Insulin Therapy- Common Misconceptions among Diabetics

Saira Gul¹, Mudassir Abbas², Zainab Amjad³, Muhammad Mudassir Shafiq⁴, Wajeeha Shafiq⁵

 District Head Quarters Hospital Attock; 2. Basic Health Unit Chakwal;3. Department of Medicine, College of Medicine King Faisal University Al Ahsa KSA;4. Department of Pulmonology Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Islamabad;
Department of Gynecology Benazir Bhutto Hospital Rawalpindi

Abstract

Background: To find out misconceptions about insulin among diabetics presenting in outpatient department of a tertiary care hospital.

Methods: in this cross-sectional observational study, diabetic patients either male or female, more than 15 year of age, irrespective of their diabetes typing were included. Patient less than 15 year of age, having dementia, having other mental or psychiatric illness were not included. The sampling technique was non probability random sampling. The study tool was a predesigned questionnaire, containing close-ended questions.

Results: Out of 250 respondents,50% were male. About 69% responded that insulin commencement means serious stage of diabetes and 68% considered as an expensive modality . According to 32% insulin usage restricts daily life activities.

Conclusion: Diabetic patients of this area had many misconceptions about the insulin therapy. **Key words:** Diabetes, Insulin, Misconceptions

Introduction

Incidence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing globally. Cases of diabetes have risen from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million in 2014.¹ It is estimated that 30.3 million persons of all ages are living with diabetes in USA.² WHO projects that diabetes mellitus will be the 7th leading cause of death in 2030.³ The economic impact of DM is high and it is a major contributor to the increasing healthcare cost worldwide.⁴ Data from Pakistan showed that prevalence of type 2 DM among the population aged >25 years was 13.9% in Sindh and 8.6% in Baluchistan with a further 11.1% males and 13.4% females with impaired glucose tolerance in the two provinces respectively.^{5,6} Diabetes is among top five non-communicable diseases in Pakistan.⁷

Early diagnosis and treatment of diabetes is necessary complication. with avoid Along to non pharmacological measures like healthy life style, dietary modifications and weight controlling strategies a variety of oral hypoglycemic agents are available for the treatment of diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM type 2).8 Insulin is the mainstay of the treatment for type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM Type 1).9 Diabetes is a progressive disease, many patients with DM type 2 also require insulin in the later stages of the disease.¹⁰ Cultural beliefs and misconceptions about treatment, does affect the treatment of diabetes, education level was found more significant then ethnicity in diabetes related beliefs that influence the treatment . 11,12 Some people use bitter things to treat the DM, other use herbs and other dietary supplements as alternatives to mainstream western medical treatment. 13-15 A study has estimated that up to 30% of diabetic patients use complementary and alternative medicine options.¹⁶ Early initiation of insulin benefits the patients in DM Type 2, but it is usually delayed and one major factor in delaying is the refusal for insulin therapy from patients. 17,18 . Most of the patients refuse insulin due

to fear of pain, poor quality of life, dependence issues, storage issues and dispensing facilities.¹⁹ Majority of the doctors are of view that that patients did not like to use insulin, so they prefer to prescribe oral anti diabetic drug instead of insulin.¹⁹

Patients and Methods

This cross-sectional observational study was conducted at the Diabetic Cclinic of general outpatient department, Benazir Bhutto Hospital Rawalpindi from 1st August to 30th September 2017. Diabetic patients either male or female, more than 15 year of age, irrespective of their diabetes typing were included in the study. Patient less than 15 year of age, having dementia, and mental or psychiatric illness were not included in the study. The sampling technique was non probability random sampling . The study tool was a predesigned questionnaire, containing close-ended questions. The study technique was an interview. Age, gender, level of education and response to asked questions were recorded. Frequencies, percentages and relation between different variables were calculated.

Results

Total 250 respondents were included in the study, response rate was 100%. The major bulk of our study population fell between ages of 36-55 years 48.8% (n=122) followed by 56-75 years 42.4% (n=106), 15-35 years 8.4% (n=21) and > 75 years 0.4% (n=01).

