.2). The filled squares represent the accretion rates computed by using the exact value of the extinction for that epoch (see Tab. 3).

of this system, results listed in Tab. 3 show the error on $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ considering only the uncertainty due to the accretion luminosity.

The mass accretion rate ranges between $3.31 \times$ $10^{-7} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}/yr}$ and $7.76 \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}/yr}$ during the epochs we observed. Fig. 5 (bottom panel) shows the accretion rates of WX Cha as a function of the time. The accretion rate (circles) is large during Epoch 1, than it decreases, reaching the lowest value during Epoch 4. It increases again in the last four epochs. This trend is conserved if we study the accretion rates obtained by using different values for the extinction (squares), when it was possible to have a contemporary estimate. In particular, the accretion rate increases during Epoch 1, dicreases during Epochs 2 and 3, and remains similar during Epoch 4. Later epochs are uncertain because we do not know the precise A_V , even if, for Epoch 8, the main contribution to the uncertainty is the absence of contemporary photometry, which affects the flux calibration and, therefore, the accretion rate itself. However, considering these uncertainties, we can say that the accretion rate increased again during Epoch 5, decreasing and increasing a little in the following three epochs, ending up similar to Epoch 1. We note that the accretion rates computed with the contemporary A_V is in agreement within the error with the ones computed

Epoch	Veiling	$\log L_{\rm acc}$	$\log \dot{M}_{\rm acc}$	A_V	$\log L_{\rm acc}$	$\log \dot{M}_{\rm acc}$
		L_{\odot}	$\rm M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$	mag	L_{\odot}	$\rm M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Epoch 1	1.188 ± 0.081	0.487 ± 0.064	-6.22 ± 0.06	$3.07^{0.16}_{0.41}$	0.588 ± 0.064	-6.11 ± 0.06
$\operatorname{Epoch} 2$	0.77 ± 0.12	0.335 ± 0.062	-6.37 ± 0.06	$3.17_{0.46}^{0.17}$	0.236 ± 0.063	-6.47 ± 0.06
$\operatorname{Epoch} 3$	0.847 ± 0.054	0.344 ± 0.065	-6.36 ± 0.06	$3.17_{0.46}^{0.17}$	0.233 ± 0.065	-6.47 ± 0.07
$\operatorname{Epoch} 4$	0.64 ± 0.10	0.232 ± 0.072	-6.47 ± 0.07	$3.36_{0.46}^{0.17}$	0.222 ± 0.072	-6.48 ± 0.07
$\operatorname{Epoch} 5$	1.79 ± 0.14	0.472 ± 0.064	-6.23 ± 0.06	-	-	-
$\operatorname{Epoch} 6$	1.60 ± 0.11	0.439 ± 0.064	-6.26 ± 0.06	-	-	-
$\operatorname{Epoch} 7$	1.75 ± 0.27	0.544 ± 0.060	-6.16 ± 0.06	-	-	-
$\operatorname{Epoch} 8$	1.62 ± 0.14	0.488 ± 0.064	-6.21 ± 0.06	-	-	-

NOTE— $L_{\rm acc}$ and $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ in columns (3) and (4) are computed by using $A_V = 3.4 \pm 0.2$ mag, and the stellar parameters of the primary component computed by Daemgen et al. (2013) and scaled at 189.1 pc. $L_{\rm acc}$ and $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ in columns (6) and (7) are computed by using the exact value of A_V listed in column (5).

by using the averaged value. We also note that the variability of the accretion rate follows the same qualitative trend as does the veiling (see both panels of Fig. 5).

4. DISCUSSION

The main aim of this paper is to investigate for the first time the accretion variability in the WX Cha binary system. In this section we discuss the light curves and the line profile variations, and we compare our results with other multiple systems and single young stars in the Cha I region.

4.1. WX Cha variability

We described the light curves of WX Cha in Sect. 3.2 and the accretion rates in Sect. 3.7. Here we investigate the relation between these two quantities.

