# SPIKING NEURONS IN 3D GROWING SELF-ORGANISING MAPS

# BARIAH BINTI YUSOB

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Science)

> School of Computing Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > DECEMBER 2018

# ALHAMDULILLAH..

For my beloved mother, father, husband Fadni and my children Azfar and Faris.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, **Professor Dr. Siti Mariyam Hj. Shamsuddin** of Big Data Centre, UTM and cosupervisor **Dr. Haza Nuzly Abdull Hamed** of School of Computing, UTM for encouragement, guidance and critics. Also, a lot of appreciation to the members of Soft Computing Search Group (SCRG) and postgraduates friends in SCRG lab for their encouragement and friendship. Also to Internal and External Examiners who have given positive comments and feedbacks for my thesis writing.

A great gratitude also goes to the Universiti Malaysia Pahang and the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for sponsoring my PhD study.

### ABSTRACT

In Kohonen's Self-Organising Maps (SOM) learning, preserving the map topology to simulate the actual input features appears to be a significant process. Misinterpretation of the training samples can lead to failure in identifying the important features that may affect the outcomes generated by the SOM model. Nonetheless, it is a challenging task as most of the real problems are composed of complex and insufficient data. Spiking Neural Network (SNN) is the third generation of Artificial Neural Network (ANN), in which information can be transferred from one neuron to another using spike, processed, and trigger response as output. This study, hence, embedded spiking neurons for SOM learning in order to enhance the learning process. The proposed method was divided into five main phases. Phase 1 investigated issues related to SOM learning algorithm, while in Phase 2; datasets were collected for analyses carried out in Phase 3, wherein neural coding scheme for data representation process was implemented in the classification task. Next, in Phase 4, the spiking SOM model was designed, developed, and evaluated using classification accuracy rate and quantisation error. The outcomes showed that the proposed model had successfully attained exceptional classification accuracy rate with low quantisation error to preserve the quality of the generated map based on original input data. Lastly, in the final phase, a Spiking 3D Growing SOM is proposed to address the surface reconstruction issue by enhancing the spiking SOM using 3D map structure in SOM algorithm with a growing grid mechanism. The application of spiking neurons to enhance the performance of SOM is relevant in this study due to its ability to spike and to send a reaction when special features are identified based on its learning of the presented datasets. The study outcomes contribute to the enhancement of SOM in learning the patterns of the datasets, as well as in proposing a better tool for data analysis.

### ABSTRAK

Dalam pembelajaran swa-organisasi Kohohen (SOM), proses memelihara topologi peta untuk mewakilkan ciri-ciri data yang sebenar adalah penting. Perwakilan yang salah akan menyebabkan kegagalan dalam mengenalpasti ciri-ciri penting hingga memberi kesan kepada keluaran yang dihasilkan oleh model SOM. Namun, ianya adalah tugas yang mencabar kerana kebanyakan masalah sebenar terdiri daripada datadata yang rumit dan tidak lengkap. Rangkaian Saraf Pakuan (RSP) adalah generasi ketiga rangkaian saraf buatan (RSB) dengan maklumat disalurkan dari satu neuron ke neuron lain melalui pepaku, kemudian diproses untuk menghasilkan tindakbalas sebagai output. Kajian ini menggabungkan saraf pakuan untuk memperkasakan proses pembelajaran SOM. Kaedah yang dicadangkan terbahagi kepada lima fasa utama. Fasa pertama mengkaji isu-isu berkaitan algoritma pembelajaran SOM. Dalam fasa kedua, dataset dikumpul untuk dilatih di fasa ketiga, di mana skema pengkodan saraf diimplementasi bagi proses pengelasan. Seterusnya, dalam fasa keempat, model SOM pakuan direkabentuk, dibangunkan dan dinilai melalui kadar ketepatan pengelasan dan ralat pengkuantuman. Hasil ujikaji menunjukkan model cadangan berjaya menghasilkan keputusan yang baik dengan ralat pengkuantuman yang rendah, untuk memelihara kualiti pemetaan berdasarkan kepada data kemasukan sebenar. Pada fasa terakhir, model swa-organisasi pertumbuhan pakuan tiga dimensi dicadangkan untuk masalah penjanaan semula permukaan dengan meningkatkan pakuan SOM menggunakan struktur peta 3D dalam algoritma SOM bersama mekanisme pertumbuhan grid. Aplikasi saraf pakuan untuk meningkatkan prestasi SOM adalah relevan dalam kajian ini kerana keupayaannya untuk melonjak dan menghantar tindak balas apabila ciri khas dikenalpasti berdasarkan pembelajaran terhadap dataset. Hasil kajian menyumbang kepada peningkatan SOM dalam mempelajari corak dataset, di samping mencadangkan alat yang lebih baik untuk analisis data.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARATION	ii
	DED	ICATION	iii
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	TRACT	V
	ABS'	TRAK	vi
	ТАВ	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	Γ OF TABLES	xii
	LIST	<b>COF FIGURES</b>	xiv
	LIST	<b>COF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
	LIST	<b>FOF APPENDICES</b>	xxi
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	Problem Background	3
	1.3	Problem Statement	4
	1.4	Aim of the Research	5
	1.5	Objectives of the Research	5
	1.6	Scope of the Research	6
	1.7	Significance of the Research	6
	1.8	Research Methodology	7
	1.9	Organisation of the Thesis	8
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1	Introduction	10

2.2	The S	tandard K	Cohonen's Self-Organizing Maps	10
	2.2.1	Issues in	n SOM Algorithm	13
		2.2.1.1	Pre-Processing of Input Data for	13
			Training Sample	
		2.2.1.2	Identification of BMU	14
		2.2.1.3	Quality Measurement of Map	15
			Preservation	
		2.2.1.4	Growing Grid in SOM Topology	16
	2.2.2	Related	Studies on SOM for Data	21
		Explora	tion and Analysis	
	2.2.3	Discuss	ion on SOM for Data Exploration	25
		and Ana	alysis	
2.3	Spikir	ng Neural	Network (SNN)	27
	2.3.1	Biologi	cal Neurons	27
		2.3.1.1	Membrane Potential	28
		2.3.1.2	Action Potential (The Spike)	29
		2.3.1.3	Synapse	30
	2.3.2	Types o	of SNNs	32
		2.3.2.1	Conductance-Based Models	32
		2.3.2.2	Threshold-Fire Models	33
		2.3.2.3	Response Kernels	36
	2.3.3	The Sin	nplified Model, SRM0	37
	2.3.4	Neural	Coding	38
		2.3.4.1	Rate Coding	38
		2.3.4.2	Temporal Coding	39
		2.3.4.3	Population Coding	39
	2.3.5	Learnin	g Methods	41
		2.3.5.1	Unsupervised Learning	41
		2.3.5.2	Supervised Learning	44
	2.3.6	Related	Studies on Spiking Neurons in	48
		Neural	Networks	
2.4	Issues	in Surfac	ce Reconstruction	51
2.5	Surfac	e Recons	struction Process	52

		2.5.1	Related Studies on Mathematical Approach	53
			for Surface Reconstruction	
		2.5.2	Related Studies on Artificial Intelligence	56
			(AI) Approach for Surface Reconstruction	
	2.6	Resear	rch Direction	59
	2.7	Chapte	er Summary	60
3	RESI	EARCH	I METHODOLOGY	61
	3.1	Introd	uction	61
	3.2	Resear	rch Framework	61
		3.2.1	Problem Definition	63
		3.2.2	Datasets	64
		3.2.3	Neural Coding Scheme for Data	66
			Representation	
		3.2.4	Enhanced spiking 3D Growing Self-	67
			Organising Maps (GSOM) for Surface	
			Reconstruction Problem	
	3.3	Result	s and Analysis	68
		3.3.1	Classification Accuracy	68
		3.3.2	Quantization Error	69
	3.4	Chapte	er Summary	69
4	THE USIN	SPIKI IG NEU	NG SELF-ORGANISING MAPS (SOM) JRAL CODING SCHEME	70
	4.1	Introd	uction	70
	4.2	Spikin	ng Neurons in Self-Organising Maps (SOM)	70
		Learni	ing Algorithm	
	4.3	Phase	1: Data Pre-processing	72
		4.3.1	Data Representation into Spike Times	72
			4.3.1.1 1D Coding	73
			4.3.1.2 Gaussian Receptive Fields (GRF)	76
	4.4	Phase	2 – Spiking SOM Learning	80
		4.4.1	Initialisation of SOM Parameters	81
		4.4.2	Initialisation of Spiking Weights	82

	4.4.3 BMU Identification	84
	4.4.4 Weights Update and Final SOM Weig	ghts 86
	Map	
4.5	Phase 3: Classification by Spiking SOM	88
4.6	Phase 4: Results and Analysis	89
	4.6.1 Data Representation into Spike Times	89
	using 1D Coding	
	4.6.2 Data Representation into Spike Times	95
	using GRF	
	4.6.3 Classification Outcomes	100
4.7	Chapter Summary	103
THE MAI REC	E SPIKING 3D GROWING SELF-ORGANISI P (GSOM) FOR SURFACE CONSTRUCTION	NG 104
5.1	Introduction	104
5.2	The Proposed Framework	105
5.3	Data Collection	105
5.4	Surface Reconstruction Process	107
5.5	Training of the Spiking SOM using 3D Growing	g 108
	Grid	
	5.5.1 Data Pre-processing	108
	5.5.2 Initialisation of Spiking Weights	109
	5.5.3 Winning Node Identification	109
	5.5.4 3D Growing Grid	110
5.6	Experimental Setup	114
	5.6.1 Individual Model Setup	115
5.7	Results and Discussion	117
	5.7.1 Experimental Results for Bunny Dataset	ts 118
	5.7.2 Experimental Results for Face Datasets	120
	5.7.3 Experimental Results for Melon Dataset	is 123
	5.7.4 Experimental Results for Ship Hull	126
	Datasets	
	5.7.5 Experimental Results for Teapot Datase	ts 129

5

132
137
K 138
138

- 6.2Summary of the Research1386.3Research Contributions1406.4Future Research142
  - 6.5Closing Note143

# REFERENCES

6

		144

Appendices A - E		168-226
------------------	--	---------

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The outline of research issues and objectives of the	7
2.1	research	
2.1	Summary of previous studies on SOM for data analysis	21
2.2	The XOR dataset (McKennoch et al., 2006)	46
2.3	The Fisher dataset (McKennoch et al., 2006)	47
2.4	Summary of previous studies on SNN in various	49
	domains	
2.5	Summary of previous studies on surface reconstruction	54
	using the mathematical approach	
2.6	Summary of previous studies on surface reconstruction	56
	using the AI approach	
3.1	Universal datasets and description for classification	64
	problems	
3.2	Datasets for surface reconstruction dataset	66
4.1	Instances of Standard Iris datasets	73
4.2	Minimum and maximum values for the Iris dataset	74
4.3	Data representation by 1D coding for iris data 1	75
4.4	Data representation by 1D coding for iris data 2	75
4.5	Data representation by 1D coding for iris data 3	76
4.6	Data representation by GRF for iris data 1	78
4.7	Data representation by GRF for iris data 2	78
4.8	Data representation by GRF for iris data 3	79
4.9	Identification of firing node by GRF for Iris dataset –	79
	Data 1	

