

CHUO MATH NO.132(2021)

**Sparse non-smooth atomic decomposition of  
quasi-Banach lattices**

by

**Naoya Hatano, Ryota Kawasumi and Yoshihiro Sawano**

*DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS*



*CHUO UNIVERSITY*

*BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN*

*SEPT. 1, 2021*

# Sparse non-smooth atomic decomposition of quasi-Banach lattices

Naoya Hatano, Ryota Kawasumi and Yoshihiro Sawano

*To Professor Stephan Samko*

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary 42B35; Secondary 42C40.

**Keywords.** quasi-Banach lattices, decomposition .

**Abstract.** A theory of non-smooth atomic decomposition is obtained for a large class of quasi-Banach lattices, including Morrey spaces, Lorentz spaces, mixed Lebesgue spaces as well as some related function spaces. As an application, an inequality comparing the fractional maximal operator and the fractional integral operator is considered. Some examples show that the restriction posed on quasi-Banach lattices are indispensable. This paper, which is a follow-up of the third author's paper in 2020, simplifies the proof of some existing results.

## 1. Introduction

In this note we consider the decomposition of functions in quasi-Banach lattices over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the use of functions in  $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the space of all compactly supported essentially bounded functions, satisfying the moment conditions of arbitrary order. Here and below the space  $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the linear space of all Lebesgue measurable functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, \dots\}$ .

A quasi-Banach lattice over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a quasi-Banach space  $(\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$  contained in  $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that, for all  $g \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the implication “ $|f| \leq |g| \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ ” holds. A quasi-Banach lattice is said to satisfy the Fatou property if  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{X}}$  if we are given a sequence  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$  and  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{X}} < \infty$ .

---

Yoshihiro Sawano was partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 19K03546, for Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Next, we introduce the definition of the moment order of measurable functions. Let  $L \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$  stands for the linear space of all polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $L$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  denotes the set of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^L |f(x)| dx < \infty$$

and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha f(x) dx = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\alpha| \leq L$ .

To describe the distribution of the support of functions appearing in the decomposition we consider, we recall the definition of sparseness. By a ‘‘cube’’ we mean a compact cube whose edges are parallel to the coordinate axes. The symbol  $\mathcal{Q}$  stands for the set of all such cubes. The set of all dyadic cubes is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ Q_{jm} := \prod_{l=1}^n [2^{-j} m_l, 2^{-j}(m_l + 1)) : (j, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \right\},$$

where  $(j, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = (j, m)$ . Recall also that a set  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$  is sparse, if there exists a disjoint collection  $\{K(Q)\}_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}}$  of measurable sets such that  $K(Q)$  is contained in  $Q$  and that  $2|K(Q)| \geq |Q|$  for each  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Each  $K(Q)$  is called a nutshell of  $Q$ . Finally, denote by  $M_{\mathcal{D}}$  the dyadic maximal operator, that is, for  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$M_{\mathcal{D}}f(x) := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \chi_Q(x) m_Q(|f|) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $m_Q(g)$  stands for the average of a function  $g$  integrable over  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Recall that a quadrant is a set of the form

$$\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : (-1)^{k_j} x_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

for some  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

We formulate the main result in this paper as an extension of the one by Strömberg and Torchinsky [33]. Throughout this paper  $C$  is used for constants that may change from one occurrence to another. When we need to emphasize that the constant  $C$  depends on some important parameters, we add them as subscripts. Constants with subscripts remain unchanged from one occurrence to another.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$  be a quasi-Banach lattice with the Fatou property such that*

$$\|M_{\mathcal{D}}f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \quad (f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad (1.1)$$

*and that  $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

*Assume either  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or that*

$$\|\chi_F\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \infty \quad (1.2)$$

*for all quadrants  $F$ .*

Then  $f$  admits a decomposition:

$$f = \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q a_Q \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

where  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$  is a sparse set,  $a_Q \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and  $|a_Q| \leq \chi_Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$0 \leq \lambda_Q \leq C_{n,L} m_Q(|f|), \quad \left\| \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} (\lambda_Q \chi_Q)^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C_{v,n,L,\mathcal{X}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $v > 0$ .

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then Theorem 1.1 is easy to prove (see Section 3). What is significant is that this integrability condition can be replaced by (1.1), a weak restriction of the lattice  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We present an application of Theorem 1.1. Let  $I_\alpha$  be the fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha \in (0, n)$  given by

$$I_\alpha f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.4)$$

for a non-negative function  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The fractional maximal operator  $M_\alpha$  of order  $\alpha \in [0, n)$  is defined by

$$M_\alpha f(x) := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \chi_Q(x) \ell(Q)^{\alpha-n} \int_Q |f(y)| dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\ell(S)$  denotes the side-length of  $S \in \mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{D}$ :  $\ell(S) = |S|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . Note that  $M := M_0$  is the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. A geometric observation shows that  $M_\alpha f \leq C_{\alpha,n} I_\alpha f$  for any non-negative function  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As an application of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following equivalence:

**Theorem 1.2.** *In addition to the Fatou property of  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , assume that there exist  $1 < r < \infty$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M f_j^r \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^r \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \quad (1.5)$$

for all  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$C^{-1} \|M_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \|M_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{X}}$$

for all non-negative functions  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

A couple of remarks about condition (1.5) may be in order. First, either  $M$  or  $M_{\mathcal{D}}$  does not have to be bounded on  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; it suffices to assume the

Fatou property of  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and (1.5). Next, (1.5) is different from the usual vector-valued inequality:

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M f_j^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Needless to say, (1.6) is an extension of the well-known inequality which Fefferman and Stein proved for  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in [10].