In Figs. 1 and 2 four bright events ($m_{\text{TESS}} < 12 \text{ mag}$) are present. During these events, the variability in the g band reaches 0.8 mag, while it is only 0.2 mag in the TESS and NIR light curves. Such variation in the light curves can be explained by the accretion bursts. To test this hypothesis, we computed the accretion luminosity and made a quantitative analysis of the accretion contribution during the bright events. This was possible for the second brightest event (Epoch 1), and before (Epoch 5) and right after the third one (Epoch 6), when we have spectroscopic data.

Epochs 1 and 5, and 6 have all large veiling and accretion rates, see Tab. 3. The dimmest epochs according to the g band light curve are Epochs 2, 3 and 4, which have veiling and accretion rates lower than all the other epochs. Epoch 4, in particular, is the one with the low-

est accretion rate, and the dimmest in g band. This is true both using the fixed value of the extinction and the contemporary one (see Fig. 5). Since the fluxes during the last four epochs, in particular during the last one, are uncertain due to the less accurate flux calibration (see Sect. 2.2), we investigated the variability only for the first four epochs. We note that using the contemporary A_V values, when possible, the discrepancy between the light curve and the accretion variability decreases, indeed the central values of Epochs 2/3 and 4 are more similar if a different value of the extinction is used for the different epochs. This hints at the extinction variability. Indeed the geometrical configuration of the system plays an important role since WX Cha has an almost edge-on disk $(i = 87^{\circ})$. This means that depending on the presence and intensity of the accretion funnels, the amount of dust in our line of sight may change significantly on short time scales. Moreover, the M coefficient suggests that in 2019 the light curve is symmetric. Since there is accretion variability, as shown in Fig. 5, the symmetry of the light curve can be explained by the presence of tiny dips, suggesting extinction variability. We also looked for other variability sources as tracers typical of ejection flows and winds as H_2 and [OI], but we did not detect them.

4.2. The variance profile

Lines profiles contain information about the kinematics of the emitting gas by a certain chemical element. Therefore, we plot in Fig. 6 a set of emission lines, from top-left to bottom-right: $H\alpha$, $H\beta$, and HeI at λ 587.7 nm,



Figure 6. Variance profiles of a few spectral lines. The lines with different colors indicate the different epochs (as listed in the upper right panel), the black thick line shows the average line profile, and the blue shaded area illustrates the variance profile in each panel. The wavelength on the plots are the lines center in the vacuum.

LiI absorption line, OI triplet, and CaII. All these lines but LiI are accretion tracers.

The H α line shows what seems a blueshifted bump. This can be identified as a low velocity component which peaks around $-190 \,\mathrm{km/s}$ in all the epochs but Epoch 1 and 8. This component is also present in the mean line profile (black curve). A similar line profile is seen in the spectra of DR Tau (Alencar et al. 2001) and RW Aur (Alencar et al. 2005). Even if these sources show similar line profile, their inclinations is different since DR Tau is an almost pole-on $(i = 5.4^{2.6}_{2.1} \text{ degrees})$, while the inclination of RW Aur is $i = 55.1_{0.4}^{0.5}$ degrees, according to the recent ALMA observations (Long et al. 2019). This profile is due to winds which cause absorption at about $-100 \,\mathrm{km/s}$. The presence of outflow is confirmed for both DR Tau (Facchini et al. 2016) and RW Aur (Giannini et al. 2019), by the presence of winds and jet tracers. On the contrary, we found no such detections in our data.

The H β profile shows the blue low-velocity component only at Epoch 3. This line profile differs significantly from the H β of DR Tau and RW Aur which show a peaked and spectroscopically separated blue component. The large noise of FEROS epochs (Epochs 2 and 4) prevent us from distinguishing the second component in the H β line for these epochs.

The HeI at λ 587.7 nm shows no variability in the shape, displaying a change only in the strength of the line. The line profile of HeI is not symmetric and a red wing up to 40 - 60 km/s is present in every epoch.

