1 Rapid and efficient testing of the toxicity of graphene-related

2 materials in primary human lung cells

- 3 Javier Frontiñan-Rubio^{1,2}, Viviana Jehová González², Ester Vázquez^{2,3*} and
- 4 Mario Durán-Prado^{1*}
- 1 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha. Faculty of Medicine, 13071, Ciudad Real, Spain
- 6 2 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha. Instituto Regional de Investigación Científica
- 7 Aplicada (IRICA), 13071, Ciudad Real, Spain
- 8 3 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha. Faculty of Chemical Science and Technology,
- 9 13071, Ciudad Real, Spain

10 Abstract

- 11 Background
- 12 Graphene and its derivative materials are manufactured by numerous companies and
- 13 research laboratories, during which processes they can come into contact with their
- 14 handlers' physiological barriers—for instance, their respiratory system. Despite their
- potential toxicity, these materials have even been used in face masks to prevent COVID-
- 16 19 transmission. The increasingly widespread use of these materials requires the design
- 17 and implementation of appropriate, versatile, and accurate toxicological screening
- 18 methods to guarantee their safety. Murine models are adequate, though limited when
- 19 exploring different doses and lengths of exposure—as this increases the number of
- 20 animals required, contrary to the Three R's principle in animal experimentation. This
- 21 article proposes an in vitro model using primary, non-transformed normal human
- bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells as an alternative to the most widely used model to date,
- the human lung tumor cell line A549. The model has been tested with three graphene
- derivatives—graphene oxide (GO), few-layer graphene (FLG), and small FLG (sFLG).
- 25 Results
- We observed a cytotoxic effect (necrosis and apoptosis) at early (6- and 24-hour)
- 27 exposures, which intensified after seven days of contact between cells and the
- graphene-related materials (GRMs)—with cell death reaching 90% after a 5 µg/mL dose.
- 29 A549 cells are more resistant to necrosis and apoptosis, yielding values less than half of
- 30 NHBE cells at low concentrations of GRMs (between 0.05 and 5 µg/mL). Indeed, GRM-
- induced cell death in NHBE cells is comparable to that induced by toxic compounds such
- 32 as diesel exhaust particles on the same cell line.

- 33 Conclusions
- We propose NHBE as a suitable model to test GRM-induced toxicity, allowing refinement
- 35 of the dose concentrations and exposure timings for better-designed in vivo mouse
- 36 assays.
- 37 Keywords: Lung, primary cells, necrosis, apoptosis, graphene

Background

38

48 49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

61 62

63

64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72

Although it was initially assumed that the primary interaction of graphene and graphene-39 40 related materials (GRMs) with humans was limited to their production and handling [1, 41 2], there is an increasing number of applications of these compounds in skin sensors, 42 clothes, and accessories. This makes it necessary to establish safe-by-design 43 production protocols and explore the interaction of this family of materials with different 44 human physiological barriers prior to their commercialization [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. One recent example of their commercial application is graphene-coated face masks to prevent the 45 transmission of COVID-19 —which were withdrawn in some countries because of their 46 47 possible toxic effect on the respiratory tract [9, 10, 11].

Extensive research has been carried out on this topic, particularly on the interaction of graphene-related materials (GRMs) with the lung barrier. However, this has yielded contradictory results. First, because there are multiple types of GRMs—with varying sizes, oxidation degrees, or number of layers, among other aspects, which interact with cells in different ways [3]. Second, an even more significant problem is the lack of standardization in toxicological screening methods, making it difficult to compare the effects of different GRMs. As a result, choosing the best material for commercial applications such as healthcare products, e.g., face masks, is often tricky. A standard model needs to be established for a method to become standardized. There are currently 141 articles available in PubMed which analyze the interaction of graphene and GRMs with the lung (search keywords: graphene, lung, and toxic), 82 of which evaluated graphene-induced toxicity in the lung in vivo or in vitro. Of these 82 articles, 24 used mouse (nine of these examining graphene exposure through the respiratory tract) and 12 used rat (six of these examining graphene exposures through the respiratory tract) in vivo models; one publication used 3D in vitro airway models [12]; and 45 of these publications used cultured in vitro lung cells —with diverse concentrations of GRMs that were added in acute (41 publications) or sub-acute (4 publications) doses.

The in vitro model—culturing lung cells in monolayers—is the most simple, reproducible, and versatile model. The major advantage of this approach is that it makes it easy to assay multiple concentrations and timings, from acute (high dose, short periods) to chronic (low dose, long periods). However, the main problem is that the gold standard for this model, the human tumor cell line A549, does not have the same physiology as normal airway lung cells. Indeed, A549 cells are highly resistant to the effect of compounds such as GO, even though it can be internalized [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. In vivo models, either with mice or rats, are probably more appropriate. However, their

major limitation is that a large number of animals are necessary to explore different concentrations and exposure times, which opposes the Three R's principle in animal experimentation [20]. Indeed, to perform in vivo experiments, it is mandatory to obtain first strong enough in vitro results to set up an animal protocol and obtain the Ethical Committees' approval.

Moreover, making animals inhale the desired amount of GRMs poses an additional problem. 3D in vitro airway models are a promising intermediate between in vitro and in vivo models. To our knowledge, only one paper to date has implemented this approach, using adenovirus-12 transformed cells (BEAS-2B) sprayed with an aerosol exposure system. However, this method is expensive and time-consuming, as it only allows testing one condition per experiment [12].

