



12-2001

Two studies of Mixtec languages

Inga McKendry

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/theses>



Part of the [Linguistics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

McKendry, Inga, "Two studies of Mixtec languages" (2001). *Theses and Dissertations*. 4484.
<https://commons.und.edu/theses/4484>

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

TWO STUDIES OF MIXTEC LANGUAGES

by

Inga McKendry

Bachelor of Education, University of Edinburgh, 1979
Bachelor of Divinity (Hons.) University of London, 1983

A Thesis

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty

of the

University of North Dakota

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Arts

Grand Forks, North Dakota

December

2001

This thesis, submitted by Inga McKendry in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts from the University of North Dakota, has been read by the Faculty Advisory Committee under whom the work has been done and is hereby approved.

(Chairperson)

This thesis meets the standards for appearance, conforms to the style and format requirements of the Graduate School of the University of North Dakota, and is hereby approved.

Dean of the Graduate School

Date

PERMISSION

Title Two Studies of Mixtec Languages
Department Linguistics
Degree Master of Arts

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a graduate degree from the University of North Dakota, I agree that the library of this University shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying for scholarly purposes may be granted by the professor who supervised my thesis work or, in his absence, by the chairperson of the department or dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or other use of this thesis or part thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the University of North Dakota in any scholarly use which may be made of any materials in my thesis.

Signature _____

Date _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 THE PHONOLOGY OF NASALIZATION AND PALATALIZATION IN MIXTEC	3
CHAPTER 3 A TYPOLOGICAL GRAMMAR SKETCH OF SOUTHEASTERN NOCHIXTLÁN MIXTEC.....	34
CHAPTER 4 THE TURKEY VULTURE AND THE CROW.....	92
APPENDIX 1 MAP OF THE MIXTEC REGION.....	118
APPENDIX 2 SOURCES FOR DATA	119
APPENDIX 3 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	121
APPENDIX 4 ORTHOGRAPHY	122
REFERENCES SCHOLARLY WORKS.....	125
PUBLISHED MIXTEC TEXTS.....	129

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to the members of my Faculty advisory committee, Dr. J. Albert Bickford, chair, Dr. Daniel L. Everett and Dr. Stephen A. Marlett, for their help during the preparation of this thesis, especially during the final stages.

I also would like to thank my SIL colleagues who were willing to gather data for my comparative Mixtec study.

Special thanks are due to Sue Huggins for all her help and support as we, together, struggled to learn Southeastern Nochixtlán Mixtec. She also deserves special thanks, along with Ruth Mary Alexander, for all the data they collected.

Recognition is due to Barbara E. Hollenbach for all her help and her willingness to act as a sounding board for my analyses.

I also wish to thank Dr. Susana Cuevas, former head of the department of linguistics of el Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, for her encouragement and support.

This thesis would have been impossible without the help of many, many Mixtec speakers, including members of the Mixtec Academy. Special thanks are due my Mixtec colleagues from Southeastern Nochixtlán. Without their patience and help this thesis would have been impossible. Taxa'vi ní in ní.

ABSTRACT

This thesis consists of two independent papers and a text. One paper is centered on Mixtec languages as a whole, the other paper and the text present the variety of Mixtec spoken in the southeastern portion of the district of Nochixtlán, Oaxaca, Mexico.

The first paper builds on work published by Stephen A. Marlett in which it is posited that nasalization is a feature of the morpheme rather than the syllable. Data not included in Marlett's study, which corroborates his findings, are presented. Also in the light of these data, modifications to his analysis are suggested. This paper also presents the claim that there were palatalized and non-palatalized consonants in Proto-Mixtec.

The second paper presents a typological overview of the grammar of Southeastern Nochixtlán Mixtec, with particular emphasis on those features not documented for other Mixtec languages. The final chapter presents an interlinear glossed text from this variety of Mixtec.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This thesis consists of two independent papers: one centered on Mixtec languages as a whole, the other on the variety of Mixtec spoken in the southeastern portion of the district of Nochixtlán, Oaxaca, Mexico. There is also an interlinearized text from this variety of Mixtec. Most of the data presented in these papers are previously unpublished and provide new insights into the study of this group of languages. The papers document features of both the phonology and grammar, including comparisons of the phonology of this Mixtec language with that of other Mixtec languages.

Mixtec is a group of over fifty mutually unintelligible languages belonging to the Mixtecan subgroup of the Otomanguean language stock. These languages are spoken mainly in southern Mexico in the states of Oaxaca, Guerrero and Puebla.

Pre-Columbian written records, the Mixtec codices, give very few clues as to what earlier varieties of Mixtec were like. The earliest substantive written record of the language is most probably the Mixtec catechism published by Benito Hernández, a Dominican friar, in 1567. Also from the 16th century are a vocabulary by Francisco de Alvarado and a grammar by Antonio de los Reyes.

Very little further work was done on Mixtec until the 1930's when research began under the auspices of the Summer Institute of Linguistics by Kenneth L. Pike (1945, 1948) and others. Over the years many SIL scholars have documented individual Mixtec languages; one example is Alexander in her practical grammar of Atatláhuca Mixtec (Alexander 1980). Longacre's (1957) reconstruction of Proto-Mixtec was followed by an article which he and Mak (Mak and Longacre 1960) published on Proto-Mixtec. Bradley and Hollenbach (1988, 1990, 1991 and 1992) edited a series of syntax sketches covering various Mixtecan languages. Scholars

from other institutions have also produced works on Mixtec, notably Judy K. Josserand's (1983) dissertation on Proto-Mixtec.

The studies in this thesis provide data from previously undocumented varieties of Mixtec and also some information about aspects of Mixtec structure that have not been well documented.

Chapter 2 builds on a paper published by Stephen Marlett in which it is posited that nasalization is a feature of the morpheme rather than the syllable. In this chapter, I also present the claim that there was a contrast between palatalized and non-palatalized consonants in Proto-Mixtec.

Chapter 3 gives a brief overview of the grammar of Southeastern Nochixtlán Mixtec, with particular emphasis on those features not documented for other Mixtec languages.

Chapter 4 presents an interlinear glossed text from Southeastern Nochixtlán Mixtec.

CHAPTER 2
THE PHONOLOGY OF NASALIZATION AND PALATALIZATION IN MIXTEC
INTRODUCTION

This paper documents the effects of two processes, nasalization and palatalization, in the development of modern Mixtec languages, presenting data hitherto undocumented which give new insights on the synchronic variation of modern Mixtec, as well as on possible diachronic developments. The analysis supports Marlett's (1992) analysis of nasalization, although in light of the new data, a few modifications are required. This paper also presents data on the basis of which changes are suggested for the analysis of Proto-Mixtec. The main change is that palatalization was a contrastive feature of some consonants, not a later development.

The corpus is a list of over 500 cognate sets, with data drawn from 42 Mixtec towns throughout the states of Oaxaca, Guerrero and Puebla.³⁵ Most of the words included are monomorphemic. The choice of words includes those used by Josserand (1983) and Mak and Longacre (1960), but is far more extensive in terms of number of words and also includes data from some towns not covered in these earlier studies.³⁶

The second section reviews two previous reconstructions of Proto-Mixtec. The third section documents some modern reflexes of Proto-Mixtec obstruent consonant phonemes. This section confirms Marlett's (1992) suggestion that nasalization was a feature of the morpheme in Proto-Mixtec. In the final section it is posited that palatalization was a contrastive feature in Proto-Mixtec.

³⁵ See Appendix 1 which is a map of the Mixtec area.

³⁶ See Appendix 2 for sources of these data.

PROTO-MIXTEC PHONEMES

Mak and Longacre (1960:25) reconstruct the following consonant phonemes for Proto-Mixtec:

Table 1 Mak and Longacre's Reconstruction

stops	k ^w	t	k	ʔ
nasalized stop		ⁿ d		
spirants	v	θ	y	h
nasals	m	n	ñ	
lateral		l		

Josserand (1983:251) reconstructs the following consonant phonemes:

Table 2 Josserand's reconstruction

	Central	Peripheral	
		Non-round	Round
Obstruents			
Voiceless	t	k	k ^w
Voiced nasal	ⁿ d		
Continuants			
Voiceless	s	x	
Voiced oral	l	y	w
Voiced nasal	n		

^b

Both reconstructions have six vowels, although Mak and Longacre express doubts about the existence of *e.

Table 3 Proto-Mixtec Vowels

i	i	u
e		o
	a	

In both reconstructions these vowels can also be nasalized.

Some of the differences in the above analyses can be attributed to the reconstruction of different places of articulation; for example, Mak and Longacre reconstruct *θ and *h whereas Josserand reconstructs *s and *x. In other words, both reconstruct two voiceless fricatives, although the place of articulation is different. In addition, both reconstructions have three voiceless stops plus *ⁿd, *l, *y and a labial fricative or approximate (i.e. *v or *w).

Another difference in the two analyses is that Mak and Longacre reconstruct glottal stop as a consonant phoneme. Josserand (1983:269), on the other hand, reconstructs checked vowels. Although the surface word forms are identical in many cases, this difference in analysis impacts the word templates for Proto-Mixtec. In Josserand's analysis there are no words with the template CVCCV. For example Mak and Longacre (1960:39) cite Proto-Mixtec words of the word type *Caʔya, such as [daʔya] 'offspring'. Josserand reconstructs this word as *saʔyi, where [aʔ] is considered the vowel nucleus of the initial syllable. The importance in this difference of analysis will be seen in the discussion of the spread of nasalization.

Both Mak and Longacre and Josserand reconstruct both *ⁿd and *n. However, their analyses differ in that Josserand does not reconstruct *ñ or *m as separate phonemes whereas Mak and Longacre do. There is correspondence between both reconstructions in that where Josserand reconstructs *w plus a nasalized vowel,

Mak and Longacre reconstruct *m plus a vowel; likewise, Mak and Longacre's *ñ corresponds to Josserand's *y plus nasal vowel.³⁷ The data in 0 demonstrate the differences in reconstruction with regard to nasal consonants.

	Josserand	Mak & Longacre
smoke	*yuʔwẽ	*ñuʔma
squirrel	*k ^w eyũʔ	*k ^w añu

NASALIZATION IN MIXTEC LANGUAGES

These differences of analysis draw attention to the phenomenon of nasalization in Mixtec languages. In order to be able to compare the differing analyses, it is necessary to present the symbols which will be used throughout the rest of the paper. Table 4 presents the symbols used by Mak and Longacre and by Josserand as well as the IPA symbols used in this paper. The data is presented in a narrow phonetic transcription. In order to facilitate comparison, I have rewritten both Mak and Longacre's and Josserand's data using this transcription. However, reconstructed forms are written in their original transcription. When there is minor phonetic variation in the sound represented, then both forms are included in the first column. This table includes all the symbols necessary for describing the modern reflexes as well as the phonemes of Proto-Mixtec.

³⁷ Mak and Longacre are aware of the correspondences between *y and ñ, stating that in some cases *y has become ñ before ultimate syllables with nasal consonants and/or before ultimate syllables with nasal vowels (Mak and Longacre 1960:40).

Table 4 Phonetic Symbols

Sound	Transcription used in this paper	Mak and Longacre	Josserand
[t]	t	t	t
[k]	k	k	k
[k ^w]	k ^w	k ^w	k ^w
[n ^d] ³⁸	n ^d	ⁿ d	ⁿ d
[tʃ]	tʃ	č	č
[ts]	ts		∅
[β] or [w] ³⁹	β or w	v	≠ or w
[θ]	θ	θ	θ
[ð]	ð	d	...
[s]	s	s	s
[ʃ]	ʃ	š	š
[j] or [ʒ]	ʒ	y	y or
[x] ⁴⁰	x	h	
[l]	l	l	l
[r]	r	r	r
[m]	m	m	m
[ɲ]	ɲ	ñ	
[n]	n	n	n
[N]	N	N	N
[Ṽ]	Ṽ	Ṿ	Ṿ
[C ^j]	C ^j	Cy	C ^y
[i]	i	i	i
[e]	e	e	e
[a]	a	a	a
[i]	i		i
[?]	?	?	?

³⁸ [n^d] is an alveolar nasal with an oral release.

³⁹ *w is realized as [β] in some varieties.

⁴⁰ Longacre (1957:11) notes that this sound varies from a velar fricative to a frictionless spirant. A similar variation has been noted in the data I have gathered, that is the sound has only light friction, and varies between [x] and [h]. However, I have not included the differences in my transcription.

Data from Santo Domingo Nuxaá (henceforth Nuxaá) illustrate the phonetic facts of nasalization. In 0 examples are given of consonants which only occur before nasal vowels.

Gloss	Nuxaá
raccoon	[mãʔã]
fire	[ʃũʔũ]
tooth	[nũʔũ]

As there is no contrast between oral and nasal vowels following a nasal consonant, the question which arises from the above data is whether the consonants are affecting the vowels or vice-versa. That is, which are underlyingly nasalized: the consonants or the vowels?

There are also sets of words in which the same consonant occurs before both oral and nasal vowels. It should be noted that in Nuxaá both CVCV words and CVV words can be contrastively nasalized. Note the examples in 0.

	Oral		Nasal
bone	ʒiki	squash	ʒikĩ
louse	ʃuku	fly	ʃukũ
give	ʃeʔe	go	ʃẽʔẽ
arrive	ʃee	buy	ʃẽẽ

In monomorphemic words with the template CVCV, if the second syllable of a word is oral, the first one is never nasal; that is the following template is not licensed:⁴¹

⁴¹ To date I have found two exceptions in Nuxaá: [mẽka] ‘dirty’ and [mĩtu] ‘fawn’ (animal). This is similar to the San Miguel el Grande word [mẽku] ‘gray’ which Marlett (1992) claims comes from the Mexican Spanish *meco* ‘bright red color mixed with black’. Another option is that it comes from the

****CVC̃V**

However, in most Mixtec languages, including Nuxaá, the following word template is common, where the first syllable has an oral vowel and the second a nasal:

CVC̃V

Examples of such words are given in 0.

Gloss	Nuxaá
squash	ʒikĩ
sow	kakĩ
fly	tʃukũ
tall	ðukũ
select	kaʃĩ
white	k ^w iʃĩ

As in most varieties of Mixtec, in Nuxaá sequences of VV or VʔV are either both oral or both nasal, as shown in 0.

	Oral		Nasal
ring	ðeʔe	lard	ðẽʔẽ
arrive	ʃee	buy	ʃẽẽ
foot	ʃeʔe	dish	ʃẽʔẽ

NASALIZATION AS A FEATURE OF THE MORPHEME

The restrictions on the distribution of nasal and oral vowels, and of nasal consonants and various other consonants, led Marlett (1992) to propose that nasalization is a feature of the morpheme in Mixtec. He bases his claims on surface

name of one of the traditional dances, that of the Chimecos in which the dancers painted their faces black (Terrence Kaufman, personal communication). The origin of mĩtu is unknown.

patterns of Mixtec words, many of which are CVCV. He claims that certain words are unattested in Mixtec. To present the data he uses the following schema:

t = any obstruent

a = any oral vowel

y = any oral sonorant⁴²

ã = any nasal vowel

ñ = any nasalized sonorant

Given the previous schema the following words are unattested:

tãta	tãtã		
yãtã	tãyã	tãya	
ñãtã	taña	ñãta	
ñãya	yañã	ñaya	
yãã	ñaã		

He observes that [w] or [β], [n^d], [ʒ] and [y] do not occur before nasal vowels; similarly [m], [n] and [j] do not occur before oral vowels. He posits, therefore, that [m] [n] and [j] be considered allophones of [β], [n^d] and [ʒ] respectively.

Marlett only briefly addresses reconstruction of Proto-Mixtec, pointing out that if his analysis were to be applied, the proto-consonant inventory would include something like the sounds which Josserand reconstructs as *w, *n^d and *y, but unlike Josserand's analysis where [n^d] and [n] were reconstructed as separate phonemes, his analysis combines *n with *n^d. It would reduce Mak and Longacre's inventory even more since they reconstructed both *m and *ñ as well as *n and *n^d. Marlett suggests that *n^d be reconstructed as *n, where /n/ is a coronal sonorant not specified for nasality. Table 5 presents Marlett's analysis in comparison with those of Josserand and Mak and Longacre.

⁴² Note that this category contains sounds not usually classified as sonorants, namely [ʒ], [β] and [n^d]. Marlett claims that these sounds are the phonetic realization of underlying sonorants in Mixtec.

Table 5 Revised Proto-phonemes

Marlett	Allophones	Josserand	Mak and Longacre
*w	[m] in nasal morphemes	*w + \tilde{V}	*m
	[β] or [w] elsewhere	*w + V	*v
*n	[n] in nasal morphemes	*n + \tilde{V}	*n
	[n ^d] elsewhere	*n ^d + V	*n ^d
*y	[j̃] in nasal morphemes	*y + \tilde{V}	*ñ
	[j] or [ʒ] elsewhere	*y + V	*y

Marlett's observation that [n^d] and [ʒ] do not occur before nasal vowels holds true for the data used for this study. However, the case of *w is not so straightforward. In San Pedro Tidaa, *w is realised as [w̃] in nasal morphemes.

San Pedro Tidaa	[ðãw̃ĩ]	'egret'
	[nãw̃ã]	'soap'
	[ðaʔw̃ã]	'cloth'

In San Andrés Nuxiño, *w morpheme initial it is realized as [β]. However, when it occurs intervocalically in a nasal morpheme, it is sometimes realized as [w̃].

San Andrés Nuxiño	[βĩʔĩ]	'trash'
	[ðaʔw̃ã]	'skirt'
	[ðamĩ]	'egret'
	[nãmã]	'soap'

In this variety, there is variation between speakers in that some say [mĩʔĩ] 'trash'⁴³ and [ðãʔmã] 'skirt', which is consistent with Marlett's claim.

In Nuxaá the corresponding words have [m] in this position, as is predicted by Marlett's analysis. The cognates are given in 0.

⁴³ Interestingly, Josserand lists [w̃ĩʔĩ] for 'trash' in San Pedro Tidaa.

Nuxaá	[ðãmĩ]	‘egret’
	[nãmã]	‘soap’
	[mĩʔĩ]	‘trash’
	[ðãʔmã]	‘skirt’

These differences present two options for analysis: either the allophonic variation is being lost in Nuxiño, or *β has not developed allophonic variation morpheme initially in this variety.

Throughout the corpus used for this study, there is correspondence between [m], [w̃] and rarely [β] in nasalized environments. This fact and also the fact that the occurrence of [β] is so rare before nasalized vowels, corroborate Marlett’s claim that [β] and [m] should be considered allophones.

Anderson and Concepción (1983) document a similar distribution in Cuicatec, a language also classified as Mixtecan.⁴⁴ In Cuicatec [β] does occur before nasal vowels, but [n^d] and [ʒ] do not. The Nuxaá forms are given for comparison.