The LiI line and the OI triplet are almost identical in every epoch. We note that the LiI line of WX Cha, which is similar for all the epochs, is similar in shape to the LiI line of RW Aur and the average line profile (black line in Fig. 6) is as deep as RW Aur (Facchini et al. 2016).

The wavelength range corresponding to CaII triplet was not covered by ESPRESSO, and FEROS covered only the first and the third lines of the triplet, at λ 849.8 nm and λ 866.2 nm, respectively. Therefore we can study the variability of CaII line profile only by comparing our two FEROS spectra, and only for two out of the three lines. We detected both the two lines

during both Epoch 2 and Epoch 4. We measured their fluxes with the same procedure we used to measure the line flux of the accretion tracers (see Sect. 3.6) obtaining $F_{CaII\,849.8} = (3.184 \pm 0.028) \times 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$ and $F_{CaII\,849.8} = (3.11 \pm 0.035) \times 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$ for Epoch 2; and $F_{CaII\,849.8} = (0.515 \pm 0.041) \times$ $10^{-14} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$ and $F_{CaII\,849.8} = (0.562 \pm 0.029) \times$ $10^{-14} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}}$ for Epoch 4. The shape of these lines is similar between each other and between the two epochs. In Fig. 6 we see the CaII line profile at λ 849.8 nm which is the sum of a narrow and a broad component. Also the shape of this line is similar in DR Tau (Alencar et al. 2001) while in RW Aur the line profile is more variable: some epochs show absorption as the $H\alpha$ line, and some epochs show a similar line profile than of WX Cha (Alencar et al. 2005). According to Muzerolle et al. (1998a) models, high inclination disks should present prominent redshifted absorption in hydrogen and He lines as a sign of infalling material by the magnetospheric accretion, although it is not a prerequisite and it is highly geometry-dependent. Despite the measured inclination disk for WX Cha is high (87 degrees, Banzatti & Pontoppidan 2015), the redshifted absorption is not present in this source lines (see 6). In the Muzerolle et al. (1998a) models the absorption is present with high inclination for $M_{\rm acc}$ up to $10^{-7} \,{\rm M_{\odot}/yr}$. Since the mass accretion rate we measure is higher than that, assuming the disk inclination estimate is reliable, a possible interpretation of the lack of reshifted absorption features in WX Cha can be that this feature may disappear as the accretion rate increases, as it is simply filled up by emission.

The first FEROS spectrum (Epoch 2) and the second ESPRESSO spectrum (Epoch 3) were taken at the same night only a few hours apart. The two spectra look very similar, as expected, but some strong accretion tracer lines, such as the H α or H β lines, show noticeable differences (Fig. 6). In order to examine whether this discrepancy is an instrumental or a physical effect, we compared several emission and absorption lines in the two spectra. We found some differences, however, most lines are in agreement within 10%. Only the strongest lines differ ~ 30%, but as these are typically accretion tracers, the change might have a physical origin.

4.3. Comparison with Other Chamaeleon Sources

To put our results in context, we compare $L_{\rm acc}$ and $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ with a sample of CTTSs in the Cha I star-forming region (empty stars, Manara et al. 2022), and with two other sources, part of the same observational effort between TESS photometry and ESPRESSO+FEROS spectroscopy, i.e. CR Cha and VW Cha (Zsidi et al.



Figure 7. Top: Accretion luminosity as a function of the

Figure 7. Top: Accretion luminosity as a function of the stellar luminosity. Bottom: Mass accretion rate as a function of the stellar mass. Black stars show Cha I CTTS sample (Manara et al. 2022). In particular, the star with error bar corresponds to WX Cha. Yellow, purple, blue and dark green circles correspond to CR Cha, VW Cha, DR Tau, and RW Tau accretion rates (Zsidi et al. 2022b,a; Giannini et al. 2022; Facchini et al. 2016, respectively). WX Cha accretion rates computed in this work are shown in hexagons, each epoch is identified by the a different color as labelled in the legend. The black cross on the bottom-right of the plot represent the uncertainty for Cha I CTTS sources. The uncertainties smaller than the symbol size are not presented. Dashed-dotted lines and the grey regions represent the best fit of Cha I sources and the relative 1σ dispersion.