4.10	SOM setup for training	81
4.11	20 x 20 map structure	82
4.12	Mod value for each dimension	83
4.13	Initial weight map structure from SOM mapping	83
	dimension	
4.14	Calculation of weight values of iris dataset based on	83
	Equation 4.5	
4.15	Initial weight map (derived from Table 4.14)	83
4.16	SOM setup for testing	88
4.17	Classification results for universal datasets	101
5.1	The close object datasets	106
5.2	The first 40 input data for teapot dataset	106
5.3	Example of actual input data	108
5.4	Spike time values for Table 5.5	108
5.5	Initial spiking weight values	109
5.6	PSP values	109
5.7	Initial SOM Setup for Spiking 3D GSOM	115
5.8	Specific Experimental Setup for Models 1 - 4	115
5.9	Summary of results for 10000 iterations	134
5.10	Summary of results for 30000 iterations	134
5.11	Summary of results for 50000 iterations	135
5.12	Final map size for 10000 iterations	136
5.13	Final map size for 30000 iterations	136
5.14	Final map size for 50000 iterations	136

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
NO.		
2.1	Gaussian Graph Function	12
2.2	Neurons initialisation	17
2.3	Initialise the position of neurons	17
2.4	Initialisation of weights for each sample point	18
2.5	Win counter value for each neuron	18
2.6	Chosen sample	18
2.7	Best Matching Unit (BMU)	19
2.8	Boundary neuron	19
2.9	Boundary neuron detected	19
2.10	Undetected boundary neuron	20
2.11	Update position if boundary neuron is undetected	20
2.12	Update position if boundary neuron is detected	20
2.13	The biological neuron (Maass and Bishop, 1999)	28
2.14	Spike Firing (Maass and Bishop, 1999)	30
2.15	Excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) and inhibitory	31
	postsynaptic potential (IPSP) of a biological neuron	
	(Maass, 1998)	
2.16	Neurons in layer $J$ (postsynaptic) receive connections	31
	from neurons $\Gamma_j$ (presynaptic) in layer <i>I</i>	
2.17	Hodgkin-Huxley model representation (Wysoski, 2008)	33
2.18	Integrate-and-fire neuron (Wysoski, 2008)	34

2.19	Typical behaviour of a leaky-integrate-and-fire neuron	35
	(rise and decay terms)	
2.20	The Spike Response Model (SRM)	37
2.21	Spike Response Model $SRM_0$ (Gerstner and Kistler,	38
	2002)	
2.22	Encoding with Gaussian Receptive Fields (Meftah,	40
	2010)	
2.23	Weight changes during spike firing (Dan & Poo, 2004)	42
2.24	The exponential learning window (Gerstner & Kistler,	43
	2002)	
2.25	The learning rule (Meftah et al., 2010)	44
2.26	Surface reconstruction process	53
3.1	Research Framework	62
3.2	Problem Definition Formulation	63
4.1	Proposed Spiking SOM model	71
4.2	GRF Firing Neurons for real data 1 (5.1, 3.5, 1.4, 0.2)	80
4.3	Proposed Spiking SOM architecture	81
4.4	An example of weight map for the iris dataset	86
4.5	Gaussion Function	87
4.6	The Proposed Spiking SOM algorithm	87
4.7	Actual data - Cancer	90
4.8	Spike time by 1D Coding for training - Cancer	90
4.9	Actual data - Glass	91
4.10	Spike time by 1D Coding for training - Glass	91
4.11	Actual data - Iris	92
4.12	Spike time by 1D Coding for training - Iris	92
4.13	Actual data - Letter	93
4.14	Spike time by 1D Coding for training – Letter	93
4.15	Actual data - Pendigit	94
4.16	Spike time by 1D Coding for training – Pendigit	94
4.17	Actual data - Cancer	95
4.18	Spike time by GRF for training - Cancer	95
4.19	Actual data - Glass	96

Spike time by GRF for training - Glass	96
Actual data - Iris	97
Spike time by GRF for training - Iris	97
Actual data - Letter	98
Spike time by GRF for training – Letter	98
Actual data - Pendigit	99
Spike time by GRF for training – Pendigit	99
Final weight map for BMU after training – Cancer	100
The ROC Graph	102
The proposed framework for Spiking 3D GSOM	105
Surface reconstruction process	107
Maximum value for <i>Win<sub>c</sub></i>	110
Addition of new grid X to the map	111
Addition of new grid Y to the map	112
Addition of new grid Z to the map	113
Proposed Spiking 3D GSOM Algorithm	114
$E_Q$ for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 10000)	118
Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number	118
of Iteration = 10000)	
$E_Q$ for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 30000)	119
Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number	119
of Iteration = 30000)	
$E_Q$ for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 50000)	120
Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number	120
of Iteration = 50000)	
$E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 10000)	121
Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of	121
Iteration = 10000)	
$E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000)	122
Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of	122
Iteration = 30000)	
$E_o$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 50000)	123
	Spike time by GRF for training - Glass Actual data - Iris Spike time by GRF for training - Iris Actual data - Letter Spike time by GRF for training - Letter Actual data - Pendigit Spike time by GRF for training - Pendigit Final weight map for BMU after training - Cancer The ROC Graph The proposed framework for Spiking 3D GSOM Surface reconstruction process Maximum value for $Win_c$ Addition of new grid X to the map Addition of new grid Z to the map Addition of new grid Z to the map Addition of new grid Z to the map Proposed Spiking 3D GSOM Algorithm $E_Q$ for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 10000) Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 10000) $E_Q$ for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 30000) $E_Q$ for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 50000) Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 50000) $E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 10000) Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 50000) $E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 10000) Final surface reconstruction results for Bunny (Number of Iteration = 10000) $E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 10000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 10000) $E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 10000) $E_Q$ for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Eog for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Eog for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Eog for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000) Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of Iteration = 30000)

5.19	Final surface reconstruction results for Face (Number of	123
	Iteration $= 50000$ )	
5.20	$E_Q$ for Melon (Number of Iteration = 10000)	124
5.21	Final surface reconstruction results for Melon (Number	124
	of Iteration = 10000)	
5.22	$E_Q$ for Melon (Number of Iteration = 30000)	125
5.23	Final surface reconstruction results for Melon (Number of Iteration = 30000)	125
5.24	$E_Q$ for Melon (Number of Iteration = 50000)	126
5.25	Final surface reconstruction results for Melon (Number	126
	of Iteration = 50000)	
5.26	$E_Q$ for Ship Hull (Number of Iteration = 10000)	127
5.27	Final surface reconstruction results for Ship Hull	127
	(Number of Iteration = 10000)	
5.28	$E_Q$ for Ship Hull (Number of Iteration = 30000)	128
5.29	Final surface reconstruction results for Ship Hull	128
	(Number of Iteration = 30000)	
5.30	$E_Q$ for Ship Hull (Number of Iteration = 50000)	129
5.31	Final surface reconstruction results for Ship Hull	129
	(Number of Iteration = 50000)	
5.32	$E_Q$ for Teapot (Number of Iteration = 10000)	130
5.33	Final surface reconstruction results for Teapot (Number	130
	of Iteration = 10000)	
5.34	$E_Q$ for Teapot (Number of Iteration = 30000)	131
5.35	Final surface reconstruction results for Teapot (Number	131
	of Iteration = 30000)	
5.36	$E_Q$ for Teapot (Number of Iteration = 50000)	132
5.37	Final surface reconstruction results for Teapot (Number	132
	of Iteration = 50000)	
5.38	Final $E_Q$ generated by all models	133
6.1	Problem overview and solution	140

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	-	One Dimensional
2D	-	Two Dimensional
3D	-	Three Dimensional
Acc	-	Accuracy
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
AIS	-	Artificial Immune System
ASRA	-	Approximate Surface Reconstruction Algorithm
BCM	-	Bienenstock-Cooper-Munro
BMU	-	Best Matching Unit
CAD/CAM	-	Computer Aided Design / Manufacturing
CCHL	-	Competitive Connection Hebbian Learning
CKSOM	-	Cube Kohonen's Self-Organizing Maps
COIL20	-	Columbia Object Image Library of 20 objects
CPU	-	Central Processing Unit
CP-ANN	-	Counterpropagation Artificial Neural Networks
CSA	-	Clonal Selection Algorithm
СТ	-	Computed Tomography
EEG	-	Electroencephalogram
EPSP	-	Excitatory Postsynaptic Potential
ESOM	-	Extended Self-Organizing Maps
FILT	-	Filtered error signal
FNR	-	False Negative Rate
FPR	-	False Positive Rate
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GCS	-	Growing Cell Structures

GHSOM	-	Growing Hierarchical Self-Organizing Maps
GNG	-	Growing Neural Gas
GPA	-	Granulomatosis with Polyangiitis
GPU	-	Graphics Processing Unit
GRF	-	Gaussian Receptive Fields
GSOM	-	Growing Self-Organizing Maps
GSOSM	-	Growing Self Organizing Surface Map
HOAAI	-	Hybrid Optimization Algorithm and An Iterative scheme
HRBF	-	Hermite Radial Basis Functions
ICP	-	Iterate Closest Point
IGA	-	Immune Genetic Algorithm
INST	-	Instantaneous error signal
IP	-	Iterated Power
IPSP	-	Inhibitory Postsynaptic Potential
JHC	-	Jacoby, Hunter and Christian
LLE	-	Locally Linear Embedding
LTD	-	Long Term Depression
LTP	-	Long Term Potentiation
LVQ	-	Learning Vector Quantization
MDS	-	Multidimensional Scaling
MLP	-	Multi-Layer Perceptron
MRI	-	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NURBS	-	Non-uniform Rational Basis Spline
PCA	-	Principal Component Analysis
PolSOM	-	Polar Self-Organizing Maps
PPoSOM	-	Probabilistic Polar Self-Organizing Maps
PSO	-	Partcle Swarm Optimization
PSP	-	Postsynaptic Potential
Pre	-	Precision
RBF	-	Radial Basis Function
Rec	-	Recall
RC	-	Resistor-Capacitor
ReDSOM	-	Relative Density Self-Organizing Maps

RGB	-	Red, Green and Blue
ROC	-	Receiver Operating Characteristic curve
SAP	-	Spike After Potential
SCD	-	Synthetical Cluster Density
SCOP	-	Structural Classification Of Proteins
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SNN	-	Spiking Neural Network
SOM	-	Self-Organizing Maps
SOM-AC	-	Self-organizing Map with modified Adaptive
		Coordinates
SRM	-	Spike Response Model
STDP	-	Spike Time Dependent Synaptic Plasticity
STSP	-	Symmetrical Traveling Salesperson Problem
SVD	-	Singular Value Decomposition
SVR	-	Support Vector Regression
SWAT	-	Synaptic Weight Association Training
S-SOM	-	Symbolic Self-Organizing Maps
TAIEX	-	Taiwan Weighted Stock Index
TNR	-	True Negative Rate
TPR	-	True Positive Rate
TSEC	-	Taiwan Stock Exchange Corporation
TTOSOM	-	Tree-based Topology-Oriented Self-Organizing Maps
UCI	-	University of California, Irvine
URL	-	Uniform Resource Locator
ViSOM.	-	Visualization Induced Self-Organizing Maps
VLSI	-	Very Large Scale Integration
WeVoS	-	Weighted Voting Superposition
WeVoS-ViSOM	-	Weighted Voting Superposition - Visualization Induced
		Self-Organizing Maps
WR	-	Weight Recombination
WR PSOM	-	Weight Recombination with Parallel Self-Organizing
		Maps