Gloss	Pápalo Cuicatec	Nuxaá
epazote	βẽnũ	mĩnũ
lake/pool	βĩnĩ	mĩnĩ
soap	nãmã	nãmã
village	ḵãã	ḵũũ

Since the facts for Mixtec and Cuicatec are similar, it appears that nasalization as a feature of the morpheme was present in Proto-Mixtecan. *β seems to be more resistant to allophonic development than *n or *ʒ, perhaps because it is an obstruent. Whether the occurrence of [β] before nasal vowels is a retention from Proto-Mixtecan or an innovation cannot be determined at this point.

⁴⁴ Mixtecan languages are Mixtec, Cuicatec and Trique.

Marlett (1992:432) also posits that nasalization is a morpheme level feature which spreads within the word. He claims that nasalization spreads from right to left, claiming that in most varieties, although not all, obstruents stop the spread of nasalization (Marlett 1992:430).

Some of the evidence for this claim is that if only one syllable is nasalized then it is the right-most one. In 0 data are given from Nuxaá (SDN), San Miguel el Grande (SMG) and Alacatlazala (ALA).

	SDN	SMG	ALA
gourd	ʒaʃĩ	ʃãxĩ	ʒaʃĩ
near	ʒaŋnĩ	ʒanĩ	ʒatĩ
seed	n ^d ikĩ	n ^d ikĩ	n ^d ĩkĩ

A similar phenomenon has also been observed by Hollenbach (1977:46) for Trique, which is also a Mixtecan language.

“Nasalization is a word level feature; a word is either nasalized or it is not. Nasalization is actualized mainly on the vowel of the ultima, but extends regressively to nonultimas until a consonantal barrier (any consonant other than /y w ʔ / is reached.”

This is further evidence that nasalization was a morpheme level feature in Proto-Mixtecan.⁴⁵

In Nuxaá, in words of the form CVʔV, the vowels are either both oral or both nasalized. The examples in 0 show that [ʔ] does not block the spread of nasalization. This is consistent with it being analysed as a vowel feature rather than a consonant

⁴⁵ Longacre (1957:39) reconstructs an [m] word final for Proto-Mixtecan. He claims that this [m] has been lost, leaving the nasalization present on the vowels.

under the assumption that as a vowel feature it would not be a barrier to the spread of nasalization.⁴⁶

Gloss	Mixtec	Gloss	Mixtec
good	βaʔa	raccoon	mãʔã
mushroom	ʃiʔi	to drip	ʃĩʔĩ
mouth	ʒuʔu	fire	ʒũʔũ

Nasalization does not cross morpheme boundaries. Thus although the subject pronoun in the following example cliticizes to the verb, the root is not nasalized.

[nã-	ʒaʔa	-nĩ]
Hor-	pass	2Res
Come in!		

DEVELOPMENTS IN SANTIAGO MITLATONGO MIXTEC

Previous reconstructions of Proto-Mixtec posit a voiceless continuant: Mak and Longacre reconstruct *θ; Josserand reconstructs *s. There are some words where they differ but for the most part their reconstructions coincide. Typical reflexes are /ð/, /θ/, /s/, /ʃ/. Santiago Mitlatongo (SM) is unique in the data I have, in that this proto-phoneme is realized as an /l/.⁴⁷ Data from Nuxaá (SDN), Santiago Mitlatongo (SM), Santo Tomás Ocotepec (STO), Tezoatlán (TEZ), and also reconstructed forms from Josserand's dissertation, are presented in 0.

	Josserand	SDN	SM	STO	TEZ
cooking pot	*kisi	kiði	kili	kisi	kiθi
deer	*isu	iðu	ilu	isu ⁿ	[sak ^v aa]

⁴⁶ In some feature systems, such as those of Halle and Chomsky, glottal stop is analysed as [+sonorant]. In these systems it would matter if it was a vowel feature or a consonant.

⁴⁷ This phoneme has developed two allophones: [l] morpheme initial and [ɫ] morpheme medially. For clarity I have chosen not to write this allophonic variation in the examples.

honey	* ⁿ du <i>s</i> i	n ^d u <i>ð</i> i	nduli	n ^d u <i>ʃ</i> i	n ^d u <i>θ</i> i
priest	* <i>s</i> utu	ðutu	lutu	sutu	θuti
rain	* <i>s</i> awi?	ðaβi	laβi	saβi	θai
tortilla	* <i>s</i> ita?	ðita	lita	ʃita	θita

In Mitlatongo, as in most varieties of Mixtec, [l] cannot occur before a nasal vowel⁴⁸. One result of this is that in some cases the phoneme which Josserand reconstructs as *s in a nasal morpheme is realized as [n]. Data from Nuxaá (SDN), San Pedro Tidaa (SPT), Santiago Mitlatongo (SM) and San Miguel el Grande (SMG) are presented in 0 to document some nasal/non-nasal pairs of words.

	SDN	SPT	SM	SMG
rain	ðaβi	ðaβi	laβi	sau
egret	ðãmĩ	ðãwĩ	nãmĩ	sãmũ
ring	ðeʔe	ðeʔe	leʔe	ʃeʔe
lard	ðẽʔẽ	ðẽʔẽ	nẽʔẽ	ʃãʔã

The realization of *s as [n] in nasal morphemes has led to loss of contrast with the [n] that is an allophone of *n, as shown in 0.

	SDN	SM	STO
change	ðãmã	nãmã	sãmã
soap	nãmã	nãmã	nãmã
fierce	ðẽẽ	nẽẽ	ʃẽẽ
dark	nẽẽ	nẽẽ	nẽẽ

⁴⁸ In the data I have collected, the word in San Andrés Nuxiño for ‘coccyx’ [lũʔã] is the only word which has [l] before a nasal vowel. Josserand does not reconstruct any lṼ sequences either.

DEVELOPMENT OF REFLEXES OF OBSTRUENTS IN NASAL MORPHEMES

The data collected for this study shows that other proto-consonant phonemes have developed allophones in nasal morphemes. Josserand's study documents the development of allophones of *t, *k and *k^w before nasal vowels (Josserand 1983:260-261). However, she does not present a detailed analysis of these developments, nor does she consider nasalization a feature of the morpheme. Therefore, important facts were not described.

Josserand details the variety of allophones of *t before nasalized vowels (Josserand 1983:258). Examples are given in 0 of these allophones.^{49,50} In the cases where the words form part of her corpus, I have included her reconstructed forms. However, her database does not include some of these words.

	Josserand	SDN	SMP	TTC	YDG	SM	SMG	STO	SEA
black		tũũ	tnũũ	nũũ	nũũ	Nnũũ	tũũ	tũũ	Nnũũ
palm belt		tãnĩ	tnãnũ	nãnĩ	nãnĩ	Nnãnĩ	tanĩ	tanĩ	Nnãnĩ
sweat		tẽẽ	tnẽẽ	nẽẽ	nãã	Nnãã	tãĩ	tãã	Nnãã

⁴⁹ The following abbreviations are used: Santo Domingo Nuxaá, SDN; Santa María Peñoles, SMP; Tierra Caliente, Tlazoyaltepec, TTC; San Juan Tamazola, TAM; Yutanduchi de Guerrero, YDG; Santiago Mitlatongo, SM; San Juan Diuxi, SJD; San Miguel el Grande, SMG; Santo Tomás Ocotepc, STO; San Esteban Atatláhuca, SEA.

⁵⁰ [Nn] represents a voiceless nasal followed by [n].

0 continued

box	*xetũʔ	ʃeNnũ	satnũ	setnũ	ʃẽnũ	ʃeNnũ	xanũ	xatũ	ʒeNnũ
tree	*yutũʔ	ʒuNnũ	ʒutnũ	ʒutnũ	ʃẽnũ	ʒuNnũ	ʒunũ	ʃũtũ	ʒuNnũ

Any description of the allophones of *t has to specify whether *t occurs morpheme medially or finally, in that in some varieties the allophones are different. For example in Nuxaá *t is [t] morpheme initially but is realized as [Nn] morpheme medially. The developments of *t are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6 The Developments of *t

Developments of *t in nasalized environments	Examples
*t > Nn word initial and medial (blocking)	Atatláhuca, Mitlatongo
*t > Nn word medial (blocking)	Nuxaá
*t > tn word initial and medial (blocking)	Peñoles
*t > tn word medial (blocking)	Tierra Caliente
*t > n word initial	Tierra Caliente
*t > n word initial and medial (not blocking)	Yutanduchi
*t > n word medial (blocking)	San Miguel el Grande ⁵¹
*t > t word initial and medial (not blocking)	Ocotepec
*t > t word initial	Nuxaá, San Miguel el Grande

In San Miguel el Grande *t retains its feature [–sonorant], even though it is realized as [n], and so blocks the spread of nasalization. One can thus distinguish between [n] as a reflex of *t which synchronically is still underlyingly an obstruent and [n] which derives from *n and is underlyingly a sonorant. In 0 data from San

⁵¹ *t is not realized as /n/ in all words. Dyk and Stoudt give both *vitã* and *vinã* for ‘now’.

Miguel el Grande (SMG) and Yutanduchi (YDG) are compared with Josserand's reconstructed forms.

Gloss	Josserand	SMG	YDG
net bag	yonoʔ	ʃũnũ	ʃũnũ
tree	yutũ	junũ	ʃenũ

In Yutanduchi, [n] apparently never blocks the spread of nasalization. In this case *n and *t have merged to the reflex [n] in nasalized environments.

The fact that *t has become [n] in some environments has led to a loss of contrast phonetically between *t and *n in some words in some varieties of Mixtec. In 0 data, not included in previous studies, are presented from Nuxaá (SDN), Tierra Caliente (TTC), Yutanduchi (YDG), and San Miguel el Grande (SMG) to show this loss of phonetic contrast. (Tonal differences are not being considered.)

		SDN	TTC	YDG	SMG
black	*t	tũũ	nũũ	nõõ	tũũ
face	*n	nũũ	nũũ	nõõ	nũũ
pit oven	*t	ʃiNnũ	ʃitnũ	ĩnũ	xinũ
run (realis)	*n	ʃinũ	ʃinũ	ĩnũ	xinũ
word	*t	tũʔũ	nũʔũ	tũʔũ	tũʔũ
tooth	*n	nũʔũ	nũʔũ	nũʔũ	nũʔũ

In the corpus used for this study, *k remains [k] both morpheme initially and medially in oral morphemes. Example 0 presents data from Nuxaá (SDN), Tezoatlán (TEZ), and Xochapa (XOC).

	SDN	TEZ	XOC
cooking pot	kiði	kiθi	kisi
snake	koo	koo	koo

0 continued

grain store	yaka	yaka	yaka
walk	kaka	kaka	kaka

However in some towns in the north-eastern part of the Highland Mixtec region,⁵² *k has developed the allophone [x] in nasal morphemes. SDN is included for comparison, as in that variety *k remains [k]. In 0 examples are given of *k intervocalically. Data are given from Nuxaá (SDN), Tamazola (TAM), Yutanduchi (YDG), Santiago Mitlatongo (SM), and San Juan Diuxi (SJD).

	SDN	TAM	YDG	SM	SJD
neck	ðukũ	ðuxũ	ðuxũ	luxũ	ðuxũ
squash	ʒikĩ	ʒixĩ	ʒixĩ	ʒixĩ	ʒixĩ
there	ʒukã	ʒaxã	ʒaxã	ʒaxã	ixã

From the above data the following sound change can be stated for the Mixtec of Tamazola, Yutanduchi, Mitlatongo and Diuxi:

*k > x / __ Ñ

The phonetic motivation for this change cannot be ascertained. However, it seems that every consonant phoneme with the feature [–continuant] has a corresponding allophone in nasal morphemes.

Morpheme initial *k also has developed the allophone [x] in nasal morphemes in some cases. In 0 data from Santa María Peñoles (SMP), San Juan Tamazola (TAM), Yutanduchi (YDG), Mitlatongo (SM), and Diuxi (SJD) are presented to document this phenomenon.

⁵² The exception is Santa María Chigmeatitlán, in the state of Puebla, which also exhibits allophonic variation of [t] and [k] in nasal morphemes; however, I have very little data from that variety.

	SMP	TAM	YDG	SM	SJD
four	kũmĩ	xĩmĩ	xũmĩ	xũũ	kõõ
long	kãnĩ	xãnĩ	xãnĩ	xãnĩ	kanĩ ⁵³
meat	kũjũ	xũjũ	xũjõ	xõjõ	kujũ
speak	kãʔã	xãʔã	xãʔã	xãʔã	xãʔã / kãʔã ⁵⁴
go (irrealis)	kĩʔĩ	xĩʔĩ	xĩʔĩ	xĩʔĩ	xĩʔĩ
thick	kokõ	koxõ	koxõ	koxõ	koxõ

In the first three examples, the morpheme medial consonant has the feature [+sonorant], thus allowing the spread of nasalization. The next two examples provide further data which shows that [ʔ] does not block the spread of nasalization. The final example, ‘thick’, shows that *k, even when realized as the allophone [x] still blocks the spread of nasalization.

The data from Diuxi are not so easily accounted for. The data in 0 illustrate that *k is realized as [x] morpheme medially. However, the words I have found to date where initial *k is realised as [x] are all verbs, such as [xĩʔĩ] ‘will go’. More data from this variety are needed to ascertain the determining factors for the realization of *k as [x] morpheme initial in nasalized environments and to determine whether the verbal morphology has any role to play.

Two other towns in the area have developed nasal allophones for intervocalic *k in nasal morphemes. In the Mixtec spoken in San Pedro Tidaa (SPT), intervocalic *k is realized as a velar nasal. Again the cognates from Nuxaá (SDN) are given for comparison.

⁵³ It is not known whether the initial vowels in [kani] and [kuñu] are nasalized or not.

⁵⁴ Both forms are reported, (Joy Oram personal communication).

	SDN	SPT
neck	≈ukũ	≈uŋũ
seed	n ^d ikĩ	n ^d iŋĩ
thick	kokõ	koŋõ
Nochixtlán (place name)	atokõ	atoŋõ

In the Mixtec spoken in Santa Inés de Zaragoza (SIZ), intervocalic *k is realized as [gn].

	SDN	SIZ
squash	ʒikĩ	ʒignĩ
seed	n ^d ikĩ	n ^d ignĩ

There is evidence to show that Proto-Mixtec *k^w has also developed allophones in nasal morphemes. In the present corpus there are only a few words with morpheme initial *k^w. In 0 examples are given from Nuxaá (SDN), San Juan Colorado (SJC), Tezoatlán (TEZ) and Xochapa (XOC).⁵⁵

	SDN	SJC	TEZ	XOC
blind	k ^w aa	k ^w aa	k ^w aa	k ^w aa
green	k ^w ii	k ^w ii	k ^w ii	k ^w ii
rich	k ^w ika	kuka	k ^w ika	k ^w ika
sin	k ^w etʃi	k ^w atʃi	k ^w atʃi	k ^w atʃi

In the case of *k^w in morpheme medial position, there are no sets in the corpus where all varieties have /k^w/ morpheme medially. The following sets illustrate the change *k^w > x^w in nasalized environments in both morpheme initial

⁵⁵ It will be noticed that in San Juan Colorado (SJC), the initial consonant in the word ‘rich’ is not labialized.

and morpheme medial position in certain varieties of Mixtec. Data is included from Nuxaá for comparison.

	SDN	TAM	YDG	SM	SJD
go (progressive)	k ^w ãʔã	x ^w ãʔã	x ^w ãʔã	x ^w ẽʔẽ	x ^w ãʔã
squirrel	k ^w ẽjĩ	x ^w ẽjĩ	tʃix ^w ẽjĩ ⁵⁶	x ^w ẽjõ	k ^w ẽjũ ⁵⁷
white	k ^w ijĩ	k ^w ijĩ	i te kizĩ	x ^w ixĩ	k ^w ijĩ
yellow	k ^w ãã	x ^w ãã	i x ^w ãã	x ^w ãã	k ^w ãã

We see that in the varieties spoken in Tamazola (TAM), Yutanduchi (YDG), and Mitlatongo (SM), *k^w has become /x^w/ before a nasalized vowel. As the word for ‘white’ in Tamazola and Diuxi has lost the feature [+nasal], the environment for the allophonic variation has been lost.

The variety spoken in San Juan Diuxi has not undergone the same changes as the other varieties, in which both *k and *k^w have developed fricative allophones in nasal morphemes both morpheme initial and medial. In Diuxi, the fricative allophones only occur morpheme initially in verbs. These allophones are attested morpheme medially in a variety of word classes. Further data are needed to find if this is a quirk of the corpus or a significant factor.

RULES GOVERNING THE SPREAD OF NASALIZATION

As has been stated above, Marlett (1992) posits that nasalization spreads from the right edge of the morpheme leftwards. He also states that nasalization spreads to adjacent sonorants, that nasalization is blocked by obstruents and that obstruents cannot be nasalized. However, my corpus shows that there are variety-specific rules controlling the spread of nasalization. The data in the following chart illustrates the

⁵⁶ /tʃi/ is an animal classifier, thus this word is bimorphemic, consisting of classifier plus root.

⁵⁷ The information is lacking as to whether the /e/ is nasalized or not.

problem. The first four items have a stop reconstructed medially, the next group of four, a fricative, and the last item has a checked vowel.

	Josserand	SDN	YDG	SM	STO	SEA
now	*witĩ	βiNnã	βinã	βiNnã	mĩtã	βiNnã
tree	*yutũ [?]	ʒuNnũ	ʒũnũ	ʒuNnũ	ʒũtũ	ʒuNnũ
squash	*yikĩ	ʒikĩ	ʒixĩ	ʒixĩ	nĩkĩ	ʒikĩ
neck	*sukũ [?]	ðukũ	ðuxũ	luxũ	sukũ	sukũ
sandal	* ⁿ dixẽ [?]	nɕijẽ	nɕiĩã ⁵⁸	ʃixẽ	nĩxã	n ^d ixẽ
cold	*wixĩ	βiʃĩ	mĩĩ	mĩxĩ	βixĩ	mĩxĩ
corn dough	*yuxẽ [?]	ʒuʃẽ	ʒũnẽ	ʒũxẽ	ʒũxã	ʒuxẽ
gourd	*yexĩ [?]	ʒaʃĩ		ʒãxã	ʒãxĩ	ʒaxĩ
word	*toʔõ	tũʔũ	nũʔũ	Nnũʔũ	tũʔũ	Nnũʔũ

The differences in the morpheme initial consonant can be attributed to differences in the rules for the spread of nasalization. The most straightforward case is seen in Nuxaá (SDN) where any obstruent will block the spread of nasalization.

For Yutanduchi, it is more difficult to present a generalization to cover all the facts. For example, nasalization does spread through the medial consonant in [*ʃũnũ*] (*yutũ[?]) ‘tree’, but not in [*βinã*] (*witĩ) ‘now’.⁶⁰ The phoneme /x/ does not block the spread of nasalization. However, *k when realized as [x] does retain its feature [–sonorant] and therefore blocks the spread of nasalization. It seems, then, that two phonetically identical sounds differ underlyingly, and it is their underlying features not the surface phonetic facts which determine whether they block the spread of

⁵⁸ It is not known whether the /i/ in the initial syllable is nasalized or not.