Figure 8. Relative variations in $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$, computed from results based on X-Shooter data of this work and Manara et al. (2022), on timescales from hours to 3/4 years. Different colors represent different timescale variations, as described in the legend. Black crosses show the mean value for each timescale sub-sample.

2022b,a, yellow and purple circles, respectively). In Figure 7, we plot the accretion luminosity as a function of the stellar luminosity in the top panel, and the mass accretion rate as a function of the stellar mass in the bottom panel. The latter plot shows also the $\dot{M}_{\rm acc} - M_{\star}$ relation for DR Tau (blue circles) and RW Aur (dark green circles) for comparison. Looking at Fig. 7 we see that WX Cha accretes more than what is expected based on its luminosity (it is way above the gray stripe in Fig. 7), and it also accretes more than any of the CTTSs in the Manara et al. sample (stars in Fig. 7).

WX Cha itself is included in the Manara et al. sample having $\log L_{\rm acc} = 0.034$ and $\log M_{\rm acc} = -6.729$. Both panels of Fig. 7 show that results of WX Cha provided by Manara et al. (2022) lie below our results on the $L_{\rm acc} - L_{\star}$ and $M_{\rm acc} - M_{\star}$ diagrams, but are compatible with ours within the error. This suggests that a larger variability of the accretion rate is possible on longer time scales than those probed here. Indeed, Fig. 8 shows the variation of the mass accretion time of WX Cha in different timescales, from hours to years. To develop this plot, we used our data and the results from Manara et al. (2022), whose spectra were observed in 2015 (2015-04-03T07:12:18.1630). The $\dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ variation increases from hours to years of about 0.5 dex, higher than the typical variability of 0.3 dex of the Orion Nebula Cluster (Flaischlen et al. 2022), and smaller than

what found for XX Cha (2 dex, Claes et al. 2022). Variability timescale from hours to years is in agreement with what found by Costigan et al. (2012) and Zsidi et al. (2022b). In contrast, Venuti et al. (2015) analyzed the variability timescale of young stars in NGC 2264 concluding that the typical timescale of variability of this cluster is of the order of days to weeks, not hours to weeks as for WX Cha. Therefore timescale variability of WX Cha is more rapid that for NGC 2264 cluster at short timescales. Unfortunately, we can't test what happens to $\Delta \dot{M}_{\rm acc}$ for longer timescales, since previous estimate on the mass accretion rate for this source were computed by using different approaches.

