We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



167,000





Our authors are among the

TOP 1% most cited scientists





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Chitosan Nanoparticle: Synthesis, Characterization, and Use as Plant Health Materials

Pranab Dutta, Arti Kumari and Madhusmita Mahanta

Abstract

Chitosan is a naturally occurring biopolymer having multifaceted applications in agriculture, medicine, food industry, and cosmetics. The association of this natural biopolymer with nanotechnology can produce revolutionary effects in plant protection and agriculture. Nano-chitosan can be fabricated using various methods. However, the green synthesis approach has gained attention in recent years. The green engineered nanoparticles are economical, energetically feasible, and environmentally benign. The biosynthesized nano-chitosan has evolved as a potential plant protection agent. Chitosan nanoparticles possess antifungal, antibacterial, and antiviral properties, and are found to be effective against seed-borne and soil-borne pathogens. Nano-chitosan also behaves as an effector molecule and induces local and systemic defense responses in plants. The mode of action of nano-chitosan involves alterations in membrane permeability, replication, cytoplasmic alterations, induction of defenserelated genes, and cell lysis. Furthermore, chitosan nanoparticles can be used for soil improvement and can reduce pest and pathogen attacks, thereby promoting the growth of plants. The authors outline the methods of synthesis and characterization of chitosan nanoparticles, their utilization in plant protection and growth promotion, along with the underlying mechanisms.

Keywords: chitosan nanoparticles, biopolymer, green synthesis, characterization, plant protection

1. Introduction

Agriculture is a primary activity upon which the economic status of a country relies. The produce obtained from agricultural activity serves the purpose of mitigating domestic hunger as well as earning foreign currency. This demands the high production and productivity of crops. However, crop health, quality of production, and productivity are attributed to different biotic and abiotic factors associated with it. It has been already said that 20–40% of crop loss occurs around the globe due to attack of pest and diseases [1] that limits the yield of crops. The detrimental effect of chemical pesticides on Earth has resulted in the development of green strategies, such as sustainable farming, with the use of resistant varieties, biopesticides, nano bioformulations, integrated disease, and pest management.

As the interest of the scientific community shifted from chemocentric to sustainable agriculture, it opened up a vast possibility of exploiting nature-based biodegradable materials with potential biocontrol efficacy for plant disease management. With more intense research in this field led the scientists to speculate that the nano-sized materials may perform excellent activity as compared to the base source used for their synthesis. Therefore, the development of nano bioformulations and their use is encouraged to achieve the goal of sustainable farming. Different biopolymers viz., cellulose, starch, alginate, chitin, and chitosan, are used for the development of new materials with noble functionality and environmental sustainability. Among these, chitosan is the second most abundant biopolymer found in nature which is used widely due to its unique characteristics, such as abundance, large surface-to-volume ratio, biodegradability, biocompatibility, pH sensitivity, non-toxicity, and a safe alternative [2, 3]. Apart from that, nano-chitosan possesses antifungal and antibacterial activity along with other plant growth-promoting traits [4, 5] which makes it promising in several aspects of plant growth and development.

This chapter aims to briefly review the importance, green synthesis, characterization, mode of action, and successful use of chitosan nanoparticles (ChNPs) for the effective management of plant diseases.

2. Importance of chitosan nanoparticles

Chitin and chitosan are the primary components of crustacean shells, such as shrimp, squid, crab, and lobster, the exoskeleton of terrestrial insects viz., honeybees and silkworm, and cell walls of fungi like molds, yeast, and ray fungi, such as *Streptomyces* [6, 7]. Chitosan is a cationic biopolymer obtained by the whole or partial deacetylation of chitin. It is a linear polysaccharide consisting of (1–4)-linked 2-amino-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyranose obtained after deacetylation of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine [8, 9]. The term chitosan does not specifically indicate a unique compound but a group of co-polymers owing to their degree of deacetylation, polymerization, molecular mass, viscosity, and acid dissociation constant, that is, pka [10]. The chitosan derived from microbial sources is considered as promising as the process underlying can be manipulated to prepare a pure and uniform product with desired specific characteristics [11]. It is a very versatile biopolymer having multifaceted activity in the field of medicine, agriculture, food industry, cosmetics, and sewage treatment (**Figure 1**) [12]. ChNPs are widely used due to their unique polymeric cationic character, absorption enhancing effects, mucoadhesive nature, biocompatibility, and biodegradability. Being a modified linear polysaccharide with varying numbers of free amino groups in their polymeric chain with cationic property, chitosan offers ionic cross-linking of multivalent anions, which is making it a significant biopolymer for the synthesis of nanoparticles [6, 13]. The positively charged ChNPs have more affinity toward the negatively charged biological membrane and site-specific targeting *in vivo* [14]. Chitosan when applied to foliage or soil, can elicit innate defense response within the plant to resist insect and pathogen attack [5] by the production of antifungal hydrolases, phytoalexins, or by inducing structural barriers via the synthesis of lignin-like material [15, 16]. Further, ChNPs significantly have a positive impact on the biophysical properties of the plant. The application of nano-chitosan increases the rate of photosynthesis, induces root nodulation, upregulates nutrient uptake, enhances the rate of germination of seed, and boosts plant vigor [5, 17]. It is widely used in the delivery of fertilizers, micro-nutrients, and pesticides as it ensures



Figure 1. Applications of nano-chitosan.

slow release of the drugs with enhanced solubility [10]. Moreover, chitosan-mediated genetic transformation is also a successful one as it forms a complex via electrostatic interaction and protects the nucleic acid from nuclease degradation. It gives rise to stably transformed plants as compared to those developed via traditional methods of gene delivery [18, 19].