⁵⁹ These forms are taken from Josserand.

⁶⁰ It should be noted that there is some nasalization of the initial vowel if it occurs before [n]. More data is needed to establish whether this nasalization is phonemic or phonetic.

nasalization or not. Further, the crucial feature in this variety of Mixtec is whether the medial consonant is [\pm continuant]; only those consonants with the underlying feature [$-$ continuant] block the spread of nasalization.⁶¹

The [\pm continuant] distinction also applies to Mitlatongo (SM). Nasalization is blocked by any medial consonant with the underlying feature [$-$ continuant] whereas those with the underlying feature [$+$ continuant] permit the leftward spread of nasalization. The data in 0 present the proposed underlying forms for Mitlatongo.

	Josserand	SM	Proposed U.R.
squash	*yikĩ	ʒixĩ	[jiki] + nasal
neck	*sukũ	luxũ	[luku] + nasal
cold	*wixĩ	mĩxĩ	[wixi] + nasal
gourd	*yexĩ?	ǰãxã	[jaxa] + nasal

Marlett notes that in Ocotepéc nasalization spreads through the medial consonant regardless of its features, as shown in 0 above. In cases where an obstruent has a nasal allophone, the initial consonant is realized as the nasal allophone. The word for ‘cold’ presents a problem for his analysis, as from the other data it would be expected that the initial consonant be realized as its nasal allophone. It is significant that the phoneme in question is the same one, [w], that occurred in the Yutanduchi data in what seems to be a nasal morpheme.

The data from Atatláhuca, as shown in 0 above, present the opposite problem — in most cases, nasalization does not spread through the medial consonant, be it an obstruent or a continuant. One exception to this is the word for ‘cold’ which also presented a problem for analysis in Ocotepéc.

⁶¹ The data [vinã] ‘now’ and [ǰũnũ] ‘tree’ present a problem for this analysis.

PALATALIZATION IN PROTO-MIXTEC

Another modification that needs to be made to the reconstructions proposed both by Mak and Longacre and by Josserand is to recognize that palatalization was a contrastive feature in Proto-Mixtec.

I posit that there was a contrast between [tʰ] and [t]. Evidence for this analysis is to be found in the modern reflexes of what Josserand reconstructs as *t. First we examine her analysis. She reconstructs *te in seven words out of a database of 188. In Table 7 a list is given of the reflexes listed by Josserand for the syllable she reconstructs as *te. The word *kute ‘round’ is cited as an example. For each change documented by Josserand, the name of one only town where this change occurs is given.

Table 7 Josserand’s Proposed Reflexes of *te

	Modern Reflex	Example	Town Name
*te	ta	kuta	Teposcolula
	te	kute	San Juan Diuxi
	tʃa	ti kutʃa	Yosondua
	tsa	ti kutsa	Apoala
	tsi	tsi katsi	Guadalupe Portezuelo
	tʃi	tʃi katʃi	San Francisco de las Flores
	ti	tʃi/tsi kati	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan
	tʰa	kutʰa	San Juan Colorado

Looking at Josserand’s data, we note that in the majority of cases, two rules need to be posited to account for the development of the modern reflexes: one to account for the change to the consonant; the other to account for the change in the

vowel. The exceptions are varieties such as San Juan Diuxi where there is no change at all and varieties such as Teposcolula where the vowel is lowered.

To account for these facts, it is better to posit [tʰa] as the proto-syllable. Data from Santa Cruz Tacahua (SCT) in particular suggest this alternative analysis. In the following table, data from this town is compared with San Miguel el Grande (SMG), Nuxaá (SDN), Yuvi Nani (YN), and Jicayán (JIC). Josserand's corpus does not include these words nor any data from Tacahua.

	SCT	SMGI	SDN	YN	JIC
flower	ita	ita	ita	ita	ita
grass	itia	itʃa	ite	itʰa	ita [n ^d iʔi]
sing (irrealis)	kata	kata	kata	kata	kata
dig (irrealis)	katia	katʃa	kete	katʰa	kata

In most of the cases where Josserand reconstructs the syllable *te, Tacahua has [ti.a].⁶² This is easily explained if these words are derived from *tʰa, not *te.

Josserand posits that palatalization is a later development, which occurs mainly in the varieties spoken near the coast of Oaxaca. Data are presented in (34) for which Josserand has reconstructed *te.

	Josserand	Proposed	SCT	SMG	SDN	YN	SJC	JIC
man	*teye	*tʰaa	tia	tʃaa	tee	tʰaa	[rayii]	[rai]
river	*yute	*yutʰa	zutia	zutʃa	zute	itʰa	zutʰa	zuta
rotten	*teʔyu	*tʰaʔyu	tezu	teʔzu	teʔzu	tʰaʔzu	tʰaʔzu	taʔzu

⁶² The transcription [ia] is based on the fact that there is a minimal word constraint in Mixtec, that is words must have at least two moras, thus the transcription [tia] 'man' is a licensed word. Further research it needed to determine whether each of these vowels form the nucleus of a syllable or whether it is better analyzed as a complex nucleus.

From the above data, I posit that in Proto-Mixtec there was a contrast between *tʰa and *ta.⁶³ The main advantage of this analysis over Josserand's is that only one sound change rule is required for each reflex in any one modern variety. The developments of the syllable which I reconstruct as *tʰa are presented in Table 8.

Table 8 Modern Reflexes of *tʰa

Developments of tʰa	Variety
*tʰa > ta	Jicayán
*tʰa > te	Nuxaá
*tʰa > tʃa	San Miguel el Grande
*tʰa > tsa	Apoala
*tʰa > tia	Santa Cruz Tacahua
*tʰa remains tʰa	Yuvi Nani, San Juan Colorado

In this analysis only one rule is needed to account for the modern day reflexes as either the consonant or the vowel undergo change, but not both. This hypothesis would also suggest that there were no *te syllables in Proto-Mixtec.⁶⁴

The word 'rotten' in (33) does not fit the pattern. Given the rules posited above in Table 8 in which *tʰa is realised as [tʃa] in San Miguel el Grande, we would have expected **tʃaʔyu there. For Santa Cruz Tacahua, on the other hand **tiaʔyu would have been expected given the hypothesis that *tʰa is realized as [tia] in other words. As the syllable [tʃa] does appear morpheme initially in the word for 'man' in San Miguel el Grande, it is difficult to know what factors account for this change.

⁶³ Data in Josserand's dissertation show that these developments are more widespread. However, my database lacks words from Tacahua for those items.

⁶⁴ Mak and Longacre (1960:36) do not reconstruct any *te syllables.

For the data from Santa Cruz Tacahua, in which the cognate form is *teʔzu*, I posit that this word was **tʰayu*, but then the complex vowel nucleus coalesced to give [te] in some varieties.⁶⁵ Examination of this item in Josserand's corpus shows that the same variety of reflexes are documented as are given above in Table 8.

I also posit that if there was a contrast between **ti* and **tʰi* in Proto-Mixtec, these two syllables have merged in modern Mixtec. This merger makes it impossible to know which words had **ti* and which, if any, had **tʰi*. The following words are those which Josserand reconstructs with either **ti*, **tiʔ*, **tĩ*, or *tĩʔ*.

	Josserand	SCT	SMG	SDN	XOC	SJC	JIC
bean	* ⁿ dutiʔ	n ^d utʃi	n ^d utʃi	n ^d utʃi	n ^d utʃi	n ^d utʰi	n ^d uti
because ⁶⁶	*wati			tʃi	tʃi	wati	
blame	*k ^w eti		k ^w atʃi	k ^w etʃi	k ^w atʃi	k ^w atʰi	k ^w ati
cotton	*katiʔ		katʃi	katʃi	katʃi	katʰi	kati
finger nail	*tiyĩ		tiñũ	tĩ	tʃĩ	tʰiñũ	tiñĩ
green bean	* ⁿ diti		n ^d itʃi	ndzitʃi		n ^d iʰtʰi	n ^d uti
tendon	*tuti		tutʃi	tutʃi		t utʰi	tuti
wind	*tatiʔ	taʃi	taʃi	taʃi	taʃi	taʰi	tati
near	*yetĩ	zatĩ	zanĩ	zaNni	zati	zatʰĩ	zatĩ
nose	*sitĩʔ	ʃitĩ	[kutu]	ðiNnĩ	ʃitĩ	iʃtʰĩ	ʃitĩ
now	*witĩ	βitã	βinã	βiNnã	βitĩ	βitʰĩ	βitĩ
work	*tiyõ	tijũ	tijũ	tijũ	tʃũ	tʰijũ	tijũ

⁶⁵ It is recognized that more data is needed from Tacahua to further substantiate this analysis.

⁶⁶ This word is not included in my database so the data is taken from Josserand (1983).

The above data show that Josserand's *ti and *tiʔ are realized as [t̃i] in most varieties listed above. A different pattern is seen for *tĩ in that [t] or its allophone in nasal morphemes is preserved in most varieties.

The evidence is inconclusive as to whether there was a contrast between *ti and *t̃i. It is possible that the realization of *ti and *t̃i as [t̃i] is a later development, and separate from the issue of whether there was a contrast between *t and *t̃.

We now examine the proto-fricative which Mak and Longacre reconstructs as *h and Josserand as *x. This sound shows a wide range of reflexes, such as [s], [ʃ], [h], and [x]. There is evidence that this proto-fricative also showed contrast between palatalized and non-palatalized. Josserand reconstructs a number of *xe or *xẽ syllables, but no *xa or *xã syllables. Table 9 presents the reflexes listed in Josserand's corpus for the syllables which she reconstructs as *xe.⁶⁷ The form of word *xeʔe 'foot' for each variety is given as an example.

Table 9 Josserand's Reflexes of *xe

	Modern Reflex	Example	Town Name
*xe	sa	saʔa	Teposcolula
	ʃa	ʃaʔa	Santa María Yolotepec
	ʃe	ʃeʔe	San Juan Diuxi
	ʒe	ʒeʔe	Yutanduchi de Guerrero
	xa	xaʔa	San Miguel el Grande
	xe	xeʔe	Ocotepec
	x ^l e	x ^l eʔe	Nuyoo
	tʃa	tʃaʔa	Jicayán

⁶⁷ Josserand also reports a few cases of a retroflexed [°].

The reflexes presented here show distinct parallels to those for Josserand's *te syllables in that in many cases there are changes to both the consonant and the vowel, if it is posited that the proto-vowel is [e].

As with the *te syllable, an alternative analysis involving palatalization should be considered. The additional data in (35) are from Santa Cruz Tacahua (SCT), San Miguel el Grande (SMG), Jicayán (JIC), Yuvi Nani (YN) and San Juan Mixtepec (SJM).

	Josserand	Proposed ⁶⁸	SCT	SMG	JIC	YN	SJM
box	*xetũ	*x ^j atu ⁿ	ʃatũ	xaʃũ	tʃatũ	ʃatũ	tsatu
corn dough	*yuxẽʔ	*yux ^j a ⁿ	ʒuʃia	ʒuxã	yutʃã	iʃã	ʒutsa
cut	*xe ⁿ de	*x ^j a ⁿ d ^e	ʃan ^d ia	xa ⁿ d ³ a	tʃatʃan ^d e	ʃa ⁿ d ³ a	tsand ³ aa
eat	*xexi	*x ^j axi	ʒee	ʒee	tʃatʃi	ʃaʃi	tsatsi
foot	*xe ^ʔ e	*x ^j a ^ʔ a	ʃaa	xa ^ʔ a	tʃa ^ʔ a	ʃa ^ʔ a	t ^ʔ a ^ʔ a
seven	*uxe	*ux ^j a	uʃia	usia		uʃa	utsa

In the variety of Mixtec spoken in Tacahua, there are two words in my database where Josserand reconstructs *xe, and in Tacahua the corresponding segments are ʃia. It should also be noted that in San Miguel the word for 'seven' also has the segments [ia]. If a *x^ja syllable is reconstructed instead of *xe, then similar rules to those presented for *t^ja apply. The changes documented in Table 9 can better be accounted for by positing a *x^ja as shown in Table 10.

Table 10 Developments of *x^ja

Change	Town
--------	------

⁶⁸ For ease of comparison, I am using Josserand's reconstruction of *x for the initial consonant. However, I am unsure as to the phonetic value of this consonant which Mak and Longacre reconstruct as *h.

$x^j a > sa$	Peñoles
$x^j a > tsa$	Mixtepec
$x^j a > ʃa$	Yuvi Nani
$x^j a > xa$	San Miguel
$x^j a > tʃa$	Jicayán
$x^j a > ʃe$	Nuxaá
$x^j a > ʒe$	Yutanduchi
$x^j a > x^j e$	Nuyoo

In the first five changes listed above, by positing a $*x^j a$ syllable, only one change rule needs to be posited for each variety to account for the facts. Only one rule would need to be posited for the last change listed where the vowel is raised, that is $*x^j a > x^j e$. The other changes would require two change rules to account for the modern reflexes: one for the change in the consonant and another for the change in the vowel. The proposed analysis requires slightly fewer rules than Josserand's. The difference in the number of rules would be insufficient of itself to suggest an alternate analysis. However, when these facts are considered along with the data given above for $*t$, then it seems more likely that there was palatalization on $[x]$ in Proto-Mixtec; the syllable which Josserand reconstructs as $*xe$ should be reconstructed as $*x^j a$.

Finally, note that in (35) that Tacahua has $[ʃan^d ia]$ 'cut' where Josserand has $*xe^n de$. Tacahua also has a $[n^d ia]$ syllable in $[βin^d ia]$ 'nopal' where Josserand reconstructs $*wi^n de$. Thus it is possible that $*/n/$ which is $[n^d]$ in oral words also could be palatalized.

An issue raised by this analysis is whether $*e$ was actually part of the vowel system in Proto-Mixtec at all. If $*t^j a$, $*x^j a$ and $*n^j a$ are reconstructed instead of

syllables with [e], then almost half of the words which Josserand reconstructs with [e] would be reconstructed with a palatalized consonant plus [a]. However that question is beyond the scope of this thesis.

CONCLUSION

The first section of this paper has presented further evidence of the validity of Marlett's claim that nasalization is a morpheme-level feature. His analysis and its implications for the reconstruction of Proto-Mixtec account for most of the phonetic facts, while reducing the number of phonemes required and eliminating the necessity of complex rules to account for co-occurrence restrictions. By positing that certain morphemes have the feature [+nasal], the environment for the changes detailed above for *t, *k, and *k^w are accounted for. Data presented above have shown that *t has a variety of allophones such as [n] and [Nn] in nasal morphemes, and that in some cases the nasal allophone of *t and *n have merged as [n]. Both *k and *k^w have spirantized to [x] and [x^w] morpheme medially in some varieties, and also morpheme initially in a subset of those varieties.

This paper also documents that in at least some varieties of Mixtec, it is the underlying feature of a medial consonant that determines whether it blocks the spread of nasalization. Thus two sounds which are phonetically identical, but underlyingly different with regard to the feature [sonorant], will behave in different ways with regard to the spread of nasalization. Also that in some varieties the relevant feature is [continuant].

This paper also presents data which form the basis for changes in the analysis of palatalization. The main change posited is that palatalization was a contrastive feature of some consonants in Proto-Mixtec, not a later development. The advantage of this approach is that it reduces the number of rules which need to be posited to account for modern reflexes. It also questions whether *e should be reconstructed for

Proto-Mixtec since many of the instances in which Josserand reconstructs *e, would, under the present analysis be reconstructed as *a preceded by a palatalized consonant.

CHAPTER 3
A TYPOLOGICAL GRAMMAR SKETCH
OF
SOUTHEASTERN NOCHIXTLÁN MIXTEC

INTRODUCTION

This paper presents an overview of the grammar of Southeastern Nochixtlán Mixtec, henceforth SEN.⁶⁹ Most of the examples are taken from texts written by native authors.⁷⁰ This sketch does not pretend to be exhaustive, although it covers the most common features of this language. Also covered are certain features of this Mixtec language that are not shared by other varieties which have been previously documented.

This paper presents these topics in the following order: first, a description of SEN word classes; second, a discussion of morphology issues; third, a typological presentation of word order; fourth, a classification of subordination and conjunction in SEN; and finally, the use of evidentials in SEN discourse.

SEN is one of the Mixtec languages, belonging to the Mixtecan subgroup of the Otomanguean language stock. Mixtec is a family of over 50 mutually unintelligible languages, spoken in southern Mexico in the states of Guerrero, Puebla

⁶⁹ SEN is spoken in three municipios, Santa Ines de Zaragoza, San Andrés Nuxiño and Santo Domingo Nuxaá, in the district of Nochixtlán which is situated northwest of the city of Oaxaca; see the map in Appendix 1. The data for this study have been gathered over a period of sixteen years.

⁷⁰ These texts have been written by a number of different Mixtecs who do not wish to be identified. A list of the ones which have been published as literacy materials is included in the references.

and Oaxaca. Within each language there are often a number of dialects which are mutually intelligible.

WORD CLASSES

This section presents the word classes of SEN. The two most basic classes of lexical words in SEN are nouns and verbs. There are also modifiers of various kinds, such as adjectives and adverbs. SEN has a minimal word constraint: any word must be at least bimoraic. In this section I also describe the pronoun system, although strictly speaking, the pronominal clitics are not lexical words and are unstressed.

NOUNS

Most nouns in SEN refer to perceivable objects; that is, there are very few monomorphemic abstract nouns. Monomorphemic nouns are usually disyllabic. Some nouns are trisyllabic, the initial syllable being a classifier prefix. In SEN there are three such prefixes, *ti-* or *chi-* for animals, *nu-* for trees and *chi-* for spherical objects.⁷¹

Many words in SEN denoting animals begin with [tʃ] , and a few with [t].⁷² I consider that [ti] or [tʃi] was the proto-form of this prefix, in which the [tʃi] is the modern reflex in this variety. Some examples of words in this class are given in (36). Other phonological changes account for the surface form: for example, in many cases, SEN has lost the vowel of the prefix.⁷³ This prefix is related to the SEN word for ‘animal’ *kiti* and the third person pronoun for animals *ti*, which seems to be a shortened form of that word.⁷⁴

⁷¹ The orthography used for the examples in this paper is that approved by the Mixtec Academy (Ve'e tu'un savi). An explanation of the symbols is to be found in Appendix 4.

⁷² Of the 120 words for animals in my database, 11 are loans from Spanish, 49 begin with consonants other than [t] or [tʃ], and 55 begin with [tʃ] and 10 with [t].

⁷³ San Miguel el Grande Mixtec has /tiyoko/ ‘ant’ and /tiyukun/ ‘fly’ (Dyk and Stoudt 1965). The cognate forms of these items in SEN are /choko/ and /chukun/ respectively.

⁷⁴ Tone has been omitted from the transcription of Mixtec in this chapter.