# LIST OF APPENDICES

# APPENDIX

# TITLE

# PAGE

A-1a	Real Training Data – Cancer (20 first data)	169
A-1b	Real Training Data – Glass (20 first data)	170
A-1c	Real Training Data – Iris (20 first data)	171
A-1d	Real Training Data – Letter (20 first data)	172
A-1e	Real Training Data – Pendigit (20 first data)	173
A-2a	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Training –	174
	Cancer (20 first data)	
A-2b	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Training –	175
	Glass (20 first data)	
A-2c	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Training – Iris	176
	(20 first data)	
A-2d	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Training –	177
	Letter (20 first data)	
A-2e	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Training –	178
	Pendigit (20 first data)	
A-3a	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	179
	Training – Cancer (20 first data)	
A-3b	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	180
	Training – Glass (20 first data)	
A-3c	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	181
	Training – Iris (20 first data)	
A-3d	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	182
	Training – Letter (20 first data)	

A-3e	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	183
	Training – Pendigit (20 first data)	
B-1a	Real Testing Data – Cancer (20 first data)	185
B-1b	Real Testing Data – Glass (20 first data)	186
B-1c	Real Testing Data – Iris (20 first data)	187
B-1d	Real Testing Data – Letter (20 first data)	188
B-1e	Real Testing Data – Pendigit (20 first data)	189
B-2a	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Testing –	190
	Cancer (20 first data)	
B-2b	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Testing – Glass	191
	(20 first data)	
B-2c	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Testing – Iris	192
	(20 first data)	
B-2d	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Testing – Letter	193
	(20 first data)	
B-2e	Spike Time Values using 1D Coding for Testing –	194
	Pendigit (20 first data)	
B-3a	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	195
	Testing – Cancer (20 first data)	
B-3b	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	196
	Testing – Glass (20 first data)	
B-3c	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	197
	Testing – Iris (20 first data)	
B-3d	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	198
	Testing – Letter (20 first data)	
B-3e	Spike Time Values using Gaussian Receptive Fields for	199
	Testing – Pendigit (20 first data)	
C-1	Final weight map for BMU after training – Glass	205
C-2	Final weight map for BMU after training – Iris	205
C-3	Final weight map for BMU after training – Letter	206
C-4	Final weight map for BMU after training - Pendigit	206
D-1	Bunny dataset	212
D-2	Face dataset	213

# xxiii

D-3	Melon dataset	214
D-4	Ship hull dataset	215
D-5	Teapot dataset	216
E-1	Results for Surface Reconstruction (10000 iteration) -	217
	Bunny	
E-2	Results for Surface Reconstruction (10000 iteration) –	218
	Face	
E-3	Results for Surface Reconstruction (10000 iteration) -	219
	Melon	
E-4	Results for Surface Reconstruction (10000 iteration) –	220
	Ship Hull	
E-5	Results for Surface Reconstruction (10000 iteration) -	221
	Teapot	
E-6	Results for Surface Reconstruction (30000 iteration) -	222
	Bunny	
E-7	Results for Surface Reconstruction (30000 iteration) –	223
	Face	
E-8	Results for Surface Reconstruction (30000 iteration) –	224
	Melon	
E-9	Results for Surface Reconstruction (30000 iteration) –	225
	Ship Hull	
E-10	Results for Surface Reconstruction (30000 iteration) -	226
	Teapot	

## **CHAPTER 1**

## INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

The self-organising map (SOM) refers to a data visualisation technique initiated by Professor Teuvo Kohonen (Kohonen, 1995). This technique minimises the dimensions of data through the use of self-organising neural networks. SOM has often been used in the exploratory stage of data analysis, in addition to applications in numerous fields (Corchado, & Baruque, 2012; Shieh, & Liao, 2012; Chaudhary *et al.*, 2015; Ahmad, & Kim, 2015; Natita *et al.*, 2016; Haimoudi *et al.*, 2016; Kuo, & Chen, 2016).

The conventional SOM network is composed of two phases; training and testing. SOM learning occurs during the training phase, while the process of identifying the output occurs during the testing phase. The training samples are generated by transforming the input data into normalised values. Next, the Best Matching Unit (BMU) is identified as the neuron that holds the minimum distance value to the normalised input features. All neurons within the neighbourhood of the BMU are updated so that their values are reflected in the input features. This is the process of SOM learning, wherein the mapping topology is preserved to train the weights to simulate the actual features of the datasets.

Several major issues in the SOM learning algorithm may eventually lead to the failure of identifying of BMU (Mariette, & Villa-Vialaneix, 2016). The first issue refers to the pre-processing of input data for training. Missing important knowledge during data pre-processing can affect the performance of the SOM model. Next, the second issue is misrepresenting the datasets that could unable the identification of potential BMU, and therefore, produce poor mapping topology. Since SOM incorporates an iterative process, the learning process is lengthened and may generate irrelevant outputs (Haimoudi *et al.*, 2016). Thus, in order to ensure the correct interpretation of data, quality measurement is required for the map topology. According to Hamel (2016), quality measurement should cover two aspects: 1) mapping in the input data, and 2) the topological quality of the map. So far, most studies have only covered one aspect; either the input data or the topological structure of the map. This seems to reduce the accuracy of the SOM output.

In order to address these issues, enhancement to the SOM learning algorithm has been proposed in many prior studies. Many researchers have improved the SOM model by modifying the SOM map structures, such as lattice and map dimensions, to preserve the input data in the map topology (Yusob, 2009; Hasan, & Shamsuddin, 2011; Kim, & Ahmad, 2015). Apart from that, improvements were made in SOM learning parameters itself, including initialisation of weight values, learning rate, and neighbourhood function (Fidae *et al.*, 2015; Natita *et al.*, 2016). Some studies have integrated other techniques, such as statistical and intelligent approaches as solutions to deal with issues linked with SOM, for example, Particle Swarm Optimisation (Hasan, & Shamsuddin, 2011), Principle Component Analysis (Haimoudi *et al.*, 2016), neural gas (Moazzen, & Tasdemir, 2016; Vergara *et al.*, 2016), and Support Vector Machine (Mudali *et al.*, 2016).

These techniques have successfully enhanced the learning capabilities of SOM in vast domain problems. Nevertheless, its operation is time consuming, especially in identifying BMU and map training to generate weight values that represent the real input data. For this reason, a new approach has to be devised by incorporating the advancement in artificial neural network (ANN). Mass (1997) divided ANN into three generations. The first generation is perception, which is used for digital computation. Next, the second generation is based on continuous activation function, for instance, backpropagation and SOM. Lastly, the third generation is spiking neural network (SNN), which is biologically similar to neurons and incorporates spatial information in communication and computation, the actual neuron alike (Ferster, 1995). It also applies pulse coding (spike), wherein neurons

receive and send individual pulses (Gerstner, *et al.*, 1999) as the communication method. Due to these characteristics, SNN can be considered as a potential tool for data analysis models (Ponulak, & Kasinski, 2011), apart from being adapted in existing tools (e.g. SOM) to achieve better performance with less intricacy (Sen *et al.*, 2017). The following section describes the application of SNN as a potential solution to address the issues discussed above.

### **1.2** Problem Background

Only a handful studies have implemented spiking neurons with other neural network models (Bohte *et al.*, 2003; Bohte, 2011; Long, 2011; Ming *et al.*, 2011; Handrich, 2011; Qu *et al.*, 2015; Tavanaei, & Maida, 2015; Gardner, & Gruning, 2016). As such, it is a challenge to extend the spike-based coding to computations due to the lengthy time-scale (Bohte, 2011). At present, SNN is still unclear and the related studies pertaining to natural temporal abilities are insufficient (Li *et al.*, 2017). Most of the prior studies focused on proving SNN as an alternative to conventional models without showing them practically, but instead theoretically via mathematical approach that is not only complicated, but also difficult to interpret (Qu *et al.*, 2015). Besides, no study has evaluated the performance of integration methods in terms of neural coding schemes. In order to investigate the application of spiking neurons in neural network learning, a prior review is presented in the following, particularly concerning the integration of spiking neurons in one of the most popular neural network data analyses; Kohonen's SOMs.

Ruf and Schmitt (1997) displayed how networks of spiking neurons could be used to implement a variation of SOMs in temporal coding. They implemented a variation of Kohonen's learning rule for SOMs within the context of SNNs, in which extremely promising outputs were attained. The typical self-organisation of topology-preserving behaviour was observed for a wide range of parameters. The proposed model was capable of rapidly determining the winner among the locally competing neurons by using lateral excitation and inhibition. Ruf and Schmitt (1998) proposed a mechanism for unsupervised learning in networks of spiking neurons, which was based on the timing of single firing events. This approach offers the basis for fast implementations in pulse very large-scale integration (VLSI).

Pham *et al.*, (2007) proposed a self-organising delay adaptation SNN model to cluster control chart patterns. Similar to Kohonen, they employed the SOM, except that the output layer neurons detected the spiking neurons with temporal coding SNN and Hebbian-based rule. The trained network obtained an average clustering accuracy of 96.1% for previously unseen data, which seemed better than that of the original Kohonen.

Ming *et al.*, (2011) suggested a hybrid model of SOM with modified adaptive coordinates (SOM-AC) and SNN for multivariate spatial and temporal data visualisation and classification. They used the Izhikevich model and the one-dimensional (1D) encoding, which was used by Belatreche *et al.*, (2006) for neural coding method. Empirical studies of the proposed model using synthetic and benchmarking datasets yielded promising classification accuracy and intuitive rich visualisation, which can be used not only for spatial data, but also for temporal data.

## **1.3 Problem Statement**

Pre-processing of the input data from datasets for training and BMU identification are important features in SOM learning. Nonetheless, they appear to be challenging for researchers as most real problems are comprised of complex and insufficient data (Haimoudi *et al.*, 2016). Misinterpretation of training samples could hinder BMU identification, which may affect the outcomes generated by the SOM model. In SNN, the neural coding scheme is typically used to encode information from real data into spike times, which are present in more reliable forms in neuron simulation. Some recent studies have suggested SNN as a potential alternative solution to enhance learning due to its superiority in capturing the internal

relationship of the neurons. However, they have yet to be practically proven against real world problems (Qu *et al.*, 2015).

As such, this study focused on embedding spiking neurons in SOM learning for data exploration and analyses, so as to identify patterns and correlations between input data. Hence, the primary research question for this study is as follows:

Can spiking SOM optimise the SOM learning process for better representation of the data in mapping and topology of the input neurons?

This leads to the following secondary research questions:

- *i) How can the spiking neurons be integrated with SOM learning parameters to enhance its performance?*
- *ii)* How can the BMU of the spiking SOM model be optimised in the topology map?
- *iii)* How effective is the proposed Spiking SOM in comparison to other spiking models?

## 1.4 Aim of the Research

This research proposes a spiking neural model to optimise the BMU of SOM learning by preserving the map topology for better data representation.

## **1.5** Objectives of the Research

In order to achieve the aim of the study, the following objectives have been outlined:

i) To propose integrated spiking neurons in Kohonen's SOM learning algorithm.

- ii) To optimise the spiking SOM model based on the BMU.
- iii) To investigate the effectiveness of the proposed spiking SOM in comparison to other spiking models.
- iv) To develop and to integrate the proposed spiking SOM in surface reconstruction.

### **1.6** Scope of the Research

- The proposed algorithm was tested on several standard datasets (UCI datasets).
- ii) Two neural coding schemes were used in preparing the input features for the spiking SOM:
  - a) Gaussian Receptive Fields, and
  - b) 1D Coding.
- iii) Evaluation of the proposed method was based on the performance comparison with other spiking models and conventional SOM models.

## **1.7** Significance of the Research

This study proposes the integration of spiking neurons in SOM learning algorithm for the data analysis process. The application of SNNs to enhance the performance of SOM in analysing and identifying special features in the datasets appear to be relevant in this study due to its ability to spike and to send reaction special features identified based on its learning of the presented datasets. The study outcomes contribute to the enhancement of SOM in learning the patterns of the datasets, as well as to propose an improvised tool for data analysis.

