

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

The role of natural science collections in the biomonitoring of environmental contaminants in apex predators in support of the EU's zero pollution ambition

Movalli, Paola

2022-12

Movalli , P , Koschorreck , J , Treu , G , Slobodnik , J , Alygizakis , N , Androulakakis , A , Badry , A , Baltag , E , Barbagli , F , Bauer , K , Biesmeijer , K , Borgo , E , Cincinelli , A , Classen , D , Danielsson , S , Dekker , R W R J , Dietz , R , Eens , M , Espin , S , Eulaers , I , Frahnert , S , Fuiz , T I , Garcia-Fernandez , A J , Fuchs , J , Gkotsis , G , Glowacka , N , Gomez-Ramirez , P , Grotti , M , Hosner , P A , Johansson , U , Jaspers , V L B , Koureas , D , Krone , O , Kubin , E , Lefevre , C , Leivits , M , Lo Brutto , S , Lopes , R J , Lourenco , R , Lymberakis , P , Madslie , K , Martellini , T , Mateo , R , Nika , M-C , Osborn , D , Oswald , P , Pauwels , O , Pereira , MG , Pezzo , F , Sanchez-Virosta , P , Sarajlic , N , Shore , R F , Soler , F , Sonne , C , Thomaidis , N , Töpfer , T , Väinölä , R , van den Brink , N , Vrezec , A , Walker , L , Weigl , S , Wernham , C , Woog , F , Zorrilla , I & Duke , G 2022 , ' The role of natural science collections in the biomonitoring of environmental contaminants in apex predators in support of the EU's zero pollution ambition ' , Environmental sciences europe , vol. 34 , no. 1 , 88 . <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-022-00670-8>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/351027>

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-022-00670-8>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

COMMENT

Open Access



The role of natural science collections in the biomonitoring of environmental contaminants in apex predators in support of the EU's zero pollution ambition

Paola Movalli^{1*}, Jan Koschorreck², Gabriele Treu², Jaroslav Slobodnik³, Nikiforos Alygizakis^{3,4}, Andreas Androulakakis⁴, Alexander Badry^{2,20}, Emanuel Baltag⁵, Fausto Barbagli⁶, Kevin Bauer², Koos Biesmeijer¹, Enrico Borgo⁷, Alessandra Cincinelli⁸, Daniela Claßen², Sara Danielsson⁹, René W. R. J. Dekker¹, Rune Dietz¹⁰, Marcel Eens¹¹, Silvia Espín¹², Igor Eulaers¹³, Sylke Frahnert¹⁴, Tibor I. Fuiz¹⁵, Antonio J. García-Fernández¹², Jérôme Fuchs¹⁶, Georgios Gkotsis⁴, Natalia Glowacka³, Pilar Gómez-Ramírez¹², Marco Grotti¹⁷, Peter A. Hosner¹⁸, Ulf Johansson⁹, Veerle L. B. Jaspers¹⁹, Dimitris Koureas¹, Oliver Krone²⁰, Eero Kubin²¹, Christine Lefevre¹⁶, Madis Leivits²², Sabrina Lo Brutto²³, Ricardo Jorge Lopes^{24,45,46}, Rui Lourenço²⁵, Petros Lymberakis²⁶, Knut Madslie²⁷, Tania Martellini⁸, Rafael Mateo²⁸, Maria-Christina Nika⁴, Dan Osborn²⁹, Peter Oswald³, Olivier Pauwels³⁰, MGLória Pereira³¹, Francesco Pezzo³², Pablo Sánchez-Virosta¹², Nermina Sarajlić³³, Richard F. Shore³¹, Francisco Soler³⁴, Christian Sonne¹⁰, Nikolaos Thomaidis⁴, Till Töpfer³⁵, Risto Väinölä³⁶, Nico van den Brink³⁷, Al Vrezec^{38,39}, Lee Walker³¹, Stephan Weigl⁴⁰, Chris Wernham⁴¹, Friederike Woog⁴², Irene Zorrilla⁴³ and Guy Duke⁴⁴