We note that (1.1) and (1.5) are independent conditions. In fact,  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (1.1) but fails (1.5), while  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $0 < p \leq 1$  satisfies (1.5) but fails (1.1). We claim that (1.5) is stronger than (1.2) since  $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In fact, if  $0 < r < \infty$ ,  $F$  is a quadrant and  $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}$  is an increasing sequence such that 0 is a boundary point of  $Q_1$  and that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty Q_j = F$  almost everywhere, then

$$\infty \chi_{Q_1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (M \chi_{Q_{j+1} \setminus Q_j})^r$$

and (1.5) implies

$$\infty \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{Q_{j+1} \setminus Q_j} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \|\chi_F\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

Thus, (1.5) holds. It should be noted that (1.1) yields  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as is seen from

$$m_Q(|f|) \|\chi_Q\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|M_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \quad (1.7)$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

There are many examples of quasi-Banach lattices satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (1.5). At this moment, we content ourselves with Morrey spaces and give more examples in Section 6: We will use Morrey spaces to create a counterexample in Section 5. Let  $0 < q \leq p < \infty$ . For  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  its Morrey quasi-norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{|Q|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^q}} \left( \int_Q |f(y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.8)$$

The Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of all  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which the quasi-norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$  is finite. Chiarenza and Frasca established that  $M$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < q \leq p < \infty$  [4], so that (1.1) is satisfied. Thus, we are in the position of using Theorem 1.1. Actually, Theorem 1.1 refines [18, Theorem 1.2]. Furthermore, the space  $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $0 < q \leq 1 < p < \infty$  falls under the scope of Theorem 1.2. In this case, Theorem 1.2 recaptures a result by Tanaka [34]. In fact, (1.5) is equivalent to (1.6) for  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{qr}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus, as long as  $qr > 1$ , or equivalently,  $r > q^{-1}$ , we have (1.5) according to [32, 35].

Theorem 1.1 can be located also as an extension of [33, Chapter VIII] in that the function space  $L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in [33, Chapter VIII] is replaced by general quasi-Banach lattices. In [30], assuming that (1.5) is true, we showed that many function spaces admit a decomposition as in Theorem 1.1 by the use of the grand maximal operator, so that the theory of Hardy spaces adapted to general Banach lattices had to be established. However, as our proof shows, we do not need to use it. Our proof significantly simplifies the one in [30]. Also, each  $a_Q$  is supported on the closure of  $Q$  instead of the one of its triple  $3Q$ . It is also remarkable that Theorem 1.1 can deal with the variable Lebesgue space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with some discontinuous exponent (see Section 6.8) which does not fall within the scope of [30, 33]; see (6.5) for the precise definition of  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Here we describe the structure of the remaining part of this paper. Section 2 deals with the preliminaries, while the proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. We apply Theorem 1.1 in Section 4 to prove Theorem 1.2. We give examples of Banach lattices that we cannot drop conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.5) in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we conclude this paper with some function spaces we envisage and survey some results obtained earlier. We will see that Theorem 1.1 unifies many earlier results. We also supplement some auxiliary estimates for function spaces we list in Section 6.

## 2. A generalized Calderón–Zygmund decomposition

We follow [29] to recall some results. Fix  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $L \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Since  $\{x^\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq L}$  is linearly independent in  $L^2(Q)$ , for all  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there uniquely exists  $P_Q^L f \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\chi_Q(f - P_Q^L f) \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$ . The polynomial  $P_Q^L f$  is called the Gram–Schmidt polynomial of order  $L$  for  $Q$ .

We follow [9, 26, 29] to recall the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition. Let  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Fix  $A > 4^n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $\Omega^k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{D}}f(x) > A^k\}$ . Assume that  $\Omega^k$  never contains any quadrant. We can find a disjoint collection  $\{Q_j^k\}_{j \in J^k} \subset \mathcal{D}$  and a collection  $\{g^k\} \cup \{b_j^k\}_{j \in J^k} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that:

- (0) Each  $J^k$  is a countable index set.
- (1) We have

$$\Omega^k = \sum_{j \in J^k} Q_j^k. \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore,

$$A^k < m_{Q_j^k}(|f|) \leq 2^n A^k.$$

- (2) (Decomposition of  $f$ )  $f$  admits the following decomposition:

$$f = g^k + \sum_{j \in J^k} b_j^k \quad \text{a.e.} \tag{2.2}$$

Here

$$g^k := f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega^k} + \sum_{j \in J^k} P_{Q_j^k}^L(f)\chi_{Q_j^k}, \quad b_j^k := \chi_{Q_j^k}(f - P_{Q_j^k}^L(f)).$$

A direct consequence of this definition is that  $b_j^k$  satisfies the moment condition  $b_j^k \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and the support condition  $\text{supp}(b_j^k) \subset \overline{Q_j^k}$ .