3. Green synthesis of chitosan nanoparticles

Green synthesis is a novel method of synthesis of NPs using microorganisms, such as bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, and botanicals [20–25]. It is a bottom-up approach to nanoparticle synthesis which interconnects two disciplines of science, that is, nanotechnology and biotechnology. Biogenic synthesis of nanoparticles is preferred over chemical or physical synthesis methods as it is a safe, environmentally benign, economically and energetically feasible, less time-consuming process, and it makes optimum use of the redox potential of metabolites produced by biological entities to convert the macromolecules to nano form [9, 21, 26–30]. The main principle of the biogenic synthesis of the nanoparticle is based on the redox reactions that occur when the microorganisms/biological entity grabs the metal ion and detoxifies it to element metal through the enzymatic activity of the cell. It can be categorized into intracellular and extracellular synthesis. In intracellular synthesis, the metal ions are transported into the cell and the reduction reaction occurs within the cell cytoplasm, cell wall, and/or periplasmatic space, therefore, the resultant nanoparticles form inside the cell. However, the latter involves the synthesis of nanoparticles on the cell surface via the catalytic activity of reductase enzyme upon the trapped foreign entity [31]. Harvesting of nanoparticles from the cell matrix is a tedious process in intracellular synthesis which is why extracellular synthesis of nanoparticles is preferred mostly. The microbes are often regarded as eco-friendly green nano-factories due to their large-scale production ability of nanoparticles with relative control over their size and shape (regulated by surrounding environmental conditions) with a simpler process of production [26].

In phytofabrication of nanoparticles, generally, the metal ions are added to the plant part extract and then continuously stirred in a magnetic stirrer. The formation of nanoparticles can be confirmed visually when the resultant solution changes its color [30, 32]. It is due to the activity of the antioxidant, such as polyphenol, flavonoids, and phytoalexins, that act upon the metal ion and convert it to nontoxic element metal in nanoform. Therefore, a plant with a higher percentage of natural reducing constituents is desirable for phytofabrication of nanoparticles. *Pelargonium graveolens* L'Her commonly known as the rose geranium plant is a rich source of natural antioxidants. Its leaves and essential oil have several therapeutic applications in the field of pharmacology [33, 34]. El-Naggar et al. [9] mixed an equal volume of chitosan solution and phytoextract of *P. graveolens* and incubated the mixture at 50°C in a rotary shaker. The resultant turbid solution indicating the ongoing redox reaction is then centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min. It was further washed with an acetic acid solution to remove the unreacted chitosan and the ChNPs present in the solution are extracted by subjecting it to freeze drying. Similarly, Boruah and Dutta [5] biogenically synthesized ChNPs from fungal sources rich in chitosan. Isolation of chitosan was done by treating the fungal biomass with a series of alkali and acid treatments under controlled conditions. Initial treatment of fungal biomass with NaOH yields an alkali-insoluble material (AIM) that was further subjected to an acid treatment to extract the fungal chitosan. It was then converted into nano-chitosan by adding 1% TPP solution in a magnetic stirrer.

Guzman et al. [35] synthesized colloidal AgNps by a combination of ultrasonication and chemical reduction methods using gallic acid and chitosan, respectively, and during characterization, they found that as-synthesized gallic acid-chitosan modified silver nanoparticles (GC-AgNps) were monodispersed, spherical shape with an average size of 26.23 ± 9.92 nm, and stable for four weeks without any noticeable change in size. GC-AgNps were found highly effective against *Escherichia coli* even at 1µg/mL after 120 min of exposure.

4. Characterization of chitosan nanoparticles

The nanoparticle formation is greatly affected by the processing conditions and time. They are characterized on the basis of their surface plasmon resonance, morphology, particle size distribution, zeta potential, functional group analysis, etc., using UV-vis spectrophotometer, electron microscope, dynamic light scattering, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy [36]. Moreover, atomic absorption spectroscopy is done to study the release profile of Ch-encapsulated nanoparticles.

4.1 Characterization by UV-vis spectroscopy

UV-vis spectroscopy is the confirmatory analysis that ascertains the formation of nanoparticles by surface plasmon resonance. UV-vis absorption spectroscopy is used to examine the optical properties of ChNPs obtained from the commercial production of chitosan showed an absorption band at 330.25 nm, whereas those obtained from biogenic sources exhibited the absorption band at 310–342 nm [5]. Kain and Kumar [37] reported a band at 200–300 nm for the biogenically synthesized ChNPs from common yarrow. Moreover, AbdElhady [38] studied the UV-vis spectrophotometer reading of ChNPs prepared at two different temperatures, that is, 40°C, 60°C, and 80°C, and found that the absorption band was obtained at 356, 348, and 353 nm, respectively.

