1	Solicited review for special issue of Aquatic Botany edited by Pascal Bodmer and Sarian Kosten				
2					
3	The importance of plants for methane emission at the ecosystem scale				
4					
5	David Bastviken <sup>1</sup> , Claire C. Treat <sup>2</sup> , Sunitha Rao Pangala <sup>3</sup> , Vincent Gauci <sup>4,5</sup> , Alex Enrich-Prast <sup>1,6,7</sup> , Martin				
6	Karlson <sup>1</sup> , Magnus Gålfalk <sup>1</sup> , Mariana Brandini Romano <sup>1</sup> , Henrique Oliveira Sawakuchi <sup>1</sup>				
7					
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Thematic Studies – Environmental Change, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden				
9	<sup>2</sup> Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany				
10	<sup>3</sup> Lancaser Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK				
11	<sup>4</sup> Birmingham Institute of Forest Research, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK				
12	<sup>5</sup> School of Geography Earth and Environmental Science, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK				
13	<sup>6</sup> Institute of Marine Science, Federal University of São Paulo (IMar/UNIFESP), Santos, Brazil;				
14	<sup>7</sup> Unidade Multiusuário de Análises Ambientais, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil;				
15					
16	Corresponding author: David Bastviken; david.bastviken@liu.se				
17					
18	Highlights				
19	Plants have major indirect influence on global emissions of methane.				
20	<ul> <li>Improved quantitative knowledge on plant-methane interactions is needed.</li> </ul>				
21	Systematic long-term observations of both methane and plant communities are key.				
22					
23					
24 25	Final version accepted 5 Nov. 2022				
25 26	Full Litation:				
20 27	Basivikeii, D., C. C. Treal, S. R. Paligaia, V. Gauci, A. Ellicit-Pidsi, IVI. Kalisofi, IVI. Galialk, IVI. B. Romano and H. O. Sawakuchi (2023). "The importance of plants for methane emission at the				
28	ecosystem scale." Aquatic Botany 184: 103596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2022.103596				

#### 30 Graphical abstract



31 32

29

#### 52

33

# 34

### 35 Abstract

36 Methane  $(CH_4)$ , one of the key long-lived atmospheric greenhouse gases, is primarily produced from 37 organic matter. Accordingly, net primary production of organic matter sets the boundaries for CH<sub>4</sub> 38 emissions. Plants, being dominant primary producers, are thereby indirectly sustaining most global 39 CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, albeit with delays in time and with spatial offsets between plant primary production 40 and subsequent CH<sub>4</sub> emission. In addition, plant communities can enhance or hamper ecosystem 41 production, oxidation, and transport of CH<sub>4</sub> in multiple ways, e.g., by shaping carbon, nutrient, and 42 redox gradients, and by representing a physical link between zones with extensive CH<sub>4</sub> production in 43 anoxic sediments or soils and the atmosphere. This review focuses on how plants and other primary 44 producers influence CH<sub>4</sub> emissions with the consequences at ecosystem scales. We outline 45 mechanisms of interactions and discuss flux regulation, quantification, and knowledge gaps across 46 multiple ecosystem examples. Some recently proposed plant-related ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes are 47 difficult to reconcile with the global atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> budget and the enigmas related to these fluxes 48 are highlighted. Overall, ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are strongly linked to primary producer communities, directly or indirectly, and properly quantifying magnitudes and regulation of these links 49 50 are key to predicting future CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in a rapidly changing world.

51

#### 52 **1. Introduction**

53 Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), one of the most important greenhouse gases (GHGs), is currently under scrutiny 54 because of its high global warming potential in combination with uncertainties in the CH<sub>4</sub> budget 55 (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2020). Major sources of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> are both 56 anthropogenic and natural and include extraction and handling of fossil fuels, combustion processes, 57 landfills, ruminants, rice cultivation, and emissions from wildfires. Other key CH<sub>4</sub> sources are 58 wetlands, marine- and freshwater environments (lakes, reservoirs, ponds, and streams) (Saunois et 59 al., 2020). The two main CH<sub>4</sub> sinks are considered to be upland soil microbial methane oxidation (ca. 10%), and abiotic atmospheric oxidation (ca. 90%). Terrestrial upland vegetation has also been 60 61 suggested to be important for atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> exchange, although not always being mentioned in 62 global CH<sub>4</sub> budgets (Carmichael et al., 2014).

63

64 The atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> levels have sharply increased since pre-industrial times, but in contrast to the 65 other long-lived GHGs (carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide), the increase has been irregular with 66 variable growth rates among years and decades for reasons not yet fully understood (Dlugokencky et 67 al., 2011). Several non-exclusive explanations for this variability have been proposed and one of 68 them emphasise the potentially important role of wetland emissions (Nisbet et al., 2014; Lan et al., 69 2021). Moreover, the recent discovery of large CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from inland waters, including lakes, 70 ponds, reservoirs, and running water environments, resulted in a situation where the atmospheric 71 CH<sub>4</sub> growth rates are considerably smaller than expected from global emissions derived by summing 72 estimated contributions from various sources, referred to as a mismatch between global top-down 73 and bottom-up CH<sub>4</sub> emission estimates, respectively (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2020). The 74 temporal irregularities in atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> growth rates and the mismatch between top-down and 75 bottom-up flux estimates jointly highlight the current knowledge gaps regarding CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates 76 and source attribution. Although emission uncertainties exist for all types of fluxes, the large biogenic 77 ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, both natural and related with land use, have been considered the most 78 uncertain (Saunois et al., 2020).

79

80 It was recently suggested that up to half of the global CH<sub>4</sub> emissions depend on fluxes from wet 81 ecosystems, including various types of wetlands, lakes, ponds, reservoirs, running water, ocean and 82 coastal areas, and rice cultivation (Rosentreter et al., 2021). These fluxes are closely linked to 83 mechanisms controlled by plant communities in or upstream of the aquatic environment. Regardless 84 of where in the landscape CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes occur, primary production sets the boundaries for overall 85 carbon cycling, and thereby for the CH<sub>4</sub> production, and plants are the main primary producers in

- 86 most ecosystems. In other words, the net ecosystem production by plants or other primary
- 87 producers is a major indirect factor regulating ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> production.
- 88

89 Human land use, including increased anthropogenic input of nutrients to ecosystems, as well as 90 climate change, profoundly impacts plant communities around the world. There is already evidence 91 of changes in growing season lengths, net primary productivity, plant biomass stocks, and plant 92 community composition, exemplified by observations of global greening (Piao et al., 2020). There are 93 also specific observations of rapid changes of e.g., aquatic macrophyte distribution in the arctic that can cause large perturbations of ecosystem CH₄ emissions (Andresen et al., 2017). Consequently, a 94 95 better understanding of present and future CH<sub>4</sub> emissions is dependent on improved knowledge 96 about plant community dynamics and particularly of the links between plant communities and 97 ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. 98

99 This review will first briefly summarize key processes controlling ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, which is 100 needed as a background for the subsequent discussion on how plants influence ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> 101 fluxes. The aims are to (1) provide fundamental understanding on how plants play multiple important 102 roles for ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and (2) give examples from selected ecosystem types. The words 103 "emission" and "flux" are used in similar contexts to make the language more varied, but with an 104 important distinction: "Emission" is unidirectional and regards flux to the atmosphere, while "flux" is 105 omnidirectional and regards transport from one location to another in any direction.

- 106
- 107

#### **2.** Fundamental processes shaping ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

# 109 2.1 CH<sub>4</sub> production

110 Biogenic CH<sub>4</sub> is a major final degradation product from anaerobic organic matter decomposition, 111 formed by methanogenic Archaea where or when alternative terminal electron acceptors such as 112 nitrate, manganese (IV), iron (III), and sulfate are low in abundance (Segers, 1998; Garcia et al., 113 2000). Freshwater aquatic systems, and some saline systems where salinity is caused by high carbonate levels, have low availability of such alternate electron acceptors, and anoxic CH4 114 115 production can be extensive throughout all parts of the sediment or water saturated soils devoid of 116 molecular oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) (Bastviken, 2022). In marine systems, anoxic CH<sub>4</sub> production is dominant 117 deeper in the sediments, at depths where the alternative electron acceptors have been depleted by 118 other organic matter degradation processes. CH<sub>4</sub> production in anoxic waters are rarely considered 119 and substantial anoxic CH<sub>4</sub> production seems largely associated with sediments, soils, or particle-rich 120 fluids (Bastviken, 2022).

121

122 In addition to the anoxic CH<sub>4</sub> production, CH<sub>4</sub> formation can occur under oxic conditions. Stress on 123 foliage from incoming light including ultraviolet radiation, rising temperature, and physical injury, has 124 been reported to trigger oxic CH<sub>4</sub> formation associated with terrestrial vegetation – in many cases 125 from non-enzymatic processes and with suggested influence from reactive oxygen species and with 126 variability among plant species (Liu et al., 2015; Martel and Qaderi, 2017; Ernst et al., 2022). In 127 addition, oxic CH<sub>4</sub> formation in surface water has been attributed to e.g., cyanobacterial 128 photosynthesis with methylphosphonates and trimethylamine as precursors in surface lake water 129 (Bižić et al., 2020). Overall, anoxic CH<sub>4</sub> production is believed to dominate while the magnitudes of 130 the oxic production may be considerable but are still uncertain (Carmichael et al., 2014; Günthel et 131 al., 2019; Peeters et al., 2019).

132

#### 133 **2.2** *CH*<sub>4</sub> *oxidation*

134 The oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> in ecosystems is primarily performed by microbes, while plants can substantially 135 influence where conditions are suitable for this microbial CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation and the extent to which CH<sub>4</sub> 136 can bypass oxidation (discussed in Sections 2.3 and 3 below after describing fundamentals about the 137 oxidation process here). Microbial oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> is a source of energy and carbon to 138 microorganisms in habitats where CH<sub>4</sub>, being the most reduced organic compound, co-exists with 139 suitable electron acceptors. CH<sub>4</sub> oxidizing bacteria (MOB) are phylogenetically diverse (Smith and 140 Wrighton, 2019) and are well-known to be able to rapidly consume large amounts of CH<sub>4</sub> in the 141 presence of O<sub>2</sub> (Bastviken, 2022). Upon oxidation, CH<sub>4</sub> is transformed to CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. Similarly, other 142 microorganisms, often found to act in syntrophic consortia, can oxidize CH<sub>4</sub> under anaerobic 143 conditions in the presence of e.g., nitrate, manganese (IV), iron (III), and sulfate (Kallistova et al., 144 2017). The oxidation process is often most active where the abundance or re-supply rates of both 145 CH₄ and suitable electron acceptors are high enough, which is typically at redox transition zones in sediments, soils, or water. The ecosystem balance between CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation sets the 146 147 limits for how much CH<sub>4</sub> can be emitted, and zones with microbial CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation can act as an 148 important biofilter preventing large amounts of emissions. It has been estimated that CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation 149 removes 45 to almost 100 % of the produced CH<sub>4</sub> in lake ecosystems and 20-40% in wetland soils before emission (Whalen, 2005; Bastviken et al., 2008; Bastviken, 2022). 150

151

#### 152 2.3 CH<sub>4</sub> transport processes

The processes controlling  $CH_4$  transport through the ecosystems – from locations of  $CH_4$  production towards the atmosphere – determine the residence times in different ecosystem habitats (e.g. oxic and anoxic zones), and thereby the extent to which oxidation can consume  $CH_4$  before it is emitted.

- 156 Hence, the different transport processes are of great importance for overall ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub>
- emissions. This section therefore briefly outlines major transport types because they are importantfor the understanding of how plants can influence ecosystem fluxes.
- 159

The movements of dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> in soil, sediment, and surface water can occur in two fundamental ways. If there is a net water movement over significant distances, dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> follows moving water, i.e., is transported by advection. If the movement of the water itself is small over larger distances, the transport can be better described as Fickian transport, i.e., transport of dissolved compounds from locations with higher concentrations towards locations with lower concentrations in ways that can be described by Fick's Law (Hemond and Fechner, 2015).

166

167 The Fickian transport includes molecular diffusion and eddy diffusion where turbulence eddies 168 greatly speed up the transport rates (Hemond and Fechner, 2015). The Fickian transport rates are 169 determined by the concentration gradient representing the change in concentration with distance, 170 and the diffusion coefficient describing the transport rate given the physical conditions. In the 171 absence of turbulence, the slow molecular diffusion limits transport. This can happen in deep 172 undisturbed sediment pore waters or peats with little subsurface water flow, or across the diffusive 173 boundary layers, including the water surface diffusive boundary layer at the water-air interface. 174 Where there is turbulence in the water, the Fickian transport is dominated by the faster eddy 175 diffusion, often represented by greater diffusion coefficients (Hemond and Fechner, 2015). During 176 advective transport with the water flow, Fickian transport occur simultaneously and distribute the 177 solutes within the flowing water volume. In sediments or soils, particles can influence this process by 178 dispersion (Hemond and Fechner, 2015).

179

180 Accordingly, CH<sub>4</sub> produced in anoxic soils or sediments and dissolved in the pore water may move 181 slowly by Fickian transport or faster by advection via ground water movement. When reaching the 182 air-filled pores in soil, gas exchange occurs if the CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations in the water and air are not at 183 equilibrium. The CH<sub>4</sub> exchanged into the gas phase is further transported by advection or Fickian 184 transport at rates given by the local air movement or diffusion coefficient. In aquatic environments, 185 solutes reaching the top of the sediment will be transported through the water column by advective 186 transport or eddy diffusion depending on local hydrodynamic conditions. Thermo- or halocline 187 stratifications can greatly reduce the diffusion coefficients and thereby effectively limit transport 188 rates across such gradients. Finally, when dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> reaches the water surface, the diffusive 189 boundary layer at the interface between water and air is the final limiting step for the emission of 190 dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> to the atmosphere – often termed diffusive emission. CH<sub>4</sub> formed under oxic

conditions in surface water, an additional source of dissolved CH<sub>4</sub>, can also be emitted via diffusiveemission.

193

The dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> often has a relatively long residence time of CH<sub>4</sub> in the ecosystem (days or more; (Bastviken et al., 2008) – in turn allowing anaerobic and aerobic CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation to consume large amounts of the CH<sub>4</sub> before being emitted. However, upon episodes of high turbulence and extensive mixing, emission by diffusive flux can be rapid. One example is water column turnover in stratified lakes, where bottom waters developed anoxia during the stratification, and therefore can store and subsequently release large amounts of CH<sub>4</sub>. In such cases the turnover generates a very steep CH<sub>4</sub> gradient across the air-water interface that can drive large and rapid emissions (Johnson et al., 2022).

202 Because CH<sub>4</sub> has a low solubility in water, bubbles often form in sediments and where CH<sub>4</sub> formation 203 rates are high enough. These bubbles will grow and if the sediments are sufficiently loose or have 204 pore space allowing bubble migration towards the surface, and they are eventually released and 205 rapidly rise to the atmosphere by ebullition. In many aquatic environments with open water surfaces, 206 ebullition is a dominant flux pathway (Bastviken et al., 2004; Bastviken et al., 2011). Within such 207 ecosystems, ebullition seems most prominent at shallow waters and/or where the sediment organic 208 matter accumulation is high (e.g., accumulation bottoms, river/stream inlet areas, or near littoral 209 areas with high primary productivity) (DelSontro et al., 2011; Sobek et al., 2012; Natchimuthu et al., 210 2016). Ebullition can also be a dominant emission mechanism in peatlands (Christensen et al., 2003). 211 Ebullition release is too rapid to be directly influenced by CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation as the bubbles pass too 212 quickly through the oxic sediment zone or water column, but ebullition from deep sediments can 213 lead to substantial dissolution of  $CH_4$  from the rising bubbles into the water (McGinnis et al., 2006), 214 and this dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> can be oxidized as described in Section 2.2.