Comparing our results with VW Cha, we note that this source fits into the general trend outlined by the gray stripe in Fig. 7 (upper panel), while WX Cha and CR Cha are higher than other CTTS in ChaI. At the same time, the highest points for VW Cha actually have similar $L_{\rm acc}$ values than the lowest points of WX Cha in both the panels. The $M_{\rm acc}$ of WX Cha is in agreement within the error with results of VW Cha, and always larger than other ChaI CTTSs with the same stellar mass. On the contrary, CR Cha lies within the trend outlined by the $M_{\rm acc} - M_{\star}$ ChaI distribution. Among these three sources, only WX Cha deviates from the general trend towards both larger accretion luminosity and larger accretion rates. We note that accretion variability alone would not explain the large deviation of WX Cha from the general trend since the typical variability for non eruptive CTTS is about 0.5 (Lorenzetti et al. 2013) which can not justify the fact that $L_{\rm acc}$ of WX Cha is between one and three orders of magnitude higher than for a CTTS in ChaI with the same stellar luminosity. ALMA observations by Pascucci et al. (2016) reported a flux density $F_{\nu} = (20.81 \pm 0.57) \,\mathrm{mJy}$ in Band 7 continuum (887 μ m), corresponding to $M_{\text{dust}} = 6.02 \,\text{M}_{\oplus}$ by assuming a greybody function, the same temperature of 20 K and a distance of 160 pc. Scaling this value to the distance we adopted, we obtain $M_{\text{dust}} = 7.78 \,\mathrm{M}_{\oplus}$, which corresponds to what found by Manara et al. (2022). Fig. 6 of Pascucci et al. (2016) shows that WX Cha lies in the portion of the $M_{\text{dust}} - M_{\star}$ distribution that is above the best fit. Therefore, we conclude that WX Cha disk mass is large if compared to what is suggested by the best fit for other sources with similar stellar mass. As a consequence, a possible interpretation of the higher mass accretion rate of WX Cha and its general deviation from ChaI trend can be that this source has more fuel coming from the disk. It is also possible that the high mass accretion rate for this source is a consequence of the binary nature of WX Cha, as found for similar systems by Zagaria et al. (2022). We also note that the CTTS named 2MASS J11095340-7634255, 2MASS J11095873-7737088, and 2MASS J11040909-7627193 present similar accretion rates and stellar parameters to WX Cha system.

5. SUMMARY

We performed the first study on the accretion variability of the 0.77 separation binary T Tauri system WX Cha. We observed this source with multi-epoch optical and NIR photometry, and high-resolution optical spectroscopy contemporaneously with TESS observations. According to our analysis, the primary component dominates our measurements, therefore, we studied this binary system as if it was a single source.

The TESS light curve reveals variations on daily timescales with a peak-to-peak amplitude of ~ 0.5 mag. The light curves show four bright events. Some of our spectra were taken during these events, and our spectroscopic and photometric analysis together seem to suggest that these events are due to the composite effects of changing accretion rate and variable extinction.

We performed the spectral typing of our system comparing the absorption lines of WX Cha to a set of stellar templates, finding that the template with $T_{\text{eff}} = 3740 \text{ K}$ best reproduces our observations. This correspond to a M0 spectral type, in agreement with the literature. From our NIR photometry, we estimated different values of A_V . We adopted the mean value ($A_V = 3.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ mag}$) as the best estimate of the extinction of the system.

We used the fluxes of different emission lines to measure the accretion rates from our spectra, finding $\log(L_{\rm acc}/{\rm L}_{\odot})$ ranging between 0.2 and 0.5, and $\log(\dot{M}_{\rm acc}/{\rm M}_{\odot}/{\rm yr})$ between -6.6 and -6.3. Those values are compatible with the most accreting CTTS (~ $10^{-12} - 10^{-6.5} {\rm M}_{\odot}/{\rm yr}$, see Manara et al. 2022), but orders of magnitude larger when compared to the Cha I population with similar stellar parameters. We tentatively address this difference to the large disk mass of WX Cha, which fuels the accretion process.

The accretion variability follows the same trend as the veiling variability. However, the photometric variability cannot be explained by considering only the accretion. Differences between the accretion and light curves variability decrease when we compute the accretion rate using contemporary extinction values. Moreover, we measured different A_V values in different epochs. This may suggest the presence of A_V variability as well. The ge-

ometrical configuration of the system with an almost edge-on disk makes this explanation plausible.

We analyzed the profiles and variability of several emission lines in the spectra of WX Cha. The accretion tracer lines show significant variability both in strength and shape and their profiles are typically complex with multiple components, similarly to the strongly accreting DR Tau.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading and useful comments they provide, which improve our manuscript.

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 716155 (SACCRED); and under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 823823 (DUSTBUSTERS).

AB is supported by the Lendület Program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, project No. LP2018-7/2021 and the KKP-137523 'SeismoLab' Élvonal grant of the Hungarian Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH). Additional support is received from the Hungarian Research, Development and Innovation Office grant K-138962.

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the *Gaia* Multilateral Agreement.