We set

$$a_j^k := \chi_{Q_j^k}(g^k - g^{k+1}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in J^k). \quad (2.3)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the following facts:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $A > 4^n$ . Assume that  $\Omega^k$  never contains any quadrant for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

- (1) *The family  $\mathfrak{Q} := \{Q_j^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in J^k}$  is sparse. In fact,  $K(Q_j^k) := Q_j^k \setminus \Omega^{k+1}$  is the nutshell. [29, Proposition 1]*
- (2) *There exists  $C_{n,L} > 0$  such that  $|g^k| \leq C_{n,L}A^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . [29, Proposition 2]*
- (3) *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j \in J^k$ . Then  $a_j^k \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and there exists a constant  $C_{n,L} > 0$  such that  $|a_j^k| \leq C_{n,L}A^k\chi_{Q_j^k}$ . [29, Proposition 3]*
- (4) *Assume that  $M_{\mathcal{D}}f$  is finite almost everywhere. Then  $f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (g^k - g^{-k+1})$  in the sense of almost everywhere convergence. [29, Corollary 1]*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We preserve all the notation in Section 2. We prove Theorem 1.1 for  $f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  after we translate it into the following form:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $L \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $A > 4^n$ . Let  $(\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$  be a quasi-Banach lattice with the Fatou property such that (1.1) holds and that  $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for  $\{a_j^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in J^k}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  as in (2.3) and Proposition 2.1, respectively, we have*

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in J^k} a_j^k \quad \text{a.e.}, \quad A^k < m_{Q_j^k}(|f|) \leq 2^n A^k$$

as well as the quasi-norm estimate

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in J^k} (A^k \chi_{Q_j^k})^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C_{v,n,L,\mathcal{X}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we are in the position of using Proposition 2.1(4) thanks to the Hardy–Littlewood weak-(1,1) maximal inequality [9, Chapter 2]. What is not contained there is (3.1). Since the  $\Omega^k$ 's are nested,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in J^k} (A^k \chi_{Q_j^k})^v = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (A^k \chi_{\Omega^k})^v \leq C_{v,A} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{vk} \chi_{\Omega^k} \leq C_{v,A} (M_{\mathcal{D}}f)^v.$$

Hence (3.1) holds from (1.1).  $\square$

We prove Theorem 1.1 for  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (1.2). Let us drop the assumption  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; we suppose not only that  $f \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but that (1.2) holds. Write  $f^{(m)}(x) := \chi_{[0,m]}(\max(|x|, |f(x)|))f(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, since  $f^{(m)} \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , according to what we have proved, each  $f^{(m)}$  admits a decomposition as in Theorems 1.1 and 3.1. That is, with a slight change of notation, each  $f^{(m)} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  admits a decomposition: there exist a sparse set  $\mathfrak{A}^{(m)} := \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \lambda_Q^{(m)} \neq 0\}$  with the nutshell  $K^{(m)}(Q)$  for each  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}^{(m)}$ ,  $\{\lambda_Q^{(m)}\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a collection  $\{a_Q^{(m)}\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  of functions satisfying  $|a_Q^{(m)}| \leq \chi_Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$  such that the following properties hold:

(1) There exists a constant  $C_{n,L} > 0$  such that

$$0 \leq \lambda_Q^{(m)} \leq C_{n,L} m_Q(|f^{(m)}|). \quad (3.2)$$

(2)  $f^{(m)} = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q^{(m)} a_Q^{(m)}$  a.e..

(3) There exists a constant  $C_A > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q^{(m)} \chi_Q^{(m)} \leq C_A M_{\mathcal{D}} f^{(m)} \leq C_A M_{\mathcal{D}} f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.3)$$

(4) There exists a constant  $C_{v,n,L,\mathcal{X}} > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} (\lambda_Q^{(m)} \chi_Q)^v \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C_{v,n,L,\mathcal{X}} \|f^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C_{v,n,L,\mathcal{X}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (3.4)$$

By the diagonal argument, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{m_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$a_Q := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} a_Q^{(m_l)} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp, \quad \lambda_Q := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_Q^{(m_l)}$$

exist in the weak-\* topology of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively. Since the weak-\* topology preserves the moment, the support and the size of functions, we see that  $|a_Q| \leq \chi_Q$  and that  $a_Q \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  for each  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ . By the Fatou property of  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and (3.4) with  $v = 1$ ,

$$g := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q a_Q \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We claim that  $f = g$ . Once this is achieved, we obtain a candidate of the decomposition of  $f$ . Observe that the Lebesgue differentiation theorem reduces matters to

$$\int_R f(x) dx = \int_R g(x) dx \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $R \in \mathcal{D}$ .



Keeping in mind (1.7) and (3.3), we deduce

$$\int_R f(x)dx = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_R f^{(m_l)}(x)dx = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx \quad (3.6)$$

by using the Lebesgue convergence theorem twice.