(36)	Gloss	Mixtec
	ant	choko
	cattle	chikutu
	cricket	tinchi
	fly	chukun
	pack rat	chani

The word *chikutu* ‘cattle’ is a post-conquest word, coming from *chi-* ‘animal prefix’ and *kutu* ‘plow’.

Most words denoting trees in SEN begin with *nu-*, which appears to be a shortened form of *yutun* ‘tree’.

(37)	Gloss	Mixtec
	acacia	nundo'o
	forestiera	nunchidi
	madrone	nuyu'ndu
	pitch pine	nuyuxe
	seep willow	nutavi
	silver leaf oak	nuyada
	Mexican bald cypress	nuyukun

Many words denoting spherical objects begin with *chi-*.

(38)	Gloss	Mixtec
	acorn	chi'nki
	barrel cactus	chite'e
	citrus fruit	chikuee
	spoon	chikadi
	tomato	chinana

VERBS

Verbs in SEN may be classified according to valence types, that is, according to the number of arguments which are obligatory.

Basic Valence Types

There is a small set of ambient verbs which subcategorize for no arguments. Examples are given in (39). The imperfective aspect is given in each case.

(39)	Gloss	Mixtec
	dawn	tuu
	earthquake	taan
	become late	kuaa

These verbs can occur with aspectual prefixes as shown in (40).

(40)	ja	ni-	tuu
	already	Pf-	dawn.R
	<i>(It) has already dawned.</i>		

Some adjectives can also function as ambient verbs. An example is given in (41)

(41)	iyo	i'ni
	very	hot
	<i>It (weather) is very hot.</i>	

This class of verbs does not include all verbs which describe meteorological phenomena. For example, to express 'to rain' there is an overt subject, *davi* 'rain' with the verb 'come down', and so this example does not fall in the ambient verb class.

(42)	kuun	davi
	come.down.R	rain
	<i>It is raining.</i>	

In (43) and (44) examples are given of verbs which subcategorize for one argument.

(43)	Gloss	Mixtec
	go	ki'in
	arrive	xee
	walk	kaka
	drip	xi'in
	swim	dute

(44)	ja	ni-	xee	ku'u	da
	already	Pf-	arrive	sister	1Res
	<i>My sister has just arrived.</i>				

Some verbs which subcategorize for two arguments are given in (45) and (46).

(45)	Gloss	Mixtec
	sell	diko
	sow	kakin
	harvest	ta'vi
	close	kadi
	lose	kuita

(46)	te	vitan	kuini	ni	ja	kakin	no	nuni	trigu
	and	right.now	want.R	1Fam	that	sow.Ir	2Fam.MS	corn	wheat
	<i>and now I want you to sow wheat ...</i>								

In the corpus used for this study, *kua'a* 'to give' is the only non-derived verb which subcategorizes for three arguments.

- (47) te ni- -na- kua'a de nu doo nu
 and Pf- Rep- give.Ir 3m 3f clothes 3f
and he gave her back her clothes

If all three arguments are present, then the indirect object is a pronoun, and the direct object is either a full noun phrase or the pronoun *ñá'a* 'object' which occurs between the verb and subject.

Motion Verbs

SEN has a complex system of motion verbs. There are two basic parameters: the place of locutionary action and the notion of 'home base'. That is, besides indicating motion to/from the speaker, the choice of verb also indicates direction of the motion with regard to 'home base' or customary location of the subject of the verb. The verbs *ki'in* 'go' and *nu'u* 'go home' are examples of this set of verbs. The verb *ki'in* 'go', in the appropriate aspect is used when the direction is away from the speaker and away from the 'home base' of the subject.

- (48) na- ki'in ndo yuku ja kaxi ndo
 Sub- go.Ir 1incl look.for Cmp eat.Ir 1incl
Let's go and look for something to eat.

For direction towards the place of locutionary action, *kixi* 'come' is used.

- (49) te kixi tuku ni
 and come.R again 1Fam
and I'll come again

The verb *nu'u* 'go home' is used when the place of locutionary action is other than the home-base of the subject.

- (50) nu'u da
 go.home.Ir 1Res
I'm going home.

The verb *ndixi* is used when the home base of the subject and the place of locutionary action coincide.

- (51) ki'in da date ndixi da
 go.Ir 1Res then come.back.Ir 1Res
I'll go and I'll come back (home) shortly.

These motion verbs can also co-occur with other verbs, such as *kane'e* 'take'. In these cases, the motion verb indicates the direction of the main action. Both verbs are marked for aspect, but there is no overt subject on the motion verb which occurs after the arguments of the other verb. It is posited that they form a single complex verb phrase.

- (52) te kane'e ní ti kunu'u
 and take.Ir 2Res 3a go.home.Ir
and you will take it home

- (53) kandeka na ina ki'in
 take.Ir 2Fam.WS dog go.Ir
you will take the dog away

- (54) ja nchikun ña'a tata ni vaxi
 already follow.R Obj father 1Fam come.R
My father is already chasing us (coming to where we are).

The motion verb when used in a directional sense follows the main arguments of the verb, even when that argument is an embedded clause.

- (55) te yukan ni- xi- kane'e ayivi ja kaxi ti ki'in
 and there Pf- Hab- take.Ir person that eat 3a go.Ir
And people would take it something to eat (out) there.

There are other verbs, such as *ndivi* ‘enter’ and *xee* ‘arrive’, which are also used to indicate direction and can be used like the motion verbs in the previous examples. As in the other cases there is no clitic after the motion verb.

- (56) ne'e yu'u ti choto ni- ndivi
 carry mouth 3a rat Pf- enter
It came in carrying a rat in its mouth.

Compound Verbs

There are a number of compound verbs. For example, many verbs expressing emotions or thought processes are compounds of *-ini* ‘inside’ and a root, which often is not identifiable. Examples of these verbs are given in (57). (In the cases where the neutral and realis stems are different segmentally, the neutral stem is given.)

- | | | |
|------|---------------------|-------------|
| (57) | Gloss | Mixtec |
| | become angry | diko-ini |
| | contemplate | dakani-ini |
| | covet | kakan-ini |
| | despise | daka-ini |
| | encourage | kandee-ini |
| | forgive | kaka'nu-ini |
| | realize, figure out | kutuni-ini |
| | remember | ndaku-ini |
| | think | kani-ini |

Examples of the use of these verbs are given in (58) and (59).

- (58) te ni- xeni-ini ti ja doni danda'vi ña'a tita
 and Pf- think.R 3a that just deceive.R Obj opossum
and it thought that the opossum was just deceiving him

- (59) ko a- kutuni-ini de nava'a kada de
 but Neg- realize 3m how do 3m
but he couldn't figure out what he should do

QUANTIFIERS

Quantifiers, both numerals and indefinite, precede the noun. The most common indefinite quantifiers are listed below.

- | | | |
|------|--------------------|----------|
| (60) | Gloss | Mixtec |
| | a few | xeku |
| | all | ndiaa |
| | many | maa |
| | several | titin |
| | some ⁷⁵ | dava ta- |

Examples of the use of these quantifiers are given in (61) and (62).

- (61) ni'i ndo maa mediu
 find.Ir 1incl much money
We'll get lots of money.

- (62) te ne'e de n^diaa mediu kunu'u
 and take 3m all money go.home.R
and he took all the money home

Some indefinite quantifiers can co-occur with the prefix *ta-* 'collective'.⁷⁶

- (63) te kutu no ndiaa ta- ñu'u ni
 and plow.Ir 2Fam.MS all Col ground 1Fam
and plow all my land

⁷⁵ The word *dava* often means 'half'. However, when it means 'some' the noun is prefixed by *ta-* 'collective'.

⁷⁶ This gloss was suggested to me by Daniel Everett.

(64) ja ni- xee dava ta- ayivi
 already Pf- arrive some Col people
Some people have already arrived.

(65) maa ta yuku kuii iyo
 many Col plant green be.R
There are many kinds of edible plants (lit. green plants)

In the corpus used for this study I have no examples of either *xeku* ‘few’ or *titin* ‘several’ co-occurring with *ta-* ‘collective’.

The Mixtec number system is vigesimal.⁷⁷ There are separate words for ‘one’ to ‘ten’, as shown in (66).

(66)	Gloss	Mixtec	Gloss	Mixtec
	one ⁷⁸	iin	six	iĩu
	two	uu	seven	usa
	three	uni	eight	una
	four	kuun	nine	iin
	five	u'un	ten	uxi

The word for ‘ten’ *uxi* forms the base for numbers to ‘fourteen’, then the word for ‘fifteen’ *sa'un* is the base for the numbers to ‘nineteen’.

(67)	Gloss	Mixtec	Gloss	Mixtec
	eleven	uxi iin	fifteen	sa'un
	twelve	uxi uu	seventeen	sa'un uu
	thirteen	uxi uni	eighteen	sa'un uni
	fourteen	uxi kuun	nineteen	sa'un kuun

⁷⁷ A fuller discussion on the Mixtec number system is to be found in Hollenbach and Hollenbach 1999.

⁷⁸ Note that the numbers ‘one’ and ‘nine’ are only differentiated by tone.

The system after twenty repeats the pattern for ‘one’ to ‘nineteen’.

(68)	Gloss	Mixtec	Gloss	Mixtec
	twenty	oko	forty (2×20)	uu diko
	twenty one	oko iin	fifty (2×20+10)	uu diko uxi
	twenty four	oko kuun	seventy five (3×20+15)	uni diko sa'un
	twenty seven	oko usa	eighty (4×20)	kuun diko
	thirty (20+10)	oko uxi	ninety (4×20+10)	kuun diko uxi
	thirty five (20+15)	oko sa'un	iin cientu	one hundred (Spanish)

In many situations, the numbers in Spanish are used. However, the old vigesimal system is used for counting tortillas. In this system 100 is *u'un diko* ‘5×20’. The word for 20×20 is *tuu* ‘400’. The old word for ‘8000’, *titin*, now means ‘several’.⁷⁹

There is a prefix *nchi-* ‘all’ which when combined with a numeral, means ‘all *x* of them’. Examples are given in (69) and (70). Note that there are two pronominal clitics which refer to the same antecedent.

(69) te ni- xini ña'a ti nchi- u'un ti
 and Pf- see.R Obj 3a all- five 3a
And all five of them saw me.

(70) ni- tee nu nchi- kuun nu dita
 Pf- make 3f all- four 3f tortilla
All four of them made tortillas.

The forms *nduu* ‘both’ and *nchinuni* ‘all three’ are suppletive.

⁷⁹ The old number system is described in Alvarado (1593) verso 203 and recto 204. He gives the form *ee tetne* for ‘8000’.

ADJECTIVES

In Mixtec, the class of adjectives behaves differently from that class in Indo-European languages. These words are sometimes used as the predicate of the clause, without a copular verb. Yet they cannot simply be classified as verbs, because in other contexts they directly modify a noun, without requiring a relative clause. Simple examples are given in (71) and (72).

(71) iin mini ka'nu
 one pool big
 a big pool

(72) ka'nu mini
 big pool
 The pool is big.

Most of these adjectives do not carry aspect markers like verbs, so for the aspects other than the imperfective they use an auxiliary verb.

(73) iku ni- kuu ndandu ni
 yesterday Pf- be.R busy 1Fam
 I was busy yesterday.

There is a small subset of this class of words which can carry aspect markers. The word *yichi* 'dry' is in this class. This word is shown modifying a noun in (74), as a main clause predicate in (75), and with an aspect marker in (76).

(74) ni- nene de nuu ñu'u yichi
 Pf- go.up 3m face earth dry
 he came out onto dry land

(75) yichi itu
 dry corn.plant
 The corn plant is drying up.

- (76) ni- yichi itu
 Pf- dry corn.plant
The corn plant dried up.

Other items in this class are *kuaa* ‘blind’, *kuaan* ‘yellow’, *xetu* ‘spicy’ *taya* ‘loose’. Thus members of this small subset could be classified as adjective/verbs, to distinguish them from the other adjectives which never take aspectual prefixes.

Two adjectives have separate plural forms: *ka'nu* ‘big’, has the plural form *na'nu*, and *kani* ‘long’, has the plural form *nani*.

- (77) te ni- tiin de ta- koo na'nu
 and Pf- catch.R 3m Col snake big.Pl
and he caught some big snakes

PRONOUNS

The unstressed pronouns in Mixtec languages are clitics: they are phonologically dependent on the last element in the phrase to which they belong. In SEN, clitic pronouns have only one form regardless of function in the clause. In the following three examples the clitic *de* ‘3 masculine’ is used as subject, object, and possessor, respectively.

- (78) nkuita de kutu de
 begin 3m plow 3m
he will start to plow

- (79) ko vitan no na- nani'i ndo de
 but now if Sub- meet 1incl 3m
but now if I find him ...

- (80) adi'i de
 wife 3m
his wife

SEN has a highly differentiated pronominal system. The forms of the pronouns are determined not only by the gender of the referent, but also the gender of the speaker and the relative status of the speaker and addressee. There are many third person pronouns for specific types of referents. The forms of the dependent pronouns are given in (81). When both male and female speakers use the same form, this form appears in the first column.

(81)	General	Men Speaking	Women Speaking
1 respect	da		
1 familiar	ni		
1 inclusive	ndo		
2 respect	ní		
2 familiar		no	na/nda
3 general	xi		
3 deity	ya		
3 masculine		de	yi
3 feminine	nu		
3 feminine respect	ña		
3 masculine respect		de	ña
3 animal	ti		
3 water	te		

SEN has two forms of first and second person: respect and familiar. If the addressee is somebody of a higher social rank, the respect forms are used. Unlike the familiar forms in Indo-European languages where the distinction is shown only in the second person pronoun, in SEN the speaker chooses a different first person pronoun also to signal respect for the addressee. When the referent is third person

female and is of higher social status than the speaker, the third person female respect pronoun is used. Examples (82) to (84) are taken from a text where the main participants are several sheep. In (82), the speaker is talking to somebody of higher social status, so the respect pronouns are used for first and second person. Note also that the third person female respect pronoun is being used, as the character in question is a ewe and of higher status than the speaker.

- (82) te ni- xikan tu'un da ña teno ndeku va'a ní
 and Pf- ask.R word 1Res 3fRes if be good 2Res
and I was just asking her if you were well,

If on the other hand the addressee is of the same social status or lower, then the informal first and second person pronouns are used. Also, in the following example we know that the speaker is a ram, so the “men speaking” pronoun is used.

- (83) te da- ndi'i no kuu ite ni
 and Caus- finish.Ir 2Fam.MS Emph. grass 1Fam
And you're eating up my grass!

In (84), the speaker is a ewe, as indicated by the use of *na* as the second person familiar pronoun.

- (84) kua'an kunu'u nuu ñu'u mee na
 go.Prog go.home.R face land self 2Fam.WS
Off you go home to your own land.

In the following example, we know that the addressee is of higher status than the speaker and that the speaker is a woman, hence the use of *yi* as the third person masculine pronoun.

- (85) ni- nda- kiku yi nchixe da
 Pf- Rep- sew.Ir 3m.WS sandal 1Res
He repaired my sandal.

The pronoun *ti* usually refers to animals.

- (86) kua'an ti yuku ja kaxi ti
 go.Prog 3a look.for.Ir Cmp eat.Ir 3a
It (animal) was going to go and look for something to eat.

However, it is also used for the stars and the moon.⁸⁰

- (87) ore ja na- chitu yoo te na- kaa iin ido
 when Cmp Rep- full.Ir moon and Rep- seem.Ir one rabbit
 kaa ja ndeku xiti ti
 seem.R Rel be inside 3a
When the moon is full, what looks like a rabbit appears on its (the moon's) face.

There is also a separate pronoun for water.

- (88) kute da ndute chi iyo meka te
 pour.out.Ir 1Res water because very dirty 3water
I threw out the water because it was very dirty.

The third general pronoun is used for inanimate objects and for people, when unspecified as to gender.

- (89) te ni- nanduku de tutu te nchixe ndeku xi
 and PF- look.for.R 3m paper and truly be 3g
and he looked for the paper and it (the paper) really was there

The deity pronoun is used for God and Jesus.

- (90) ni- ka'an ya nuu de
 Pf- say 3deity face 3m
He (Jesus) said to them ...

⁸⁰ I have been unable to ascertain exactly what role the moon and the stars play in the Mixtec world view.

The deity pronoun is also used for the rain and the sun, reflecting the traditional Mixtec world view.

- (91) kixi ya
 come.Ir 3deity
It is going to rain (lit. he (deity) will come).

An example of the first person inclusive pronoun is given in (92).

- (92) na- kuchi ndo ndoo
 Sub- chew.Ir 1incl cane
Let's chew cane.

Another use of this pronoun is in soliloquy, when a person is talking with himself.

- (93) naikuu ja kaxi ndo
 what Cmp eat.Ir 1incl
What will I eat?

In the corpus used for this study, no more than two clitic pronouns can occur together, even in the cases of verbs which subcategorize for three arguments. The verb ‘to give’ is an interesting case, in that there are two verbs ‘to give’. The verb *taxi* and its compounds are used when the recipient is first or second person, although this argument is always left implicit. The person of the recipient can only be determined from the discourse context. In the majority of cases where the subject of *taxi* ‘to give’ is first person, then the understood recipient is second person. On the other hand, if the subject is second person, then the recipient is understood as first person. For example, in (94) there is no separate pronoun for the indirect object which is understood to be second person from the discourse context.

- (94) chi na- taxi ni xi
 because Rep- give.Ir 1Fam 3g
...because I will give (you) it back...

Example (95) shows the case where the subject is second person and the understood indirect object is first person.

- (95) te taxi no maa chaka
 and give.Ir 2Fam.MS many fish
...and you will give (me) lots of fish ...

The verb *kua'a* ‘give’ is used when the indirect object is third person. In (96) we see the use of these two different verbs: in the first clause, the indirect object is third person, so *kua'a* is used; in the second clause, *taxi* is used because the indirect object is not third person. Note that there is no overt pronoun for the indirect object in the second clause.

- (96) kua'a ni nu kue vaxi na- taxi nu xi
 give.IR 1Fam. 3f although no Rep- give.Ir 3f 3g
I will give (it) to her although she will never give it back (to me).

The clitic pronouns can be used with the interrogative word *nada* ‘which’.

- (97) nada ní ni- tee dita
 which 2Res Pf- make.R tortilla
Which one of you made the tortillas?

There is also a small set of free pronouns which are often used for contrastive focus. The special forms are given in (98). For the others, the word *mee* ‘self’ occurs with the appropriate clitic. Either strategy can be used in first and second person.

- (98)
- | | | General | Men
Speaking | Women
Speaking |
|-----|----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1st | Respect | adaña/adaan | | |
| | Familiar | nchu'u | | |
| 2nd | Familiar | | so'o | nchaa |

In (99) an example is given of *mee* ‘self’ occurring with *da* ‘first person respect’.

- (99) chi atuu dukan xede mee da
 because Neg.be thus do.R self 1Res
"...because that is not what I do..."