Abstract

The chemical industry is the leading sector in the EU in terms of added value. However, contaminants pose a major threat and significant costs to the environment and human health. While EU legislation and international conventions aim to reduce this threat, regulators struggle to assess and manage chemical risks, given the vast number of substances involved and the lack of data on exposure and hazards. The European Green Deal sets a 'zero pollution ambition for a toxic free environment' by 2050 and the EU Chemicals Strategy calls for increased monitoring of chemicals in the environment. Monitoring of contaminants in biota can, inter alia: provide regulators with early warning of bioaccumulation problems with chemicals of emerging concern; trigger risk assessment of persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic substances; enable risk assessment of chemical mixtures in biota; enable risk assessment of mixtures; and enable assessment of the effectiveness of risk management measures and of chemicals regulations overall. A number of these purposes are to be addressed under the recently launched European Partnership for Risk Assessment of Chemicals (PARC). Apex predators are of particular value to biomonitoring. Securing sufficient data at European scale implies large-scale, long-term monitoring and a steady supply of large numbers of fresh apex predator tissue samples from across Europe. Natural science collections are very well-placed to supply these. Pan-European monitoring requires

*Correspondence: paola.movalli@naturalis.nl

¹ Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Darwinweg 2, 2333 CR Leiden, Netherlands
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

effective coordination among field organisations, collections and analytical laboratories for the flow of required specimens, processing and storage of specimens and tissue samples, contaminant analyses delivering pan-European data sets, and provision of specimen and population contextual data. Collections are well-placed to coordinate this. The COST Action *European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility* provides a well-developed model showing how this can work, integrating a European Raptor Biomonitoring Scheme, Specimen Bank and Sampling Programme. Simultaneously, the EU-funded LIFE APEX has demonstrated a range of regulatory applications using cutting-edge analytical techniques. PARC plans to make best use of such sampling and biomonitoring programmes. Collections are poised to play a critical role in supporting PARC objectives and thereby contribute to delivery of the EU's zero-pollution ambition.

Keywords: EU chemicals regulation, Zero pollution, Biomonitoring, Chemicals of emerging concern, Apex predator, Raptor, Marine mammal, Otter

Background

The chemical industry is the leading sector in the EU in terms of added value (€335.4 billion in 2018—Eurostat). The industry is a major employer, with 3.4 million jobs in the EU chemical industry and up to three times as many indirect jobs generated by the sector (Eurostat). Chemicals are used by industry, medicine, energy generation, agriculture and other sectors essential for maintaining health, nutrition and well-being. Chemical development, manufacture and use are important wealth generators, with global chemical production estimated to increase to USD 21,750 billion by 2060 (OECD 2019).

However, environmental contaminants pose a major threat to the environment and human health, exceeding the 'safe operating space' of the planetary boundary for 'novel entities' [1]. Tens of thousands of chemical substances are released into Europe's environment, of which large volumes are classified by Eurostat as hazardous to the environment or to human health. In 2020, the EU produced 78.9 million tonnes of chemicals hazardous to the environment, and 208.1 m tonnes hazardous to human health. However, the importance of chemical pollution is still highly understudied and undervalued in ecological research [2]. The increasing presence of synthetic chemicals in the environment imposes high costs. For example, the annual disease and dysfunction costs of human exposure to some of the most potent endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in the EU has been estimated at €157 billion (Trasande et al. 2015). The costs of harmful effects of chemicals on biodiversity and on ecosystem goods and services are difficult to assess given their complexity and, therefore, a weak scientific evidence base [3] but likely to be similarly vast.

EU legislation seeks to address this challenge, aiming to prevent and limit negative impacts of chemicals on human health and the environment. This includes directives and regulations on the safe marketing of industrial chemicals [4], plant protection products (PPPs) [5], biocidal products [6] and human and veterinary medicinal products [7–9], as well as

environmental legislation like the Water Framework Directive (WFD) [10] and Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) [11], which aim to achieve a good environmental status, and the Environmental Liability Directive [12]. These are supplemented by global and regional conventions to which the EU, Member States and other European countries are party, such as the Helsinki Convention on the Baltic Sea (1992), OSPAR Convention on the NE Atlantic (1992), Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants (2001) and Minamata Convention on mercury (Hg) (2013). However, in implementing these laws and conventions, regulators struggle to assess and manage chemical risks, given the vast number of substances involved and the lack of data on exposure and hazards.

