# Synthesis and Spectroscopic Characterization of Two New Thiosaccharinate Salts. Molecular Structure of Bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium thiosaccharinate, *PNP(tsac)*

Mariana Dennehy<sup>1</sup>, Oscar V. Quinzani<sup>1,\*</sup>, Sandra D. Mandolesi<sup>2</sup>, Jorge A. Güida<sup>3</sup>, Gustavo A. Echeverría<sup>4</sup>, and Oscar E. Piro<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Química, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina

- <sup>2</sup> INIQO, Departamento de Química, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina
- <sup>3</sup> CEQUINOR, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina, and Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Nacional de Luján, Luján, Argentina
- <sup>4</sup> Departamento de Física and Instituto IFLP (CONICET), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina

Received December 8, 2006; accepted December 12, 2006; published online May 11, 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

**Summary.** We report the synthesis, FTIR, Raman and NMR spectroscopic features of bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium and tetrabutylammonium thiosaccharinates, *PNP(tsac)* and *NBu<sub>4</sub>(tsac)* (*tsac*: thiosaccharinate anion, *PNP*: bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium). The molecular structure of the former compound was determined by X-ray diffraction methods. The salt crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P2_1/n$  space group with a = 9.6481(9), b = 29.258(3), c = 13.177(2) Å,  $\beta = 97.53(1)^\circ$ , and Z = 4 molecules per unit cell. Slight but significant changes in the bonding structure of the hiosaccharinate anion as compared with those reported for the neutral molecule are observed.

**Keywords.** Thiosaccharin; Bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium; IR spectroscopy; NMR spectroscopy; X-Ray structure determination.

### Introduction

The use of bulky counter-ions to isolate heavy anions in crystal lattices to reduce the strength of specific interactions with their environment is a well-known technique. Usually, literature reports on the chemistry of thiosaccharin ( $C_7H_5NO_2S_2$ ), the thione

form of saccharin, deal with the synthesis and structural characterization of ionic salts involving small cations, namely K(*tsac*) [1], Na(*tsac*) [2] and NH<sub>4</sub>(*tsac*) [3] (*tsac*: deprotonated form of thiosaccharin). In these salts the thiosaccharinate anions show preferential interactions with the cations generally through the iminic nitrogen atom and less frequently through the exocyclic sulfur and oxygen atoms, because they are negatively charged, as has been demonstrated by theoretical calculations [4–6]. Moreover, the thiosaccharinate anion binds soft metals, like Cd(II), Tl(I), Pd(II), Ag(I) or Cu(I), acting as  $\eta^1$ -S monodentate [7–9],  $\eta^2$ -S,N bidentate chelate [7, 10] or  $\mu^2$ -S-( $\eta^3$ -S,N) bridging tridentate [9] ligands.

In this paper we focus on the isolation of new ionic thiosaccharinates in an attempt to reduce the interactions between the anion and their surroundings. To this purpose, we selected bulky and single-charged counter-ions. We present here the X-ray diffraction structure and spectroscopic properties of bis(triphe-nylphosphine)iminium thiosaccharinate, (PNP)tsac (1) and also the spectroscopic characterization of the tetrabutylammonium salt of thiosaccharinate,  $(NBu_4)tsac$  (2).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: quinzani@criba.edu.ar

# **Results and Discussions**

# Solid State Vibrational Spectra

The FTIR spectra of powdered samples of **1** and **2** dispersed as Nujol mulls gave the same information

as with KBr dispersions. The infrared and *Raman* spectra of the compounds show the characteristic bands of  $PNP^+$  [11, 12] and  $NBu_4^+$  [13] cations, with minor overlapping by the thiosaccharinate bands [14]. The wavenumbers and tentative assign-

Table 1. FTIR and Raman bands wavenumbers/ $cm^{-1}$  and approximate assignments of PNP(tsac) (1) and NBu<sub>4</sub>(tsac) (2)

