Communications of the Association for Information Systems

Volume 52

Article 6

2023

Mental Health and Information Technology Catalysts as Determinants of Innovative Work Behavior

Sam Zaza Middle Tennessee State University, sam.zaza@mtsu.edu

Valerie L. Bartelt University of Denver

Michael A. Erskine Middle Tennessee State University

Deborah J. Armstrong Florida State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais

Recommended Citation

Zaza, S., Bartelt, V. L., Erskine, M. A., & Armstrong, D. J. (2023). Mental Health and Information Technology Catalysts as Determinants of Innovative Work Behavior. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 52, pp-pp. Retrieved from https://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/vol52/iss1/6

This material is brought to you by the AIS Journals at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in Communications of the Association for Information Systems by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.



Accepted Manuscript

Mental Health and Information Technology Catalysts as Determinants of Innovative Work Behavior

Sam Zaza

Information Systems and Analytics Department, Middle Tennessee State University sam.zaza@mtsu.edu

Michael A. Erskine

Information Systems and Analytics Department, Middle Tennessee State University Valerie L. Bartelt

Department of Business Information and Analytics, University of Denver

Deborah J. Armstrong

Business Analytics, Information Systems & Supply Chain Department, Florida State University

Please cite this article as: Zaza, Sam; Bartelt, Valerie L. ;Erskine, Michael A.; Armstrong, Deborah J.: Mental Health and Information Technology Catalysts as Determinants of Innovative Work Behavior, *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* (forthcoming), In Press.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication in the *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*. We are providing this early version of the manuscript to allow for expedited dissemination to interested readers. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered, which could affect the content. All legal disclaimers that apply to the *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* pertain. For a definitive version of this work, please check for its appearance online at http://aisel.aisnet.org/cais/.



Research Paper

ISSN: 1529-3181

Mental Health and Information Technology Catalysts as Determinants of Innovative Work Behavior

Sam Zaza

Information Systems and Analytics Department, Middle Tennessee State University

sam.zaza@mtsu.edu

Michael A. Erskine

Information Systems and Analytics Department, Middle Tennessee State University Valerie L. Bartelt

Department of Business Information and Analytics, University of Denver

Deborah J. Armstrong

Business Analytics, Information Systems & Supply Chain Department, Florida State University

Abstract:

Interpersonal interactions, such as impromptu face-to-face workplace conversations, facilitate knowledge transfer and spur innovation within individual work roles; however, the move to remote work during the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted these dynamics. This research examines how innovation can be maintained in remote work settings by considering Information Technology (IT) catalysts (a combination of IT mindfulness, IT identity, and IT empowerment) during disruptive events and crises. We also highlight the importance of remote workers' mental health and coping as precursors for IT catalysts to stimulate innovative work behaviors. Our paper contributes to information systems (IS) theory by establishing remote workers' mental health and coping as distal factors of innovation and precursors to IT catalysts. In addition, we extend IS theory by establishing the relationships among the IT catalyst factors as well as their impact on innovative work behaviors. Our research provides insights for organizations interested in sustaining innovation, especially during crises or other stress-inducing events or conditions.

Keywords: IT Catalysts, IT Mindfulness, IT Identity, IT Empowerment, Mental Health, Coping, Innovative Work Behavior.

[Department statements, if appropriate, will be added by the editors. Teaching cases and panel reports will have a statement, which is also added by the editors.]

[Note: this page has no footnotes.]

This manuscript underwent [editorial/peer] review. It was received xx/xx/20xx and was with the authors for XX months for XX revisions. [firstname lastname] served as Associate Editor.] **or** The Associate Editor chose to remain anonymous.]

1 Introduction

The novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic (henceforward referred to as "the pandemic") created an unprecedented impact not only on global physical health but also on the nature of work and workenvironment conditions. Consequently, many governments imposed mandatory quarantines, social distancing procedures, and facial coverings policies for individual and societal safety measures. Organizations also encouraged and sometimes mandated their non-essential workers to work remotely to meet legislative requirements, limit ethical scrutiny, and accommodate workforce needs.

This pandemic, while unique in nature and magnitude, is not the first crisis that disrupted the modern workplace. Such crises include natural disasters (e.g., Cyclone Seroja), accidental or intentional human disasters (e.g., Beirut ammonium nitrate explosion), and industry or organization-specific impacts (e.g., Colonial Pipeline breach), to name a few. Information technology (IT) has become an increasingly vital component of disaster resilience, yet, research about worker resilience during crises is scarce (Sakurai & Chugthai, 2020). The disaster resilience research to date has been predominately conducted outside the information systems (IS) field, and if technology is included in these studies, the focus is on the influence of the technological artifact (e.g., IoT) or the implementation, not the workers (e.g., Das & Zhang, 2021; Kumar, Raut, & Narkhede, 2020). Similarly, in the limited IS literature on the topic, researchers have looked at the use of technology during crises, such as the use of decision support systems for organizational disaster resilience (e.g., Mendonça, 2007; Zobel, 2011) and the use of technology to support community resilience (e.g., Linnell, 2014; Pan, Cui, & Qian, 2020). Studies exploring the interaction between people and technology in a "workplace" setting during crises are notably absent.

To address this gap, in this research, we explore the interplay of the self and technology, and the influence of this interplay on innovative work behaviors of remote workers during a disruptive workplace event (i.e., crisis). According to Gartner, remote work (also known as work from home or telecommuting) is a type of flexible working arrangement that allows an employee to work from a remote location outside of corporate offices (e.g., Ahuja et al., 2007; Olson, 1983). We specifically chose remote workers in this context because often their only option for continuity during a workplace disruption is to use IT. For example, remote web-based video interactions increased from 4% of interpersonal interactions before the pandemic to 60% during the pandemic (Logitech, 2020). By offering collaboration technologies with features such as screen sharing and hand raising (e.g., Zoom, Microsoft Teams, Slack) technology, firms have created a reliable and accessible alternative for in-person interactions that may remain in place well beyond the pandemic. Thus, this research on remote workers is relevant during and beyond the pandemic.

But, introducing new collaboration technologies can bring additional mental health risks, particularly for remote workers, such as a sense of being trapped "on camera" (Oppezzo & Schwartz, 2014), "Zoom fatigue", burnout, deteriorated work-life balance, and increased loneliness and confinement, all of which can affect individual mental health and wellbeing (e.g., Fauville et al., 2021). Furthermore, pandemicrelated remote work has led to increased workloads in many cases (Ingusci et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020); and high workloads have been consistently found to cause stress and negatively influence mental health (e.g., Ilies et al., 2010; Kabasawa et al., 2021). Also, mental health consequences have become more publicly documented worldwide since the onset of the pandemic. For example, a survey conducted during the first week of the government-imposed quarantine in Ireland found that 22.8% of people screened positive for depression, 20.0% for anxiety, and 27.7% for both depression and anxiety (Hyland et al., 2020), and the World Health Organization reported a 25% increase in anxiety and depression worldwide during the first year of the pandemic. Given these significant changes, an initial mental health assessment is essential to establish a baseline before measuring work-related outcomes since "physical distancing, business and school closures, grief, [...] are aspects of the pandemic with significant mental health consequences" (Arevian et al., 2020, np). Previous research did not use mental health as an independent variable but as an outcome variable since scholars had assumed a "normal" distribution of the level of mental health among the population and thus looked at the influence of technology-related concepts (i.e., IT mindfulness) on mental health levels. They attributed a change in the level of mental health to the technology-related concept under study, which is not the case in this research. In the case of crisis, it is crucial to assess the baseline mental health levels and the influence of those mental health levels. Crises take a toll on people and assuming that people are the same before, during, and after a crisis of global magnitude such as the recent pandemic is not prudent.

And not just mental health at stake. Due to the fast-paced transition to remote work during the pandemic, the risk of innovation being stifled is high. Innovative work behaviors are the activities of employees that generate, introduce, or apply innovative ideas (AlEssa & Durugbo, 2021). In fact, prior research has found that organizations seek innovative behaviors such as idea exploration and idea generation (De Jong & Den Hartog, 2010; Yuan & Woodman, 2010). Organizations are continually trying to identify ways to not only sustain innovation, but also increase employees' level of innovation. Cultivating innovative work behavior within organizations is particularly vital since most businesses' long-term success (e.g., 3M, Apple, Microsoft) is strongly associated with their employees' ability to innovate (Scott & Bruce, 1994). Is it possible that during the pandemic, "what remote workers gain in productivity, they often miss in harder-to-measure benefits like creativity and innovative thinking" (Roose, 2020, np). Thus, organizations are presented with a conundrum – having your workforce work remotely may suppress individual innovative work behaviors to survive. "With the uncertainty of this time, as well as the future, creativity may be more important now than ever before. Having a creative mindset ... may make the difference between success and failure in these volatile times" (Felber, 2020, np).

Since there are many employees who pivoted towards using technology to work remotely during the pandemic, we explore the potential effects of remote worker's mental health and the interplay of the self and IT on innovative work behaviors. We believe that mentally healthy remote workers can cope with crises (such as the pandemic) and that the interplay of the self and IT (expressed as IT catalysts) can facilitate the development of remote workers' positive relationship with technology, resulting in innovative work behavior. We use the term IT catalysts to capture the interaction between the individual and technology and the emergent influence of this interaction to accelerate individual innovation during crises. We see that when an individual is attentive, connected, and confident with a technology, this catalyzes, or accelerates, innovative work behavior. Given this discussion, key research questions become:

RQ1: What is the impact of remote workers' level of mental health and coping on the interplay between the self and IT (i.e., IT catalysts)?

RQ2: What is the effect of the interplay among IT catalysts on remote workers' innovation?

We answer these research questions by gathering data from full-time remote workers who use Zoom (a proprietary videotelephony software program) for their business interactions due to pandemic conditions. We test the influence of remote workers level of mental health and coping on three IT catalysts, and their influence on the innovative work behavior of remote workers. We contribute to research through our findings that a higher level of mental health of the remote worker influenced the IT catalysts' interactions. We also found that two of the IT catalysts – IT empowerment and IT mindfulness – have a direct influence on innovative work behavior.

The findings from this research could be incorporated into an organization's human resource (HR) practices and crisis management plans, specifically due to our findings. Supporting and cultivating remote worker's mental health, along with IT mindfulness and empowerment training in the work environment, may positively affect individual productivity, such as innovative work behavior. While our focus is on remote workers, the findings may be applicable to managing other human capital trends within the broader workforce, such as gig employees (Wang et al., 2019). Thus, this research has potential immediate and long-term implications for IS scholars and multiple organizational business functions.

2 Background

2.1 Mental Health, Stress, and Coping

The US Department of Health and Human Services (2020) defines mental health as "emotional, psychological, and social wellbeing." Our level of mental health (i.e., the strength and stability of our mental health) determines how we handle a stressful crisis, relate to others, and make choices. A mentally healthy population (i.e., good level of general mental health) is important to the economy, as the World Health Organization estimates that the global economic toll of mental health issues results in one trillion USD in lost productivity annually.

