Effects of Titanium Addition on Mechanical and Corrosion properties of 316L Stainless Steel Developed through Powder Injection Molding Process.

By

Mohd Tarmizi bin Wahabi 13942

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Engineering (Hons) (Mechanical Engineering)

May 2014

Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS Bandar Seri Iskandar 31750 Tronoh Perak Darul Ridzuan

CERTIFICATION OF APPROVAL

Of Research Project

Effects of Titanium Addition on Mechanical and Corrosion properties of 316L Stainless Steel Developed through Powder Injection Molding Process.

By

Mohd Tarmizi Bin Wahabi

A project dissertation submitted to the Mechanical Engineering Programme Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (Hons) (MECHANICAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by,

(AP Dr. Faiz Bin Ahmad)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI PETRONAS TRONOH, PERAK MAY 2014

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINALITY

This is to certify that I am responsible for the work submitted in this project, that the original work is my own except as specified in the references and acknowledgements, and that the original work contained herein have not been undertaken or done by unspecified sources or persons.

(MOHD TARMIZI BIN WAHABI) Mechanical Engineering Department, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS.

ABSTRACT

Titanium is be used to enhance mechanical and corrosion properties of stainless steel 316L. The stainless steel (316L) powder water atomized (PWA) is reinforced with titanium powder and green parts are produced by powder injection molding (PIM). Various weight percentages of titanium which are 0%, 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.5% are used to study the effects of titanium into 316L stainless steel (SS). Then, the green samples are sintered in argon environment in three different temperature which are 1300 °C, 1345 °C, 1390 °C. Characterization of the samples were done through morphological analysis under scanning electron microscope (SEM). While tensile test was done to measure the mechanical properties of the stainless steel after enhancement with titanium. Also, the corrosion test is done to check the corrosion resistance of samples in Ringer's solution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Final year project (FYP) is a subject that focusing on individual capability and intellectual to solve problems either theoretically or experimentally. Student will be given a title or propose a title for their project. Duration for this project is two semester which are Final Year Project 1 and Final Year Project 2. For the last eight months, I, Mohd Tarmizi Bin Wahabi, student ID 13942 from Mechanical Engineering Programme had been finished my project entitled Effects of Titanium Addition on Mechanical and Corrosion Properties of 316L Stainless Steel Developed through Powder Injection Molding Process successfully.

Alhamdulillah, I had finished my final year project which is partially fully an important task before graduating from Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS. Thanks Allah for giving me helps and sent me a good supervisor, AP Dr. Faiz Bin Ahmad, who is expert in this area of research. Without his guidance, I will not know how to execute this project until the end.

Also, I would like to thanks Mr. Aslam, doctorate student who the one that help me during my experiment. He also had showed me what I supposed to find and focus for my project.

Much appreciation also I dedicated to all the devoted and supportive committee of the Final Year Project under the coordinator, AP Dr. Azmi Bin Wahab who is the person that facilitating and managing this encouraging FYP course. My deepest gratitude shall be given to all technician and staff of Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS for their support and cooperation to me in order to complete my project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF EQUATION	viii
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	1
1.3 Objective	2
1.4 Scope of Study	2
1.5 Feasibility of Studies	2
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY	5
3.1 Feedstock Preparation	6
3.2 Sample Preparation	6
3.2.1 Injection Molding Procedure	7
3.2.2 Solvent Debinding	9
3.2.3 Sintering Process	9
3.3 Testing Characterization	14
3.3.1 Mechanical Testing	14
3.3.2 Microscopic Examination	15
3.3.3 Corrosion Test	16
CHAPTER 4 : RESULT & DISCUSSION	19
4.1 Mechanical Test	19
4.2 Corrosion Test	32
CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION	36
CHAPTER 6 : REFERENCES	37