Htsac [14]		$\begin{array}{c} K(tsac) \cdot H_2O \\ [15] \end{array}$	$NH_4(tsac) \cdot H_2O$ [3]	PNP(tsac) (1)		$NBu_4(tsac)$ (2)		Assignments <sup>b</sup>
IR <sup>a</sup>	Raman	IR	IR	IR	Raman	IR	Raman	
3341vs		3578m	3579w					νOH (H <sub>2</sub> O) ν(NH)
	3084w	3074vw						$\nu$ (CH)
	3060w	3064vw		3057w 3045w	3062vs 3052vs	3062md	3063m	$ u(CH), \nu(CH) PNP^+ $ $ u(CH) PNP^+ $
						2962vs 2934s	2966m 2931s	$ u(CH_2/CH_3) NBu_4^+ u(CH_2/CH_3) NBu_4^+ $
		1625w	1628w			2874s	2873s	$ \nu(CH_2/CH_3) NBu_4^+ $ $\delta(H_2O)$
1590w	1590vs			1589w 1482m	1589vs		1588m	$\nu$ (CC), $\nu$ (CC) $PNP^+$ $\nu$ (CC) $PNP^+$
						1471s	1470w	$\delta(CNC) NBu_4$
1455s	1460m	14558	1454s	1455m	1455w	1454s	1453m	$\nu(CC) \delta(CH)$
				1438s	1439vw			$\nu(CC) PNP^+$
		1000		1381m	1380vw		10.00	$\nu$ s(PNP) $PNP^+$
1247m 1318s	1248s	1338m	1340m	1365s	1366w	1356vs	1360w	$\nu(CN) \ \delta(\phi S) \ \delta(NH)$
1283m	1282s	1307m	1317m	с	1314vw	1319m	1320w	$\nu$ (CC) $\delta$ (CH)
				1288s	1290vw			$\delta$ (CH) $PNP^+$
				1256vs, br	1255vvw			$\nu as(PNP) PNP^+$
1376vs	1375m, br	1251vs	1248vs	с		1279s	1279vw	$\nu as(SO_2)$
1218s	1222s	1234s	1236vs	1226s	1227s	1223s	1223vs	$\delta(CH) \nu(CC)$
1156vs	1155m	1153m	1155vs	1147vs	1147m	1148s	1141m	$\nu s(SO_2) \nu(CC)$
1120m	1126vw	1113s	1111s	1116vs		1118m	1110w	$\nu s(SO_2) \ \delta(\varphi SN)$
				с	1109m			$\nu$ (PC) $PNP^+$
1039m	1038vw	1016s	1014s	1010s 997m	1010w 1001vs	1010vs	1009m	$ u(CS) \ \delta(\varphi SN) $ $ u s(CC) \ PNP^+ $
817vs	820vw	851s	845s	826s	825w	832s	831vw	$\nu(\text{NS}) \ \delta(\text{CCC})$
769s	770vw	769m	769s	775m 755s		770s	767vw	$\gamma$ (CH) $\gamma$ (CCC) $\gamma$ (CH) $PNP^+$
				724vs				$\gamma(\text{PNP}) PNP^+$
700vw	701m		698w	6948	698w	696vw	696m	$\delta(CCC), \delta(CCC) PNP^+$
591s	582vw	594d	592m	589m	588vw	595m	592w	$\gamma(SO_2) \gamma(CCC)$
		557m	5558	551vs	550vw	553m	552w	$\delta w(SO_2) \delta(CS)$
	500	501	5000	533vs	517	516	516	$\delta(\text{PNP}) \frac{PNP^+}{\delta(\text{PNP})}$
527s	530w	521w	524m	c	517vw	516w	516w	$\gamma(\varphi SN)$
	12.6	10.6	10.1	499s	494vw	10.6	105	$\delta(CPC) PNP^+$
	436vw	436m	434s	438m	437vw	436m	435w	$\gamma$ (CCC)
	368s	383w			373s		372vs	$\gamma(iso)$
	274w	282m			266m		270m	$\gamma(iso)$
	101vs				111s		115s	$\tau(SO_2) \ \gamma(iso)$

<sup>a</sup> vs Very strong, s strong, m medium, w weak, vw very weak, br broad; <sup>b</sup>  $\nu$  stretching,  $\delta$  in plane bending,  $\gamma$  out of plane bending,  $\varphi$  benzene ring, as asymmetric, s symmetric, PNP<sup>+</sup> bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium cation, NBu<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> tetrabutylammonium cation, *iso* isoindole ring; <sup>c</sup> overlapped with an intense band



ments of the principal IR and *Raman* bands are reported in Table 1 together with vibrational data of related compounds.