We deal with

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx.$$

First of all,  $a_Q^{(m_l)} \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp \subset \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$ . Thus

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset R} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx.$$

Observe that for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists uniquely  $R_\mu \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $R \subset R_\mu$  and that  $\ell(R_\mu) = 2^\mu \ell(R)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then there exists  $M_\varepsilon \gg 1$  such that

$$\|\chi_{R_\mu}\|_{\mathcal{X}} > \frac{1 + \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}}{C_{1,n,L,\mathcal{X}} \varepsilon}$$

for all  $\mu \geq M_\varepsilon$  thanks to (1.2). Here  $C_{1,n,L,\mathcal{X}}$  is a constant in (3.4) with  $v = 1$ . Thus, for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{\mu=M_\varepsilon}^{\infty} \lambda_{R_\mu}^{(m_l)} \leq \frac{1}{\|\chi_{R_{M_\varepsilon}}\|_{\mathcal{X}}} \left\| \sum_{\mu=M_\varepsilon}^{\infty} \lambda_{R_\mu}^{(m_l)} \chi_{R_\mu} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \frac{C_{1,n,L,\mathcal{X}}}{\|\chi_{R_{M_\varepsilon}}\|_{\mathcal{X}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset R} \int_R \lambda_Q a_Q(x)dx - \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset R} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx \right| \leq 2|R|\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we deduce from (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R f(x)dx &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_R \lambda_Q^{(m_l)} a_Q^{(m_l)}(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \int_R \lambda_Q a_Q(x)dx \\ &= \int_R g(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to find a sparse family  $\mathfrak{A}$  and establish the norm estimate (1.3). Let  $\mathfrak{A} := \{Q \in \mathcal{D} : \lambda_Q \neq 0\}$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a sparse family. In fact, if  $\lambda_Q \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda_Q^{(m_l)} \neq 0$  for large  $l$ , say  $l \geq L_Q$ . Let  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then since  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  thanks to (1.7),  $[\log_A m_Q(|f^{(m_l)}|)]$  does not depend on  $l$  as

long as  $l$  is large. Thus, we may assume  $\{K^{(m_l)}(Q)\}_{l=L_Q}^\infty$  is decreasing if we replace  $L_Q$  by a larger number. With this in mind, we define

$$K(Q) := \bigcap_{l=L_Q}^\infty K^{(m_l)}(Q).$$

Let us check that  $\{K(Q)\}_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}}$  is a family of the nutshells. Since  $2|K^{(m_l)}(Q)| \geq |Q|$ , we see that  $2|K(Q)| \geq |Q|$ . Let  $Q, Q' \in \mathfrak{A}$  be different cubes. Since  $K^{(m_l)}(Q) \cap K^{(m_l)}(Q') = \emptyset$  as long as  $l \geq L_Q + L_{Q'}$ , we see that  $K(Q) \cap K(Q') = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $K(Q)$  is a nutshell of each  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Finally, (1.3) follows from (3.2) and (3.4). Thus the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

#### 4. Application of Theorem 1.1–Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a non-negative function. By the truncation and by the monotone convergence theorem, we may assume  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ . Apply Theorem 1.1 for  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $L \gg 1$ . Then there is a decomposition:

$$f = \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q a_Q \quad \text{a.e.}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$  is a sparse set,  $a_Q \in \mathcal{P}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and  $|a_Q| \leq \chi_Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \chi_Q \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_{1,n,L,p} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda_Q \leq C_{n,L} m_Q(f).$$

Note that (4.1) takes place in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $I_\alpha$  maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boundedly to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ ,

$$I_\alpha f = \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q I_\alpha a_Q$$

in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As a result, using [18, Lemma 4.2], we have

$$I_\alpha f \leq C \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \ell(Q)^\alpha (M\chi_Q)^r$$

since  $L \gg 1$ . Consequently, by (1.5),

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \ell(Q)^\alpha (M\chi_Q)^r \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \ell(Q)^\alpha \chi_Q \right\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a sparse family, by using (1.5) once again we obtain

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \ell(Q)^\alpha (M\chi_{K(Q)})^r \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \ell(Q)^\alpha \chi_{K(Q)} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $\{K(Q)\}_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}}$  is disjoint,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathfrak{A}} \lambda_Q \ell(Q)^\alpha \chi_{K(Q)} \leq CM_\alpha f. \quad (4.3)$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain the desired result.

## 5. Examples of function spaces showing that (1.1), (1.2) and (1.5) are necessary

Here we collect some counterexamples.

### 5.1. Condition (1.1)

As the example of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  shows, we cannot drop condition (1.1).

**Proposition 5.1.** *We have  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  if  $f$  admits a decomposition:*

$$f = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q a_Q \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

where  $a_Q \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and  $|a_Q| \leq \chi_Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset [0, \infty)$  satisfies

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \chi_Q \right\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Simply apply the Lebesgue convergence theorem.  $\square$

### 5.2. Condition (1.2)

We cannot require the moment condition for  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions.

**Proposition 5.2.** *There do not exist  $\{a_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and  $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset [0, \infty)$  such that  $|a_Q| \leq \chi_Q$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ , that*

$$1 = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q a_Q$$

almost everywhere and that

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_Q \chi_Q \right\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that there exist such a couple  $\{a_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$  and  $\{\lambda_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \subset [0, \infty)$ . Then there exists  $K \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset Q_{K0}} \lambda_Q \chi_Q \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

since

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset [0,1]^n} \lambda_Q \leq \left\| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset [0,1]^n} \lambda_Q \chi_Q \right\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

Thus, if we take the average of this expansion over  $Q_{K_0} \in \mathcal{D}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \subset Q_{K_0}} \lambda_Q m_{Q_{K_0}}(a_Q) + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset Q_{K_0}} \lambda_Q m_{Q_{K_0}}(a_Q) \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset Q_{K_0}} \lambda_Q m_{Q_{K_0}}(a_Q). \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile

$$\left| \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, Q \supset Q_{K_0}} \lambda_Q m_{Q_{K_0}}(a_Q) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

by the choice of  $K$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

### 5.3. Condition (1.5)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Set  $\alpha := \frac{n}{p}$ . Then

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p} \leq \|M_\alpha f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p}$$

for all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus, if the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 were true for  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $I_\alpha$  would be bounded from  $\mathcal{M}_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and hence  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is impossible since  $|\cdot|^{\alpha-n} \notin L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 6. Examples of $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Here are some examples other than Morrey spaces to which Theorem 1.1 is applicable.

