

The impact of anticoagulation on length of stay of epistaxis patients: a quality improvement study.

Spencer Short

Briana Swendener

Rahul Mhaskar

Robert M. DeDio MD

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/select-program>



Part of the [Medical Education Commons](#)

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

The impact of anticoagulation on length of stay of epistaxis patients: a quality improvement study.

Spencer H. Short, BS^{a, b}; Briana Swendener, BS^a; Rahul Mhaskar, MPH, PhD^a; Robert DeDio, MD, MBA^{a, b}

^aUSF Morsani Coll. of Med., Tampa, FL, ^bLehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Introduction

- Epistaxis is one of the most common ear, nose, and throat emergencies in an emergency department or primary care setting
- Oral anticoagulant medications (e.g., warfarin, apixaban, or rivaroxaban) and antiplatelet medication (e.g., aspirin and clopidogrel) are known to increase the risk of bleeding
- There is still debate on the degree that anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy has on patient length of stay (LOS)

Problem Statement

The primary goal of this project was to establish if the type of medication (e.g., anticoagulants, antiplatelets, none, or both) epistaxis patients are taking on admission influences the LOS.

Methods

- A retrospective cohort study on 119 patients admitted for epistaxis between January 2018 and March 2020
- Patients were divided into 4 groups
 - No medications (NM)
 - Anticoagulant only (AC)
 - Antiplatelet only (AP)
 - Anticoagulant and antiplatelet therapy (AC+AP)
- Groupings were determined by the type of medication patients were taking at their home.

Epistaxis Cohort		Anticoagulant + Antiplatelet	
N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
All patients 119	All patients 28	All patients 16	All patients 16
Male 71 (60%)	Male 14 (50%)	Male 12 (75%)	Male 12 (75%)
Female 48 (40%)	Female 14 (50%)	Female 4 (25%)	Female 4 (25%)
Average age 71.5	Average Age 78	Average Age 70	Average Age 70
Average LOS (SD) 4.2 (5.6)	Average LOS (SD) 3.3 (3.5)	Average LOS (SD) 5 (4)	Average LOS (SD) 5 (4)
Number packed 66 (55%)	Number packed 18 (64%)	Number packed 11 (69%)	Number packed 11 (69%)
Average length of packing 4.9	Average length of packing 4.7	Average length of packing 4.4	Average length of packing 4.4
Average number of comorbidities 5.9	Average number of comorbidities 7.2	Average number of comorbidities 5	Average number of comorbidities 5
Anticoagulant Only	Anticoagulant Only	Antiplatelet only	Antiplatelet only
All patients 47	All patients 10 (35%)	All patients 16	All patients 16
Male 31 (65%)	Male 10 (35%)	Male 12 (75%)	Male 12 (75%)
Female 16 (35%)	Female 10 (35%)	Female 4 (25%)	Female 4 (25%)
Average Age 73	Average Age 73	Average Age 70	Average Age 70
Average LOS (SD) 5.7 (7.6)	Average LOS (SD) 2.4 (2.8)	Average LOS (SD) 5 (4)	Average LOS (SD) 5 (4)
Number packed 25 (53%)	Number packed 12 (42%)	Number packed 11 (69%)	Number packed 11 (69%)
Average length of packing 5.4	Average length of packing 4.6	Average length of packing 4.4	Average length of packing 4.4
Average number of comorbidities 6.6	Average number of comorbidities 4	Average number of comorbidities 5	Average number of comorbidities 5
Average INR 4.7	Average INR 4.6	Average INR 4.4	Average INR 4.4
Patients with supratherapeutic INR 22 (47%)	Patients with supratherapeutic INR 4	Patients with supratherapeutic INR 4	Patients with supratherapeutic INR 4
Average Supratherapeutic INR 7.4	Average Supratherapeutic INR 4	Average Supratherapeutic INR 4	Average Supratherapeutic INR 4

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of epistaxis cohort.