There are many types of stress that individuals may encounter, but this research focuses on work-related stress. Work-related stress is the harmful physical and emotional responses that can occur when the requirements of the job, role, or task exceed (or do not match) the capabilities, resources, or needs of the

worker (e.g., Bacharach et al., 2002). Work-related stress, which often results in lowered levels of mental health, accounts for 40% of work-related illnesses, affecting about 20% of working adults (Van Gordon et al., 2014). The work-related stress construct is used to be more inclusive of the various stresses encountered within the remote workplace (e.g., technostress; Brod,1984). While some view work-related stress and technostress as arising from different conditions (Ayyagari, Grover, & Purvis, 2011; Maier, Laumer, & Eckhardt, 2015), within the context of remote work, the line between being stressed from your job/role and being stressed from the abrupt shift to fully IT-mediated work from home (and hence complete dependence on using IT) is significantly blurred.

Coping relates to how individuals deal with challenging or stressful situations (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984) and involves specific thoughts and actions to help manage perceived stress (Folkman & Lazarus, 1980). Two common coping approaches are problem-focused coping (aimed at resolving the situation) and emotion-focused coping (aimed at managing emotions evoked from the situation) (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984), both of which have been investigated in the IS literature (e.g., Liang et al., 2019; Liang & Xue, 2009). Although most work-related stressors elicit both types of coping, problem-focused coping tends to predominate when people feel that something constructive can be done, whereas emotion-focused coping tends to predominate when people feel that the stressor is uncontrolled and must be endured (Folkman & Lazarus, 1980). We examine problem-focused coping mechanisms, as such coping approaches focus on managing the source of stress (Folkman & Lazarus, 1980) and take place when individuals choose an action in hopes of resolving or circumventing a stressful situation (Carver, Scheier, & Weintraub, 1989).

2.2 IT Catalysts

A catalyst can be thought of as someone or something that causes change. We conceptualize an IT catalyst as the intersection of the self (someone) and the technology (something) that causes a change (increased innovative work behavior). In this research, we ground our work in three IT theories at the intersection of the self and IT: IT identity, IT mindfulness, and IT empowerment.

The first IT catalyst - IT identity is an individual trait that involves a person's connection to a technology (Carter & Grover, 2015; Carter et al., 2020). It is "the extent to which a person views [the] use of an IT as integral to his or her sense of self" (Carter & Grover, 2015, p. 938). IT identity theory asserts that the more individuals connect with a technology, the more enhanced their technology usage (Please refer to Appendix A, Table A1 for a brief outline of key IT identity literature).

The second IT catalyst - IT mindfulness is a dynamic personality trait in which a person (while using a technology) is attentive to, or aware of, the technology's features and affordances (Thatcher et al., 2018b). Unlike stable traits that exert a consistent influence across situations, IT mindfulness is a dynamic IT-specific trait that is malleable (Thatcher et al., 2018b). IT mindfulness theory asserts that the more an individual "focuses on the present, pays attention to detail, exhibits a willingness to consider other uses of IT, and expresses genuine interest in IT features", the more the individual is able to recognize differences in systems, be aware and open to multiple perspectives, and be curious to experiment with new systems and applications (Thatcher et al., 2018b; p. 834). (Please refer to Appendix A, Table A2 for a brief outline of key IT mindfulness literature.)

The third IT catalyst – IT empowerment is an individual state that represents an individual's level of confidence that a technology will help complete assigned work tasks (Junglas et al., 2014; 2019; Zaza & Junglas, 2016). Research on empowerment comes from the participative management domain (Conger & Kanungo, 1988; Wagner, 1994; Wilkinson, Godfrey, & Marchington, 1997), where an employee' s work is considered a means of self - identification (e.g., Bennis & Nanus, 1985), self - expression (e.g., Shamir, House, & Arthur, 1989), and self - concordance (e.g., Bono & Judge, 2003). IT empowerment theory asserts that individuals' level of confidence with a technology (IT empowerment) stimulates and expands their IT usage (Please refer to Appendix A, Table A3 for a brief outline of key IT empowerment literature).

3 Hypotheses Development

We begin with a discussion of the distal antecedents of innovative work behavior - remote worker mental health; and then consider the proximal antecedents - IT catalysts (i.e., the concepts that capture the interplay of self and IT). Thus, we highlight the importance of maintaining a good level of remote worker mental health as a precursor for IT catalysts to stimulate innovative work behaviors.

3.1 Remote Workers' Mental Health

The concept of remote work is not a new phenomenon, but what is a newer phenomenon is mandatory remote work. For this study, remote workers are defined as employees who have been mandated to work in a physically separate location from their office due to the pandemic. Recently, individuals' level of mental health has become particularly poignant, as the pandemic has spurred an overall decline in individuals' level of mental health (Halliburton et al., 2021). A stable, strong level of mental health of an employee enables clearer thinking, and improved mood, while reducing stress and anxiety (Pearlin, 1999).

Workers with a strong, stable level of mental health have increased cognitive capacity (Pearlin, 1999; Pearlin & Bierman, 2013). When employees are mentally healthy (i.e., have a stable, strong level of mental health), they will have the cognitive capacity to apply more effective problem-focused coping mechanisms to deal with perceived stressors (Aldwin & Revenson, 1987; Pinquart & Silbereisen, 2008; Trougakos, Chawla, & McCarthy, 2020). Thus, an individual with a good level of mental health is better able to function cognitively, process the situation, and identify appropriate actions to mitigate (or resolve) the sources of stress. This is particularly important for remote workers as they have been required to work in a physically separate location from their office and its associated resources. For example, when an internet connection becomes unstable during a video conference, it can be very stressful for remote workers (at home, by themselves). Individuals with a good level of mental health will have the cognitive capacity (i.e., the mental bandwidth to clearly think, learn, and/or remember) to engage in problem-focused coping, exploring various workarounds or resolutions (e.g., turn off the video and just use audio, dial in via smartphone). We therefore hypothesize:

Hypothesis 1: Remote workers' level of mental health will positively influence their problem-focused coping

3.2 IT Catalysts

Since increased levels of mental health result in better, more positive feelings about the self (Barlow, 2002; Brown et al., 2010; Caprara et al., 2006; Cheung & Sun, 2000), increased levels of mental health will have a positive effect on the IT catalysts as they capture the interplay of self and IT. The first IT catalyst is IT Identity. The stronger one's IT identity, the more the technology is intertwined with the perceptions of the self, and the more one thinks of themselves in relation to the IT (Carter & Grover, 2015). Once individuals exhibit such an integral connection with technology, they will likely explore the technology's features and capabilities (Ogbanufe & Gerhart, 2020). In essence, if IT is part of who I am, I will seek to cultivate an understanding of what this means to me.

Remote workers who exhibit increased levels of mental health will have a greater mental capacity available to develop enthusiasm about or interest in the technology. They will have the cognitive capacity available to think about a technology, explore its features and potential affordances, and the meanings attached to the self in relation to the technology (i.e., Carter & Grover, 2015). According to Carter, Petter, Grover, and Thatcher (2020, p. 1315), "an overlapping of boundaries between personal resources/characteristics and IT capabilities can extend the boundary of a person's self-concept." For example, remote workers with higher levels of mental health will have the cognitive capacity (i.e., mental bandwidth) to explore the facets of the technology (e.g., Zoom virtual backgrounds) that help them feel energized or enthusiastic when thinking about the technology and integrating "the IT capabilities as personal resources" (Carter & Grover, 2015). Without such cognitive capacity, it is difficult to imagine an individual expending mental effort on expanding their self-concept to include the technology or developing enthusiasm for a technology as an extension of their personal resources. Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 2: Remote workers' level of mental health will positively influence their IT identity

Recall that problem-focused coping involves finding ways to improve or alter a stressful situation (Carver et al., 1989; Liang & Xue, 2009), and these responses aim to directly confront the situation (Synard & Gazzola, 2019). Individuals who use problem-focused coping in response to perceived stressors can devise alternative scenarios and approach stressors with a solution-oriented mindset (Compas et al., 1988; Compas et al., 1996) and generally have better outcomes (Higgins & Endler, 1995). For example, an individual, who is working remotely and on their own, learns new skills to cope with a computer malfunction (e.g., turning off the video on Zoom when the bandwidth will not support it is using a problem-focused coping strategy such as "Fixing the IT" (Salo et al., 2020)). While engaging in the problem-solving

process with IT, remote workers will increase their knowledge of and, in many cases, their attachment to the technology, with their IT identity growing stronger. When the remote worker learns more about a technology the perceptions of the self in relation to the technology may change. With one's perceptions of their own computer savviness perhaps increasing, the technology can become more intertwined with perceptions of the self, thereby increasing IT identity. Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 3: Remote workers' problem-focused coping will positively influence their IT identity

The second IT catalyst is IT mindfulness. While the term mindfulness is grounded in the idea of being conscious or aware of one's environment and having an open, observational mindset (Langer, 2014), it is distinct from IT mindfulness, which is being aware or attentive to specific technological aspects and being open to exploring potential uses of the technology (Thatcher et al., 2018b). Since general mindfulness has helped workers deal with focusing on the importance of being present in the moment in relation to events and experiences (Weinstein et al., 2009), a more targeted mindfulness towards technology (i.e., IT mindfulness) would likely involve a similar state of presence but in relation to the technology (Kotwinksi, 2017; Maier et al., 2019), resulting in being able to adjust the technology to different situations (Ioannou & Papazafeiropoulou, 2017). Ioannou, Lycett, and Marshan (2022) test mindfulness and IT mindfulness as independent constructs in their model, noting that "IT mindfulness should be discriminated from mindfulness, as IT mindfulness holds more predictive power over IT related outcomes in post-adoption system use" (p. 16).

In a crisis situation, it is crucial to assess the baseline mental health level and its influence on IT-related concepts and/or work outcomes. Think about getting into an accident. When the paramedics arrive on scene, they will not immediately ask the injured person to get up and move to measure what they can do. Instead, the paramedics will first check that the person is conscious and determine if they can respond. The paramedics will check their blood pressure, heart rate, etc. - all to establish a baseline. Once the baseline is established the paramedics can ask the injured person to perform other tasks (e.g., sit up).

Crises take a toll on people, and assuming that people are the same before, during, and after a crisis, such as the recent global pandemic, is unwise. But previous research can provide some guidance. Some outcomes of a good level of mental health identified in prior research include clearer thinking (cognition) and increased self-esteem, which facilitates mindfulness (Boey, 1999). Individuals with good level of mental health will have the cognitive capacity and mental energy available to be more aware of the technology, be present and focus on the task and technology at hand, and be curious and open to trying novel approaches when using IT. For example, remote workers with good level of mental health will have the cognitive capacity (i.e., mental bandwidth) to explore the facets of a technology (communicating with colleagues using enterprise social media) but are also aware of and open to exploring additional aspects of the technology (e.g., creating polls within the enterprise social media).