### 6.1. Lorentz spaces

Let  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then its decreasing rearrangement  $f^*$  is the function defined on  $(0, \infty)$  by

$$f^*(t) := \inf(\{s > 0 : |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > s\}| \leq t\} \cup \{\infty\}) \quad (t > 0).$$

Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Then the Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is finite. It is well known that  $L^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [3, Theorem 5.2.1]. Thanks to the famous result by Hunt [17],  $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is normable when  $p > 1$  and  $q \geq 1$ . In fact,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q,*}} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left( t^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is a norm equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,q}}$ . As a special case, we define  $WL^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and this space is called the weak Lebesgue space. Ariño and Muckenhoupt established that  $M$  is bounded on  $WL^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$  [1]. In this case, (1.2) is satisfied. Thus, Theorem 1.1 is applicable in this case. According to the extrapolation theorem in [8], (1.6) is satisfied as long as

$1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 < q \leq \infty$ . In addition, using the real interpolation theory, we can also establish (1.6) for  $0 < q \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $1 < r \leq \infty$ . Then (1.6) holds for  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

To prove Theorem 6.1, we invoke a result from the textbook of Bergh and Löfström. We denote by  $L^{p,q}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$  the set of all sequences  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{L^{p,q}(\ell^r)} := \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^{p,q}} < \infty.$$

The space  $L^p(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$  stands for  $L^{p,p}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** [3, Theorem 5.3.1] *Let  $p_0, p_1, q, r \in (0, \infty]$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$  satisfy  $p_0 \neq p_1$ . Define  $p \in (0, \infty]$  by*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\eta}{p_0} + \frac{\eta}{p_1}. \quad (6.1)$$

Then

$$(L^{p_0}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n), L^{p_1}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n))_{\eta,q} \cong L^{p,q}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalence of norms.

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* We resort to a technique in [12]. Fix  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for a while. By the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{Q}^n, \\ r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)}} \chi_{Q(y,r)}(x) m_{Q(y,r)}(|f|).$$

Let  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  be an enumeration of  $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$ , and let  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  be the one of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Then

$$Mf(x) = \lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k,l \in \{1,2,\dots,J\}} \chi_{Q(y_k,r_l)}(x) m_{Q(y_k,r_l)}(|f|).$$

Here and below, we fix such enumerations and write

$$M_J f(x) := \sup_{k,l \in \{1,2,\dots,J\}} \chi_{Q(y_k,r_l)}(x) m_{Q(y_k,r_l)}(|f|)$$

for each  $J \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have only to show that

$$\|\{M_J f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{L^{p,q}(\ell^r)} \leq C \|\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{L^{p,q}(\ell^r)} \quad (6.2)$$

with the constant  $C$  independent of  $J$ .

By the definition of the maximal operator  $M_J$ , we can find  $k(x), l(x) \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$  so that

$$M_J f(x) \leq 2 \chi_{Q(y_{k(x)}, r_{l(x)})}(x) m_{Q(y_{k(x)}, r_{l(x)})}(|f|). \quad (6.3)$$

We may assume that such  $(k(x), l(x))$  is the smallest couple in the lexicographic order of  $\{1, 2, \dots, J\}^2$  among  $(k, l)$  satisfying (6.3), so that the mapping  $x \mapsto (k(x), l(x))$  is measurable. Write

$$E_{k,l}(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : k(x) = k, l(x) = l\} \quad ((k, l) \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}^2).$$

Then by the definition of  $E_{k,l}(f)$ , we have

$$M_J f(x) \leq 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^J \chi_{E_{k,l}(f) \cap Q(y_k, r_l)}(x) m_{Q(y_k, r_l)}(|f|).$$

We fix parameters  $p_0 \in (1, p)$ ,  $p_1 \in (p, \infty)$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  satisfying (6.1). Write

$$\Phi(\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty) = \{\Phi_j(h_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty := \left\{ \sum_{k,l=1}^J \chi_{E_{k,l}(f_j) \cap Q(y_k, r_l)} m_{Q(y_k, r_l)}(h_j) \right\}_{j=1}^\infty$$

for  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a linear operator and  $|\Phi_j(h_j)| \leq Mh_j$ ,  $\Phi$  is bounded on  $L^{p_0}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^{p_1}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$  thanks to (1.6). Consequently, thanks to Lemma 6.2,  $\Phi$  is bounded on  $L^{p,q}(\ell^r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , that is, (6.2) holds. The proof of Theorem 6.1 is therefore complete.  $\square$

## 6.2. Weak Morrey spaces

Let  $0 < q \leq p < \infty$ . The weak Morrey space  $\text{WM}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\text{WM}_q^p} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \|\chi_{[\lambda, \infty)}(|f|)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \quad (6.4)$$

is finite. Condition (1.1) is trivial since  $\|\chi_Q\|_{\text{WM}_q^p} = |Q|^{\frac{1}{p}}$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Ho proved (1.5) in [16, Theorem 3.2] so as to include generalized Morrey spaces considered in [20].