## 1.8 Research Methodology

Table 1.1 presents the summary of the elaborated approach in formulating the research methodology. Initially, the research issues were identified and a solution for each issue was proposed. The solutions were driven by the objectives specified in Section 1.5 of this chapter.

Issues	Objective	Solution in
		Chapter
Pre-processing of	To propose an integration of spiking	4
input data for SOM	neurons in Kohonen's SOM learning	
training (Haimoudi	algorithm.	
<i>et al.</i> , 2016)		
Identification of	To optimise the spiking SOM model based	4
BMU (Mariette, &	on the BMU.	
Villa-Vialaneix,		
2016)		
Quality	To investigate the effectiveness of the	4
Measurement of	proposed spiking SOM with other spiking	
Map Preservation	models.	
(Hamel, 2016)		
Unorganised point	To develop and to integrate the proposed	5
cloud data (Forkan,	spiking SOM in surface reconstruction.	
2009)		

**Table 1.1**: The outline of research issues and the research objectives

This study was conducted in phases, as described in Chapter 3. The phases are as follows:

 Phase 1: Problem identification that focused on identifying the research problems by investigating issues related to Kohonen's SOM learning algorithm.

- Phase 2: Data collection process and preparation of the data for the experiment in order to evaluate the performance of the proposed solution.
- iii) Phase 3: Neural coding scheme for data representation process was implemented in the SOM model to prepare the datasets for the classification task. In this phase, the spiking SOM model was designed and developed.
- iv) Phase 4: The proposed solution was designed and developed for surface reconstruction problem by enhancing the spiking SOM using 3D map structure in the SOM algorithm with the growing grid mechanism. Concurrently, a few SOM models were proposed.
- v) Models designing and development were divided into three subphases. Every sub-phase consisted of model formulation, development, and evaluation. In model formulation, the design and the requirement for each model were defined. The model development refers to the process of constructing the models. In model evaluation and validation process, the models were trained and tested through experiments using selected datasets for surface reconstruction.
- vi) Phase 5: The experimental outcomes were analysed and are reported.

## **1.9** Organisation of the Thesis

This thesis is divided into 6 chapters. Chapter 1 introduces the overview of the study, the problem background, and the problem statement. Additionally, the aim and the objectives of the study are introduced based on the research questions. Finally, the process of conducting the study and its significances for the research are presented.

Chapter 2 presents the literature review of SOM learning algorithm, an overview of SNN, and several issues concerning the learning process for both neural networks. The chapter ends with a case study of surface reconstruction problems and the existing methods in dealing with the issues portrayed in the case study.

Chapter 3 includes the research methodology, the framework of the proposed study, and the details of each phase conducted in the study.

Chapter 4 explains in detail the data representation process using neural coding schemes and BMU identification adapted from SNN.

Chapter 5 describes the proposed model for the case study, i.e. spiking neurons in 3D-growing SOMs. The experimental results are also discussed in this chapter, including several reports from the analyses carried out. Finally, the conclusion and possible future works are described in Chapter 6.

### REFERENCES

- Abdelatif, E., Fidae, H. and Mohamed, E. (2014). Optimization of the Organized KOHONEN Map by a New Model of Preprocessing Phase and Application in Clustering. In: Journal of Emerging Technologies in Web Intelligence, Vol. 6, No. 1, February 2014. Academy Publisher. Pp. 80-84.
- Afantitis, A., Melagraki, G., Koutentis, P.A., Sarimveis, H., and Kollias, G. (2011).
  Ligand based virtual screening procedure for the prediction and the identification of novel b-amyloid aggregation inhibitors using Kohonen maps and Counterpropagation Artificial Neural Networks. In: *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 46. Elsevier. Pp. 497-508.
- Ahmad, R. and Kim, D.H. (2015). An Extended Self-Organizing Map based on 2-opt algorithm for solving symmetrical Traveling Salesperson Problem. In: *Neural Computing and Applications*: 26:987–994. DOI 10.1007/s00521-014-1773-z.
- Amenta, N., Choi, S. & Kolluri, R.K. (2001). The Power Crust. In: ACM Journal. Pp. 249-260.
- Andrews, D.F. (1972). Plots of High-Dimensional Data. In: *Biometrics*, pp. 69-97.
- Arnonkijpanich, B., and Lursinsap, C. (2004). Adaptive second order self-organizing mapping for 2D pattern representation. In: *IEEE-INNS IJCNN*, pp. 775-780.
- Arnonkijpanich, B., Hasenfuss, A., and Hammer, B. (2010). Local matrix learning in clustering and applications for manifold visualization. In: *Neural Networks*.
  23. Elsevier. Pp. 475-486.
- Arribas-Bel, D., Nijkamp, P., and Scholten, H. (2011). Multidimensional urban sprawl in Europe: A self-organizing map approach. In: *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*. 35. Elsevier. Pp. 263-275.
- Astudillo, C.A. and Oommen, B.J. (2011). Imposing tree-based topologies onto self organizing maps. In: *Information Sciences*. 181. Elsevier. Pp. 3798-3815.
- Bae, S.H., Choi, J.Y., Qiu, J. and Fox, G.C. 2010. Dimension Reduction and Visualization of Large High-Dimensional Data via Interpolation. In:

- Proceedings of the 19th ACM International Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computing (HPDC '10). June, 10. Pp. 203-214.
- Bako, L., Brassai, S.T., Szkely, I. and Baczo, M.A. (2008). Hardware implementation of delay-coded spiking-RBF neural network for unsupervised clustering. In: *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Optimization of Electrical and Electronic Equipment (OPTIM 2008).* pp. 51-56.
- Bansal, K.L. and Sood, S. (2011). Data Visualization A Tool of Data Mining. In: International Journal of Computer Science and Technology. IJCST Vol. 2, Issue 3. Pp. 197-198.
- Barhak, J. and Fischer, A. (2001). Adaptive Reconstruction of Freeform Objects with 3D SOM Neural Network Grids. In: *Proceedings of 9th Pacific Conference* on Computer Graphics and Applications, Tokyo, Japan. IEEE. pp. 97-105.
- Bartke , K. (2005). 2D, 3D and High-Dimensional Data and Information Visualization. In: Seminar on Data and Information Management (SS 05). University of Hannover.
- Basalaj, W. (2001). Multivariate Visualization Techniques. http://www.pavis.org/essay/multivariate\_visualization\_techniques.html.
- Bazarghan, M. (2012). Application of self-organizing map to stellar spectral classification. In: Astrophys Space Sci. 337. Springer. Pp. 93-98. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-011-0822-7.
- Belatreche, A., Maguire, L.P. and McGinnity, M. (2006). Advances in design and application of spiking neural networks. In: *Soft Computing*, 11. pp. 239-248.
- Belatreche, A. and Paul, R. (2012). Dynamic cluster formation using populations of spiking neurons. In: *The 2012 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. Brisbane. QLD, 2012. pp. 1-6. doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2012.6252532
- Benuskova, L., Kanich, M., and Krakovska, A. (2001). Piriform cortex model of EEG has random underlying dynamics. In: *Rattay, F. (ed), Proc. 1st World Congress on Neuroinformatics*, Vienna. Pp. 287-292.
- Berger, M., Levine, J.A., Nonato, L.G., Taubin, G. and Silva, C.T. (2013). A benchmark for surface reconstruction. In: ACM Transactions on Graphics, Vol. 32, No. 2, Article 20. April 2013.

- Berger, M., Tagliasacchi, A. and Seversky, L.M. (2014). State of the Art in Surface Reconstruction from Point Clouds. In: S. Lefebvre and M. Spagnuolo. *EUROGRAPHICS 2014*.
- Bergeron, R.D., Cody, W., Hibbard, W., Kao, D. T., Miceli, K.D., Treinish, L.A. and Walther, S. (1994). Database Issues for Data Visualization: Developing a Data Model. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Visualization '93 Workshop on Database Issues for Data Visualization*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol.871, Springer-Verlag, pp.3-15, 1994.
- Bergevin, R., Soucy, M., Gagnon, H. and Denis, L. (1996). Towards a General Multiview Registration Technique. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. Vol. 18(5). Pp. 540-547.
- Bialek, W., Rieke, F., Van Stevenick de Ruyter, R. and Warland, D. (1991). Reading a neural code. In: *Science*, vol. 252, pp. 1854-1854.
- Bohte, S.M. (2004). The evidence for neural information processing with precise spike-times: A survey. In: *Natural Computing*, vol. 3, pp. 195-206.
- Bohte, S.M. (2011). Error-Backpropagation in Networks of Fractionally Predictive Spiking Neurons. In: *T. Honkela et al. (Eds.): ICANN 2011, Part I, LNCS* 6791. pp. 60–68.
- Bohte, S.M., La Poutre, H. and Kok, J.N. (2002)a. Unsupervised clustering with spiking neurons by sparse temporal coding and multilayer RBF networks. In: *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 13, pp. 426-435.
- Bohte, S.M., Kok, J.N. and La Putre, H. (2002)b. Error-backpropagation in temporally encoded networks of spiking neurons, In: *Neurocomputing*, vol. 48, pp. 17-37.
- Booij, O. and Tat Nguyen, H. (2005). A gradient descent rule for spiking neurons emitting multiple spikes. In: *Information Processing Letters*, vol. 95, pp. 552-558.
- Bottou, L., and Bengio, Y. (1995). Convergence properties of the k-means algorithm. In: *G. Tesauro, D. S. Touret, zky, and T. K. Leen (Eds.)*, NIPS 1994. MIT. pp. 585-592.
- Breseler, Y., Fessler, J.A. and Macovski, A. (1989). A Bayesian Approach toReconstruction from Incomplete Projections of a Multiple Object 3D Domain.In: *IEEE Trans. Pat. Anal. Mach.* Intell. 7(4). August 1989. Pp. 840-858.

- Brinkley, J.F. (1985). Knowledge-Driven Ultrasonic Three-Dimensional Organ Modeling. In: *IEEE Trans. Pat. Anal. Mach.* Intell. 7(4). July 1985. Pp. 431-441.
- Cabanes, G., Bennani, Y., and Fresneau, D. (2012). Enriched topological learning for cluster detection and visualization. In: *Neural Networks*. 2012 Special Issue. Elsevier. DOI: 10.1016/j.neunet.2012.02.019.
- Carlis, J. and Konstan, J. (1998). Interactive Visualisation of Serial Periodic Data. In: Proceedings of UIST'98.
- Chang, M.C., Leymarie, F.F. and Kimia, B.B. (2009). Surface reconstruction from point clouds by transforming the medial scaffold. In: *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 113 (2009). Pp. 1130–1146.
- Chattopadhyay, M., Dan., P.K., and Mazumdar, S. (2012). Application of visual clustering properties of self-organizing map in machine-part cell formation. In: *Applied Soft Computing*. 12. Elsevier. Pp. 600-610.
- Chaudhary, V., Bhatia, R.S. and Ahlawat, A.K. (2014). A novel Self-Organizing Map (SOM) learning algorithm with nearest and farthest neurons. In: *Alexandria Engineering Journal (2014) 53*. Pp. 827–831
- Chaudhary, V., Bhatia, R.S. and Ahlawat, A.K. (2015). A Constant Learning Rate Self-Organizing Map (CLRSOM) Learning Algorithm. In: *Journal Of Information Science And Engineering*. 31, 387-397 (2015).
- Chen, Y. and Medioni, G. (1992). Object Modeling by Registration of Multiple Range Images. In: *Image and Vision Computing*. Vol. 10(3). Pp. 145-155.
- Chen, M.Y. (2012). Visualization and dynamic evaluation model for corporate financial structure with self-organizing map and support vector regression. In: *Applied Soft Computing*. DOI: 10.1016/j.asoc.2012.03.046.
- Cheng, K.S.D., Wang, W., Qin, H. Wong, K.Y.K., Yang, H. and Liu, Y. (2004). Fitting Subdivision Surfaces to Unorganized Point Data usin SDM. In: *Proceedings of 12th Pacific Conference on Computer Graphics and Application*. Pp. 16-24.
- Chon, T.S. (2011). Self-organizing maps applied to ecological sciences. In: *Ecological Informatics*. 6. Elsevier. Pp. 50-61.
- Connor, J. A. and Stevens, C. F. (1971). Prediction of repetitive firing behaviours from voltage clamp data on an isolated neurone somaIn: *Journal of Physiology*. 213 (1). Pp. 31-53.

