Association for Information Systems

AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

ICIS 2022 TREOs TREO Papers

12-12-2022

When Consumers Defend for Your Brand Online

Cheuk Hang Au
National Chung Cheng University, allenau@ccu.edu.tw

Dickson K. W. Chiu

The University of Hong Kong, dicksonchiu@ieee.org

Kris Law

Deakin University, kris.law@deakin.edu.au

Kevin K.W. Ho *University of Tsukuba*, kevinkho@uguam.uog.edu

Chih-Yuan Chou
National Chengchi University, cy.chou@nccu.edu.tw

Follow this and additional works at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/treos_icis2022

Recommended Citation

https://aisel.aisnet.org/treos_icis2022/87

Au, Cheuk Hang; Chiu, Dickson K. W.; Law, Kris; K.W., Kevin Ho; and Chou, Chih-Yuan, "When Consumers Defend for Your Brand Online" (2022). *ICIS 2022 TREOs*. 87.

This material is brought to you by the TREO Papers at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in ICIS 2022 TREOs by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

TREO

Technology, Research, Education, Opinion

When Consumers Defend for Your Brand Online

Exploring Its Enablers, Style and Impact

Cheuk Hang AU, National Chung Cheng University, allenau@ccu.edu.tw
Dickson K. W. Chiu, The University of Hong Kong, dicksonchiu@ieee.org
Kris M. Y. Law, Deakin University, kris.law@deakin.edu.au
Kevin K.W. Ho, University of Tsukuba, kevin.kai.wing.ho@gmail.com
Chih-Yuan Chou, National Chengchi University, cyc.chou@nccu.edu.tw

The Internet has facilitated discussion on different products and services and allowed consumers to support their favourite companies and brands with positive comments, defend their favourites in response to critics, or even proactively attack the competitors of their favourite companies/brands (Colliander and Wien 2013). Comments from different users toward the same brand may lead to online Consumer-to-Consumer (C2C) conflicts (Dineva et al. 2017), especially when the languages in online communications tend to be more toxic than in the real world (Au et al. 2021).

Known as "Consumers' brand defending," similar behaviours are commonly seen online (Colliander and Wien 2013), but their formation and impact are not adequately explored. Considering the potential role of consumers in shaping the company/brand images (Lin and Wang 2015) and the need to explore the dark side of brand attachment (Japutra et al. 2014), we need a better understanding on these behaviours so that we can formulate holistic brand management strategies. The leading question of our project would be, "What are the enablers, style, and impacts of consumers' online brand defending behaviours?".

Starting with a case study, we identified some potential theoretical implications. Based on some recommended frameworks for mixed-method research (e.g., Gable 1994), the case study will be followed by quantitative studies and interviews for developing meta-inferences.

References

- Au, C. H., Ho, K. K., and Chiu, D. K. 2021. "The role of online misinformation and fake news in ideological polarization: barriers, catalysts, and implications," *Information Systems Frontiers*), pp 1-24.
- Colliander, J., and Wien, A. H. 2013. "Trash talk rebuffed: consumers' defense of companies criticized in online communities," *European Journal of Marketing* (47:10), pp 1733-1757.
- Dineva, D. P., Breitsohl, J. C., and Garrod, B. 2017. "Corporate conflict management on social media brand fan pages," *Journal of Marketing Management* (33:9-10), pp 679-698.
- Gable, G. G. 1994. "Integrating case study and survey research methods: an example in information systems," *European journal of information systems* (3:2), pp 112-126.
- Japutra, A., Ekinci, Y., Simkin, L., and Nguyen, B. 2014. "The dark side of brand attachment: A conceptual framework of brand attachment's detrimental outcomes," *The Marketing Review* (14:3), pp 245-264.
- Lin, M.-J., and Wang, W.-T. 2015. "Examining E-Commerce Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty: An Integrated Quality-Risk-Value Perspective," *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce* (25:4), pp 379-401.