Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

USF-LVHN SELECT

Quantifying alcohol use among Ecuadorian HIV positive individuals and assessing alcohol as an independent risk factor for HIV

Jeffrey Downen

Brianna Swendener

Adriana Bodlak

Diego Anazco

Bryan Nicolalde MD

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/select-program

Part of the Medical Education Commons

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Authors

Jeffrey Downen; Brianna Swendener; Adriana Bodlak; Diego Anazco; Bryan Nicolalde MD; Rahul Mhaskar; Nelson Cevallos MD; Alberto Castillo MD; David Larreategui MD; Edmundo Torres MD; Ricardo Izurieta MD, DrPH; and Enrique Teran MD, PhD

Quantifying alcohol use among Ecuadorian HIV positive individuals and assessing alcohol as an independent risk factor for HIV

Jeffrey M. Downen, BS, Briana Swendener, BS, Adriana A. Bodlak, BS, Diego F. Añazco, BS, Bryan I. Nicolalde, MD, Rahul Mhaskar, PhD, Nelson Cevallos, MD, Alberto Castillo, MD, David Larreategui, MD, Edmundo Torres, MD, Ricardo Izurieta (mentor), MD, DrPH, Enrique Teran, MD, PhD.

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania



- status
- 90% of all people diagnosed with HIV will receive Antiretroviral Therapy (ART)
- 90% of people receiving ART will achieve viral suppression.
- Approximately 44,000 HIV+ individuals living in Ecuador
 - Ecuadorian government providing broad public access to HIV treatment.
 - 57% of patients are receiving ART and 51% have achieved viral suppression[1].
 - Funding continues to be a major barrier.
- Previous studies have shown a correlation between alcohol consumption and HIV status [2,3].
- Increased alcohol consumption accelerates disease progression within HIV+ populations [4-6].
- <u>SELECT</u>: Decreased alcohol consumption could decrease healthcare costs and improve care quality.