4.2 Characterization by dynamic light scattering

The main principle of DLS is based on the Brownian movement of particles/ molecules present in the solution that results from their collision with the randomly moving solvent particles. A laser beam is passed through the sample, and the fluctuation in scattered light due to the random motion of particles is detected by the photon detector. DLS is used for the measurement of average particle size, particle size distribution, polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential. PDI explains the polydispersity or monodispersity of particles in an aqueous medium. PDI value greater than 0.5 represents polydispersity and less than 0.5 normally shows the monodispersity of particles. Generally, monodisperse ChNPs exhibit the PDI value within the range of 0.2–0.4 [2]. Further, the surface charge of nanoparticles, also known as zeta potential, explains the stability of the nanoparticles, which is measured in the range of ±30 mV. The ChNPs show a positive zeta potential value that may vary from 11.2 ± 1.2 mV to 18.7 ± 0.4 mV [5, 39]. Further, the appropriate particle size determined by DLS showed that the ChNPs are nearly spherical in shape with size ranging from 150 to 350 nm [40]. However, Sivakami et al. [41] prepared ChNPs by cross-linking low molecular weighed chitosan with TPP and found a minimum particle size ^{<100} nm. Similarly, Boruah and Dutta [5] reported that the biogenically synthesized ChNPs exhibited their size within the range from 78.36 to 300.1 nm. A mean particle size of 50 nm was obtained by Sahab et al. [42] for the chitosan poly acrylic acid nanoparticles.

4.3 Characterization by electron microscopy

The internal and external morphology of the nanoparticles is studied using a transmission electron microscope and scanning electron microscope. Transmission electron microscopy revealed that the ChNPs are often spherical shaped with an amorphous nature [5, 14, 43]. Similarly, scanning electron microscopy studies conducted by many researchers found that the ChNPs are nearly spherical shaped with the smooth external surface [44, 45]. Parida et al. [46] obtained round ChNPs with a 78 nm diameter. Similarly, Kain and Kumar [37] found that the green synthesized ChNPs of common yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*) are smooth surfaced, spherical with a diameter less than 100 nm with a smallest diameter of 4.15 nm.

4.4 Characterization by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

FTIR study is conducted to confirm the synthesis of nanoparticles by determining their functional groups. Sample preparation for FTIR is done by gently triturating it with KBr which is then compressed into disks. The compressed disks are scanned against a blank KBr pellet background at 25°C to obtain the FTIR results. For every spectrum, a 32 scan interferogram was collected at transmittance/absorbance mode in the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ region [2]. The functional groups of a chitosan nanoparticle consist of amide (–NH₂) and hydroxyl (–OH) group, C–H, C–N, C–O, and P=O stretching [5]. Generally, the FTIR peak at 3000–3500 cm⁻¹ attributed to (–OH) and (–NH₂) is the confirmatory peak for the formation of ChNPs [46]. Sharma et al. [45] obtained a wider peak of the hydroxyl group (3200–3600), which led them to conclude that hydrogen bonding is enhanced in ChNPs when analyzed by FTIR. Choudhary et al. [2] found a band at 3424 cm⁻¹ for the synthesized chitosan nanoparticles that represent the stretching vibration of the combined peaks of the amide

(-NH₂) and hydroxyl (-OH) group. Kain and Kumar [37] reported FTIR peaks at 3317.48, 2139.29, and 1638.46 as a confirmation of the formation of ChNPs. Similarly, Boruah and Dutta [5] obtained a strong and broadband at 3250 cm⁻¹ signifying the stretching between hydroxyl and amide groups. They have also found other peaks at 2865 cm⁻¹, 1182 cm⁻¹, 1642 cm⁻¹, and 1182 cm⁻¹ is attributed to C–H stretching, asymmetric C–O stretching, stretching between C=O and N–H banding, and P=O.

5. Application of chitosan nanoparticles in plant health management

ChNPs have emerged as a potential antimicrobial agent and found effective against numerous phytopathogens. Nano-chitosan is reported to be effective against seedborne as well as against soil-borne pathogens. It behaves as an elicitor of plant defense responses, inducing both local and systemic defense responses. Thus, nano-chitosan can be used as a plant health material by protecting the plant from biotic and abiotic stresses and by promoting the growth of the plants.

ChNPs possess a greater affinity toward the membrane of microorganisms and can easily penetrate the pathogen's cell [47]. The smaller size and greater surface area of ChNPs increase the antimicrobial efficiency of chitosan biopolymer. Several studies confirmed ChNPs as an effective plant health management agent due to their dual role as plant protection and plant growth stimulating agents.

ChNPs have been known to possess antifungal properties against numerous phytopathogenic fungi. Nano-chitosan exhibit greater efficacy as compared to its bulk counterpart as NPs can negotiate cell wall and cell membranes more effectively due to their unique physico-chemical properties. It can effectively inhibit the development of phytopathogenic fungi at any stage of their life cycle. Chitosan can completely inhibit spore germination, germ tube elongation, and mycelial growth of fungi [48], and it can penetrate the cell membrane by plasma membrane permeabilization and results in cell lysis [49]. Boruah and Dutta [5] biogenically synthesized chitosan nanoparticles using four different fungal sources viz., Beauveria bassiana, Fusarium oxysporum, Trichoderma viride, and Metarhizium anisopliae. In vitro assay suggested that synthesized ChNPs in combination with T. asperellum was effective in suppressing mycelial growth of soil-borne fungal pathogens viz., Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium oxysporum, and Sclerotium rolfsii. Abdel-Rahman et al. [50] studied the efficacy of chitosan (Ch) (2 and 4 g/L) as well as ChNPs (0.2 and 0.4 g/L) against blue rot disease of apples caused by *Penicillium expansum*. They observed that ChNPs performed better than compared to their bulk counterpart for both natural and artificial infections. Also, the fruit quality parameters, such as firmness, titratable acidity, and total soluble solids, were kept intact. The expression of defense-related genes *viz.*, chitinase, β -1,3-glucanase, peroxidase, phenylalanine ammonia lyase-1 (PAL1), xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET), and pathogenesis-related protein (PR8), were also upregulated indicating the development of systemic acquired resistance in plants against the pathogen. Saharan et al. [51] synthesized ChNPs using the ionic gelation method and its efficacy was determined against phytopathogenic fungi viz., Macrophomina phaseolina, Alternaria alternata, and Rhizoctonia solani. They observed a decline in the radial growth of the fungi in a dose-dependent manner. Muthukrishnan and Ramalingam [52] synthesized ChNPs biogenically mediating *Penicillium oxalicum*. The nanomaterial was found effective against *Fusarium oxyspo*rum ciceri, Pyricularia grisea, and Alternaria solani with the rate of inhibition 87%, 92%, and 72%, respectively. Also, seed treatment with ChNPs exhibited positive