Three intense IR bands due to the thiosaccharinate hetero-cycle vibrations (see Scheme 1 for atoms numbering) are clearly identified for both salts. The C1-N, C1-S1 and N-S2 bond stretching modes mainly contribute to the IR bands appearing at 1365 (1) and 1356 (2), 1010 (1 and 2) and 826 (1) and 832 (2)  $cm^{-1}$ . The first and third bands are blue-shifted and the second bands red-shifted with respect to the corresponding thiosaccharin bands (at 1283, 1039 and 817 cm<sup>-1</sup>) [14]. In solid  $\alpha$ -thiosaccharin the acidic proton is bonded to the nitrogen atom (thione tautomeric form) [16] and therefore the C1-N and C1-S1 bonds have single and double bond character. Upon deprotonation, the negative electronic charge delocalizes over the thioamide functional group, and also over the SO<sub>2</sub> group and the benzenic ring, as demonstrated by *ab initio* theoretical calculations [5, 6]. Then, the C1-N bond order increases and both the C1-S1 and N-S2 bond orders decrease causing the observed displacements of the associated vibrational bands. As can be seen from Table 1, the corresponding band positions observed for potassium or ammonium thiosaccharinates are in the range between thiosaccharin and the salts reported here. The existence of short contacts between the cations and the N thiosaccharinate atoms in K(tsac) [1] and  $NH_4(tsac)$ [3], localizes more negative electronic charge on the iminic nitrogen. As expected, there are no significant differences between 1 and 2 concerning the bands due to vibrations of the thiosaccharinate benzene rings.

The FTIR and *Raman* spectra of compounds **1** and **2** show the strong and medium intensity bands expected for the stretching and bending modes of the bulky cations. The *PNP*<sup>+</sup> and  $NBu_4^+$  vibration modes were assigned by comparison to published data [11–13].

#### NMR Spectra

The thiosaccharin H1 chemical shift in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>  $(\delta = 6.02 \text{ ppm})$  [17] shows that its thiol (C–SH) tautomeric form is more stable than the thione (C=S)form in polar solvents, and the last form is dominant in weakly or non-polar solvents as CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $(\delta = 10.30 - 8.10 \text{ ppm}, \text{ broad and flat signal})$ . This is also clearly reflected by the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts of the C1 atoms, measured at 161.53 ppm in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> [17] and at 187.70 ppm in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The opposite relationships between tautomeric forms and polarity of the solvents has been observed for other cyclic thioamidates like pyridine, and pyrimidine-2-thione, thiazoline, and benzthiazoline-2-thione [18, 19]. Then the presence of the polar  $SO_2$  group in thiosaccharin must be responsible of its particular behavior in solution. To gain more insight on these facts, we are now performing theoretical calculations of solvent effects on thiosaccharin and its anion.

Principal features of the  $PNP^+$  and  $NBu_4^+$  cations in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> solutions have been observed and assigned. In the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound **1**, both the proton and carbon resonances of thiosaccharinate and  $PNP^+$  appear superimposed. The <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts of the  $PNP^+$  benzene rings and of three of the aromatic thiosaccharinate protons are observed as an unresolved multiplet between 7.94 and 7.36 ppm. Similarly, the <sup>13</sup>C resonances of the  $PNP^+$  cation and of C4 and C5 atoms of the thiosaccarinate anion are assigned tentatively. The <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift of the C1 atom shows the largest downfield displacement for a thiosaccharin compound observed until now.