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1: Schematic Diagrams of the Fabrication of Samples
Figure 3-2: Ratio of powder used in the each sample
Figure 3-3: Vertical Injection Molding MCP HEK-GmBH
Figure 3-4: Components of injection molding7
Figure 3-5: Purging process
Figure 3-6: Mold used
Figure 3-7: Green Samples
Figure 3-8: Tube Furnace 10
Figure 3-9: Sintering cycle for temperature 1300 °C 11
Figure 3-10: Sintering cycle for temperature 1345 °C 11
Figure 3-11: Sintering cycle for temperature 1390 oC 12
Figure 3-12: Green samples for Argon sintering 12
Figure 3-13: Sintered samples in Argon environment
Figure 3-14: Universal Testing Machine (UTM)14
Figure 3-15: Table top Scanning Electron Microscope
Figure 4-1: Graph of tensile Tests
Figure 4-2: SEM micrograph of 0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-3: SEM micrograph of the black spot of 0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-4: EDS analysis of the black spot of 0% Titanium sample 22
Figure 4-5: SEM micrograph of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-6: EDS analysis of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-7: SEM micrograph of the grain boundary of 0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-8: EDS analysis of the grain boundary of 0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-9: SEM micrograph of the mapping area of 0% Titanium sample25
Figure 4-10: EDS analysis of the mapping area of 0% Titanium sample25
Figure 4-11: SEM micrograph of the 1.0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-12: SEM micrograph of the black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample27
Figure 4-13: EDS analysis of the black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-14: SEM micrograph of the surface spot of 1.0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-15: EDS analysis of the surface spot of 1.0% Titanium sample
Figure 4-16: SEM micrograph of the grain boundary of 1.0% Titanium sample 29
Figure 4-17: EDS analysis of the grain boundary of 1.0% Titanium sample

Figure 4-18: SEM micrograph of the small black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample	30
Figure 4-19: EDS analysis of the small black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample	30
Figure 4-20: SEM micrograph of the mapping area of 1.0% Titanium sample	31
Figure 4-21: EDS analysis of the mapping area of 1.0% Titanium sample	31
Figure 4-22: Graph of Corrosion Measurement	32
Figure 4-23: SEM micrograph of the corroded part of 0% Titanium sample	34
Figure 4-24: EDS analysis of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample	34
Figure 4-25: SEM micrograph of the corroded part of 1.0% Titanium sample	35
Figure 4-26: EDS analysis of the corroded part of 1.0% Titanium sample	35

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Mechanical Properties of 316L SS and Titanium 3
Table 2-2: Physical Properties of 316L SS and Titanium
Table 3-1: Parameter for sintering process 10
Table 3-2: Temperature used for sintering process
Table 3-3: Gantt chart for FYP 1 17
Table 3-4: Gantt chart for FYP 2 18
Table 4-1: Result Tensile Test 19
Table 4-2: SEM micrograph results 20
Table 4-3: Elements of composition of the black spot of 0% Titanium sample 22
Table 4-4: Elements of composition of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample 23
Table 4-5: Elements of composition of the grain boundary of 0% Titanium sample 24
Table 4-6: Elements of composition of the mapping area of 0% Titanium sample 25
Table 4-7: Elements of composition on the black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample 27
Table 4-8: Elements of composition on the surface spot of 1.0% Titanium sample . 28
Table 4-9: Elements of composition of the grain boundary of 1.0% Titanium sample
Table 4-10: Elements of composition of the small black spot of 1.0% Titanium
sample
Table 4-11: Elements of composition of the mapping area of 1.0% Titanium sample
Table 4-12: Parameter of samples for corrosion test 33

	35
Table 4-14: Elements of Composition of the corroded part of 1.0% Titanium sample	e
Table 4-13: Elements of Composition of the corroded part of 0% Titanium sample	34

LIST OF EQUATION

Equation 3-1: Corrosion Rate .		6
--------------------------------	--	---

CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

High mechanical and corrosion properties are the most vital characteristic in materials selection for a project. Engineers must select for the stronger element and the capability of the element to withstand the corrosion. Nowadays, stainless steel is the common metal used in the industries because it high mechanical and corrosion properties in working environment. There are many types of stainless steel in the current market such as stainless steel (SS) 403, SS 316, SS316L and many more. The difference of each stainless steel is their compositions. For example SS 316L has 16% - 18% of Chromium, 10% - 14% of Nickel, 0.03% max of Carbon, 2.0% max of Manganese, 0.045% max of Phosphorus, 0.03% max of Sulfur, 10% of silicon, 2.0% - 3.0% of Molybdenum [1]. However, in this project, the stainless steel 316L will be reinforced with titanium.

Titanium is an element on earth that has great mechanical properties compare to iron. Moreover, this element also has high strength -to –weight ratio which is widely used in industries [1]. Combination of the titanium with the stainless steel could increase the mechanical and corrosion properties of stainless steel 316L.