The European Green Deal [13] acknowledges the continuing challenge presented by toxic substances in the environment, stating: 'Creating a toxic-free environment requires more action to prevent pollution from being generated as well as measures to clean and remedy it. To protect Europe's citizens and ecosystems, the EU needs to better monitor, report, prevent and remedy pollution from air, water, soil, and consumer products.' The Green Deal sets a 'zero pollution ambition for a toxic free environment' by 2050. To deliver on this ambition, the EC has published a Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability Toward a Toxic-Free Environment [14]. This states that: 'Monitoring the presence of chemicals in humans and ecosystems is key to improve the understanding of their impact... In partnership with Member States, the Commission will continue to foster research and (bio-) monitoring to understand and prevent chemicals-related risks and drive innovation in chemical risk assessment and regulatory science.' Pollution is also mentioned in the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2030 [14] as a key driver of biodiversity loss.

This paper explores the role of natural science collections (natural history museums, environmental specimen banks and other research collections) in the biomonitoring of environmental contaminants in apex predators in support of the EU's zero pollution ambition.

Main text

Monitoring of contaminants in biota (biomonitoring) aims to reveal the occurrence of chemical substances, residue concentrations, and predominant mixtures. Biomonitoring data can service a range of regulatory purposes, [15–19], including: (a) early warning of bioaccumulation of chemicals of emerging concern (CECs); (b) triggering of more rigorous risk assessments of persistent, bio accumulative and toxic (PBT) substances under Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) [4] using a ‘weight-of-evidence’ approach; (c) substance assessment, development of guidance in relation to exposure and bioaccumulation and post-market vigilance under the EU plant protection products and biocidal products regulations [5, 6]; (d) risk assessment of chemical mixtures in biota—including aggregate, combined and cumulative exposures; (e) assessment of the effectiveness of chemical risk management measures under various EU regulations and international conventions, and of the effectiveness of chemicals regulations overall; (f) provision of exposure data for priority substances in top predators to provide a reality-check for calculated (theoretical) exposure values under the WFD [10] and MSFD (2008); (g) assessment of the quality of the marine environment under the Helsinki and Ospar Conventions; and (h) identification of potential endocrine disruptor chemicals (EDCs) and substances that are carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic for reproduction (CMR) and of their potential health effects on biota, to inform species conservation under the EU Habitats and Birds Directives [20, 21].

A number of these purposes are to be addressed under the recently launched, €400 m, 7-year European Partnership for Risk Assessment of Chemicals (PARC) which aims to ‘consolidate and strengthen the EU’s research and innovation capacity for chemical risk assessment to protect human health and the environment and contribute to a non-toxic environment and a circular economy’ [22]. As part of its wide-ranging programme, PARC plans to make best use of existing sampling and biomonitoring programmes.

While most monitoring of chemicals in the environment focuses on water, sediment or on species at low trophic levels, species at higher trophic levels, notably apex predators, such as predatory birds and mammals, are of particular value to exposure assessment. Apex predators offer a number of advantages for the detection and interpretation of chemicals in the environment [19, 23, 24]: (1) occupying as they do high trophic levels, they integrate contamination across food webs, and across wide spatial scales (most apex predators have large feeding ranges and provide greater insight into persistence, bio-accumulation and (if monitored alongside

co-occurring prey species biomagnification, (2) there is also a long history of ecotoxicological research on apex predators and many apex predator species have well-studied ecological traits, facilitating interpretation of detected contaminant residues; and (3) samples from apex predators are already available in many European sample collections and samples are added each year. Key taxa for this purpose include seals and cetaceans for the marine environment, otters and piscivorous raptors for the freshwater environment, and raptors for the terrestrial environment as is being demonstrated by the LIFE APEX project (<https://lifeapex.eu>). By adding biomonitoring data from their prey sampled in a spatiotemporal context to the apex predators (e.g., fish prey of seals, otters and piscivorous raptors, bird or mammal prey of raptors), we can also better understand biomagnification of chemicals in food chains. In interpreting contaminant residues, attention must be paid to the resident or migratory behaviour of the species and individuals sampled [25, 26]—for this reason, Badry et al. [27] recommend the use of non-migratory raptors for pan-European contaminants monitoring.