The quite different NMR spectra signature of the anion and cation species in  $NBu_4(tsac)$  facilitated the assignment of the thiosaccharinate <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts. The <sup>1</sup>H chemical shift of three of the thiosaccharinate protons appear as an unresolved multiplet between 7.94 and 7.65 ppm, in contrast with the behavior of H*tsac* [17]. Moreover, the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift of the C1 atom shows the same downfield displacement already discussed for **1**. These observations, along with other small chemical shift changes, reflect an efficient delocalization of the electronic charge over the thioamidate functional group [5, 17].

#### X-Ray Structural Analysis of 1

Crystal data and structure refinement results for compound **1** are summarized in Table 2. Figure 1 shows an ORTEP [20] drawing of the molecule.

Chemical formula	$C_{43}H_{34}N_2O_2P_2S_2$				
Formula weight	736.78				
Temperature/K	296(2)				
Crystal system	Monoclinic				
Space group	$P2_1/n$ (No. 14)				
Cell constants <sup>a</sup>					
$a/ m \AA$	9.6481(9)				
b'/Å	29.258(3)				
c/Å	13.177(2)				
$\dot{\beta}/^{\circ}$	97.53(1)				
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	3687.6(8)				
$Z, D_{calc}/Mg/m^3$	4, 1.327				
Absorption coefficient, $\mu/\text{mm}^{-1}$	2.445				
F(000)	1536				
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.36 \times 0.36 \times 0.36$				
Crystal color/shape	Yellow/spherical				
Radiation	Graph. monochr. CuK $\alpha$ , $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å				
Theta range for data collection/°	3.02 to 67.98				
Limiting indices	-11 < h < 11, -35 < k < 1, -15 < l < 0				
Reflections collected/unique	7225/6650 [R(int) = 0.020]				
Completeness/%	98.9 (to = 67.98°)				
Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	5765				
Maximum and min. transmission	0.5476 and 0.4126				
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$				
Data/restraints/parameters	6650/0/461				
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.044				
Weights, w	$[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0677P)^2 + 1.63P]^{-1}$				
	$P = [Max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2]/3$				
Final <i>R</i> indices <sup>b</sup> $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0428, wR2 = 0.1162				
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0496, wR2 = 0.1235				
Extinction coefficient	0.0015(1)				
Largest diff. peak and hole/ $e \cdot Å^{-3}$	0.460 and -0.349				

 Table 2.
 Crystal data and structure solution methods and refinement results for bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium thiosaccharinate

 (1)

<sup>a</sup> The unit cell dimensions were obtained by least squares refinement of  $[(\sin\vartheta)/\lambda]^2$  values for 23 reflections in the 16.27 <  $\vartheta$  < 44.97° range

<sup>b</sup> *R*-indices defined as:  $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$ ;  $wR_2 = [\Sigma w (|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2 / \Sigma w (|F_o|^2)^2]^{1/2}$ 

As expected, the thiosaccharinate (*tsac*) C<sub>6</sub>SNC(S) molecular skeleton is nearly planar (rms distance of atoms from the least-squares plane equal to 0.042 Å). By comparing with the neutral thiosaccharin (H*tsac*) molecule [14], it can be appreciated that the major bonding changes in the charged moiety occur at the thioamidic functional group. This is shown by the shortening observed in both the N1–C1 bond length (from 1.384 Å in neutral H*tsac* to 1.324(3) Å in *tsac*) and the N1–S2 distance (from 1.664 Å to 1.624(2) Å), and also by the lengthening of the C1–S1 bond (from 1.622 Å in H*tsac* to 1.678(2) Å in *tsac*). Smaller lengthening is also observed in the C1–C2 bond distance (from 1.472 to 1.494(3) Å) and S2–C7 distance (from 1.750 to 1.759(2) Å). In contrast, no signifi-

cant changes are observed for C(2)-C(7) and sulfonyl S–O bond distances when comparing the neutral and charged molecules.