This article presents an easy, reproducible, and versatile in vitro 2D model and a battery of contrasted cellular assays that could serve as the basis to establish a new standard to compare all GRMs—those already known to date and new ones that could be generated in the future. Primary human lung epithelial cells are more complex to culture than cancer A549 or adenovirus transformed BEAS-2B cells. However, they are still more manageable to set up than 3D models cultured with aerosol exposure systems. Normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells are primary, non-immortalized lung epithelial cells that behave as normal lung cells [21]. Previous research has used these as a model for testing drug delivery and absorption barrier [22] and toxicity [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Our study examined the toxicity of several GRMs using different concentrations and exposure lengths on both NHBE and A549 lung tumor cells. Specifically, we used one commercial graphene oxide (GO), a few-layer graphene (FLG), and a small FLG (sFLG) synthesized both in our labs [28, 29], all of which had different oxidation degrees (GO>>sFLG≈FLG) and lateral sizes (GO>FLG>sFLG). Our results indicate that NHBE cells were susceptible to all GRMs assayed, reaching an exacerbated mortality after seven days of incubation which was also significant at short incubation times (6 hours). GRM dose and exposure length were the same, NHBE cell mortality was consistently higher, almost double, than A549 cells. These results highlight the need to use appropriate models to assay GRM-induced toxicity and provide easy-to-manage tools and protocols to conduct comparative studies among the growing number of emergent GRMs—prior to their testing in more complex in vivo models.

3. Results

78

79

80

81 82

83

84 85

86 87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94 95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

3.1 Characterization of nanomaterials

Figure 1A shows standard high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images for GO, FLG, and sFLG. The size distribution of the graphene flakes shows completely different lateral sizes depending on the type of material (Figures 1B and 1C), with an average length of 1.18 μ m \pm 994 nm for GO, 300 \pm 23 nm for FLG, and 36.04 \pm 15 nm for sFLG. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Figure 1D) of GO, FLG, and sFLG was performed under a nitrogen atmosphere. The weight loss at a temperature of 600°C—corresponding to the oxygen-containing groups on the graphene layers—was 57.30%, 4.81%, and 33.30% for GO, FLG, and sFLG, respectively. The significant mass loss of GO and sFLG between 100-300°C was expected to decompose functional groups (-OH, -COOH, and -C-O-C) [30, 31] that are not found on FLG. Raman spectroscopy is illustrated in Figure 1E, indicating the presence of the D band (1350 cm⁻ ¹, related to some defects in the carbon rings), G band (1580 cm⁻¹, associated to sp² carbon bonds in the hexagonal structure), and 2D band (2700 cm⁻¹, related to the number of graphene layers and the quality of carbon rings) [32]. For carbon nanomaterials, two main parameters need to be considered in Raman spectra: the intensity ratio between the D and G bands (I_D/I_G), to quantify the density of defects in graphene [33]; and the shape of the 2D band, to determine the number of layers (N_G) [34]. The I_D/I_G values obtained for the nanomaterials were 0.94, 0.42, and 1.34 for GO, FLG, and sFLG, respectively. GO and sFLG showed the highest I_D/I_G values due to these having a more significant amount of defects than FLG, which is consistent with the TGA results. The increased D band in sFLG is related to the small size of graphene layers compared to the number of functional groups at the edges. At the same time, GO shows a low intensity in the 2D band related to higher structural defects of its carbon rings [35]. In the case of FLG and sFLG, it was possible to calculate the average number of layers three in each case [34]. Elemental analysis of GO, FLG, and sFLG (Figure 1F) yielded a percentage of 48.37% oxygen in the GO sample, 6.53% in FLG, and 9.19% in sFLG— ...results which are consistent with those obtained with other characterization techniques. The nanomaterial powders were re-dispersed in the different culture media (DMEM with/without FBS and completed BEGM) at 5 µg/ml (Supplementary Figure 1A-C) and the colloidal stability of the nanomaterials was studied through UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy for 24 h, (see methods). Supplementary Table 1 shows the average sedimentation at 2h and 24 h for all the different nanomaterials. sFLG is the nanomaterial with the lowest sedimentation in all the different culture media after 24h, which can give an idea about the delivered dose in each treatment. It is also important to note that although the sedimentation of GO after 2h depends on the culture media, after 24 h there are no significant differences in the sedimentation of this nanomaterial in DMEM with FBS or in completed BEGM. Same results are observed for FLG and sFLG. These data

107

108109

110

111

112

113

114

115116

117

118

119 120

121122

123

124

125126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133134

135136

137138

139

140

141

142143

- can be explained due to the fact that BEGM incorporates complements that are similar
- to those found in FBS, such as different proteins or BPE (bovine pituitary extract).
- 3.2 Graphene induces necrosis in primary human bronchial epithelial cells
- 147 GRMs can induce cell death by necrosis and apoptosis [36, 37]. Necrosis is an
- uncontrolled mode of cell death involving loss of membrane integrity, which leads to
- activation of inflammation in vivo [38]. Previous works have shown that GRM-induced
- toxicity involves necrosis in different cell types and organs [28, 37], including lung tumor
- 151 cells [39]. However, the toxicity of GRMs remains undetermined in normal, primary
- 152 epithelial cells.
- In NHBE cells, low doses of the different GRMs did not increase necrosis after 6 hours
- of exposure (Figure 2A). A concentration of 5 µg/mL of GO—more oxidized—significantly
- increased necrosis (10.6%) compared to control (p<0.05). Higher doses of GO, FLG,
- and sFLG (50 and 100 µg/mL) showed a significant and remarkable increase in necrosis,
- reaching more than 30% for 50 μg/mL GO (p<0.01) (Figure 2A). In cells exposed for 24
- hours, 5 μg/mL GO and FLG significantly increased necrosis to 17.3% (p<0.001) and
- 159 18.7% (p<0.01) (Figure 2B). Higher doses of the different GRMs increased necrosis in a
- generalized way, reaching 38% for 50 µg/mL FLG (Figure 2B).
- 161 When exposure to the different GRMs was extended up to seven days, necrosis
- drastically increased for all compounds. Compared to their respective controls, a 5 µg/mL
- dose of GO, FLG, and sFLG increased necrosis significantly. In particular, 5 µg/mL sFLG
- induced 27.5% of necrosis (Figure 2C). Exposure to 100 μg/mL GO was the most
- harmful, damaging more than 50% of cells (Figure 2C).
- 3.3 Graphene induces apoptosis in primary human lung cells
- Apoptosis is a type of programmed cell death essential for maintaining cell homeostasis.
- 168 It is characterized by specific morphological nuclear changes such as condensation and
- fragmentation and the appearance of apoptotic bodies [36, 40]. Apoptosis, as necrosis,
- is one of the main mechanisms of GRM-induced cell death [36]. Our results indicate a
- similar trend to that observed for necrosis, although percentages of apoptotic cells were
- consistently lower than necrotic ones (Supplementary Figure 2).
- 173 In cells exposed for 6 hours, a significant increase in apoptosis induced by 0.5 μg/mL
- 174 FLG and sFLG was noted, reaching 7.7% and 6.8%, respectively (Supplementary Figure
- 175 1). Although the effect did not seem to be dose-dependent, percentages increased to
- 176 10–12% for higher GRM concentrations (5–100 µg/mL). The same trend was observed
- at 24hour (Supplementary Figure 2B) and seven-day exposures (Supplementary Figure