These pronouns are often fronted for contrastive focus.

- (100) ko so'o kuu ñadu'u
 but 2Fam.MS.Foc be thief
but you are a thief

- (101) nchu'u ni- tee dita
 1Fam.Foc Pf- make tortilla
I made the tortillas.

- (102) te ni- xa'an de ja mee de ni- chiva'a doo nu
 and Pf- say 3m Cmp self 3m Pf- hide clothes 3f
and he said that he was the one who had hidden her clothes,

Another use of the word *mee* ‘self’ is to form reflexive pronouns. In these cases the clitic agrees in person and gender with the subject.

- (103) te ka'an ti ni- xii ti mee ti
 and speak 3a Pf- say 3a self 3a
and it (animal) said to itself

- (104) ni- da -kayu ni mee ni
 Pf- Caus- burn 1Fam self 1Fam
I burned myself

There are two pronouns, *ta'an* ‘reciprocal’ and *ña'a* ‘object’ which are unusual in that they occur between the verb and the subject. These are the only two

nominal elements that can occur in this position. They could be considered a verbal suffix rather than a pronoun.

- (105) ni- kani ta'an vilu pa'a
 Pf- hit Recip cat baby
The kittens were fighting (lit. beating (up) on each other).

The word *ñā'a* is a generic pronoun used as the object of the verb. It can refer to any person (first, second, or third). It usually refers to the main character of a text or the current episode in a discourse. It can only refer to animate antecedents.

In example (106) *ñā'a* 'object' is the only overt object of the verb and from the discourse context, we know it refers to a first person antecedent.

- (106) te kani ñā'a no
 and hit.R Obj 2Fam.MS
and you hit me

In (107), on the other hand, it has a second person antecedent.

- (107) ja chindee ñā'a ni
 so.that help.Ir Obj 1Fam
...so that I will help you...

In some cases the 'normal' pronominal clitic is also present, occurring in its usual position after the subject.

- (108) chi kaxi ñā'a ni no
 because eat.Ir Obj 1Fam 2Fam.MS
...because I'm going to eat you.

When the antecedent of *ñā'a* is second person, the subject can either be first person as in (107) and (108) above or third person as shown in (109).

- (109) naikuu ja ni- xede ña'a soli
 what that Pf- do.R Obj turkey.vulture
What did the turkey vulture do to you?

The antecedent of *ña'a* can also be third person, as seen in (110).

- (110) ja ni- data'an ña'a de
 that Pf- bother.R Obj 3m
...that he was bothering him...

The pronoun *ña'a* can also be used as the indirect object of the verb 'to give', as seen in (111).

- (111) ko kua'a ña'a ndo xi no
 but.give Obj 1incl 3g well
We might as well give it to it.

As *ta'an* 'reciprocal' occurs in the same position as some adverbs, it might be considered to be an adverb. However, *ta'an* does need to be distinguished from adverbs in that *ta'an* 'reciprocal' and *ña'a* 'object', can co-occur with adverbs, but both these words never appear in the same clause. It is also noted that in the texts used for this study, there are no examples of two adverbs occurring between the verb and the subject.

DEMONSTRATIVES

SEN demonstrative adjectives have a three-way distinction: near the speaker, near the addressee and away from both speaker and addressee. These words also function as locative adverbs. There is also a set of demonstrative pronouns, which are very similar in form to the demonstrative adjectives.

(112)	Near Speaker	Near Addressee	Far away
Locative Adverb	i'a	xian	yukan
Demonstrative Adjective	i'a	xian/jan	yukan
Demonstrative Pronoun	ja'a	xian/jan	yukan

In (113), the demonstrative adjective *i'a* ‘here’ is used, as the boulder is near the speaker.

(113)	kitiin	ni	toto	i'a
	hold.up.R	1Fam	boulder	this
	<i>I am holding up this boulder</i>			

In (114), the locative adverb *xian* is used as the place being referred to is near the addressee.

(114)	ndotuu	no	xian
	be.above.R	2Fam.MS	there
	<i>...you are sitting up there...</i>		

RELATIVE PRONOUNS

There is also a set of relative pronouns used in restrictive relative clauses.

(115)	Relative Pronoun	
	te	3rd masculine, men speaking
	yi	3rd masculine, women speaking
	nu	3rd feminine
	ti	3rd animal
	ja	3rd general
	ya	3rd deity

- (116) ku'u da nu lu'lu ka
 sister 1Res 3f small more
my sister who is younger ...

PREPOSITIONS

There are some prepositions in Mixtec which in different contexts are clearly nouns referring to body parts. In addition there are some words which can only be used as prepositions.

The following can only be used as prepositions:

- | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|
| (117) | Gloss | Mixtec |
| | among | ne'u |
| | for | kuenda |
| | as far as | nde |
| | with | ndi'i |

The following body parts can also be used as prepositions:

- | | | | |
|-------|--------|-----------|---------------|
| (118) | Mixtec | Body Part | Preposition |
| | diki | head | on the top of |
| | nuu | face | on |
| | yu'u | mouth | edge |
| | jata | back | behind |
| | diin | side | beside |
| | xiti | stomach | inside |
| | ka'a | buttocks | beneath |
| | xe'e | foot | for |

Given that so many words function both as nouns and prepositions, the question arises whether these are distinct classes in Mixtec. That is, it might be the case that these apparent prepositional uses are really instances of possessed nouns as claimed by Bickford (1998:98) for Meso-American languages generally. Macaulay also (1996:172) tentatively analyses them as nouns for Chalcatongo. For example in (119) two translations ‘inside’ and ‘stomach’ are possible and so one could claim that the differences are only a matter of translation. The clitic pronoun that follows *xiti* could either be interpreted as the object of a preposition or as a possessor.

- (119) *ñu'u* *koo* *xiti* *ti*
 be.contained.R snake stomach 3a
 it has parasites inside/in its stomach

Similarly the same phrase *ka'a kidi* can be used either as the subject with the meaning ‘bottom of the pot’ as in (120)a or as a locative phrase, ‘below the pot’ as in (120)b.

- (120) a) *iyo* *tuun* *ka'a* *kidi*
 be.R soot bottom pot
 There is soot on the bottom of the pot.
- b) *iyo* *iin* *chidata* *ka'nu* *ka'a* *kidi*
 be.R one cockroach big below pot
 There's a big cockroach below the pot.

There are several reasons to posit that prepositions form a separate class. One, there are some morphemes (117) which can only be used as prepositions and others, of course, only as nouns. Two, in some cases, as seen in (118), the meaning when used as a preposition is clearly distinct from its basic sense as a body part and thus cannot be explained under a hypothesis that the prepositional uses are merely

examples of possessed nouns. Three, when these words are used as prepositions, they cannot be modified by an adjective, whereas they can when used as nouns.

- (121) te ni- xee iin chidaa diki kue'e
 and Pf- arrive.R one bird head red
and a bird with a red head arrived

Thus it seems best to posit that prepositions are a distinct class from nouns, although there are an unusually large number of prepositions which are derived as extended senses of body part nouns.

The analysis of these words is complicated by the fact that many body parts have a metaphorical meaning when applied to objects such as houses and cooking pots. For example, *diki ve'e*, literally 'head of the house', means 'roof'.

- (122) te ore ja ya'a ni diki ve'e
 and when that pass.over 1Fam head house
and when I fly over the roof of the house

The word *diki* when applied to trees means 'the top of' as seen in example

- (123).
 (123) daa nkondo_tuu no diki yutun
 then alight 2Fam.MS top tree
then you get off on the top of the tree

When body part nouns are used in these extended senses, it is often difficult to tell whether they are being used as nouns or prepositions. However, although some examples have an ambiguous analysis, there does seem to be enough evidence to posit a distinct class of prepositions.⁸¹

⁸¹ For an analysis of the development of the prepositional uses of 'face' and 'foot' see Hollenbach (1995).

ADVERBS

Adverbs in SEN can be classified according to where they occur in the sentence. There are some adverbs which occur between the verb and the subject.

- (124) te kixi tuku ni
 and come.Ir again 1Fam
and I'll come again

Other adverbs form part of the periphery.⁸² Some adverbs, especially those of time, can either occur before or after the core.

- (125) date kixi na yuten
 then come.Ir 2Fam.WS tomorrow
then you will come tomorrow

- (126) chi yuten te ya'a ni nuu ñu'u no
 because tomorrow and pass.Ir 1Fam place land 2Fam.MS
because tomorrow, I'm going to come to your land

NOUN MORPHOLOGY

Nouns modified by a quantifier greater than 'one' have no obligatory marking on the noun to show that it is plural.

- (127) iin dita
 one tortilla
one tortilla
- (128) uni dita
 three tortilla
three tortillas

⁸² 'Periphery' is used in the sense of constituents which are not part of the core.

Moreover the number of a noun is determined by context, so that a noun without any plural marking may have plural reference.

- (129) xexi ti dita
 eat.R 3a tortilla
It eats tortillas.

However, a plural phrase consisting of *in* ‘plural’ plus the clitic which corresponds to the head noun or pronoun can be added to the clause to make plurality explicit.

- (130) ni- xee ti in ti
 Pf- arrive.R 3a Pl 3a
they arrived ...

The plural phrase, consisting of *in* plus a clitic, sometimes occurs clause final, thus making it discontinuous with the phrase it modifies. In (131) the object of the verb *kandeka* ‘take’ is *ina* ‘dog’. To show plural, *in ti* ‘plural third person animal’ occurs clause final.

- (131) kandeka na ina ki'in in ti
 take.Ir 2Fam.WS. dog go.Ir Pl 3a
Take the dogs away with you.

Another instance in which the plural phrase is discontinuous with the noun it modifies is when the head noun occurs in a genitive construction. In these cases the plural phrase follows the possessor.

- (132) landa no in xi
 child 2Fam.MS Pl 3g
...your children...

Another way to indicate that the number of the noun phrase is greater than one is to prefix the noun with the marker *ta-*. It is difficult to determine an exact gloss for this marker. One option is ‘collective’ as it does not mean the same as the plural marker described above. In (133), when the prefix *ta-* occurs with *yutun* ‘tree’, the meaning is ‘forest’.

- (133) *ta-* yutun
 Col- tree
forest

In example (134) *ta-* occurs with a noun which is also modified by a relative clause.

- (134) te ni- kana de ta- tee xetiñu nuu de
 and Pf- call.R 3m Pl man work face 3m
and he called for his workers

The prefix *ta-* can also co-occur with pronominal clitics.

- (135) nakani ni iin tu'un ta- ti kui'na
 tell.Ir 1Fam one story Pl 3a wild
I'm going to tell you a story about wild animals

The prefix *ta-* can co-occur with quantifiers such as *maa* ‘many’ and *ndiaa* ‘all’.

- (136) te kutu no ndiaa ta- ñu'u ni
 and plow.Ir 2Fam.MS all Col ground 1Fam
and you will plow all my land

In some cases *ta-* co-occurs with the plural clitic phrase.

- (137) ni- xee ore ja kadini ta- ayivi in xi
 Pf- arrive.R hour that have.supper.Ir Col- person Pl 3g
the time came for the people to have supper

If the head noun occurs in a genitive construction, then the plural phrase always occurs after the possessor.

- (138) ni- nakani ta- ku'u ni in nu
 Pf- tell.R Col- sister.of.female 1Fam Pl 3f
my sisters told ...

ASPECTUAL AND MODAL INFLECTION

Traditionally it has been claimed that Mixtec has three aspectual forms of each verb: potential, continuative and completive. These are distinguished from each other by tone and in some cases segmental differences. However, as posited by Bickford and Marlett (1988), it would be better to consider the system as one of aspect and mood combined. They propose that the potential “aspect” is better analysed as irrealis mood, in contrast with the realis forms which are distinguished for aspect. The traditional continuative form indicates imperfective aspect and the completive indicates perfective. The use of these forms is detailed below.

In support of this analysis, Bickford and Marlett observe that many Mixtec verbs have two segmental stems, one of which, the irrealis stem, is used unaffixed for what is traditionally called potential aspect, while the realis stem is used as unaffixed for continuative and with a prefix for completive. (There are also tonal differences between the three forms.)

(139)	Verb	Irrealis	Realis
	do	kada	xede
	drink	ko'o	xi'i
	die	kuu	xi'i
	buy	kueen	xeen
	carry	kuido	xido
	lose	kuita	xita

sing kata xita

Many irrealis stems begin with /k/, although some begin with /ku/. The realis stem of many verbs begins with /x/. There are other verbs where the only difference between the irrealis and realis stem is tone. Bickford and Marlett try to derive both stems from a common underlying stem, but there is so much irregularity in SEN that for simplicity, I assume that these two stems must be specified lexically. Note for example in the above table, that there are two pairs of verbs where the realis stems are the same, but the irrealis are different, and also that there are different vowels in the two stems for many verbs.

The traditional three forms are not the only possibility for verbs. Examining other morphological possibilities suggest a slight modification to Bickford and Marlett's proposal. There are a number of prefixes⁸³ some of which attach to the irrealis stem, others of which attach to the realis stem; the most common ones are listed in (140).

(140)

Prefixes which occur with the irrealis stem

<i>∅</i>	irrealis
<i>na-</i>	hortatory
<i>kun-</i>	dubitative
<i>ku-</i>	permissive
<i>xi-</i>	habitual

⁸³ I consider all these morphemes to be prefixes since they occur in a fixed order, are unstressed, never occur in isolation, and fail to meet the minimum word constraint which specifies that a word must be bimoraic. However, other analyses are possible; some Mixtec scholars analyse these as separate unstressed words and in many Mixtec languages they are written as separate orthographic words. Bickford and Marlett (1988) classify them as clitics. There are others who classify them as prefixes (Macaulay 1996:56). Since these prefixes subcategorize for either the realis or neutral stem,

Prefixes which occur with the realis stem

\emptyset	imperfective
<i>ni-</i>	perfective
<i>ta-</i>	progressive

Notice, however, that not all the forms that are based on the irrealis stem are in fact irrealis. In particular, the habitual prefix (as discussed below), is a realis form in meaning. This suggests that the label “irrealis” for this stem is inappropriate. Also when derivational affixes are attached to verbs, it is always the irrealis stem that is used, and once thus affixed, these derived verbs can be inflected for either irrealis or realis mood. It seems as if the irrealis stem is in some sense the more basic of the two stems.

For these reasons, it seems best not to posit that irrealis mood is inherent in this stem, rather it is neutral as to mood and acquires its modality as a result of morphological processes, including zero affixation in the cases where it is used as the irrealis form of the verb. Thus there is nothing inherent in the stem which would prohibit a realis prefix co-occurring with this stem, nor prevent it from being the base from which other verb forms are derived. Alexander (1980:23) and Zylstra (1991:107) claim that the “potential aspect” is the basic form. From now on I will refer to this as the neutral stem.

IRREALIS MOOD

The neutral stem without any affixation indicates irrealis mood. This form has four primary uses. It is used for future events:

another analysis would be that they are the head of the verb phrase and should be treated as auxiliary verbs.

- (141) kuu na iin tee kuika
 be.Ir 2Fam.WS one man rich
you'll be a rich man

It is used in purpose or intent clauses.

- (142) ...kuenda ja ndee yuu
 ...in.order.that that go.out.Ir stone
...so that the stone would come out.

It is also used in complement clauses after certain verbs, such as verbs of volition or thought.

- (143) te a- kuini ka ti ja kaka yachi ti
 and Neg- want.R more 3a that walk.Ir quickly 3a
and it no longer wanted to walk fast

One frequent use of the irrealis form is for commands. In the case of second person informal commands, the subject is usually omitted.

- (144) kundia'a
 see.Ir
Look!

- (145) kunini
 listen.Ir
Listen!

The free pronoun can be used in second person familiar commands.

- (146) taxi ncha ko'o
 give.Ir 2Fam.WS.Foc bowl
Give me your bowl.

If the command is second person respect, then the second person respect clitic is obligatory.

- (147) kundia'a ní
 see.Ir 2Res
 Look!

If the discourse context requires that the plurality of the subject be overt, then the plural clitic phrase is present. If the command is second person familiar, then the only clitic which occurs is the one in the plural phrase.

- (148) katuβa in no
 get ready.Ir Pl 2Fam.MS
 Get ready!

Subjunctive

The prefix *na-* is used for events that are yet to be realized. Since one of its common uses is for hortatory situations, the label ‘hortatory’ is commonly used in Mixtec studies for this affix or its cognates.⁸⁴ However, as it has other uses, the traditional label is somewhat misleading. The range of meaning is roughly that of subjunctive in other languages. One use is for polite commands.

- (149) na- ya'a ta- tee naa in de
 Sub- pass.Ir Col- man fight Pl 3m
 May the men who are fighting come in!

It also expresses an intention.

⁸⁴ This form has been described as hortatory by Bradley and Hollenbach (1988:200). Macaulay (1996:76) glosses it as ‘mood’. It is difficult to find a term that adequately covers the range of meaning.

(150) ñate na- kundetu ña'a ni no no
 then Sub- wait.Ir Obj 1Fam 2Fam.MS right
Then I'll wait for you, right?

(151) na- ki'in ni nuu ve'e to'o
 Sub- go.Ir 1Fam place house authority
I'm going to go to the town leaders.

This form is also used in conditional clauses, as shown on page 83 below.

Permissive

The prefix *ku-* usually indicates that permission is being sought. This form is relatively rare and seems to occur exclusively in questions.

(152) ku- kaxi ni iin kui'i a
 Per- eat.Ir 1Res one fruit Ques
May I eat a piece of fruit?

It is also used when asking for guidance or advice.

(153) ku- kadi da ko'o an ña'a
 Per- close.Ir 1Res bowl or no
Should I cover the bowl or not?

Dubitative

The prefix *kun-* 'dubitative' is used when the speaker is uncertain as to whether the event will take place. This also is rare; when it occurs it tends to occur in subordinate clauses as shown in (154).

(154) xeni-ini da ja kun- ka'an da to'o
 think.R 1Res Cmp Dub- speak.Ir 1Res leader
I think I will talk to the president.

ASPECTUAL FORMS

This section describes the verb forms which indicate aspect. All of these are realis in meaning and most are built on the realis stem. The set of aspectual distinctions is similar to that of other Mixtec languages except that some other varieties have neither habitual nor progressive.

Imperfective

The imperfective is used for situations in process, regardless of the time in which the action took place. It is formed from the realis stem with no affixation. In the following examples, the imperfective expresses action in process, either in the present as in (155) or in the past as in (156).

- (155) nchu'u ndotuu xichi ni ndoo tami i'a
 1Fam.Foc be.above.R chew.R 1Fam cane tasty here
I am sitting up here chewing sweet cane.

- (156) ndetu ñaña
 wait.R coyote
the coyote was waiting

It is also used for habitual actions in some circumstances (compare the habitual aspect below).