The bond distances and angles agree well with those reported for the potassium, sodium, and ammonium thiosaccharinate salts [1–3]. Notwithstanding, compared with the values observed for NH<sub>4</sub>(*tsac*), slight but significant shortening in N1–C1 bond distance (from 1.334(5) to 1.324(3)Å) is observed. At the same time, a lengthening in the N1–S2 distance (from 1.616(3) to 1.624(2)Å) and C1–S1 bond length (from 1.666(4) to 1.678(2)Å) occurs. In the crystal structure of NH<sub>4</sub>(*tsac*) a strong and almost linear thiosaccharinate-ammonium contact (N(*tsac*)···H–NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>) was observed (d(N···H) =





Fig. 1. Molecular plot of the bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium thiosaccharinate salt showing the labeling of the non-H atoms and their displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. For simplicity, only one phenyl ring of the triphenylphosphine groups was fully labeled

2.900 Å and  $\angle(N \cdots H-N) = 173.7^{\circ})$  [3]. In the *PNP(tsac)* molecular structure specific intermolecular short contacts are absent. Then, the last compound can be considered as a reference of a solid state "free" thiosaccharinate anion.

The bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium cation shows the expected molecular conformation with the two triphenylphosphine  $(=PPh_3)$  groups linked by the nitrogen atom (N-P bond distances of 1.584(2) and 1.581(2) Å) in a characteristic bent arrangement  $(\angle (P-N-P) = 136.7(1)^{\circ})$ . Within each  $= PPh_3$  group the phosphorus atom is in a tetrahedral coordination with three phenyl groups (P-C distances in the range from 1.794(2) to 1.802(2) Å and C–P–C angles from 105.54(9) to  $109.0(1)^{\circ}$  and the bridging N-atom  $(N-P-C \text{ angles from } 106.90(9) \text{ to } 113.3(1)^{\circ})$ . The P-N bond distances and P-N-P angle are very similar to that observed for other bis(triphenylphosphine) iminium compounds with bulky or little charged anions [11, 21, 22]. Guzei et al. have reported an average P–N distance of 1.576 Å and a P–N–P angle of 143°, calculated over 562 structures of PNP<sup>+</sup> compounds reported in the CSD [22].

# Experimental

Analytical reagent grade bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium chloride and tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used as received. The solvents were of analytical reagent grade and dried by commonly used techniques [23]. Elemental analyses for C, H, N, and S were carried out with a Carlo Erba EA model 1108 elemental analyzer at INQUIMAE (FCEyN-UBA, Argentina), and the results were found to be in good agreement  $(\pm 0.4\%)$ with the calculated values. Conductivity measurements of the compounds in solution were performed with an OAKTON digital conductimeter calibrated with aqueous solutions of twice re-crystallized KCl (744.7 ppm, 1413  $\mu$ S). The infrared spectra between 4000 and 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> of the solid compounds, dispersed in KBr discs and Nujol mulls, were obtained with a Nicolet Nexus FTIR instrument. The Raman dispersion spectra of the solid substances in the region between 3500 and 100 cm<sup>-1</sup> were obtained with a FRA 106 accessory mounted on a Bruker IFS 66 FTIR instrument, employing the 1064 nm excitation line from an Nd-YAG laser. The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P-NMR spectra of *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub> solutions, at 300 K, were recorded on a Multinuclear Bruker ARX-300 equipment. The chemical shift data were measured by the replacement methods and are given relative to external H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and TMS. UV-visible spectra of the solid compounds dispersed in KBr and in solutions were collected on a Cecil 2021 spectrophotometer.

Thiosaccharin (1,2-benzisothiazol-3-(2H)-thione-1,1-dioxide), in its solid  $\alpha$ -form, was prepared following the technique published by *Schibye et al.* [24]. Mp: 178–180°C (Ref. [24] 179°C). FTIR and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (in *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub>) spectra were found to be identical with the one described in Refs. [14] and [17]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 10.3-8.10$  (broad, H1), 8.14 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, H6), 7.80 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, H3), 7.72 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H) = 7.3 Hz, H5), 7.21 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, H4) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 187.70$  (C1), 135.40 (C7), 135.34 (C5), 133.80 (C4), 130.45 (C2), 127.02 (C3), 120.85 (C6) ppm.