178 2C)—the latter with apoptosis percentages above 20% at high concentrations (50–100 μ g/mL). These results indicate that GRM-induced toxicity causes NHBE cells to die

preferentially by physical damage rather than programmed cell death.

3.4 Cytotoxic effect of graphene in A549 lung tumor cells

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189 190

191

192

193

194

195196

197

198

199 200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

A549 is the lung cell line most widely used to assess the toxicity of nanomaterials, including graphene [16, 41, 42]. First, we compared the morphological features between A549 and NHBE cells without observing differences in cell size and morphology (Supplementary Figure 3A, B), indicating two phenotypically similar cell types. Then, we evaluated the toxicity of increasing doses of GO, FLG, and sFLG in A549 cells exposed for 24 hours, comparing the results with those observed on NHBE cells (Figure 3). GRMs induced a dose-dependent increase in necrosis, although the values were less than half those of NHBE cells (shaded bars) at doses between 0.05 and 5 µg/mL. This difference was reduced at higher concentrations (50–100 μg/mL) (Figure 3A). A similar trend was observed in apoptosis, which was significant only for 50-100 µg/mL (Figure 3B). A549 cells grow in a different culture medium than NHBE cells, which includes 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Previous research has shown that the presence of FBS in the medium can reduce graphene-induced cytotoxicity [43]. Therefore, to evaluate the possible effect of FBS, apoptosis and necrosis were assessed in A549 cells grown in the FBS-free medium for 6 and 24h and exposed to 5 µg/mL GO, FLG, and sFLG for 24h (Supplementary Figure 4). No differences in the levels of necrosis (Supplementary Figure 4A) and apoptosis (Supplementary Figure 4B) were observed, suggesting that the presence of FBS was not critical for the cytotoxic effect of the different GRMs in A549 cells. Therefore, A549 cells appear to be more resistant than NHBE to the cytotoxic effects of GRMs and are insensitive at low concentrations—which would be a physiological dose in terms of possible inhalation.

3.5 Graphene drastically reduces the viability of primary human lung cells

Prolonged exposure of NHBE cells to harmful compounds results in cell death and, consequently, the detachment of cells from the culture plate surface [44]. Our study used fluorescence microscopy to analyze the number of cells attached to the culture dish and the viability of the remaining cells. Short time exposure (6 hours) of NHBE cells to GRMs alters the number of attached cells and their viability without reaching significance (Supplementary Figure 5). Similarly, there is a dose-dependent trend to the number of cells per field decreasing in 24-hour treatments, reaching significance at high concentrations—50 μ g/mL sFLG and 100 μ g/mL FLG generated reductions of 35.5% and 43.7%, respectively (Figure 4A). There is no effect on A549 cells with GRM

concentrations of 0.05-5 µg/mL (Figure 4A). A reduction was detected for GO at 50 213 214 μg/mL and for all GRMs at 100 μg/mL, although always lesser than those values observed for NHBE cells (Figure 4A). No differences were observed in the number of 215 216 A549 cells cultured in medium with FBS and medium without FBS and exposed to 5 217 µg/mL of the different GRMs (Supplementary Figure 4C). A seven-day exposure to GRMs profoundly impacted NHBE cell viability, significant for low doses of 0.5 µg/mL 218 219 GO and sFLG. For doses of 5 µg/mL GO, FLG, and sFLG, there was a decrease of 86.2%, 81,1%, and 81,7%, respectively, which was even more significant for higher 220 221 doses (50-100 µg/mL of GRMs reduced cell viability up to 90%) (Figure 4B). Interestingly, for a seven-day exposure the effect on A549 cells was only observed at a 222 223 concentration of 100 µg/mL (Figure 4B).

- 3.6 Graphene alters cytosolic and mitochondrial Ca²⁺ and reactive oxygen species in
- 225 NHBE cells
- 226 The next step was to examine the underlying mechanisms through which GRMs can induce cell death. Based on the results detailed above, experiments were performed in 227 NHBE and A549 cells incubated for 24 hours with a 5 µg/mL dose of GO, FLG, and 228 229 sFLG. Cell morphology was determined as a standard measure of cell wellness status 230 [45]. No morphological alterations in the width/length ratio were found (Supplementary 231 Figure 6A), although cell size decreased slightly in response to sFLG (Supplementary 232 Figure 6B). Calcium homeostasis and oxidative stress were then examined, as these are key processes related to graphene toxicity [3, 28]. The free cytosolic Ca2+ level increased 233 by 20% in NHBE cells treated with all GRMs but showed no change in lung tumor A549 234 235 cells (Figure 5A). At the same time, there was a similar increase in mitochondrial Ca2+ 236 for NHBE cells treated with FLG and sFLG-an effect not found in A549 cells (Figure 237 5B).

238 One of the main mechanisms through which graphene generates toxicity is by increasing 239 oxidative stress [46]. For that reason, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and superoxide anion 240 (O₂⁻) levels were analyzed. H₂O₂ and O₂⁻ were determined by fluorescence microscopy 241 in living cells with the H2DCFDA and MitoSOX probes. Levels of H₂O₂ increased by 242 51.3%, 46.3%, and 32.2% in NHBE cells treated with GO, FLG, and sFLG, respectively 243 (Figure 5C). No effect was observed in A549 lung tumor cells (Figure 5C). On the other 244 hand, O₂⁻ levels were not altered by exposure to GRMs, neither on NHBE nor on A549 cells (Figure 5D). Again, these results suggest that primary lung cells are more sensitive 245 246 than the tumor cell line.