This work was partly funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - 325594231.

This research received financial support from the project PRIN-INAF 2019 "Spectroscopically Tracing the Disk Dispersal Evolution"

Facilities: ESO-VLT/XSHOOTER

Software: astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013), Cloudy (Ferland et al. 2013), SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996)

FIORELLINO ET AL.

Table 4.Near-infrared photometry of WX Cha.

JD	$V\pm \Delta V$	$R\pm \Delta R$	$I_C \pm \Delta I_C$	$J \pm \Delta J$	$H\pm \Delta H$	$K \pm \Delta K$
$+2,\!458,\!000$	mag	mag	mag			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
603.066	14.293 ± 0.029	13.240 ± 0.002	12.111 ± 0.007	9.676 ± 0.076	8.608 ± 0.060	7.769 ± 0.048
604.066	13.928 ± 0.010	13.039 ± 0.009	11.924 ± 0.004	9.471 ± 0.065	8.439 ± 0.060	7.715 ± 0.073
605.078	14.152 ± 0.008	13.166 ± 0.009	12.037 ± 0.005	9.710 ± 0.025	8.612 ± 0.031	7.887 ± 0.061
606.039	_	_	12.104 ± 0.003	9.755 ± 0.026	8.666 ± 0.017	8.061 ± 0.108
607.027	_	_	12.004 ± 0.005	9.699 ± 0.025	8.725 ± 0.025	7.920 ± 0.062
608.051	_	_	11.992 ± 0.003	9.680 ± 0.026	8.707 ± 0.026	7.842 ± 0.050
609.039	_	_	11.977 ± 0.003	9.727 ± 0.021	8.688 ± 0.022	7.830 ± 0.048
611.031	_	_	12.034 ± 0.004	9.782 ± 0.034	8.676 ± 0.025	8.019 ± 0.044
612.051	_	_	12.048 ± 0.004	9.665 ± 0.025	8.681 ± 0.021	7.822 ± 0.035
613.020	_	_	12.054 ± 0.003	9.762 ± 0.022	8.740 ± 0.021	7.874 ± 0.031
614.055	_	_	12.063 ± 0.002	9.765 ± 0.023	8.758 ± 0.022	7.990 ± 0.037
615.031	_	_	11.972 ± 0.003	9.747 ± 0.023	8.745 ± 0.021	7.806 ± 0.046
616.031	_	_	12.043 ± 0.003	9.813 ± 0.025	8.813 ± 0.023	7.885 ± 0.052
617.059	_	_	11.893 ± 0.002	9.705 ± 0.017	8.700 ± 0.010	7.754 ± 0.160
618.055	_	_	11.763 ± 0.003	9.627 ± 0.026	8.532 ± 0.028	7.888 ± 0.048
619.023	_	_	11.830 ± 0.002	9.633 ± 0.017	8.656 ± 0.015	7.755 ± 0.033
620.020	_	_	11.980 ± 0.003	9.713 ± 0.018	8.636 ± 0.018	7.873 ± 0.028
621.043	_	_	12.003 ± 0.003	9.744 ± 0.018	8.708 ± 0.016	7.921 ± 0.026
622.016	_	_	12.022 ± 0.003	9.740 ± 0.020	8.734 ± 0.022	7.976 ± 0.028
625.027	_	_	12.101 ± 0.003	9.771 ± 0.012	8.700 ± 0.027	7.930 ± 0.070
626.027	_	_	12.049 ± 0.003	9.739 ± 0.004	8.722 ± 0.026	7.915 ± 0.066
627.031	_	_	11.759 ± 0.002	9.670 ± 0.012	8.700 ± 0.011	8.051 ± 0.032
631.082	_	_	11.895 ± 0.002	9.624 ± 0.011	8.609 ± 0.014	7.771 ± 0.104
636.039	_	_	11.864 ± 0.002	9.696 ± 0.011	8.718 ± 0.013	8.006 ± 0.029
637.020	_	_	11.960 ± 0.002	9.772 ± 0.024	8.805 ± 0.027	8.057 ± 0.013
637.992	_	_	12.030 ± 0.002	9.732 ± 0.005	8.727 ± 0.023	8.005 ± 0.085
639.047	_	_	12.154 ± 0.005	9.758 ± 0.033	8.852 ± 0.006	8.245 ± 0.064
640.023	_	_	12.099 ± 0.004	9.799 ± 0.018	8.744 ± 0.013	8.051 ± 0.093
641.004	_	_	12.116 ± 0.002	9.826 ± 0.005	8.810 ± 0.005	8.187 ± 0.054
642.023	_	_	12.024 ± 0.002	9.825 ± 0.010	8.818 ± 0.013	8.131 ± 0.036
643.000	_	_	12.000 ± 0.003	9.773 ± 0.018	8.758 ± 0.009	8.085 ± 0.063
644.016	_	_	12.043 ± 0.002	9.814 ± 0.001	8.796 ± 0.014	8.047 ± 0.056
645.000	_	_	12.040 ± 0.003	9.774 ± 0.013	8.768 ± 0.011	7.955 ± 0.049