morphological effects, such as enhanced germination percentage, seed vigor index, and biomass content in chickpeas. The efficacy of nanomaterials depends on their size, charge, and permeability through biological membranes. Again, the *in vivo* assay conducted under detached leaf condition observed 100% suppression of blast disease symptoms when treated with ChNPs prepared using the ionic gelation method [53]. Kheiri et al. [54] synthesized ChNPs from chitosans of different molecular weight and observed their antifungal activity against *Fusarium graminearum* causing fusarium head blight in wheat. The dynamic light scattering analysis showed a variable size of synthesized nanomaterials (180.9, 339.4, 225.7, and 595.7 nm). The inhibitory effect of these NPs was tested at different concentrations and maximum mycelial growth reduction (77.5%) was observed at 5000 ppm. The results obtained from greenhouse trials indicated a decline in the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) in NP-treated plants.

The reports of ChNPs as an antibacterial agent against plant pathogenic bacteria is very scarce and need further thrust in this domain. ChNPs were found effective against Ralstonia solanacearum causing bacterial wilt of tomato and potato. In vitro experiment indicated an increase in the inhibition zone with increasing concentration of nano-chitosan and found highest at 200 µg/ml concentration. In vivo assay revealed foliar application of nano-chitosan led to a decline in the disease incidence and severity in bacterial wilt-infected tomato and potato plants [55]. ChNPs directly interact with the bacterial cell wall and may cause modification in the external shape, loss of flagella, and lysis of the cell. The RAPD-PCR results showed differences in the genotype of treated Ralstonia solanancearum as compared to the genotype of untreated isolates [55]. The antibacterial activity of Cu-chitosan nanoparticles against Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea causing bacterial blight of soybean was reported by Choudhary et al. [56]. Concentration of 1000 ppm was found most effective in controlling the bacterial pathogen. An in vitro assessment was conducted with ChNPs and chitosan nanocomposites with lime essential oil and thyme critical oil against Pectobacterium *carotovorum.* The results indicated that chitosan nanocomposites with thyme essential oil were effective in producing an inhibition zone [57]. ChNPs were also observed to possess potentially high antibacterial activity against P. fluorescens and Erwinia carotovora causing bacterial soft rot [58]. Oh et al. [8] reported the antibacterial activity of ChNPs against phytopathogenic bacteria viz., E. carotovora subsp. carotovora and X. campestris pv. vesicatoria. Santiago et al. [59] biogenically synthesized Ch-derived NPs containing AgNPs and observed its antibacterial efficacy against R. solanacearum causing bacterial wilt of tomato. They found Ag-NP entrapped chitosan as a suitable alternative to chemical bactericides. Cs/TiO2NPs were found effective against the most dreaded bacterial pathogen of rice viz., X. oryzae pv. oryzae [60].

The chitosan biopolymer was found to inhibit the systemic propagation of viruses and virus-like organisms in infected plants and induce a host hypersensitive response against the viral pathogen [61–63]. The molecular weight of chitosan affects the degree of suppression of viral infections [64]. However, none of the studies has practically proved the ability of the chitosan molecule to absolutely inactivate the virus particles. Most of the studies have reported the inactivation of viral replication that prevents the multiplication and subsequent spread of the virus particles systemically. It may be hypothesized that ChNPs having a smaller size and greater surface area can easily penetrate into host tissues and tightly binds with nucleic acid causing selective inhibition and ramification of virus particles. Lu et al. [65] reported inhibition of TMV in tobacco pants when oligochitosan (50 μ g ml⁻¹) was applied 24 h before inoculation. The epidermal cells of tobacco leave treated with oligochitosan showed

Chitosan nanomaterial	Application	Target pathogen	Effect	Reference
Chitosan nanocomposite with <i>T.</i> <i>asperellum</i>	Antifungal	Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium oxysporum and Sclerotium rolfsii.	Reduction in mycelial growth	[5]
Chitosan NPs	Antifungal	A. alternata, M. phaseolina and R. solani	Reduction in mycelial growth	[51]
Chitosan	Antifungal	Alternaria kikuchiana Tanaka and Physalospora piricola	Inhibition of spore germination, germ tube elongation, and mycelial growth	[48]
Rhodamine-labeled chitosan	Antifungal	F. oxysporum	Inhibition of mycelial growth, cell membrane permealization, and lysis	[49]
Chitosan NPs	Antifungal and plant growth promotion	Penicillium expansum	Reduction in natural and artificial infections. Induction of defense-related genes and resistance in plants	[50]
Chitosan NPs	Antifungal and plant growth promotion	Sclerospora graminicola	Promote seed germination and seedling vigor, induced systemic and durable resistance	[66]
Ag-chitosan nanocomposites	Antifungal	Seed-borne fungi	Inhibition of mycelial growth	[67]
Cu-chitosan nanocomposites	Antifungal	Fusarium graminearum	Inhibition of mycelial growth	[68]
Chitosan nanocomposites	Antibacterial	Ralstonia solanacearum	Inhibition zone production, cell wall modification, loss of flagella and cell lysis	[55]
Chitosan nanocomposites with thyme critical oil	Antibacterial	Pectobacterium carotovorum	Inhibition zone production	[57]
Cs/TiO2NPs	Antibacterial	X. oryzae pv. oryzae	Reduction in infection	[60]