3.7 Comparison of GRM-induced toxicity in NHBE cells with the effect of other toxic
 compounds

NHBE cells have been used as a model in several in vitro lung toxicity studies [47, 48, 49]. Once it had been demonstrated that these cells were susceptible to GRM-induced cytotoxicity, our results were compared with existing data on the effect of other toxic compounds—i.e., cigarette smoke extract and diesel exhaust particles [25] [26]. After performing a database search, data on NHBE cell necrosis and apoptosis were compared to that extracted from research studies that used similar methodologies in terms of mode of exposure and incubation times. This comparison allowed us to establish that a 5 µg/mL dose of GO, FLG, and sFLG is as toxic as low concentrations of cigarette smoke extract [25] or diesel exhaust particles [26], whereas 50 µg/mL doses, especially in the case of FLG, damage cells in a similar magnitude to the highest doses of the compounds found in the literature [23, 25, 26]. Their toxicity was only exceeded by exposure to cigarette mainstream smoke [23] (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262263

264265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274275

276

277

278279

280

281

In recent years, many potential graphene applications have emerged across different research and innovation fields [3, 7, 8, 50, 51]. The growing interest in this material has led to an increase in its production—and, consequently, in human exposure to it. Many of these applications—e.g., face masks, sensors, and smart clothes—involve daily use and thus continuous exposure [52, 53, 54]. In order to create safe-by-design protocols, it is essential to study how graphene and GRMs interact with different human biological barriers, especially those that will come into direct contact with them [2, 3]. Therefore, assessing how graphene interacts with the respiratory system, especially the interaction with the first chain of defense, the respiratory epithelium. These studies are crucial, for example, for setting occupational exposure limits. On the other hand, it is necessary to establish standardized criteria for this kind of studies [1, 3]. The scientific community must conduct multiple studies, evaluating the potential impact of different GRMs at different doses and exposure times. In addition, it is necessary to define the most appropriate biological model to conduct these studies [3]. Finally, for an adequate toxicity assessment, different GRMs should be well-characterized through standardized protocols [55].

The major potential routes of graphene into the body are inhalation, ingestion, and dermal adsorption [3]. Exposure to graphene is variable during its production process, involving direct interaction with the respiratory tract if adequate personal protective equipment is not used [56]. Concerns about the toxic effect of graphene on the lungs

also extend to its integration into everyday products such as face masks [52] and biomedical applications such as intranasal immunization [57]. Moreover, different studies on the biodistribution of graphene have demonstrated the presence of graphene in the lung after intravenous [58, 59], oral [60], and intraperitoneal administration [61, 62]. This suggests that the lung could also be damaged when other administration routes are used.

Different studies have evaluated the pulmonary toxicity of graphene in murine models in recent years, with contradictory results [3, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68]. This is because the impact of graphene depends on its different physicochemical characteristics, concentration, and exposure time [3]. Bussy et al. recently observed GO inhalation could induce lung granulomas that persist up to 90 days after exposition [69]. This suggests that in vivo studies must evaluate its long-term effects. However, this is not very common. On the other hand, the in vivo studies published to date, evaluating different conditions and scenarios, required very large numbers of mice. To ensure the 3Rs principle and reduce costs and time, it is essential to refine the in vivo exposure conditions prior to conducting the experiments by using standardized in vitro toxicity assessment protocols. However, the choice of cellular models for in vitro study is a crucial issue that should not be taken lightly [70, 71].

In this work, we propose a model using primary normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells, which have been used previously to study particle-generated lung toxicity [23, 25, 26, 72]. The gold standard to study graphene-induced lung toxicity is the lung tumor cell line A549 [73, 74]. Tumor cell models are cost-efficient, easy to use and provide an unlimited material supply. However, they do not have the same characteristics as normal cells, particularly regarding the composition and net charge of the plasma membrane or the oxidative stress response—all of which are critical for interacting with GRMs [3]. Indeed, some studies using A549 cells showed no toxicity after exposure to high doses (≥ 50 µg/mL) of graphene, indicating that this cell line is highly resistant to graphene-induced toxicity [75, 76, 77].

Therefore, the use of the NHBE model offers a more realistic scenario for toxicity assessment. In this work, we have proposed a series of simple and reproducible toxicity determination procedures for identifying variations in cell viability, from slight to acute effects. The results indicate that low doses of different GRMs significantly increased NHBE cell death, an effect not observed in A549 cells (Figures 2–4). Both cell lines, with similar morphological characteristics (Supplementary Figure 3), showed different behaviour in response to GRMs. This effect could be enhanced by differences in the

composition of the culture media of both models, especially by the presence of FBS in the culture medium of A549 cells, which may be associated with a higher protein corona in the graphene, therefore lower cytotoxicity [43]. Although the medium of NHBE cells lacks FBS, it incorporates high concentrations of different protein complements, producing the protein corona. However, to avoid this possible effect, the toxicity of the different GRMs (5 μ g/mL) was studied in A549 cells grown in FBS starvation without observing significant differences. On the other hand, the results obtained in A549 cells were similar to those reported in previous works [46, 65]. Differences were only due to the intrinsic characteristics of tumoral cells A549—i.e., membrane dynamics and resistance to oxidative stress [78].

However, to avoid underestimating the real impact of GRM-based toxicity on lung cells and the cell model used, it is also crucial to combine different approaches. Studies published to date quantifying cytotoxicity by classical methods may underestimate the real in vitro cytotoxic impact of GRMs. Our study observed necrosis and apoptosis in cells exposed for seven days (Figure 2C; Supplementary Figure 2C) to 5, 50, and 100 µg/mL doses were much higher, since it was related to a very small proportion of surviving cells (Figure 4). The substantial increase in cell death at seven-day exposures led us to focus our attention on a 24-hour exposure time—which is also the standard exposure time in toxicity studies. Moreover, our study further evaluated other indirect parameters of cell damage, such as alteration in Ca²⁺ homeostasis and ROS levels. We observed that low doses of GRMs altered these parameters only in NHBE cells (Figure 5).