In last 20-30 years, powder metallurgical process had improved the mechanical and corrosion properties of metal effectively [2]. Furthermore, by using powder metallurgy techniques, fine grain size can be produced which increase the homogeneity of the materials [3].

1.2 Problem Statement

Stainless steel is corroded while it is used in specific environment for a long period of time. In order to avoid corrosion and improve its mechanical properties, surface treatment is often used to improve the properties. However, surface coating is an expensive technique and has its own limitations. Thus improvement in corrosion resistance and mechanical properties of 316L SS is required to make it more compatible with the desired environment.

1.3 Objective

- To investigate the effects of titanium on mechanical and corrosion properties of 316L stainless steel developed through powder injection molding.
- To study the effects of temperatures during sintering process on the samples.
- To examine the morphological structure of titanium admixed 316L stainless steel.

1.4 Scope of Study

The current study is focused on the mechanical and corrosion properties of the stainless steel 316LSS which is alloyed with titanium. In this research, several tests will be conducted to measure the corrosion and mechanical properties of 316LSS alloy.

1.5 Feasibility of Studies

This project must be completed within 28 weeks (2 Semester of studies) with the complete report. Also it required experimental work from manufacturing the samples until testing the properties. Procedures made will ensure the accuracy of the project progress within the time limit.

CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW

Alloy metals are combination of various types of chemical composition such is iron, carbon, phosphorus, sodium and many more. The purpose of alloying metal is to increase the mechanical properties and also to enhance the corrosion properties. Corrosion occurs at all materials on earth because the materials need to achieve its stable condition. What makes the differences between each material is the corrosion rate.

Powder metallurgy process can show a wide spectrum of alloys composition and produce extensive range of mechanical and corrosion properties [4]. To achieve that, the new materials must have austenite and ferrite microstructure where a balance of austenite and ferrite in the microstructure will help in achieving the highest pitting corrosion resistance [5]. This shows that the mixture's composition and its microstructure will determine the corrosion resistance [6]. Thus, a proper sintering process must be done in order to create austenite and ferrite microstructures of stainless steel.

There are low and high carbon steel. Low carbon steel which will referred to 'L' at the end of it type like 316L and 304L, contain small amount of element carbon which is 0.03 wt%. The purpose of the made of low carbon steel is to increase the resistance to intergranular corrosion [7]. In order to reduce the carbon composition in the stainless steel, argon sintering process is introduced. Inside the furnace, argon gas is injected through the stainless steel to remove the carbon and sulfur elements. Typically for low carbon steel, the sulfur is around 0.005 wt% because low sulfur composition is quite beneficial to hot machinability [7].

Mechanical Properties	316L SS	Titanium
Tensile strength	558 MPa (min)	246-370 MPa
Yield strength 0.2% Proof	290 MPa (min)	100-225 MPa
Elongation	50 % in 50mm (min)	15 %
Hardness Brinell	217 HB max	716 HB
Hardness Rockwell B	79 HRB max	100 HRB

Table 2-1: Mechanical Properties of 316L SS [8] and Titanium [9]

Properties	316L SS	Titanium
Melting Temperature	1371-1399 °C	1668 °C
Density	7.99 g/cm^3	4.43 g/ cm^3
Modulus of Elasticity in	193 GPa	116 GPa
Tension		
Thermal Expansion	19.9 x 10 ⁻⁶ K ⁻¹	8.6 x 10 ⁻⁶ K ⁻¹
Coefficient		
Thermal Conductivity	16.2 W/m.K	21.9 W/m.K

Table 2-2: Physical Properties of 316L SS [8] and Titanium [9] [10]

Table 2-1 and Table 2-2 above are explaining the mechanical and physical properties of 316L SS and titanium.

Previous study shows that the suitable temperature for gas-atomized sintering is above 1350°C where the best microstructures formation that high mechanical and corrosion properties [11]. Basically there are two types of powder that can be used in the powder injection molding process which are water atomized and gas atomized powder. However, gas atomized could be sintered to 99% of the theoretical density while water atomized powder can be sintered to a maximum of 97% of theoretical density [12].

During the sintering process, there will be shrinkage on the samples. Thus, there are parameter and calculations to be considered to control sintering shrinkage in order to obtain the desired final dimensions [13]. However, the complexity of the sintering process makes dimensional control a very challenging job [14].

