

# DETERMINANT FACTORS RELATED TO THE VARIATION IN HORIZONTAL VELOCITY OF THE BODY CENTRE OF MASS IN BUTTERFLY

A. Martins-Silva and F. Alves<sup>1</sup>

Sports Science Department, University of Vila Real, Portugal

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Human Movement, Lisbon, Portugal

**INTRODUCTION:** According to Persyn et al. (1995) in the global strokes only the resultant impulse on the body, which is the difference between propulsion and drag forces, can be calculated from one phase to another. Therefore, the horizontal velocity variation of the body centre of mass (CMbody) in a stroke cycle must be calculated.

When the horizontal velocity of the CMbody varies considerably, the swimmer has to overcome during each stroke cycle high hydrodynamic drag forces, due to the high peak velocity.

According to Toussaint (1983), the intracyclic variations are considered to limit swimming performance and depend on the swimmer's skill. Persyn et al. (1989) showed statistically that the amplitude of these variations during certain phases of the stroke are linked to the degree of skill of the swimmer, and that those variations are more critical in the two symmetrical strokes, because of the continuously propulsive and braking resistance impulses.

Other investigations reveal that high level swimmers register small variation values which indicates small CMbody velocity variations around the mean value. According to some consulted authors (Costil et al., 1987; D'Acquisto et al., 1988; Holmer, 1974; Alves et al.; Nigg, 1993) this indicates technical ability, and efficiency and small energetic cost.

In this paper, which is the result of several studies, our purpose was to: (i) describe the intra cycle CMbody velocity behaviour; (ii) evaluate the determinant factors related to the variation in horizontal velocity of the body centre of mass in butterfly, considering different technical simulations.

**METHOD:** For this purpose, national level swimmers were filmed using three high resolution video-cameras, two underwater (sagittal and frontal plane) and one above water (frontal plane). The whole body was digitised, for each view, to create a complete stroke cycle. After the filming and digitising procedures the CMbody velocity, during all phases of the stroke cycle (outsweep; downsweep; insweep; upsweep; hand exit; recovery), and the three-dimensional co-ordinates were calculated (DLT algorithm- APAS system).

**RESULTS:** There were significant correlations ( $p < 0.05$ ) between CMbody velocity variation and: (i) hand magnitude velocity during the upsweep (HMOVUP) of the stroke ( $r = -0.95$ ); (ii) hand horizontal velocity during the upsweep (HHVUP) of the stroke ( $r = -0.96$ ); (iii) vertical velocity during the upsweep (HVVUP) of the stroke ( $r = -0.79$ ); (iv) hand lateral velocity during the upsweep (HLVUP) of the stroke ( $r = -0.91$ ); (v) hand magnitude velocity during the insweep (HMOVIN) of the stroke ( $r = 0.98$ ); (vi) hand horizontal velocity during the insweep (HHVIN) of the stroke ( $r = 0.96$ ); (vii) hand vertical velocity during the insweep (HVVIN) of the stroke ( $r = 0.91$ ); (viii) BCM velocity during the outsweep (BCMVOU) of the arm stroke ( $r = -0.58$ ). The obtained multiple regression (stepwise, 0,05) for the determinant factors related to the BCMVV was:

$$Y = 4,517803 + 5,377747 * (MHVIN) - 1,725181 * (HLVIN) + 1,807357 * (HVVIN)$$

Thus, the major determinant factors related to the CMbody velocity variation are: (i) those directly related to the high hand horizontal, vertical and lateral velocity during the last phases of the arm stroke (upsweep and exit); (ii) those directly related to the low of hand velocity in all directional components during the most lateral phases of the arm stroke (insweep).

## REFERENCES:

- Counsilman, J. & Wasilack, J. (1982). The importance of hand speed and hand acceleration. In R.M. Ousley (Ed.) *1981 ASCA World Clinic Yearbook* (pp. 41-45). Fort Lauderdale.
- Cunha, P.; Vilas Boas, J.P. (1995). Fatigue related changes in butterfly swimming during a 200 m trial: a pilot study. *The Xith FINA World Sport Medicine Congress*. Glyfada. Athens
- Figueiras, T. (1995). *Alterações biomecânicas da técnica de mariposa ao longo da prova de 200m: comparação de nadadores infantis e seniores*. Dissertação apresentada no 3º Mestrado em Ciências do Desporto de crianças e jovens. Não publicada. FCDEF. UP
- Galvão, M. & Alves, F. (1996). Efeito da variação da velocidade de nado nas características técnicas em mariposa. *Comunicação apresentada no 19º Congresso técnico da APTN*. Portimão
- Hinrichs, R., Schleihauf, R.E., Higgins, J.R., Luedtke, D.L., Maglischo, C.W., Maglischo, E.W. & Thayer, A.L. (1986). Kinetic analysis of US Olympic butterfly swimmers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, **18**, S64.
- Maglischo, C.W., Maglischo, E.W. & Santos, T.R. (1987). The relationships between the forward velocity of the centre of mass and the forward velocity of the hip in the four competitive strokes. *Journal of Swimming Research*, Fall, 11-17.
- Maglischo, E.W. (1993). *Swimming Even Faster*. Mayfield Publishing Company.
- Persyn, U., Vervaecke, H. & Verhetsel, D. (1983). Factors influencing stroke mechanics and speed in swimming the butterfly. In Matsui, H., and Kobayashi, K. (eds). *Biomechanics VIII-A & B: Proceedings of the English International Congress of Biomechanics*, Nagoyua, Japan, Champaign, Ill.: Human Kinetics Publishers, pp. 833-841.
- Schleihauf, R.E., Gray, L. & DeRose, J. (1983). Three-dimensional analysis of hand propulsion in the sprint front crawl stroke. In P.Hollander, P.A. Huijing & G. de Groot (Eds.) *International Series on Sport Sciences: Vol. 14. Biomechanics and Medicine in Swimming* (pp. 173-183). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Schleihauf, R.E., Higgins, J., Hinricks, R., Luedtke, D., Maglischo, C., Maglischo, E. & Thayer, A. (1988). Propulsive techniques: front crawl, butterfly, backstroke and breaststroke. In, Ungerechts, B.E. et.al. (Eds.), *Swimming Science V* (pp. 53-59). Champaign Ill. :Human Kinetics Publishers.