Our study assessed the toxicity of three well-characterized GRMs with different lateral sizes and oxidation degrees. Regarding necrosis, 5 and 50 µg/mL GO (more oxidized) generated an immediate and acute increase in this parameter compared to FLG and sFLG, which was maintained over time (Supplementary Figure 7). On the other hand, the size of the graphene was determinant in cytotoxicity at long times and low doses, as suggested by the high toxicity effect of seven-day sFLG exposure. This result could also be due to the fact that sFLG showed the lowest sedimentation after 24h (Supplementary Figure 1), which could imply a higher interaction with the cells. This difference was not observed at higher doses since the level of cytotoxicity generated was extremely high. This trend was not observed regarding apoptosis, highlighting the importance of combining different approaches to assess toxicity in the same study.

It has been fully demonstrated that small particles harm the lung [79], and graphene is no exception. The toxicity of many of these particles has been studied previously using the NHBE cell line. Therefore, to put our results into context, we compared graphene-induced toxicity levels in NHBE cells with those of other toxic particles analyzed using the same cell model. The toxicity levels induced by 5 μ g/mL doses of GO, FLG, and sFLG were comparable to those generated by low doses of toxic compounds such as DEPs [26] and cigarette smoke extracts [25]. For 50 μ g/mL doses (particularly FLG), toxicity levels were similar to those induced by high doses of DEP compounds or electronic cigarette smoke extracts [23, 25, 26]. For example, DEPs are generated by diesel engines, one of the most important sources of anthropogenic particulate matter emissions. These particles generate cytotoxicity in various cells, including NHBE [80, 81, 82]. Remarkably, different studies show that exposure to even low doses of these toxic compounds has a detrimental effect on human health [23, 25, 26, 72]. The results obtained in our study allow us to conclude that, for NHBE cells, a 5 μ g/mL dose of GRMs (considered as low) generated toxicity after 24 hours of exposure, and a dose of 50 μ g/mL was as toxic as higher doses of other, well-studied toxic nanoparticles.

Conclusions

352353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363364

365

366

367368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

The management of graphene derivatives for their integration into everyday applications such as face masks can involve regular direct contact between the nanomaterials and the lung barrier. For this reason, it is essential to design accurate, fast, and easy-to-use screening protocols to 1) assay the toxicity of current and potential novel GRMs prior to their use in commercial applications, and 2) to increase the safety measures during their preparation and handling at research laboratories and companies. For the first time, the present work evaluates the harmful effect of different, well-characterized GRMs in a 2D model of primary human bronchial epithelial cells. This model allowed us to ascertain that the toxicity of several materials such as GO, FLG, and sFLG could be underestimated when using the current standard model, the lung tumor cell line A549. Indeed, our results indicated that lung cytotoxicity is proportional to the size and oxidation degree of the compound, with GO being the most toxic one tested—as lethal as cigarette compounds or DEPs even at low doses of 5 µg/mL. The use of primary, nonimmortalized, and non-tumorigenic cells can provide a more accurate assessment of the interaction between GRMs and human lung cells—providing essential information for further testing in animal models, thus allowing the fulfillment of the Three R's principle.

Methods

- 384 GO synthesis
- 385 GO was kindly provided by Grupo Antolin (Burgos, Spain). Before its use, the material was washed to eliminate acid traces until the pH of the GO aqueous suspension was ~5

- in several cycles of Milli-Q water addition, re-dispersion, and centrifugation (4000 rpm,
- 388 30 minutes). The final suspension was lyophilized at a temperature of -80°C and
- pressure of 0.005 bar to obtain powdered GO.
- 390 FLG and sFLG synthesis
- 391 FLG and sFLG were prepared by ball milling treatment using melamine [83] and glucose
- 392 [84] as exfoliating agents, respectively, using a Retsch PM 100 planetary mill in both
- 393 cases.
- 394 Briefly, for FLG, graphite (7.5 mg SP-1 graphite powder, purchased from Bay Carbon,
- 395 Inc.) and melamine (22.5 mg, Sigma-Aldrich, ref. M2659) were mixed in a 25 mL
- stainless steel jar with ten stainless steel balls (1-cm diameter) and treated at 100 rpm
- for 30 minutes at room temperature and air atmosphere. After that, the resultant solid
- was dispersed in 20 mL of water for further dialysis at 70°C, changing the washing water
- 399 periodically (five changes every 120 minutes, including one overnight). Finally, the
- dispersion was left for five days to allow the sedimentation of graphite; the supernatant
- was extracted and lyophilized at a temperature of -80°C and pressure of 0.005 bar.
- 402 For sFLG, graphite (75 mg SP-1 graphite powder, purchased from Bay Carbon, Inc.) and
- 403 D-glucose (4.5g, purchased from Panreac) were mixed in a 250 mL stainless steel jar
- with 15 stainless steel balls (2-cm diameter). The jar was introduced in the planetary ball-
- 405 milling machine at room temperature and air atmosphere for 4 h. The obtained solid was
- dispersed in 100 mL of water for further centrifugation (1500 rpm for 15 minutes) to
- 407 remove non-exfoliated graphite and partial glucose. The supernatant was dialyzed at
- 408 70°C to remove the glucose, changing the washing water periodically (seven changes
- every 90 minutes, including one overnight). The resulting dispersion was left to rest for
- 410 five days at room temperature and air atmosphere. Then, the supernatant was
- 411 lyophilized at a temperature of −80°C and a pressure of 0.005 bar. The colloidal stability
- 412 in different culture media was studied using a UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer (UV-Vis
- Cary 5000) with 1 cm quartz cuvettes [85]. The concentration of the nanomaterials was
- determined from the optical absorption at 386 nm for GO and at 660 nm for FLG and
- 415 sFLG, during 24 h at different intervals, and using the calibration lines reported in
- 416 Supplementary Table 2-4.
- 417 Primary NHBE cells culture
- 418 Primary normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells were obtained from LONZA
- 419 Walkersville Inc. (NHBE CC-2540; Lonza) from a single anonymous female donor, who
- was a non-smoker with no respiratory pathology. NHBE cells were seeded and grown