### Bis(triphenylphosphoranylidene)ammonium{1,2benzisothiazol-3-(2H)-thionate-1,1-dioxide}, PNP(tsac) (1, C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>)

To 20 cm<sup>3</sup> of an aqueous solution of 286 mg bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium chloride (0.50 mmol) was slowly added 20 cm<sup>3</sup> of an aqueous solution of 99.0 mg thiosaccharin (0.50 mmol) under continuous mechanical stirring. The light yellow polycrystalline precipitate was filtered off, washed several times with cold H<sub>2</sub>O. Yellow single crystals, some of them suitable for X-ray structural analysis, were obtained by slow evaporation of saturated solutions of the salt in absolute ethanol. Yield: 261 mg (71%). Molar conductance  $(1.0 \times 10^{-3} M)$ , in acetone): 127  $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 8.08$  (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H,H) = 7.6 Hz, H6), 7.94–7.36 (m,  $PNP^+$  and *tsac*) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub>): for *tsac*,  $\delta = 192.98$  (C1), 138.28 (C7), 136.92 (C2), 132.00 (C5), 130.98 (C4), 125.42 (C3), 119.00 (C6) ppm; for phenyl groups of  $PNP^+$ ,  $\delta = 133.82$  (C1), 129.71 (C2 and C6), 127.74 (C3 and C5), 126.30 (C4) ppm;  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (121 MHz, *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 76.5 ({}^{1}J({}^{31}P, {}^{13}C) = 99.2 \text{ Hz},$ *PNP* $^+)$ ppm; UV-Vis (CHCl<sub>3</sub>,  $c = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon) = 242$ (17100), 267 (10600), 344 (5850) nm  $(mol^{-1} dm^{3} cm^{-1})$ ; (KBr disks)  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 205$  (vs), 235 (s), 266 (m), 344 (sh), 357 (m) nm.

### *Tetrabutylammonium*{*1*,2-*benzisothiazol-3-(2H)-thionate*-*1*,1-*dioxide*}, NBu<sub>4</sub>(*tsac*) (**2**, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>)

To 12 cm<sup>3</sup> of an aqueous solution of 32.9 mg tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (0.10 mmol) another  $4 \text{ cm}^3$  of an aqueous solution of 19.9 mg thiosaccharin (0.10 mmol) was slowly added at room temperature under continuous mechanical stirring. The yellow polycrystalline precipitate formed was filtered off and then washed with cold H<sub>2</sub>O. It was re-crystallized from saturated aqueous solutions by slow evaporation of the solvent. Yield: 27.0 mg (61.0%). Molar conductance  $(1.0 \times 10^{-4} M, \text{ in H}_2\text{O})$ : 143  $\Omega^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): for tsac,  $\delta = 8.07$  (d,  ${}^{3}J(\text{H},\text{H}) = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H6}), 7.94-7.65 \text{ (m, 3H) ppm; for } NBu_{4}^{+},$  $\delta = 3.02 - 2.89$  (m, H<sub>a</sub>), 1.44 - 1.29 (m, H<sub>b</sub>), 1.09 (sex,  ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, H_{\gamma}), 0.72 \text{ (t, } {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, H_{\delta}) \text{ ppm};$ <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, *DMSO*-d<sub>6</sub>): for *tsac*,  $\delta = 192.16$ (C1), 138.48 (C7), 137.11 (C2), 132.21 (C5), 130.97 (C4), 125.40 (C3), 119.20 (C6) ppm; for NB $u_4^+$ ,  $\delta = 58.00$  $(C_{\alpha})$ , 23.46 $(C_{\beta})$ , 19.57 $(C_{\gamma})$ , 13.81  $(C_{\delta})$  ppm; UV-Vis (water,  $c = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ):  $\lambda_{\text{max}} (\varepsilon) = 205 (15600), 281 (4750),$ 330 (5040) nm (mol<sup>-1</sup> dm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>); (KBr disks,  $\lambda_{max}$ ): 207 (vs), 258 (m), 346 (m, broad).