Therefore, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 4: Remote workers' level of mental health will positively influence their IT mindfulness

Within the remote work environment, when individuals engage in problem-focused coping they often focus attention on, and potentially solve, work-related tasks involving technology (Carver et al., 1989). As remote workers use problem-focused coping to solve technology-related issues, attending to the technology and exploring their thoughts on how to resolve a technology issue may result in noticing some its features (that were perhaps previously not identified). This potential link between effective coping and IT mindfulness has been identified in prior research as a way to reduce technostress ("inability to adapt or cope with new computer technologies in a healthy manner" Brod, 1984), but not formally tested (loannou & Papazafeiropoulou, 2017). This awareness of the technological features may encourage individuals to explore and adapt these technology features to their own use (IT affordances), resulting in being more IT mindful (Thatcher et al., 2018b). With remote workers being required to rely more on technology and their own technological skills during the pandemic, we hypothesize that engaging in problem-focused coping may allow the individual to explore the technology and experience greater IT mindfulness. For example, without the ability to access colleagues in person, which is a common problem-focused coping strategy (Becker et al., 2021; Goetz & Boehm, 2020; Harris et al., 2015; Rohwer et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2020), to edit a dashboard report remote workers may be curious enough to explore dashboard reporting features on their own (e.g., "Google it"). We therefore hypothesize:

Hypothesis 5: Remote workers' problem-focused coping will positively influence their IT mindfulness

Research has found that identity is one of the main driving forces behind empowerment (e.g., Drury & Reicher, 2009; London, 1993; van Zomeren et al., 2008). Individuals with a strong sense of self can make choices with confidence (i.e., empowered choices) that are consistent with their identity (Nguyen, 2021). Applying this reasoning in the IT context, individuals with a strong IT identity, that view IT as fundamental to their sense of self, should have more confidence in their competence towards the technology. As part of the interplay of the self and IT, we assert that remote workers with a strong IT identity, such as workers who use Zoom daily, or manage their days via Outlook, will feel more competent and empowered in their ability to interact with others while using the technology. As the technology becomes an integral part of individuals' IT identity, they will be more empowered to explore the technology features and actualize the technology affordances. We therefore hypothesize:

Hypothesis 6: Remote workers' IT identity will positively influence their IT empowerment

A person who is IT mindful – or attentive to, aware of, and open to a technology and its features – will more likely explore and interact with that technology (Thatcher et al., 2018b). Employees who have a high level of IT mindfulness focus on being creative with the technology and potentially discovering new and more efficient ways of using the technology (Chen et al., 2022). Over time, this may result in a higher level of comfort with and competence in one's ability to fully use the technology. Due to being present with technology, Chen, Wang, Benitez, Luo, and Li (2022) found that IT mindfulness alleviates negative behaviors toward IT, such as self-regulation impairment and deviant behavior. Also, increased IT mindfulness enables individuals to explore new and effective ways to use IT, increasing confidence toward IT and positive feelings about the ability to use IT to complete a task, based on an individual's role (Junglas et al. 2014). Thus, remote workers who exhibit IT mindfulness may utilize some of the advanced features of the technology (such as sharing files through Slack/Zoom instead of email), thereby building their sense of empowerment in their technology-related capabilities. Therefore, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 7: Remote workers' IT mindfulness will positively influence their IT empowerment

3.3 Innovative Work Behaviors

Recall that innovative work behaviors are the activities of employees that generate, introduce, or apply innovative ideas (AlEssa & Durugbo, 2021). Empirical research has shown that IT identity is a determinant of IT-focused behaviors (Carter & Grover, 2015), such as the use of personal health devices (Esmaeilzadeh, 2021), artificial intelligence-enabled voice assistance (Malodia et al., 2022), disclosure on social networking sites (Mosafer et al., 2021), and the ability to produce novel technology-related ideas (Yuan & Woodman, 2010). Consistent with IT identity theory and in support of this connection, Ogbanufe and Gerhart (2020) found a significant positive relationship between an IT identity (e.g., smartwatch technology focused) and innovative personal performance (e.g., generation of novel ideas). We extend their finding and assert that individuals who view IT as fundamental to their sense of self (i.e., high level of IT identity) will relate more to the technology, irrespective of the type of technology. This personal connection to the IT may enable and inspire remote workers to explore new ideas and processes, thereby cultivating creativity and innovation. We therefore hypothesize:

Hypothesis 8: Remote workers' IT identity will positively influence their innovative work behavior

People with a heightened sense of IT mindfulness are present with, and more aware of, the technology as well as a technology's affordances and capabilities (Thatcher et al., 2018b). In addition, IT mindfulness increases individual satisfaction with technology (Rohwer et al., 2022) as well as productivity and creativity in learning (Shirish et al., 2021). The more individuals are curious about the technology, open to the possibilities of the technology, and aware of the features and affordances of the technology, the more likely they will look for new ways to accomplish tasks and goals (i.e., increase innovative work behavior) (e.g., Leroy et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2022). While Thatcher and colleagues (2018b) correlate IT mindfulness with trying to innovate (using the software application Excel), we use the broader concept - innovative work behavior, which is more generalizable. In terms of innovative work behavior, loannou and Papazafeiropoulou (2017) aptly state, "...an IT mindful individual is able to vary his response and shift perspectives depending on the context, create innovative solutions to resolve occurring problems and

implement 'workarounds' in order to achieve a fit between the deployed technology and the task at hand." For instance, a remote worker who has a higher level of IT mindfulness, e.g., is mindful of many of the OneDrive features for file sharing, may suggest using the technology and technology features to streamline the work processes throughout the organization, e.g., use OneDrive to share and back up all files within the organization. Therefore, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 9: Remote workers' IT mindfulness will positively influence their innovative work behavior

When employees generate or apply innovative ideas to the workplace, they are engaging in innovate work behaviors. Research has found that psychologically empowered individuals are more innovative (Doll & Deng, 2010; Spreitzer, 1995; Thomas & Velthouse, 1990). If individuals feel a sense of empowerment towards technology (e.g., IT empowerment), their comfort level with, and perceived control over the technology, in terms of the various features and affordances of the technology, may potentially lead to more innovative use of the technology. "IT empowerment takes into account an employee's perceptions about himself in relation to his work, as well as in relation to the technology that is available to get the work done" (Junglas et al., 2019, p. 320). As Junglas et al. (2014, p. 329) state, "A newly found sense of IT savviness—manifested in personal consumer IT usage—turns employees into innovators." For example, remote workers' IT empowerment may manifest itself by increased IT usage (e.g., utilize the Zoom website search to seek answers to an audio echo problem), which leads to innovative work behaviors (e.g., propose a new organizational online meeting protocol that includes information the worker found during the online search). Therefore, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 10: Remote workers' IT empowerment will positively influence their innovative work behavior

We provide a graphical representation of our theoretical model in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Theoretical Model

į

4 Research Methodology

We collected data from an online panel service (Amazon Mechanical Turk) by adopting criteria-based sampling for selecting participants (Creswell, 2013). Our inclusion criteria consisted of full-time workers (not necessarily IT workers) based in the United States, who were required to work remotely due to the pandemic, and who use Zoom (a video-based collaboration platform/app) to complete work-related tasks since the pandemic. Participants who did not meet all of the inclusion criteria were screened out at the onset of the survey. As we did not request that only IT workers participate in our survey, we did not collect information on the participants' type of work. Participants were offered an incentive for taking the survey (less than \$5 per response). We collected data from 262 participants, with 54 removed due to missing values or failing the "attention check" questions, leaving 208 valid responses (representing 79% of our participants). We used G*Power with the a priori power analysis type to compute the required sample size, given alpha, power, effect size, and the number of predictors. For the input parameters, we chose effect size f2 of 0.15, alpha value of 0.05, and the power of 0.95, number of predictors of 14 (mental health construct has the largest number of predictors). Our final sample size was deemed sufficient based on the G*power recommendation of a minimum sample size of 194 respondents (Erdfelder, Faul, & Buchner, 1996). Our respondents' average age was 32 years and indicated that they only started using Zoom when working from home due to the pandemic. See Table 1 for the descriptive statistics of our participants.

Demographic Variable	Count	Percent	Demographic Variable	Count	Percent
Age			Gender		
Between 18 and 29	71	34.0	Woman	67	32.0
Between 30 and 39	126	60.6	Man	133	64.0
Between 40 and 49	7	3.4	Transgender	1	0.5
Between 50 and 59	3	1.5	Other	2	1.0
60 and above	1	0.5	Prefer not to answer	4	2.0
			No Answer	1	0.5
Education			Marital Status		
High-school graduate	7	3.3	Married	90	43.3
Some college	13	6.3	Not Married	109	52.4
2-year degree	17	8.2	Prefer not to answer	9	4.3
4-year degree	118	56.7			
Professional degree	11	5.3			
Graduate degree	36	17.3			
Prefer not to answer	6	2.9			
Organization Tenure			Industry		
<1 year	5	2.4	Education	17	8.2
1-3	56	26.9	Government	11	5.3
4-6	90	43.3	Healthcare	11	5.3
7-9	35	16.8	IT Services/Software	126	60.6
10-12	15	7.2	Manufacturing	11	5.3
13-15	3	1.4	Retail	10	4.8
16-20	2	1.0	Insurance	6	2.9
> 20	2	1.0	Other	16	7.8

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

We used well-established, validated measurement scales in our study where possible. All constructs were modeled as first-order reflective constructs except for IT identity (see Carter & Grover, 2015), IT

empowerment (see Junglas et al., 2014), and IT mindfulness (see Thatcher et al., 2018b), which we modeled as second-order reflective constructs. Also, we developed the problem-focused coping measure as a formative construct entailing one measurement item (i.e., formative indicator) from each of the five conventional problem-focused coping mechanisms (Carver et al., 1989; Edwards & Bagozzi, 2000). As Petter, Straub, and Rai (2007, p. 627) state, "one measurement item is used for each dimension or subconstruct, which, in turn, has a formative relationship with the construct." All measures used Likert scales, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The measurement scales, sources, and items are shown in Appendix B, Table B1. Control variables commonly associated with innovative work behavior were included, as shown in Figure 1.

5 Analysis and Results

We analyzed our model using partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM), a compositebased method. SmartPLS 3.3.3 (Ringle, Wende, & Becker, 2015) was used to analyze the data for three reasons. First, we tested our model from a prediction perspective, which the software is well suited to address (Hair et al., 2019). Second, the software package can test reflective (IT identity, IT mindfulness, and IT empowerment are second-order reflective constructs) and formative (problem-focused coping is a formative construct) constructs. Third, the software package can handle complex models (Hair, Howard, & Nitzl, 2020).

Since we collected all our data from a single source common method variance (CMV) might be an issue (Doty & Glick, 1998). We designed our survey using well-established scales, attention-check questions, and a correlational marker variable because "the best way is for the researcher to include a scale that is theoretically unrelated to at least one other scale in the questionnaire, so there is an a priori justification for predicting a zero correlation" (Lindell & Whitney, 2001, p. 115). For Richardson and colleagues (2009), "the best estimate of CMV in a data set is represented by the smallest observed positive correlation between a substantive variable and an a priori chosen 'marker' variable that is believed to be theoretically unrelated to at least one substantive variable, but susceptible to the same causes of CMV" (p. 767-768). The marker variable used in this study is the integrity scale (McKnight, Cummings, & Chervany, 1998) that included three items 1) In general, most folks keep their promises, 2) I think people generally try to back up their words with their actions, and 3) Most people are honest in their dealings with others. The smallest correlation between the marker and substantive variables was 0.06, which indicates negligible shared variance and that our dataset is not significantly affected by common method variance (Richardson et al., 2009).

For the measurement model assessment, we ran the bootstrapping procedure with 5000 subsamples (to ensure results stability). Using SmartPLS, we conducted a factor analysis and kept all items, even those loading below 0.7, to maintain content validity where appropriate (e.g., formative constructs). Following the guidelines of Chin (1998), we assessed the construct reliabilities and the model's convergent and discriminant validities. All constructs met the cutoff criteria of 0.70 for internal composite reliability (ICR). We assessed convergent validity by obtaining the average variance extracted (AVE) and found that all the constructs have AVEs above the 0.5 threshold. Table 2 shows the mean, standard deviation (SD), reliabilities, AVEs, and loadings.

Construct	Mean	SD	CR	AVE	Item	Loading
Remote Worker Mental Health	5.14	0.24	0.96	0.66	MentalHealth_1	0.83
					MentalHealth_2	0.75
					MentalHealth_3	0.84
					MentalHealth_4	0.76
					MentalHealth_5	0.78
					MentalHealth_6	0.78
					MentalHealth_7	0.81
					MentalHealth_8	0.90
					MentalHealth_9	0.79
					MentalHealth_10	0.88

Table 2. Mean, Standard Deviation (SD), Reliability, Average Variance Extracted (AVE), and Factor Loadings

Image: state of the s							
Image: state of the s						MentalHealth_11	0.69
Image: state of the s						MentalHealth_12	0.79
IT Identity 4.65 0.55 0.96 0.67 EmotionalEnergy_1 0.91 IT Identity 4.65 0.55 0.96 0.67 EmotionalEnergy_2 0.85 Image:						MentalHealth_13	0.76
IT Identity 4.65 0.56 0.96 0.67 EmotionalEnergy_1 0.91 Image: Construction of the second seco						MentalHealth_14	0.87
Image: space of the system Emotional Energy_2 0.85 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.32 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.32 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.34 0.34 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.35 0.34 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.34 0.34 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.34 0.34 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.	IT Identity	4.65	0.55	0.96	0.67	EmotionalEnergy_1	0.91
Image: space of the system Emotional Energy_3 0.93 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.33 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.34 Image: space of the system Image: space of the system 0.34 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>EmotionalEnergy_2</td> <td>0.85</td>						EmotionalEnergy_2	0.85
Image: second						EmotionalEnergy_3	0.93
Image: state of the s						EmotionalEnergy_4	0.93
Image: state of the s						Relatedness_1	0.92
Image: state of the sector of the s						Relatedness_2	0.88
Image: second						Relatedness_3	0.93
Image: state of the s						Relatedness_4	0.93
Image: state of the s						Dependence_1	0.88
Image: state of the s						Dependence_2	0.93
Image: system Dependence_4 0.92 Problem-focused Coping (FORMATIVE) 4.73 0.36 NA NA Cope_1 0.91 Image: system Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.21 0.91 Image: system Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.21 Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.21 0.91 Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.21 0.21 Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.21 0.21 Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.23 0.25 0.65 IT Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_2 0.93 IT Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_2 0.93 IT Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_3 0.92 Image: system Image: system Image: system Image: system 0.93 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Dependence_3</td><td>0.95</td></td<>						Dependence_3	0.95
Problem-focused Coping (FORMATIVE) 4.73 0.36 NA NA Cope_1 0.91 Image: Cope of the second seco						Dependence_4	0.92
Image: Second	Problem-focused Coping (FORMATIVE)	4.73	0.36	NA	NA	Cope_1	0.91
Image: second						Cope_2	0.84
Image: system of the						Cope_3	0.21^
IT Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_1 0.91 IT Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_2 0.93 It Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_2 0.93 It Mindfulness It Mindfulness It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.92 It Mindfulness It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.92 It Mindfulness It Mindfulnes 0.92 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.92 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.93 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.94 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.94 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.92 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.93 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.92 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.93 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.93 It Mindfulnes It Mindfulnes 0.94 It Mindfulnes <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Cope_4</td><td>0.45^</td></t<>						Cope_4	0.45^
IT Mindfulness 5.27 0.23 0.95 0.65 Alert_1 0.91 Image: Constraint of the system						Cope_5	0.65^
Image: second	IT Mindfulness	5.27	0.23	0.95	0.65	Alert_1	0.91
Image: second						Alert_2	0.93
Image: second						Alert_3	0.92
Image: system Aware_2 0.93 Image: system Image: system 0 0 0 0.92 Image: system Image: system 0 0 0 0 0.93 Image: system Image: system Image: system 0						Aware_1	0.94
Image: system Openness_1 0.92 Openness_2 0.91 Openness_2 0.91 Openness_3 0.93 Orientation_1 0.89 Orientation_2 0.89 Orientation_3 0.89 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITTImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITTCompetence_3 0.87 ITTCompetence_3 0.87 ITTMeaning_1 0.93						Aware_2	0.93
Image: system Openness_2 0.91 Openness_3 0.93 Openness_3 0.93 Orientation_1 0.89 Orientation_2 0.89 Orientation_3 0.89 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITTImpact_1 0.87 0.87 ITTImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_1 0.93						Openness_1	0.92
Image: system Openness_3 0.93 Orientation_1 0.89 Orientation_2 0.89 Orientation_2 0.89 Orientation_3 0.89 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 0.93 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 0.93 IT Impact_1 0.87 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITI Impact_3 0.89 ITICompetence_1 0.90 ITICompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITIMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						Openness_2	0.91
Image: system Orientation_1 0.89 Image: system Image: system <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Openness_3</td><td>0.93</td></t<>						Openness_3	0.93
Image: system Image: system Orientation_2 0.89 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITI Impact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITIMpact_3 0.89 ITIMpact_3 0.89 ITIMpact_3 0.89 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3						Orientation_1	0.89
IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITIMpact_3 0.89 ITICompetence_1 0.90 ITICompetence_2 0.85 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITIMpact_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 0.87						Orientation_2	0.89
IT Empowerment 5.35 0.41 0.93 0.60 ITDetermination_1 0.91 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_4 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITICompetence_1 0.90 ITICompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 0.93 0.93 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 0.90 ITIMpact_3 0.90 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 ITIMpact_3 0.93 ITIMpact_3						Orientation_3	0.89
ITDetermination_2 0.94 ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94	IT Empowerment	5.35	0.41	0.93	0.60	ITDetermination_1	0.91
ITDetermination_3 0.93 ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.90 ITImpact_3 0.91 ITImpact_3 0.93 ITImpact_3 0.93 ITImpact_3 0.94						ITDetermination_2	0.94
ITImpact_1 0.87 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.90 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITDetermination_3	0.93
ITImpact_2 0.94 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITImpact_3 0.89 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITImpact_1	0.87
ITImpact_3 0.89 ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITImpact_2	0.94
ITCompetence_1 0.90 ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITImpact_3	0.89
ITCompetence_2 0.85 ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITCompetence_1	0.90
ITCompetence_3 0.87 ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITCompetence_2	0.85
ITMeaning_1 0.93 ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITCompetence_3	0.87
ITMeaning_2 0.94						ITMeaning_1	0.93
						ITMeaning_2	0.94
						ITMeaning_3	0.93

Innovative Work Behavior	5.58	0.28	0.95	0.70	IWB_1	0.84
					IWB_2	0.80
					IWB_3	0.83
					IWB_4	0.78
					IWB_5	0.82
					IWB_6	0.86
					IWB_7	0.86
					IWB_8	0.86

Note: SD = standard deviation, CR = construct reliability, AVE = average variance extracted

^ = We did not deem these loadings a concern due to the formative nature of the construct (MacKenzie, Podsakoff, & Jarvis, 2005; Petter, Straub & Rai, 2007).

We used heterotrait-monotrait ratio of correlations (HTMT) (Henseler, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2015) to confirm the discriminant validity of our measures and found that all constructs were below the cutoff of 0.85. As shown in Table 3, no items showed high cross-loadings.

Та	ble 3. Heterotrait-Mo	onotrait Ratio of C	orrelations (HTM	Т)
	IT Empowerment	IT Mindfulness	IT Identity	Innovative

	IT Empowerment	IT Mindfulness	IT Identity	Innovative Work Behavior
IT Empowerment				
IT Mindfulness	0.73			
IT identity	0.75	0.72		
Innovative Work Behavior	0.67	0.76	0.65	
Mental Health	0.51	0.57	0.60	0.63
Note: Problem-focused coping is	omitted from the table si	nce it is a formative co	onstruct	

Next, we assessed whether there were any collinearity issues for our data. As shown in Table 4, no collinearity issues were present since all the variance inflation factor (VIF) values are below the cutoff point of 3.0.

Table 4. Variance Inflation Factor Values

Construct	Coping	IT Empowerment	IT Mindfulness	IT identity	Innovative Work Behavior
Coping			1.25	1.25	
IT Empowerment					2.36
IT Mindfulness		1.89			2.23
IT identity		1.89			2.44
Innovative Work Behavior					
Mental Health	1.00		1.25	1.25	

As shown in Table 5, discriminant validity is established in this data based on the correlations between any two constructs were all less than the square root of their AVE (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

Constructs	Age	Сор	Gen	ITE	ITM	ITI	IWB	MS	МН
Age	1.00								
Сор	0.03	NA							
Gen	-0.01	-0.02	1.00						
ITE	0.08	0.66	0.02	0.77					
ITM	0.08	0.74	-0.01	0.68	0.80				
ITI	0.14	0.73	0.03	0.71	0.68	0.82			

Table 5. Correlations Among Constructs

S

IWB	0.09	0.67	0.11	0.64	0.72	0.62	0.83		
MS	-0.28	0.10	0.17	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.14	1.00	
MH	0.05	0.50	0.04	0.49	0.54	0.58	0.60	0.05	0.80
OT	0.29	0.16	-0.16	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.06	-0.21	0.16

Note: The diagonal reports the square roots of the average variance extracted (AVE) Legend: Cop=problem-focused coping; Gen=gender; ITE=IT empowerment; ITM=IT mindfulness; ITI=IT identity; IWB=innovative work behavior; MS=marital status; MH=mental health; OT=organizational tenure

To test our structural model, we used the PLS algorithm to obtain the results shown in Table 6 and Figure 2. The model explains just under 60% of the variance in innovative work behavior, and except for H8 (IT identity \rightarrow innovative work behavior), we found support for all hypotheses. All control variables were nonsignificant.

Hypothesis	Description	Beta Coefficient	P Value	Supported
H1+	Mental Health -> Problem-focused Coping	0.45	<0.001	Y
H2+	Mental Health -> IT Identity	0.35	<0.001	Y
H3+	Problem-focused Coping -> IT Identity	0.51	<0.001	Y
H4+	Mental Health -> IT Mindfulness	0.28	<0.001	Y
H5+	Problem-focused Coping -> IT Mindfulness	0.58	<0.001	Y
H6+	IT Identity -> IT Empowerment	0.45	<0.001	Y
H7+	IT Mindfulness -> IT Empowerment	0.36	<0.001	Y
H8+	IT Identity -> IWB	0.13	0.05	N
H9+	IT Mindfulness -> IWB	0.49	<0.001	Y
H10+	IT Empowerment -> IWB	0.20	0.03	Y
	Gender -> IWB	0.08	0.06	N
Controls	Marital Status -> IWB	0.07	0.17	N
	Organizational Tenure -> IWB	-0.05	0.35	N

Table 6. Structural Model Results





Next, we report the effect size (f-square), where values of 0.02, 0.15, and 0.35 can be used to evaluate whether a predictor has a weak, medium, or large effect at the structural level (Henseler, Ringle, & Sinkovics, 2009). As shown in Table 7, remote worker's level of mental health has a stronger effect on problem-focused coping than that of IT identity and IT mindfulness. Problem-focused coping has the largest effect on IT mindfulness and IT Identity, respectively. IT identity has a higher medium effect on IT empowerment than that of IT mindfulness. IT mindfulness has a higher medium effect on innovative work behavior than that of IT empowerment and IT identity.

Table 7 E Severe

	Table 7. F Square								
Constructs	Age	Сор	Gen	ITE	ITM	ITI	MS	МН	ОТ
Сор								0.25	
ITE					0.16	0.26			
ITM		0.63						0.15	
ITI		0.47						0.21	
IWB	0.00		0.01	0.04	0.26	0.01	0.01		0.00

Note: Cop=problem-focused coping; Gen=gender; ITE=IT empowerment; ITM=IT mindfulness; ITI=IT identity; IWB=innovative work behavior; MS=marital status; MH=mental health; OT=organizational tenure

5.1 Post-hoc Analysis

To explore the nonsignificant relationship between IT identity and innovative work behavior (H8), we performed a post hoc analysis to evaluate whether IT empowerment mediates the relationship. As shown in Table 8, IT empowerment significantly mediates the relationship between IT identity and innovative work behavior.

S

ļ

Table 8	8. Mediation	Test Results
---------	--------------	--------------

Indirect Effect	Path Coefficient	T-Statistic	P-Value
IT identity \rightarrow IT empowerment \rightarrow innovative work behavior	0.10	2.10	0.04

6 Discussion

Our first research question addressed the impact of remote workers' level of mental health and coping on the IT catalysts. The findings of the study indicate that the level of mental health of remote workers is a significant driver of problem-focused coping, and that mental health and problem-focused coping positively influenced the IT catalysts under study. In addition, we see that problem-focused coping demonstrated a stronger influence on IT identity and IT mindfulness than remote workers' level of mental health (since problem-focused coping partially mediates the influence of mental health on IT identity and IT mindfulness). In addition, our second research question addressed the impact of the IT-catalysts on innovative work behavior. The findings indicate that IT empowerment and IT mindfulness have a direct, positive impact on innovative work behavior (see Figure 2 and Table 6). While IT identity did not directly influence innovative work behavior, our post hoc analysis reveals that IT empowerment mediates the effect of IT identity on innovative work behavior. We found that the temporary state of IT empowerment (confidence, determination, and fostering an understanding of the impact of the IT) can be enhanced by one's IT identity. By having a strong IT identity, workers may feel an emotional connection to the technology and positively perceive their technological competence (IT empowerment). We now identify the theoretical and practical implications associated with our study.

6.1 Theoretical Implications

Our study offers multiple theoretical implications. First, most importantly, we extend prior research by looking at the synergy among the three IT catalysts (IT identity, IT mindfulness, IT empowerment). In comparison to other studies, we found that when an individual is attentive, connected, and confident with a technology, this catalyzes or accelerates innovative work behavior. In addition, the interplay of the IT catalysts presents an interesting research opportunity. We provide the base model and encourage researchers to replicate this study, test the boundaries of the theory, and identify contextual factors (e.g., moderators). For instance, future research may investigate organizational culture as a moderator for the relationships among the IT catalysts and with innovative work behavior. In addition, while we explored innovative work behavior as the ultimate dependent variable, future research opportunities can explore other outcomes of these IT catalysts, such as task performance, IT satisfaction, and job/career satisfaction.

Second, problem-focused coping exhibited a stronger influence, far beyond the level of mental health, on both IT identity and IT mindfulness. While we built on the established research that individuals can be the source of innovation (e.g., Maruping & Magni, 2015), we showcased that researchers need to consider the distal factors (level of mental health and coping), to better understand workers' innovative work behavior variations, as well as other possible outcomes such as their job performance, their turnover, and even their turnaway.

Third, the nonsignificant relationship between IT identity and innovative work behavior may be due to the timing of our data collection (during the pandemic). We suggest IS researchers consider exploring the IT identity formation process to unpack its role within the remote work environment. As the IS domain expands its theoretical approaches to include process theory (Niederman, 2021) at the individual level, future research may consider investigating our findings using process theory to shed light on the interventions and potential bi-directional impacts of mental health and the IT catalysts. For instance, our study found that a heightened sense of IT mindfulness positively influences IT empowerment. But perhaps a heightened sense of IT empowerment will then influence IT mindfulness (i.e., feedback loop). Process theorizing may present a unique opportunity in this context to investigate not only the existence of connections, but the nature of the connections between IT catalysts. In addition, using process theory would allow scholars to perhaps capture the influence of temporal aspects (i.e., time dimension) on the process of identity emergence/formation.

Fourth, while our study examined remote workers' level of mental health broadly, IS researchers may consider applying more nuanced scales to investigate mental health conditions particularly relevant to the IT field. For instance, discriminatory stigma and harassment may cause apprehensions about disclosing a

diagnosed mental disorder or illness. Examining the prevalence of such occurrences and determining actionable interventions provides an interesting research opportunity (Milner et al., 2015). We urge future workforce-related research, particularly within the IT domain, to incorporate remote workers' mental health levels into stress and coping models and incorporate and further examine the IT catalysts identified here.

6.2 Practical Implications

Our study also offers multiple practical implications. It is important to note that the ideas presented are ones that organizations could test for themselves, made logical by how they address the underlying constructs rather than based on specific tests.

First, although the work environment may induce new or aggravate existing mental health issues, such conditions may also be pre-existing or non-work related. Regardless of the source, such issues tend to manifest in attitudes and behaviors that can negatively affect remote workers and their productivity/innovation. Therefore, organizations would benefit from performing interventions to "address mental health problems among working people regardless of cause" (LaMontagne et al., 2014, p. 1). However, LaMontagne, Martin, Page, Reavley, Noblet, Milner, Keegel, and Smith (2014) caution that improvements in remote workers' level of mental health will require organizational commitment, senior leadership support, and time.

While there are several psychological mechanisms that hinder the effective use of technology during remote work conditions and particularly remote work during a disruption/crisis, we find that the level of mental health is a distal but important precursor to innovative work behavior. Therefore, finding ways to combat Zoom fatigue, loneliness, and burnout are important. While some interventions have been identified in the literature, such as requiring at least one day in the office during the week (Moss, 2018), other interventions should also be explored as having a portfolio of options will allow flexibility and choice. One option could be a "no Zoom" day, perhaps bimonthly, on Fridays. Another option might be to have Zoom social gatherings or town hall meetings to share information informally. More exploration and testing are needed for interventions that are resilient to a variety of disruption/crisis conditions. Similarly, as the work environment is changing, the concept of remote work could appeal to different organizations/employees for a variety of personal and professional reasons. Implementing a configurational analysis (Ragin, 2009) based on different types of workers (i.e., preference to work from home, work in the office, or do a combination of the two) may allow insights into the data that identify different conditions that would achieve particular outcomes (Mattke et al., 2021; Pappas & Woodside, 2021).

Second, our findings suggest that organizations can take steps to help employees with coping, and more specifically, problem-focused coping during disruption/crises. For instance, organizations may develop a platform (e.g., enterprise social media) for employees to effectively communicate their questions and concerns about the technology they need to use to get their work tasks done. In addition, IT department personnel can create videos with different levels of detail/complexity on how to use a technology and the various features and affordances that can accommodate employee needs.

Third, IT mindfulness and IT empowerment were the most influential proximal precursors of innovative work behavior. This result benefits organizations looking for workers to maintain (or increase) innovation, as innovation is a key component of businesses' long-term success (Scott & Bruce, 1994). This finding informs human resource professionals and remote worker hiring managers about the potential benefits of seeking candidates who have a strong connection between the self and technology. During the hiring process, HR managers can screen for applicants who show propensities towards IT mindfulness and IT empowerment. Hiring such individuals into remote or hybrid work arrangements presents an opportunity for organizations, especially during crises.

7 Limitations

We purposefully conducted our data collection during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic. While this was an intentional research design decision, the data collection timeframe may limit our findings' generalizability. We believe that the findings of this study are generalizable as other crises may arise. In addition, as we come out of the pandemic, the future work environment may include both mandatory and voluntary remote work. Thus, we recommend that future research replicate our model under various conditions (e.g., post-pandemic, voluntary, and mandatory remote work) and contexts (e.g., outside of the United States). Also, due to the cross-sectional nature of this research, our findings should be interpreted

with caution. The direction of causality for a few of the hypotheses might be more complex than represented here (e.g., mental health affecting IT mindfulness, or the other way around, or a reciprocal relationship). Future research should conduct experiments and longitudinal studies to validate the nature of causality of these relationships.

IT identity did not directly influence innovative work behavior. Our post hoc analysis revealed that IT empowerment partially mediates the effect of IT identity on innovative work behavior. Alternately, the nonsignificant relationship between IT identity and innovative work behavior may be due to our study's target technology (Zoom), characteristics of our sample, or because our data collection occurred during the height of the pandemic. As identity formation processes can take an indeterminate amount of time, our unexpected finding may also be due to IT identity not being fully developed with our chosen technology, since Zoom was a relatively new technology for most of our participants. We suggest that IS researchers consider exploring the IT identity formation process to learn about its impact on the workforce and workforce theory.

While there are nuanced differences, we do not differentiate between pre-existing mental health issues exacerbated by the pandemic-induced work environment and those attributed to the pandemic-induced work environment context of our study. Our measurement scale explores self-reported mental health primarily through the elucidation of sub-clinical issues in a workplace setting. We acknowledge the importance of measuring the magnitude of clinical disorders in the workplace and developing rigorous strategies for accommodating and supporting individuals with mental disorders or psychosocial disabilities in the work environment. Furthermore, we urge researchers to ensure that the sometimes-overlooked adult population of affected individuals that are out-of-work, unemployed, or unable to work is not neglected (LaMontagne et al., 2014).