A. SMARTS PHOTOMETRY

In Table 4 we report the SMARTS photometry we observed and used in this work.

B. SPECTRA

Figure 9 shows the flux calibrated spectra of the 8 epochs we observed.

REFERENCES

Alcalá, J. M., Natta, A., Manara, C. F., et al. 2014, A&A, 561, A2, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322254 Alcalá, J. M., Manara, C. F., Natta, A., et al. 2017, A&A, 600, A20, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629929



Figure 9. Top Smoothed flux calibrated spectra of all the epochs. Bottom Smoothed flux calibrated spectra of all the epochs. All the spectra are normalized and suitably shifted in flux for clarity.

- Alencar, S. H. P., Basri, G., Hartmann, L., & Calvet, N. 2005, A&A, 440, 595, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20053315
- Alencar, S. H. P., Johns-Krull, C. M., & Basri, G. 2001, AJ, 122, 3335, doi: 10.1086/323914
- Antoniucci, S., Giannini, T., Li Causi, G., & Lorenzetti, D. 2014, ApJ, 782, 51, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/782/1/51
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322068
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M., & Andrae, R. 2021, AJ, 161, 147, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/abd806
- Banzatti, A., & Pontoppidan, K. M. 2015, ApJ, 809, 167, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/809/2/167
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, A&AS, 117, 393, doi: 10.1051/aas:1996164
- Brahm, R., Jordán, A., & Espinoza, N. 2017, PASP, 129, 034002, doi: 10.1088/1538-3873/aa5455
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245, doi: 10.1086/167900
- Claes, R. A. B., Manara, C. F., Garcia-Lopez, R., et al. 2022, A&A, 664, L7, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244135
- Cody, A. M., Stauffer, J., Baglin, A., et al. 2014, AJ, 147, 82, doi: 10.1088/0004-6256/147/4/82
- Coelho, P., Barbuy, B., Meléndez, J., Schiavon, R. P., & Castilho, B. V. 2005, A&A, 443, 735, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20053511
- Costigan, G., Scholz, A., Stelzer, B., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 1344, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.22008.x
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, VizieR Online Data Catalog, II/246
- Daemgen, S., Petr-Gotzens, M. G., Correia, S., et al. 2013, A&A, 554, A43, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201321220
- Facchini, S., Manara, C. F., Schneider, P. C., et al. 2016, A&A, 596, A38, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629607
- Ferland, G. J., Porter, R. L., van Hoof, P. A. M., et al. 2013, RMxAA, 49, 137. https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4485
- Fischer, W. J., Hillenbrand, L. A., Herczeg, G. J., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2203.11257. https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11257
- Flaischlen, S., Preibisch, T., Kluge, M., Manara, C. F., & Ercolano, B. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2208.04823. https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.04823
- Frasca, A., Biazzo, K., Lanzafame, A. C., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A4, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201424409
- Freudling, W., Romaniello, M., Bramich, D. M., et al. 2013, A&A, 559, A96, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322494
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629272

- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al.
 2021a, A&A, 649, A1, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039657
 —. 2021b, A&A, 649, A1,
 - doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039657
- Giannini, T., Nisini, B., Antoniucci, S., et al. 2019, A&A, 631, A44, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201936085
- Giannini, T., Giunta, A., Gangi, M., et al. 2022, ApJ, 929, 129, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac5a49
- Gully-Santiago, M. A., Herczeg, G. J., Czekala, I., et al. 2017, ApJ, 836, 200, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/200
- Hartmann, L., Calvet, N., Gullbring, E., & D'Alessio, P. 1998, ApJ, 495, 385, doi: 10.1086/305277
- Hartmann, L., Herczeg, G., & Calvet, N. 2016, ARA&A, 54, 135, doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023347
- Henden, A. A., Templeton, M., Terrell, D., et al. 2016, VizieR Online Data Catalog, II/336
- Jordi, K., Grebel, E. K., & Ammon, K. 2006, A&A, 460, 339, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20066082
- Lomb, N. R. 1976, Ap&SS, 39, 447, doi: 10.1007/BF00648343
- Long, F., Herczeg, G. J., Harsono, D., et al. 2019, ApJ, 882, 49, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab2d2d
- Lorenzetti, D., Antoniucci, S., Giannini, T., et al. 2013, Ap&SS, 343, 535, doi: 10.1007/s10509-012-1266-4
- Luhman, K. L. 2007, ApJS, 173, 104, doi: 10.1086/520114
- Luhman, K. L., Allen, L. E., Allen, P. R., et al. 2008, ApJ, 675, 1375, doi: 10.1086/527347
- Manara, C. F., Ansdell, M., Rosotti, G. P., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2203.09930. https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.09930
- Manara, C. F., Mordasini, C., Testi, L., et al. 2019, A&A, 631, L2, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201936488
- Manara, C. F., Testi, L., Rigliaco, E., et al. 2013, A&A, 551, A107, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201220921
- Manara, C. F., Testi, L., Herczeg, G. J., et al. 2017, A&A, 604, A127, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201630147
- Meyer, M. R., Calvet, N., & Hillenbrand, L. A. 1997, AJ, 114, 288, doi: 10.1086/118474
- Muzerolle, J., Calvet, N., & Hartmann, L. 1998a, ApJ, 492, 743, doi: 10.1086/305069
- Muzerolle, J., Hartmann, L., & Calvet, N. 1998b, AJ, 116, 2965, doi: 10.1086/300636
- Nguyen, D. C., Brandeker, A., van Kerkwijk, M. H., & Jayawardhana, R. 2012, ApJ, 745, 119, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/745/2/119
- Offner, S. S. R., Moe, M., Kratter, K. M., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2203.10066.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.10066

Pascucci, I., Testi, L., Herczeg, G. J., et al. 2016, ApJ, 831, 125, doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/125

- Pecaut, M. J., & Mamajek, E. E. 2013, ApJS, 208, 9, doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/208/1/9
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003, doi: 10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003
- Scargle, J. D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835, doi: 10.1086/160554
- Venuti, L., Bouvier, J., Irwin, J., et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A66, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201526164
- Vogt, N., Schmidt, T. O. B., Neuhäuser, R., et al. 2012, A&A, 546, A63, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201118410

- Zagaria, F., Clarke, C. J., Rosotti, G. P., & Manara, C. F. 2022, MNRAS, 512, 3538, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac621
- Zsidi, G., Fiorellino, E., Kóspál, Á., et al. 2022a, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2205.11435. https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.11435
- Zsidi, G., Manara, C. F., Kóspál, Á., et al. 2022b, A&A, 660, A108, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202142203