Association for Information Systems

AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

ICIS 2022 TREOs TREO Papers

12-12-2022

Digital Platform Strategy - A Critical Review

Stanislav Mamonov *Montclair State University*, stanislav.mamonov@montclair.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/treos_icis2022

Recommended Citation

Mamonov, Stanislav, "Digital Platform Strategy – A Critical Review" (2022). *ICIS 2022 TREOs*. 86. https://aisel.aisnet.org/treos_icis2022/86

This material is brought to you by the TREO Papers at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in ICIS 2022 TREOs by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

TREO

Technology, Research, Education, Opinion

Digital Platform Strategy – A Critical Review

Stanislav Mamonov, <u>stanislav.mamonov@montclair.edu</u>

While the transformative effect of digital platforms is broadly recognized (Boudreau, 2010), the research on the strategic implications of digital platforms has evolved in largely separate streams focusing on either the platform architecture (Tiwana, 2015), network externalities (Parker & Van Alstyne, 2005), or tactical decisions (Eisenmann et al., 2006). There has been relatively little integration of research across these streams (De Reuver et al., 2018; Gawer, 2014). To address the relative lack of integration of digital platform research in relation to firm strategy, we undertake a critical review of digital platform-related literature published in the leading Information Systems (IS) journals: *Management Information Systems Quarterly (MISQ)* and *Information Systems Research (ISR)*. These journals emphasize theoretical contribution in the selection of manuscripts for publication (Leidner, 2020) and they reflect the core theoretical discourses in Information Systems. Therefore, these journals constitute an appropriate frame for the review.

We use the business strategy framework developed by Hambrick and Fredrickson (Hambrick & Fredrickson, 2005) as a structuring theoretical lens for our review. The framework posits that business strategy must holistically address five key elements: markets, partnerships, differentiators, staging, and profit logic. Drawing on this framework we seek to address the following research questions. RQ1: What is known about firm-level digital platform strategy? RQ2: Where are gaps and opportunities to expand the current research?

References

- Boudreau, K. (2010). Open platform strategies and innovation: Granting access vs. devolving control. *Management Science*, *56*(10), 1849–1872.
- De Reuver, M., Sørensen, C., & Basole, R. C. (2018). The digital platform: A research agenda. *Journal of Information Technology*, 33(2), 124–135.
- Eisenmann, T., Parker, G., & Alstyne, M. W. Van. (2006). Strategies for Two- Sided Markets. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(10), 12.
- Gawer, A. (2014). Bridging differing perspectives on technological platforms: Toward an integrative framework. *Research Policy*, 43(7), 1239–1249.
- Hambrick, D. C., & Fredrickson, J. W. (2005). Are you sure you have a strategy? *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 19(4), 51–62.
- Leidner, D. E. (2020). What's in a contribution. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 21(1), 238–245.
- Parker, G. G., & Van Alstyne, M. W. (2005). Two-sided network effects: A theory of information product design. *Management Science*, *51*(10), 1494–1504.
- Tiwana, A. (2015). Evolutionary Competition in Platform Ecosystems. *Information Systems Research*, 26(2), 266–281.