- Corchado, E. and Baruque, B. (2012). WeVOS-ViSOM: An ensemble summarization algorithm for enhance data visualization. In: *Neurocomputing*. 75. Elsevier. Pp. 171-184.
- Corona, F., Mulas, M., Baratti, R., and Romagnoli, J. (2009). On the topological analysis of industrial process data using the SOM. In: *De Brito Alves, R.M., do Nascimento, C.A.O., and Biscaia Jr., E.C. (Eds). Proceeding of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Process Systems Engineering (PSE2009).* Elsevier. Pp. 1173-1178.
- Corona, F., Mulas, M., Baratti, R., and Romagnoli, J.A. (2010). On the topological modeling and analysis of industrial process data using the SOM. In: *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. 34. Elsevier. Pp. 2022-2032.
- Cottrell M., Olteanu M., Rossi F., Villa-Vialaneix N. (2016) Theoretical and Applied Aspects of the Self-Organizing Maps. In: Merényi E., Mendenhall M., O'Driscoll P. (eds) Advances in Self-Organizing Maps and Learning Vector Quantization. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 428. Springer, Cham.
- DalleMole, V.L. and Araujo, A.F.R. (2008). The Growing Self-Organizing Surface Map: Improvements. In: 10th Brazilian Symposium on Neural Networks. 26-30 Oct 2008. Salvador. IEEE. Pp. 183-188.
- Dan, Y. and Poo, M. (2004). Spike Timing-Dependent Plasticity of Neural Circuits. In: *Neuron*, vol. 44, pp. 23-30, 9/30.
- De Oliveira, M. C. F. and Levkowitz, H. (2003). From Visual Data Exploration to Visual Data Mining: A Survey. In: *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol.9, no.3, pp.378-394.
- Delgado, S., Gonzalo, C., Martinez, E., and Arquero, A. (2011). A combined measure for quantifying and qualifying the topology preservation of growing self-organizing maps. In: *Neurocomputing*. 74. Elsevier. Pp. 2624-2632.
- Delorme, A., Gautrais, J., Van Rullen, R. and Thorpe, S. (1999). SpikeNET: A simulator for modeling large networks of integrate and fire neurons. In: *Neurocomputing*, vol. 26---27, pp. 989-996.
- Dibazar, A.A., George, S. and Berger, T. W. Statistical spiking model for real world pattern recognition applications. In: *The 2010 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. Barcelona. Pp. 1-4. doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2010.5596773

- Doan, N.Q., Azzag, H. and Lebbah, M. (2013). Growing self-organizing trees for autonomous hierarchical clustering. In: Neural Networks 41 (2013). Pp. 85– 95.
- Dong, B. and Shen, Z. (2011). Wavelet frame based surface reconstruction from unorganized points. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 230 (2011). Elsevier. Pp. 8247–8255.
- Dragomir, O.E., Dragomir, F. and Radulescu, M. (2014). Matlab Application of Kohonen Self- Organizing Map to Classify Consumers' Load Profiles. In: *Procedia Computer Science 31* (2014). Pp. 474 – 479.
- Eggermont, J.J. (1998). Is There a Neural Code? In: *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, vol. 22, pp. 355-370, 3.
- Escolano, S.O. (2013). A three-dimensional representation method for noisy point clouds based on growing self-organizing maps accelerated on GPUs. PhD Thesis. University of Alicante.
- Escolano, S.O., Rogriguez, J.C., Morell, V., Cazorla, M., Perez, J.A.S. and Garcia,
  A. (2015). 3D Surface Reconstruction of Noisy Point Clouds Using Growing
  Neural Gas: 3D Object/Scene Reconstruction. In: *Neural Process Lett*.
  Springer. DOI: 10.1007/s11063-015-9421-x
- Forkan, F. (2009). Algoritma Rangkaian Kohonen-Swarm Bagi Penjanaan Permukaan. Master's Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Faro, A., Giordano, D., and maiorana, F. (2011). Mining massive datasets by an unsupervised parallel clustering on a GRID: Novel algorithms and case study.
  In: *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 27. Elsevier. Pp. 711-724.
- Ferster, D. and Spruston, N. (1995). Cracking the neuronal code. In: *Science*. vol 270, pp 756-757.
- Fessant, F., Aknin, P., Oukhellou, L., dan Midenet, S. (2001). Comparison of Supervised Self-Organizing Maps Using Euclidian or Mahalanobis Distance in Classification Context. *In: J. Mira and A. Prieto (Eds.). IWANN 2001, LNCS 2084.* Springler-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. Pp. 637-644.
- Fidae, H., Zakariae, E.N., Abdelatif, E.S. and Mohamed, E. (2015). Preprocessing phase for initializing the PRSOM architecture. In: 2015 Intelligent Systems and Computer Vision (ISCV). IEEE. Pp. 1-4. DOI: 10.1109/ISACV.2015.7106190.

- FitzHugh R. (1961). Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane. In: *Biophysical Journal*. 1 (1961) 445-466.
- Fisher, D., Popov, I., Drucker, S.M. and Schraefel, M.C. 2012. Trust Me, I'm Partially Right: Incremental Visualization Lets Analysts Explore Large Datasets Faster. In: *CHI 2012*. May 5–10, 2012, Austin, Texas, USA. Pp. 1673-1682.
- Fogal, T., Childs, H., Shankar, S., Krüger, J., Bergeron, R.D. and Hatcher, P. 2010. Large Data Visualization on Distributed Memory Multi-GPU Clusters. In: Doggett, M., Laine, S. and Hunt, W. (Editors). *High Performance Graphics* (2010). Pp. 57-66.
- Frangi, A.F., Niessen, W.J. and Viergever, M.A. (2001). Three-dimensional Modeling for Functional Analysis Of Cardiac Images, A Review. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. IEEE Vol 20. pp 2-5.
- Frank, A. and Asuncion, A. (2012). USI Machine Learning Repository. School of Information and Computer Science. University of California, Irvine. http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml
- Frank Horsfall, Stoyan Tanev, Boyan Bontchev, Todor Gigilev and Angel Gruev. 2011. Visualization of Complex Data Relationships and Maps: Using the BLOOM Platform to Provide Business Insights. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies (CompSysTech'11). Pp. 266-272.
- Friendly, M. (2008). *Milestones in the history of thematic cartography, statistical graphics, and data visualization.*
- Fua, Y-H., Ward, M. O. and Rundensteiner, E. A. (1999)a. Hierarchical Parallel Coordinates for Exploration of Large Datasets. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Visualization '99*, pp. 43-50.
- Fua, Y-H., Ward, M. O. and Rundensteiner, E. A. (1999)b. Navigating Hierarchies with Structure-Based Brushes. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Symposium on Information Visualization, pp. 58-164.
- Galvez, A. and Iglesias, A. (2011). Efficient particle swarm optimization approach for data fitting with free knot B-splines. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 43 (2011). Pp. 1683–1692.

- Galvez, A. and Iglesias, A. (2012). Particle swarm optimization for non-uniform rational B-spline surface reconstruction from clouds of 3D data points. In: *Information Sciences* 192 (2012). Pp. 174–192.
- Galvez, A. and Iglesias, A. (2013). A new iterative mutually coupled hybrid GA– PSO approach for curve fitting in Manufacturing. In: *Applied Soft Computing* 13 (2013). Pp. 1491–1504.
- Gardner, B., Sporea, I. and Gruning, A. (2015). Learning Spatiotemporally Encoded Pattern Transformations in Structured Spiking Neural Networks. In: Neural Computation. Vol: 17, No: 12. Pp. 2548-2586. DOI: 10.1162/NECO\ a\ 00790
- Gardner, B. and Gruning, A. (2016). Supervised Learning in Spiking Neural Networks for Precise Temporal Encoding. In: PLoS ONE 11(8): e0161335. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161335
- Gerstner, W. and Kistler, W. (2002). Spiking Neuron Models : Single Neurons, Populations, Plasticity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gerstner, W. and Kistler, W. M. (2002). *Spiking Neuron Models*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Gerstner, W., Kempter, R., Leo Van Hemmen, J. and Wagner, H. (1999). Hebbian Learning of Pulse Timing in the Barn Owl Auditory Systems. In: Maass, W. and Bishop, C.M. (eds.) *Pulsed Neural Network*, MIT-Press.
- Ghaseminezhad, M.H. and Karami, A. (2011). A novel self-organizing map (SOM) neural network for discrete groups of data clustering. In: *Applied Soft Computing*. 11. Elsevier. Pp. 3771-3778.
- Ghosh-Dastidar, S. and Adeli, H. (2009). A new supervised learning algorithm for multiple spiking neural networks with application in epilepsy and seizure detection. In: *Neural Networks*, Volume 22, Issue 10, December 2009, pp 1419-1431. ISSN: 0893-6080. DOI:10.1016/j.neunet.2009.04.003. (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0893608009000653)
- Gopi, M., Krishnan, S. and Silva, C.T. (2000). Surface Reconstruction based on Lower Dimensional Localized Delaunay Triangulation. In: *M. Gross and F.R.A. Hopgood (Guest Editors). EUROGRAPHICS 2000*: Volume 19 (2000), Number 3.

- Gorricha, J. and Lobo, V. (2012). Improvements on the visualization of clusters in geo-referenced data using self-organizing maps. In: *Computers and Geoscience*. 43. Elsevier. Pp. 177-186.
- Gráfová, L., Mareš, J., Procházka, A. and Konopásek, P. (2013). Edge Detection in Biomedical Images Using Self-Organizing Maps. In: Artificial Neural Networks – Architectures and Applications. Intech.
- Gu, P. and Yan, X. (1995). Neural Network Approach to the Reconstruction of Freeform Surfaces For Reverse Engineering. In: Computer Aided Design. Vol. 1. Num. 1. 59-64.
- Gupta, A. and Long, L.N. (2009). Hebbian learning with winner take all for spiking neural networks. In: Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN 2009). pp. 1054-1060.
- Gupta, G., Liu, A. and Ghosh, J. 2010. Automated Hierarchical Density Shaving: A Robust Automated Clustering and Visualization Framework for Large Biological Data Sets. In: *IEEE/ACM Transactions On Computational Biology And Bioinformatics*. Vol. 7, No. 2, April-June 2010. Pp. 223-237.
- Haimoudi, E.K., Cherrat, L. Abdoun, O. and Ezziyyani, M. (2016). Practical Application of the Data Preprocessing Method for Kohonen Neural Networks in Pattern Recognition Tasks. In: The Sixth International Conference on Advances in Information Mining and Management (IMMM2016). Pp. 38-44.
- Hamed, H.N., Kasabov, N.K. Michlovský, Z. and Shamsuddin, S.M. (2009 String pattern recognition using evolving spiking neural networks and quantum inspired particle swarm optimization. In: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. PART 2 ed. Vol. 5864 LNCS. Pp. 611-619.
- Hamel, L. (2016). SOM Quality Measures: An Efficient Statistical Approach. In: Merenyi, E., Mendenhall, M.J. and O'driscoll, P. (eds). Advances in Self-Organizing Maps and Learning Vector Quantization, Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop WSOM 2016, Houston, Texas, USA, January 6–8, 2016. Pp. 49-59.
- Hanafizadeh, P. and Mirzazadeh, M. (2011). Visualizing market segmentation using self-organizing maps and Fuzzy Delphi method – ADSL market of a telecommunication company. In: *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38. Elsevier. Pp. 198-205.

- Handrich, S., Herzog, A., Wolf, A. and Herrmann, C.S. (2011). Combining Supervised, Unsupervised, and Reinforcement Learning in a Network of Spiking Neurons. In: R. Wang, F. Gu (eds.), Advances in Cognitive Neurodynamics (II). Springer Science and Business. Pp. 163-176.
- Hartigan, J. A. (1975). Clustering algorithms. Wiley.
- Heinrich, J., Seifert, R., Burch, M. and Weiskopf, D. 2011. BiCluster Viewer: A Visualization Tool for Analyzing Gene Expression Data. In: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2011, Volume 6938, Advances in Visual Computing.* Pp. 641-652.
- Higuchi, K., Herbert, M. and Ikeuchi, K. (1995). Building 3-D Models from Unregistered Range Image. In: *Graphical Models and Image Processing*. Vol 57(4). Pp. 315-333.
- Hiyoshi, H. (2009). Optimization-based approach for curve and surface reconstruction. In: Computer-Aided Design 41 (2009). Elsevier. Pp. 366\_374.
- Hodgkin, A.L. and Huxley, A.F. (1952). A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. In: J. *Physiol. (Lond.).* vol. 117, pp. 500-544.
- Hoffmann, P., and Grinstein, G. (2002). A Survey of Visualizations for High-Dimensional Data Mining. In: *Information Visualization in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*. (edt) Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco (CA).
- Hoffmann, P.E. (1999). Table Visualizations: A Formal Model and Its Applications.Doctoral Dissertation, Computer Science Department, University of Massachusetts at Lowell.
- Hopfield, J.J. (1995). Pattern recognition computation using action potential timing for stimulus representation. In: *Nature*, vol. 376, pp. 33-36.
- Hoppe, H., DeRose, T., Duchamp, T., McDonald, J. and Stuetzle, W. (1992). Surface Reconstruction from Unorganized Points. In: *Proceedings of SIGGRAPH'92*. pp. 71-78.
- Hoppe, H. (1994). Surface Reconstruction from Unorganized Points. University of Washington: Ph.D. Thesis.
- Hsu, P.H. and Tseng, Y.H. (2002). Data Exploration and Analysis of Hyperspectral Images: Visualization and Symbolic Description. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd Asia Conference on Remote Sensing*, 2002.

- Huh, D. and Sejnowski, T.J. (2017). Gradient Descent for Spiking Neural Network. 2017arXiv170604698H
- Iakymchuk, T., Rosado-Munoz, A., Guerrero-Martinez, J.F., Bataller-Mompean, M. and Francer-Villora, J.V. (2015). Simplified spiking neural network architecture and STDP learning algorithm applied to image classification. In: *EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing*, 2015:4. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13640-015-0059-4
- Iivarinen, J., Kohonen, T., Kangas, J., and Kaski, S. (1994). Visualizing the clusters on the self-organizing map. In: C. Carlsson, T. J<sup>arvi</sup>, and T. Reponen, (Eds.). Proceedings of the conference on artificial intelligence res. in Finland, number 12 in conference proceedings of Finnish Artificial Intelligence Society. Helsinki, Finland: Finnish Artificial Intelligence Society. pp. 122–126.
- Inselberg, A. and Dimsdale, B. (1990). Parallel Coordinates: A Tool for Visualizing Multidimensional Geometry. In: *Proceedings of the 1st IEEE Conference on Visualization '90.* pp. 31-375.
- Inselberg, A. (1985). The Plane with Parallel Coordinates. In: *The Visual Computer*. vol.1, pp. 69-91.
- Inselberg, A. (1997). Multidimensional Detective. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE* Symposium on Information Visualization, pp.100-107.
- Inselberg, A., and Dimsdale, B. (1990). Parallel coordinates: a tool for visualizing multi-dimensional geometry. In: *Visualization'90*. San Francisco.
- Ippoliti, D. and Zhou, X. (2012). A-GHSOM: An adaptive growing hierarchical self organizing map for network anomaly detection. In: J. Parallel Distrib. Comput. 72 (2012). Pp. 1576–1590.
- Ivrissimtzis, I., Jeong, W., Lee, S., Lee, Y. and Seidel, H.P.(2004). Neural Meshes: Surface Reconstruction with a Learning Algorithm. In: *Technical Report:* MPI-I-2004-4-005, Max-Planck-Institut fuer Informatik, October, 2004.
- Izhikevich, E. M. (2003). Simple model of spiking neurons. In: *IEEE Transactions* on Neural Networks. 14 (2003) 1569-1572.
- Iztueta, A. and Calvo, P. (2000). Comparing European Labour Force Survey Results from the Basque Country and Portugal. In: Bock, H.-H. and Diday, E., Analysis of Symbolic Data. Springer. pp. 374-382.

- Jalba, A.C. and Roerdink, J.B.T. (2007). Efficient Surface Reconstruction using Generalized Coulomb Potentials. In: *Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. Univ. of Groningen, Groningen. Vol. 13. Issue 6. pp. 1512-1519.
- Jin, H., Shum, W., Leung, K. (2003). Expanding Self-Organizing Map for data visualization and cluster analysis. In: *Information Sciences*. 163. Elsevier. Pp. 157–173.
- Jin, Y., Wen, R. and Sendhoff, B. (2007). Evolutionary multi-objective optimization of spiking neural networks. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), vol. 4668 LNCS, pp. 370-379.
- Kambhatla, A., and Leen, T. K. (1997). Dimension reduction by local principal component analysis. In: *Neural Computation*, 9, pp. 1493-1516.
- Kamimura, R. (2010). Information-theoretic enhancement learning and its application to visualization of self-organizing maps. In: *Neurocomputing*. 73. Elsevier. Pp. 2642-2664.
- Kaski, S. and Lagus, K. (1996). Comparing self-organizing maps. In: C.V.D. Malsburg, W.Y. Seelen, J.C. Vorbruggen, B. Sendhoff (Eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Bochum, Springer, Germany, Berlin, 1996. pp. 809– 814.
- Kaski, S. (1997). *Data exploration using self-organizing maps*. Doctoral dissertation, Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Finland.
- Kaski, S., Kangas, J. and Kohonen, T. (1998). Bibliography of Self-OrganizingMap (SOM) papers: 1981-1997. Neural. Comput. Surv., 1, pp. 102–350.
- Kaskosz, B. (2004). Screenshots from 3D Function Grapher. Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island. http://www.math.uri.edu/~bkaskosz/flashmo/graph3d/, 2004.
- Kazhdan, M., Bolotho, M. and Hoppe, H. (2006). Poisson Surface Reconstruction.In: Proceedings Of The Fourth Eurographics Symposium On Geometry Processing. Pp. 61-70.
- Kazhdan, M. and Hoppe, H. (2013). Screened Poisson surface reconstruction. In: ACM Trans. Graph. 32, 3, Article 29 (June 2013). DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2487228.248723

- Keim, D.A. (1997). Visual Techniques for Exploring Databases. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining Tutorial Program. http://www.informatik.uni-halle.de/~keim/PS/KDD97.pdf
- Kim, Y.S. (2007). Weighted Order-Dependent Clustering and Visualization of Web Navigation Patterns. In: *Decision Support Systems*, 43. Elsevier. Pp. 1630-1645.
- Kistler, M., Gerstner, W. and Van Hemmen, J.L. (1997). Reduction of the Hodgkin-Huxley Equations to a Single-Variable Threshold Model, In: *Neural Comput.*, vol. 9, pp. 1015-1045.
- Kiviluoto, K. (1996). Topology preservation in self-organizing maps. In: IEEE Neural Networks Council (Eds.), Proceedings of International Conference on Neural Networks (ICNN'96). Vol. 1. New Jersey, USA. Pp. 294–299.
- Kohavi, R. (1995). A Study of CrossValidation and Bootstrap for Accuracy Estimation and Model Selection. In: International Joint Conference on Articial Intelligence (IJACAI 1995).
- Kohonen, T. (1995). Self-organizing maps. Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer. ISBN: 3-540-58600-8.
- Kohonen, T., Kaski, S., and Lappalainen, H. (1997). Self-organized formation of various invariant-feature filters in the Adaptive-Subspace SOM. In: *Neural Computation*, 9(6), pp. 1321-1344.
- Kohohen, T., Somervuo, P.J. (1998). Self-organizing maps of symbol strings. In: *Neurocomputing* **21**, 19–30.
- Kumar, G.S., Kalra, P.K. and Dhande, S.G. (2004). Curve and Surface Reconstruction from Points: An Approach Based on Self-organizing Maps. In: *Applied Soft Computing Jurnal*. Elsevier. 5(2004). Pp. 55-56.
- Kuo, H.C. and Chen, S.H. (2016). Self-Organizing Map Learning with Momentum. In: *Computer and Information Science*; Vol. 9, No. 1; 2016. Canadian Center of Science and Education. Pp. 136-146.
- Lamb, P.F., Mundermann, A., Bartlett, R.M., and Robins, A. (2011). Visualizing changes in lower body coordination with different types of foot orthoses using self-organizing maps (SOM). In: *Gait and Posture*. 34. Elsevier. Pp. 485-489.
- Lavoie, P., Ionescu, D. and Petriu, E. (2007). Constructing 3D Virtual Reality Objects from 2D Images of Real Objetcs using NURBS. In: *IEEE*

- LeBlanc, J., Ward, M.O. and Wittels, N. (1990). Exploring N-Dimensional Databases. In: Proceedings of the 1st IEEE Conference on Visualization '90. pp. 230-237.
- Levkowitz, H. (1991). Color Icons: Merging Color and Texture Perception for Integrated Visualization of Multiple Parameters. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE Conference on Visualization '91*. pp.164-170.
- Li, S. and Kuo, S. (2008). Knowledge discovery in financial investment for forecasting and trading strategy through wavelet-based SOM networks. In: *Expert Systems with Applications*. 34. Elsevier. Pp. 935-951.
- Lichman, M. (2013). UCI Machine Learning Repository. Irvine, CA: University of California, School of Information and Computer Science. http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml
- Lieberman, M.D., Taheri, S., Guo, H., Mirrashed, F., Yahav, I., Aris, A. and Shneiderman, B. 2011. Visual Exploration across Biomedical Databases. In: *IEEE/ACM Transactions On Computational Biology And Bioinformatics*. Vol. 8, No. 2, March/April 2011. Pp. 536-550.
- Lim, S.P. and Haron, H. (2013). Cube Kohonen Self-Organizing Map (CKSOM) Model with New Equations in Organizing Unstructured Data. In: *IEEE Transactions On Neural Networks and Learning Systems*. IEEE.
- Lin, X., Wang, X. and Hao, Z. (2017). Supervised learning in multilayer spiking neural networks with inner products of spike trains. In: *Neurocomputing*. 237(2017). Pp. 59–70.
- Liu, G., Suchitra, A., Zhang, H., Feng, M., Ng, S.K. and Wong, L. (2012). AssocExplorer: An Association Rule Visualization System for Exploratory Data Analysis. In: *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD'12)*. Aug 2012. Pp. 1536-1539.
- Liu, S. and Wang, C.C.L. (2010). Orienting unorganized points for surface reconstruction. In: *Computers & Graphics* 34 (2010). Elsevier. Pp. 209–218.

- Liu, S. and Wang, C.C.L., Brunnett, G. and Wang, J. (2016). A closed-form formulation of HRBF-based surface reconstruction by approximate solution. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 78 (2016). Elsevier. Pp. 147–157.
- Lobov, S., Mironov, V., Kastalskiy, I. and Kazantsev, V. (2015). A Spiking Neural Network in sEMG Feature Extraction. In: *Sensors 2015*, *15*, 27894-27904.
- Long, L.N. (2011). An Adaptive Spiking Neural Network with Hebbian Learning. In: *IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence*, 2011, Paris.
- López-Rubio, E., Muñoz-Pérez, J.,andGómez-Ruiz, J. A. (2004). A principal components analysis self-organizing map. In: *Neural Networks*, 17(2), pp. 261-270.
- Lorenzo, R., Riccardo, R. and Antonio, C. (2006). A New Unsupervised Neural Network for Pattern Recognition with Spiking Neurons. In: *The 2006 IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Network Proceedings*, 2006, pp. 3903-3910.

doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2006.246888.

- Maass, W. and Bishop, C.M. (1999). *Pulsed Neural Networks*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Maass, W. (1997). Networks of spiking neurons: The third generation of neural network models. In: *Neural Networks*. vol. 10, pp. 1659-1671.
- Maass, W. (2001). Computing with spiking neurons, In: *Maass, W., Bishop, C., Pulsed Neural Networks*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA. 2. Pp. 55-85.
- Mahmoud, A.A (2006). *Development of Hybrid NURBS Skinning Surface Method for Ship Hull Design*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Ph.D. Thesis.
- Malondkar, A.M. (2015). Extending the Growing Hierarchical Self Organizing Maps for a Large Mixed-Attribute Dataset Using Spark MapReduce. Master's Thesis. University of Ottawa.
- Marom, S. and Abbott, L. F. (1994). Modeling state-dependent inactivation of membrane currents, Biophysical Journal. 67 2. Pp. 515-520.
- Mariette, J. and Villa-Vialaneix, N. (2016). Aggregating Self-Organizing Maps with Topology Preservation. In: Merényi E., Mendenhall M., O'Driscoll P. (eds) Advances in Self-Organizing Maps and Learning Vector Quantization. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 428. Springer, Cham.

- McKennoch, S., Dingding, L. and Bushnell, L.G. (2006). Fast modifications of the SpikeProp algorithm. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*. pp. 3970-3977.
- Meftah, B., Lezoray, O. and Benyettou, A. (2010). Segmentation and edge detection based on spiking neural network model. In: *Neural Process Letters*, vol. 32, pp. 131-146.
- Mestetskiy, L.M., Dyshkant, N.F., Gordeev, D., Kumari, M.S. and Shekar, B.H. (2012). Surface measures for accuracy evaluation in 3d face reconstruction. In: *Pattern Recognition* 45 (2012). Elsevier. Pp. 3583–3589.
- Mihalisin, T. (2002). Data Warfare and Multidimensional Education. In: Information Visualization in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery. Morgan Kaufmann (edt): San Francisco (CA).
- Ming, L.Y., Chee, S.T. and Chwen, J.C. (2011). A Hybrid Spiking Neural Network Model for Multivariate Data Classification and Visualization. In: 2011 7th International Conference on IT in Asia (CITA). IEEE.
- Mishra, D. (2006). A neural network using single multiplicative spiking neuron for function approximation and classification. In: *Proceedings of International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, IJCNN*, Vancouver, Canada. Pp. 1079-1086.
- Möller, R., and Hoffmann, H. (2004). An extension of neural gas to local PCA. In: *Neurocomputing*, 62, pp. 305-326.
- More, D.S. and McCabe, G.P. *Introduction to the Practice of Statistics*, W. H. Freeman, 1999, extracted from Wolfram MathWorld at: http://mathworld.wolfram.com/ScatterDiagram.html.
- Mostafa, M.M. (2011). A psycho-cognitive segmentation of organ donors in Egypt using Kohonen's self-organizing maps. In: *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38. Elsevier. Pp. 6906-6915.
- Muller, H. (1997). Surface Reconstruction An Introduction. In: Proceedings of Scientific Visualization Conference. Dagstuhl, Germany. 19-13 Jun 1997. IEEE. pp. 239.
- Nagai, Y., Ohtake, Y. and Suzuki, H. (2014). Tomographic surface reconstruction from point cloud. In: *Computers & Graphics* 46. Elsevier. Pp. 55–63.
- Nagumo J., Arimoto S. and Yoshizawa S. (1962). An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon. In: *Proceedings of IRE*. 50 (1962) 2061–2070.

- Nakano T., Otsuka M., Yoshimoto J. and Doya K. (2015). A Spiking Neural Network Model of Model-Free Reinforcement Learning with High-Dimensional Sensory Input and Perceptual Ambiguity. PLoS ONE 10(3): e0115620. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115620
- Natita, W., Wiboonsak, W. and Dusadee, S. (2016). Appropriate Learning Rate and Neighborhood Function of Self-organizing Map (SOM) for Specific Humidity Pattern Classification over Southern Thailand. In: *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, Vol. 6, No. 1. Pp. 61-65.
- Nelson, M. and Rinzel, J. (1995). The Hodgkin-Huxley model, In: Bower, J. M., Beeman, D. (eds), The book of GENESIS, Springer-Verlag, New York. 4. Pp. 27-51.
- Nicola, W. and Clopath, C. (2017). Supervised learning in spiking neural networks with FORCE training. In: *Nature Communications*. 8: 2208. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01827-3.
- Nie, J., Liu, T., Guo, L. and Wong, S.T.C. (2007). Reconstruction of Central Cortical Surface from Brain MRI Images: Method and Application. In: *Proceedings of* 4th IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging: From Nano to Micro. Pp. 213-216.
- Oja, M., Kaski, S., and Kohonen, T. (2003). Bibliography of self-organizing map (SOM) papers: 1998–2001. *Computers in Industry*, 3, pp. 1–156.
- Palomo, E.J., North, J., Elizondo, D., Luque, R.M., and Watson, T. (2012). Application of growing hierarchical SOM for visualization of network forensics traffic data. In: *Neural Networks*. Special Issue. DOI: 10.1016/j.neunet.2012.02.021.
- Pan, L., Zeng, X., Zhang, X. and Jiang, Y. (2012). Spiking Neural P Systems with Weighted Synapses. In: *Neural Process Lett* (2012) 35. Pp. 13–27. DOI: 10.1007/s11063-011-9201-1
- Pan, R. and Skala, V. (2011). Continuous global optimization in surface reconstruction from an oriented point cloud. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 43 (2011). Pp. 896–901.
- Pandunata, P., Forkan, F. and Shamsuddin, S.M. (2013). Growing Grid-Evolutionary Algorithm for Surface Reconstruction. In: 10th International Conference Computer Graphics, Imaging and Visualization. Pp. 68-74.
- Peltonen, J., Klami, A., and Kaski, S. (2004). Improved learning of Riemannian metrics for exploratory analysis. In: *Neural Networks*, 17, pp. 1087-1100.

- Pham, D.T., Packianather, M.S. and Charles, E.Y.A. (2007). A Self-organising Spiking Neural Network Trained using Delay Adaptation. In: *IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE2007)*; 2007. pp. 3441-3446, DOI: 10.1109/ISIE.2007.4375170.
- Phuc, D. and Nguyen, T.K.P. (2010). Visualization of the similar protein using SOM neural network and Graph Spectra. In: N.T. Nguyen, M.T. Le, and J. Swiatek (Eds). ACIIDS 2010, Part II, LNAI 5991. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. Pp. 258-267.
- Pilly P.K. and Grossberg, S. (2013). Spiking Neurons in a Hierarchical Self-Organizing Map Model Can Learn to Develop Spatial and Temporal Properties of Entorhinal Grid Cells and Hippocampal Place Cells. In: *PLoS ONE* 8(4): e60599. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060599.
- Ponulak, F. and Kasiński, A. (2011). Introduction to spiking neural networks: Information processing, learning and applications. Acta neurobiologiae experimentalis. 71. 409-33.
- Pozlbauer, G. (2004). Survey and comparison of quality measures for self-organizing maps. In: J. Paralic, G. Polzlbauer, R. Andreas (Eds.), Fifth Workshop on Data Analysis (WDA'04). Elfa Academic Press. pp. 67–82.
- Prieto, B., Tricas, F., Merelo, J.J., Mora, A., and Prieto, A. (2008). Visualizing the evolution of a web-based social network. In: *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 31. Elsevier. Pp. 677-698.
- Priddy, K.L. and Keller, P.E. (2005). *Artificial Neural Networks: An Introduction*. SPIE – The International Society for Optical Engineering.
- Qiang, X., Cheng, G. and Wang, Z. (2010). An Overview of Some Classical Growing Neural Networks and New Developments. In: 2nd International Conference on Education Technology and Computer (ICETC). Pp. 351-355.
- Qu, H., Xie, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, M. and Lu, L. (2017). Improved perception-based spiking neuron learning rule for real-time user authentication. In: Neurocomputing151(2015. Pp. 310–318.
- Rasti, J., Monadjemi, A., and Vafaei, A. (2011). Color reduction using a multi-stage Kohonen Self-Organizig Map with redundant features. In: *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38. Elsevier. Pp. 13188-13197.

- Repnik, B. and Zalik, B. (2012). A fast algorithm for approximate surface reconstruction from sampled points. In: Advances in Engineering Software 53 (2012). Elsevier. Pp. 72–78.
- Rouhani, M., Angel D. Sappa, A.D. and Boyer, E. (2015). Implicit B-Spline Surface Reconstruction. In: *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 24, No. 1, January 2015. Pp. 22-32.
- Ruf B., Schmitt M. (1997). Unsupervised learning in networks of spiking neurons using temporal coding. In: Gerstner W., Germond A., Hasler M., Nicoud JD. (eds) Artificial Neural Networks (ICANN'97). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 1327. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Ruf B., Schmitt M. (1998). Self-organization of spiking neurons using action potential timing. In: *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 575-578, May 1998. DOI: 10.1109/72.668899
- Rubel, O., Weber, G.H., Huang, M.Y., Bethel, E.W., Biggin, M.D., Fowlkes, C.C., Hendriks, C.L.L., Keranen, S.V.E., Eisen, M.B., Knowles, D.W., Malik, J., Hagen, H. and Hamann, B. 2010. Integrating Data Clustering and Visualization for the Analysis of 3D Gene Expression Data. In: *IEEE/ACM Transactions On Computational Biology And Bioinformatics*. Vol. 7, No. 1, January-March 2010. Pp. 64-79.
- Rubel, O., Ahern S., Bethel, E.W., Biggin, M.D., Childs, H., Cormier-Michel, E., DePace, A., Eisen, M.B., Fowlkes, C.C., Geddes, C.G.R., Hagen, H., Hamann, B., Huang, M.Y., Keranen, S.V.E., Knowles, D.W., Hendriks, C.L.L., Malik, J., Meredith, J., Messmer, P, Prabhat, Ushizima, D., Weber, G.H. and Wu, K. 2012. Coupling visualization and data analysis for knowledge discovery from multi-dimensional scientific data. In: *Procedia Computer Science 1 (2012)*. Elsevier. Pp. 1757–1764.
- Rumbell, T., Denham, S.L. and Wennekers, T. (2014). A Spiking Self-Organizing Map Combining STDP, Oscillations, and Continuous Learning. In: Ieee Transactions On Neural Networks And Learning Systems, Vol. 25, NO. 5. Pp. 894-907.
- Sallaberry, A., Pecheur, N., Bringay, S., Roche, M. and Teisseire, M. 2011. Sequential patterns mining and gene sequence visualization to discover novelty from microarray data. In: *Journal of Biomedical Informatics 44* (2011). Pp. 760–774.