Chitosan nanomaterial	Application	Target pathogen	Effect	Reference
Oligochitosan	Induction of resistance in plants against TMV	Tobacco Mosaic Virus	Increase in the levels of intracellular H ₂ O ₂ , NO, and phenylalanine	[65]
-64			(PAL)	
Table 1. Antimicrobial properties of	of chitosan-based nanom	paterials.		

an increase in the levels of intracellular H_2O_2 , NO, and increased activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) indicating induction of plant defense response against TMV (**Table 1**).

6. Mode of action of chitosan nanoparticles

6.1 Direct activity against pathogen

ChNPs can directly interact with the cellular membrane of microorganisms due to their unique physicochemical properties and can easily permeate into the cytoplasm. The direct mode of action of ChNPs against fungi includes inhibition of spore germination, germ tube elongation, mycelial growth, and cell lysis. Benhamou [69] conducted ultrastructural studies and reported that chitosan induces numerous structural and morphological changes leading to distorted hyphae. This can be explained as the chitosan particles are polycationic in nature, it allows alteration in membrane permeability and cytoplasmic aggregations. As a result, the activity of enzymes involved in the synthesis and assembly of cell wall polymers are dwindled. The antibacterial effect includes disruption of the bacterial cell wall, cellular membrane, loss of external appendages, such as flagella, finally, leading to cell lysis. None of the studies have proved ChNPs to inactivate viruses and viroids. Most of the studies reported inhibition of virus replication, multiplication, and spread by chitosan. However, against pests and pathogens, ChNPs operate via an indirect mechanism, such as induction of host resistance.

6.2 Indirect mechanism

Chitosan molecule is generally used as an elicitor rather than an antimicrobial agent in plant disease control. It can be recognized by the plant PRRs and can trigger a cascade of defense responses. Chitosan molecule behaves as MAMP/PAMP or general elicitor and induces nonhost resistance in plants along with priming systemic immunity [70]. The cascade of biochemical and molecular reactions induced by chitosan includes enhanced H⁺ and Ca²⁺ influx into the cytosol, callose apposition, activation of MAP-kinases, hypersensitive response, oxidative burst, synthesis of phytohormones *viz.*, jasmonates and abscisic acid, as well as phytoalexins and PR-proteins [71].



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the mechanism of action of chitosan nanoparticles on plants.

6.3 Physical barrier in pathogen penetration

ChNPs can agglutinate around the penetration sites of the pathogen after its application on plant tissues and has two major effects. The first effect includes isolation of the penetration site from healthy tissues by forming a physical barrier that prevents further spread of the pathogen. Around the isolated zone, several biochemical changes occur that lead to the elicitation of hypersensitive response, accumulation of H_2O_2 and other free radicals, which lead to cell wall fortification and induction of systemic acquired resistance. The second effect includes the initiation of wound healing process by binding with various materials (**Figure 2**).

6.4 Plant growth promotion

Nano-chitosan imparts an eustress effect on seedling germination and plant growth parameters, such as plant height, shoot length, root length, and biomass content, which have been confirmed through a series of studies. The study conducted on the effect of nano-chitosan on *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. under salt stress conditions revealed that 0.3% nano-chitosan was the best treatment in terms of germination, growth parameters. Also, significant increase in M.S.I, Chl.a, Chl.b, proline, catalase, carotenoids, and antioxidant enzymes were observed [72].

7. Conclusion and future prospects

Chitosan is a naturally occurring miracle compound having enthralling antimicrobial and eliciting properties. Nano-chitosan is gaining attention nowadays due to its greater efficacy and biosafety. Chitosan nanomaterials can be used in varied ways for plant disease management, thereby preserving crop quality and yield. In recent years, several findings have been gathered indicating nano-chitosan as a potential plant health material. However, more studies need to be channelized to unveil the exact mode of action of nano-chitosan specific to the pathosystem. Incorporation of chitosan nanomaterials into integrated pest management practices by devising suitable incorporation techniques need to be pursued. The biopolymer-based nanomaterials need extensive exploration owing to their multifunctional properties and diverse mechanisms. In the coming years, the use of nano-chitosan for combating biotic and

abiotic stresses and transport of agrochemicals would be a promising discipline for utility in sustainable agriculture.