Problem Statement

1. To determine whether alcohol consumption is an independent risk factor for contracting HIV. If so, which

Gender (Male vs. Female)	(74 7)	204. (Δ/	[2]	-10 (A	
Age of 1 st sexual encounter	16.8+3.8	17.8	+4.1	p=	0.0004
Days the Patient Drank Per Week 12				P	
Months Prior (vs. None)					
Èvery day	11/297 (3.7)	7/597 (1.2)		5.3	3 (2.0 – 14.1)
Multiple times per week	41/297 (13.8)	85/597	(14.2)	1.6 (*	
One or less times per week	153/297 (51.5)	193 (32	/597 2.3)	2.7 (2	2.0 – 3.7
None	92/297 (31.0)	312/597 (52.3)			
Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 Months Prior (vs. None)		,	,		
6 drinks or more per day	52/295 (17.6)	39/593 (6.6)		5.0 (3	3.1 – 8.2
2-5 drinks per day	56/295 (19.0)	114/593 (19.2)		1.9 (*	1.2 – 2.8
Less than 2 drinks per day	118/295 (40.0)	179/593 (30.2)		2.5 (*	1.7 – 3.5
None	69/295 (23.4)	261/593 (44.0)			
Binge Drinking 12 Months Prior (vs. Never)		·			
Every day	7/298 (2.4)	16/59	7 (2.7)	1.2 (0.5 – 3.0
One or more times per week	55/298 (18.5)	59/59	7 (9.9)	2.6 (1.6 – 4.0
One or more times per month	69/298 (23.2)	87/597	(14.6)	2.2 (1.5 – 3.3
One or more times per year	33/298 (11.1)	92/597	(15.4)	1.0 (0	0.6 – 1.6
Less than once per year	53/298 (17.8)	120/597 (20.1)		1.2 (0.8 – 1.8
Never	81/298 (27.2)	2) 223/597 (37.4)			
Multivari	ate Analvs	ie			
IVIUIUVAII	<u>ate / marys</u>	13			
Variables		<u>13</u>	Odds R (95% Cl	atio	p-valu
Variables Gender (vs. Female)	Male		Odds R (95% Cl 11.0 (4.9 24.9)	atio) 9 –	p-value <.0001
Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None)	Male 2 drinks or more	re	Odds R (95% C 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.2 1.7)	atio) 9 26	p-value <.0001
Inditivality Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None)	Male 2 drinks or mor Less than 2 dri	re inks	Odds R (95% C 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.2 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4)	atio I) 9 – 26 – 1 –	p-value <.0001 0.4229 0.2740
Inditivality Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None)	Male 2 drinks or mor Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p	re nks er dav	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.7 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3)	atio) 9 – 26 – 1 –	p-value <.0001 0.4229 0.2740 0.1996
Interference Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Rever	Male 2 drinks or mor Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year	re nks er day	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.2 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.7)	atio) 9 – 26 – 1 –	p-value <.0001 0.4229 0.2740 0.1996 0.0043
Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. Never)	Male 2 drinks or more Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year Less than ond per year	re nks anks er day imes imes	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.7 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95)	atio) 9 – 26 – 1 – -	p-value <.00001 0.42299 0.2740 0.1996 0.1996 0.0043 0.0346
Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Tobacco Consumption at Diagnosis (vs. No)	Male 2 drinks or more Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year Less than ond per year Yes	13 13 13 re inks inks	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.3 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.96)	atio 9 – 26 – 1 – –	p-value <.00001 0.4229 0.2740 0.1996 0.1996 0.0043 0.004346 0.0346
Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. Never) Tobacco Consumption at Diagnosis (vs. No) More Than One Sexual Partner Prior to Diagnosis (vs. No)	Male 2 drinks or more Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year Less than ond per year Yes Yes	13 13 13 14 1	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.2 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.96) 2.3 (1.4 3.7)	atio 9 – 26 – 1 – -	p-value <.00001 0.4229 0.1996 0.1996 0.0043 0.0043 0.0043 0.0043 0.004346 0.00424
Inforterval Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. Never) Tobacco Consumption at Diagnosis (vs. No) More Than One Sexual Partner Prior to Diagnosis (vs. No) Gender Preference for Sexual Partner (vs. Female only)	Male 2 drinks or more Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year Less than ond per year Yes Yes Male only	13 13 13 14 1	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.3 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.95)	atio) 9 – 26 – 1 –	p-value <.00001 0.4229 0.1996 0.1996 0.0043 0.0043 0.0043 0.004346 0.004346 0.00424 0.00424 0.0007
Intervention Variables Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Tobacco Consumption at Diagnosis (vs. No) More Than One Sexual Partner Prior to Diagnosis (vs. No) Gender Preference for Sexual Partner (vs. Female only)	Male 2 drinks or mod Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year Less than ond per year Yes Yes Male only Both	13 13 13 14 1	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.3 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.96) 0.3 (0.1 0.96) 2.3 (1.4 3.7) 8.2 (3.4 19.4) 11.7 (4.3 32.3)	atio 9 26 1 - - 3 3	p-value <.00001 0.4229 0.2740 0.1996 0.1996 0.0043 0.0043 0.004346 0.00424 0.00424 0.0007 <.00001
Interference for Sexual Partner (vs. No) Gender (vs. Female) Gender (vs. Female) Drinks Per Day the Patient Drank 12 months Prior to Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. None) Binge Drinking at Diagnosis (vs. Never) Sex worker usage prior to diagnosis (vs. No)	Male 2 drinks or more Less than 2 dri One or more til per month or p week or every One or more t per year Less than ond per year Yes Yes Male only Both	13 13 13 14 1	Odds R (95% C) 11.0 (4.9 24.9) 0.68 (0.3 1.7) 1.5 (0.7 3.4) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.6 (0.3 1.3) 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.7) 0.5 (0.3 0.95) 0.3 (0.1 0.96) 2.3 (1.4 3.7) 8.2 (3.4 19.4) 11.7 (4.3 32.3) 2.6 (1.3	atio 9 26 1 - - - 3 3	p-value <.00001 0.4229 0.1996 0.1996 0.0043 0.004346 0.004346 0.00424 0.0007 0.0007 0.00059

6 or more beverages per drinking day significantly increases the risk of contracting HIV.

- Multivariate analysis:
 - shows no evidence of alcohol as an independent risk factor.
 - highest odds ratios associated with being male, having more than one sexual partner, sex worker usage or employment, and gender preference for "both" or males only.
- High levels of alcohol consumption are a significant risk factor for contracting HIV, however this effect is likely due to its association with other risky behaviors.
- Due to the interplay of these factors, decreases in alcohol consumption could lead to beneficial decreases in other risky behaviors
- Alcohol consumption decreased significantly among HIV+ patients after diagnosis.

type of drinking behavior, chronic consumption or binge drinking, is associated with HIV positive status.

 To determine whether the rate of alcohol consumption decreases among HIV+ individuals post-diagnosis.

Methods

- Design: Case Control Study
- Study Groups:

Cases300 HIV+ PatientsControls600 Internal Medicine Patients

- Participants selected at random from waiting rooms of clinics in 3 different hospitals in Quito, Ecuador.
- Procedures:
 - Anonymous Questionnaire
 - Quantified alcohol usage at 3 time periods:
 - 1 year prior to HIV diagnosis
 - At time of diagnosis

Overall HIV+ Patient EtOH Consumption



Conclusions

- Alcohol consumption is a significant risk factor for becoming HIV positive, albeit not independently.
- Decreased consumption amongst HIV+ individuals is a positive trend that should benefit the Ecuadorian health system in meeting the "90-90-90" goals
- **SELECT**: Healthcare quality in Ecuador can likely be improved through decreased alcohol consumption among both the general and HIV+ population. These effects would likely manifest as decreased amounts of new HIV diagnoses, decreased treatment costs, and improved treatment efficacy.

REFERENCES

1. ONUSIDA. Country factsheets – ECUADOR 2018. Available at: https://www.unaids.org/es/regionscountries/countries/ecuador. Last access: 06/08/2020. Fisher JC, Bang H, Kapiga SH. The association between HIV infection and alcohol 2. use: a systematic review and meta-analysis of African studies. Sexually transmitted diseases 2007; 34(11):856-63. Baliunas D, Rehm J, Irving H, Shuper PJIJoPH. Alcohol consumption and risk of incident human immunodeficiency virus infection: a meta-analysis. Int J Public Health 2010; 55(3):159-66. Midde NM, Sinha N, Lukka PB, Meibohm B, Kumar S. Alterations in cellular pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of elvitegravir in response to ethanol exposure in HIV-1 infected monocytic (U1) cells. PLoS One 2017; 12(2):e0172628. Kovacs EJ, Messingham KA. Influence of alcohol and gender on immune response. 5. Alcohol Res Health 2002; 26(4):257-263. Szabo G. Alcohol's contribution to compromised immunity. Alcohol Health Res World 6. 1997; 21(1):30-41. Baum MK, Rafie C, Lai S, Sales S, Page JB, Campa A. Alcohol Use Accelerates HIV Disease Progression. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses 2010; 26(5):511-518.

- 1 year post-diagnosis
- Differentiated binge drinking vs. chronic consumption
- Accounted for other potential HIV risk factors (risky sexual behaviors, drug usage, etc...)
- Analysis:
 - t table for univariate analysis
 - multivariable logistic regression (multivariate analysis) controlling for confounders
 - Useful for determining independence of risk factors

© 2018 Lehigh Valley Health Network

SELECT Scholarly Excellence. Leadership Experiences. Collaborative Training.

Experiences for a lifetime. A network for life.™