In addition, corrosion by definition is deterioration of a material or its properties because of reaction with its environment [15]. Rate of corrosion increased first but then reduced with time [16]. There are several studies on corrosion behaviour of 316L stainless steel [17]. It shows the important of corrosion prevention of the materials used for biomedical implant. Allergic and hypersensitivity are some of the common problem as the effects of the corrosion composition inside the human body.

CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY

Experimental Methodology

- 1) Preparing the raw materials
- 2) Sample Preparation



Figure 3-1: Schematic Diagrams of the Fabrication of Samples [18]

- 3) Testing Characterization
 - i. Mechanical Properties
 - Tensile Test
- ii. Microstructure Analysis
 - Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)
 - EDS
- iii. Corrosion Test

3.1 Feedstock Preparation

> Material

T ¹	2 2	D	C	1	1	•	.1	1		1
HIGUTO	4 1.	Potto.	OT.	noudor	DADI	11	tho	Aach	comr	סור
TIPUIC	.)-2.	Natio	UI.	DOWUEL	uscu	111		CaUII	Sam	лс

		Binder	(total 35% w	vt)
Sample	Powder (total 65% wt)	Polypropylene	Steric	PW
			Acid	
1	316L SS (100% wt)	25	5	70
2	316L SS (99% wt) + 1% wt Ti	25	5	70

➢ Equipment

- 1. Type : Z-blade mixer
- 2. Rate : 60 rpm
- 3. Temperature : 175 °C
- 4. Time : 90 min
- 3.2 Sample Preparation



Figure 3-3: Vertical Injection Molding MCP HEK-GmBH



Figure 3-4: Components of injection molding

3.2.1 Injection Molding Procedure

- a. Firstly, the temperature was set to 180oC.
- b. The vertical injection molding was switched on.
- c. Next, the granule was poured inside into the hopper.
- d. The granule was melted for about a few seconds until all the melted granule was squeezed out from the nozzle as shown in **Figure 3-5**.
- e. Step 3 to 4 was repeated 3 times in order to remove the gases inside the granule.
- f. Finally, the mold was inserted directly below the nozzle.
- g. The nozzle was aligned with the mold.
- h. After re-melt process, the mold was taken out from the machine.
- i. The product was taken out from the mold by pushing the pin slowly from the outside of the mold as shown in **Figure 3-6**.



Figure 3-5: Purging process



Figure 3-6: Mold used

Figure 7 shows completed product after removed from the mold. The product has good finishing based on visual inspection on the edges and surface. It is safe to say that the compound mixture ratio is well balanced.

3.2.2 Solvent Debinding

Solvent Debinding is a process to remove the binder by using n-heptane liquid. The samples were immersed in the solution for five hours. Also the solution must be in 60 $^{\circ}$ C.



Figure 3-7: Green Samples

3.2.3 Sintering Process

The purpose of the process is to increase the density of green samples. According to Liu, Loh and etc [14], various temperatures and sintering atmosphere will determine the final density. So these factors must be characterized and optimized perfectly in order to get high density final product. Since the temperature used were 1300 - 1400 °C, the student had used alumina tube furnace which was in Block N.



Figure 3-8: Tube Furnace

Table 3-1: Pa	arameter for	sintering	process
---------------	--------------	-----------	---------

Parameters	Value
Temperature	1300°C, 1345°C, 1390°C
Time	12 hours times 3 cycles
Pressure	50 – 100 mmHg
Gas	Purified Argon
Temperature rate	As per cycle
Flow rate	1000 cm ³ /min

Table 3-2: Temperature used for sintering process

No. of samples	Temperature (°C)
3	1300
3	1345
3	1390



Figure 3-9: Sintering cycle for temperature 1300 °C



Figure 3-10: Sintering cycle for temperature 1345 °C



Figure 3-11: Sintering cycle for temperature 1390 oC



Figure 3-12: Green samples for Argon sintering



Figure 3-13: Sintered samples in Argon environment

3.3 Testing Characterization

3.3.1 Mechanical Testing

Metals Tensile Testing Standard - ISO 6892 - 1 ASTM E8/8M

Apparatus



Figure 3-14: Universal Testing Machine (UTM)

Procedure

- 1. Each sample is labelled with a marker pen. ID format is given to indicate the material and temperature differences.
- 2. The diameter of the specimen is measured at three locations along the gage length. The average of these measurements are recorded in the table provided.
- 3. The gage length is marked and the distance between gage length marks are measured.
- 4. The sample is mounted at the universal testing clamps by the technician. An extensometer will be placed on the sample to measure displacement.