215

216 Vascular plants represent important conduits for CH<sub>4</sub> from the root zone to the atmosphere (Figure 217 1). For more details about this topic please see (Vroom et al., 2022). Briefly, many plants with roots 218 in water-saturated soils or sediments have internal gas transport systems for supplying root cells 219 with O<sub>2</sub> (Joabsson et al., 1999; Laanbroek, 2010). This is particularly prominent in many aquatic 220 macrophytes with aerenchyma tissue specially adapted for gas transport. To maintain pressure, the 221 aerenchyma tissue transports gases both downwards and upward in the plant between roots and 222 leaf stomata, or stem lenticels on trees, where the gas is exchanged with the atmosphere. 223 Accordingly, gases entering roots may rapidly be transported via the aerenchyma to the atmosphere 224 (Yavitt and Knapp, 1998). At least two types of transport via plants have been suggested – molecular

diffusion (passive) and convective flow (active) (Kim et al., 1998). The convective flow is driven by a

226 pressure gradient in the plant and the interplay between molecular flux and convective flux has been 227 suggested responsible for diel variability in the plant-mediated fluxes (Bendix et al., 1994; Brix et al., 228 1996; Whiting and Chanton, 1996; Kim et al., 1998; Kaki et al., 2001; Ding et al., 2004; Juutinen et al., 229 2004; Duan et al., 2005). However, some studies over multiple day-night cycles indicate negligible 230 diel flux variability from areas dominated by vascular plants in high-latitude wetlands (Backstrand et 231 al., 2008; Milberg et al., 2017). Beyond the explicit diel day-night variability in the plant-mediated 232 flux, temporal variability in CH<sub>4</sub> flux is linked with multiple factors including temperature, light, 233 humidity, and plant biomass (Chanton et al., 1993; Brix et al., 1996; Hirota et al., 2004; Juutinen et 234 al., 2004; Duan et al., 2005; Kankaala et al., 2005; Wang and Han, 2005; Bergstrom et al., 2007; 235 Milberg et al., 2017). The plant-mediated flux regulation may be strongly dependent on plant species 236 (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1991; Chanton and Whiting, 1996; Joabsson et al., 1999). However, there are also observations of similar long-term mean emissions per m<sup>2</sup> from nearby plant species, 237 238 suggesting little importance of specific species for long-term mean areal fluxes (Milberg et al., 2017). Additionally, transport of CH<sub>4</sub> via tree stems has relatively recently been demonstrated to be 239 240 important (Barba et al., 2019). 241 242 Overall, plant mediated emissions allow CH<sub>4</sub> formed in sediments to bypass pore water or water 243 column oxidation before emission. This type of transport can dominate CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in habitats 244 with emergent aquatic macrophytes (Juutinen et al., 2003; Larmola et al., 2004; Bergstrom et al., 245 2007; Pangala et al., 2017). There are indications of a possible trade-off with reduced ebullition from 246 areas with substantial plant-mediated emission (Noyce et al., 2014; Aben et al., 2022). 247 248 3. Mechanisms by which plants can influence ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emission 249 Terrestrial and aquatic plants and other primary producers can stimulate CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in many ways 250 at ecosystem scales (Figure 1). Examples include: 251 + Primary production provides the primary substrates for both anoxic and oxic CH<sub>4</sub> formation 252 in most environments. For e.g., wetland and standing water environments, higher CH<sub>4</sub> 253 emissions are commonly observed under conditions and locations with higher primary

- 254 production (see examples in Section 4 below).
- Plants can provide favourable sites for CH<sub>4</sub> formation inside or on the plants themselves
  (Covey and Megonigal, 2019). At the larger scale the foliage of vegetation (both terrestrial
  and aquatic) represents a large surface area for abiotic CH<sub>4</sub> production (Keppler et al., 2006;
  Carmichael et al., 2014).
- 259 + Plant communities in aquatic environments contribute to trapping of particulate organic
   260 matter to the sediment where stands of plants reduce turbulence (Braskerud, 2001; Duarte

261		et al., 2013; Bodmer et al., 2021; Work et al., 2021) increasing the potential for sediment $CH_4$			
262		production			
263	+	Plant mediated transport by rooted vascular plants substantially enhance emissions by			
264		speeding up transport and reducing exposure to CH <sub>4</sub> oxidation (Vroom et al., 2022).			
265					
266	Plants	can also hamper CH <sub>4</sub> emissions in several ways:			
267	_	Rooted vascular plants transport $O_2$ down to the root zone leading to $O_2$ leakage into the			
268		sediment or soil, which can favour $CH_4$ oxidation there and reduce emissions (King et al.,			
269		1998).			
270	_	Plants, including trees and mosses, can offer large surface areas for $CH_4$ oxidizing			
271		microorganisms in ecosystems (Sundh et al., 1995; Basiliko et al., 2004; Kip et al., 2010;			
272		Sundqvist et al., 2012; Stępniewska et al., 2018).			
273	_	Dense floating vegetation can also trap bubbles temporarily before they reach the			
274		atmosphere, which increases the $CH_4$ residence time in the system, favouring $CH_4$ oxidation			
275		(Bartlett et al., 1988; Kosten et al., 2016; Oliveira Junior et al., 2021).			
276	_	Dense aquatic vegetation stands can influence turbulence and thereby the transport of			
277		dissolved $CH_4$ towards and across the atmospheric interface in several ways. Dense floating			
278		macrophyte populations can reduce the wind-induced turbulence in the water, in turn			
279		reducing gas exchange rates, and increasing the potential for oxidation before emission			
280		(Kosten et al., 2016; Oliveira Junior et al., 2021). Diel differences in temperature between			
281		open water and floating plant mats, together with basin scale water movements, can cause			
282		lateral advection so that dissolved gases from vegetated areas are exported and emitted			
283		elsewhere (Amaral et al., 2022). In flooded forests, where winds are also reduced,			
284		turbulence-driven gas exchange rates can be regulated by a combination of shear from wind-			
285		driven water movements induced outside the forest and from convection associated with			
286		nocturnal cooling (MacIntyre et al., 2019). Accordingly, dense aquatic vegetation can not			
287		only influence gas exchange directly, but also the relative importance of different processes			
288		regulating transport and emissions of dissolved CH <sub>4</sub> (Oliveira Junior et al., 2021).			
289					
290	Other plant effects on fluxes can be logically inferred or hypothesized although hitherto seemingly				
291	not being studied or quantified:				
292	0	Roots of aquatic macrophytes may stabilize sediments, preventing the release of sediment			

293 bubbles and reducing ebullition rates.

In dryer environments, transpiration by rooted vegetation can lower the soil water level,
 increasing the depth of the aerated CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation zone in the upper soil – reducing
 emissions.

297

Further studies are needed to fully explore the quantitative importance of these potential plant related effects on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions across different ecosystems.

300

301 \*\*\*\*FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE\*\*\*\*

- 302
- 303

### **4.** Interactions between vegetation and CH<sub>4</sub> emission in example ecosystems

### **305 4.1 General primary producer influences on ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> cycling**

Given the many and complex processes by which plants influence ecosystem carbon fluxes as outlined in Section 3 above and in Figure 1, it is difficult to quantitatively assess the total plant contributions to total CH<sub>4</sub> flux, and such knowledge is missing in many ecosystems. Accordingly, the sections below should be seen as attempts to integrate existing conceptual knowledge with available scattered quantitative information on the importance of plants for CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in example ecosystems (some of them illustrated in Figure 2).

312

313 Common to all ecosystems is that primary productivity controls the availability of substrates for CH<sub>4</sub> 314 production over time. Increasing amounts of evidence indicate that CH<sub>4</sub> production and emissions 315 are stimulated by the production of labile organic matter and by high primary production (Whiting 316 and Chanton, 1993; Bellisario et al., 1999; King and Reeburgh, 2002; King et al., 2002; Backstrand et 317 al., 2008; Bastviken et al., 2008; Davidson et al., 2015; West et al., 2015; Grasset et al., 2018; Kuhn et 318 al., 2021; Aben et al., 2022). The link between primary production and CH<sub>4</sub> production and emission 319 can be delayed (example at the end of Section 4.4 below), and for full consideration integration 320 across long enough time periods is necessary. The indirect influence of plant communities on CH<sub>4</sub> 321 fluxes has been acknowledged in many ecosystem models where ecosystem primary productivity proxies are used as an important emission driver (Wania et al., 2013). 322 323

Several links between whole-ecosystem carbon cycling and CH<sub>4</sub> have been made for lakes, ponds, or
wetlands, including:

Anaerobic CH<sub>4</sub> production was estimated to 13% of primary production (Rudd and Taylor, 1980)
 and 20-56% of organic matter respiration across multiple ecosystems (Capone and Kiene, 1988;

- Kuivila et al., 1988; Bédard and Knowles, 1991; Mattson and Likens, 1993; Boon and Mitchell,
  1995; Hamilton et al., 1995; Ford et al., 2002).
- Oxic CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation can be similar to primary production rates during limited time periods in
   aquatic ecosystems (Rudd and Taylor, 1980; Kankaala et al., 2006).
- The production of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidizing bacteria was found to be 0.3 to >10 % of primary productivity
   and their biomass constituted 1.4 to 41% of total bacterial biomass in lakes of different types
   (Utsumi et al., 1998; Bastviken et al., 2003; Eller et al., 2005; Sundh et al., 2005).
- CH<sub>4</sub> emissions have been reported to correspond to 24-37% of summer productivity in a small
   shallow hypereutrophic lake (Strayer and Tiedje, 1978), and plant-mediated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from
   stands of *Typha* sp. and *Cladium jamaicense* in the Everglades were 3-14% of net ecosystem
   production (Chanton et al., 1993).

Collectively, these findings illustrate the large general importance of plant primary production for
 CH<sub>4</sub> production, and a large quantitative importance of CH<sub>4</sub> in relation to overall carbon cycling in
 aquatic ecosystems.

342

343 Aerobic CH<sub>4</sub> production associated with surfaces of terrestrial upland vegetation or litter has been 344 comprehensively reviewed (Carmichael et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). Experimental studies observed 345  $CH_4$  production on upland plants that if extrapolated would result in > 200 Tg  $CH_4$  per year (up to 36%) 346 of the total global CH<sub>4</sub> budget), while other experiments showed much smaller or negligible 347 production (Carmichael et al., 2014). Most of this information rely on small-scale incubation studies 348 and field-scale observations of associated fluxes are rare. Given the large vegetation and litter 349 surface area, potential associated ecosystem fluxes could be important as discussed further in 350 Section 4.6 below. Other interactions between terrestrial upland vegetation includes the supply 351 organic substrates for microbial CH<sub>4</sub> production in soil. However, most of this upland soil CH<sub>4</sub> may be 352 oxidized in aerated top soils (Saunois et al., 2020), unless high soil moisture lead to emissions (Lohila 353 et al., 2016) or lateral export with soil and ground water lead to emissions in recipient streams 354 (Natchimuthu et al., 2017; Lupon et al., 2019).

355

There can be important, yet complex interactions between plants and temporal variability of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. There is growing support for a positive exponential relationship between ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions and temperature (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2014; Aben et al., 2017). This temperature regulation interacts with supply rates of organic substrates for CH<sub>4</sub> production from plant primary production, and with the other plant effects on CH<sub>4</sub> dynamics, including availability of plant-mediated transport pathways (Figure 1). Accordingly, there can be plant-induced enhancement of ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in synergy with the direct temperature effect, by increased plant productivity,

biomass, or prolonged plant growing seasons (Andresen et al., 2017). For example, synergies

- 364 between nutrient additions and temperature treatments have been confirmed in experimental
- 365 studies with submerged or floating macrophytes (Elodea candansis, Potamogeton crispus,
- 366 Ceratophyllum demersum, Myriophyllum spicatum, and Azolla filiculoides) (Davidson et al., 2018),
- 367 and in some cases plant productivity and composition effects have been found more important for
- 368 CH<sub>4</sub> emissions than temperature changes (Davidson et al., 2015; Davidson et al., 2018; Aben et al.,
- 369 2022). Another study in less productive nitrogen limited boreal lakes found weak influence of whole
- lake nitrogen additions on CH₄ emissions (Klaus et al., 2018), indicating that the importance of plant-
- 371 effects versus effects of other factors for CH<sub>4</sub> emissions may differ among ecosystem types.
- 372
- 373 \*\*\*\*FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE\*\*\*\*
- 374

### 375 4.2 High latitude wetlands

376 In high latitude wetlands, the water table position and nutrient status determine the plant 377 community composition that then affects CH<sub>4</sub> flux through influence on production, oxidation, and 378 transport as has been comprehensively discussed in earlier reviews on wetland methane emissions 379 (Bartlett and Harriss, 1993; Bubier and Moore, 1994; Blodau, 2002; Whalen, 2005; Lai, 2009; 380 Bridgham et al., 2013; Abdalla et al., 2016; Kuhn et al., 2021). Early studies on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes showed the 381 correlation between water table position and daily flux, where water tables near the surface had the 382 highest emissions and correlated with vegetation composition and moss types (Bubier, 1995). 383 Further research demonstrated the role of vascular plants in controlling CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from high 384 latitude wetlands by influencing both substrate availability through recent photosynthates, oxidation 385 and the transport pathways (Joabsson et al., 1999). However, time lags between photosynthesis and 386 CH<sub>4</sub> production as well as the storage of CH<sub>4</sub> in sediments can mask the relationship between primary 387 productivity and emissions, which may become clearer when integrated over seasonal to annual time 388 scales (Blodau, 2002).

389

390 Recent syntheses have shown that broader wetland classes can be used to predict fluxes because 391 these capture the mean water table position and dominant vegetation types (Olefeldt et al., 2013; Turetsky et al., 2014; Treat et al., 2018; Kuhn et al., 2021). The major classes have been defined 392 393 broadly as freshwater marshes, fens with sedges and mosses, and bogs with Sphagnum mosses 394 (Table 1). CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are variable within and across these classes, with highest emissions from 395 marshes, followed by fens and bogs, respectively (Table 1). The presence of permafrost is also a key 396 control on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions; emissions from permafrost wetlands are on average 60% lower than 397 northern wetlands without permafrost (Treat et al., 2018). Coastal tidal-influenced and saline

398 marshes have generally been considered separately but observations are limited for many northern 399 regions (Poffenbarger et al., 2011). Other northern ecosystems such as upland tundra and boreal forests can also emit CH<sub>4</sub> (Lohila et al., 2016; Zona et al., 2016). While these wetland or land cover 400 401 classes show considerable variability within each class, likely because these classes include the 402 variability among the plot and community scale vegetation composition discussed above, these are 403 useful categories because there are significant differences in  $CH_4$  flux among them (Table 1). 404 Furthermore, often they can be distinguished with some success from remote sensing observations 405 or machine learning analysis (Webster et al., 2018; Matthews et al., 2020; Olefeldt et al., 2021). Until 406 now, uncertainties in the distribution of different wetland types and water bodies have prevented 407 comparisons between high latitude emissions from models that prescribe wetland emissions based 408 on area coverage (Melton et al., 2013) and observations (Olefeldt et al., 2013; Turetsky et al., 2014; 409 Treat et al., 2018; Kuhn et al., 2021).

410

411 Temperature provides another broad control on CH<sub>4</sub> flux across high latitude wetlands by controlling 412 the timing and length of the growing season (also influenced by radiation) and by influencing the soil 413 temperature. Generally, annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes increase with annual temperature in wetlands (Delwiche 414 et al., 2021), with temperate wetlands having higher annual emissions (median: 13.3 g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) 415 than similar types of wetlands in boreal (7.2 g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) or Arctic regions (6.2 g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; (Treat 416 et al., 2018). This is likely due to the direct influence of temperature on rates of microbial  $CH_4$ 417 production (Dunfield et al., 1993; Yavitt et al., 1997; Treat et al., 2015), but also the indirect effects of 418 vegetation productivity. In northern soils where freezing is common, peak temperatures in soils lag 419 peak air temperatures. This causes a delay in peak CH<sub>4</sub> emissions relative to the peak season GPP 420 that can range from nearly simultaneous to as much as 60 days (Delwiche et al., 2021). Due to these 421 warm soil temperatures into the fall, as well as CH<sub>4</sub> storage in sediments, emissions outside of the 422 growing season can account for a substantial portion (13-47%) of annual emissions (Treat et al., 423 2018). Thus, even in the absence of vegetation activity, CH<sub>4</sub> emissions can occur in northern wetlands 424 (Mastepanov et al., 2008; Zona et al., 2016).