Moreover, there is increasing interest in a ‘One Health’ approach which links wildlife, environmental and human health (WHO/SCBD 2015). There are important parallels between the increasing incidence of human disorders and those observed in wildlife [28], humans and wildlife share many targets for biologically active chemicals and adverse outcome pathways, so that innovative solutions for a pollution-free planet will protect both [29]. Using apex predators as a biomonitoring tool may be highly relevant in this context [17].

Securing sufficient environmental biomonitoring data at European scale, as called for in the EU Chemicals Strategy, implies large-scale, long-term monitoring. This in turn implies a steady supply of large quantities of fresh tissue samples of suitable species, at pan-European scale. The fact that most apex predators in Europe are protected species might seem to suggest such sample supply to be problematic as planned culling of individuals for this purpose is prevented by law, and is in any case not justifiable from an ethical viewpoint.

However, such culling is not necessary as a solution that does not involve killing wild animals is close at hand. Natural science collections across Europe—including natural history museums, environmental specimen banks, and other research collections—are very well-placed to supply the needed volume of fresh apex predator tissue samples on a sustained basis. This is because they: (a) are legally empowered to store samples from protected species; (b) regularly receive large numbers of fresh specimens of apex predators, including marine mammals, otters and raptors (for the latter, see Ramello

et al. 2022), that have been found dead in the field or have died in rehabilitation centres; (c) have, or can introduce, appropriate storage facilities (freezers); (d) have, or can gain, the necessary capacities to process and store samples for contaminant monitoring purposes; (e) have, or can adopt, existing protocols (e.g., Espin et al. 2016, 2020) for processing and storage of samples, using quality assurance and quality control procedures that support delivery of robust contaminant data; (f) are increasingly networked and coordinated for this purpose at pan-European scale (e.g., through the COST Action ‘European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility’—<https://erbfacility.eu>); (g) are in general keen to enhance their societal relevance by supplying useful data; (h) are typically registered under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), facilitating shipping of samples of CITES-listed species (which includes most apex predators) to laboratories; (i) work in the field and/or are well-connected to field organisations that can, if required, increase specimen supply and provide necessary contextual data; (j) are increasingly well-connected to analytical laboratories, (k) retain archives of examined specimens in long-term storage allowing for any retrospective contaminant studies; (l) are increasingly digitized allowing for timely knowledge of available samples (digitisation is being mainly promoted by the Distributed System of Scientific Collections—DiSSCo [30]).

Pan-European monitoring requires effective coordination and networking among field organisations, collections and analytical laboratories for: (a) the flow of the required specimens (and relevant specimen contextual data, such as the date of collection, location, cause of death, etc.) to collections; (b) the processing and storage of specimens and tissue samples therefrom following appropriate protocols; (c) contaminant analyses delivering pan-European data sets; and (d) provision of population contextual data, which allow correct interpretations of contaminant analysis data in assessing contaminant exposure and impact on target species populations [15]. Collections are well-placed between the field and analytical labs to coordinate the flow of animal specimens, tissue samples and related contextual specimen data [25, 26, 31].

The COST Action *European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility* (ERBFacility, 2017–22) [32], which built on the previous European Science Foundation networking programme *Research and Monitoring for and with Raptors in Europe* [33], provides a well-developed model showing how this coordination and networking can work, which could be replicated for other taxa (marine mammals, otters, selected prey species). It integrates: (1) a European Raptor Biomonitoring Scheme, which sets out priorities for which species and tissue matrices to analyse and the

analytical methods and protocols to be used (see, e.g., [27], Espin et al. 2021); (2) a distributed European Raptor Specimen Bank, which brings together raptor collections across Europe and includes a European Raptor Sample Database of pertinent frozen raptor samples; and (3) a European Raptor Sampling Programme, which coordinates the gathering of specimens and relevant specimen and population contextual data to support interpretation of the results of chemical analyses.

The COST Action has brought these three key elements together in an integrated framework for a European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility [34] and delivered a proof of concept (analysing c. 450 tawny owl *Strix aluco* samples to elucidate spatial patterns for selected contaminants across Europe) (Lopez Antía et al. in prep.). However, continued funding will be required to establish this Facility and sustain it over the longer term to deliver the biomonitoring data continuously required under the EU Chemicals Strategy.