Table 1: Concepts about insulin therapy among insulin users (n=250)

msum users (n=250)								
Variable	Agree	Disagree	Neutral					
Insulin is the last	183(73.2%)	47 (18.8%)	20 (8.0%)					
treatment								
Insulin commencement	171 (68.4%)	171 (68.4%) 50 (20.0%)						
indicates serious stage								
of diabetes								
Insulin injection is	170 (68.0%)	49 (19.6%)	31(12.4%)					
costly								
Insulin injection cause	123 (49.2%)	87 (34.8%)	40(16.0%)					
pain								
Insulin lowers blood	121 (48.4%)	87 (34.8%)	42(16.8%)					
sugar to more								
dangerous levels								
Insulin therapy leads to	94 (37.6%)	128(51.2%)	28(11.2%)					
renal failure in diabetics								
Fear of scaring at site of	90 (36.0%)	131(52.4%)	29(11.6%)					
insulin injection								
Insulin therapy leads to	81 (32.4%)	125(54.0%)	34(13.6%)					
blindness in diabetics								
Insulin usage restrict	80 (32.0%)	159(63.6%)	11 (4.4%)					
daily life activities								
Lack of confidence in	60 (24.0%)	145(58.0%)	45(18.0%)					
insulin injection								
Insulin fails to control	57 (22.8%)	163(65.2%)	30(12.0%)					
blood sugars								
Insulin therapy is a	51 (20.4%)	156(62.4%)	43(17.3%)					
source of social								
embarrassment								
Insulin is a fake	39 (15.6%)	160(64.0%)	51(20.4%)					
treatment actually								

Gender distribution was equal among sample studied 50.0% (n=125) each. 44% (n=110) had diabetes from last 1-5 years followed by 25.2% (n=63) >10 years, 24.0% (n=60) 6-10 years and 6.8% (n=17) had less than 1 year since diagnosis. Regarding education status, 77.6% (n=194) were under matriculation and 22.4% (n=56) educated up to matriculation or above. Majority (73.2%) takes insulin as last resort (Table

1).There was significant association between level of education and misconceptions about insulin (Table 2).

Table 2: Level of awareness about insulin and
its usage according to educational status

its usage according to educational status						
	Under Matric		Matric and above		P value	
	n=194		n=56			
		No	Yes	NO		
Insulin	136(70.1%)	58(29.1)	35(62.5%)	30(37.5)	0.065	
commencement						
indicates serious						
stage of diabetes						
Insulin is the last	150(77.3%)	44(22.7)	47(58.9%)	09	0.067	
treatment				(41.1)		
Insulin therapy	82 (42.2%)	112	12(21.4%)	44(65.4)	0.016	
leads to renal		(57.8)				
failure in diabetics						
Insulin therapy	70 (36.1%)	124(63.9)	11(19.6%)	45(80.4)	0.012	
leads to blindness	, ,		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Í		
in diabetics						
Lack of	53 (27.3%)	141(72.7)	7 (12.5%)	49(87.5)	0.005	
confidence in						
insulin injection						
Insulin injection	110(56.7%)	84(43.3)	30(53.6%)	26(46.4)	0.022	
causes pain						
Insulin injection is	126(64.9%)	68(35.1)	30(53.5%)	26(46.5)	0.054	
costly						
Insulin usage	70 (36.1%)	124(63.9)	10(17.9%)	4682.1)	0.028	
restrict daily life						
activities						
Insulin fails to	49 (25.3%)	145(74.7)	8 (14.3%)	48	0.058	
control blood				(85.7)		
sugars						
Insulin lowers	87 (44.8%)	107(55.2)	34(60.7%)	22(39.3)	0.004	
blood sugar to						
more dangerous						
levels						
Fear of scaring at	71 (36.6%)	123(63.4)	10(33.9%)	46(66.1)	0.004	
site of insulin						
injection						
Insulin therapy is	38 (19.6%)	156(80.4)	13(23.2%)	43(76.8)	0.536	
a source of social	. ,		. ,			
embarrassment						
Insulin is a fake	32 (16.5%)	162(83.5)	7 (12.5%)	49	0.597	
treatment actually				(87.5)		

Discussion

A higher level of education is associated with the better understanding of disease and administration of the advised treatment. In addition to alteration in diet and lifestyle and administration of insulin or other oral hypoglycaemic agents, the management of this metabolic disease demands continuous educational and counselling efforts.

Most of our sample population had diabetes from last 5 years and were in age group 36-55 years. Other local studies have shown that in recent years, incidence of diabetes is increasing in younger ages probably due to some genetic predisposition, environmental, dietary

factors and sedentary life styles.⁶ In present study 68.4% patients responded that commencement of insulin means disease at serious stage, another 73.2 % said it is the last treatment option; results are almost comparable with previous studies, a study from India showed same results.²⁰

Diabetes can cause chronic renal failure and blindness due to diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy; however more than 30% respondents in our study considered insulin is the cause for that. Same was observed by Polonsky WH et al. ²¹ Another study from Malaysia had same results.^{21,22} We had almost matching results with past studies regarding lack of confidence in insulin.^{20,23} In response to cost of insulin, pain on administration, restriction to daily routine and failure to control blood sugar level; present study reflect the similar results as previous paper.²³ Our study showed significant relation between education level and misconception about insulin as described in previous local studies.^{24,25}

Conclusion

1.Diabetic patients of this area had many misconceptions about the insulin therapy. A major part of the study population is unaware of the proper use of insulin and its various effects. Many of them do not know about relation of insulin and disease severity.