425

Questions remain about the response of CH<sub>4</sub> flux in northern wetlands to disturbance, including
permafrost thaw, fire, flooding, and other extreme events, such as excessive heat and drought.
However, there are only a few sites with long enough records of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes to be able to discern
these types of events, and due to lag times between production and emission, measurements should
continue beyond the growing season into the shoulder seasons and winter.

431

432 4.3 Rice paddies

433 Rice probably represents the plant genus responsible for most plant-mediated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. Rice field emissions are estimated to be 24-40 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Bridgham et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2020). The 434 435 CH<sub>4</sub> production in rice paddies is stimulated by the release of labile organic matter synthetized by 436 photosynthesis via the roots. More than 50% of the rice CH<sub>4</sub> emission is generated from the root 437 exudates or decomposed plant material (Lu and Conrad, 2005). Rice production demands the 438 establishment and maintenance of flooded conditions that reduce the presence of oxygen and other 439 electron acceptors (e.g., nitrate or sulfate) favouring CH<sub>4</sub> production. The high sediment CH<sub>4</sub> 440 production rates result in high CH<sub>4</sub> emissions via rice aerenchyma to the atmosphere, bypassing the 441 sediment oxic-anoxic interface.

442

Most of the global production of rice happens at lower latitudes where the temperatures and also
CH<sub>4</sub> production rates are high (Fernando, 1993), and the rice paddies act as landscape emission
hotspots. Considering that CH<sub>4</sub> production is positively correlated with temperatures (Yvon-Durocher
et al., 2014), increasing global temperatures may trigger an increase in overall rice-mediated CH<sub>4</sub>
fluxes. Furthermore, given the predicted increase in global world population from the present 8 to
>10 billion by 2100 (Ezeh et al., 2012), the growing demand for food will likely increase rice paddy
cultivation and increase CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from rice paddies in the future.

450

451 Several management approaches have been tested in order to minimize the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice 452 paddies, with the application of gypsum and phosphogypsum, and the application of sulfate with N 453 fertilizer (e.g., ammonium sulfate) being the most common practices (Liu et al., 2018). Sulfate 454 reduction is an anaerobic process energetically more favourable than methanogenesis and therefore 455 sulfate addition decreases CH<sub>4</sub> production and emissions without affecting the rice growth or yield. 456 Other strategies have focused on management of the flooding regime or straw amendment 457 (Belenguer-Manzanedo et al., 2022). Genetic modifications have also been successful in regulating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, e.g., the addition of a transcription barley gene SUSIBA2 to experimental rice strains, 458 459 promoted a shift in rice carbon flow decreasing its CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Su et al., 2015). A decrease in 50% 460 CH<sub>4</sub> emission with the SUSIBA2 japonica and SUSIBA2 indica rice types have been reported, due to a 461 decrease in organic carbon to the soil, without decreasing rice yield (Du et al., 2021). We do not 462 intend to claim that genetic modified rice strains should indiscriminately replace non-modified rice 463 types, given that this controversial topic is out of the scope of this study. More relevant in the 464 frameworks of this review is that these findings exemplify how plant physiology and genetic traits of 465 plant communities can influence ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> cycling.

466

#### 467 4.4 Lakes, reservoirs, and ponds

468 Substrates for CH<sub>4</sub> production in lakes, reservoirs, and ponds (standing water systems collectively 469 referred to as lentic waters) include input organic matter from plant primary production in upstream 470 catchments and littoral zones, and from phytoplankton and periphyton production. The catchment 471 organic matter contributions can fuel substantial CH<sub>4</sub> emission also in systems with low internal 472 primary production. Positive relationships between in-system primary productivity (aquatic plants, 473 periphyton, and phytoplankton supplying the most labile organic material) and CH<sub>4</sub> production have 474 also been suggested (Bastviken et al., 2008; Duc et al., 2010; Davidson et al., 2015; West et al., 2015; 475 Grasset et al., 2018).

476

477 Most available CH<sub>4</sub> emission measurements from lentic ecosystems consider fluxes from the open 478 water surface, where diffusive flux and ebullition dominates. From a mechanistic point of view, such 479 emissions are not directly linked to primary productivity or CH<sub>4</sub> production rates, and instead 480 represent a balance between multiple processes, including CH<sub>4</sub> production, different transport 481 pathways, and CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation. However, for reservoirs, a recent meta-analysis found a clear 482 relationship between such open water fluxes and chlorophyll-a levels, representing a proxy for in-483 system phytoplankton primary productivity (Deemer and Holgerson, 2021). If the recently suggested 484 oxic surface water CH<sub>4</sub> production is primarily linked with planktonic photosynthesis, this adds a 485 direct link between phytoplankton metabolism and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Bižić et al., 2020; Günthel et al., 486 2020). A whole-lake experiment where the primary producers were <sup>13</sup>C-labelled by adding <sup>13</sup>C-487 bicarbonate to two lakes provides relevant information to evaluate this possibility. The experiment showed that the dissolved surface water CH<sub>4</sub> responded most strongly to the <sup>13</sup>C addition 488 approximately one month after the <sup>13</sup>C signal reached the particulate organic carbon (Bastviken et 489 490 al., 2008). This indicates a delayed link between dissolved  $CH_4$  and lake primary production (Figure 3). 491 Accordingly, this whole-lake C tracer experiment points towards the importance of the longer, 492 indirect link between primary production and epilimnetic CH<sub>4</sub> via anoxic sediment CH<sub>4</sub> production, 493 rather than direct, oxic surface water CH<sub>4</sub> production linked to photosynthesis in the studied small 494 lakes. However, conditions may differ among systems and the debate about the ecosystem 495 implications of oxic surface water CH<sub>4</sub> production (Günthel et al., 2019; Peeters et al., 2019; 496 Hartmann et al., 2020) requires additional consideration. 497

#### 498 **\*\*\*\*FIGURE 3 NEAR HERE\*\*\*\***

499

Littoral zones include areas with submerged, floating-leaved (rooted or non-rooted), and emergent
 vegetation. Few field studies have focused on submerged macrophytes but their potential
 importance was recently highlighted (Hilt et al., 2022), and experimental studies with submerged

503 plants have indicated high importance for system CH<sub>4</sub> dynamics and emissions in interaction with 504 nutrient concentrations (see Section 4.1) (Davidson et al., 2015; Davidson et al., 2018; Aben et al., 505 2022). Free-floating plants can also have important effects on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes by providing substrates for 506 CH<sub>4</sub> production while also influencing CH<sub>4</sub> transport, as outlined in Section 3 and being detailed 507 elsewhere (Kosten et al., 2016; Oliveira Junior et al., 2021). In a field study of a tropical floodplain 508 lake, open water fluxes within 0-20 m of dense floating macrophyte populations (Eichhornia sp.) was 509 shown >2-fold greater than from areas being > 45 m away from such vegetation belts with no depth 510 difference among locations (Peixoto et al., 2015). This indicates substantial local contributions of 511 decaying plant biomass for ebullition.

512

513 A number of field studies in littoral zones have focused on emissions from emergent vascular aquatic 514 macrophytes (Juutinen et al., 2003; Larmola et al., 2004; Bergstrom et al., 2007). Littoral flux 515 measurements and regulation seem largely consistent with wetland observations (see sections 2.3 516 and 4.2 above). It has been suggested that the littoral zones with plant mediated fluxes can 517 contribute a large share of the total flux (the sum of vegetated and open water fluxes) if the 518 vegetated area is extensive enough. Littoral vegetated zones contributed 66-77% of the ice-free 519 period integrated CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from three Finnish lakes (Juutinen et al., 2003). In a Canadian lake, a 520 detailed study showed that 26% of the area covered by emergent macrophytes contributed 80% of 521 the mean daily CH<sub>4</sub> flux during the ice-free season, and that 34% of the flux from the vegetated area 522 was emitted via plant mediated flux while 62% was emitted by ebullition among the plants 523 (Desrosiers et al., 2022). This study also showed that plant community composition was important 524 for determining the predominant flux pathway. 525 In some cases, littoral plant-mediated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are large enough to create CH<sub>4</sub> flux gradients 526 along lake shores (Figure 4). Recent airborne imaging spectroscopy has identified hot spot zones 527 within 40 m from standing water, possibly indicating the importance of combined emissions from 528 open water and littoral zones (Elder et al., 2020). Recent mapping of >4500 arctic-boreal lakes 529 showed that the area with emergent vegetation comprised 16% of the lake area and including CH<sub>4</sub> 530 fluxes from this area was suggested to increase estimates of total lake CH<sub>4</sub> emissions by 18-25% 531 (Kyzivat et al., 2022). One challenge is ensuring that regional scaling of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes does not double-532 count lake littoral zones as both a part of the lake CH<sub>4</sub> emission estimate and as a part of the wetland 533 CH<sub>4</sub> emission estimate.

534

The issue of double-counting CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from lakes and wetlands are further discussed elsewhere
(Thornton et al., 2016). Fundamentally, this is a question about matching land cover categories with
flux measurements. There may be a mismatch between the traditional definition of lakes including

their littoral zones versus a more "methanocentric" land cover categorization based on underlying 538 539 mechanisms and regulation. The latter leads to a potential conclusion that all vegetated aquatic 540 environments – also in lakes, ponds, and reservoirs – are better categorized as wetlands of different 541 types, while open water, being more easily distinguished by remote sensing and being dominated by 542 other CH<sub>4</sub> flux pathways and other flux regulation, represents another main land cover category. 543 Such a methanocentric land cover categorization may have many advantages for CH<sub>4</sub> emission 544 extrapolation but require replacing traditional ecosystem definitions with land cover categories 545 based on predominant biogeochemical processes. Overall, littoral zones require additional attention 546 to properly quantify lentic CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and efforts developing a clear distinction of how all CH<sub>4</sub> 547 emitting land cover types are classified and combined with the most relevant flux observations are 548 critically needed (Kuhn et al., 2021; Olefeldt et al., 2021).

549

#### 550 **\*\*\*\*FIGURE 4 NEAR HERE\*\*\*\***

551

552 Most examples above from lentic systems indicates that presence of plants increases CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. 553 However, in hypereutrophic aquatic systems which emit large amounts of CH<sub>4</sub>, such as agricultural 554 dams (Grinham et al., 2018; Ollivier et al., 2019), there are observations that increased presence of 555 vegetation can result in less nitrogen and phosphorous in the water, more dissolved oxygen, and 556 lower methane emissions (Malerba et al., 2022). Speculated reasons could include combinations of 557 factors mentioned above such as root zone oxygenation and trapping of bubbles along with more 558 surfaces suitable for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidisers, and possibly also reduced phytoplankton production if there was 559 light competition.

560

### 561 4.5 Amazon floodplain forests

562 The Amazonian lowland basin forest is an example of a vast tropical floodplain forest (Melack and 563 Hess, 2011; Hess et al., 2015). It represents a highly diverse, yet unique environment constituted by a 564 rich mosaic of terrestrial, aquatic and transitional ecosystems subjected to seasonal and permanent 565 waterlogging (Junk et al., 2011). The Amazon River flows 4000 km from the Andes to the Atlantic, 566 carrying more water than any other river. The basin includes an extensive system of riverine flooded 567 forests, which in some cases are flooded on a seasonal basis and in other cases are flooded all 568 through the year. Hot tropical temperatures, extensive tree cover with continuous supply of fresh 569 carbon substrates and anoxia due to flooding create favourable conditions for CH<sub>4</sub> production and 570 emission(Wassmann et al., 1992). Therefore, it is not surprising when this region alone is responsible 571 for emitting ~8% (46.2±10.3 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Basso et al., 2021) of the global CH<sub>4</sub> emissions estimated to 576 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Saunois et al., 2020). 572

573

574 The floodplain forests experience extensive flooding, and the flooding depth and duration is linked to 575 the type of forest (low várzea, high várzea or chavascal), location (upstream or downstream of the 576 river) and draining catchment characteristics (Junk et al., 2011). Since the late 1980s, attempts have 577 been made to quantify different CH<sub>4</sub> sources of tropical floodplain forests and significant CH<sub>4</sub> 578 emissions are reported from the flooded forest soils, floating and rooted macrophytes, aquatic 579 sources within the flooded forest and adjoining open waters of lakes and rivers (example data in 580 Table 1) (Bartlett et al., 1988; Devol et al., 1988; Bastviken et al., 2010; Sawakuchi et al., 2014; 581 Barbosa et al., 2020; Barbosa et al., 2021). The trees are adapted to anoxic environment through 582 morphological and physiological traits, including gas transport to supply root cells with  $O_2$ , to survive 583 flooding (Junk et al., 2010; Parolin and Wittmann, 2010). As other woody plants experiencing 584 flooding, they have lenticels on the stems for such gas exchange and the gas exchange contribute to 585 an extensive recently discovered plant mediated  $CH_4$  flux from tree stems (Gauci et al., 2010). 586

587 Large seasonal variation in inundation period and areas is a key challenge to identifying the variability 588 in space and time of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the Amazon flooded forests (Barbosa et al., 2021). This is 589 further complicated when new CH<sub>4</sub> emissions pathways such as those from flooded trees are 590 discovered, when regionalization of emissions from previously known CH<sub>4</sub> sources in the Amazon 591 basin is already a challenging task (Melack et al., 2022). In recent years, flooded trees are not only 592 known to influence CH<sub>4</sub> dynamics through their fresh carbon supply stimulating methanogenesis and 593 root-zone O<sub>2</sub> leakage stimulating CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation - they are also known to emit CH<sub>4</sub> (Pangala et al., 594 2017). Further, stem flux from flooded trees were estimated to contribute nearly half the regional 595 Amazon basin CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Pangala et al., 2017). Apart from the tree-mediated flux of CH<sub>4</sub> from 596 the root zone to the atmosphere, enhanced by morphological adaptations in flooded trees, recent 597 studies now suggest that trees themselves can produce CH<sub>4</sub> within their tree stems albeit at lower 598 rates (Covey et al., 2012; Covey and Megonigal, 2019).

599

600 CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from 13 forested floodplains along the Amazon River in Brazil was measured,

attempting to capture spatial variability (Pangala et al., 2017). The measurements were made during
a single high-water event leading to uncertainty in extrapolations over time. In a recent study, tree
stem CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were reported to continue throughout all four hydrological distinct seasons
(rising, flooded, receding and low water period), albeit at lower rates (Gauci et al., 2022). The study
also found a strong relationship between water table depth below the surface and tree CH<sub>4</sub> emission
and highlighted that riparian floodplain margins with water table below-ground contribute an
additional 2.3-3.9 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> to the atmosphere. Applying this to global tropical wetlands yield a non-

flooded riparian tree  $CH_4$  emission estimate of 6.4 Tg  $CH_4$  yr<sup>-1</sup> with recognition that the area-related extrapolation is uncertain (Gauci et al., 2022).