## 6.3. Lorentz–Morrey spaces

Let  $0 < q \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < r \leq \infty$ . By replacing the  $L^q$ -quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^q}$  by the Lorentz quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{q,r}}$  in the definition of the Morrey norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$  (see (1.8)), we obtain the Lorentz–Morrey quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q,r}^p}$  considered by Ragusa [25]. As a special case of  $q = r$ , the Lorentz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{q,q}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  coincides with the Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  endowed with the quasi-norm (1.8) and as a special case of  $r = \infty$ , the Lorentz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\infty}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  coincides with the weak Morrey space  $\text{WM}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  endowed with the quasi-norm (6.4).

According to [13, Theorem 10], (1.6) is satisfied if  $q, r > 1$ , while (1.2) is satisfied if  $q, r > 0$ . In addition, using Theorem 6.1, we can verify that (1.6) is also true in the case of  $r \leq 1$  by the same method as [13, Theorem 10] or going through the argument above. Since  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q,r}^p}^{\delta} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q\delta, r\delta}^p}^{\delta}$  for  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{M}_{q,r}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies (1.5) for all  $0 < q \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < r \leq \infty$ . Theorem 1.2 thus recaptures a result for Morrey–Lorentz spaces by the first author [13].

#### 6.4. Orlicz spaces

Let  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a Young function, that is, a convex homeomorphism. Then define the Luxemburg–Nakano norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi}$  by

$$\|f\|_{L^\Phi} := \inf \left( \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} \cup \{\infty\} \right)$$

for  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which  $\|f\|_{L^\Phi}$  is finite.

We impose some standard conditions on the Young functions. A Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition or the doubling condition, denoted by  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , if there exists a constant  $k > 1$  called the doubling constant such that  $\Phi(2\cdot) \leq k\Phi$ . In this case, we also say that  $\Phi$  satisfies the doubling condition. A Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to satisfy the  $\nabla_2$ -condition, denoted by  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , if there exists a constant  $k > 1$ , called the  $\nabla_2$ -constant, such that  $2k\Phi \leq \Phi(k\cdot)$ . According to [19],  $M$  is bounded on  $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ . According to [22, Corollary 2.8], (1.5) is satisfied as long as  $\Phi$  additionally satisfies the doubling condition, that is,  $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ . It is noteworthy that (1.2) is satisfied if we merely assume that  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a Young function.

Moreover, according to [14],  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  implies that the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies condition (1.5). In fact, to check this, we write

$$\|\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{L^\Phi(\ell^r)} := \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^\Phi}$$

for  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, for all  $\theta > 1$ ,  $\Phi_\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which is defined by

$$\Phi_\theta(r) := \int_0^{r^\theta} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} dt,$$

is a Young function in  $\Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$  according to [14], and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty Mf_j^r \right\|_{L^{\Phi_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &= \|\{Mf_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{L^{\Phi_r}(\ell^r)} \\ &\leq C \|\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\|_{L^{\Phi_r}(\ell^r)} \\ &= C \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j|^r \right\|_{L^{\Phi_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Here we employed [22, Theorem 2.6] for the above inequality. Since  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi_1}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi}$  are equivalent according to [14], inequality (1.5) holds.

### 6.5. Generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces

Let  $\mathcal{G}_1$  be the set of all non-decreasing functions  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $t \in (0, \infty) \mapsto \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \in (0, \infty)$  is non-increasing. We give two definitions:

**Definition 6.3.** *Let  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a Young function.*

- (1) [21] *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1$ . For a cube  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , define the  $(\varphi, \Phi)$ -average over  $Q$  of  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by*

$$\|f\|_{(\varphi, \Phi); Q} := \inf \left( \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varphi(|Q|) m_Q \left( \Phi \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \cup \{\infty\} \right).$$

*The generalized Orlicz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{L}^{\varphi, \Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the first kind is defined to be the Banach space equipped with the norm*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\varphi, \Phi}} := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \|f\|_{(\varphi, \Phi); Q}.$$

- (2) [31] *For a cube  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , define the  $\Phi$ -average over  $Q$  of  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by*

$$\|f\|_{\Phi; Q} := \inf \left( \left\{ \lambda > 0 : m_Q \left( \Phi \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \cup \{\infty\} \right).$$

*Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_1$ . Then the generalized Orlicz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the second kind is defined to be the Banach space equipped with the norm*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}} := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \varphi(|Q|) \|f\|_{\Phi; Q}.$$

Let  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{\varphi^p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with coincidence of norms and the above spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{\varphi^p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  boil down to the generalized Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_p^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by Nakai [20].

