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#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to analyse the performance of the best athletes of the $100 \mathrm{~m}, 200 \mathrm{~m}$ and 400 m sprint events at the World Athletics Championships Seville' 99. Selected biomechanical variables were measured and the data obtained were provided to coaches and athletes so that they could be used in their training programming. The subjects of this study were eight men and eight women on each final. Athletes were filmed passing markers placed each 10 and 50 m in the track by using two dimensional photogrammetry techniques. The results allow us to analyse in detail the several sections of each race and carry out an exhaustive comparative analysis of the athlete's performance at his race.
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INTRODUCTION: The men's and women's $100 \mathrm{~m}, 200 \mathrm{~m}$ and 400 m sprint events at the World Championships in Athletics Seville 1999, were analysed as part of the objectives of the project "Biomechanical analysis of throwing and running events at the 1999 IAAF World Athletics Championships". This project was approved by the International Amateur Athletic Federation" (IAAF) and financially supported by the Interministerial Comission of Science and Technology (CICYT) and the Higher Sports Council (CSD) of Spain. This kind of analysis has been carried out in competition for more than a decade, as it provides coaches and athletes with very useful information as an aid to the programming of training sessions. The analysis of the races of the top athletes in the world in each speciality, works as a reference for assessing technique and finding an explanation to the results. The results of the World Championships in Rome 1987 (Landry, 1987;Moravec et al., 1988), Athens 1997 (Brüggemann et al., 1997) and those of the Seoul Olympic Games (1988) (Susanka et al., 1989a, 1989b, 1989c; Brüggemann et al., 1990), have been a reference for designing the experimental procedure for different events. The aims of this study were:

1. To carry out an analysis of the performance of the men's and women's $100 \mathrm{~m}, 200 \mathrm{~m}$ and 400 m finalists at the World Athletics Championships Seville 99, based on split times and other variables arised from those times.
2. To transmit the results of the study to coaches and athletes all over the world, so they can be used as a reference for the design of training strategies according to the world trends. In order to carry out the study it was required:
3. To obtain a methodology based on two dimensional video photogrammetric procedures ready for the kinematic analysis of the competition.

METHODS: The subjects of the study were eight men and eight women of each $100 \mathrm{~m}, 200 \mathrm{~m}$ and 400 m sprint races final. The material used for the filming was eight SVHS video cameras and four digital high-speed video cameras (Kodak Motion Corder Analyzer SR 500C). System for data analysis: two SVHS video recorders, a computer with a Video Capture Board and video editing software. For data processing, customised software with calculus routines were developed by our Laboratory. Video cameras, operating at 50 Hz , were placed perpendicular to the running direction for filming the runners when passing through markers which were placed every 10 metres ( 100 m sprint races) and every 50 metres ( 200 and 400 m sprint races). High-speed video cameras, operating at 100 Hz , filmed the runners passing through the distances: $0-15 \mathrm{~m}$ and $50-65 \mathrm{~m}$ in 100 m sprint races, $100-115 \mathrm{~m}$ and $150-165 \mathrm{~m}$ in 200 m sprint races, $300-315 \mathrm{~m}$ and $350-365$ in 400 m sprint races. Sequences were digitized in order to register at the very moment when each athlete passed the markers which had been filmed before. The anatomical reference point to digitize was the hip. These data were
entered into a calculus routine to obtain the following information related to the athletes, which were released as preliminary reports shortly after the competition:

1. Interval times in 10 m sections for 100 m sprint races (Table 1).
2. Interval times in 50 m sections for 200 m (Table 2) and 400 m (Table 3) sprint races.
3. Times at the end of each section throughout the race.
4. Comparison of the time intervals between athletes in 10 or 50 m sections.
5. Differences from the winner's time.
6. Relative time of each section.
7. Speed curve.
8. Maximum mean speed and sections in which it was achieved.
9. Time intervals from 30 to 50 m ( 100 m race).
10. Time intervals from 80 to 100 m ( 100 m race).
11. Time intervals every 100 metres ( 200 and 400 m races).
12. Time intervals every 200 metres ( 400 m races).
13. Stride rate in $10 / 50 \mathrm{~m}$ sections.