610

611 While studies so far suggest  $CH_4$  emissions from the floodplain forest are significant, the variability 612 and regulation remains largely unknown, including the extent to which the spatial variability is driven 613 by soil dynamics, climate, flooding regime, or tree species traits. For instance, white-water (carrying 614 sediments from the Andes), clear-water (draining the ancient shields) and black-water (draining 615 white sand areas and soils with humic substances) are known to emit different quantities of CH<sub>4</sub> 616 (Pangala et al., 2017), thereby greatly influencing the rates and overall regional annual CH<sub>4</sub> estimates 617 in synergy with ecosystem processes including plant influences on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (Figure 1). The nutrients 618 associated with water types strongly determine the floodplain forest ecology and species 619 composition. While studies in other forested wetlands highlight a link between tree traits and tree 620 CH<sub>4</sub> flux (Barba et al., 2019; Covey and Megonigal, 2019), the Amazonian flooded forest tree species 621 influence on CH<sub>4</sub> flux remains unclear.

622

623 In recent years there has been a renewed threat to Amazon forests from the expansion of cattle 624 ranching, low-productivity agriculture, dams, mining, fire, deforestation and intensified flooding and 625 prolonged dry period, changing the face of the flooded forests at an alarming rate. How CH<sub>4</sub> 626 emissions, particularly from flooded trees, respond to such change is still unclear. Amazonian tree 627 mortality rates are already increasing in many intact forests and Amazonian forest species 628 composition has been affected by flooding and recent droughts. The mortality of wet-affiliated 629 Amazonian tree genera has increased in places where the dry season has intensified (Aleixo et al., 630 2019) or where the hydrology was changed by damming (Assahira et al., 2017). Such changes may 631 have profound impact on the CH<sub>4</sub> dynamics from flooded forests and on future tropical CH<sub>4</sub> 632 emissions.

633

### 634 4.6 Vegetation-related CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in other forests

635 Beyond the Amazon floodplain, the number of CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements from tree stems growing on 636 temperate and tropical peatlands, in upland forest ecosystems and in riparian forests has been 637 increasing. Temperate ecosystems growing in both riparian lowland ecosystems and peatlands have 638 demonstrated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from both ash trees (*Fraxinus mandschuria*; (Terazawa et al., 2007), 639 alder (Alnus glutinosa; (Gauci et al., 2010; Pangala et al., 2015) and birch (Betula pubescens; (Pangala 640 et al., 2015). All trees tended to demonstrate a decrease in stem emissions with distance from the 641 forest floor as found in many other studies of wetland tree emissions. The two species birch and 642 alder measured in a UK alder carr ecosystem, tended to operate differently in terms of their seasonal

- CH<sub>4</sub> emissions with birch giving a large range of emissions depending on season of up to ~200 μg m<sup>-2</sup>
  hr<sup>-1</sup> in summer and as low as ~50 μg m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> in winter. This is in contrast to alder, where emissions
  ranged between ~100 μg m<sup>-2</sup>hr<sup>-1</sup> in winter and around ~180 μg m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> in summer (Pangala et al.,
  2015) suggesting differences in the CH<sub>4</sub> transport mechanisms from soil to emission, between the
  tree species. More controlled mesocosm experiments with alder saplings gave further insights into
  factors controlling the size of emissions (Pangala et al., 2014) with pore water CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations
  and stem lenticel density exhibiting a major control over emissions.
- 650
- 651 Further controls over tree stem emissions have been found for Southeast Asian peat swamp forests 652 where a large range of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes measured from 10 peatland tree species (zero to ~200  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) 653 seemed to be controlled by wood specific density (with the higher the wood density, the lower the 654 emission), soil pore water  $CH_4$  concentrations and stem diameter (Pangala et al., 2014). Cumulatively, 655 emissions from tree stems in these ecosystems, as with those from the Amazon floodplain, 656 dominated ecosystem emissions when scaled. This is in contrast to emissions from trees in 657 neotropical peatlands in Panama where trees contributed ~30% of total ecosystem emissions where 658 factors such as species identity, stem diameter, water level and soil temperature explained much of 659 the observed variance in tree stem emission (Sjögersten et al., 2020). Fluxes, were, however larger 660 than those from SE Asian peat swamps with individual stem fluxes, particularly near the stem base in 661 the range 1-30 mg m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. While palm emissions in these Panamanian peat swamps tended to be 662 negligible, confining tree-stem emissions solely to hard wood trees, in Peruvian peatlands palm 663 stems tended to emit substantial quantities of CH<sub>4</sub> (Soosaar et al., 2022).
- 664

665 In upland ecosystems, trees inhabit areas of lower water availability given free draining soils and 666 substrates and so there is less soil CH<sub>4</sub> being produced in relatively sparse anaerobic microsites. That 667 said, emissions are still observed, particularly at the stem bases (30 cm above the forest floor) in 668 Panamanian upland trees where emissions at around  $\sim 100 \ \mu g \ m^{-2} \ hr^{-1}$  were comparable to those 669 observed in Borneo peat swamps and temperate alder carr. Other results, tend to conflict with some 670 trees demonstrating some emission, but with no clear vertical pattern of exchange and with high 671 variability (Pitz et al., 2018; Barba et al., 2019; Barba et al., 2021) though net uptake has been 672 observed in other studies (Machacova et al., 2021; Gauci et al., 2022).

673

While trees are clearly capable of emitting soil-derived CH<sub>4</sub> at their stem bases, uptake of CH<sub>4</sub> further

up the tree stem has been suggested (Jeffrey et al., 2020; Gauci et al., 2022). In parallel, there is a

676 growing literature regarding abiotic oxic CH<sub>4</sub> production at plant and litter surfaces generating

emissions (reviewed by (Carmichael et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). This literature is based on

678 observations of CH<sub>4</sub> release from plant tissue enclosed in CH<sub>4</sub>-free vials or chambers. Mechanisms 679 are discussed and plant produced molecules with detachable methyl groups are potential precursors. 680 The CH<sub>4</sub> release seem enhanced by UV-light, increasing temperature, reactive oxygen species and 681 other types of plant stress. Measured CH<sub>4</sub> production rates are often low in absolute numbers in the 682 experimental settings but scaling to large plant surface areas results in global emission estimates in the order of 8 – 176 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>1</sup> (Carmichael et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015) to a large extent from 683 684 forests, or 1-31% of the global  $CH_4$  emissions (using a global top-down estimate of 576 Tg  $CH_4$  yr<sup>1</sup>; 685 Table 1). Because of the high uncertainty in extrapolation of small-scale incubation studies and 686 limited field scale observations, this flux was not yet specifically considered in recent global CH<sub>4</sub> 687 budgets (Saunois et al., 2020), and large emissions from aerobic CH<sub>4</sub> production on plants and forests 688 are challenging to reconcile with *in-situ* observations at present. There may be some bias in *in-situ* 689 flux measurements if not properly capturing UV- effects, but top-down inversion estimates based on 690 atmospheric concentration gradients in space and time should capture all emissions. Hence, overall 691 tree and vegetation emissions at ecosystem scales remain enigmatic and represent an important 692 challenge to constrain and predict the global CH<sub>4</sub> budget.

693

### 694 4.7 Coastal ecosystems

695 Globally, vegetated coastal areas including salt marshes, mangroves and seagrass meadows are 696 estimated to emit 3.6 to 6.2 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>, with the highest fluxes observed in salt marshes followed by 697 mangroves and seagrass meadows (Table 1) (Al-Haj and Fulweiler, 2020; Rosentreter et al., 2021). As 698 previously described in other environments, the roots can transport CH<sub>4</sub> from the sediments directly 699 to the atmosphere bypassing the CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation in the sediments. In an Australian mangrove, tree 700 emissions from pneumatophores (roots growing upwards into the air for gas exchange increasing 701 root system  $O_2$  access) accounted for ~ 26% of the mangrove emissions (Jeffrey et al., 2019). 702 Although no direct evidence of plant-mediated emissions from seagrass have been found in the 703 literature, it has been suggested that dead seagrass or detached parts deposited in the sediment can 704 provide methylated compounds that can sustain CH<sub>4</sub> production for a long time (Schorn et al., 2022). 705 As in other freshwater environments, plants and cyanobacteria have a key role as suppliers of 706 organic matter. A main difference from freshwater environments is that coastal environments tend 707 to be sulfate-rich areas, and sulfate-reducing microorganisms outcompete methanogens for organic 708 substrates limiting CH<sub>4</sub> production (Oremland and Polcin, 1982; Schorn et al., 2022). Zhuang et al 709 (2018) observed that methylotropic methanogenesis contributed to 43-87% of the total CH<sub>4</sub> 710 production in the sulfate reduction zone at the top layer of the sediment, and the remaining 711 produced by hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. The lower layers of the sediment, where sulfate 712 was depleted, 67-98% of the CH<sub>4</sub> was produced by hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. Acetoclastic

- 713 methanogenesis contributed a maximum of 31% of the CH<sub>4</sub> production in organic-rich sediment
- 714 (Zhuang et al., 2018). Due to the thicker sediment redox gradient where sulfate metabolism
- dominates, CH<sub>4</sub> production is confined to deeper sediment layers than in freshwaters. Therefore,
- plant mediated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in coastal areas may be more extensive via plants with deeper roots.
- 717 Despite this limitation, methylotropic methanogenesis can still maintain significant CH<sub>4</sub> production,
- sustaining a sediment-water flux of approximately 1.7 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in seagrass sediments (Schorn
- et al., 2022). Coastal environments are estimated to account for up to ~1% of the global CH<sub>4</sub> budget
- and contribute more than 60% of the marine CH<sub>4</sub> emission (Al-Haj and Fulweiler, 2020).
- 721
- Sulfate reduction is associated with anaerobic oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> (AOM), which can significantly
- influence the fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> from the sediment to the water column in coastal areas (Egger et al.,
- 2018). In addition to AOM, rooted plants transport oxygen to the root zone and sediment, where
- aerobic methane oxidation can occur. Fluxes from deforested mangroves and cut seagrass indicate
- an increase in  $CH_4$  emissions that was attributed to cessation of the  $O_2$  transport and oxidation in the
- 727 sediment (Giani et al., 1996).
- 728

As in any other aquatic ecosystem, plants have a major role as source of organic substrates.

- 730 Therefore, CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from coastal environments may increase with intensified land-use and
- eutrophication of coastal areas leading to greater primary production and organic matter sedimentload (Rosentreter et al., 2021).
- 733

734

735 **5.** Conclusions and need for future studies

736 Overall, primary production is indirectly the foundation for all contemporary non-fossil CH4 737 emissions, corresponding to approximately 80 % of the annual atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> budget, or 431-671 738 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> including fluxes from agriculture, forestry, other land use, biomass burning, and from the 739 waste sector (Saunois et al., 2020; bottom-up fluxes 2008-2017 used). In addition, plants can 740 influence the extent and dynamics of ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in many ways (Figure 1). Importantly, 741 plant communities respond rapidly to environmental change. Therefore, adequate understanding 742 and predictions of relevant plant community features are key to adequate assessments of future 743 landscape CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. To approach such understanding, improved quantitative knowledge on CH<sub>4</sub> 744 fluxes from plant habitats under varying conditions are needed. This leads to several demands on 745 future ecosystem-level research of vegetation-related CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes including: 746 • All CH<sub>4</sub> sources and sinks associated with vegetation need to be properly identified and

747 quantified, and fluxes with different regulation need to be distinguished.

Flux variability should be examined across spatial and temporal scales of relevance for local
 habitat/vegetation communities to distinguish short-term local variability from long-term
 large-scale trends.

- Comprehensive long-term ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> flux assessments are needed, simultaneously
   quantifying plant-related emissions and other major types of emissions, along with careful
   characterization of properties and processes in studied ecosystems that can provide
   regulatory or predictive understanding. This should be done at sites representative of
   different vegetation types, to support dynamic ecosystem scale modelling of CH<sub>4</sub> flux.
- Tropical ecosystems need increased scientific attention, given their great importance for
   contemporary and future CH<sub>4</sub> emissions.
- For flux extrapolation, more accurate areal distributions of key ecosystems and habitats
   based on criteria optimized for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions is needed. This includes, e.g.,
   distinguishing different types of vegetated wetlands, such as the respective areas of bogs,
   fens and marshes in precise and dynamic ways that capture changes over time (Melack and
   Hess, 2022).
- 763

Addressing these key knowledge gaps effectively would greatly benefit from improvements in the
methodologies to assess greenhouse gas emissions, vegetation dynamics, and potential driver
variables at high resolution across landscapes (Bastviken et al., 2022). Because plant communities
can change quickly in response to land use, hydrology, and climate, an appropriate understanding of
present and future plant community dynamics are essential to predict CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in a rapidly
changing world.

770 771

# 6. Acknowledgements

772 DB, MK, MG, and HOS acknowledge the European Research Council (ERC H2020 grant no. 725546 773 METLAKE), and the Swedish Research Councils VR (grant no. 2016-04829) and Formas (grant no. 774 2018-01794 and 2018-00570). CT was supported by ERC H2020 grant no. 851181 FluxWIN and 775 Helmholtz impulse and networking fund. VG acknowledges support from the UK NERC (grants no. 776 NE/J010928/1 and NE/N015606/1 as part of The Global Methane Budget MOYA consortium) the AXA 777 Research Fund 426 and the Royal Society. SRP acknowledges support from the Royal Society Dorothy 778 Hodgkin Research fellowship (grant no. DH160111). AEP acknowledges funding from the Swedish 779 Research Council Formas (grant no. 2021-02429).

780

# 781 **7. Author Statement**

- 782 DB: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project
- 783 administration; Resources; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Writing original draft; Writing -
- 784 review & editing. CT, SRP, VG, AEP: Data curation; Investigation; Formal analysis; Writing original
- 785 draft; Writing review & editing. MK: Investigation; Validation; Writing review & editing. MG:
- 786 Software; Formal analysis; Visualization. MBR: Formal analysis; Visualization. HOS: Data curation;
- 787 Investigation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Visualization; Writing original draft;
- 788 Writing review & editing.
- 789

# 790 8. References

Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Truu, J., Espenberg, M., Mander, U., Smith, P., 2016. Emissions of methane
 from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management
 options. Ecol. Evol. 6, 7080-7102. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2469.

- Aben, R.C.H., Barros, N., van Donk, E., Frenken, T., Hilt, S., Kazanjian, G., Lamers, L.P.M., Peeters,
- 795 E.T.H.M., Roelofs, J.G.M., de Senerpont Domis, L.N., Stephan, S., Velthuis, M., Van de Waal, D.B., Wik,
- 796 M., Thornton, B.F., Wilkinson, J., DelSontro, T., Kosten, S., 2017. Cross continental increase in
- 797 methane ebullition under climate change. Nat. Commun. 8, 1682. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467798 017-01535-y.
- Aben, R.C.H., Oliveira Junior, E.S., Carlos, A.R., van Bergen, T.J.H.M., Lamers, L.P.M., Kosten, S., 2022.
- 800 Impact of plant species and intense nutrient loading on CH4 and N2O fluxes from small inland
- 801 waters: An experimental approach. Aquat. Bot. 180, 103527.
- 802 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2022.103527.
- Al-Haj, A.N., Fulweiler, R.W., 2020. A synthesis of methane emissions from shallow vegetated coastal
   ecosystems. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26, 2988-3005. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15046.
- Aleixo, I., Norris, D., Hemerik, L., Barbosa, A., Prata, E., Costa, F., Poorter, L., 2019. Amazonian
  rainforest tree mortality driven by climate and functional traits. Nat. Clim. Chang. 9, 384-388.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0458-0.
- Amaral, J.H.F., Melack, J.M., Barbosa, P.M., Borges, A.V., Kasper, D., Cortés, A.C., Zhou, W.,
- MacIntyre, S., Forsberg, B.R., 2022. Inundation, Hydrodynamics and Vegetation Influence Carbon
  Dioxide Concentrations in Amazon Floodplain Lakes. Ecosystems 25, 911-930.
- 811 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-021-00692-y.
- 812 Andresen, C.G., Lara, M.J., Tweedie, C.E., Lougheed, V.L., 2017. Rising plant-mediated methane
- 813 emissions from arctic wetlands. Glob. Chang. Biol. 23, 1128-1139.
- 814 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13469.
- Armstrong, J., Armstrong, W., 1991. A convective through-flow of gases in Phragmites australis (Cav.)
  Trin. Ex Steud. Aquat. Bot. 39, 75-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(91)90023-x.
- Assahira, C., Piedade, M.T.F., Trumbore, S.E., Wittmann, F., Cintra, B.B.L., Batista, E.S., Resende,
- 818 A.F.d., Schöngart, J., 2017. Tree mortality of a flood-adapted species in response of hydrographic
- changes caused by an Amazonian river dam. For. Ecol. Manage. 396, 113-123.
- 820 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.04.016.