### X-Ray Diffraction Data

Diffraction data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with EXPRESS [25] and reduced by *Lorentz* and polarization effects with XCAD4 [26]. The data were corrected numerically for absorption with PLATON [27]. The structure was solved by direct and *Fourier* methods with SHELXS [28] and its non-H atom refined by full-matrix least-squares with SHELXL [29]. The hydrogen atoms were positioned stereo-chemically and refined with the riding model. The structural data has been de-

posited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (No. CCDC-629368).

#### Acknowledgements

The X-ray diffraction data were collected at LANADI (CONICET), Argentina. *G.A.E.* and *O.E.P.* are Research Fellows of CONICET. This work was supported in part by the SGCyT-UNS (Project 24/Q010).

## References

- Penavić M, Jovanoski G, Grupče O (1990) Acta Crystallogr C46: 2341
- [2] Penavić M, Grupče O, Jovanovski G (1991) Acta Crystallogr C47: 1821
- [3] Baran EJ, Piro OE, Zinczuk J (2006) Z Anorg Allg Chemie 632: 437
- [4] Binev J, Petkov C, Pejov L (2000) Spectrochim Acta Part A 56: 1949
- [5] Branda MM, Castellani NJ, Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Baran EJ, Contreras RH (2002) Int J Quant Chem 89: 525
- [6] Jovanovski G, Cahil A, Grupče O, Pejov L (2006) J Mol Struct 784: 7
- [7] Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Piro OE, Baran EJ (2002) Z Anorg Allg Chem 628: 751
- [8] Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Piro OE, Castellano EE, Baran EJ (2006) J Mol Struct 797: 56
- [9] Dennehy M, Quinzani OV, Jennings M (2007) J Mol Struct doi: 10.1016/j.molstruc.2006.11.071
- [10] Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Baran EJ, Piro OE, Castellano EE (2003) J Mol Struct 656: 161
- [11] Mullica DF, Gipson SL, Su M, Sappenfield EL (1995) Polyhedron 14: 2215
- [12] Clark RJH, Flint CD, Hempleman AJ (1987) Spectrochim Acta 43A: 805
- [13] Mink J, Pápai I, Gál M, Goggin PL (1989) Pure Appl Chem 61: 973
- [14] Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Piro OE, Baran EJ, Castellano EE (2001) Monatsh Chem 132: 779
- [15] Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Piro OE, Baran EJ, Castellano EE (2003) Z Anorg Allg Chem 629: 1975
- [16] Grupče O, Penavić M, Jovanovski G (1994) J Chem Crystallog 24: 581
- [17] Vieytes M, Gambino D, González M, Cerecetto H, Tarulli SH, Quinzani OV, Baran EJ (2006) J Coord Chem 59: 102
- [18] Stoyanov S, Stoyanova T, Antonov I, Karagiannidis P, Akrivos P (1996) Monatsh Chem 127: 495
- [19] Stoyanov S, Stoyanova T, Akrivos P (1998) Trends Appl Spectrosc 2: 89
- [20] Johnson CK (1976) ORTEP-II, A Fortran Thermal-Ellipsoid Plot Program, Report ORNL-5318, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA
- [21] Hoppe S, Stark JL, Whitmire KH (1997) Acta Crystallogr C53: 68
- [22] Guzei I, Dougan JS, Treichel PM (2001) Acta Crystallogr C57: 1060

- [23] Vogel A (1978) Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry, Longman Group Ltd, London
- [24] Schibye S, Pedersen BS, Lawesson SO (1978) Bull Soc Chim Belges 87: 229
- [25] CAD4 Express Software (1994) Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands
- [26] Harms K, Wocadlo S (1995) XCAD4-CAD4 Data Reduction, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany
- [27] Spek AL (1998) PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- [28] Sheldrick GM (1997) SHELXS-97, Program for Crystal Structure Resolution, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany
- [29] Sheldrick GM (1997) SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structures Analysis, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany