Lehigh Valley Health Network

LVHN Scholarly Works

USF-LVHN SELECT

Differentiating the Need for Neurosurgical Interventions at a Level 1 Trauma Center in Patients with a Mild Traumatic Brain Injury and a Positive CT Head

Tara Stansbury

Puja Patel MD

Kamalesh T. Shah MD

Mark Cipolle MD

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/select-program



Part of the Medical Education Commons

Differentiating the Need for Neurosurgical Interventions at a Level 1 Trauma Center in Patients with a Mild Traumatic Brain Injury and a Positive CT Head

Tara Stansbury, Puja Patel, DO, Kamaleshh Shah, MD, Mark Cipolle, MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) are a leading cause of disability. These include mild traumatic brain injuries (mTBIs), which are defined as head trauma in patients with Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 13 to 15. About 10-15% of mTBI patients will have an intracranial hemorrhage. 1 While most are managed non-operatively², a small portion require neurosurgical intervention and these patients are transferred to tertiary referral centers for higher level of care.³ There currently are no national guidelines to determine the need for transfer of patients with mTBIs from community to level 1 trauma centers.⁴ Identification of factors that place patients at high risk for neurosurgical intervention could determine which patients need to be transferred and decrease the number of unnecessary transfers in low-risk individuals.

Problem Statement

To determine risk factors leading to neurosurgical intervention and subsequent transfer to level I trauma center in patients with mTBI.

- Retrospective chart review of 1650 patients
- This project represents a smaller subset (359 patients)
- Inclusion criteria:
 - GCS 13-15
 - Intracranial bleed present on initial CT scan
 - Date of admission between Oct 1st 2015 to Sept 30th, 2019 to LVHN- Cedar Crest or transferred from another hospital
- Patient charts were reviewed for the following:
 - GCS at initial hospital, on admission, within 24
 - Past medical history, history of brain ICH
 - Number of ICH, type of bleed, size of bleed
 - Time to and type of neurosurgical intervention
 - Neurological deficits on presentation(Including Pupil size and reactivity to light)
 - Anticoagulation therapy and need for reversal
 - INR at initial hospital and on admission
 - 60-day readmission, 60-day mortality, and inhospital mortality

| | Number of | Percent of |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| Nives box of postions | patients | patients |
| Number of patients | 352 | |
| Mean age, years | 77.0 | |
| Gender | 100 | F2 4 0/ |
| Male | 190 | 53.4 % |
| Female | 166 | 46.6 % |
| Medical History Congostive boost failure | 15 | 4 2 0/ |
| Congestive heart failure | 15 | 4.2 % |
| Alzheimer's | 10 | 2.6 % |
| Previous history of head trauma | 35 | 9.8 % |
| Cerebrovascular Accident (CVA) | 35 | 9.8 % |
| Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA) | 10 | 2.8 % |
| Myocardial infarction | 12 | 3.4 % |
| Hypertension | 234 | 65.7 % |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 80 | 22.5 % |
| Bleeding disorder | 13 | 3.7 % |
| Chronic aspirin use | 63 | 17.7 % |
| Anticoagulation therapy | 116 | 32.6 % |
| Dementia | 59 | 16.6 % |
| Obesity | 48 | 13.5 % |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) | 50 | 14.0 % |
| Chronic ongoing alcohol abuse | 29 | 8.1 % |
| Substance use disorder | 7 | 2.0 % |
| Current smoker | 56 | 15.7 % |
| Advanced directive limiting care | 115 | 32.3 % |
| Functionally dependent health status | 150 | 42.1 % |

Figure 1: Patient Demographics

| | Neurosurgical | medical | |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|----------|
| | intervention | management | p -value |
| Mean age | 67 | 78 | 0.02 |
| % on Warfarin | 55.60% | 25.20% | 0.0066 |
| % with Neurological | | | |
| Deficit | 87% | 63% | 0.0043 |
| % with CT with any | | | |
| midline shift | 81.30% | 12.30% | <0.0001 |
| Avg size of midline | | | |
| shift | 10.0 mm | 4.0 mm | <0.0001 |
| % with Worse | | | |
| second scan | 42.30% | 15.40% | 0.0038 |
| % with SDH | 97.00% | 53.10% | <0.0001 |
| | | | |

Figure 2: highest risk factors for neurosurgical intervention vs. medical management only, of SDH 90.6% were convex (p=0.0090) and the most significant neurological deficit was hemiparesis at 24.1% (p=0.0006)

- Among 352 patients with traumatic intracranial hemorrhage (mean age 77 [60-86], 53.4% male), 90.4% did not require neurosurgical intervention.
- Of note, 82.6% repeat CT scans were the same or better than the initial scan.

- Risk factors with higher rate of neurosurgical intervention:
 - CT scan with midline shift >5mm
 - Warfarin use (esp with reversal)
 - SDH (esp convex)
 - Neurological deficit (esp hemiparesis)
 - Worsening repeat CT
- Patients with multiple of these factors would most likely benefit from transfer to a higher level of care.
- These factors could be used to establish protocol for transferring mTBI patients.
- Values based patient centered care: pt transfer is a burden on patients and their families. It would be better for patient care to admit them at the hospital that they regularly receive care.
- Health Systems: reducing unnecessary transfers improves healthcare costs and utilization of resources.
- Limitations include the incomplete dataset and lack of applicablity to patients with adbanced directives.

The need for neurosurgical intervention was higher in patients with a midline shift >5mm, warfarin use (especially requiring reversal), convex SDH, worsening repeat CT scan, and hemiparesis. Defining factors that are at highest risk for leading to neurosurgical intervention can aid in determining which patients require transfer to higher level of care an early operative intervention. If a patient has these risk factors, transfer to a level 1 trauma center may be warranted. A complete dataset is needed to determine the existence of other factors that may indicate the need for neurosurgical intervention.

REFERENCES

- Kim B., Park K., et al. Risk factors of delayed surgical evacuation for initially nonoperative acute subdural hematomas following mild head trauma. Acta Neurochir. 2014; 156: 1605-1613.
- Joseph B, Friese RS, Sadoun M, et al. The BIG (brain injury guidelines) project: defining the management of traumatic brain injury by acute care surgeons. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2014;76(4):965-9.
- Bullock MR, Chesnut R, Ghajar J, Gordon D, Hartl R, Newell DW, Servadei F, Walters BC, Wilberger JE; Surgical Management of Traumatic Brain Injury Author Group. Surgical management of acute subdural hematomas. Neurosurgery. 2006 Mar;58(3 Suppl):S16-24; discussion Si-iv. PMID: 16710968.
- Yun BJ, White BA, Benjamin Harvey H, et al. Opportunity to reduce transfer of patients with mild traumatic brain injury and intracranial hemorrhage to a Level 1 trauma center. Am J Emerg Med. 2017;35(9):1281-1284. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2017.03.071

© 2018 Lehigh Valley Health Network