- (157) chi xeen da ndiaa ja kuini da
 because buy.R 1Res all Nom want 1Res
because I buy all that I want

Perfective

The perfective is used for situations which are presented as complete wholes (compare Comrie 1976). The most common situation is an event in the past. The prefix *ni-* 'perfective' is used with the realis stem.

- (158) te ni- kee niñi
 and Pf- go.out.R blood
and blood came out

The perfective can also prefix verbs already prefixed by *na-* ‘repetitive’.

- (159) ko vitan ore ja ni- na- xee ni
 but today when that Pf- Rep- arrive.Ir 1Fam
but today when I arrived back ...

In narrative discourse, the imperfective is used for background information or events in progress, the perfective for main events.

- (160) te yukan ni- nani'i xi ti
 and there Pf- find 3g 3a

 ja ndee ti asto'o ti
 Cmp watch.over.R 3a owner 3a
There they found it watching over its master,

Progressive

Some verbs, primarily those denoting a process, can occur with *ta-* progressive. The progressive is used when an action in process is part of the main event line of the discourse, thus distinguishing the action from background information which is typically expressed with the imperfective. The prefix *ta-* ‘progressive’ only occurs with the realis stem.

- (161) ore ja ta- ya'a tata nu iin yodo
 when that Prog- pass.R father 3f one flat
when her father was passing a flat place ...

A verb which has the progressive prefix often co-occurs with a clause-final auxiliary verb, most commonly the irregular progressive form *kua'an* of the verb ‘to go’.

- (162) chi ta- ndi'i xi kua'an
 because Prog- finish.R 3g go.Prog
...because there will soon be none left.

Habitual

Unlike other aspectual prefixes, the habitual prefix *xi-* is attached to the neutral stem. The resultant verb form can be further marked by *ni-* ‘perfective (realis)’ which shows that habitual is a realis form.

- (163) te nduu nduu ni- xi- ki'in de yu'u ndute ka'nu
 and day day Pf- Hab- go.Ir 3m mouth water big
and every day he used to go to the edge of the sea

The habitual with the perfective prefix is often used instead of the imperfective so that a habitual reading is made explicit, whereas an imperfective form could be interpreted as action in progress at a point in time in the past. Thus in the above example, the meaning is definitely habitual, ‘he used to go’ rather than referring to an action in progress, ‘he was going’. There are only a few examples of this aspect without the perfective prefix in the data corpus.

- (164) ja dukan xi- ndeka ja xexi no
 Nom thus Hab- take.Ir Nom eat.R 2Fam.MS
...who in this way brings you your food (regularly)

DERIVATIONAL AFFIXES

The two most common derivational affixes are *na-* ‘repetitive’ and *da-* ‘causative’.⁸⁵ Both these affixes co-occur with the neutral stem. Once an irrealis verb

⁸⁵ There are a number of other derivational affixes which co-occur with verb roots, such as *-va'a* ‘to be put away’. The resultant verb stems often differ as to valency, for example, *yiva'a* ‘to be put away’ has a valency of one, where as *chiva'a* ‘to put away’ has a valency of two.

stem is prefixed by either *na-* ‘repetitive’ or *da-* ‘causative’ this form can be further marked for irrealis or realis mood.

Repetitive

The repetitive prefix, *na-* ‘again’ occurs with the neutral stem. When this prefix is used, it is implied that the action has been done before, or is a regular occurrence. Strictly speaking ‘repetitive’ is something of a misnomer since it implies action that is done repeatedly. With this form, however, the repetition is presupposed not asserted. Unfortunately, this is the customary label used by others, such as Bradley and Hollenbach (1988).

(165) ni- na- kutuu ti
 Pf- Rep- sit.down.Ir 3a
and it sat down again

(166) te ni- na- kui'nu nu doo nu in nu
 and Pf- Rep- wear.Ir 3f clothes 3f Pl 3f
they put on their clothes

(167) te ni- na- kana xi nu
 and Pf- Rep- call 3g 3f
and they called her again,

In many cases the repetitive form has developed an idiomatic meaning, as shown in (168).

(168)	Gloss	Neutral Stem	Gloss of Repetitive Form	Repetitive form
	enter	kivi	enter one's home	ndivi
	come	kixi	come back to base	ndixi
	see	kini	recognize	nakini

- (169) te kudana te a- na- kini ña'a ka de
 and perhaps and Neg- Rep see.Ir Obj more 3m
Maybe he wouldn't recognize me any more.

In such cases it would be better to consider the repetitive form of the verb as a separate lexical item.

VALENCY CHANGES

SEN has a number of strategies to change the valency of some verbs. Most of these processes apply to a limited set of verbs.

Valency Decrease

There are a few verbs with a valency of one which can be seen to be etymologically related to verbs which have a valency of two. The most common ones are given in (170).

(170)	Gloss	Irrealis	Gloss	State
	close	kadi	be closed	ndedi
	tie	ku'ni	be tied up	nu'ni
	hit	kani	be hit	ñani
	water	kodo	be watered	todo

These verbs are often used when the agent is either unknown or is not expressed owing to some discourse consideration. In all cases the subject of the derived verb is the patient. An example is given in (171).

- (171) ndedi ye'e nde iku
 be.closed.S door since yesterday
The door has been closed since yesterday. (cf kadi 'to close')

When the verb is used as a transitive verb then the subject is the agent.

- (172) iku ni- xedi da ye'e
 yesterday Pf- close.R 1Res door
I closed the door yesterday.

The verb *ñani* ‘to be hit’ is unique in the corpus used for this study in that the agent can be expressed. In this case the meaning corresponds to the passive in English.

The agent is expressed by the use of *nuu* ‘face’ (which is used in other contexts as a preposition meaning ‘on’ or ‘at’).

- (173) te ñani nu nuu de
 and hit.S 3f face 3m
and she was beaten by him

CAUSATIVES

SEN can increase the valency of some verbs by using a causative marker. It is interesting to note that when most of these verbs are used without the causative marker, their subjects are rarely volitional agents.

- (174) Valency of 1
 cho'o nduchi
 cook.R beans
The beans are cooking.
- (175) Valency of 2
 da- cho'o nu nduchi
 Caus- cook.Ir 3f beans
She is cooking the beans.

The verb forms consisting of *da-* ‘causative’ and the irrealis verb stem, are considered to be derived verbs. They can be further prefixed for aspect and mood. In such cases these markers are only tones.⁸⁶

(176) da³- cho¹o⁴ da⁴ ndu³chi³
 Caus- cook.Ir 1Res bean
I will cook the beans.

(177) da³- cho¹o⁴ da⁴ ndu³chi³
 Caus cook.Ir 1Res bean
I am cooking the beans.

In SEN, as in many other languages, when the causative increases the valency of an intransitive verb, then the causee is the direct object of the new verb.

In cases where the causative prefix is added to a transitive verb, the resulting verbs are either transitive (with one argument implied) or ditransitive (with all arguments explicit). There are very few instances where both objects are explicit. If the object of the inner predicate is present then it is placed after the causee.

(178)

a) xexi ina
 eat.R dog
The dog is eating.

b) xexi ina dita
 eat.R dog tortilla
The dog is eating tortillas.

⁸⁶ Tone 1 is low, tone 4 is the highest. The tone association rules in SEN are very complex in that most lexical tones are prohibited from docking with the left edge of their sponsoring morpheme.

c) da- kaxi ni ina
 Caus- eat.Ir 1Fam dog
I feed the dog.

d) da- kaxi ni ti
 Caus- eat.Ir 1Fam 3a
I feed it.

e) da- kaxi ni ti dita
 Caus- eat.Ir 1Fam 3a tortilla
I feed it tortillas.

The verb *kaxi* ‘to eat’, given in the above example presents an interesting case as it can occur with one or two arguments. Note that when it occurs with the causative prefix and has a valency of three, the direct object is expressed by a full noun phrase, following the pattern that was observed for the verb ‘to give’ in that three clitic pronouns do not co-occur.

NEGATION

There are two primary ways to indicate negation in SEN. One is to use the negative prefix *a-*, and the other is to use a negative auxiliary verb, *atuu* ‘not be’.

(179) a- kuini ka ni
 Neg- want.R more 1Fam
I don't want to any longer.

(180) ko atuu ni- kee nu
 but Neg.be Pf- go.out.R 3f
but she didn't come out

The negative auxiliary *atuu* ‘not be’ can also be used as a main verb expressing nonexistence.

- (181) chi atuu viko
 because Neg.be cloud
...because there are no clouds

There is a different strategy for negating noun phrases. The verb *divi* 'is' is used with a nominal complement.

- (182) te a- divi landa ni- dandoo ndia'a no
 and Neg- is child Pf- leave.R see.R. 2Fam.MS
and those weren't children you left me taking care of ...

Imperatives are negated by the use of *vaxi* 'no'.

- (183) vaxi kixi no
 no come.Ir 2Fam.MS
"Don't come!"

There is also another negative marker *vata...ka* 'not yet'; *vata* occurs before the neutral verb stem and *ka* 'more' is a suffix.

- (184) vata kakin -ka da nduchi da
 still.not sow.Ir more 1Res bean 1Res
I haven't sown my beans yet.

Other Verbal Markers

There is another marker *ja* 'already' which can occur with either the realis or neutral stem. One analysis is to consider this an adverb.

- (185) ko navii ja nu'u da
 but right.now already go.home.Ir 1Res
but I'm already on my way home

- (186) ja ndeku tu'a ti yu'u ndute ka'nu
 already be.R ready 3a mouth water big
it (the fish) was already ready at the edge of the sea
- (187) ja ni- xee no in no
 already Pf- arrive.R 2Fam.MS Pl 2Fam.MS
you've already arrived
- (188) ja ta- kuaa kua'an
 already Prog- get.dark.R go.Prog
it is already getting dark,

QUESTIONS

As in most languages, SEN distinguishes between polar and content questions. For polar questions, the marker *a* occurs in sentence final position.

- (189) dukan iyo xi a
 thus be 3g Ques
Oh, so that's how it is?
- (190) te no na- kane'e ni xi kixi
 and if Sub- take.Ir 1Fam 3g come.R
 kukuenda no xi a
 own.Ir 2Fam.MS 3g Ques
If I bring it to you, will it belong to you?

For content questions the content question word occurs sentence-initially. The word *naikuu* 'what' is always followed by *ja* 'complementizer' as in (191).

- (191) naikuu ja xede no
 what Cmp do.R 2Fam.MS
What are you doing?

Other content question words do not co-occur with *ja* ‘complementizer’.

- (192) nakuenda ni- kani no de
 why Pf- hit.R 2Fam.MS 3m
Why did you hit him?

The only rhetorical use of questions is for scolding, using *nakuenda* ‘why’. In these cases a reply is not sought, and native speakers prefer not to use a question mark in such sentences.

- (193) nakuenda ja danda'vi ña'a no
 why that deceive.R Obj 2Fam.MS
Why did you deceive me!

WORD ORDER TYPOLOGY

Basic word order in Mixtec is usually head-initial: VSO, noun + adjective, possessum + possessor, preposition + noun, noun + demonstrative. Quantifiers, unlike other modifiers, precede the noun. Adverbs occur in different positions relative to the verb.⁸⁷

As with most other Mixtec languages, SEN does not use morphology or case marking particles to distinguish between subject and object; rather, it depends on the word order to differentiate these arguments.

MAIN CLAUSE

Unmarked main clauses are VSO. In the corpus used for this study, there are no examples of full noun phrases occupying both subject and object positions in the same sentence.

- (194) ni- nduku_ndee ñaña
 Pf- set.out.R coyote
the coyote set out

⁸⁷ For further discussion on word order typology issues, see Whaley (1997:79ff).

- (195) te ni- xini ti iin tita
 and Pf- see.R 3a one opossum
and it saw an opossum

Most adverbs occur either preceding or following the verb and its arguments. An adverb of time is often the first element in the clause.

- (196) nduu yuten ni- xee soli nuu ve'e tiñu
 day tomorrow Pf- arrive.R turkey.vulture place house work
The next day the turkey vulture arrived at the town hall,

In the texts chosen for this study all the examples of adverbs of place occur clause-final.

- (197) ni- kundetu de ke'e
 Pf- wait 3m outside
He waited outside.
- (198) kuinu-kuechi de yukan
 serve 3m there
He will work (lit. serve) there.

NOUN PHRASE

The structure of the NP in SEN can be represented as follows:

- (199) (Quant) (Col) N (Adj) (Dem) (Pl.Phrase)

Quantifiers precede the head noun, adjectives follow the noun.

- (200) te kaxin de uu kuayu chiyiki
 and choose.Ir 3m two horse scrawny
...and he will choose two scrawny horses
- (201) xexi ti ite kuii in ti iin chinduu
 eat.R 3a grass green Pl 3a one mountain
They were eating green grass on a hillside.

Likewise, demonstrative adjectives follow the nouns which they modify.

- (202) kitiin ni toto i'a
 hold.up.R 1Fam boulder this
I am holding up this boulder.

Possession

Some nouns in Mixtec languages are inherently possessed (they always have a possessor), and some nouns are optionally possessed. However, SEN, like most Mixtec languages, uses the same strategy to mark possession on both inherently possessed and optionally possessed nouns. The possessum comes first, which correlates with the general head-initial pattern of Mixtec.

- (203) ni- tu'un ti diki soli
 Pf- peck.R 3a head turkey.vulture
It pecked the turkey vulture's head.

- (204) yanda diki no
 bald head 2Fam.MS
your head is bald

The plural phrase, if any, follows the possessor, even when it refers to the possessed head noun.

- (205) xetiñu landa no in xi
 work.R child 2Fam.MS Pl 3g
your children are working

PREPOSITIONAL PHRASES

Prepositional phrases in Mixtec consist of a preposition plus a noun phrase.

- (206) ne'u ite
 among grass
...among the grass

- (207) ndi'i de
with 3m
...with him

THE VERB AND ITS CLOSE MODIFIERS

This section describes the elements which occur between the verb and the subject, that is, the pronouns *ñá'a* 'object' or *ta'an* 'reciprocal' and a small set of adverbs, most of which are adverbs of manner.

In the corpus used for this study, the pronouns *ta'an* 'reciprocal' and *ñá'a* 'object' never co-occur. However, either pronoun can co-occur with an adverb.

- (208) ni- chindee ta'an tuku de
Pf- help.R Recip again 3m
They helped each other again.
- (209) ni- xe'e ñá'a ta'vi ayivi yukan
Pf- give.R Obj as.gift person that
...(which) that person had given him as a gift

SUBORDINATING RELATIONSHIP

Subordinate clauses can be divided into three basic categories: adverbial clauses, complement clauses, and relative clauses. The most common subordinating conjunctions are given in (210).

- | | | |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|
| (210) | Gloss | Mixtec |
| | complementizer | ja |
| | for this reason | xe'e |
| | if | no |
| | so that | kuenda ja |
| | then | daa |

when	kii
where	nuu

The use of these conjunctions will be described according to the semantic relationships of the clauses they link.

ADVERBIAL CLAUSES

Subordinate clauses can function as an adverb. In these cases there is an overt subordinating particle.

Location

Subordinate clauses can describe the location of an action or event. In these cases *nuu* ‘face’ or ‘place’ occurs phrase initially; the embedded clause usually consists of a verb plus the subject.

(211) te ni- kixio ti nuu nakoo iin ido
 and Pf- go.out.R 3a face be.sitting.R one rabbit
and it came out in a place where (lit. face) there was a rabbit,

Purpose clauses

Purpose clauses express the intent of some action. They are usually introduced by the complementizer *ja*, sometimes also preceded by the conjunction *kuenda* ‘so that’.

(212) date xec ni ja chindeeñaða ni
 then llegar.R 1Fam Cmp help Obj 1Fam
then I'll come to help you

The structure of sentences with purpose clauses can be complex.

(213) te nduu nduu ni- xi- kiβin de yuβu ndute kaβnu
 and day day Pf- Hab- go.Ir 3m mouth water big
Every day he would go to the edge of the sea (lit. big water).

ja tiin de chaka
 Cmp catch.Ir 3m fish
to catch fish

kuenda ja kane'e de nu'u nuu ve'e de
 in.order.that Cmp take.Ir 3m go.home.Ir face.house 3m
to take home to his house

ja kaxi adi'i de ndi'i da'a de
 Cmp eat.Ir woman 3m with offspring 3m
for his wife and children to eat.

Time clauses

Clauses which express time can occur before or after the main clause.

te kii ni- kani ni karuti jata ve'e ti
 and when Pf- hit.R 1Fam stick back house 3a

ni- nadiko ti
 Pf- become.angry 3a

and when I hit the outside of their hive (lit. house), they got angry

The clauses in the previous example could be construed as being in a reason-result relationship. If the relationship is purely temporal, then often the time clause follows the main clause.

(214) ja doni ina ndo kixi nchitaβan ñaβa ti ichi
 because only dog 1incl come meet Obj 3a road

kii kunu'u ndo

when go.home 1incl

because only the dog comes to meet me on the path when I come home

Manner Clauses

The adverb *na* 'as' introduces a manner clause.

(215) te xede ti na ni- kaβan ido

and do.R 3a as Pf- speak rabbit

and it did as the rabbit had said.

Conditional Clauses

A conditional clause is introduced by *no* or *teno* 'if'. The conjunctions *te* 'and' or *ñate* 'then' introduce the main clause when the main clause follows the conditional clause. However the clauses can be found in either order. In cases where the main clause is first, the use of one of these introducers is not obligatory.

(216) ko no a- kundaβvi-ini ñaβa no

but if Neg- have.pity.on.Ir Obj 2Fam.MS

te kuu ni doko.

and die.Ir 1Fam hunger

But if you don't take pity on me, I'll die of hunger.

When the event in the conditional clause is hypothetical, the verb is prefixed by *na-* 'hortatory'.

(217) ko vitan no na- naniβi ndo de

but now if Sub- meet.R1incl 3m

te kuu de ndeyu kaxi ndo

and be.Ir 3m mole eat.Ir 1incl

but now if I find him, he'll end up as stew (lit. be "mole" for us to eat)

(218) kuu na iin tee kuika

be.Ir 2Fam.WS one man rich

teno na- kada na ja ka'an ni

if Sub- do.Ir 2Fam.WS that speak 1Fam

You'll be a rich man if you do what I say.

Complement Clauses

There are three major semantic classes of verbs which take a complement clause: modality verbs, such as 'want', 'begin'; manipulative verbs, such as 'tell', 'ask'; and cognition-utterance verbs, such as 'know' and 'say' (Givón 1990:517). In most cases the embedded clause is introduced by *ja* 'complementizer'.

(219) te ni- xeni-inide ja nduku de

and Pf- think.R 3m Cmp look.for.R 3m

iin nuu kudu de

one place sleep.Ir 3m

he decided (lit. thought) to look for somewhere to sleep

(220) chi ja naβa no ja kaβan ni

because already know.R 2Fam.MS Cmp say.R 1Fam

because you already know what I say

(221) te a- kuini nu ja kee nu

and Neg- want.R3f Cmp go.out.Ir 3f

and she didn't want to leave

(222) ni-nkuita tita ja da- kuun koyo ti
 Pf-begin opossum Cmp Caus-come.down.Ir fall 3a
 iin iin ndoo xichi ñaña
 one one cane chew coyote

and the opossum began to throw down canes, one at a time for the coyote to chew

In the corpus used for this study, *nkuita* ‘begin’ is the only verb of this kind which can occur without *ja* ‘complementizer’ as the introducer for the embedded clause.

(223) ja nkuita de kutu de
 that begin 3m plow.Ir 3m
(so that) he could start plowing

(224) te ni- nkuita ti dakende ti iin yaa
 and Pf- begin 3a play.instrument 3a one song
and it began to play a song

Relative Clauses

In Mixtec the relative clause follows the noun which it modifies. In SEN, there are two kinds of relative clauses, which roughly correspond to the restrictive/non-restrictive uses shown in Indo-European languages.

Non-restrictive relative clauses are formed by juxtaposing the subordinate clause at the end of the noun phrase. The subordinate clause has no overt subject nor subordinating particle.

(225) ...ja kaxi ni ite iyo yukan
 ...that eat.Ir 1Fam grass be there
...so I can eat the grass that's there

- (226) ...ndee ti yoko yitaβi neβu ite
 ...look after 3a hive be inside among grass
...it was looking after the hive which was among the grass,

In restrictive relative clauses, the dependent pronoun which agrees in noun class with the head is usually used as a relative pronoun. Note that in (227) the pronoun for 3rd masculine is *te*, not *de*⁸⁸ which is the third person masculine dependent pronoun, and also that the third general relative pronoun is *ja*, not *xi* as would have been expected.

(227)	Relative Pronoun	Gloss
	te	3rd masculine
	yi	3rd masculine, WS
	nu	3rd feminine
	ti	3rd animal

⁸⁸ Note that *de* is phonetically [≈e], so the difference is not just one of voicing. It is assumed that *te* comes from *tee* ‘man’.

(227) continued

ja	3rd general
ya	3rd deity

The example in (228) is the opening sentence of a story about a lazy coyote.

(228) na- nakani ni iin tuβun ñaña duxen

Sub- tell.Ir 1Fam one word coyote lazy

ti xidi ne'u toto.

Rel.3a sleep.R among boulder

I'm going to tell you a story about a lazy coyote which sleeps among the rocks.

A relative pronoun can also be quantified by *ta-* 'collective'. In this case *ta-* could be translated as 'all'.

(229) ko ni- tiin de ta- ti ni- kaβan nu

but Pf- catch.R 3m Pl 3a Pf- speak.R 3f

but he caught all the animals she had spoken about

There are some relative clauses that are not so straightforward to analyse. In these cases the relative clause fills one of the argument positions of the verb. These clauses are introduced by *ja* which in different contexts is used as either the complementizer or the third person general relative pronoun.

(230) na- kiβin ndo yuku ja kaxi ndo

Sub- go.Ir 1incl look for that eat.Ir 1incl

Let's go to look for something to eat.

INTERSENTENTIAL RELATIONSHIPS

There are a number of conjunctions, both coordinating and subordinating, in SEN. The most common coordinating conjunctions are presented in (231).

(231)	Gloss	Mixtec
	and	te
	but	ko
	or	an
	then	date

The conjunction *te* ‘and’ indicates discourse continuity. It can be seen as the default conjunction, used when no other more marked relationship is indicated. This conjunction can be considered to function at two distinct levels: one to link sentences within a discourse unit; and to link discourse units.⁸⁹

In (232) both sentences belong to the same discourse unit. By being linked with *te* ‘and’, we know that one action was followed by the next.

(232)	te	ni-yaβa soli	ndiβi	tee	kaβan_yuβu	ñaβa	ti
and		Pf-pass	turkey.vulturewith	man	represent	Obj	3a

And the turkey vulture came in with his lawyer,

te	ta'an	ni	chikaka	nchikun	ni-kivi	tuku
and	also	just	crow	follow	Pf-enter.R	as.well

.and the crow also followed (them) in.

(The Turkey Vulture and the Crow 176 and 177)

This conjunction can also be used to link discourse units. For example in (233), the first clause is the end of a conversation, then the next sentence begins with *te* ‘and’ showing that this was the next event.

(233)	ja	dukan	xi-	kuu	no	in	no.
Cmp	thus	Hab-	be.Ir	2Fam.MS	Pl	2Fam.MS	

...that things are like this between you two."

⁸⁹ Most of the examples for this section are taken from the text ‘The Vulture and the Crow’, which appears in chapter 4. The reference number for the text is given at the end of each example, so that the wider discourse context can be examined.

Te ni- nduku_ndeē ndatiñu to'o
 and Pf- set.out messenger leader
And the president's messenger set off

(The Turkey Vulture and the Crow 106 and 107)

The conjunction *ko* 'but' often indicates that the expected didn't occur, that is, it can be seen as a countering device. For example, (234) begins with *ko* 'but', showing that the expectation was that the crow would be afraid.

(234) ko atuu ni- yuβu ti
 but Neg Pf- be.afraid.R 3a
but it wasn't at all afraid

(The Turkey Vulture and the Crow 78)

As the default is to have an intersentential marker, then its absence is significant, usually indicating an action discontinuity. When there is a break in the time sequence, then the first clause of the new unit begins with an adverb of time.

(235) nduu yuten ni-xee soli nuu ve'e tiñu
 day tomorrow Pf-arrive turkey.vulture place house work
The next day the turkey vulture arrived at the town hall...

(The Turkey Vulture and the Crow 86)

Clauses linked by the conjunction *di* 'and' indicate simultaneous action.

(236) ni- xavi xikuui di kokon_xiti ti
 Pf- tire.R fox and be.hungry 3a
The fox was tired and he was hungry.

COMPARISONS

The particle *ka* 'more' functions as an adverb of manner and occurs between the verb and the subject of the main clause. The object of comparison is expressed in

a subordinate clause introduced by *ja* ‘complementizer’. In the text corpus for this study, there is only comparative degree of comparison, not superlative.

(237) xeβe ja ni-yii ka nu in nu
on.account.that Pf-grow.older more 3f Pl 3f

ja kuu nchu'u

Cmp be.R 1Fam.Foc

because they are older than I am

EVIDENTIALS

SEN uses sentence final particles to indicate epistemic modality ideas, such as contrafactual and hearsay.

(238) kiβin ni niku

go 1Fam ContraFactual

I should have gone (but didn't).

(239) chi no na- nduva xi te ndiβi nuñuu-ayivi ne
because if Sub-fall 3g and finish world it.is.said

because if it falls, the world will end, they say

Some of these particles are difficult to translate. For example in (240) *teno*⁹⁰ ‘can it really be’ occurs sentence-initially, and *ma* occurs sentence finally, with the result of this combination expressing amazement.

(240) teno atuu tekku no
can.it.really.be. not hear 2Fam.MS

⁹⁰ *Teno* ‘can it really be’ is differentiated from *teno* ‘if’ by tone.

ja ka'an landa ni ne'u ite i'a ma
 Cmp say.R child 1Fam among grass here Evid.

How can it be that you don't hear that my children are talking among the grass?"

The particle *va* is used when it is expected that the addressee will agree with the assertion.

(241) ja ni-xichichinanava
 already Pf-ripen.R tomatort
The tomatoes are already ripe, aren't they?

(242) iin ni daβa yi iyo va
 one just child 3m.WS be right
He has only one child, doesn't he?

CHAPTER 4

THE TURKEY VULTURE AND THE CROW

word turkey.vulture with crow

The Story of the Turkey Vulture and the Crow

(2) nda'vi nda'vi nakoo soli
 poor poor be.sitting.R turkey.vulture

The turkey vulture was sitting dejectedly⁹¹

(3) natete ti iin nuu yuu
 sun.R 3a one face stone

sunning itself on a stone.

(4) te ni- xee iin chikaka

⁹¹The word *nda'vi* is difficult to translate. It is usually translated 'poor' in this text as it can mean 'short of money'. However, it has a much wider meaning, which is reflected in the free translation. It can also mean 'beloved'.

and Pf- arrive.R one crow

A crow came

(5) data'an ña'a ti
bother.R Obj 3a

(and started) bothering it.

(6) va'a_tikuu no soli
hello 2Fam.MS turkey.vulture

"Hello, Turkey Vulture,"

(7) ni- kaxi'o ña'a chikaka
Pf- greet.R Obj crow

the crow greeted it.

(8) va'a_tikuu no ñani
hello 2Fam.MS brother.of.male

"Hello, brother,"

(9) ni- ka'an soli
Pf- say.R turkey.vulture

said the turkey vulture.

(10) naikuu ja xede no
what Cmp do.R 2Fam.MS

(The crow replied,) "What are you doing

(11) nakoo no jian.
be.sitting.R 2Fam.MS there

sitting there?"

(12) nchu'u nakoo
1Fam.Foc be.sitting.R

(The turkey vulture said,) "I'm (just) sitting

(13) natete ni i'a ma

sun.R 1Fam here can't.you.tell
sunning myself here, can't you tell?

(14) te so'o tee nda'vi
 and 2Fam.MS.Foc man poor

And you, you wretched creature (lit. poor man)

(15) naikuu ja xede no
 what Cmp do.R 2Fam.MS

what are you doing,

(16) nchinduu no
 walk.up.and.down.R 2Fam.MS

wandering about?

(17) te iyo vixin
 and very cold

It's awfully cold

(18) chi kee yu'va
 because go.down.R ice

because there's frost (lit.ice)."

(19) A- tuu kuenda so'o
 Neg- be.R for 2Fam.MS.Foc

"It's nothing to do with you,

(20) teno naikuu ja xede nchu'u.
 if what Cmp do.R 1Fam.Foc

what I'm doing.

(21) Te ka'an ni
 and say.R 1Fam

I say

(22) ja iyo ndadi kaa no

Cmp very ugly seem.R 2Fam.MS

that you are really ugly:

(23) yanda diki no
bald head 2Fam.MS

your head is bald,

(24) te ndadi xe'en te'a no
and ugly smell.R beak 2Fam.MS

and your beak smells awful,

(25) di xexi no kuñu ni- te'yu
and eat.R 2Fam.MS meat Pf- rot.R

and you eat rotten meat;

(26) iyo chikudu no
very sleepy.head 2Fam.MS

you are a sleepy head,

(27) te ni lu'a a- tuu ja ya'vi no
and not.even small.amount Neg- be.R Cmp value 2Fam.MS

and you aren't worth even a little.

(28) nda'vi no ja na- dakee ni
poor 2Fam.MS that Hor- 1Fam
put.in.Ir

iin xiki yuβu no
one fist mouth 2Fam.MS

You'll be sorry when I put my fist to your mouth.

(29) te no_di na- kaan no nuu no
and let's.see Hor- open.Ir 2Fam.MS face 2Fam.MS

Let's see if that'll will wake you up,

(30) chi ndadi kaa no
because ugly seem.R 2Fam.MS

because you are ugly,"

- (31) ni- ka'an chikaka
 Pf- say.R crow

said the crow.

- (32) te dukan ni-da- diko-ini ti
 and thus Pf-Caus-get.angry.Ir 3a

kuu soli ndaβvi
 Emph turkey.vulture poor

And that is how the crow annoyed the poor turkey vulture.

- (33) vaxi kixi no
 no come.Ir 2Fam.MS

"Don't you come

- (34) da- diko-ini ña'a no
 Caus- get.angry.Ir Obj 2Fam.MS

and make me angry!

- (35) chi ni- kuu nduu kandee nchu'u i'a
 because Pf- finish.R day be.R 1Fam.Foc here

Because I've been here for days,

- (36) ko atuu data'an ña'a ni no
 but Neg bother.R Obj 1Fam 2Fam.MS

but I haven't bothered you at all,

- (37) kue iyo veta xexi ni
 although be.R time eat.R 1Fam

although there are times when I have something to eat

- (38) te iyo veta ña'a
 and be.R time no

and times when I haven't.

- (39) ko atuu kua'an ni
 and Neg go.Prog 1Fam

It pecked the vulture's head and blood came out.

- (50) ko ni- xendee-ini ti
but Pf- put.up.with.R 3a

But it put up with it

- (51) nda'vi nakoo ti
poor be.sitting.R 3a

and (kept on) sitting dejectedly.

- (52) dukan ni- xede ti soli
thus Pf- do.R 3a turkey.vulture

This is what it did to the turkey vulture.

- (53) te ni- xii_tu'un ña'a ti
and Pf- warn.R Obj 3a

It (crow) warned it (the vulture)

- (54) ja vaxi data'an ña'a soli
so.that no bother.Ir Obj turkey.vulture

so that the turkey vulture wouldn't bother it,

- (55) no_te nduu nduu dukan ñani ti
lest day day thus hit.RS.Ir 3a

or else it would get beaten up every day.

- (56) no dukan ka'an no
if thus speak.R 2Fam.MS

(The turkey vulture said), "Well, (lit. if) that's what you say,

- (57) ko kundetu
and wait.Ir

but just you wait,

- (58) na- ki'in ni nuu ve'e to'o
Sub- go.Ir 1Fam to house leaders

I'm going to go to the town hall,

(59) te ka'an no naikuu kuechi ni
and say.Ir 2Fam.MS what fault 1Fam

and (then) you'll (have to) say what the charges are against me

(60) an nakuenda ja dukan vaxi no
or why Cmp thus come.R 2Fam.MS

or why do you come like this,

(61) data'an ña'a no
bother.Ir Obj 2Fam.MS

to bother me

(62) te kani ña'a no
and hit.R Obj 2Fam.MS

and hit me.

(63) te a- tuu kuii ja xede nchu'u
and Neg- be nothing Cmp do.R 1Fam.Foc

And I'm not doing a thing (wrong)

(64) nakoo ni i'a
be.sitting.R 1Fam here

sitting here.

(65) te deni kuñu ni- te'yu ndi'i
and only meat Pf- rot.R with

ja kue'e kadu'a xexi ni
Nom left over eat.R 1Fam

I only eat rotten meat and anything else left over

(66) ko so'o kuu ñadu'u
but 2Fam.MS.Foc be.R thief

but you are a thief

(67) chi du'u no niñi ndi'i ndidi ta
 because steal 2Fam.MS corn with corn.on.cob Col
 nuu kaa itu ayivi
 place be.planted.R cornfield person

because you steal corn and corn on the cob from the peoples' cornfields.

(68) te a- tuu ja ka'an nchu'u
 and Neg- be Cmp say.R 1Fam.Foc

And I don't say a thing,

(69) teno xede va'a no
 if do.R well 2Fam.MS

if you are doing right

(70) an atuu xede va'a no
 or Neg do.R well 2Fam.MS

or if you are not doing what is right

(71) ko jian na'a mee no
 but that know.R self 2Fam.MS

but you are asking for it (lit. you already know all about that)

(72) ja xede no
 Cmp do.R 2Fam.MS

by what you do,

(73) chi atuu kua'an nchu'u
 because Neg go.Prog 1Fam.Foc

because I don't go

(74) data'an ña'a ni no
 bother.Ir Obj 1Fam 2Fam.MS

and bother you."

(75) nini chikaka
 listen.R crow

The crow listened,

(76) nakoo ti
 be.sitting.R 3a

as he sat,

(77) ja dukan ka'an soli
 that thus say.R turkey.vulture

(to) what the turkey vulture was saying,

(78) ko atuu ni- yu'u ti
 but Neg Pf- be.afraid.R 3a

but it wasn't at all afraid

(79) chi deni ni- ndutee ti
 because only Pf- become.brash.R 3a

because he just got more brash (and said)

(80) kua'an ve'e to'o
 go.Prog house leader

"Go to the town authorities

(81) teno kuini no
 if want.R 2Fam.MS

if you want to.

(82) ko a- tuu kuii yu'u nchu'u
 but Neg- be.R nothing be.afraid.R 1Fam.Foc

But I'm not afraid at all,

(83) chi ta'an ni to'o tatuni nchu'u nuu xi
 because also just leader command.R 1Fam.Foc face 3g

because I also tell the town officials (what to do)

(84) ja nati'vi de kuu ve'e ni
 so.that sweep.Ir 3m Emph house 1Fam

so that he sweeps my house

(85) te kuido de ndute ko'o ni
and carry.Ir 3m water drink.Ir 1Fam

and carries water for me to drink."

(86) nduu yuten ni-xee soli nuu ve'e tiñu
day tomorrow Pf- turkey.vulture place house work
arrive.R

The next day the turkey vulture arrived at the town hall,

(87) te ni- xa'an ti to'o
and Pf- say.R 3a leaders

and he told the town president

(88) tiñu kua'an ti
work go.Prog 3a

(about what) matter (lit. work) he had come.

(89) vaxi da ka'an kuechi da
come.R 1Res say.Ir fault 1Res

"I've come to file a complaint (about)

(90) ja du'a ni- xede ña'a chikaka
that thus Pf- do.R Obj crow

what the crow has done to me.

(91) te a- tuu ja ni- xede da de
and Neg- be Cmp Pf- do.R 1Res 3m

I haven't done anything to him,

(92) chi ndeku da ja nda'vi da
because be.R 1Res Cmp poor 1h

because I'm the defenseless one.

(93) kundia'a ní
see.Ir 2Res

Look

(94) ja ni- chitu da niñi
 Cmp Pf- full.R 1Res blood

that I'm all covered in blood,

(95) ja ni- ñani da
 because Pf- hit.RS. 1Res

because I was hit.

(96) te a- kundee-ini da
 and Neg- put.up.with.Ir 1Res

I'm not going to put up with it,

(97) chi a- tuu ja ni- xede da de
 because Neg- be.R Cmp Pf- do.R 1Res 3m

because I didn't do (anything) to him.

(98) kanda'vi da
 ask.favor.R 1Res

I will ask you a favor,

(99) te na- kixi chikaka
 and Sub- come.Ir crow

(tell) the crow to come,

(100) chi na- kundaa kuechi da in da
 because Sub- clear.things.up fault 1Res Pl 1Res

so that our complaints can get cleared up.

(101) teno naikuu ja tavi da nuu de
 if what Cmp owe.R 1Res face 3m

(Let's see) whether I owe him anything."

(102) te dukan ni- ya'a no
 and thus Pf- pass.R 2Fam.MS

"Oh, so that's what happened to you,

(103) te daa-yu nda'vi no
and how poor 2mFam

what a terrible shame (lit. how poor you are).

(104) ko navii na- ki'in kuu ndatiñu ni
but right.now Hor- go.Ir Emph messenger 1Fam

But right now my messenger will go

(105) kunkueka de kuu chikaka
bring.Ir 3m Emph crow

and bring in the crow.

(106) teno naikuu ja ya'a
if what Cmp pass.R

(Let's see) what's happening

(107) ja dukan xi- kuu no in no
Cmp thus Hab- be.Ir 2Fam.MS Pl 2Fam.MS

that things are like this between you two."