- 421 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were passaged once into a
- T25 flask in BEBM Bronchial Epithelial Cell Growth Basal Medium (CC-3171, Lonza)
- 423 with BEGM Bronchial Epithelial Cell Growth Medium SingleQuots Supplements and
- 424 Growth Factors, containing Bovine Pituitary Extract [BPE], Hydrocortisone, human
- 425 Epidermal Growth Factor [hEGF], Epinephrine, Transferrin, Insulin, Retinoic Acid,
- 426 Triiodothyronine, and Gentamicin/Amphotericin-B (CC-4175, Lonza). The growth media
- was changed every 48-72 hours. When cells exceeded 45% confluence, the volume of
- 428 the medium was doubled. Once cells reach 75-85% confluence, cells were re-seeded at
- 429 100,000 cells/T25 flask. Cells were passaged every seven days or when 85% confluency
- was reached. We used Clonetics ReagentPack (CC-5034, Lonza) for cell subculture with
- HEPES Buffered Saline Solution, Trypsin/EDTA, and Trypsin Neutralizing Solution. Cells
- were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. All experiments were performed
- 433 between passages 1–5.
- 434 Lung tumor A549 cell culture
- Human lung cancer cell line A549 was purchased from ATCC (ATCC® CCL-185). A549
- 436 cells were seeded and grown according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells
- were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (#D6546; Sigma-
- 438 Aldrich) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (#F4135; Sigma-Aldrich), 1% L-glutamine
- 439 (#G7513; Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic Solution (#A5955-100ML
- Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cell medium was renewed 2-3 times
- per week. The subcultivation ratio used was 1:8.
- 442 Exposure of lung cells to GRMs
- 443 GO, FLG, or sFLG (0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, and 100 μL) were added to NHBE and A549 cells
- cultured in monolayers for up to 6 hours, 24 hours, and 7 days, depending on the assay.
- 445 For seven-day incubation, cells received fresh medium at 72 hours after GRM treatment.
- 446 Determination of apoptosis and necrosis
- Viability and necrosis were performed as reported in earlier studies [28, 86, 87]. Briefly,
- NHBE or A549 were seeded in 96-well cell culture plates and incubated for up to 6 hours
- 449 (10.000 cells/well), 24 hours (10.000 cells/well), and 7 days (2.500 cells/well) with GO,
- 450 FLG, or sFLG at increasing concentrations (0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, and 100 μg/mL). For FBS
- 451 starvation tests, A549 cells were pre-cultured for 6h or 24h in a serum-free medium. Cells
- were then incubated with 10 μg/mL ethidium bromide (EtBr) (#46067; Sigma-Aldrich)
- and 1 µM Calcein-AM (#C34852; Thermo Fisher). Viable cells, stained with green
- Calcein-AM, and necrotic cells, stained with red EtBr, were determined by fluorescence

- 455 microscopy using a Cytation 5 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode Reader (20x objective; BioTek)
- and analyzed with ImageJ 1.53. After image acquisition in living cells, samples were fixed
- and permeabilized in cold methanol for 4 minutes and then stained with 1 µg/mL Hoescht
- 458 (#861405; Sigma-Aldrich) to visualize DNA. Apoptosis was quantified by qualitative
- methods, as reported in earlier studies [28]. Results are presented as the number of cells
- 460 per field or as a percentage of necrotic or apoptotic cells vs. total (n=3).
- 461 Morphological analysis
- 462 For morphological analysis A549 and NHBE cells were seeded in a 6-well plate (50.000
- 463 cells/well), after 24 hours phase contrast images were acquired using an inverted
- 464 microscope. Cell area, width and length were analysed using ImageJ (N > 50 cells).
- 465 Determination of Ca²⁺ and mitochondrial Ca²⁺ in single cells
- The intracellular Ca²⁺ levels were quantified using the probe Fluo-4 (#F23917; Thermo
- 467 Fisher). Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (10.000 cells/well) and incubated for 24
- 468 hours with 5 μg/mL of GO, FLG, or sFLG. Cells were then washed with PBS (5 minutes
- twice) and loaded for 30 minutes with 1 µM Fluo-4. After a brief washout, cells were
- 470 imaged using a fluorescence microscope Nikon TiU (20x objective) and analyzed using
- 471 ImageJ 1.53. The results show the relative fluorescence units (RFUs) normalized vs.
- 472 control levels (n=3).
- Levels of mitochondrial Ca²⁺ were quantified as described in earlier studies [86]. Briefly,
- cells were seeded in 96-well plates (10.000 cells/well) and incubated for 24 hours with 5
- 475 μg/mL of GO, FLG, or sFLG. Cells were then loaded with 1 μM Calcein-AM (#C1430;
- Thermo Fisher). Cytosolic Ca²⁺ fluorescence (Calcein AM) was quenched with 1 mM
- 477 CoCl₂. After washing in fresh medium, images were acquired using a Cytation 5 Reader
- 478 (Biotek) (20x objective) and analyzed using ImageJ 1.53 (n=3).
- 479 Determination of O_2^- and H_2O_2 in single cells
- 480 The level of intracellular reactive oxygen species was quantified in living cells using
- 481 MitoSox (#M36008; Thermo Fisher) for O₂⁻ and H₂DCFDA (#C6827; Thermo Fisher) for
- 482 H₂O₂. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (10.000 cells/well) and incubated for 24 hours
- with 5 µg/mL of GO, FLG, or sFLG. Cells were then washed with PBS (5 minutes twice)
- and loaded 30 minutes with 1 µM MitoSOX and 2.5 µM H₂DCFDA. After 30 minutes, the
- excess dye was washed off with PBS (5 minutes once). For H₂O₂ quantification, cells
- were incubated at 37°C DMEM in darkness for 30 minutes. Images were acquired using
- 487 a Cytation 5 Reader (Biotek) (20x objective) and analyzed using ImageJ 1.53. The
- results show relative fluorescence units (RFUs) normalized vs. control levels (n=3).