- 5. Once the machine has been initialized, the test will begin. The hydraulic ramp is slowly pull the sample. The load and corresponding displacement is recorded into a data file created at the beginning of the initialization stage.
- 6. After the sample has been stretched to a displacement of about 1 mm, the test will be put on hold. The extensometer is removed. This is done to prevent damage to the extensometer in the event of sample fracture.
- 7. The test is continued at a slightly higher rate of loading. The load is only recorded in order to determine the maximum and fracture loads.
- 8. After the sample is fractured and the test is stopped, the sample is removed from the UTM.
- 9. A printout of the stress-strain curve will be made available.
- 10.Repeat for another material.

3.3.2 Microscopic Examination

Table top scanning electron microscope (SEM) is used in conducting microscopic examination onto the mounted sample which is newly available at Block 17. It is a type of electron microscope that produces images of a sample by scanning it with a focused beam of electrons. The electrons interact with atoms in the sample, producing various signals that can be detected and that contain information about the sample's surface topography and composition including external morphology (texture), chemical composition, and crystalline structure and orientation of materials making the sample.



Figure 3-15: Table top Scanning Electron Microscope

3.3.3 Corrosion Test

For this test, three solutions need to be prepared which are 1M of nitric acid, 1M of sodium hydroxide and Ringer's solution. The specimens will be immersed for 30 days to get the corrosion result.

- a. Preparation of 1 litre of 1 Molar HNO₃ [19]
 - 63ml of 16M concentrated acid is measured in the fume chamber before it is mixed with 937 ml of distilled water. Then, the stick is used to stir the solution gently.
- b. Preparation of 1 litre of 1M NaOH [20]
 - 40 grams of NaOH is measured before mixed with distilled water until it reached one litre using cylindrical cylinder.
- c. Preparation of Ringer's solution [21].
 - 7.2g sodium chloride NaCl
 - 0.37g potassium chloride KCl
 - 0.17 g calcium chloride CaCl₂
 - 1. The reagents are dissolved into distilled water.
 - 2. Distilled water is added to bring the final volume to 1 L.
 - 3. The pH is adjusted to 7.3-7.4 by using pH meter

Weight Loss Method

According to ASTM G1-03, Standard Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimen, corrosion rate can be calculated by the following equation:

Corrosion Rate, CR =
$$\frac{KW}{DAT}$$
 [22]

Equation 3-1: Corrosion Rate

- K = Constant (mm/year = 87600, mpy = 3450000)
- T = Time of exposure in hours
- $D = Density in grams/cm^3$
- $A = Area in cm^2$
- W = Weight loss in grams

No	Items	Weeks													
110.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Topic Selection														
2	Background study on the project														
3	Writing up the extended proposal														
4	Discussion with lab technicians														
5	Manufacturing the specimen														
5	5.2 Making the green samples														
	5.3 Gas-Sintering														
6	Proposal Defences										*				
8	Writing interim report														
9	Submitting interim report														

Table 3-3: Gantt chart for FYP 1



Table 3-4: Gantt chart for FYP 2

No	Items	Weeks													
•		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Tensile test														
2	Mounting														
3	Grinding & Polishing														
4	Optical Microscope														
5	Progress Report							\bigstar							
6	Scanning Electron Microscope														
7	Corrosion Test														
8	Submission of draft report														
9	Submission of dissertation and technical paper														
10	Oral presentation														



CHAPTER 4 : RESULT & DISCUSSION

4.1 Mechanical Test

Sintering	1300°C	1345 °C	1390°C
Temperature			
Vol%			
0.0	543.235	539.530	523.548
0.5	563.542	560.305	557.700
1.0	550.322	542.368	535.908
1.5	521.344	523.651	518.150

Table 4-1: Result Tensile Test



Figure 4-1: Graph of tensile Tests

Referring to the above graph, 1300°C is the best sintering temperature that can give high tensile strength compare to the other higher temperatures which are 1345 °C and 1390 °C. The reason behind the result is that at higher sintering temperature, the size of grains increasing and become larger. Larger grains size will reduce the mechanical strength of the materials. This can proved by looking at the microscopic examination through Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) in table 4 below.