- Savin, C. and Deneve, S. (2014). Spatio-temporal Representations of Uncertainty in Spiking Neural Networks. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27 (NIPS 2014).
- Schleif, F.-M., Villmann, Th., and Hammer, B. (2008). Prototype based fuzzy classification in clinical proteomics. In: *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 47(1), pp. 4-16.
- Schreck, T., Keim, D. and Mansmann, F. (2006). Regular TreeMap Layouts for Visual Analysis of Hierarchical Data. In: Spring Conference on Computer Graphics SCCG.
- Sejnowski, T.J. (1995). Time for a new neural code? In: *Nature*, vol. 376, pp. 21-22.
- Seret, A., Verbraken, T., Versailles, S., and Baesens, B. (2012). A new SOM-based method for profile generation: Theory and an application in direct marketing.In: *European Journal of Operational Research*. 220. Elsevier. Pp. 199-209.
- Shieh, S.L. and Liao, I.E. (2012). A new approach for data clustering and visualization using self-organizing maps. In: *Expert Systems with Applications*. DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2012.02.181.
- Shneiderman, B. (1992). Tree Visualization with Treemaps: A 2D Space-Filling Approach. In: *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, vol.11, no.1. pp. 92-99.
- Shneiderman, B. (2006). Treemaps for Space-Constrained Visualization of Hierarchies. http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/treemap-history
- Sirisin, S., Jonburom, W., Rattanakorn, N., and Pornsuwancharoen, N. (2012). A new technique Gray scale display of input data using shooting SOM and genetic algorithm. In: *Procedia Engineering*. 32. Pp. 556-563.
- Smithson, S.C., Boga, K., Ardakani, A., Meyer, B.H. and Gross, W.J. (2016).
  Stochastic Computing Can Improve Upon Digital Spiking Neural Networks.
  In: 2016 IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Systems (SiPS),
  Dallas, TX. pp. 309-314. DOI: 10.1109/SiPS.2016.61
- Söderkvist, I. (1999). Introductory overview of surface reconstruction methods. Ph.D. dissertation, Math., Lule'a Univ. Technol., Lule'a, Sweden. 1999.
- Sohn, J., Zhang, B. and Kaang, B. (1999). Temporal pattern recognition using a spiking neural network with delays. In: *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN'99)*, July 10-16, 1999. pp. 2590-2593.

Spence, R. (2000). Information Visualization. Addison Wesley. ACM Press.

- Steyvers, M. (2001). Multidimensional Scaling. In: *Encyclopedia Of Cognitive Science*. Macmillan Reference Lt.
- Stones, L. Z., Zhang, Y., and Jian, C. L. (2006). Growing hierarchical principal components analysis self-organizing map. In LNCS: Vol. 3971. In: Advances in neural networks - ISNN 2006. Springer. pp. 701-706.
- Stromatias, E. (2011). Developing a Supervised Training Algorithm for Limited Precision Feed-forward Spiking Neural Network. Master Dissertation. University of Liverpool, UK.
- Strong, G. and Gong, M. 2011. Data Organization and Visualization using Self-Sorting Map. In: Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2011 (GI '11). May 2011. Pp. 199-206.
- Tafti, A.P., Holz, J.D., Baghaie, A., Owen, H.A., He, M.M., and Yu, Z. (2016).
  3DSEM++: Adaptive and intelligent 3D SEM surface reconstruction. In: *Micron.* 2016 Aug, 87. Pp. 33-45. DOI: 10.1016/j.micron.2016.05.004.
- Tavanaei, A. and Maida, A.S. (2015). A Minimal Spiking Neural Network to Rapidly Train and Classify Handwritten Digits in Binary and 10-Digit Tasks. In: International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence (IJARAI), Vol. 4, No.7.
- Thorpe, A., Delorme, A. and Van Rullen, R. (2001). Spike-based strategies for rapid processing. In: *Neural Networks*, vol. 14, pp. 715-725.
- Tipping, M. E., and Bishop, C. M. (1999). Mixtures of probabilistic principal component analyzers. In: *Neural Computation*, 11, pp. 443-482.
- Torgeson, W.S. (1965). Multidimensional scaling of similarity. In: *Psychometrika*, 30. Pp. 379-393.
- Vazquez, R.A. and Cachon, A. (2010). Integrate and fire neurons and their application in pattern recognition. In: *Proceedings of the 2010 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE 2010).* September 8-10, 2010. pp. 424-428.
- Venna, J., Peltonen, J., Nybo, K., Aidos, H. and Kaski, S. 2010. Information Retrieval Perspective to Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction for Data Visualization. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 11 (2010). Pp. 451-490.
- Vesanto, J. (2002). *Data exploration process based on the self-organizing map*. Doctoral dissertation, Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Finland.

- Vesanto, J., Sulkava, M. and Hollmen, J. (2003). On the decomposition of the selforganizing map distortion measure. In: Proceedings of the Workshop on Self-Organizing Maps WSOM'03. pp. 11–16.
- Wade, J.J., McDaid, L.J., Santos, J.A. and Sayers, H.M. (2010). SWAT: A Spiking Neural Network Training Algorithm for Classification Problems. In: *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*. vol. 21, pp. 1817-1830.
- Wang, J. Yang, Z., Jin, L., Deng J. and Chen, F. (2011). Parallel and adaptive surface reconstruction based on implicit PHT-splines. In: *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 28 (2011). Elsevier. Pp. 463–474.
- Wang, J., Hoogeboom, H.J., Pan, L., Pain, G. and Perez-Jimenez, M.J. (2014). Spiking Neural P Systems with Weights. In: *Neural Computation*. 22. MIT Press. Pp. 2615–2646.
- Wing, W.Y.C. (2006). A Survey on Multivariate Data Visualization. Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.
- Wirth, H., von Bergen, M., Murugaiyan, J., Rosler, U., Stokowy, T., and Binder, H. (2012). MALDI-typing of infectious algae of the genus Prototheca using SOM portraits. In: *Journal of Microbiological Methods*. 88. Elsevier. Pp. 83-97.
- Wong, D.H.T. and Chai, K.S. 2010. Statistical Analysis Learning Approach: The Use of Artificial Intelligence In Network Data Visualization System. In: 2010 International Conference on Computer Applications and Industrial Electronics (ICCAIE 2010). December 5-7, 2010, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Pp. 206-210.
- Wong P.C. and Bergeson, R.D. (1997). 30 Years of Multidimensional Multivariate Visualization. In: Scientific Visualization Overviews, Methodologies, and Techniques. IEEE Computer Society Press. pp. 3-33.
- Wu, J. & Kobbelt, L. (2004). Optimized Sub-Sampling of Point Sets for Surface Splitting. In: *EUROGRAPHICS 2004 / M.-P. Cani and M. Slater*. Volume 23 (2004), Number 3.
- Wysoski, S.G. (2008). Evolving Spiking Neural Networks for Adaptive Audiovisual Pattern Recognition. PhD Thesis. Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand.

- Xie, W.C., Zou, X.F, Yang, J.D. and Yang, J.B. (2012). Iteration and optimization scheme for the reconstruction of 3D surfaces based on non-uniform rational B-splines. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 44 (2012). Elsevier. Pp. 1127–1140.
- Xu, L., Xu, Y., and Chow, T.W.S. (2010). PolSOM: A new method for multidimensional data visualization. In: *Pattern Recognition*. 43. Elsevier. Pp. 1668-1675.
- Xu, Y., Xu, L., and Chow, T.W.S. (2011). PPoSOM: A new variant of PolSOM by using probabilistic assignment for multidimensional data visualization. In: *Neurocomputing*. 74. Pp. 2018-2027.
- Yahya, A., Hamed, H.N., Shamsuddin, S.M. and Ibrahim, A.O. (2017). A New Hybrid K-Means Evolving Spiking Neural Network Model Based on Differential Evolution. In: F. Saeed et al. (eds.), Recent Trends in Information and Communication Technology, Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies 5. Springer International Publishing AG. Pp. 571-583. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-59427-9\_60
- Yang, M.S., Hung, W.L., and Chen, D.H. (2012). Self-organizing map for symbolic data. In: *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2012.04.006.
- Yee E. and Teo, J. (2013). Evolutionary spiking neural networks as racing car controllers. In: Int J Comp Inf Syst Ind Manage Appl 5. Pp. 365–372.
- Yin, H., Sugabthan, P.N., Krishnan, S.M., and Xiang, C. (2001). Evaluation of Distance Measures for Partial Image Retrieval Using Self-Organizing Map. *In: G. Dorffner, H. Bischof, and K. Hornik (Eds.).* ICANN 2001, LNCS 2130. Springler-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. Pp. 1042-1047.
- Yin, H. (2007). Learning Nonlinear Principal Manifolds by Self-Organising Maps.
   In: Gorban A.N., Kegl B., Wunsch D., Zinovyev A. (Eds.), *Principal Manifolds for Data Visualisation and Dimension Reduction*, LNCSE 58, Springer: Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2007. ISBN 978-3-540-73749-0.
- Young, F.W. (1985). Multidimensional Scaling. In: Kotz-Johnson (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Volume 5. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. http://forrest.psych.unc.edu/teaching/p208a/mds/mds.html
- Yu. Z., Wong, H.S., You, J., and Han, G. (2012). Visual query processing for efficient image retrieval using a SOM-based filter-refinement scheme. In: *Information Sciences*. 203. Pp. 83-101.

- Yu, Q., Tang, H., Tan, K.C., Yu, H. (2014). A brain-inspired spiking neural network model with temporal encoding and learning, In: *Neurocomputing*, Volume 138, 2014. Pp. 3-13, ISSN 0925-2312, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2013.06.052.
- Yuan, Y.W. and Yan, L.M. (2002). A Neural Network Approach for 3-D Face Shape Reconstruction. In: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Machine Learning and Cyernetics, Beijing. 4-5 Nov, 2002. Pp. 2073-2076.
- Yusob, B. (2007). Pembaikan Struktur Kekisi Heksagon Dalam Pembelajaran Rangkaian Kohonen. Masters Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Zambrano, D., Nusselder, R., Scholte, S. and Bohte, S. (2017). Efficient Computation in Adaptive Artificial Spiking Neural Networks. <u>arXiv:1710.04838</u>
- Zhang, M., Qu, H., Xie, X. and Kurth, J. (2017). Supervised learning in spiking neural networks with noise-threshold. In: *Neurocomputing* 219 (2017). Pp. 333–349.
- Zhao, X., Zhang, C., Xu, L., Yang, B. and Feng, Z. (2013). IGA-based point cloud fitting using B-spline surfaces for reverse engineering. In: *Information Sciences*. 2013.
- Zhong, S., and Ghosh, J. (2003). A unified framework for model-based clustering. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 4, pp. 1001-1037.