IntechOpen

Intechopen

Author details

Pranab Dutta^{*}, Arti Kumari and Madhusmita Mahanta School of Crop Protection, College of Post Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Umiam, Meghalaya, India

*Address all correspondence to: pranabdutta74@gmail.com

IntechOpen

© 2022 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

[1] CABI. Global burden of crop loss.2019. Available from: https://www.cabi. org/what-we-do/cabi-projects/

[2] Choudhary RC, Kumaraswamy RV, Kumari S, Pal A, Raliya R, Biswas P, et al. Synthesis, characterization, and application of chitosan nanomaterials loaded with zinc and copper for plant growth and protection. In: Prasad R, Kumar M, Kumar V, editors. Nanotechnology: An Agricultural Paradigm. Singapore: Springer; 2017. pp. 227-247

[3] Ali A, Ahmed S. A review on chitosan and its nanocomposites in drug delivery. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2018;**109**:273-286

[4] Saharan V, Pal A. Chitosan Based Nanomaterials in Plant Growth and Protection. New Delhi: Springer; 2016. pp. 33-41

[5] Boruah S, Dutta P. Fungus mediated biogenic synthesis and characterization of chitosan nanoparticles and its combine effect with *Trichoderma asperellum* against *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Indian Phytopathology. 2021;74(1):81-93. DOI: 10.1007/s42360-020-00289-w

[6] Perera UMSP, Rajapakse N. Chitosan nanoparticles: Preparation, characterization, and applications. In: Seafood Processing By-products. New York: Springer; 2014. pp. 371-387

[7] Sivashankari PR, Prabaharan M. Deacetylation modification techniques of chitin and chitosan. In: Chitosan Based Biomaterials. Vol. 1. India: Woodhead Publishing; 2017. pp. 117-133

[8] Oh JW, Chun SC, Chandrasekaran M. Preparation and in vitro characterization of chitosan nanoparticles and their broad-spectrum antifungal action compared to antibacterial activities against phytopathogens of tomato. Agronomy. 2019;9(1):21. DOI: 10.3390/ agronomy9010021

[9] El-Naggar NEA, Saber WI, Zweil AM, Bashir SI. An innovative green synthesis approach of chitosan nanoparticles and their inhibitory activity against phytopathogenic *Botrytis cinerea* on strawberry leaves. Scientific Reports. 2022;**12**(1):1-20

[10] Malerba M, Cerana R. Chitosan effects on plant systems. International Journal of Molecular Sciences.2016;17(7):996

[11] Knežević-Jugović Z, Petronijević Ž, Šmelcerović A. Chitin and chitosan from microorganisms. In: Chitin, Chitosan, Oligosaccharides and Their Derivatives: Biological Activities and Applications Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2020. pp. 25-34

[12] Yanat M, Schroën K. Preparation methods and applications of chitosan nanoparticles; with an outlook toward reinforcement of biodegradable packaging. Reactive and Functional Polymers. 2021;**161**:104849

[13] Agnihotri SA, Mallikarjuna NN, Aminabhavi TM. Recent advances on chitosan-based micro-and nanoparticles in drug delivery. Journal of Controlled Release. 2004;**100**(1):5-28

[14] Qi L, Xu Z, Jiang X, Hu C, Zou X. Preparation and antibacterial activity of chitosan nanoparticles. Carbohydrate Research. 2004;**339**(16):2693-2700

[15] Hernandez-Lauzardo AN, Bautista-Baños S, Velazquez-Del

Valle MG, Méndez-Montealvo MG, Sánchez-Rivera MM, Bello-Perez LA. Antifungal effects of chitosan with different molecular weights on in vitro development of *Rhizopus stolonifer* (Ehrenb.: Fr.) Vuill. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2008;**73**(4):541-547

[16] Anusuya S, Sathiyabama M. Effect of Chitosan on Rhizome Rot Disease of Turmeric Caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*. International Scholarly Research Notices; 2014

[17] Van SN, Minh HD, Anh DN. Study on chitosan nanoparticles on biophysical characteristics and growth of Robusta coffee in green house. Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. 2013;**2**(4):289-294

[18] Mao S, Sun W, Kissel T. Chitosanbased formulations for delivery of DNA and siRNA. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews. 2010;**62**(1):12-27

[19] Iriti M, Varoni EM. Chitosan-induced antiviral activity and innate immunity in plants. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2015;**22**(4):2935-2944

[20] Das A, Dutta P. Antifungal activity of biogenically synthesized silver and gold nanoparticles against sheath blight of rice. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology. 2021;**21**(6):3547-3555

[21] Dutta P, Kaman P, Kaushik H, Boruah S. Biotechnological and nanotechnological approaches for better plant health management. Trends in Biosciences. 2015;8(22):6051-6065

[22] Kaman PK, Dutta P. Nanocentric plant health management with special reference to silver. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;**6**(6):2821-2830

[23] Kaman PK, Boruah S, Kaushik H, Dutta P. Effect of biosynthesized silver nanoparticles on morphophysiology of host. International Journal of Botany and Research. 2018;**8**(3):1-4

[24] Goswami R, Bhattacharyya A, Dutta P. Nanotechnological approach for management of anthracnose and crown rot diseases of banana. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 2020;**50**(4):370-381

[25] Ahmed AA, Dutta P. Effect of green synthesized silver nanoparticles on soil properties. Journal of Medicinal Plant Studies. 2020;**8**(1):2320-3862

[26] Li X, Xu H, Chen ZS, Chen G. Biosynthesis of nanoparticles by microorganisms and their applications. Journal of Nanomaterials. 2011

[27] Gogate S, Rahman S, Dutta P. Efficacy of synthesized nanoparticles using *Ocimum sanctum* (L.) leaf extract against *Corcyra cephalonia* (S.). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;**6**(3):1149-1155