It is noteworthy that the generalized Orlicz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  appears naturally in the context of the Calderón–Lozanovskiĭ product. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote the set of all non-zero positive concave and positively homogeneous continuous functions defined over  $[0, \infty)^2$ . Then the Calderón–Lozanovskiĭ product  $\varphi(E) = \varphi(E_0, E_1)$  consists of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $|f| \leq \lambda\varphi(|f_0|, |f_1|)$  a.e. for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f_j \in E_j$  with norm 1,  $j = 0, 1$ . Its norm is given by

$$\|f\|_{\varphi(E)} := \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : |f| \leq \lambda\varphi(|f_0|, |f_1|), f_j \in E_j, \|f_j\|_{E_j} = 1, j = 0, 1 \}.$$

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}$  and denote by  $\Phi$  the inverse of  $\varphi(\cdot, 1)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a convex function since  $\varphi(\cdot, 1)$  is concave. We can say that  $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a natural function space in view of the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.4.** *With coincidence of norms,  $\varphi(\mathcal{M}_1^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* Simply observe

$$\|f\|_{\varphi(\mathcal{M}_1^{\varphi}, L^{\infty})} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : |f| \leq \lambda\varphi(|f_0|, 1), f_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^{\varphi}} = 1 \}.$$

□



Another important thing to note is that  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is realized as a special case of  $\mathcal{L}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Although  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$  cannot be realized as a special case of  $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [11],  $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is important. In fact, letting  $\Phi(t) := t \log(3+t)$  for  $t > 0$ , we learn that  $M$  maps  $\mathcal{M}_{L \log L}^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{M}_1^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L \log L}^\varphi} \leq \|Mf\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^\varphi} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{L \log L}^\varphi}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{L \log L}^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [31].

If  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , then  $M$  is bounded on both  $\mathcal{L}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\Phi^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$  according to [21] and [31], respectively. According to [27, Theorem 4.1] and [28], or by using a technique in [14], we can check that (1.5) is satisfied as long as  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ .

### 6.6. Mixed Lebesgue spaces

Let  $0 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \leq \infty$  be constants. We abbreviate  $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ . Then define the mixed Lebesgue norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\mathbf{p}}}$  by

$$\|f\|_{L^{\mathbf{p}}} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{p_3}{p_2}} \cdots dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}}.$$

A natural modification for  $x_i$  is made when  $p_i = \infty$ . The mixed Lebesgue space  $L^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|f\|_{L^{\mathbf{p}}} < \infty$ . According to Bagby [2], (1.1) is satisfied for  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in (1, \infty)$ , while (1.5) is satisfied for  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in (0, \infty)$  according to [23].

### 6.7. Mixed Morrey spaces

Let  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in (0, \infty]^n$ . By replacing the  $L^q$ -quasi-norm by the mixed Lebesgue quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\mathbf{q}}}$  in the definition of the Morrey norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$  (see (1.8)), we obtain the mixed Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Nogayama proved (1.5) in [23], while (1.2) follows from  $\mathcal{M}_{q_0}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{q}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $q_0 \leq \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} q_i$ . In this case, Theorem 1.1 refines [24, Theorem 3].

### 6.8. Variable Lebesgue spaces

For a measurable function  $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , the variable Lebesgue space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \{f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \rho_{p(\cdot)}(\lambda^{-1}f) < \infty\},$$

where

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(f) := \| |f|^{p(\cdot)} \|_{L^1}.$$

Moreover, for  $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  one defines the variable Lebesgue quasi-norm by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} := \inf \left( \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{p(\cdot)}(\lambda^{-1}f) \leq 1 \} \cup \{ \infty \} \right).$$

There exists a discontinuous exponent  $p(\cdot)$  such that (1.1) and (1.5) hold. In fact, the following function is one of such functions:

$$p(\cdot) = \sum_F c_F \chi_F, \quad (6.5)$$

where  $F$  moves over all quadrants and each  $c_F \in (1, \infty)$  is a fixed constant.

We recall a sufficient condition for (1.5). The exponent  $p(\cdot)$  satisfies the local log-Hölder continuity condition if

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{c_*}{\log(|x - y|^{-1})} \quad \text{for } |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (6.6)$$

while the exponent  $p(\cdot)$  satisfies the log-Hölder-type decay condition at infinity if

$$|p(x) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{c^*}{\log(e + |x|)} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6.7)$$

Here  $c_*$ ,  $c^*$  and  $p_\infty$  are positive constants independent of  $x$  and  $y$ .

If  $p(\cdot)$  satisfies these conditions and  $0 < p_- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) \leq p_+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) < \infty$ , then we have (1.5) according to [7, 5, 6]. Finally, (1.2) follows from the definition.

## Funding

Yoshihiro Sawano was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (19K03546), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and People's Friendship University of Russia.

## Conflict of interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

## Availability of data and material

Not applicable

## Code availability

Not applicable

## Authors' contributions

The three authors contributed equally to the paper. They read the whole paper and approved it.