- 489 Statistics 490 Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 8 (San Diego, CA, USA). To determine the statistical significance between control cells and GRM-treated cells we 491 used Student t-test or one-way ANOVA (*p<0.05; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001), 492 493 followed by a Bonferroni's post-hoc test. All graphs were designed with GraphPad Prism 494 8 (San Diego, CA, USA). Dara are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of three independent experiments. 495 496 Availability of data and materials
- The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the
- 498 corresponding author on request.
- 499 Competing interests
- The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 501 **Funding**
- 502 Financial support from the 785219-Graphene Core 2 and 881603-Graphene Core 3
- 503 European Union (Flagship project) and the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y
- 504 Competitividad (project CTQ2017-88158-R) is gratefully acknowledged.
- 505 **Author Contributions**
- All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript. JFR carried out
- the experiments and wrote the manuscript. VJG prepared and characterized the GRMs.
- 508 MDP and EV obtained resources, designed, and supervised the experiments, and wrote
- the manuscript.

Bibliography

510

- 511 1. Pelin M, Sosa S, Prato M, Tubaro A. Occupational exposure to graphene based nanomaterials: risk assessment. Nanoscale. 2018;10 34:15894-903; doi: 10.1039/c8nr04950e.
- 514 2. Xiaoli F, Qiyue C, Weihong G, Yaqing Z, Chen H, Junrong W, et al. Toxicology data of graphene-family nanomaterials: an update. Archives of toxicology. 2020;94 6:1915-39; doi: 10.1007/s00204-020-02717-2. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32240330.
- 517 3. Fadeel B, Bussy C, Merino S, Vázquez E, Flahaut E, Mouchet F, et al. Safety Assessment of Graphene-Based Materials: Focus on Human Health and the Environment. ACS nano. 2018;12 11:10582-620; doi: 10.1021/acsnano.8b04758.
- Hu X, Tian M, Xu T, Sun X, Sun B, Sun C, et al. Multiscale Disordered Porous Fibers for
 Self-Sensing and Self-Cooling Integrated Smart Sportswear. ACS nano. 2020;14 1:559-67; doi: 10.1021/acsnano.9b06899. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31855404.
- 522 67; doi: 10.1021/acsnano.9b06899. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31855404. 523 5. Ergoktas MS, Bakan G, Steiner P, Bartlam C, Malevich Y, Ozden-Yenigun E, et al. Graphene-Enabled Adaptive Infrared Textiles. Nano letters. 2020;20 7:5346-52; doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c01694.
- 526 6. Ahmed A, Jalil MA, Hossain MM, Moniruzzaman M, Adak B, Islam MT, et al. A PEDOT:PSS and graphene-clad smart textile-based wearable electronic Joule heater with high thermal stability. Journal of Materials Chemistry C. 2020;8 45:16204-15; doi: 10.1039/d0tc03368e.
- 530 7. Kabiri Ameri S, Ho R, Jang H, Tao L, Wang Y, Wang L, et al. Graphene Electronic Tattoo 531 Sensors. ACS nano. 2017;11 8:7634-41; doi: 10.1021/acsnano.7b02182.
- 532 8. Zhong H, Zhu Z, Lin J, Cheung CF, Lu VL, Yan F, et al. Reusable and Recyclable 533 Graphene Masks with Outstanding Superhydrophobic and Photothermal Performances. 534 ACS nano. 2020;14 5:6213-21; doi: 10.1021/acsnano.0c02250.
- 9. Pullangott G, Kannan U, S G, Kiran DV, Maliyekkal SM. A comprehensive review on antimicrobial face masks: an emerging weapon in fighting pandemics. RSC Advances. 2021;11 12:6544-76; doi: 10.1039/d0ra10009a.
- 538 10. White CM: Are graphene-coated face masks a COVID-19 miracle or another health risk? https://theconversation.com/are-graphene-coated-face-masks-a-covid-19-miracle-or-another-health-risk-159422 (2021). Accessed 05/13/2021.
- 541 11. Pal K, Kyzas GZ, Kralj S, Gomes de Souza F. Sunlight sterilized, recyclable and super hydrophobic anti-COVID laser-induced graphene mask formulation for indelible usability.

 543 Journal of Molecular Structure. 2021;1233; doi: 10.1016/j.molstruc.2021.130100.
- 544 12. Di Cristo L, Grimaldi B, Catelani T, Vázquez E, Pompa PP, Sabella S. Repeated exposure 545 to aerosolized graphene oxide mediates autophagy inhibition and inflammation in a three-546 dimensional human airway model. Materials Today Bio. 2020;6; doi: 547 10.1016/j.mtbio.2020.100050.
- 548 13. Jin C, Wang F, Tang Y, Zhang X, Wang J, Yang Y. Distribution of graphene oxide and TiO2-graphene oxide composite in A549 cells. Biological trace element research. 550 2014;159 1-3:393-8; doi: 10.1007/s12011-014-0027-3. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24869803.
- 552 14. Liao Y, Wang W, Huang X, Sun Y, Tian S, Cai P. Reduced graphene oxide triggered epithelial-mesenchymal transition in A549 cells. Scientific reports. 2018;8 1:15188; doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-33414-x. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30315228.
- Tian X, Yang Z, Duan G, Wu A, Gu Z, Zhang L, et al. Graphene Oxide Nanosheets Retard Cellular Migration via Disruption of Actin Cytoskeleton. Small. 2017;13 3; doi: 10.1002/smll.201602133. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27762498.
- 558 16. Chang Y, Yang ST, Liu JH, Dong E, Wang Y, Cao A, et al. In vitro toxicity evaluation of graphene oxide on A549 cells. Toxicology letters. 2011;200 3:201-10; doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2010.11.016. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21130147.
- 561 17. Xu Z, Zhu S, Wang M, Li Y, Shi P, Huang X. Delivery of paclitaxel using PEGylated graphene oxide as a nanocarrier. ACS applied materials & interfaces. 2015;7 2:1355-63; doi: 10.1021/am507798d. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25546399.
- 18. Rosli NF, Fojtu M, Fisher AC, Pumera M. Graphene Oxide Nanoplatelets Potentiate
 Anticancer Effect of Cisplatin in Human Lung Cancer Cells. Langmuir. 2019;35 8:3176 82; doi: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b03086.
- 567 <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30741550</u>.