- 821 Bäckstrand, K., Crill, P.M., Mastepanov, M., Christensen, T.R., Bastviken, D., 2008. Total hydrocarbon
- flux dynamics at a subarctic mire in northern Sweden. J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci. 113.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2008jg000703.
- Bao, T., Jia, G., Xu, X., 2021. Wetland Heterogeneity Determines Methane Emissions: A Pan-Arctic
  Synthesis. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 10152-10163. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01616.
- 826 Barba, J., Bradford, M.A., Brewer, P.E., Bruhn, D., Covey, K., van Haren, J., Megonigal, J.P., Mikkelsen,
- T.N., Pangala, S.R., Pihlatie, M., Poulter, B., Rivas-Ubach, A., Schadt, C.W., Terazawa, K., Warner, D.L.,
- Zhang, Z., Vargas, R., 2019. Methane emissions from tree stems: a new frontier in the global carbon
- 829 cycle. New Phytol. 222, 18-28. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15582.
- 830 Barba, J., Poyatos, R., Capooci, M., Vargas, R., 2021. Spatiotemporal variability and origin of CO2 and
- CH4 tree stem fluxes in an upland forest. Glob. Chang. Biol. 27, 4879-4893.
- 832 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15783.
- 833 Barbosa, P.M., Melack, J.M., Amaral, J.H.F., Linkhorst, A., Forsberg, B.R., 2021. Large Seasonal and
- 834 Habitat Differences in Methane Ebullition on the Amazon Floodplain. J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci.
- 835 126, e2020JG005911. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005911.
- 836 Barbosa, P.M., Melack, J.M., Amaral, J.H.F., MacIntyre, S., Kasper, D., Cortes, A., Farjalla, V.F.,
- 837 Forsberg, B.R., 2020. Dissolved methane concentrations and fluxes to the atmosphere from a tropical
- 838 floodplain lake. Biogeochemistry 148, 129-151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00650-1.
- Bartlett, K., Harriss, R., 1993. Review and assessment of methane emissions from wetlands.
  Chemosphere 26, 261-320. https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(93)90427-7.
- 841 Bartlett, K.B., Crill, P.M., Sebacher, D.I., Harriss, R.C., Wilson, J.O., Melack, J.M., 1988. Methane Flux
- from the Central Amazonian Floodplain. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 93, 1571-1582.
  https://doi.org/10.1020/JD022D02E01571
- 843 https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD02p01571.
- Basiliko, N., Knowles, R., Moore, T.R., 2004. Roles of moss species and habitat in methane
  consumption potential in a northern peatland. Wetlands 24, 178. https://doi.org/10.1672/02775212(2004)024[0178:ROMSAH]2.0.CO;2.
- 847 Basso, L.S., Marani, L., Gatti, L.V., Miller, J.B., Gloor, M., Melack, J., Cassol, H.L.G., Tejada, G.,
- 848 Domingues, L.G., Arai, E., Sanchez, A.H., Correa, S.M., Anderson, L., Aragao, L., Correia, C.S.C.,
- 849 Crispim, S.P., Neves, R.A.L., 2021. Amazon methane budget derived from multi-year airborne
- observations highlights regional variations in emissions. Commun. Earth Environ. 2, 246.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00314-4.
- Bastviken, D., 2022, Methane. In: Mehner, T., Tockner, K. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Inland Waters
  (Second Edition). Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 136-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819166-8.00147X.
- Bastviken, D., Cole, J., Pace, M., Tranvik, L., 2004. Methane emissions from lakes: Dependence of lake
  characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 18
  GB4009, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002238.
- 858 Bastviken, D., Cole, J.J., Pace, M.L., Van de Bogert, M.C., 2008. Fates of methane from different lake
- habitats: Connecting whole-lake budgets and CH4 emissions. J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci. 113,
  G02024. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000608.
- 861 Bastviken, D., Ejlertsson, J., Sundh, I., Tranvik, L., 2003. Methane as a source of carbon and energy for
- 862 lake pelagic food webs. Ecology 84, 969-981. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-
- 863 9658(2003)084[0969:MAASOC]2.0.CO;2.

- Bastviken, D., Santoro, A.L., Marotta, H., Pinho, L.Q., Calheiros, D.F., Crill, P., Enrich-Prast, A., 2010.
- 865 Methane Emissions from Pantanal, South America, during the Low Water Season: Toward More
- 866 Comprehensive Sampling. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 5450-5455. https://doi.org/10.1021/Es1005048.
- 867 Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L.J., Downing, J.A., Crill, P.M., Enrich-Prast, A., 2011. Freshwater Methane
- 868 Emissions Offset the Continental Carbon Sink. Science 331, 50-50.
- 869 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1196808.
- 870 Bastviken, D., Wilk, J., Duc, N.T., Gålfalk, M., Karlson, M., Neset, T.-S., Opach, T., Enrich-Prast, A.,
- Sundgren, I., 2022. Critical method needs in measuring greenhouse gas fluxes. Environ. Res. Lett. (In press). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac8fa9.
- Bédard, C., Knowles, R., 1991. Hypolimnetic O2 consumption, denitrification, and methanogenesis in
  a thermally stratified lake. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48, 1048-1054. https://doi.org/10.1139/f91-123.
- 875 Belenguer-Manzanedo, M., Alcaraz, C., Camacho, A., Ibáñez, C., Català-Forner, M., Martínez-Eixarch,
- 876 M., 2022. Effect of post-harvest practices on greenhouse gas emissions in rice paddies: flooding
- 877 regime and straw management. Plant Soil 474, 77-98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05234-y.
- Bellisario, L.M., Bubier, J.L., Moore, T.R., Chanton, J.P., 1999. Controls on CH4 emissions from a
  northern peatland. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 13, 81-91. https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GB900021
- 880 Bendix, M., Tornbjerg, T., Brix, H., 1994. Internal gas transport in Typha latifolia L. and Typha
- angustifolia L. 1. Humidity-induced pressurization and convective throughflow. Aquat. Bot. 49, 75-89.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(94)90030-2</u>
- Bergstrom, I., Makela, S., Kankaala, P., Kortelainen, P., 2007. Methane efflux from littoral vegetation
  stands of southern boreal lakes: An upscaled regional estimate. Atmos. Environ. 41, 339-351.
  http://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.08.014.
- 886 Bižić, M., Klintzsch, T., Ionescu, D., Hindiyeh, M.Y., Günthel, M., Muro-Pastor, A.M., Eckert, W., Urich,
- T., Keppler, F., Grossart, H.-P., 2020. Aquatic and terrestrial cyanobacteria produce methane. Sci.
- 888 Adv. 6, eaax5343. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax5343.
- Blodau, C., 2002. Carbon cycling in peatlands 2 A review of processes and controls. Environ. Rev. 10,
  111-134. https://doi.org/10.1139/a02-004.
- 891 Bodmer, P., Vroom, R., Stepina, T., del Glorgio, P., Kosten, S., 2021. Methane fluxes of vegetated
- areas in natural freshwater ecosystems: Assessments and global significance. EarthArXiv.
   https://doi.org/10.31223/X5ND0F.
- Boon, P.I., Mitchell, A., 1995. Methanogenesis in the sediments of an Australian freshwater wetland:
  Comparison with aerobic decay, and factors controlling methanogenesis. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 18,
  175-190. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00175.x.
- 897 Braskerud, B.C., 2001. The Influence of Vegetation on Sedimentation and Resuspension of Soil
- 898 Particles in Small Constructed Wetlands. J. Environ. Qual. 30, 1447-1457.
- 899 https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2001.3041447x.
- 900 Bridgham, S.D., Cadillo-Quiroz, H., Keller, J.K., Zhuang, Q.L., 2013. Methane emissions from wetlands:
- biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global scales. Glob. Chang. Biol.
  19, 1325-1346. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12131.
- Brix, H., Sorrell, B.K., Schierup, H.H., 1996. Gas fluxes achieved by in situ convective flow in
  Phragmites australis. Aquat. Bot. 54, 151-163. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(96)01042-x.

- Bubier, J.L., 1995. The Relationship of Vegetation to Methane Emission and Hydrochemical Gradients
  in Northern Peatlands. J. Ecol. 83, 403-420. https://doi.org/10.2307/2261594.
- Bubier, J.L., Moore, T.R., 1994. An ecological perspective on methane emissions from northern
  wetlands. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 460-464. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90309-3.
- 909 Capone, D.G., Kiene, R.P., 1988. Comparison of microbial dynamics in marine and freshwater
- 910 sediments: Contrasts in anaerobic carbon catabolism. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33, 725-749.
- 911 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0725.
- 912 Carmichael, M.J., Bernhardt, E.S., Brauer, S.L., Smith, W.K., 2014. The role of vegetation in methane
- 913 flux to the atmosphere: should vegetation be included as a distinct category in the global methane
- 914 budget? Biogeochemistry 119, 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-014-9974-1.
- Chanton, J.P., Whiting, G.J., 1996. Methane stable isotopic distributions as indicators of gas transport
  mechanisms in emergent aquatic plants. Aquat. Bot. 54, 227-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/03043770(96)01047-9.
- 918 Chanton, J.P., Whiting, G.J., Happell, J.D., Gerard, G., 1993. Contrasting rates and diurnal patterns of
- 919 methane emissions from emergent aquatic macrophytes. Aquat. Bot. 46, 111-128.
  920 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(93)90040-4.
- 921 Christensen, T.R., Ekberg, A., Strom, L., Mastepanov, M., Panikov, N., Mats, O., Svensson, B.H.,
- Nykanen, H., Martikainen, P.J., Oskarsson, H., 2003. Factors controlling large scale variations in
  methane emissions from wetlands. Geophys. Res. Lett. 30, Art. No. 1414.
- 924 Covey, K.R., Megonigal, J.P., 2019. Methane production and emissions in trees and forests. New
  925 Phytol. 222, 35-51. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15624.
- Covey, K.R., Wood, S.A., Warren, R.J., Lee, X., Bradford, M.A., 2012. Elevated methane concentrations
  in trees of an upland forest. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gl052361.
- 928 Davidson, T.A., Audet, J., Jeppesen, E., Landkildehus, F., Lauridsen, T.L., Søndergaard, M., Syväranta,
- 929 J., 2018. Synergy between nutrients and warming enhances methane ebullition from experimental
- 930 lakes. Nat. Clim. Chang. 8, 156-160. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-017-0063-z.
- 931 Davidson, T.A., Audet, J., Svenning, J.-C., Lauridsen, T.L., Søndergaard, M., Landkildehus, F., Larsen,
- 932 S.E., Jeppesen, E., 2015. Eutrophication effects on greenhouse gas fluxes from shallow-lake
- 933 mesocosms override those of climate warming. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 4449-4463.
- 934 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13062.
- 935 Deemer, B.R., Holgerson, M.A., 2021. Drivers of Methane Flux Differ Between Lakes and Reservoirs,
- 936 Complicating Global Upscaling Efforts. J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci. 126, e2019JG005600.
  937 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005600.
- DelSontro, T., Kunz, M.J., Kempter, T., Wuest, A., Wehrli, B., Senn, D.B., 2011. Spatial Heterogeneity
  of Methane Ebullition in a Large Tropical Reservoir. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 9866-9873.
- 940 https://doi.org/10.1021/es2005545.
- 941 Delwiche, K.B., Knox, S.H., Malhotra, A., Fluet-Chouinard, E., McNicol, G., Feron, S., Ouyang, Z.,
- 942 Papale, D., Trotta, C., Canfora, E., Cheah, Y.W., Christianson, D., Alberto, M.C.R., Alekseychik, P.,
- 943 Aurela, M., Baldocchi, D., Bansal, S., Billesbach, D.P., Bohrer, G., Bracho, R., Buchmann, N., Campbell,
- 944 D.I., Celis, G., Chen, J., Chen, W., Chu, H., Dalmagro, H.J., Dengel, S., Desai, A.R., Detto, M., Dolman,
- 945 H., Eichelmann, E., Euskirchen, E., Famulari, D., Fuchs, K., Goeckede, M., Gogo, S., Gondwe, M.J.,
- 946 Goodrich, J.P., Gottschalk, P., Graham, S.L., Heimann, M., Helbig, M., Helfter, C., Hemes, K.S., Hirano,
- 947 T., Hollinger, D., Hörtnagl, L., Iwata, H., Jacotot, A., Jurasinski, G., Kang, M., Kasak, K., King, J., Klatt, J.,

- 948 Koebsch, F., Krauss, K.W., Lai, D.Y.F., Lohila, A., Mammarella, I., Belelli Marchesini, L., Manca, G.,
- 949 Matthes, J.H., Maximov, T., Merbold, L., Mitra, B., Morin, T.H., Nemitz, E., Nilsson, M.B., Niu, S.,
- 950 Oechel, W.C., Oikawa, P.Y., Ono, K., Peichl, M., Peltola, O., Reba, M.L., Richardson, A.D., Riley, W.,
- 951 Runkle, B.R.K., Ryu, Y., Sachs, T., Sakabe, A., Sanchez, C.R., Schuur, E.A., Schäfer, K.V.R., Sonnentag,
- 952 O., Sparks, J.P., Stuart-Haëntjens, E., Sturtevant, C., Sullivan, R.C., Szutu, D.J., Thom, J.E., Torn, M.S.,
- 953 Tuittila, E.S., Turner, J., Ueyama, M., Valach, A.C., Vargas, R., Varlagin, A., Vazquez-Lule, A., Verfaillie,
- J.G., Vesala, T., Vourlitis, G.L., Ward, E.J., Wille, C., Wohlfahrt, G., Wong, G.X., Zhang, Z., Zona, D.,
- 955 Windham-Myers, L., Poulter, B., Jackson, R.B., 2021. FLUXNET-CH4: a global, multi-ecosystem dataset
- and analysis of methane seasonality from freshwater wetlands. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 13, 3607-3689.
- 957 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3607-2021.
- Desrosiers, K., DelSontro, T., del Giorgio, P.A., 2022. Disproportionate Contribution of Vegetated
  Habitats to the CH4 and CO2 Budgets of a Boreal Lake. Ecosystems. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021021-00730-9.
- Devol, A.H., Richey, J.E., Clark, W.A., King, S.L., 1988. Methane emissions to the troposphere from the
  Amazon floodplain. J. Geophys. Res. 93, 1583-1592. https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD02p01583.
- Ding, W.X., Cai, Z.C., Tsuruta, H., 2004. Diel variation in methane emissions from the stands of Carex
   lasiocarpa and Deyeuxia angustifolia in a cool temperate freshwater marsh. Atmos. Environ. 38, 181 188. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.066</u>
- 966 Dlugokencky, E.J., Nisbet, E.G., Fisher, R., Lowry, D., 2011. Global atmospheric methane: budget,
  967 changes and dangers. Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 369, 2058-2072.
  968 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0341.
- 969 Du, L., Wang, Y., Shan, Z., Shen, X., Wang, F., Su, J., 2021. Comprehensive analysis of SUSIBA2 rice:
- 970 The low-methane trait and associated changes in soil carbon and microbial communities. Sci. Total
  971 Environ. 764, 144508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144508.
- 972 Duan, X.N., Wang, X.K., Mu, Y.J., Ouyang, Z.Y., 2005. Seasonal and diurnal variations in methane
- 973 emissions from Wuliangsu Lake in arid regions of China. Atmos. Environ. 39, 4479-4487.
  974 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.045.
- Duarte, C.M., Kennedy, H., Marba, N., Hendriks, I., 2013. Assessing the capacity of seagrass meadows
  for carbon burial: Current limitations and future strategies. Ocean Coast. Manag. 83, 32-38.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2011.09.001.
- Duc, N.T., Crill, P., Bastviken, D., 2010. Implications of temperature and sediment characteristics on
  methane formation and oxidation in lake sediments. Biogeochemistry 100, 185-196.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/c10522.010.0415.8
- 980 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-010-9415-8.
- Dunfield, P., knowles, R., Dumont, R., Moore, T.R., 1993. Methane production and consumption in
  temperate and subarctic peat soils: Response to temperature and pH. Soil Biol. Biochem. 25, 321326. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(93)90130-4.
- Egger, M., Riedinger, N., Mogollón, J.M., Jørgensen, B.B., 2018. Global diffusive fluxes of methane in
  marine sediments. Nat. Geosci. 11, 421-425. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0122-8.
- 986 Elder, C.D., Thompson, D.R., Thorpe, A.K., Hanke, P., Anthony, K.M.W., Miller, C.E., 2020. Airborne
- Mapping Reveals Emergent Power Law of Arctic Methane Emissions. Geophys. Res. Lett. 47.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl085707.
- 989 Eller, G., Kanel, L.K., Kruger, M., 2005. Cooccurrence of aerobic and anaerobic methane oxidation in
- the water column of lake plußsee. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 8925-8928.
- 991 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8925-8928.2005.