[28] Gogate S, Rahman S, Dutta P.
Pesticidal activity of green synthesized nanoparticles using *Nerium olender* (L.)
leaves extract against *Leucinodes arbonalis* (G.). International Journal of Chemical
Studies. 2018;6(3):438-442

[29] Bhuyan B, Paul A, Paul B, Dhar SS, Dutta P. *Paederia foetida* Linn. promoted biogenic gold and silver nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization, photocatalytic and in vitro efficacy against clinically isolated pathogens. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology. 2017;**173**:210-215

[30] Sharma A, Dutta P, Mahanta M, Kumari A, Yasin A. Botanicals as a source of nanomaterial for pest and disease management. Plant Health Archives. 2022;**1**(1):5-9 [31] Mohanpuria P, Rana NK, Yadav SK. Biosynthesis of nanoparticles: Technological concepts and future applications. Journal of Nanoparticle Research. 2008;**10**(3):507-517

[32] Dutta P, Kaman PK. Nanocentric plant health management with special reference to Silver. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;**6**(6):2812-2830

[33] Ćavar S, Maksimović M. Antioxidant activity of essential oil and aqueous extract of Pelargonium graveolens L'Her. Food Control. 2012;**23**(1):263-267

[34] Boukhris M, Bouaziz M, Feki I, Jemai H, El Feki A, Sayadi S. Hypoglycemic and antioxidant effects of leaf essential oil of *Pelargonium graveolens* L'Hér. in alloxan induced diabetic rats. Lipids in Health and Disease. 2012;**11**(1):1-10

[35] Guzmán K, Kumar B,
Vallejo MJ, Grijalva M, Debut A,
Cumbal L. Ultrasound-assisted synthesis and antibacterial activity of gallic acidchitosan modified silver nanoparticles.
Progress in Organic Coatings.
2019;**129**:229-235

[36] Kaman P, Dutta P. Synthesis, characterization and antifungal activity of biosynthesized nanoparticle. Indian Phytopathology. 2019;**72**:79-88

[37] Kain D, Kumar S. Synthesis and characterization of chitosan nanoparticles of *Achillea millefolium* L. and their activities. F1000 Research. 2020;**9**:1297

[38] AbdElhady MM. Preparation and characterization of chitosan/zinc oxide nanoparticles for imparting antimicrobial and UV protection to cotton fabric. International Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry. 2012 [39] Nagarajan E, Shanmugasundaram P, Ravichandiran V, Vijayalakshmi A, Senthilnathan B, Masilamani K. Development and evaluation of chitosan based polymeric nanoparticles of an antiulcer drug lansoprazole. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science. 2015;5:20-25

[40] Mohammadpour DN, Eskandari R, Avadi MR, Zolfagharian H, Mohammad Sadeghi A, Rezayat M. Preparation and in vitro characterization of chitosan nanoparticles containing Mesobuthuseupeus scorpion venom as an antigen delivery system. Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins Including Tropical Diseases. 2012;**18**(1):44-52

[41] Sivakami MS, Gomathi T, Venkatesan J, Jeong H, Kim S, Sudha PN. Preparation and characterization of nano chitosan for treatment waste water. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2013;**57**:204-212

[42] Sahab AF, Waly AI, Sabbour MM, Nawar LS. Synthesis, antifungal and insecticidal potential of Chitosan (CS)-gpoly (acrylic acid) (PAA) nanoparticles against some seed borne fungi and insects of soybean. International Journal Chemtech Research. 2015;8(2):589-598

[43] Vaezifar S, Razavi S, Golozar MA, Karbasi S, Morshed M, Kamali M. Effects of some parameters on particle size distribution of chitosan nanoparticles prepared by ionic gelation method. Journal of Cluster Science. 2013;**24**(3):891-903

[44] Tao Y, Zhang H, Gao B,
Guo J, Hu Y, Su Z. Water-Soluble chitosan nanoparticles inhibit hypercholesterolemia induced by feeding a high-fat diet in male Sprague-Dawley rats. Journal of Nanomaterials.
2011;2011:1-5. DOI: 10.1155/ 2011/814606

[45] Sharma K, Somavarapu S, Colombani A, Govind N, Taylor KM. Nebulised siRNA encapsulated crosslinked chitosan nanoparticles for pulmonary delivery. International Journal of Pharmaceutics.
2013;455(1-2):241-247

[46] Parida UK, Rout N, Bindhani BK. In vitro properties of chitosan nanoparticles induce apoptosis in human lymphoma SUDHL-4 cell line. Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology. 2013;**4**:1118-1127

[47] Van CN, Van BN, Ming-Fa H. Curcumin-loaded chitosan/gelatin composite sponge for wound healing application. International Journal of Polymer Science. 2013;**2013**:106570

[48] Meng XH, Yang LY, Kennedy JF, Tian SP. Effects of chitosan and oligochitosan on growth of two fungal pathogens and physiological properties in pear fruit. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2010;**81**:70-75

[49] Palma-Guerrero J, López-Jiménez JA, Pérez-Berná AJ, Huang IC, Jansson HB, Salinas J, et al. Membrane fluidity determines sensitivity of filamentous fungi to chitosan. Molecular Microbiology. 2010;75:1021-1032

[50] Abdel-Rahman FA, Monir GA, Hassan MSS, Ahmed Y, Refaat MH, Ismail IA, et al. Exogenously applied chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles improved apple fruit resistance to Blue Mold, upregulated defense-related genes expression, and maintained fruit quality. Horticulturae. 2021;7(8):224