8 Conclusion

By undertaking this research, we respond to the call by Thatcher, Pu, and Pienta (2018a) that "[information systems (IS)] researchers need to actively consider theories and methods that let them probe IT's relationships with individuals and society" (p. 193). Our research establishes the importance of remote worker mental health and problem-focused coping on the interplay of the IT catalysts and how they may accelerate innovative work behavior during a disruptive event. The context of the pandemic provides a unique opportunity to examine how individuals cope with and respond to crises through the use of technology. After "surviving" the pandemic, we may find that flexible (including remote) working arrangements provide viable long-term options for organizations as future disruptions/crises will likely be increasingly technology-supported. Perhaps concerns about innovation and remote work may be inconsequential when organizations foster, reinforce, and enhance the IT catalysts in their workforce.

References

- Ahuja, M. K., Chudoba, K. M., Kacmar, C. J., McKnight, D. H., & George, J. F. (2007). IT road warriors: Balancing work-family conflict, job autonomy, and work overload to mitigate turnover intentions. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(1), 1-17.
- Aldwin, C. M., & Revenson, T. A. (1987). Does coping help? A reexamination of the relation between coping and mental health. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53(2), 337.
- AlEssa, H. S., & Durugbo, C. M. (2021). Systematic review of innovative work behavior concepts and contributions. Management Review Quarterly, 1-38.
- Arevian, A. C., Jones, F., Moore, E. M., Goodsmith, N., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Ewing, T., ... & Wells, K. (2020). Mental health community and health system issues in COVID-19: Lessons from academic, community, provider and policy stakeholders. *Ethnicity & disease*, *30*(4), 695.
- Ayyagari, R., Grover, V., & Purvis, R. (2011). Technostress: technological antecedents and implications. *MIS Quarterly, 35*(4), 831-858.
- Bacharach, S. B., Bamberger, P. A., & Sonnenstuhl, W. J. (2002). Driven to drink: managerial control work-related risk factors, and employee problem drinking. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45, 637-658.
- Barlow, D. (2002). Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic (2nd edn). Guilford Press: New York.
- Becker, J., Derra, N. D., Regal, C., & Kühlmann, T.M. (2021). Mitigating the negative consequences of ICT use: The moderating effect of active-functional and dysfunctional coping. *Journal of Decision Systems*, 33.
- Bennis, W., & Nanus, B. (1985). The strategies for taking charge. Leaders, New York: Harper. Row, 41.
- Boey, K. W. (1999). Distressed and stress resistant nurses. Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 20(1), 33-54.
- Bono, J. E., & Judge, T. A. (2003). Self-concordance at work: Toward understanding the motivational effects of transformational leaders. *Academy of management journal*, *46*(5), 554-571.
- Brod, C. Technostress: The Human Cost of the Computer Revolution; Addison-Wesley: Reading, MA, USA, 1984.
- Brown, L. F., Kroenke, K., Theobald, D. E., Wu, J., & Tu, W. (2010). The association of depression and anxiety with health-related quality of life in cancer patients with depression and/or pain. *Psycho-Oncology*, *19*(7), 734-741.
- Caprara, G.V., Steca, P., Gerbino, M., Paciello, M., Vecchio, G. M. (2006). Looking for adolescents' wellbeing: Self-efficacy beliefs as determinants of positive thinking and happiness. *Epidemiology* and Psychiatric Sciences, 15 (1), 30-43.
- Carter, M. (2013). IT Identity: Developing valid measures through CFA-based MTMM analysis. In proceedings of ICIS 2013, Milan, Italy.
- Carter, M., & Grover, V. (2015). Me, my self, and I (T): conceptualizing information technology identity and its implications. *MIS Quarterly*, *39*(4), 931-958.
- Carter, M., Petter, S., Grover, V., & Thatcher, J. B. (2020). IT Identity: A measure and empirical investigation of its utility to is research. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *21*(5), 1313-1342.
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *56*(2), 267-283.
- Chen, Y., Wang, X., Benitez, J., Luo, X., & Li, D. (2022). Does techno-invasion lead to employees' deviant behaviors? *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *39*(2), 454-482.
- Cheung, S.K., & Sun, S. (2000). Effects of self-efficacy and social support on the mental health conditions of mutual-aid organization members. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 28(5), 413-422.

- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods* for business research, 295(2), 295-336.
- Compas, B. E., Malcarne, V. L., & Fondacaro, K. M. (1988). Coping with stressful events in older children and young adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *56*(3), 405-411.
- Compas, B. E., Worsham, N., Ey, S., Howell, D. C. (1996). When mom and dad had cancer II. Coping, cognitive appraisal, and psychological distress in children of cancer patients. *Health Psychology*, 15, 167-175.
- Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice. Academy of management review, 13(3), 471-482.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry & research design*. Thousand Oaks: California, US: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Curley, E., & Polites, G. (2020). The optimal experience: Social identity and IT identity as antecedents of group flow in social media use. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 664-673.
- Das, D., & Zhang, J. J. (2021). Pandemic in a smart city: Singapore's COVID-19 management through technology & society. *Urban Geography*, *4*2(3), 408-416.
- De Jong, J. & Den Hartog, D. (2010). Measuring innovative work behaviour. *Creativity and Innovation Management, 19*(1), 23-36.
- Doll, W., & Deng, X. (2010). A technology empowerment model for engineering work. ACM SIGMIS Database: the DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems, 41(4), 52-74.
- Doty, D. H., & Glick, W. H. (1998). Common methods bias: does common methods variance really bias results? *Organizational research methods*, *1*(4), 374-406.
- Drury, J., & Reicher, S. (2009). Collective psychological empowerment as a model of social change: researching crowds and power. *Journal of Social Issues, 65*, 707-725.
- Edwards, J. R., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2000). On the nature and direction of relationships between constructs and measures. *Psychological Methods*, 5(2), 155-174.
- Eichner, A. A. (2021). The Role of IT Mindfulness in Compulsive Smartphone Use: A Longitudinal Approach. In *Proceedings of PACIS 2021, 76.*
- Erdfelder, E., Faul, F., & Buchner, A. (1996). GPOWER: A general analysis program. *Behavioural Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 28*(2), 1-11.
- Esmaeilzadeh, P. (2020). The role of information technology mindfulness in the postadoption stage of using personal health devices: Cross-sectional questionnaire study in mobile health. *JMIR MHealth UHealth, 8*(10), e18122.
- Esmaeilzadeh, P. (2021). How does IT identity affect individuals' use behaviors associated with personal health devices (PHDs)? An empirical study. *Information & Management, 58*(1), 103313.
- Fauville, G., Luo, M., Muller Queiroz, A. C., Bailenson, J. N., & Hancock, J. (2021). Nonverbal mechanisms predict zoom fatigue and explain why women experience higher levels than men. Available at SSRN 3820035.
- Felber, J. (2020). The importance of creativity in business. Forbes. Retrieved from: https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinesscouncil/2020/08/18/the-importance-of-creativity-inbusiness/?sh=8e71ab2e7d79
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. *Journal* of *Health and Social Behavior*, 21(3), 219-239.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(1), 39-50.
- Goetz, T. M.; Boehm, S. A. (2020). Am I outdated? The role of strengths use support and friendship opportunities for coping with technological insecurity. *Computer Human Behavior, 107*, 106265.

- Hair Jr, J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, *109*, 101-110.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, *31*(1), 2-24.
- Halliburton, A. E., Hill, M. B., Dawson, B. L., Hightower, J. M., & Rueden, H. (2021). Increased stress, declining mental health: emerging adults' experiences in college during COVID-19. *Emerging Adulthood*, 21676968211025348.
- Harris, K. J., Harris, R. B., Carlson, J. R., Carlson, D. S. (2015). Resource loss from technology overload and its impact on work-family conflict: Can leaders help? *Computer Human Behavior, 50*, 411-417.
- Haslam, C., Atkinson, S., Brown, S. S., & Haslam, R. A. (2005). Anxiety and depression in the workplace: effects on the individual and organisation (a focus group investigation). *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 88(2), 209-215.
- Hassandoust, F., & Techatassanasoontorn, A. A. (2021). Antecedents of IS infusion behaviours: An integrated IT identity and empowerment perspective. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 1-25.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, *43*(1), 115-135.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*, *20*, 277-319.
- Higgins, J. E., & Endler, N. S. (1995). Coping, life stress, and psychological and somatic distress. *European Journal of Personality*, *9*, 253-270.
- Hyland, P., Shevlin, M., McBride, O., Murphy, J., Karatzias, T., Bentall, R. P., Martinez, A., & Vallières, F. (2020). Anxiety and depression in the Republic of Ireland during the COVID-19 pandemic. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 142(3), 249-256.
- Ilies, R., Dimotakis, N., & De Pater, I. E. (2010). Psychological and physiological reactions to high workloads: Implications for wellbeing. *Personnel Psychology*, *63*(2), 407-436.
- Ingusci, E., Signore, F., Giancaspro, M. L., Manuti, A., Molino, M., Russo, V., ... & Cortese, C. G. (2021). Workload, techno overload, and behavioral stress during COVID-19 emergency: the role of job crafting in remote workers. *Frontiers in psychology*, *12*, 655148.
- Ioannou, A., & Papazafeiropoulou, A. (2017). Using IT mindfulness to mitigate the negative consequences of technostress. In *Proceedings of AMCIS 2017, Boston, MA*.
- Ioannou, A., Lycett, M., & Marshan, A. (2022). The role of mindfulness in mitigating the negative consequences of technostress. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 1-27.
- Järveläinen, J., Sell, A., & Walden, P. (2021). The impact of IT mindfulness on complex task performance. In Proceedings of the 54th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (p. 1232).
- Junglas, I., Goel, L., Ives, B., & Harris, J. (2014). Consumer IT at work: Development and test of an IT empowerment model. In *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Information Systems* (ICIS). Auckland, New Zealand.
- Junglas, I., Goel, L., Ives, B., & Harris, J. (2019). Innovation at work: The relative advantage of using consumer IT in the workplace. *Information Systems Journal*, 29(2), 317-339.
- Junglas, I., Goel, L., Rehm, S. V., & Ives, B. (2022). On the benefits of consumer IT in the workplace—An IT empowerment perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, *64*, 102478.
- Kabasawa, K., Tanaka, J., Komata, T., Matsui, K., Nakamura, K., Ito, Y., & Narita, I. (2021). Determination of specific life changes on psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*, *16*(8), e0256481.
- Khan, J., Jaafar, M., Mubarak, N., & Khan, A. K. (2022). Employee mindfulness, innovative work behaviour, and IT project success: the role of inclusive leadership. *Information Technology and Management*, 1-15.

Kotwinski, A. (2016). Time to switch off?. Occupational Health & Wellbeing, 68(11), 18.

- Kumar, S., Raut, R. D., & Narkhede, B. E. (2020). A proposed collaborative framework by using artificial intelligence-internet of things (AI-IoT) in COVID-19 pandemic situation for healthcare workers. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, 13(4), 337-345.
- LaMontagne, A. D., Martin, A., Page, K. M., Reavley, N. J., Noblet, A. J., Milner, A. J., Keegel, T., & Smith, P. M. (2014). Workplace mental health: Developing an integrated intervention approach. BMC Psychiatry, 14(131), 1-11.