- 992 Ernst, L., Steinfeld, B., Barayeu, U., Klintzsch, T., Kurth, M., Grimm, D., Dick, T.P., Rebelein, J.G.,
- Bischofs, I.B., Keppler, F., 2022. Methane formation driven by reactive oxygen species across all living
  organisms. Nature 603, 482-487. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04511-9.
- Ezeh, A.C., Bongaarts, J., Mberu, B., 2012. Global population trends and policy options. Lancet 380,
  142-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60696-5.
- Fernando, C.H., 1993. Rice field ecology and fish culture an overview. Hydrobiol. 259, 91-113.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00008375.
- Ford, P.W., Boon, P.I., Lee, K., 2002. Methane and oxygen dynamics in a shallow floodplain lake: the
  significance of periodic stratification. Hydrobiol. 485, 97-110.
- 1001 http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021379532665.
- Garcia, J.-L., Patel, B.K.C., Ollivier, B., 2000. Taxonomic, Phylogenetic, and Ecological Diversity of
   Methanogenic Archaea. Anaerobe 6, 205-226. https://doi.org/10.1006/anae.2000.0345.
- Gauci, V., Figueiredo, V., Gedney, N., Pangala, S.R., Stauffer, T., Weedon, G.P., Enrich-Prast, A., 2022.
  Non-flooded riparian Amazon trees are a regionally significant methane source. Philos. trans., Math.
  phys. eng. sci. 380. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2020.0446.
- Gauci, V., Gowing, D.J.G., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Davis, J.M., Dise, N.B., 2010. Woody stem methane
  emission in mature wetland alder trees. Atmos. Environ. 44, 2157-2160.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.034.
- Giani, L., Bashan, Y., Holguin, G., Strangmann, A., 1996. Characteristics and methanogenesis of the
  Balandra lagoon mangrove soils, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Geoderma 72, 149-160.
- 1012 https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(96)00023-7.
- 1013 Grasset, C., Mendonça, R., Villamor Saucedo, G., Bastviken, D., Roland, F., Sobek, S., 2018. Large but
- 1014 variable methane production in anoxic freshwater sediment upon addition of allochthonous and
- 1015 autochthonous organic matter. Limnol. Oceanogr. 63, 1488-1501.
- 1016 https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10786.
- Grinham, A., Albert, S., Deering, N., Dunbabin, M., Bastviken, D., Sherman, B., Lovelock, C.E., Evans,
  C.D., 2018. The importance of small artificial water bodies as sources of methane emissions in
  Queensland, Australia. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 22, 5281-5298. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-52812018.
- 1021 Günthel, M., Donis, D., Kirillin, G., Ionescu, D., Bizic, M., McGinnis, D.F., Grossart, H.-P., Tang, K.W.,
  1022 2019. Contribution of oxic methane production to surface methane emission in lakes and its global
  1023 importance. Nat. Commun. 10, 5497. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13320-0.
- Günthel, M., Klawonn, I., Woodhouse, J., Bižić, M., Ionescu, D., Ganzert, L., Kümmel, S., Nijenhuis, I.,
  Zoccarato, L., Grossart, H.-P., Tang, K.W., 2020. Photosynthesis-driven methane production in oxic
  lake water as an important contributor to methane emission. Limnol. Oceanogr. 65, 2853-2865.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11557.
- Gålfalk, M., Olofsson, G., Bastviken, D., 2017. Approaches for hyperspectral remote flux
  quantification and visualization of GHGs in the environment. Remote Sens. Environ. 191, 81-94.
  http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.01.012.
- 1031 Gålfalk, M., Olofsson, G., Crill, P., Bastviken, D., 2016. Making methane visible. Nat. Clim. Chang. 6,
  1032 426-430. http://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2877.

- 1033 Hamilton, S.K., Sippel, S.J., Melack, J.M., 1995. Oxygen Depletion and Carbon-Dioxide and Methane
- Production in Waters of the Pantanal Wetland of Brazil. Biogeochemistry 30, 115-141.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002727.
- 1036 Hartmann, J.F., Günthel, M., Klintzsch, T., Kirillin, G., Grossart, H.-P., Keppler, F., Isenbeck-Schröter,
- 1037 M., 2020. High Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Methane Production and Emission in Oxic Surface Water.
- 1038 Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 1451-1463. http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03182.
- Hemond, H.F., Fechner, E.J., 2015, Chapter 1 Basic Concepts. In: Hemond, H.F., Fechner, E.J. (Eds.),
  Chemical Fate and Transport in the Environment (Third Edition). Academic Press, Boston, pp. 1-73.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-398256-8.00001-3.
- Hess, L.L., Melack, J.M., Affonso, A.G., Barbosa, C., Gastil-Buhl, M., Novo, E.M.L.M., 2015. Wetlands
  of the Lowland Amazon Basin: Extent, Vegetative Cover, and Dual-season Inundated Area as Mapped
  with JERS-1 Synthetic Aperture Radar. Wetlands 35, 745-756. http://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-0150666-y.
- Hilt, S., Grossart, H.-P., McGinnis, D.F., Keppler, F., 2022. Potential role of submerged macrophytes
  for oxic methane production in aquatic ecosystems. Limnol. Oceanogr. In press; published online.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12095.
- Hirota, M., Tang, Y.H., Hu, Q.W., Hirata, S., Kato, T., Mo, W.H., Cao, G.M., Mariko, S., 2004. Methane
  emissions from different vegetation zones in a Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau wetland. Soil Biol. Biochem.
  36, 737-748. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2003.12.009.
- Jeffrey, L.C., Maher, D.T., Tait, D.R., Euler, S., Johnston, S.G., 2020. Tree stem methane emissions
  from subtropical lowland forest (Melaleuca quinquenervia) regulated by local and seasonal
  hydrology. Biogeochemistry 151, 273-290. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00726-y.
- Jeffrey, L.C., Reithmaier, G., Sippo, J.Z., Johnston, S.G., Tait, D.R., Harada, Y., Maher, D.T., 2019. Are
  methane emissions from mangrove stems a cryptic carbon loss pathway? Insights from a
  catastrophic forest mortality. New Phytol. 224, 146-154. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15995.
- Joabsson, A., Christensen, T.R., Wallén, B., 1999. Vascular plant controls on methane emissions from
  northern peatforming wetlands. Trends Ecol. Evol. 14, 385-388. https://doi.org/10.1016/S01695347(99)01649-3.
- Johnson, M.S., Matthews, E., Bastviken, D., Deemer, B., Du, J., Genovese, V., 2021. Spatiotemporal
  Methane Emission From Global Reservoirs. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 126, e2021JG006305.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006305.
- Johnson, M.S., Matthews, E., Du, J., Genovese, V., Bastviken, D., 2022. Methane emission from global
  lakes: new spatiotemporal data and observation-driven modeling of methane dynamics indicates
  lower emissions. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. (In Press). https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JG006793.
- Junk, W.J., Piedade, M.T., Wittmann, F., Schöngart, J., Parolin, P., 2010, Amazonian floodplain forests:
  ecophysiology, biodiversity and sustainable management. Springer Science & Business Media.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8725-6.
- 1070 Junk, W.J., Piedade, M.T.F., Schöngart, J., Cohn-Haft, M., Adeney, J.M., Wittmann, F., 2011. A
- 1071 Classification of Major Naturally-Occurring Amazonian Lowland Wetlands. Wetlands 31, 623-640.
   1072 http://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-011-0190-7.
- Juutinen, S., Alm, J., Larmola, T., Huttunen, J.T., Morero, M., Martikainen, P.J., Silvola, J., 2003. Major
   implication of the littoral zone for methane release from boreal lakes. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 17.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002105.

- Juutinen, S., Alm, J., Larmola, T., Saarnio, S., Martikainen, P.J., Silvola, J., 2004. Stand-specific diurnal
   dynamics of CH4 fluxes in boreal lakes: Patterns and controls. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 109.
   http://doi.org/10.1029/2004jd004782.
- Kallistova, A.Y., Merkel, A.Y., Tarnovetskii, I.Y., Pimenov, N.V., 2017. Methane formation and
  oxidation by prokaryotes. Microbiology 86, 671-691. http://doi.org/10.1134/s0026261717060091.
- 1081 Kankaala, P., Kaki, T., Makela, S., Ojala, A., Pajunen, H., Arvola, L., 2005. Methane efflux in relation to
- 1082 plant biomass and sediment characteristics in stands of three common emergent macrophytes in
- 1083boreal mesoeutrophic lakes. Glob. Chang. Biol. 11, 145-153. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-10842486.2004.00888.x.
- Kankaala, P., Mäkelä, S., Bergström, I., Huitu, E., Käki, T., Ojala, A., Rantakari, M., kortelaionen, P.,
  Arvola, L., 2003. Midsummer spatial variation in methane efflux from stands of littoral vegetation in a
  boreal meso-eutrophic lake. Freshwater Biol. 48, 1617-1629. http://doi.org/10.1046/j.13652427.2003.01113.x.
- Kankaala, P., Taipale, S., Grey, J., Sonninen, E., Arvola, L., Jones, R.I., 2006. Experimental delta C-13
  evidence for a contribution of methane to pelagic food webs in lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 28212827. http://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.6.2821.
- 1092 Keppler, F., Hamilton, J.T.G., Braß, M., Röckmann, T., 2006. Methane emissions from terrestrial 1093 plants under aerobic conditions. Nature 439, 187-191. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature04420.
- Kim, J., Verma, S.B., Billesbach, D.P., Clement, R.J., 1998. Diel variation in methane emission from a
  midlatitude prairie wetland: Significance of convective through flow in Phragmites australis. J.
  Geophys. Res. Atmos. 103, 28029-28039. http://doi.org/10.1029/98jd02441.
- King, J.Y., Reeburgh, W.S., 2002. A pulse-labeling experiment to determine the contribution of recent
  plant photosynthates to net methane emission in arctic wet sedge tundra. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34,
  173-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00164-X.
- King, J.Y., Reeburgh, W.S., Regli, S.K., 1998. Methane emission and transport by arctic sedges in
  Alaska: Results of a vegetation removal experiment. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 103, 29083-29092.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00052.
- 1103 King, J.Y., Reeburgh, W.S., Thieler, K.K., Kling, G.W., Loya, W.M., Johnson, L.C., Nadelhoffer, K.J.,
- 1104 2002. Pulse-labeling studies of carbon cycling in Arctic tundra ecosystems: The contribution of
- 1105 photosynthates to methane emission. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 16, 10-11-10-18.
- 1106 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001456.
- 1107 Kip, N., van Winden, J.F., Pan, Y., Bodrossy, L., Reichart, G.-J., Smolders, A.J.P., Jetten, M.S.M.,
- 1108 Damsté, J.S.S., Op den Camp, H.J.M., 2010. Global prevalence of methane oxidation by symbiotic
- bacteria in peat-moss ecosystems. Nat. Geosci. 3, 617-621. http://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo939.
- 1110 Kirschke, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Saunois, M., Canadell, J.G., Dlugokencky, E.J., Bergamaschi, P.,
- 1111 Bergmann, D., Blake, D.R., Bruhwiler, L., Cameron-Smith, P., Castaldi, S., Chevallier, F., Feng, L.,
- 1112 Fraser, A., Heimann, M., Hodson, E.L., Houweling, S., Josse, B., Fraser, P.J., Krummel, P.B., Lamarque,
- 1113 J.F., Langenfelds, R.L., Le Quere, C., Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Palmer, P.I., Pison, I., Plummer, D.,
- 1114 Poulter, B., Prinn, R.G., Rigby, M., Ringeval, B., Santini, M., Schmidt, M., Shindell, D.T., Simpson, I.J.,
- 1115 Spahni, R., Steele, L.P., Strode, S.A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., van der Werf, G.R., Voulgarakis, A., van
- 1116 Weele, M., Weiss, R.F., Williams, J.E., Zeng, G., 2013. Three decades of global methane sources and
- 1117 sinks. Nat. Geosci. 6, 813-823. http://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1955.

- 1118 Klaus, M., Bergström, A.-K., Jonsson, A., Deininger, A., Geibrink, E., Karlsson, J., 2018. Weak response
- of greenhouse gas emissions to whole lake N enrichment. Limnol. Oceanogr. 63, S340-S353.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10743.
- 1121 Kosten, S., Piñeiro, M., de Goede, E., de Klein, J., Lamers, L.P.M., Ettwig, K., 2016. Fate of methane in 1122 aquatic systems dominated by free-floating plants. Water Res. 104, 200-207.
- 1123 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.07.054.
- 1124 Kuhn, M.A., Varner, R.K., Bastviken, D., Crill, P., MacIntyre, S., Turetsky, M., Walter Anthony, K.,
- McGuire, A.D., Olefeldt, D., 2021. BAWLD-CH4: a comprehensive dataset of methane fluxes from
  boreal and arctic ecosystems. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 13, 5151-5189. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-135151-2021.
- 1128 Kuivila, K.M., Murray, J.W., Devol, A.H., Lidstrom, M.E., Reimers, C.E., 1988. Methane cycling in the 1129 sediments of Lake Washington. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33, 571-581.
- 1130 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4.0571.
- 1131 Kyzivat, E.D., Smith, L.C., Garcia-Tigreros, F., Huang, C., Wang, C., Langhorst, T., Fayne, J.V., Harlan,
- 1132 M.E., Ishitsuka, Y., Feng, D., Dolan, W., Pitcher, L.H., Wickland, K.P., Dornblaser, M.M., Striegl, R.G.,
- 1133 Pavelsky, T.M., Butman, D.E., Gleason, C.J., 2022. The Importance of Lake Emergent Aquatic
- 1134 Vegetation for Estimating Arctic-Boreal Methane Emissions. J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci. 127,
- 1135 e2021JG006635. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006635.
- Laanbroek, H.J., 2010. Methane emission from natural wetlands: interplay between emergent
   macrophytes and soil microbial processes. A mini-review. Ann. Bot. 105, 141-153.
- 1138 http://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp201.
- Lai, D.Y.F., 2009. Methane Dynamics in Northern Peatlands: A Review. Pedosphere 19, 409-421.
   http://doi.org/10.1016/s1002-0160(09)00003-4.
- 1141 Lan, X., Basu, S., Schwietzke, S., Bruhwiler, L.M.P., Dlugokencky, E.J., Michel, S.E., Sherwood, O.A.,
- 1142 Tans, P.P., Thoning, K., Etiope, G., Zhuang, Q., Liu, L., Oh, Y., Miller, J.B., Pétron, G., Vaughn, B.H.,
- 1143 Crippa, M., 2021. Improved Constraints on Global Methane Emissions and Sinks Using  $\delta$ 13C-CH4.
- 1144 Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 35, e2021GB007000. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007000.
- Larmola, T., Alm, J., Juutinen, S., Huttunen, J.T., Martikainen, P.J., Silvola, J., 2004. Contribution of
  vegetated littoral zone to winter fluxes of carbon dioxide and methane from boreal lakes. J. Geophys.
  Res. Atmos. 109, Art. No. D19102. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004875.
- Liu, J.G., Chen, H., Zhu, Q.A., Shen, Y., Wang, X., Wang, M., Peng, C.H., 2015. A novel pathway of
  direct methane production and emission by eukaryotes including plants, animals and fungi: An
  overview. Atmos. Environ. 115, 26-35. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.019.
- Liu, P., Pommerenke, B., Conrad, R., 2018. Identification of Syntrophobacteraceae as major acetatedegrading sulfate reducing bacteria in Italian paddy soil. Environ. Microbiol. 20, 337-354.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14001.
- Lohila, A., Aalto, T., Aurela, M., Hatakka, J., Tuovinen, J.-P., Kilkki, J., Penttilä, T., Vuorenmaa, J.,
- 1155 Hänninen, P., Sutinen, R., Viisanen, Y., Laurila, T., 2016. Large contribution of boreal upland forest
- soils to a catchment-scale CH4 balance in a wet year. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 2946-2953.
  http://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl067718.
- Lu, Y., Conrad, R., 2005. In Situ Stable Isotope Probing of Methanogenic Archaea in the Rice
  Rhizosphere. Science 309, 1088-1090. http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1113435.