Table 4-2: SEM micrograph results

Incremental of weight percentage of titanium from 0% to 0.5% in the composition of 316L stainless steel has increase the strength of the material. However, when the titanium had been increased more, the mechanical strength drop rapidly. It can be explained as Ostwald Ripening phenomenon (ORP). This phenomenon occurred due to different thermal expansion coefficient between titanium and the stainless steel. As the result, titanium tended to move to the grain boundaries and formed larger in size of porosity. Hence, it reduced the strength of the material.

Below are the results of EDS at 0% Titanium sintered at 1345°C which will explain the composition on the porosity surface. There are four locations done to check the composition of elements.

0% Titanium at 1345°C



Figure 4-2: SEM micrograph of 0% Titanium sample

1. Black spot



Figure 4-3: SEM micrograph of the black spot of 0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-4: EDS analysis of the black spot of 0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration	
	Symbol			(%)	
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	57.9	
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	25.8	
14	Si	Silicon	100.0	8.1	
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	8.2	

				_
Table 1 3. Flamente	of compositio	n of the black on	ot of 0% Titaniu	mannla
1 abie 4-5. Liements	of compositio	II UI UIC DIACK SP	01 01 070 111amu	in sample
	1	1		1

2. Surface Spot



Figure 4-5: SEM micrograph of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-6: EDS analysis of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	72.3
24	Cr	Chromium	100.0	16.5
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	11.2

Table 4-4: Elements of composition of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample

3. Grain Boundary



Figure 4-7: SEM micrograph of the grain boundary of 0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-8: EDS analysis of the grain boundary of 0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	72.3
24	Cr	Chromium	100.0	16.7
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	11.0

Table 4-5: Elements of composition of the grain boundary of 0% Titanium sample

4. Mapping area



Figure 4-9: SEM micrograph of the mapping area of 0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-10: EDS analysis of the mapping area of 0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	68.2
24	Cr	Chromium	100.0	16.4
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	10.0
42	Мо	Molybdenum	100.0	3.6
14	Si	Silicon	100.0	1.8

Table 4-6: Elements of composition of the mapping area of 0% Titanium sample

Below are the results of EDS at 1%Titanium sintered at 1345°C which will explain the composition of the porous area. There are four locations done to check the composition of elements.

<u>1.0 % Titanium at 1345°C</u>



Figure 4-11: SEM micrograph of the 1.0% Titanium sample

i. Black Spot (Large)



Figure 4-12: SEM micrograph of the black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-13: EDS analysis of the black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
22	Ti	Titanium	100.0	40.5
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	44.7
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	14.8

ii. Surface Spot



Figure 4-14: SEM micrograph of the surface spot of 1.0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-15: EDS analysis of the surface spot of 1.0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration
	Symbol			(%)
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	72.2
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	16.2
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	10.9
22	Ti	Titanium	100.0	0.7

iii. Grain Boundary



Figure 4-16: SEM micrograph of the grain boundary of 1.0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-17: EDS analysis of the grain boundary of 1.0% Titanium sample

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	82.0
20	Ca	Calcium	100.0	13.4
17	Cl	Chlorine	100.0	2.7
22	Ti	Titanium	100.0	1.8

Table 4-9: Elements of composition of the grain boundary of 1.0% Titanium sample

iv. Black Spot (Small)



Figure 4-18: SEM micrograph of the small black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-19: EDS analysis of the small black spot of 1.0% Titanium sample

Table 4-10: Elements of composition of the small black spot of 1.0%	Titanium
sample	

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
22	Ti	Titanium	100.0	34.4
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	49.9
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	14.7
14	Si	Silicon	100.0	0.9

v. Mapping area



Figure 4-20: SEM micrograph of the mapping area of 1.0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-21: EDS analysis of the mapping area of 1.0% Titanium sample

Table 4-11: Elements o	f composition of	f the mapping area	a of 1.0%	Titanium	sample
------------------------	------------------	--------------------	-----------	----------	--------

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration (%)
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	53.9
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	25.3
22	Ti	Titanium	100.0	9.3
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	7.4
42	Мо	Molybdenum	100.0	2.9
14	Si	Silicon	100.0	1.2

Explanation:

Titanium had accumulated on the grain boundaries and at the large black spots. These show that titanium failed to mixed well with the elements of 316L SS. Thus, it had led to the mechanical failure of the materials. The formation of titanium oxide occurred during sintering process where there were leakages on the furnace. So, oxygen entered the furnace and oxidized the titanium.