Langer, E. (2014). Mindfulness in the age of complexity. Harvard Business Review, 92(3), 68-73.

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer.

- Leroy, H., Anseel, F., Dimitrova, N. G., & Sels, L. (2013). Mindfulness, authentic functioning, and work engagement: a growth modeling approach. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *8*2(3), 238-247.
- Liang, H., & Xue, Y. (2009). Avoidance of information technology threats: A theoretical perspective. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(1), 71-90.
- Liang, H., Xue, Y., Pinsonneault, A., & Wu, Y. (2019). What users do besides problem-focused coping when facing IT security threats: An emotion-focused coping perspective. *MIS Quarterly*, *43*(2), 373-394.
- Lindell, M. K., & Whitney, D. J. (2001). Accounting for common method variance in cross-sectional research designs. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *86*(1), 114.
- Linnell, M. (2014). Citizen response in crisis: Individual and collective efforts to enchance community resilience. *Human Technology*, *10*(2), 68-94.
- Logitech (2020). *Five lessons learned from remote working during the pandemic*. Available at: https://www.logitech.com/content/dam/logitech/vc/en/pdf/ebook-5-lessons-learned-from-workingduring-pandemic.pdf
- London, M. (1993). Relationships between career motivation, empowerment and support for career development. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, *66*(1), 55-69.
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., & Jarvis, C. B. (2005). The problem of measurement model misspecification in behavioral and organizational research and some recommended solutions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(4), 710-730.
- Maier, C., Laumer, S., & Eckhardt, A. (2015). Information technology as daily stressor: Pinning down the causes of burnout. *The Journal of Business Economics*, *85*(4), 349-387.
- Maier, C., Laumer, S., Wirth, J., & Weitzel, T. (2019). Technostress and the hierarchical levels of personality: a two-wave study with multiple data samples. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 28(5), 496-522.
- Maier, C., Wirth, J., Laumer, S., & Weitzel, T. (2017). Personality and technostress: Theorizing the influence of IT mindfulness. In *Proceedings of ICIS 2016, Seoul, South Korea*.
- Malodia, S., Islam, N., Kaur, P., & Dhir, A. (2022). Why do people use artificial intelligence (AI)-enabled voice assistants? *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 1-15.
- Maruping, L., & Magni, M. (2015). Motivating employees to explore collaboration technology in team contexts. *MIS Quarterly, 39*(1), 1-16.
- Mattke, J., Maier, C., Weitzel, T., Gerow, J. E., & Thatcher, J. B. (2021). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) in information systems research: status quo, guidelines, and future directions. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*.
- McKnight, D. H., Cummings, L. L., & Chervany, N. L. (1998). Initial trust formation in new organizational relationships. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(3), 473-490.
- Mendonça, D. (2007). Decision support for improvisation in response to extreme events: Learning from the response to the 2001 World Trade Center attack. *Decision Support Systems*, *43*(3), 952-967.

- Milner, A., Krnjacki, L., Butterworth, P., Kavanagh, A., & LaMontagne, A. D. (2015). Does disability status modify the association between psychosocial job quality and mental health? A longitudinal fixed-effects analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, *144*, 104-111.
- Mosafer, H., Sarabadani, J., Sohrabi, B., Yazdani, H. R., & Manian, A. (2021). The role of IT identity in self-disclosure behavior on social networking sites. *In Proceedings of AMCIS 2021.*
- Niederman, F. (2021). Process theory: Background, opportunity, and challenges. *Foundations and Trends in Information Systems*, *5*(1-2), 1-230.
- Ogbanufe, O., & Gerhart, N. (2020). The mediating influence of smartwatch identity on deep use and innovative individual performance. *Information Systems Journal*, 1-33.
- Olson, M. H. (1983). Remote office work: changing work patterns in space and time. *Communications of the ACM*, 26(3), 182-187.
- Oppezzo, M., & Schwartz, D. L. (2014). Give your ideas some legs: the positive effect of walking on creative thinking. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 40*(4), 1142.
- Oredo, J. (2020). Personal cloud computing adoption: Integrating IT mindfulness with trust and risk. In *Proceedings of AMCIS 2020.*
- Pan, S. L., Cui, M., & Qian, J. (2020). Information resource orchestration during the COVID-19 pandemic: A study of community lockdowns in China. *International Journal of Information Management*, 54, 102143.
- Pappas, I. O., & Woodside, A. G. (2021). Fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA): Guidelines for research practice in information systems and marketing. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58, 102310.
- Pearlin, L. I. (1999). Stress and mental health: A conceptual overview. In A. V. Horwitz & T. L. Scheid (Eds.), A handbook for the study of mental health: Social contexts, theories, and systems (pp. 161– 175). Cambridge University Press.
- Pearlin, L. I., Bierman, A. (2013). "Current Issues and Future Directions in Research into the Stress Process." Pp. 325-40 in Handbook of the Sociology of Mental Health. 2nd ed., edited by Aneshensel, C. S., Phelan, J. C., Bierman, A. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer Science + Business Media.
- Petter, S., Straub, D. & Rai, A. (2007). Specifying formative constructs in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly, 31*(4), 623-656.
- Pinquart, M., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2008). Coping with increased uncertainty in the field of work and family life. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 15(3), 209-221.
- Ragin, C. C. (2009). Redesigning social inquiry: Fuzzy sets and beyond. University of Chicago Press.
- Richardson, H. A., Simmering, M. J., & Sturman, M. C. (2009). A tale of three perspectives: Examining post hoc statistical techniques for detection and correction of common method variance. Organizational Research Methods, 12(4), 762-800.
- Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., & Becker, J. M. (2015). SmartPLS 3. Bönningstedt, Germany: SmartPLS.
- Rohwer, E., Flöther, J. C., Harth, V., & Mache, S. (2022). Overcoming the "Dark Side" of Technology—A Scoping Review on Preventing and Coping with Work-Related Technostress. *International Journal* of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(6), 3625.
- Roose, K. (2020). Sorry, but working from home is overrated: Home-cooked lunches and no commuting while we deal with coronavirus can't compensate for what's lost in creativity. *New York Times*. Available at: https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/10/technology/working-from-home.html
- Sakurai, M. & Chughtai, H. (2020). Resiliance against crises: COVID-19 and lessons from natural disasters. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(5), 585-594.
- Salo, M., Makkonen, M., & Hekkala, R. (2020). The interplay of IT users' coping strategies: uncovering momentary emotional load, routes, and sequences. *MIS Quarterly*, *44*(3), 1143-1175.

- Savoli, A., & Bhatt, M. (2017). The Impact of IT identity on users' emotions: A conceptual framework in health-care setting. In *Proceedings of AMCIS 2017, Boston, MA*.
- Scott, S. G., & Bruce, R. A. (1994). Determinants of innovative behavior: a path model of individual innovation in the workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, *37*(3), 580-607.
- Shamir, B., House, R. J., & Arthur, M. B. (1989). *The transformational effects of charismatic leadership: A motivational theory*. Reginald H. Jones Center, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.
- Shirish, A., Chandra, S., & Srivastava, S. C. (2021). Switching to online learning during COVID-19: Theorizing the role of IT mindfulness and techno eustress for facilitating productivity and creativity in student learning. *International Journal of Information Management*, *61*, 102394.
- Spreitzer, G.M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal, 38*(5), 1442-1465.
- Stewart-Brown, S., & Janmohamed, K. (2008). Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale (WEMWBS). User guide. Version, 1.
- Synard, J., & Gazzola, N. (2019). Moving towards positive wellbeing in the face of adversity: What explains individual variations in wellbeing following job loss? *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling*, *41*(3), 415-435.
- Thatcher, J. B., Pu, W., & Pienta, D. (2018a). IS Information Systems a (Social) Science?. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, *43*, 189-196.
- Thatcher, J. B., Wright, R. T., Sun, H., Zagenczyk, T. J., & Klein, R. (2018b). Mindfulness in information technology use: Definitions, distinctions, and a new measure. *MIS Quarterly*, *42*(3), 831-848.
- Thomas, K.W., and Velthouse, B.A. (1990). Cognitive elements of empowerment: an 'interpretive' model of intrinsic task motivation. *Academy of Management Review, 15*(4), 666-681.
- Trougakos, JP, Chawla, N, McCarthy, JM. (2020). Working in a pandemic: exploring the impact of COVID-19 health anxiety on work, family, and health outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *5*(11), 1234-1245.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services (2020). What is Mental Health? Available at: https://www.mentalhealth.gov/basics/what-is-mental-health
- Van Gordon, W., Shonin, E., Zangeneh, M., & Griffiths, M. D. (2014). Work-related mental health and job performance: Can mindfulness help?. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 12(2), 129-137.
- van Zomeren, M., Postmes, T., & Spears, R. (2008). Toward an integrative social identity model of collective action: a quantitative research synthesis of three socio-psychological perspectives. *Psychology Bulletin, 134*, 504-535.
- Wagner, J. A. (1994). Participation's effects on performance and satisfaction: A reconsideration of research evidence. *Academy of Management Review, 19*, 312-330.
- Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., and Parker, S. K. (2020). Achieving effective remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic: a work design perspective. *Applied Psychology*, *70*(1), 16-59.
- Wang, B., Schlagwein, D., Cecez-Kecmanovic, D., & Cahalane, M. (2019). Digital nomadism and the market economy: Resistance and compliance. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS), Munich, Germany.*
- Wei, S., Zhu, F., & Chen, X. (2020). Do stressors stifle or facilitate employees' innovative use of enterprise systems: the moderating role of IT mindfulness. *Information Technology & People*, *34*(3), 955-977.
- Weinstein, N., Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. (2009). A multi-method examination of the effects of mindfulness on stress attribution, coping, and emotional wellbeing. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43(3), 374-385.
- Wilkinson, A., Godfrey, G., & Marchington, M. (1997). Bouquets, brickbats and blinkers: Total quality management and employee involvement in practice. *Organization Studies*, *18*(5), 799-819.

- Yang, L., Jaffe, S., Holtz, D., Suri, S., Sinha, S., Weston, J., et al. (2020). How work from home affects collaboration: a large-scale study of information workers in a natural experiment during COVID-19. arXiv arXiv:2007. 15584
- Yim, M., Gomez, R., & Carter, M. (2017). Facebook's "free basics" and implications for development: IT identity and social capital. In *Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.
- Yuan, F., & Woodman, R. W. (2010). Innovative behavior in the workplace: The role of performance and image outcome expectations. *Academy of Management Journal*, *53*(2), 323-342.
- Zaza, I., & Junglas, I. (2016). IT self-service engagement: A theory of trying perspective. In *Proceedings* of *ICIS 2016, Dublin, Ireland*.
- Zhao, X., Xia, Q., & Huang, W. (2020). Impact of technostress on productivity from the theoretical perspective of appraisal and coping processes. *Information and Management*, 57, 103265.
- Zobel, C. W. (2011). Representing perceived tradeoffs in defining disaster resilience. *Decision Support Systems*, *50*(2), 394-403.