- 1160 Lupon, A., Denfeld, B.A., Laudon, H., Leach, J., Karlsson, J., Sponseller, R.A., 2019. Groundwater
- inflows control patterns and sources of greenhouse gas emissions from streams. Limnol. Oceanogr.
  64, 1545-1557. http://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11134.
- Machacova, K., Borak, L., Agyei, T., Schindler, T., Soosaar, K., Mander, Ü., Ah-Peng, C., 2021. Trees as
  net sinks for methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N2O) in the lowland tropical rain forest on volcanic
  Réunion Island. New Phytol. 229, 1983-1994. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17002.
- MacIntyre, S., Fernandes Amaral, J.H., Barbosa, P.M., Cortés, A., Forsberg, B.R., Melack, J.M., 2019.
  Turbulence and Gas Transfer Velocities in Sheltered Flooded Forests of the Amazon Basin. Geophys.
- 1168 Res. Lett. 46, 9628-9636. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083948.
- 1169 Malerba, M.E., Lindenmayer, D.B., Scheele, B.C., Waryszak, P., Yilmaz, I.N., Schuster, L., Macreadie,
- P.I., 2022. Fencing farm dams to exclude livestock halves methane emissions and improves water
  quality. Glob. Chang. Biol. 28, 4701-4712. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16237.
- 1172 Martel, A.B., Qaderi, M.M., 2017. Light quality and quantity regulate aerobic methane emissions 1173 from plants. Physiol. Plant. 159, 313-328. http://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12514.
- 1174 Mastepanov, M., Sigsgaard, C., Dlugokencky, E.J., Houweling, S., Strom, L., Tamstorf, M.P.,
- 1175 Christensen, T.R., 2008. Large tundra methane burst during onset of freezing. Nature 456, 628-U658.
  1176 http://doi.org/10.1038/nature07464.
- 1177 Matthews, E., Johnson, M.S., Genovese, V., Du, J., Bastviken, D., 2020. Methane emission from high 1178 latitude lakes: methane-centric lake classification and satellite-driven annual cycle of emissions. Sci.
- 1179 Rep. 10, 12465. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68246-1.
- Mattson, M.D., Likens, G.E., 1993. Redox reactions of organic matter decomposition in a soft water
   lake. Biogeochemistry 19, 149-172. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00000876.
- McGinnis, D.F., Greinert, J., Artemov, Y., Beaubien, S.E., Wuest, A., 2006. Fate of rising methane
  bubbles in stratified waters: How much methane reaches the atmosphere? J. Geophys. Res. Oceans
  111. http://doi.org/10.1029/2005jc003183.
- Melack, J., Hess, L.L., 2022. Areal extent of vegetative cover: A challenge to regional upscaling of
   methane emissions. Aquatic Botany Solicited review submitted for the same special issue as this
   manuscript. Reference to be completed once details become available.
- 1188 Melack, J.M., Basso, L.S., Fleischmann, A.S., Botía, S., Guo, M., Zhou, W., Barbosa, P.M., Amaral,
- 1189 J.H.F., MacIntyre, S., 2022. Challenges Regionalizing Methane Emissions Using Aquatic Environments
- 1190 in the Amazon Basin as Examples. Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 10.
- 1191 http://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.866082.
- 1192 Melack, J.M., Hess, L.L., 2011, Remote Sensing of the Distribution and Extent of Wetlands in the
- 1193 Amazon Basin. In: Junk, W.J., Piedade, M.T.F., Wittmann, F., Schöngart, J., Parolin, P. (Eds.),
- 1194 Amazonian Floodplain Forests: Ecophysiology, Biodiversity and Sustainable Management. Springer
- 1195 Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 43-59. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8725-6\_3.
- 1196 Melton, J.R., Wania, R., Hodson, E.L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., Bohn, T., Avis, C.A., Beerling,
- 1197 D.J., Chen, G., Eliseev, A.V., Denisov, S.N., Hopcroft, P.O., Lettenmaier, D.P., Riley, W.J., Singarayer,
- 1198 J.S., Subin, Z.M., Tian, H., Zuercher, S., Brovkin, V., van Bodegom, P.M., Kleinen, T., Yu, Z.C., Kaplan,
- 1199 J.O., 2013. Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling: conclusions from
- a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP). Biogeosciences 10, 753-788.
- 1201 http://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-753-2013.

- Milberg, P., Törnqvist, L., Westerberg, L.M., Bastviken, D., 2017. Temporal variations in methane
  emissions from emergent aquatic macrophytes in two boreonemoral lakes. AoB PLANTS 9, plx029plx029. http://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plx029.
- Natchimuthu, S., Sundgren, I., Gålfalk, M., Klemedtsson, L., Crill, P., Danielsson, Å., Bastviken, D.,
  2016. Spatio-temporal variability of lake CH4 fluxes and its influence on annual whole lake emission
  estimates. Limnol. Oceanogr. 61, S13-S26. http://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10222.
- 1208 Natchimuthu, S., Wallin, M.B., Klemedtsson, L., Bastviken, D., 2017. Spatio-temporal patterns of
- 1209 stream methane and carbon dioxide emissions in a hemiboreal catchment in Southwest Sweden. Sci.
- 1210 Rep. 7, 39729. http://doi.org/10.1038/srep39729.
- Nisbet, E.G., Dlugokencky, E.J., Bousquet, P., 2014. Methane on the Rise-Again. Science 343, 493-495.
  http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247828.
- 1213 Noyce, G.L., Varner, R.K., Bubier, J.L., Frolking, S., 2014. Effect of Carex rostrata on seasonal and
- interannual variability in peatland methane emissions. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 119, 24-34.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002474</u>
- 1216 Olefeldt, D., Hovemyr, M., Kuhn, M.A., Bastviken, D., Bohn, T.J., Connolly, J., Crill, P., Euskirchen, E.S.,
- 1217 Finkelstein, S.A., Genet, H., Grosse, G., Harris, L.I., Heffernan, L., Helbig, M., Hugelius, G., Hutchins, R.,
- 1218 Juutinen, S., Lara, M.J., Malhotra, A., Manies, K., McGuire, A.D., Natali, S.M., O'Donnell, J.A.,
- 1219 Parmentier, F.J.W., Räsänen, A., Schädel, C., Sonnentag, O., Strack, M., Tank, S.E., Treat, C., Varner,
- 1220 R.K., Virtanen, T., Warren, R.K., Watts, J.D., 2021. The Boreal–Arctic Wetland and Lake Dataset
- 1221 (BAWLD). Earth Syst. Sci. Data 13, 5127-5149. http://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-5127-2021.
- Olefeldt, D., Turetsky, M.R., Crill, P.M., McGuire, A.D., 2013. Environmental and physical controls on
   northern terrestrial methane emissions across permafrost zones. Glob. Chang. Biol. 19, 589-603.
   http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12071.
- Oliveira Junior, E.S., van Bergen, T.J.H.M., Nauta, J., Budiša, A., Aben, R.C.H., Weideveld, S.T.J., de
  Souza, C.A., Muniz, C.C., Roelofs, J., Lamers, L.P.M., Kosten, S., 2021. Water Hyacinth's Effect on
  Greenhouse Gas Fluxes: A Field Study in a Wide Variety of Tropical Water Bodies. Ecosystems 24,
  988-1004. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00564-x.
- Ollivier, Q.R., Maher, D.T., Pitfield, C., Macreadie, P.I., 2019. Punching above their weight: Large
  release of greenhouse gases from small agricultural dams. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25, 721-732.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14477.
- 1232 Oremland, R.S., Polcin, S., 1982. Methanogenesis and sulfate reduction: competitive and
- noncompetitive substrates in estuarine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44, 1270-1276.
  http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.44.6.1270-1276.1982.
- Pace, M., Cole, J., Carpenter, S., Kitchell, J., Hodgson, J., Van de Bogert, M., Bade, D., Kritzberg, E.,
  Bastviken, D., 2004. Whole-lake carbon-13 additions reveal terrestrial support of aquatic food webs.
  Nature 427, 100, 116, https://doi.org/10.1028/pature022227
- 1237 Nature 427, 109-116. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02227.
- 1238 Pangala, S.R., Enrich-Prast, A., Basso, L.S., Peixoto, R.B., Bastviken, D., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Gatti, L.V.,
- 1239 Marotta, H., Calazans, L.S.B., Sakuragui, C.M., Bastos, W.R., Malm, O., Gloor, E., Miller, J.B., Gauci, V.,
- 1240 2017. Large emissions from floodplain trees close the Amazon methane budget. Nature 552, 2301241 234. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature24639.
- 1242 Pangala, S.R., Gowing, D.J., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Gauci, V., 2014. Controls on methane emissions from
- 1243 Alnus glutinosa saplings. New Phytol. 201, 887-896. http://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12561.

- 1244 Pangala, S.R., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Gowing, D.J., Gauci, V., 2015. The contribution of trees to
- 1245 ecosystem methane emissions in a temperate forested wetland. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 2642-2654.
  1246 http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12891.
- Parolin, P., Wittmann, F., 2010. Struggle in the flood: tree responses to flooding stress in four tropical
  floodplain systems. AoB PLANTS 2010. http://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plq003.
- 1249 Peeters, F., Encinas Fernandez, J., Hofmann, H., 2019. Sediment fluxes rather than oxic
- methanogenesis explain diffusive CH4 emissions from lakes and reservoirs. Sci. Rep. 9, 243.
   http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36530-w.
- Peixoto, R., Machado-Silva, F., Marotta, H., Enrich-Prast, A., Bastviken, D., 2015. Spatial versus DayTo-Day Within-Lake Variability in Tropical Floodplain Lake CH4 Emissions Developing Optimized
- 1254 Approaches to Representative Flux Measurements. PLoS ONE 10, e0123319.
- 1255 http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123319.
- Piao, S., Wang, X., Park, T., Chen, C., Lian, X., He, Y., Bjerke, J.W., Chen, A., Ciais, P., Tømmervik, H.,
  Nemani, R.R., Myneni, R.B., 2020. Characteristics, drivers and feedbacks of global greening. Nat. Rev.
  Earth Environ. 1, 14-27. http://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0001-x.
- Pitz, S.L., Megonigal, J.P., Chang, C.-H., Szlavecz, K., 2018. Methane fluxes from tree stems and soils
  along a habitat gradient. Biogeochemistry 137, 307-320. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-017-0400-3.
- Poffenbarger, H.J., Needelman, B.A., Megonigal, J.P., 2011. Salinity Influence on Methane Emissions
  from Tidal Marshes. Wetlands 31, 831-842. http://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-011-0197-0.
- Rosentreter, J.A., Borges, A.V., Deemer, B.R., Holgerson, M.A., Liu, S., Song, C., Melack, J., Raymond,
  P.A., Duarte, C.M., Allen, G.H., Olefeldt, D., Poulter, B., Battin, T.I., Eyre, B.D., 2021. Half of global
  methane emissions come from highly variable aquatic ecosystem sources. Nat. Geosci. 14, 225-230.
  http://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00715-2.
- Rudd, J.W.M., Taylor, C.D., 1980, Methane cycling in aquatic environments. In: Droop, M.R.,
  Jannasch, H.W. (Eds.), Advances in aquatic microbiology. Academic Press, London, pp. 77-150.
- 1269 Saunois, M., Stavert, A.R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J.G., Jackson, R.B., Raymond, P.A.,
- 1270 Dlugokencky, E.J., Houweling, S., Patra, P.K., Ciais, P., Arora, V.K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P.,
- 1271 Blake, D.R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K.M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier,
- 1272 C., Crill, P.M., Covey, K., Curry, C.L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M.I., Höglund-
- 1273 Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K.M., Joos, F., Kleinen,
- 1274 T., Krummel, P.B., Langenfelds, R.L., Laruelle, G.G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald,
- 1275 K.C., McNorton, J., Miller, P.A., Melton, J.R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa,
- 1276 Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R.J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G.P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, 1277 M., Regnier, P., Riley, W.J., Rosentreter, J.A., Segers, A., Simpson, I.J., Shi, H., Smith, S.J., Steele, L.P.,
- M., Regnier, P., Riley, W.J., Rosentreter, J.A., Segers, A., Simpson, I.J., Shi, H., Smith, S.J., Steele, L.P.,
  Thornton, B.F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F.N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T.S.,
- 1278 van Weele, M., van der Werf, G.R., Weiss, R.F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W.,
- 1280 Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., Zhuang, Q., 2020. The Global Methane Budget 2000–
- 1281 2017. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 12, 1561-1623. http://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020.
- Sawakuchi, H.O., Bastviken, D., Sawakuchi, A.O., Krusche, A.V., Ballester, M.V.R., Richey, J.E., 2014.
  Methane emissions from Amazonian Rivers and their contribution to the global methane budget.
- 1284 Glob. Chang. Biol. 20, 2829-2840. http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12646.
- Schorn, S., Ahmerkamp, S., Bullock, E., Weber, M., Lott, C., Liebeke, M., Lavik, G., Kuypers, M.M.M.,
  Graf, J.S., Milucka, J., 2022. Diverse methylotrophic methanogenic archaea cause high methane