(108) te ni- nduku_nde ndatiñu to'o
and Pf- set.out.R messenger leader

The president's messenger set off

(109) kunkueka de chikaka
bring.Ir 3m crow

to bring in the crow

(110) ja kixi ti
so.that come.Ir 3a

so that it would come

(111) ndundaa kuechi ti ndi'i soli
resolve.Ir fault 3a with turkey.vulture

to resolve its dispute with the turkey vulture.

(112) kii ni- xee chikaka
 when Pf- arrive.R crow

When the crow arrived

(113) te iyo vii ni- kaxi'o ti to'o
 and very pretty Pf- greet.R 3a leader

it greeted the president very politely

(114) chi xede ti kuenda ja iyo vii-ini ti
 because do.R 3a pretend Cmp very respectful.R 3a

because it was pretending that it was very well-mannered

(115) te kuu ti iin kiti iyo ka'nu-ini xi
 and be.R 3a one animal very be.obliging.R 3g

and it was a very cooperative animal.

(116) va'a_tikuu ní to'o
 hello 2Res leaders

"Hello, sir,

(117) vaxi da
 come.R 1Res

I've come,

(118) chi kana ña'a ní
 because call.R Obj 2Res

because you called me.

(119) teno naikuu ja kuini ní
 let's.see what Cmp want.R 2Res

Let's see what you want

(120) an naikuu tiñu nde-ini ní
 or what work think.R 2Res

or what job you want

(121) ja kada da
Cmp do.R 1Res

me to do.

(122) te naikuu ja xede ñani nda'vi da
and what Cmp do.R brother.of.male beloved 1Res

What is my dear brother doing

(123) ndeku de i'a
be.R 3m here

that he is here?

(124) te ni- kuu nduu
and Pf- finish.R day

Days have passed

(125) atuu ka xini da de
Neg more see.R 1Res 3m

since I saw him last (lit.I haven't see him any more)."

(126) te ja ni-xee no teno so'o
and already Pf-arrive.R 2Fam.MS if 2Fam.MS.Foc

nani chikaka
be.named crow

"And now that you got here, is your name 'Crow'?"

(127) divi adaña nani chikaka
really.Emph 1Res.Foc be.named.R crow

"Yes, my name is Crow.

(128) te naikuu ja ni- ya'a vitan
and what Cmp Pf- pass.R right.now

And what happened just now

(129) ja iyo deen kuu ní
Cmp very fierce be.R 2Res

that you are very fierce?"

(130) naikuu ja ni- xede ña'a soli
 what Cmp Pf- do.R Obj turkey.vulture

"What did the turkey vulture do to you?"

(131) nakuenda ni- kani no de
 why Pf- hit.R 2Fam.MS 3m

Why did you hit him?

(132) iyo ja ni- xede de nuu no
 be. Cmp Pf- do.R 3m face 2Fam.MS

Did he do something to you,

(133) an nakuenda ni- kani no de
 or why Pf- hit.R 2Fam.MS 3m

or why did you hit him?

(134) iyo ja tavi de nuu no
 be.R Cmp owe.R 3m face 2Fam.MS

Does he owe you something

(135) an naikuu ja ya'a ndi'i de
 or what Cmp pass.R with 3m

or what happened with him?

(136) kundia'a
 see.Ir

Look

(137) ja dukan ni- xede no de
 Cmp thus Pf- do.R 2Fam.MS 3m

what you did to him!

(138) kuna'a no
 know.Ir 2Fam.MS

But bear in mind

(139) ja ki'in no ve'e kaa
 Cmp go.Ir 2Fam.MS house metal

that you'll go to jail (lit. metal house)

(140) chi atuu ni- xede no iin ja va'a
 because Neg Pf- do.R 2Fam.MS one Nom good

because you haven't done a good thing,"

(141) ni- xa'an to'o
 Pf- say.R leader

the president said.

(142) te ni- ka'an chikaka
 and Pf- speak.R crow

And the crow said,

(143) a- tuu ja kandaa tu'un navii
 Neg- be Cmp clarify.R word right.now

Nothing is going to be settled right now

(144) nde na- xee iin tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a da
 until Sub- arrive.Ir one man represent Obj 1Res

until the man who will represent me comes⁹²

(145) kuenda na- kundaa kuechi
 for Sub- clear.things.up.Ir fault

so that the charges will be cleared up.

(146) teno nadu'a kaa da kuita
 let's.see which seem 1Res lose.Ir

Let's see which one of us loses,

⁹² *ka'an_yu'u*, literally means 'speak mouth', that is somebody who speaks on behalf of another.

(147) teno mee da an tee - lu'lu i'a
 if self 1Res or man - small this

let's see if it is I or this insignificant man."

(148) kua'an yuku tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a no in no
 go.Prog look.for.Ir man represent Obj 2Fam.MS Pl 2Fam.MS

"Off you go you two, (and) look for somebody to represent you.

(149) te yuten ne'e kuini ni
 and tomorrow early want.R 1Fam

Early tomorrow, I want

(150) ja kaa - uxi kundeku no i'a in no
 Cmp metal - ten be.Ir 2Fam.MS here Pl 2Fam.MS

you both to be here at 10 o'clock (lit metal ten).

(151) ta'an so'o soli nduku iin tee
 also 2Fam.MS.Foc turkey.vulture look.for.R one man

ka'an_yu'u ña'a no tuku
 represent Obj 2Fam.MS also

You, too, Turkey Vulture, look for somebody to represent you,"

(152) ni- to'o ni- de soli ndi'i chikaka
 ka'an xii
 Pf- leader Pf- 3m turkey.vulture with crow
 speak.R say.R

said the president, he told the turkey vulture and the crow.

(153) te ni-nduku_nde ti yuku tee
 and Pf-set.out.R 3a look.for.R man

kaβan_yuβu ñaβa ti in ti
 represent Obj 3a Pl 3a

And they set off to look for people to represent them.

(154) te chikaka ni- xe'en ti
 and crow Pf- go.R 3a

And the crow went

(155) ni- xinkoto ti chimi
 Pf- visit.R 3a owl

to visit the owl.

(156) va'a_tikuu no ñani
 hello 2Fam.MS brother.of.male

"Hello, brother,

(157) tee chindee ndiaa ta- ja nda'vi
 man help.R all Col Nom poor

he who helps all the needy (people)

(158) ja a- kuu dada'an xi mee xi nuu iin kuechi
 who Neg- be.able.R protect.R 3g self 3g face one fault

who can't protect themselves in the face of a charge

(159) ja xido_ya'vi xi
 that be.accused.R 3g

of which they are accused.

(160) vaxi ni ja ki'in ndo ve'e tiñu
 come.R 1Fam so.that go.Ir lincl house work

I've come so that we can go to the town hall

(161) chi xido_ya'vi ni iin kuechi
 because be.accused.R 1Fam one fault

because I'm being charged with a crime.

(162) te a- tuu kuii ja ni- xede ni
 and Neg- be nothing Cmp Pf- do.R 1Fam

I haven't done anything

(163) chi ndeku ni ja nda'vi ni
 because be.R 1Fam Cmp poor 1Fam

because I'm (just) a defenseless individual."

(164) dukan iyo xi a
 thus be.R 3g Ques

"Oh, so that's how it is?"

(165) ko axi- yu'u no
but Neg.Imp- be.afraid.Ir 2Fam.MS

But don't be afraid,

(166) chi kue daa-yu ka'nu kuechi
because although how big fault

because even if it is a terrible offense

(167) ko ndiaa ni xendaa nchu'u
but all just solve.R 1Fam.Foc

but I can solve everything

(168) chi a- tuu tee kunuu nuu ni
because Neg- be man win.Ir face 1Fam

because nobody can beat me (lit win to my face)

(169) chi iyo maa ni- dakua'a ni
because very many Pf- learn.R 1Fam

because I've studied a lot."

(170) ta'an ni soli kua'an chinduu
also just turkey.vulture go.Prog mountain

The turkey vulture also went to the mountains

(171) kunkoto ti chidaa_tekaa
visit.Ir 3a solitaire

*to visit the solitaire*⁹³

(172) ja ti yukan kuu tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a ti
so.that 3a that be.Ir man represent Obj 3a

so that it would be the animal who would represent it.

⁹³ This bird is famous for its beautiful song.

(173) ne'e nduu yuten ni-xee ti in ti nuu ve'e
 early day tomorrow Pf- arrive.R 3a Pl 3a face house
 tiñu to'o
 work leader

Early the next day they arrived at the town hall (lit. house of work of the leader).

(174) te ni- ka'an to'o
 and Pf- speak.R leaders

and the president said,

(175) na- ya'a ta- tee naa in de
 Sub- pass.Ir Col man fight.R Pl 3m

"May the men who are fighting come in!"

(176) te ni- soli ndi'i tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a ti
 ya'a
 and Pf- turkey.vulture with man represent Obj 3a
 pass.R

And the turkey vulture and his lawyer came in.

(177) te ta'an ni chikaka nchikun ni-kivi tuku
 and also just crow follow.R Pf-enter.R as.well

and the crow also followed (them) in.

(178) teno ja ni-xee no in no
 if already Pf-arrive.R 2Fam.MS Pl 2Fam.MS

"Now that you both have gotten here,

(179) ñate kixe'e so'o chikaka
 then begin.R 2Fam.MS.Foc crow

then you start, Crow,

(180) chi so'o kuu tee iyo kuechi xi
 because 2Fam.MS.Foc be.R man be.R fault 3g

because you are the man who is accused.

(181) nde ndeku tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a no
 where be.R man represent Obj 2Fam.MS

Where is the one who will represent you?"

(182) te ni- xee chimi
 and Pf- arrive.R owl

And the owl arrived.

(183) nchu'u kuu tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a chikaka
 1Fam.Foc be.R man represent Obj crow

"I'm the man who will represent the crow

(184) te a- kuini ni
 and Neg- want.R 1Fam

and I don't want

(185) ja ki'in de ve'e kaa
 Cmp go.Ir 3m house metal

him to go to jail (lit metal house)

(186) chi ndeku de ja nda'vi de
 because be.R 3m Cmp poor 3m

because he's just a poor defenseless creature.

(187) te mee soli xede
 and self turkey.vulture do.R

And it was that turkey vulture

(188) ja ni- data'an ña'a de
 that Pf- bother.R Obj 3m

that was bothering him.

(189) taxi kuu tutu kuechi
 give.IR Emph paper fault

Give me the record of the charges,

(190) na- ka'vi ni xi
 Sub- read.Ir 1Fam 3g

I'm going to read it,

(191) te kini no ka
 and see.Ir 2Fam.MS Evid

and you'll see."

(192) te ni- xe'e ña'a to'o kuu tutu kuechi
 and Pf- give.R Obj leaders Emph paper fault

And the president gave it the record of the charges,

(193) te ni- nkuita chimi ka'vi ti
 and Pf- begin.R owl read.R 3a

and the owl began to read.

(194) kunini ka
 listen.Ir Evid

"All right, now, listen,

(195) kuû no kuû no
 die.Ir 2Fam.MS die.Ir 2Fam.MS

*You'll die, you'll die!*⁹⁴

(196) jian kuu ja a- ki'in chikaka ve'e kaa
 that be.R Cmp Neg- go.Ir crow house metal

Now here is why the crow shouldn't go to prison,

(197) chi a- tuu kuechi de
 because Neg- be.R fault 3m

because he's not at fault.

(198) chi ndeku de ja nda'vi de
 because be.R 3m Cmp poor 3m

⁹⁴ According to Mixtec tradition, owls say /kuû no/, which means 'you will die'.

because he's just a defenseless creature."

(199) kua'an ke'e
go.Prog outside

"Go outside,

(200) chi a- nandi'i ja ka'an no
because Neg- be.of.use.R Cmp speak.R 2Fam.MS

because what you are saying is of no use.

(201) te na- ya'a inka ka de
and Sub- pass.Ir another more 3m

Let the other man come in."

(202) te ni- ya'a tee ka'an_yu'u ña'a soli
and Pf- pass.R man represent Obj turkey.vulture

And in came the man who would represent the turkey vulture

(203) ja kuu ti chidaa_tekaa
that be.R 3a solitaire

who was the solitaire.

(204) te ni- xikan ti tutu kuechi
and Pf- ask.for.R 3a paper fault

He asked for the record of charges

(205) te ni- nkuita ti ka'vi ti
and Pf- begin.R 3a read.R 3a

and it began to read.

(206) ko iyo vii xita ti
but very pretty sing.R 3a

But it sang very prettily

(207) te iyo dii ni- tuu-ini to'o
and very happy Pf- feel.R leader

and the president felt really happy,

(208) te ni- kunuu ti nuu chimi

and Pf- win.R 3a face owl

and it (the solitaire) won against the owl .

(209) te ni- nakunu_ndeyi chimi ndi'i chikaka

and Pf- hang.head.R owl with crow

The owl and the crow hung their heads,

(210) te kua'an ti ve'e kaa in ti

and go.Prog 3a house metal Pl 3a

and they went to jail

(211) ja vete ti

because liar 3a

because they were deceitful.

(212) dakua'a ndo ja vaxi ka'an ndo ja vete

learn.Ir lincl Cmp never speak.Ir lincl Nom liar

We should learn not to tell lies.

APPENDIX 1
Map of the Mixtec Region



APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1
MAP OF THE MIXTEC REGION

APPENDIX 2
SOURCES FOR DATA

Variety	Included in Jossierand ⁹⁵	Published Works	Own Field Notes ⁹⁶	Other SIL Colleagues ⁹⁷
Alacalatzala	✓	Zylstra 1991		Carol Zylstra
Jicayán	✓		✓	
San Andrés Nuxiño	✓		✓	
San Antonio Huitepec	✓			Susan Huggins
San Esteban Atláhuca	✓	Alexander 1980		Ruth Mary Alexander
San Juan Colorado	✓	Stark, Johnson and Lorenzo 1986	✓	Sharon Stark
San Juan Diuxi	✓	Kuiper and Oram 1991	✓	Albertha Kuiper Joy Oram
San Juan Mixtepec	✓			Hartmut Kursch
San Juan Tamazola	✓		✓	Susan Huggins
San Miguel el Grande	✓	✓		
San Pedro Tidaá	✓		✓	
Santa Cruz Tacahua				Susan Huggins
Santa Inés de Zaragoza			✓	
Santa María Peñoles	✓	Daly 1973 Daly and Daly 1977		Margaret Daly
Santiago Apoala	✓		✓	
Santiago			✓	Leroy Whitman

⁹⁵ Jossierand's list contains 188 items; the word list for this study was 530 words.

⁹⁶ Most of the data were collected as part of a research project carried out under the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia. None of these data could have been collected without the help of Mixtecs who prefer to remain anonymous.

⁹⁷ These people were kind enough to gather data needed for this study.

Mitlatongo				
Santiago Yosondua	✓	Farris 1992		Kathryn Farris
Santo Domingo Nuxaá	✓		✓	
Santo Tomás Ocoatepec	✓	Alexander 1988		Ruth Mary Alexander
Tezoatlán de Segura y luna	✓			Judith Williams
Tierra Caliente, Tlazoyaltepec			✓	
Yuvi Nani				Audrey Johnson and Sharon Stark
Yutanduchi de Guerrero	✓		✓	Susan Huggins
Xochapa		Stark, Johnson and González 1999		

APPENDIX 3

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<u>Pronouns</u>		Ir	irrealis
3a	3rd animal	MS	men speaking
3f	3rd feminine	Neg	negative
3g	3rd general	Nom	nominalizer
3water	3rd water	Obj	object
3m	3rd masculine	Per	permissive
1incl	1st inclusive	Pf	perfective
		Pl	plural
<u>Others</u>		Prog	progressive
Caus	causative	Ques	question
Cmp	complementizer	R	realis
Col	collective	Recip	reciprocal
Dub	dubitative	Rel	relative pronoun
Emph	emphatic	Rep	repetitive
Evid	evidential	Res	respect
Fam	familiar	S	State
Foc	focus	Sub	subjunctive
Hab	habitual	WS	women speaking
Imp	Imperative		

APPENDIX 4
ORTHOGRAPHY

The orthography used in the syntax sketch and text is that approved by the Mixtec Academy (Ve'e Tu'un Savi). This orthography has been developed over a number of years by mother tongue speakers of several Mixtec languages, many of whom are bilingual school teachers. The following chart gives a list of the graphemes with their corresponding IPA symbol.

Practical Orthography	IPA Symbol	Practical Orthography	IPA Symbol
a	[a]	nk	[ng]
ch	[tʃ]	nku	[ng ^w]
d	[≈]	ñ	[ɲ]
e	[e]	o	[o]
i	[i]	p	[p]
j	[h]	r	[r]
k	[k]	s	[s]
ku	[k ^w]	t	[t]
l	[l]	u	[u]
m	[m]	v	[β]
mb	[mb]	x	[ʃ]
n	[n]	y	[ɜ] or [y]
nch	[ndʒ]	'	[ʔ]
nd	[n ^d]	n word final	nasalization on preceding vowels

There is not a one to one correspondence between the graphemes listed in chart above and the phonemes of SEN. The main differences are the following:

[ʔ] is considered a vowel feature; nasalization is considered a morpheme level feature; [mb] is considered a unit as is [n^d]; however, [ndʒ], [ng] and [ng^w] are considered sequences of [n] plus voiceless obstruent. Also [m], [n] and [ŋ] are considered allophones of the corresponding oral sonorant, that is [β], [n^d] and [y] respectively. Note that under this analysis [n^d] is considered to be a sonorant underlyingly. The following are considered to be the consonantal phonemes of SEN.

obstruents	p	t	tʃ	ʃ	k	k ^w
sonorants	β	n ^d		y		
liquids		r	l			
prenasalized obstruent ⁹⁸	mb					

There are five vowels: [i], [e], [a] [o] [u].

All five can be checked [iʔ], [eʔ], [aʔ] [oʔ] [uʔ].

ALLOPHONIC VARIATION

/y/ is realised as [ʒ] in stressed syllables and [j] in unstressed syllables.

/k/ is realised as [g] after a prefix with the feature [+nasal], and [k] elsewhere.

/k^w/ is realised as [g^w] after a prefix with the feature [+nasal].

In some cases the segments of the nasalized prefix has been lost and all that is left is a [n].

Note the following examples:

[kãʔã] ‘to say’ + [kũ] ‘dubitative’ becomes [kũngãʔã]

[koo] ‘to sit’⁹⁹ + [n] ‘action’ becomes [ngoo] (cf [nukoo] ‘to be sitting’)

⁹⁸ [mb] only occurs in Spanish loans, such as [mbelu] ‘hat’ and onomatopoeic words such as [kulaʔmba] ‘to boil noisily’.

⁹⁹ [koo] ‘to sit’ only occurs in compounds.

In my data, there are no examples of [tʃ] becoming [dʒ] in environments such as those stated above. However, on the basis of analogy with [ng] [ndʒ] is considered to be /n/ plus /tʃ/.