Simultaneously, the EU-funded project *Systematic use of contaminant data from apex predators and their prey in chemicals management*—LIFE APEX (2018–22) [35] has demonstrated a range of regulatory applications of biomonitoring in apex predator and prey samples using cutting-edge analytical techniques. It has analysed marine mammal and fish, otter and freshwater fish, and raptor samples.

One such application involves suspect screening (for presence/absence) of 65,000+ substances included in the NORMAN network SusDat database [36], and wide-scope target screening (providing residue concentrations) of >2,400 known CECs, included in the target list of National and Kapodistrian University of Athens [37] to provide early warning of the presence of CECs in biota and identify predominant mixtures turning up in apex predators.

A second application uses the same data in combination with the JANUS tool to: (a) derive a short-list of substances to be considered (by ECHA and Member State competent authorities for chemicals) for prioritisation for further PBT assessment.

A third application involves exploring the extent to which we can use pooled apex predator (raptor) samples to detect whether the imposition of regulatory risk management measures (RMM) actually leads to the desired downward trend of real-world residue concentrations over time. By optimising the number of samples per pool to detect the desired downward trend, we can reduce the total number of analyses required while maintaining a representative sample, and thereby ensure cost-effective monitoring of effectiveness of RMM [38].

For all these important applications, the cost of biomonitoring is modest in relation to the potentially vast

cost savings to be realised by applying the data to reduce harmful effects of toxic substances and thereby improving wildlife and human health.

Conclusions

Natural science collections, in coordinating a steady, long-term, pan-European supply of apex predator (and prey) samples, and working in collaboration with field organisations, analytical laboratories and regulators through arrangements, such as the European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility, are poised to play a critical role in supporting PARC objectives—in particular relating to environmental monitoring and the development of an early warning system—and thereby contribute to delivery of the EU Chemical Strategy and the EU's zero-pollution ambition for a non-toxic environment.

Abbreviations

CEC: Chemical of Emerging Concern; CITES: Convention on Trade in Endangered Species; EC: European Commission; ECHA: European Chemicals Agency; EU: European Union; PARC: European Partnership for Risk Assessment of Chemicals; PBT: Persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic; RMM: Risk management measure.

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Silvia Espín and Richard Shore who contributed so much to biomonitoring with raptors in Europe.

Author contributions

PM: conceptualisation, writing—original draft, editing, funding acquisition. JK: conceptualisation, review and comment, funding acquisition. T: review and comment, funding acquisition. JS: review and comment, funding acquisition. GD: conceptualisation, writing—original draft, editing, funding acquisition. All others: review and comment. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This paper was carried out in collaboration between 'European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility' (COST Action 16224), and the project 'LIFE APEX—Systematic use of contaminant data from apex predators and their prey in chemicals management' (LIFE17 ENV/SK/000355). European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility is supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) and funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union. LIFE APEX is funded by the LIFE Programme, the EU's funding instrument for the environment and climate action.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Darwinweg 2, 2333 CR Leiden, Netherlands.