- 1287 emissions from seagrass meadows. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 119, e2106628119.
  1288 http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2106628119.
- Segers, R., 1998. Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying
  wetland methane fluxes. Biogeochemistry 41, 23-51. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005929032764.
- 1291 Sjögersten, S., Siegenthaler, A., Lopez, O.R., Aplin, P., Turner, B., Gauci, V., 2020. Methane emissions
- 1292 from tree stems in neotropical peatlands. New Phytol. 225, 769-781.
- 1293 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16178.
- Smith, G.J., Wrighton, K.C., 2019. Metagenomic Approaches Unearth Methanotroph Phylogenetic
   and Metabolic Diversity. Curr. Issues Mol. Biol. 33, 57-84. http://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.033.057.
- Sobek, S., DelSontro, T., Wongfun, N., Wehrli, B., 2012. Extreme organic carbon burial fuels intense
  methane bubbling in a temperate reservoir. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39.
  http://doi.org/10.1029/2011gl050144.
- 1299 Soosaar, K., Schindler, T., Machacova, K., Pärn, J., Fachín-Malaverri, L.M., Rengifo-Marin, J.E., Alegría-
- 1300 Muñoz, W., Jibaja-Aspajo, J.L., Negron-Juarez, R., Zárate-Gómez, R., Garay-Dinis, D.J., Arista-
- 1301 Oversluijs, A.G., Tello-Espinoza, R., Pacheco-Gómez, T., Mander, Ü., 2022. High Methane Emission
- 1302 From Palm Stems and Nitrous Oxide Emission From the Soil in a Peruvian Amazon Peat Swamp
- 1303 Forest. Front. For. Glob. Chang. 5. http://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2022.849186.
- Stępniewska, Z., Goraj, W., Kuźniar, A., Szafranek-Nakonieczna, A., Banach, A., Górski, A., Pytlak, A.,
  Urban, D., 2018. Methane Oxidation by Endophytic Bacteria Inhabiting Sphagnum sp. and Some
  Vascular Plants. Wetlands 38, 411-422. http://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-017-0984-3.
- Strayer, R.G., Tiedje, J.M., 1978. In situ methane production in a small, hypereutrophic, hardwater
  lake: Loss of methane from sediments by vertical diffusion and ebullition. Limnol. Oceanogr. 23,
  1201-1206. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1978.23.6.1201.
- Su, J., Hu, C., Yan, X., Jin, Y., Chen, Z., Guan, Q., Wang, Y., Zhong, D., Jansson, C., Wang, F., Schnürer,
  A., Sun, C., 2015. Expression of barley SUSIBA2 transcription factor yields high-starch low-methane
  rice. Nature 523, 602-606. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature14673.
- Sundh, I., Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L., 2005. Abundance, activity, and community structure of pelagic
  methane-oxidizing bacteria in temperate lakes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 6746–6752.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.71.11.6746-6752.2005.
- 1316 Sundh, I., Mikkelä, C., Nilsson, M., Svensson, B.H., 1995. Potential aerobic methane oxidation in a
- Sphagnum-dominated peatland—Controlling factors and relation to methane emission. Soil Biol.
  Biochem. 27, 829-837. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(94)00222-M.
- Sundqvist, E., Crill, P., Molder, M., Vestin, P., Lindroth, A., 2012. Atmospheric methane removal by
  boreal plants. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L21806. http://doi.org/10.1029/2012gl053592.
- Terazawa, K., Ishizuka, S., Sakata, T., Yamada, K., Takahashi, M., 2007. Methane emissions from
  stems of Fraxinus mandshurica var. japonica trees in a floodplain forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 26892692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.05.013.
- 1324 Thornton, B.F., Wik, M., Crill, P.M., 2016. Double-counting challenges the accuracy of high-latitude 1325 methane inventories. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 12569-12577. http://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl071772.
- 1326 Treat, C.C., Bloom, A.A., Marushchak, M.E., 2018. Nongrowing season methane emissions-a
- 1327 significant component of annual emissions across northern ecosystems. Glob. Chang. Biol. 24, 3331-
- 1328 3343. http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14137.

- 1329 Treat, C.C., Jones, M.C., Brosius, L., Grosse, G., Anthony, K.W., Frolking, S., 2021. The role of wetland
- 1330 expansion and successional processes in methane emissions from northern wetlands during the
- 1331 Holocene. Quat. Sci. Rev. 257. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.106864.
- 1332 Treat, C.C., Natali, S.M., Ernakovich, J., Iversen, C.M., Lupascu, M., McGuire, A.D., Norby, R.J., Roy
- 1333 Chowdhury, T., Richter, A., Šantrůčková, H., Schädel, C., Schuur, E.A.G., Sloan, V.L., Turetsky, M.R.,
- 1334 Waldrop, M.P., 2015. A pan-Arctic synthesis of CH4 and CO2 production from anoxic soil incubations.
- 1335 Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 2787-2803. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12875.
- 1336 Turetsky, M.R., Kotowska, A., Bubier, J., Dise, N.B., Crill, P., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Minkkinen, K., Moore,
- 1337 T.R., Myers-Smith, I.H., Nykanen, H., Olefeldt, D., Rinne, J., Saarnio, S., Shurpali, N., Tuittila, E.S.,
- Waddington, J.M., White, J.R., Wickland, K.P., Wilmking, M., 2014. A synthesis of methane emissions
  from 71 northern, temperate, and subtropical wetlands. Glob. Chang. Biol. 20, 2183-2197.
- 1340 http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12580.
- 1341 Utsumi, M., Nojiri, Y., Nakamura, T., Nozawa, T., Otsuki, A., Takamura, N., Watanabe, M., Seki, H.,
- 1342 1998. Dynamics of dissolved methane and methane oxidation in dimictic Lake Nojiri during winter.
- 1343 Limnol. Oceanogr. 43, 10-17. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.1.0010.
- 1344 Vroom, R.J.E., van den Berg, M., Pangala, S.R., van der Scheer, O.E., Sorell, B.K., 2022. Physiological
  1345 processes affecting methane transport by wetland vegetation a review. Aquat. Bot. (In press).
  1346 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2022.103547.
- Wang, Z.-P., Han, X.-G., 2005. Diurnal variation in methane emissions in relation to plants and
  environmental variables in the Inner Mongolia marshes. Atmos. Environ. 39, 6295-6305.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.010.
- 1350 Wania, R., Melton, J.R., Hodson, E.L., Poulter, B., Ringeval, B., Spahni, R., Bohn, T., Avis, C.A., Chen,
- G., Eliseev, A.V., Hopcroft, P.O., Riley, W.J., Subin, Z.M., Tian, H., van Bodegom, P.M., Kleinen, T., Yu,
  Z.C., Singarayer, J.S., Zuercher, S., Lettenmaier, D.P., Beerling, D.J., Denisov, S.N., Prigent, C., Papa, F.,
- 1353 Kaplan, J.O., 2013. Present state of global wetland extent and wetland methane modelling:
- methodology of a model inter-comparison project (WETCHIMP). Geosci. Model Dev. 6, 617-641.
  http://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-617-2013.
- Wassmann, R., Thein, U.G., Whiticar, M.J., Rennenburg, H., Seiler, W., Junk, W.J., 1992. Methane
  emissions from the Amazon Floodplain: Characterization of production and transport. Global
  Biogeochem. Cycles 6, 3-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/91GB01767</u>
- 1359 Webster, K.L., Bhatti, J.S., Thompson, D.K., Nelson, S.A., Shaw, C.H., Bona, K.A., Hayne, S.L., Kurz,
- 1360 W.A., 2018. Spatially-integrated estimates of net ecosystem exchange and methane fluxes from
- 1361 Canadian peatlands. Carbon Balance Manag. 13, 16. http://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-018-0105-5.
- West, W.E., Creamer, K.P., Jones, S.E., 2015. Productivity and depth regulate lake contributions to
  atmospheric methane. Limnol. Oceanogr. 61, S51-S61. http://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10247.
- Whalen, S.C., 2005. Biogeochemistry of methane exchange between natural wetlands and the
  atmosphere. Environ. Eng. Sci. 22, 73-94. https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2005.22.73.
- Whiting, G.J., Chanton, J.P., 1993. Primary production control of methane emission from wetlands.
  Nature 364, 794-795. http://doi.org/10.1038/364794a0.
- 1368 Whiting, G.J., Chanton, J.P., 1996. Control of the diurnal pattern of methane emission from emergent
- aquatic macrophytes by gas transport mechanisms. Aquat. Bot. 54, 237-253.
- 1370 http://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(96)01048-0.

- 1371 Work, P.A., Downing-Kunz, M., Drexler, J.Z., 2021. Trapping of Suspended Sediment by Submerged
- 1372 Aquatic Vegetation in a Tidal Freshwater Region: Field Observations and Long-Term Trends. Estuaries
- 1373 Coasts 44, 734-749. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00799-w.
- Yavitt, J.B., Knapp, A.K., 1998. Aspects of methane flow from sediment through emergent cattail
  (Typha latifolia) plants. New Phytol. 139, 495-503. http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1998.00210.x.
- Yavitt, J.B., Williams, C.J., Wieder, R.K., 1997. Production of methane and carbon dioxide in peatland
  ecosystems across North America: Effects of temperature, aeration, and organic chemistry of peat.
  Geomicrobiol. J. 14, 299-314.
- Yvon-Durocher, G., Allen, A.P., Bastviken, D., Conrad, R., Gudasz, C., St-Pierre, A., Thanh-Duc, N., del
  Giorgio, P.A., 2014. Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across microbial to
  ecosystem scales. Nature 507, 488-491. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature13164.
- 1382 Zhuang, G.-C., Heuer, V.B., Lazar, C.S., Goldhammer, T., Wendt, J., Samarkin, V.A., Elvert, M., Teske,
- 1383 A.P., Joye, S.B., Hinrichs, K.-U., 2018. Relative importance of methylotrophic methanogenesis in
- sediments of the Western Mediterranean Sea. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 224, 171-186.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.12.024.
- 1386 Zona, D., Gioli, B., Commane, R., Lindaas, J., Wofsy, S.C., Miller, C.E., Dinardo, S.J., Dengel, S.,
- 1387 Sweeney, C., Karion, A., Chang, R.Y.-W., Henderson, J.M., Murphy, P.C., Goodrich, J.P., Moreaux, V.,
- 1388 Liljedahl, A., Watts, J.D., Kimball, J.S., Lipson, D.A., Oechel, W.C., 2016. Cold season emissions
- 1389 dominate the Arctic tundra methane budget. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 40-45.
- 1390 http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516017113.

Biome and	Habitat	Flux range (mg CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup>	Global flux (Tg CH <sub>4</sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> )	References		
ecosystem		d -)	(seasonally integrated)			
High-latitude	Bog <sup>1</sup>	-4.3 - 278; 7 - 57 (IQR)	9 (permafrost region)	(Bao et al., 2021; Kuhn et al., 2021; Treat et al., 2021)		
wetlands	Fen <sup>2</sup>	-30 - 371; 20 - 107 (IQR)	21.5 (permafrost region)	_		
	Marsh <sup>3</sup>	-38 - 761; 71 - 200 (IQR)	2.6 (permafrost region)			
Rice fields			25-38	(Saunois et al., 2020)		
Lakes,	Open water emission	0.1 - 2497; 9 - 153 (IQR)	31 - 73	Measured fluxes: (Rosentreter et al., 2021). Seasonally		
reservoirs,				integrated global flux; (Johnson et al., 2021; Johnson et		
and ponds				al., 2022).		
	Littoral with emergent	8 - 1392	6 - 15 <sup>4</sup>	(Juutinen et al., 2003; Kankaala et al., 2003; Juutinen et		
	plants			al., 2004; Duan et al., 2005; Bastviken et al., 2011;		
				Milberg et al., 2017; Kyzivat et al., 2022)		
Tropical	Global flooded tree flux		37.1 (stem flux)	(Pangala et al., 2017; Gauci et al., 2022)		
floodplain	Non-flooded tree flux		6.4 (stem flux)	(Gauci et al., 2022) (Gauci et al., 2022)		
forests	Amazonian flooded forest	1 - 6504 (stem m <sup>2</sup> )	12.7 - 21.1 (stem flux)	(Pangala et al., 2017; Gauci et al., 2022) (Gauci et al.,		
				2022)		
	Aquatic diffusive flux	2.5 - 50.5		(Barbosa et al., 2020) (data from flooded forest only)		
	Aquatic ebullition	45 - 168		(Barbosa et al., 2021) (data from flooded forest only)		
				(Barbosa et al., 2020)		
(Barbosa et	Amazon aquatic total flux	36 - 617	9.7 ± 5.2	(Pangala et al., 2017) (Amazon flooded forest)		
al., 2021)						
Global	Stem flux in upland and	-14 - 6504 (stem m <sup>2</sup> )	60	(Covey and Megonigal, 2019)		
forests	wetland forests					
	Abiotic CH <sub>4</sub> production on		8-176	(Carmichael et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015)		
	plant and litter surfaces					
Coastal	Mangroves	-1.1 - 1169	1.5 - 4.0	(Al-Haj and Fulweiler, 2020; Rosentreter et al., 2021).		
vegetation	Salt marshes	-1.5 - 1510	1.1 - 2.0			
	Seagrass meadows	0.02 - 6.4	0.5 - 1.0			
Global CH₄ flux estimates for 2008-2017 extracted from Saunois et al. (2020) for comparison						
<b>Flux category</b> Tg $CH_4$ yr <sup>-1</sup> (mean and range; bottom-up estimates unless otherwise noted)						

**Table 1.** Examples of net CH<sub>4</sub> emission ranges observed in ecosystem habitats with different primary producer communities. Flux denotes range as measured by min-max or IQR (interquartile range). Global CH<sub>4</sub> budget estimates are provided at the lower part of the Table to enable easy comparisons.

Total global emissions (top-down)	576 [550–594]	(Saunois et al., 2020)
Total global emissions (bottom-up)	737 [594–881]	
- Fossil fuel production and use	128 [113–154]	
- Agriculture and waste	206 [191–223]	
- Biomass and biofuel burning	30 [26–40]	
- Wetlands	149 [102–182]	
- Other natural emissions (total)	222 [143–306]	
Freshwater	159 [117–212]	
Biogenic open ocean and coastal	6 [4–10]	-

<sup>1</sup>Ombrotrophic; low productivity; Sphagnum-dominated. <sup>2</sup>Variable hydrological connectivity and productivity; Sphagnum, sedges, shrubs. <sup>3</sup>Minerotrophic, high productivity; emergent macrophytes, sedges, often in standing water. <sup>4</sup>Based on estimates of 10 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> or 21% of open water emissions (see references).



**Figure 1**. Examples of how plants and other primary producers can influence terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. The colours on numbers and arrows represent CH<sub>4</sub> production (brown), transport (blue), oxidation (grey), and source of organic substrate (green). Plant drawings are generic to vascular non-woody and woody plants but are intended to represent all primary producers, although not all illustrated mechanisms are relevant for non-vascular plants. This figure represents a simplification (for a more extensive list of mechanisms and their global implications please see e.g., Carmichael et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Bodmer et al., 2021).



**Figure 2.** Examples of ecosystems where plant influences are important for total net CH<sub>4</sub> emissions: A) Lentic open water (lakes, ponds, and reservoirs) and freshwater marsh, bog, and fen ecosystems along a hydrological gradient characteristic of higher latitudes; B) upland forests; C) floodplain forests and wetlands exemplifying lower latitudes; D) coastal vegetated areas (salt marshes, mangroves, and seagrass meadows); E) rice fields. See Figure 1 and text for illustration of mechanisms by which the plant communities influence CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in the respective ecosystems.



**Figure 3.** Results from a whole-lake experiment where <sup>13</sup>C-labelled sodium bicarbonate were added to the epilimnion to act as <sup>13</sup>C tracer of primary production in the ecosystem (Pace et al., 2004). The shaded area denotes the time period of <sup>13</sup>C addition. The response of epilimnetic particulate organic carbon (POC; including phytoplankton) and CH<sub>4</sub> is shown and were offset in time. Black squares and open triangles are Paul and Peter Lake, respectively, Wisconsin, USA. Results illustrate a clear but delayed link between recent primary production and dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> in a whole-lake context. (Modified from Bastviken et al., 2008.)



**Figure 4**. Image of a lake shore with a hyperspectral camera optimized for sensitive detection of CH<sub>4</sub> (Gålfalk et al., 2016). Panel A shows the visible light image of the scene and Panel B shows mean CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratio along each line of sight from the camera to the background. The wind comes from the lake towards the shore which moves emitted CH<sub>4</sub> towards the lower parts of the image. Some CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the outer reed belts (*Phragmites australis*) are visible via slightly elevated nearby mixing ratio with a somewhat patchy appearance depending on local wind mixing. Larger CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the near-shore sedge vegetation are clearly visible. For methods behind the imaging, see Gålfalk et al., (2017).