ŝ

5

ŝ

Appendix A: Literature Outline

ł

Ş

Table A1. Literature	Review of IT	Identity
----------------------	---------------------	----------

Article	Sample	IT Identity Focus	Dependent Variable	Relevant Finding
Carter, 2013	General population	Smartphones	IT identity	IT in comparison to other constructs (differences found)
				Measure/dimension development of IT identity
Carter & Grover, 2015 (Conceptual)	N/A	N/A	Behaviors	Theoretical model development
Savoli & Bhatt, 2017 (Conceptual)	N/A	Patient centric tools	IT use	Proposed patients' IT identity affects IT emotions (+&- proposed) and IT use (+&- proposed)
Yim, Gomez, & Carter, 2017 (Conceptual)	N/A	Facebook's "free basics"	Social capital	Relating IT identity to social capital (+ proposed)
Carter, Petter, Grover, & Thatcher, 2020	Smartphone and MS Excel users	MS Excel Smartphones	N/A	IT identity is composed of emotional energy (+), relatedness, dependence)
Curley & Polites, 2020 (Conceptual)	Social media users	Social media	Exploratory use	IT identity as an antecedent of social media flow (+ proposed)
				Group flow to exploratory use (+ proposed)
Ogbanufe & Gerhart, 2020	Smartwatch users	Smartwatches	Deep use Innovative	IT identity and deep use (+)
			individual performance	IT identity and innovative individual performance (+)
Esmaeilzadeh, 2021	Personal health device users	Personal health devices	Feature use Enhanced use	IT identity to feature use (+)
				IT identity to enhanced use (+)
Hassandoust & Techatassanasoontorn, 2021	Employees	IT in work routines	IS infusion behaviors	IT identity influence on IS infusion behaviors (+)

Article	Sample	IT Identity Focus	Dependent Variable	Relevant Finding
Maier, Wirth, Laumer, & Weitzel, 2017 (conceptual)	N/A	User personality	Job burnout Job performance	IT mindfulness impacts technostress (- proposed)
loannou & Papazafeiropoulou, 2017	Working adults	ICT applications	Technostress satisfaction	IT mindfulness influences technostress (-) IT mindfulness influences user satisfaction (+)
Thatcher, Wright, Sun, Zagenczyk, & Klein, 2018b	Working adults Market tools	N/A	N/A	Definition Scale development
Maier, Laumer, Wirth, & Weitzel, 2019	Employees mturk	User personality	Technostress perceptions	IT mindfulness influences technostress (-)
Esmaeilzadeh, 2020	Personal health device users	Personal health devices	Feature use Enhanced use	IT identity influences IT mindfulness (+) IT mindfulness influences use (+)
Oredo, 2020	Students	Cloud storage services	Adoption	IT mindfulness influences adoption (+) IT mindfulness influences trust (+)
Wei, Zhu, and Chen, 2020	Employees	Stressors in relation to daily work	Innovative use	IT mindfulness moderates stressors and innovative use
Eichner, 2021 (conceptual)	N/A	Smartphone	Compulsive use	IT mindfulness influences compulsive use (- proposed)
Jarvelainen, Sell, & Walden, 2021	Undergraduate students	Value chain experimental task	Task performance	IT mindfulness influences task performance (+)
Chen, et al., 2022	Employees	Technostress	Self-regulation impairment Deviant behavior	IT mindfulness influences self-regulation impairment and deviant behavior (-)

Table A2. Literature Review of IT Mindfulness

Communications of the Association for Information Systems

Ş

ą

ł

2

3

l

2

Ioannou, et al., 2022	Individuals from different backgrounds	Technostress	Job satisfaction End user performance	IT mindfulness influences end user satisfaction (+) and technostress (-)
Rower, et al., 2022	Employees and managers	Technostress	Health- and work-related outcomes	IT mindfulness influences technostress (-) and job burnout (-) and user satisfaction (+)

Table A3. Literature Review of IT Empowerment

Article	Sample	IT Identity Focus	Dependent Variable	Relevant Finding
Junglas, Goel, Ives, & Harris, 2014	Employees	IT tool	Level of consumer IT use	Definition Multi-faceted construct IT empowerment explains the degree of consumer IT use in the organization (+)
Junglas, Goel, Ives, & Harris, 2018	IT executives employees	Enterprise IT and consumer IT in workplace	Innovative work behavior	Definition Multi-faceted construct IT empowerment explains the degree of consumer IT use in the organization (+) IT consumerization behavior affects innovative work behavior (+)
Zaza, & Junglas 2016	Amazon's mturk employees	Organizational technology	IT self-service engagement	IT empowerment explains IT self-service engagement (+)
Junglas, et al., 2022	Industry leaders, employees and executives	Consumer IT in the workplace	Perceived individual performance Perceived innovative work behavior	IT empowerment influences perceived innovative work behavior (+) IT empowerment is increased in those that use consumer IT at work (+)

Appendix B: Measures, Scales, and Sources

Table B1. Measures, Scales, and Sources

Construct (Source)	Dimensions	Items
Mental Health (Stewart-Brown & Janmohamed, 2008)		 I've been feeling optimistic about the future. I've been feeling useful I've been feeling relaxed. I've been feeling interested in other people. I've been feeling with problems well. I've been dealing with problems well. I've been feeling clearly. I've been feeling close to other people. I've been feeling confident. I've been feeling loved. I've been feeling loved. I've been feeling loved. I've been feeling loved.
	Emotional Energy	Thinking about myself in relation to Zoom1. I feel pumped up.2. I feel confident.3. I feel enthusiastic.4. I feel energized.
IT Identity (Carter et al., 2020)	Relatedness	 Thinking about myself in relation to Zoom 1 am connected with Zoom. 2. I am in coordination with Zoom. 3. I am close with Zoom. 4. I am linked with Zoom.
	Dependence	 Thinking about myself in relation to Zoom 1 am needing Zoom. 2 I am counting on Zoom. 3 I am reliant on Zoom. 4 I am dependent on Zoom.
Problem-focused Coping (Carver et al., 1989)		 I take additional action to try to integrate Zoom to get my work done. I try to come up with a strategy about what to do to use Zoom to get my work done. I put aside other activities in order to concentrate on using Zoom to get my work done. I force myself to wait for the right time to do something with Zoom to get my work done. I ask people who have used Zoom to get their work done what they did.
IT Mindfulness (Thatcher et al., 2018b)	Alertness to Distinction	 I find it easy to create new and effective ways of using Zoom. I am very creative when using Zoom. I make many novel contributions to my work-related tasks through the use of Zoom.
	Awareness of Multiple Perspectives	 I am often open to learning new ways of using Zoom. I have an open mind about new ways of using Zoom.
	Openness to Novelty	 I like to investigate different ways of using Zoom. I am very curious about different ways of using Zoom. I like to figure out different ways of using Zoom.
	Orientation in the Present	 I often notice how other people are using Zoom. I attend to the 'big picture' of a project when using Zoom. I 'get involved' when using Zoom.

IT Empowerment (Junglas et al., 2014)	IT Competence	 I am confident about my ability to use Zoom to do my job. I am self-assured about my capabilities to perform my work activities with the help of Zoom. I have mastered the skills necessary to use Zoom for my job.
	IT Determination	 I have significant autonomy to use Zoom for my job. I can decide on my own to use Zoom to go about doing my work. I have considerable opportunity for independence and freedom to use Zoom for my job.
	IT Impact	 My impact of deciding to use Zoom for getting work done in my department is large. I have a great deal of control deciding about using Zoom in my department. I have significant influence over IT decisions concerning Zoom that take place in my department.
	IT Meaning	 Using Zoom to get my work done is very important to me. The fact that I use Zoom for my job activities is personally meaningful to me. The fact that I can use Zoom to get my work done is meaningful to me.
Innovative Work Behavior (De Jong & Den Hartog, 2010)		 I pay attention to issues that are part of my daily work. I wonder how things can be improved. I search out new working methods, techniques or Instruments. I generate original solutions for problems. I find new approaches to execute tasks. I make important organizational members enthusiastic about innovative ideas. I attempt to convince people to support an innovative idea. I systematically introduce innovative ideas to work practices. I contribute to the implementation of new ideas. I put effort into the development of new things.

About the Authors

Sam Zaza is an Assistant Professor at the Information Systems and Analytics Department, Middle Tennessee State University. She earned her Ph.D. in Management Information Systems from Florida State University. Sam's research interests span Human-Computer Interactions, IT Workforce, Diversity and Inclusion challenges and initiatives, IT artifact, and methodological approaches. Sam has published (or forthcoming) articles in *Management Information Systems Quarterly, Information and Organization, IT & People, and Communications of AIS,* among others. Sam is currently serving as the AIS Women's Network co-chair, SIG Social Inclusion President, SIG Lead President, Immediate-Past President of the Middle East and North Africa-AIS.

Valerie L Bartelt is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Business Information and Analytics at the Daniels College of Business. She earned a PhD in Information Systems and a MS in business from the Kelley School of Business, and a MS in Immersive Mediated Environments from Telecommunications, all at Indiana University. She is a recipient of a \$775,775 Human Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) grant for implementing a health information exchange system in rural health care facilities located in South Texas. Her work has been published in several journals including MIS Quarterly, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, Journal of Management Information Systems, and European Journal of Information Systems. Her research has also been noted in several media outlets including Reuters, Financial Times, The New York Times, Forbes, Bloomberg, and South China Morning Post. Valerie's research interests primarily involve social behaviors and decision-making using information communication technologies, information communication technology adoption and use.

Michael A. Erskine is an Assistant Professor at Middle Tennessee State University. He received his Ph.D. in Computer Science and Information Systems from the University of Colorado Denver. His research interests include effective IT project governance, the influence of emerging technology on society, IT workforce trends, and decision-making using geospatial data. Michael's research has been presented at numerous international, national, and regional conferences. His work has also been published in several journals, including *Information Systems Frontiers, International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, Computers in Human Behavior, Journal of Consumer Marketing,* and *Journal of Computer Information Systems.* Michael enjoys sailing, scuba diving, mountain biking, and hiking in his spare time.

Deborah J. "Deb" Armstrong is a Professor of Management Information Systems at Florida State University. Deb's research interests cover issues at involving the human aspects of technology, change, and learning. Many of the research problems that Deb finds interesting are situated within the contexts of IT work and IT careers. Deb has published articles in *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, the *Journal of Management Information Systems*, and the *European Journal of Information Systems*, among others. Deb has served as the AIS Women's Network co-chair, and now sits on their Advisory Board.

Copyright © 2022 by the Association for Information Systems. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page. Copyright for components of this work owned by others than the Association for Information Systems must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers, or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or fee. Request permission to publish from: AIS Administrative Office, P.O. Box 2712 Atlanta, GA, 30301-2712 Attn: Reprints are via email from publications@aisnet.org.