²German Environment Agency (Umweltbundesamt), 06844 Dessau-Rosslau,

Germany. ³Environmental Institute, Okružná 784/42, 97241 Koš, Slovak Republic. ⁴National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 15771 Athens, Greece. ⁵Marine Biological Station 'Prof. Dr. Ioan Borcea', Agigea, 'Alexandru Ioan Cuza' University of Iasi, B-Dul Carol I, No. 20A, 700506 Iasi, Romania. ⁶Museo di Storia Naturale dell'Università di Firenze, Sezione di Zoologia 'La Specola', Via Romana 17, 50125 Florence, Italy. ⁷Museo Civico di Storia Naturale 'G. Doria', Via Brigata Liguria 9, 16121 Genoa, Italy. ⁸Department of Chemistry, Ugo Schiff - University of Florence, Via delle Lastrucce 3, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy. ⁹Swedish Museum of Natural History, Box 50007, 104 05 Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁰Aarhus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark. ¹¹Department Biology, Behavioural Ecology and Ecophysiology Group, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Antwerp, Belgium. ¹²Area of Toxicology, Dept of Health Sciences, University of Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain. ¹³FRAM Centre, Norwegian Polar Institute, Postboks 6066, Stakkevollan, 9296 Tromsø, Norway. ¹⁴Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Invalidenstraße 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany. ¹⁵Hungarian Natural History Museum, Baross u 13, Budapest, Hungary. ¹⁶Direction des Collections Naturalistes, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France. ¹⁷Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy. ¹⁸Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5-7, 1350 Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹⁹Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Høgskoleringen 5, Trondheim, Norway. ²⁰Department of Wildlife Diseases, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke-Strasse 17, 10315 Berlin, Germany. ²¹Oulu University, Paavo Havaksen tie 3, Oulu, Finland. ²²Institute of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Kreutzwaldi 62, 51006 Tartu, Estonia. ²³Dept. STeBiCeF, University of Palermo, via Archirafi 18, 90123 Palermo, Italy. ²⁴CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Universidade do porto, Campus de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal. ²⁵MED Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development & CHANGE Global Change and Sustainability Institute, University of Évora, Herdade da Mitra, Valverde, 7000-083 Évora, Portugal. ²⁶Natural History Museum of Crete, School of Sciences and Engineering, University of Crete, Heraklion, Greece. ²⁷Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Oslo, Norway. ²⁸Institute for Game and Wildlife Research, Ronda de Toledo 12, Ciudad Real, Spain. ²⁹Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK. ³⁰Institut Royal Des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Rue Vautier 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium. ³¹UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster Environmental Centre, Lancaster LA1 4AP, UK. ³²Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale (ISPRA), Via Ca' Fornacetta 9, 40064, Ozzano dell'Emilia (BO), Italy. ³³Ornithological Society "Naše Ptice", Semira Frašte 6, 71000 Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina. ³⁴University of Extremadura, Caceres, Spain. ³⁵Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Adenauerallee 127, 53113 Bonn, Germany. ³⁶Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Post Box 17, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. ³⁷Division of Toxicology, Wageningen University, Box 8000, 6700 EA Wageningen, The Netherlands. ³⁸National Institute of Biology, Večna pot 111, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ³⁹Slovenian Museum of Natural History, Prešernova 20, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁴⁰Biologiezentrum Linz, J.-W.-Klein-Straße 73, 4040 Linz, Austria. ⁴¹Beta Centre (Unit 15), BTO Scotland, Stirling University Innovation Park, Stirling FK9 4NF, UK. ⁴²Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Stuttgart, Rosenstein 1, Stuttgart, Germany. ⁴³Centro de Analisis y Diagnostico de la Fauna Silvestre (CAD), Malaga, Spain. ⁴⁴Environmental Change Institute, University of Oxford, 3 South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY, UK. ⁴⁵BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, Campus de Vairão, CIBIO, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal. ⁴⁶MHNC-UP, Natural History and Science Museum of the University of Porto, 4099-002, Praça Gomes Teixeira, Porto, Portugal.

Received: 1 July 2022 Accepted: 26 August 2022

Published online: 10 September 2022

References

- Persson P, Carney Almroth BM, Collins CD, Cornell S, de Wit CA, Diamond ML, Fantke P, Hassellöv M, MacLeod M, Ryberg MW, Søgaard Jørgensen P, Villarrubia-Gómez P, Wang Z, Zwicky HM (2022) Outside the safe operating space of the planetary boundary for novel entities. *Environ Sci Technol* 56(3):1510–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04158>