4.2 Corrosion Test

Figure 4-22: Graph of Corrosion Measurement

Graph above shows that there are no significant benefit by adding titanium into the 316L SS. 0% Titanium had gave the best corrosion resistance compare to other compositions. The reason is galvanic corrosion occurred due to presence of different metals in the composition. It can be proved by EDS on the corroded part as below.

Sample Name	Thickne ss	Length	Heigh t	Initial Weight (g)	Final Weight (g)	Weight Loss	Total Area	Total Area in cm2	Corrosion Rate (mm/yr)	Corrosion Rate (mpy)
PWA 65% VOL 0% Ti 1300	2.67	8.86	5.12	0.913196	0.912845	0.000351	165.3796	1.653796	0.003236	0.127262
PWA 65% VOL 0% Ti 1345	2.77	8.41	5.15	0.878012	0.877620	0.000392	161.7454	1.617454	0.003695	0.145321
PWA 65% VOL 0% Ti 1390	2.70	8.70	5.16	0.909123	0.908657	0.000466	164.628	1.646280	0.004316	0.169729
PWA 65% VOL 0.5% Ti 1300	2.68	7.98	5.25	0.819873	0.819503	0.000370	154.7028	1.547028	0.003646	0.143409
PWA 65% VOL 0.5% Ti 1345	2.64	8.56	5.28	0.881498	0.881051	0.000447	163.4688	1.634688	0.004169	0.163963
PWA 65% VOL 0.5% Ti 1390	2.68	7.97	5.23	0.807035	0.806586	0.000449	154.1182	1.541182	0.004442	0.174689
PWA 65% VOL 1.0% Ti 1300	2.69	8.84	5.25	0.913774	0.913276	0.000498	168.6242	1.686242	0.004503	0.177086
PWA 65% VOL 1.0% Ti 1345	2.70	8.32	5.30	0.861424	0.860894	0.000530	161.74	1.617400	0.004996	0.196486
PWA 65% VOL 1.0% Ti 1390	2.71	8.40	5.24	0.875554	0.874991	0.000563	161.9608	1.619608	0.005300	0.208436
PWA 65% VOL 1.5% Ti 1300	2.71	8.73	5.26	0.894488	0.893892	0.000596	167.6654	1.676654	0.005420	0.213146
PWA 65% VOL 1.5% Ti 1345	2.69	8.32	5.25	0.862165	0.861544	0.000621	160.3666	1.603666	0.005904	0.232194
PWA 65% VOL 1.5% Ti 1390	2.69	8.45	5.20	0.871534	0.870901	0.000633	161.317	1.613170	0.005983	0.235287

Table 4-12: Parameter of samples for corrosion test

a. 0 % Titanium at 1300 °C



Figure 4-23: SEM micrograph of the corroded part of 0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-24: EDS analysis of the surface spot of 0% Titanium sample

Element	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration
Number				
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	62.2
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	32.8
7	N	Nitrogen	100.0	2.2
6	С	Carbon	100.0	0.5
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	1.7
24	Cr	Chromium	100.0	0.6

Table 4-13: Elements of Composition of the corroded part of 0% Titanium sample

b. 1.5% Titanium at 1300°C



Figure 4-25: SEM micrograph of the corroded part of 1.0% Titanium sample



Figure 4-26: EDS analysis of the corroded part of 1.0% Titanium sample

Table 4-14: Elements of Composition of the corroded part of 1.0% Titanium sample
--

Element Number	Element Symbol	Element Name	Confidence	Concentration
8	0	Oxygen	100.0	54.4
26	Fe	Iron	100.0	19.4
28	Ni	Nickel	100.0	25.1
22	Ti	Titanium	100.0	0.2

CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

For mechanical properties, 0.5 % Titanium in the 316L SS gave the best result and it as the optimum weight percentage allowable if only focusing on mechanical properties. But formation of titanium oxide on the grain boundaries not within the grains particles had make the materials failed and fractured.

Composition of 316L SS without titanium gave the best result for the corrosion test compare to additional of titanium. Galvanic corrosion was the reason of the failure of additional titanium in 136L SS. The presence of two difference metals in the composition had led the galvanic corrosion.

Some recommendations can be implemented for further studies.

- i. Sintering process should be conducted in vacuum furnace instead of using argon during sintering process so no oxidation can occurred on the samples.
- ii. Atomic level mixing powder is recommended for PIM process.
- Bimodal approach can be used to enhance the mechanical and corrosion properties of 316L SS.

CHAPTER 6 : REFERENCES

- [1] H. U. Herbert and R. W. Revie, Corrosion and Corrosion Control, 4th ed., New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [2] N. Kurgan, "Effect of porosity and density on the mechanical and microstructural properties of sintered 316L stainless steel implant materials," *Materials and Design*, pp. 235-241, 2013.
- [3] P. J. Anderson, "Medical and Dental Application," *Powder System Application*, pp. 657-663, 1982.
- [4] C. Garcia, F. Martin and Y. Blanco, "Effect of sintering cooling rate on corrosion resistance of powder metallurgy austenitic, ferritic and duplex stainless steel sintered in nitrogen," *Corrosion Science*, pp. 45-52, 2012.
- [5] L. A. Dobrzanaski, Z. Brytan and M. Rosso, "Sintering-Hardening process applicable to stainless steel," *Achivement Mater*, pp. 11-28, 2007.
- [6] L. A. Dobrzanaski, Z. Brytan, M. A. Grande, M. Rosso and E. J. Pallavicini, "Properties of vacuum sintered duplex stainless steel," *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, pp. 286-292, 2005.
- [7] C. Moosbrugger and E. DeGuire, Elements of Metallurgy and Engineering Alloys, Campbell, Ed., Ohio: ASM International, 2008.
- [8] AK Steel Corporation, *Product Data Sheet: 316/136L Stainless Steel*, Ohio: The Value Option, 2007.
- [9] M. Winter, "WebElements," 1993-2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.webelements.com/titanium/physics.html. [Accessed 15 August 2014].
- [10] O-Neal High Performance Metals Group, "316/316L Stainless Steel Sheet & Coil Chemical Composition," 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.upmet.com/products/stainless-steel/316316l/chemical.
- [11] U. Lindsteadt and B. Karlsson, "Doktorsavhandlingar Vid Chalmer Tekniska Hogskola," pp. 1-25, 1998.
- [12] R. P. Koseski, P. Suri, N. B. Earhardt and R. M. German, "Microstructural Evolution of Injection Molded Gas and Water Atomized 316L Stainless Steel

Powder During Sintering," The Pennsylvania State University, Sancheon, KOREA.

- [13] G. R. White and R. M. German, Advances in Powder Metallurgy and Particulate Materials, vol. 5, Princeton: MPIF, 1993, pp. 121-132.
- [14] Z. Y. Liu, N. H. Loh, K. A. Khor and S. B. Tor, "Sintering Activation Energy of Powder Injection Molded 316L Stainless Steel," Nanyang Avenue, Singapore, 2000.
- [15] K. G. Budinski and M. K. Budinski, Engineering Materials: Properties and Selection, New Jersey: Pearson Pretice Hall, 2004.
- [16] Y. L. D. L. Hao H., "Effect of sintering Temperature and Atmosphere on Corrosion Behavior of MIM 316L Stainless Steel," *Advanced Materials Research*, Vols. 239-242, pp. 132-136, 2011.
- [17] M. R. H. R. Z. J. Hofmann J., "Corrosion Behaviour of Stainless Steel Implants in Biomedical Media," *Surf. Interface Anal.*, vol. 3, pp. 110-117, 1981.
- [18] CustomPartNet, "Metal Injection Molding," 2009. [Online]. Available: http://www.custompartnet.com/wu/metal-injection-molding. [Accessed 15 February 2014].
- [19] "Molarity of Concentrated Reagents," 2014. [Online]. Available: https://www.erowid.org/archive/rhodium/chemistry/equipment/molarity.html.
 [Accessed 3 July 2014].
- [20] Iowa State University, "Preparing Standard Sodium Hydroxide Solution," 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.extension.iastate.edu/wine/preparingstandard-sodium-hydroxide-solution. [Accessed 3 July 2014].
- [21] Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, "Recipe of Ringer's Solution," Cold Spring Harbor Protocols, 2014. [Online]. Available: http://cshprotocols.cshlp.org/content/2008/1/pdb.rec11273.full?text_only=true. [Accessed 19 August 2014].
- [22] ASTM International, *Standard Practice for Preparing*, *Cleaning and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimen*, United States: ASTM Int'l, 2011.
- [23] V. Marinov, Manufacturing Processes for Metal Products, Kendall Hunt Publishing Company, 2010.