RESULTS: Tables 1, 2 and 3 show the interval times for the first three subjects classified in the $100 \mathrm{~m}, 200 \mathrm{~m}$ and 400 m finals; the interval times for the rest of the finalists are also available. These tables presenting individual data permit the comparison of the results among the athletes.
100 m : Maurice Green achieved a maximum average speed of $11.90 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in the $50-60 \mathrm{~m}$ section. Average speeds of the race between 9.77 and $10.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ were reached in the 100 m male races, and those average speeds which were lower than $11.36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ were not recorded in any 10 metres section. Greene was not able to achieve a good time in the $10-20 \mathrm{~m}$ section; it can be said that he lost the world record in that part of the race. The winner of the 100 m women's race, Marion Jones, achieved an average maximum speed of $10.87 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ in her fastest section $(50-60 \mathrm{~m})$. The accumulated times for the 30 to 50 m and from 50 to 80 m respectively indicate how each athlete accelerated and decelerated. It is worth pointing out that the first three athletes (men and women) obtained better times over those distances.
200 m : In the male race, the second and third classified athletes had better times during the 50 to 100 m section than the winner, Maurice Green, but he kept the first position from that point until the end of the race and achieved a better average speed between 150 m and 200 m , clocking differences of 0.05 and 0.13 s . Da Silva achieved the fastest average speed per section ( $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with $11.26 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. In the female race, Inger Miller's partial times over the whole race were better than those of any of her rivals (except for the start). Maximum speeds were produced in the 50 to 100 m section with the average maximum speed of $10.12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ by Miller.
400m: At the 200m mark Michael Johnson was in the third place with an accumulated time of $21.22 \mathrm{~s}, 0.09 \mathrm{~s}$ more than the silver medallist, who at this stage was placed first in the race. In the 200 m to 250 m section Johnson clocked 0.12 s less than the athlete with the best time at this stage of the race, whose maximum average speed was $10.08 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Johnson kept a speed plateau over the $200 \mathrm{~m}-300 \mathrm{~m}$ section avoiding a rapid loss of speed, which was more accentuated in his rivals. At the female race, Cathy Freeman, the winner, beat the second classified, Rücker by 0.07 s . The best average speed of the race was $8.05 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, which was achieved by Freeman. The maximum average speeds in each section were obtained at the 50 m to $100 \mathrm{~m}(8.90 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ by the third classified).

## DISCUSSION:

1. The analysis of sprint races has made possible the study of the performance of the best sprinters who participated in the 1999 World Championships in Athletics in Seville.
2. The data presented shows individual and group results to help the coach to assess the performance of each athlete and to be able to select the most suitable competition strategy.
3. A methodology has been designed in order to carry out the kinematic analysis of sprint races, which will permit the release of the results just a few hours after the competition.

Table 1. Time intervals each 10 m in the 100 m finals.

| The values for interval $0-10 \mathrm{~m}$ do not include the official reaction time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 m FINAL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME | $\begin{gathered} 0- \\ 10 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10- \\ & 20 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20- \\ 30 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30- \\ 40 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40- \\ & 50 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50- \\ 60 \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60- \\ & 70 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 70- \\ 80 \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80- \\ 90 \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90- \\ 100 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | OFFICIAL TIME |
| GREENE, Maurice (USA) | 1.73 | 1.03 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 9.80 |
| SURIN, Bruny (CAN) | 1.75 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 9.84 |
| CHAMBERS, <br> Dwain (USA) | 1.73 | 1.02 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 9.97 |
| 100m FINAL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JONES, Marion (USA) | 1.83 | 1.10 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 10.70 |
| MILLER, Inger (USA) | 1.83 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 10.79 |
| THANOU, Ekaterini (GRE) | 1.89 | 1.11 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 10.84 |

Table 2. Time intervals each 50 m in the 200 m finals.

| The values for the interval 0.50 m include the official reaction time. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 m FINAL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME | 0-50m | 50-100m | 100-150m | 150-200m | OFFICIAL TIME |
| GREENE, Maurice (USA) | 5.74 | 4.51 | 4.69 | 4.96 | 19.90 |
| DA SILVA, Claudinei Quirino (BRA) | 5.88 | 4.44 | 4.67 | 5.01 | 20.00 |
| OBIKWELU, Francis (NGR) | 5.83 | 4.45 | 4.74 | 5.09 | 20.11 |
| 200m FINAL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILLER, Inger (USA) | 6.16 | 4.94 | 5.13 | 5.54 | 21.77 |
| MCDONALD, Beverly (JAM) | 6.31 | 4.97 | 5.29 | 5.65 | 22.22 |
| FRAZER, Merlene (JAM) | 6.29 | 4.97 | 5.28 | 5.72 | 22.26 |

Table 3. Time intervals each 50 m in the 400 m finals.

| The values for the interval $0-50 \mathrm{~m}$ include the official reaction time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 m FINAL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME | 0-50m | $\begin{gathered} 50- \\ 100 \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 150 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150- \\ 200 \mathrm{~m} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200- \\ 250 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 250- \\ 300 \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300- \\ 350 \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350- \\ 400 \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | OFFICIAL TIME |
| JOHNSON, Michael (USA) | 6.14 | 4.96 | 5.00 | 5.12 | 5.20 | 5.24 | 5.52 | 6.00 | 43.18 |
| PARRELA, Sanderei (BRA) | 6.22 | 4.90 | 4.91 | 5.10 | 5.41 | 5.56 | 5.83 | 6.36 | 44.29 |
| CÁRDENAS, Alejandro (MEX) | 6.00 | 4.99 | 5.02 | 5.18 | 5.39 | 5.53 | 5.86 | 6.34 | 44.31 |
| 400 m . FINAL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FREEMAN, Cathy (AUS) | 6.56 | 5.63 | 5.68 | 5.92 | 6.08 | 6.10 | 6.53 | 7.17 | 49.67 |
| RÜCKER, Anja (GER) | 6.80 | 5.61 | 5.69 | 5.93 | 6.06 | 6.12 | 6.45 | 7.08 | 49.74 |
| GRAHAM, Lorraine (JAM) | 6.61 | 5.50 | 5.62 | 5.86 | 6.19 | 6.34 | 6.60 | 7.20 | 49.92 |
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