2.Affordability of insulin, due to financial constraints, is a hurdle in its usage

References

- 1. Zhou B, Lu Y, Hajifathalian K, Bentham J, Di. Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies. Lancet. 2016;387:1513-30
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2017. 2017. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/nationa l-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf
- 3. Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. PLoS Med, 2006, 3(11):e442
- 4. Rubin RJ, Altman WM, Mendelson DN. Health care expenditure for people with diabtes mellitus. 1992.J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1994:78:809-12.
- 5. Shera AS, Rafique G, Khawaja IA. Pakistan National Diabetes Survey; prevalence of glucose intolerance and associated factors in Sindh. Diabetic Med 1995:12:1116-21.
- Shera AS, Rafique G, Khawaja IA. Pakistan National Diabetes Survey: prevalence of glucose intolerance and associated factors in Baluchistan. Diabetes Res Clin Prac 1999:44:49-58
- Naseem S, Khattak UK, Ghazanfar H. Prevalence of noncommunicable diseases and their risk factors at a semiurban community, Pakistan. Pan Afr Med J. 2016;23:151-55

- 8. Chamberlain JJ, Rhinehart AS, Shaefer CF Jr Diagnosis and management of diabetes:American Diabetes Association Standards. Ann Intern Med 2016; 164:442-52
- 9. Chamberlain JJ, Kalyani RR, Leal S. Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes: Synopsis of the 2017 American Diabetes Association Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. Ann Intern Med 2017;167:493-98
- 10. Chamberlain JJ, Herman WH, Leal S. Pharmacologic therapy for Type 2 Diabetes: Synopsis of the 2017 American Diabetes Association Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. Ann Intern Med 2017;166: 572-78
- 11. Smith CA. Living with sugar: influence of cultural beliefs on type 2 diabetes self-management of English-speaking Afro-Caribbean women. J Immigr Minor Health 2012; 14:640-47
- 12. Grzywacz JG, Arcury TA, Ip EH, Nguyen HT."Culture" in diabetes-related beliefs among low- and high-education African American, American Indian, and White Older Adults Ethn Dis. 2012; 22: 466–72
- 13. Cefalu WT, Ye J, Wang ZQ Efficacy of dietary supplementation with botanicals on carbohydrate metabolism in humans. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 2008; 8:78-81
- Cousens G. There is a cure for diabetes: the tree of life 21 day program California: North Atlantic Books; 2008; 191– 92
- 15. Malviya N, Jain S, Malviya S. Antidiabetic potential of medicinal plants. Acta Pol Pharm 2010; 67:113-18.
- Raman BV, Krishna NV, Rao NB, Saradhi PM. Plants with antidiabetic activities and their medicinal values. Int Res J Pharm 2012;3:11–15
- Kesavadev J, Joshi S, Saboo B. Improved short term and long term outcomes of early insulin initiation in type 2 diabetes over 10 years. Abstract 238. Presented at: 26th American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) Annual Scientific Sessions & Clinical Congress. 2017
- Khunti K, Millar-Jones D. Clinical inertia to insulin initiation and intensification in the UK: a focused literature review. Prim Care Diabetes. 2017;11(1):3-12
- Hussain M, Naqvi SBS, Khan MA, Arsalan A. Insulin therapy: increasing morbidity and trend of refusal among diabetes mellitus type 2 patients.Professional Med J 2017;24:646-49.
- 20. Choudhury SD, Das SK, Hazra A. Survey of knowledgeattitude-practice concerning insulin use in adult diabetic patients.Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2014;46 :425-29.
- 21. Polonsky WH, Fisher L, Guzman S, Villa-Caballero L. Psychological insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2007; 28:2543-45.
- 22. Nur Azmiah Z, Zulkarnain AK, Tahir A. Psychological insulin resistance (PIR) among type 2 diabetes patients at public health clinics. Int Med J Malaysia. 2011;10:7–12
- 23. Tan WL, Asahar SF, Harun NL. Insulin therapy refusal among type II diabetes mellitus patients. Malaysia. Singapore Medical Journal 2015;56:224-27
- 24. Kanwal S, Malik TA, Noman M, Rehman A. A Crosssectional study assessing knowledge attitude and practice of diabetic patients at tertiary care hospitals of twin cities of Pakistan. J App Pharm 2015; 8: 210-14
- 25. Batais MA, Schantter P. Prevalence of unwillingness to use insulin therapy and its associated attitudes amongst patients with Type 2 diabetes. Prim Care Diabetes. 2016;10:415-24.