Results

- Descriptive characteristics of each group (**Table 1**)
- N = 119 patients in total
 - NM group 28 (23.5%) patients
 - AC group 47 (39.5%) patients
 - AP group 16 (13.4%) patients
 - AC+AP group 28 (23.5%) patients (**Table 2**)
- The AP group had the longest LOS and the NM group had the shortest (**Table 3**)
- Patients with supratherapeutic INR had longer LOS than those with INR in acceptable range (**Table 4**)
- Difference in LOS between the NM and AP groups is significant (**Table 5**)
- No difference in LOS between DOACs vs. Warfarin groups

	Medication group			
	NM (28, 23.5%)	AC (47, 39.5%)	AP (16, 13.4%)	AC+AP (28, 23.5%)
On admission				
Age, mean (SD)	63.3 (12.6)	73.3 (12.1)	69.8 (10.8)	78.0 (10.4)
Gender (Female), n (%)	14 (50.0)	16 (34.0)	4 (25.0)	14 (50.0)
Packing, n (%)	12 (42.9)	25 (53.2)	11 (68.8)	18 (64.3)
Transfusion, n (%)	6 (21.4)	7 (14.9)	1 (6.3)	2 (7.1)
Intubation, n (%)	10 (35.7)	1 (2.1)	4 (25.0)	4 (14.3)
Embolization, n (%)	2 (7.1)	2 (4.3)	2 (12.5)	2 (7.1)
Surgery, n (%)	8 (28.6)	1 (2.1)	1 (6.3)	1 (3.6)
At discharge				
	NM (52, 44.8%)	AC (36, 31.0%)	AP (10, 8.6%)	AC+AP (18, 15.5%)

Table 2. Characteristics of patients between different meds conditions on admission and at discharge.

Variables	Length of packing		LOS (days)		Number of comorbidities	
	median (IQR)	p-value	median (IQR)	p-value	median (IQR)	p-value
Medications on Admission	-	0.408	-	0.029	-	<0.001
NM	0 (0 – 4)		1.5 (0.5 – 3.0)		4 (3 – 5)	
AC	3 (0 – 5)		3.0 (1.0 – 6.0)		7 (5 – 8)	
AP	3 (0 – 5)		4.0 (2.5 – 7.0)		4.5 (4 – 6)	
AC+AP	3 (0 – 5)		2.0 (1.5 – 3.5)		7 (7 – 8)	
Gender		0.136		0.063		0.314
Female	0 (0 – 5)		2.0 (1.0 – 4.0)		6 (4 – 7)	
Male	3 (0 – 5)		3.0 (1.0 – 7.0)		7 (4 – 8)	

Table 3. Distribution of LOS, Length of packing, and the number of comorbidities on meds condition.

Variables	INR categories		p-value
	Acceptable range (44, 57.9%)	Supratherapeutic INR (32, 42.1%)	
Length of packing, median (IQR)	3 (0 – 5)	1.5 (0 – 5)	0.482
Transfusion, n (%)	4 (9.1)	6 (18.8)	0.306
Intubation, n (%)	5 (11.4)	1 (3.1)	0.392
Embolization, n (%)	4 (9.1)	1 (3.1)	0.391
Surgery, n (%)	1 (2.3)	1 (3.1)	1.000
Length of Stay (days), median (IQR)	2.0 (0.5 – 3.5)	3.5 (2.0 – 6.0)	0.002

Table 4. Clinical outcomes of patients across INR categories.

Discussion

- The AP group was found to have the longest LOS among all groups, followed by the AC group and the AC+AP group
 - Antiplatelet medications are not being readily reversible
- Patients with a supratherapeutic INR had a longer LOS than patients with an INR in an acceptable range
- Patients with supratherapeutic INRs had their epistaxis resolved more quickly than the NM group.
 - may indicate the NM group was more likely to experience true posterior epistaxis

- Goljo et al. found that the mean hospitalization cost of epistaxis was \$6,925 and the LOS was 3.24
 - LVHN is in-line with that study's LOS findings
- AP group had the longest LOS, but secondary intervention did not positively correlate
- The antiplatelet group had the longest LOS, due to difficulty reversing medication effects
- No difference in LOS for patients taking DOACs vs. Warfarin
- Supratherapeutic INR had the greatest impact on a patient's LOS in the AC or AC+AP groups

	Length of Stay (days)		
	Wilcoxon Z	DSCF Value	P-value
NM vs. Warfarin	-2.2655	3.2039	0.10
NM vs. AP	-2.6370	3.7292	0.04
NM vs. DOAC	-0.8837	1.2498	0.81
Warfarin vs. AP	-0.9849	1.3928	0.75
Warfarin vs. DOAC	1.3334	1.8857	0.54
AP vs. DOAC	2.1909	3.0984	0.12

Table 5. Multiple comparisons for LOS across four meds groups

REFERENCES

1. Goljo E, Dang R, Iloreta AM, Govindaraj S. Cost of management in epistaxis admission: Impact of patient and hospital characteristics. *Laryngoscope*. 2015;125(12):2642-2647.

© 2018 Lehigh Valley Health Network