2. Bernhardt ES, Rosi EJ, Gessner MO (2017) Synthetic chemicals as agents of global change. *Fron Ecol Environ* 15(2):84–90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1450>
3. Navrud S (2018) Assessing the economic valuation of the benefits of regulating chemicals Lessons learned from five case studies. OECD Environment Working Papers no.136. <https://doi.org/10.1787/19970900>
4. EC (2006) Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH).
5. EC (2009) Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market.
6. EU (2012) Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2012 concerning the making available on the market and use of biocidal products.
7. EC (2001) Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use.
8. EC (2004) Directive 2004/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 amending Directive 2001/82/EC on the Community code relating to veterinary medicinal products.
9. EC (2004) Regulation (EC) no 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency.
10. EC (2000) Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. 2000
11. EC (2008) Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).
12. EC (2004) Directive 2004/35/CE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 April 2004 on environmental liability with regard to the prevention and remedying of environmental damage.
13. EC (2019) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. The European Green Deal. COM/2019/640 final.
14. EC (2020) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Strategy for Sustainability Towards a Toxic-Free Environment. COM/2020/667 final.
15. Dulsat-Masvidal M, Lourenço R, Lacorte S, D'Amico M, Albayrak T, Andevski J, Aradis A, Baltag E, Berger-Tal O, Beryn P, Choresch Y, Duke G, Espin S, García-Fernández AJ, Gómez-Ramírez P, Halgrimsson GT, Jaspers V, Johansson U, Kovacs A, Krone O, Leivits M, Martínez-López E, Mateo R, Movalli P, Sánchez-Virosta P, Shore RF, Valkama J, Vrezec A, Xirouchakis S, Walker LA, Wernham C (2021) A review of constraints and solutions for collecting raptor samples and contextual data for a European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility. *Sci Tot Environ*. 793:148599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148599>
16. García-Fernández AJ (2020) Ecotoxicological risk assessment in the context of different EU regulations. *Ecotoxicological QSARs*. Humana, New York, pp 3–25
17. Movalli P, Krone O, Osborn D, Pain D (2018) Monitoring contaminants, emerging infectious diseases and environmental change with raptors, and links to human health. *Bird Study* 65(Suppl 1):S96–S109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00063657.2018.1506735>
18. Rodríguez-Estival J, Mateo R (2019) Exposure to anthropogenic chemicals in wild carnivores: a silent conservation threat demanding long-term surveillance. *Curr Opin Environ Sci Health* 11:21–25
19. Shore RF, Taggart MA (2019) Population-level impacts of chemical contaminants on apex avian species. *Curr Opin Environ Sci Health* 11:65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2019.06.007>
20. EC (1992) Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora.
21. EC (2009) Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds.
22. ANSES (2020) Draft proposal for a European Partnership under Horizon Europe Partnership for the Assessment of Risk from Chemicals (PARC)
23. Movalli P, Duke G, Osborn D (2008) Introduction to monitoring for and with raptors. *Ambio* 37(6):395–396. [https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447\(2008\)37\[395:TMFAW\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2008)37[395:TMFAW]2.0.CO;2)
24. Shore RF, Taggart MA, Smits J, Mateo R, Richards NL, Fryday S (2014) Detection and drivers of exposure and effects of pharmaceuticals in higher vertebrates. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 369(1656):20130570
25. Movalli P, Bode P, Dekker R, Fornasari L, van der Mije S, Yosef R (2017) Retrospective biomonitoring of mercury and other elements in museum feathers of common kestrel *Falco tinnunculus* using instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA). *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24(33):25986–26005. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0157-1>
26. Movalli P, Dekker R, Koschorreck J, Treu G (2017) Bringing together raptor collections in Europe for contaminant research and monitoring in relation to chemicals regulations. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24(31):24057–24060. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0096-x>
27. Badry A, Krone O, Jaspers VLB, Mateo R, García-Fernández A, Leivits M, Shore RF (2020) Towards harmonisation of chemical monitoring using avian apex predators: identification of key species for pan-European biomonitoring. *Sci Total Environ* 731:139198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139198>
28. Bergman Å, Heindel JJ, Kasten T, Kidd KA, Jobling S, Neira M, Zoeller RT, Becher G, Bjerregaard P, Bornman R, Brandt I (2013) The impact of endocrine disruption: a consensus statement on the state of the science. *Environ Health Perspect* 121:a104–a106. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205448>
29. Brack W, Culleres DB, Boxall ABA, Budzinski H, Castiglioni S, Covaci A, Dulio V, Escher BI, Fantke P, Kandje F, Fatta-Kassinos D, Hernández FJ, Hilscherová K, Hollender J, Hollert H, Jahnke A, Kasprzyk-Hordern B, Khan SJ, Kortenkamp A, Kümmerer K, Lalonde B, Lamoree MH, Levi Y, Martin PAL, Montagner CC, Mougín C, Msagati T, Oehlmann J, Posthuma L, Reid M, Reinhard M, Richardson SD, Rostkowski P, Schymanski E, Schneider F, Slobodnik J, Shibata Y, Snyder SA, Sodrè FF, Teodorovic I, Thomas KV, Umbuzeiro GA, Viet PH, Yew-Hoong KG, Zhang X, Zuccato E (2022) One planet: one health. A call to support the initiative on a global science-policy body on chemicals and waste. *Environ Sci Europe* 34(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-022-00602-6>
30. Eurostat – chemicals production and consumption statistics (2022) https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Chemicals_production_and_consumption_statistics. Accessed 30 August 2022
31. Movalli P, Duke G, Ramello G, Dekker R, Vrezec A, Shore RF, García-Fernández A, Wernham C, Krone O, Alygizakis N, Badry A, Barbagli F, Biesmeijer K, Boano G, Bond AI, Choresch Y, Christensen JB, Cincinelli A, Danielsson S, Dias A, Dietz R, Eens M, Espin S, Eulaers I, Frhnhert S, Fuiz TI, Gkotsis G, Glowacka N, Gómez-Ramírez P, Grotti M, Guiraud M, Hosner P, Johansson U, Jaspers VLB, Kamminga P, Koschorreck J, Knopf B, Kubin E, LoBrutto S, Lourenço R, Martellini T, Martínez-López E, Mateo R, Nika M-C, Nikolopoulou V, Osborn D, Pauwels O, Pavia M, Glória Pereira M, Rüdell H, Sanchez-Virosta P, Slobodnik J, Sonne C, Thomaidis N, Töpfer T, Treu G, Väinölä R, Valkama J, van der Mije S, Vangeluwe D, Warren BH, Woog F (2019) Progress on bringing together raptor collections in Europe for contaminant research and monitoring in relation to chemicals regulation. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26(20):20132–20136. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0096-x>
32. European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility (2022) <https://erbfacility.eu>. Accessed 1 July 2022
33. EURAPMON (2022) <http://eurapmon.net/>. Accessed 1 July 2022
34. Duke G, Vrezec A, Garcia-Fernandez AJ, Walker L, Movalli P, Wernham C, Krone O, Leivits M, Sarajlic N, Mateo R, Lourenço R (2022) Draft Integrated Framework for a European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility. European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility. <https://erbfacility.eu/documents-reporting>
35. LIFE APEX (2022) <https://www.lifeapex.eu> Accessed 1 July 2022
36. NORMAN network SusDat database. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6349084>
37. Target list of National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6323651>
38. Movalli P, Alygizakis N, Badry A, Buij R, Cincinelli A, De Beni E, Dekker RWRJ, Drost W, Duke G, Gkotsis G, Glowacka N, Jansman H, Koschorreck J, Martellini T, Nika MC, Nikolopoulou V, Potter ED, Santini S, Sarti C, Shore RF, Slobodnik J, Thomaidis NS, Treu G, van den Brink NW, van der Mije S, Walker LA (2021) Use of raptor chemical monitoring data to assess