## References

- [1] Ariño, M. Muckenhoupt, B.: Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for nonincreasing functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **320** (2), 727–735 (1990)
- [2] Bagby, R.J.: An extended inequality for the maximal function. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **48**, 419–422 (1975)
- [3] Bergh, J., Löfström, L.: Interpolation spaces. An introduction. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, no. 223. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [4] Chiarenza, F.: Frasca, M.: Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. *Rend. Mat.*, **7**, 273–279 (1987)
- [5] Cruz-Uribe, D., Fiorenza, A., Neugebauer, C.J.: The maximal function on variable  $L^p$  spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **28**, no. 1, 223–238 (2003)
- [6] Cruz-Uribe, D., Fiorenza, A., Neugebauer, C. J.: Corrections to “The maximal function on variable  $L^p$  spaces”. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **29**, no. 1, 247–249 (2004)
- [7] Cruz-Uribe, D., Fiorenza, A., Martell, J., Pérez, C.: The boundedness of classical operators on variable  $L^p$  spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **31**, 239–264 (2006)
- [8] Curbera, G.P., García-Cuerva, J., Martell, J.M. Pérez, C.: Extrapolation with weights, rearrangement-invariant function spaces, modular inequalities and applications to singular integrals. *Adv. Math.* **20**, **203**(1), 256–318 (2006)
- [9] Duoandikoetxea, J.: *Fourier Analysis*. Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by D. Cruz-Uribe. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 29. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [10] Fefferman, C., Stein, E.: Some maximal inequalities. *Am. J. Math.* **93**, 107–115 (1971)
- [11] Gala S., Sawano Y., Tanaka H.: A remark on two generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces. *J. Approx. Theory* **198**, 1–9 (2015)
- [12] García-Cuerva, J., Rubio de Francia, J.L.: *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*. North-Holland Math. Stud., **116** 1985.
- [13] Hatano, N.: Fractional operators on Morrey–Lorentz spaces and the Olsen inequality. *Math. Notes* **107**, no. 1–2, 63–79 (2020)
- [14] Hatano, N., Kawasumi, R., Ono, T.: Predual of weak Orlicz spaces. [arXiv:2104.12045](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.12045) .
- [15] Ho, K.P.: Littlewood–Paley spaces. *Math. Scand.* **108**, no. 1, 77–102 (2011)
- [16] Ho, K.P.: Atomic decompositions and Hardy's inequality on weak Hardy–Morrey spaces. *Sci. China Math.* **60**, no. 3, 449–468 (2017)
- [17] Hunt, R.A.: On  $L(p, q)$  spaces. *Enseignement Math.* (2) **12**, 249–276 (1966)
- [18] Iida, T., Sawano, Y., Tanaka, H.: Atomic decomposition for Morrey spaces. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **33**, no. 2, 149–170 (2014)
- [19] Kita, H.: On Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, **183**, 135–155 (1997)
- [20] Nakai, E.: Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **166**, 95–103 (1994)

- [21] Nakai, E.: Orlicz–Morrey spaces and the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. *Studia Math.* **188**, no. 3, 193–221 (2008)
- [22] Nakai, E., Sawano, Y.: Orlicz-Hardy spaces and their duals. *Sci. China Math.*, **57**, no. 5, 903–962 (2014)
- [23] Nogayama, T.: Mixed Morrey spaces. *Positivity* **23**(4), 961–1000 (2019)
- [24] Nogayama, T., Ono, T., Salim, D., Sawano, Y.: Atomic decomposition for mixed Morrey spaces. *J Geom Anal* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-020-00513-z>
- [25] Ragusa, M.A.: Embeddings for Morrey-Lorentz spaces. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **154** (2012), no. 2, 491–499.
- [26] Stein, E.M.: *Harmonic Analysis, real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [27] Sawano, Y., Hakim, D.I., Gunawan, H.: Non-smooth atomic decomposition for generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, **288**, no. 14–15, 1741–1775 (2015)
- [28] Sawano, Y.: Singular integral operators acting on Orlicz–Morrey spaces of the first kind. *Nonlinear Stud.* **26**, no. 4, 895–910 (2019)
- [29] Sawano, Y.: Sparse non-smooth atomic decomposition of Morrey spaces. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **43**, no. 16, 9320–9326 (2020)
- [30] Sawano, Y., Ho, K. P., Yang, D., Yang, S.: Hardy spaces for ball quasi-Banach function spaces. *Diss. Math.* **525**, 1–102 (2017)
- [31] Sawano, Y., Sugano, S., Tanaka, H.: Orlicz–Morrey spaces and fractional operators, *Potential Anal.*, **36**, no. 4, 517–556 (2012)
- [32] Sawano, Y., Sugano, S., Tanaka, H.: Morrey spaces for non-doubling measures. *Acta Math. Sinica*, **21**, no. 6, 1535–1544 (2005)
- [33] Strömberg, J.O., Torchinsky, A.: *Weighted Hardy spaces.* Lecture Notes in Math. **1381**, Springer-Verlag, (1989)
- [34] Tanaka, H.: Morrey spaces and fractional operators. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88**, no. 2, 247–259 (2010)
- [35] Tang, L., Xu, J.: Some properties of Morrey type Besov-Triebel spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **278**, no. 7–8, 904–917 (2005)

Naoya Hatano  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Chuo University,  
 Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, 112-8551,  
 Japan  
 e-mail: [hatano@gug.math.chuo-u.ac.jp](mailto:hatano@gug.math.chuo-u.ac.jp)

Ryota Kawasumi  
 Minohara 1-6-3 (B-2), Misawa, Aomori 033-0033, Japan  
 e-mail: [rykawasumi@gmail.com](mailto:rykawasumi@gmail.com)

Yoshihiro Sawano

Department of Mathematics,

Chuo University,

Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, 112-8551,

Japan

e-mail: [yoshihiro-sawano@celery.ocn.ne.jp](mailto:yoshihiro-sawano@celery.ocn.ne.jp)