[51] Saharan V, Mehrotra A, Khatik R, Rawal P, Sharma SS, Pal A. Synthesis of chitosan-based nanoparticles and their in vitro evaluation against phytopathogenic fungi. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2013;**62**:677-683 [52] Muthukrishnan S, Ramalingam P. Biological preparation of chitosan nanoparticles and its *in vitro* antifungal efficacy against some phytopathogenic fungi. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2016;**151**:321-325. DOI: 10.1016/j. carbpol.2016.05.033

[53] Manikandan A, Sathiyabama M. Preparation of Chitosan nanoparticles and its effect on detached rice leaves infected with *Pyricularia grisea*. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2016;**84**:58-61

[54] Kheiri A, MoosawiJorf SA, Malihipour A, Saremi H, Nikkhah M. Application of chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles for the control of fusarium head blight of wheat (*Fusarium graminearum*) *in vitro* and greenhouse. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2016;**93**:1261-1272

[55] Khairy A, Tohamy M, Zayed M, Mahmoud S, Eltahan A, El-Saadony M, et al. Eco-friendly application of nanochitosan for controlling potato and tomato bacterial wilt. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2021;**29**(4):2199-2209. DOI: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.11.041

[56] Choudhary SMK, Joshi A, Saharan V.
Assessment of cu-chitosan nanoparticles for its antibacterial activity against *Pseudomonas syringae*pv. *glycinea*.
International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.
2017;6(11):1335-1350

[57] Sotelo-Boyás ME, Bautista-Baños S, Correa-Pacheco ZN, Jiménez-Aparicio A, Sivakumar D. Biological activity of chitosan nanoparticles against pathogenic fungi and bacteria. In: Bautista-Banos S, Romanazzi G, Jiménez-Aparicio A, editors. Chitosan in the Preservation of Agricultural Commodities. Elsevier, USA: Academic Press; 2016. pp. 339-334 [58] Mohammadi A, Hashemi M, Hosseini SM. Chitosan nanoparticles loaded with *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* essential oil enhance the shelf life of cucumber during cold storage. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 2015;**110**:203-213

[59] Santiago T, Bonatto C, Rossato M, Lopes C, Lopes C, Mizubuti E, et al. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using tomato leaves extract and their entrapment in chitosan nanoparticles to control bacterial wilt: Silver and chitosan nanoparticles to control bacterial wilt. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2019;**99**. DOI: 10.1002/ jsfa.9656

[60] Li B, Zhang Y, Yang Y, Qiu W, Wang X, Liu B, et al. Synthesis, characterization, and antibacterial activity of chitosan/TiO2 nanocomposite against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2016;**5**(152):825-831

[61] Pospieszny H, Chirkov S, Atabekov J. Induction of antiviral resistance in plants by chitosan. Plant Science. 1991;**79**:63-68

[62] Faoro F, Sant S, Iriti M, Appiano A. Chitosan-elicited resitance to plant viruses: A histochemical and cytochemical study. In: Muzzarelli RAA, editor. Chitin Enzymology. Italy: Grottammare; 2001. pp. 57-62

[63] Chirkov SN. The antiviral activity of chitosan (review). Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology. 2002;**38**:1-8

[64] Kulikov SN, Chirkov SN, Il'ina AV, Lopatin SA, Varlamov VP. Effect of the molecular weight of chitosan on its antiviral activity in plants. PrikBiokhimMikrobiol. 2006;**42**(2):224-228 [65] Lu H, Zhao X, Wang W, Yin H, Xu J, Bai X, et al. Inhibition effect on tobacco mosaic virus and regulation effect on calreticulin of oligochitosan in tobacco by induced Ca2+ influx. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2010;**82**:136-142. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.04.049

[66] Siddaiah CN, Prasanth KVH, Satyanarayana NR, Mudili V, Gupta VK, Kalagatur NK, et al. Chitosan nanoparticles having higher degree of acetylation induce resistance against pearl millet downy mildew through nitric oxide generation. Scientific Reports. 2018;8(1):2485. DOI: 10.1038/ s41598-017-19016-z

[67] Kaur P, Thakur R, Choudhary A. An *in vitro* study of the antifungal activity of silver/chitosan nanoformulations against important seed borne pathogens. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research. 2012;**1**:83-86

[68] Brunel F, El Gueddari NE, Moerschbacher BM. Complexation of copper (II) with chitosan nanogels: Toward control of microbial growth. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2013;**92**(2):1348-1356

[69] Benhamou N. Ultrastructural detection of β -1,3-glucans in tobacco root tissues infected by *Phytophthora parasitica* var. *nicotianae* using a goldcomplexed tobacco β -1,3-glucanase. Physiology Molecular Plant Pathology. 1992;**41**:351-357

[70] Iriti M, Faoro F. Chitosan as a MAMP, searching for a PRR. Plant Signaling & Behavior. 2009;**4**(1):66-68

[71] Amborabé B-E, Bonmort J,
Fleurat-Lessard P, Roblin G. Early events induced by chitosan on plant cells.
Journal of Experimental Botany.
2008;59:2317-2324

[72] Zayed M, Elkafafi S, Zedan A, Dawound S. Effect of Nano chitosan of growth, physiological and biochemical properties of Phaseolus vulgaris under salt stress. Journal of Plant Production. 2017;**8**:577-585