effectiveness of EU chemical management measures; the impact of pooling liver samples on power to detect change in contaminant concentrations at country scale. Poster presented at SETAC Europe 31st Annual Meeting

39. Distributed System of Scientific Collections (2022) <https://www.dissco.e>. Accessed 1 July 2022
40. Espín S, Andevski J, Duke G, Eulaers I, Gómez-Ramírez P, Hallgrímsson GT, Helander B, Herzke D, Jaspers VLB, Krone O, Lourenço R, María-Mojica P, Martínez-López E, Mateo R, Movalli P, Sánchez-Virosta P, Shore RF, Sonne C, van den Brink NW, van Hattum B, Vrezec A, Wernham C, García-Fernández AJ (2021) A schematic sampling protocol for contaminant monitoring in raptors. *Ambio* 50(1):95–100. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01341-9>
41. Lopez Antía A, Lourenço R, Mateo R, et al. (in prep.) A proof of concept for long term pan-European monitoring of contaminants with raptors.
42. Trasande L, Zoeller RT, Hass U, Kortenkamp A, Grandjean P, Myers JP, DiGangi J, Bellanger M, Hauser R, Legler J, Skakkebaeke NE, Heindel JJ (2013) Estimating burden and disease costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European Union. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 100(4):1245–1255. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-4324>
43. WHO/SCBD (2015) Connecting Global Priorities – Biodiversity and Human Health: a state of knowledge review. ISBN 978924 150853 7. <https://hdl.handle.net/10568/67397>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