- 568 19. Hu W, Peng C, Lv M, Li X, Zhang Y, Chen N, et al. Protein Corona-Mediated Mitigation 569 of Cytotoxicity of Graphene Oxide. ACS nano. 2011;5 5:3693-700; doi: 570 10.1021/nn200021j.
- 571 20. Sneddon LU, Halsey LG, Bury NR. Considering aspects of the 3Rs principles within experimental animal biology. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2017;220 17:3007-16; doi: 10.1242/jeb.147058.
- 574 21. Sato M, Shay JW, Minna JD. Immortalized normal human lung epithelial cell models for studying lung cancer biology. Respir Investig. 2020;58 5:344-54; doi: 10.1016/j.resinv.2020.04.005. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32586780.
- 577 22. Min KA, Rosania GR, Shin MC. Human Airway Primary Epithelial Cells Show Distinct
 578 Architectures on Membrane Supports Under Different Culture Conditions. Cell Biochem
 579 Biophys. 2016;74 2:191-203; doi: 10.1007/s12013-016-0719-8.
 580 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26818810.
- 581 23. Scheffler S, Dieken H, Krischenowski O, Aufderheide M. Cytotoxic Evaluation of e-Liquid 582 Aerosol using Different Lung-Derived Cell Models. International journal of environmental 583 research and public health. 2015;12 10:12466-74; doi: 10.3390/ijerph121012466.
- 584 24. Liu X, Cheng X, Wang F, Feng L, Wang Y, Zheng Y, et al. Targeted delivery of SNX-2112 585 by polysaccharide-modified graphene oxide nanocomposites for treatment of lung 586 cancer. Carbohydrate polymers. 2018;185:85-95; doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.01.014. 587 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29421063.
- 588 25. Ding S, Hou X, Yuan J, Tan X, Chen J, Yang N, et al. Wedelolactone protects human 589 bronchial epithelial cell injury against cigarette smoke extract-induced oxidant stress and 590 inflammation responses through Nrf2 pathway. International immunopharmacology. 591 2015;29 2:648-55; doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2015.09.015.
- 592 26. Colasanti T, Fiorito S, Alessandri C, Serafino A, Andreola F, Barbati C, et al. Diesel exhaust particles induce autophagy and citrullination in Normal Human Bronchial Epithelial cells. Cell death & disease. 2018;9 11:1073; doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-1111-y. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30341285.
- 596 27. Du J, Chi Y, Song Z, Di Q, Mai Z, Shi J, et al. Crocin reduces Aspergillus fumigatus-597 induced airway inflammation and NF-kappaB signal activation. Journal of cellular 598 biochemistry. 2018;119 2:1746-54; doi: 10.1002/jcb.26335. 599 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28786504.
- Frontiñán-Rubio J, Gómez MV, Martín C, González-Domínguez JM, Durán-Prado M, Vázquez E. Differential effects of graphene materials on the metabolism and function of human skin cells. Nanoscale. 2018;10 24:11604-15; doi: 10.1039/c8nr00897c.
- Pelin M, Fusco L, Leon V, Martin C, Criado A, Sosa S, et al. Differential cytotoxic effects of graphene and graphene oxide on skin keratinocytes. Scientific reports. 2017;7:40572; doi: 10.1038/srep40572. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28079192.
- 4-608 Yu DS, Kuila T, Kim NH, Khanra P, Lee JH. Effects of covalent surface modifications on the electrical and electrochemical properties of graphene using sodium 4-aminoazobenzene-4'-sulfonate. Carbon. 2013;54:310-22; doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2012.11.043.
- Jiang T, Kuila T, Kim NH, Ku B-C, Lee JH. Enhanced mechanical properties of silanized silica nanoparticle attached graphene oxide/epoxy composites. Composites Science and Technology. 2013;79:115-25; doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2013.02.018.
- Some S, Kim Y, Yoon Y, Yoo H, Lee S, Park Y, et al. High-Quality Reduced Graphene Oxide by a Dual-Function Chemical Reduction and Healing Process. Scientific reports. 2013;3 1; doi: 10.1038/srep01929.
- Torrisi F, Hasan T, Wu W, Sun Z, Lombardo A, Kulmala TS, et al. Inkjet-Printed Graphene Electronics. ACS nano. 2012;6 4:2992-3006; doi: 10.1021/nn2044609.
- 618 34. Paton KR, Varrla E, Backes C, Smith RJ, Khan U, O'Neill A, et al. Scalable production of large quantities of defect-free few-layer graphene by shear exfoliation in liquids. Nature Materials. 2014;13 6:624-30; doi: 10.1038/nmat3944.
- Watcharotone S, Dikin DA, Stankovich S, Piner R, Jung I, Dommett GHB, et al. Graphene–Silica Composite Thin Films as Transparent Conductors. Nano letters. 2007;7 7:1888-92; doi: 10.1021/nl070477+.
- 624 36. Ou L, Lin S, Song B, Liu J, Lai R, Shao L. The mechanisms of graphene-based materials-625 induced programmed cell death: a review of apoptosis, autophagy, and programmed 626 necrosis. International journal of nanomedicine. 2017;Volume 12:6633-46; doi: 627 10.2147/ijn.S140526.

- 628 37. Mohammadinejad R, Moosavi MA, Tavakol S, Vardar DO, Hosseini A, Rahmati M, et al.
 629 Necrotic, apoptotic and autophagic cell fates triggered by nanoparticles. Autophagy.
 630 2019;15 1:4-33; doi: 10.1080/15548627.2018.1509171.
 631 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30160607.
- Martin SJ, Henry CM. Distinguishing between apoptosis, necrosis, necroptosis and other cell death modalities. Methods. 2013;61 2:87-9; doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2013.06.001.
- Tabish TA, Pranjol MZI, Hayat H, Rahat AAM, Abdullah TM, Whatmore JL, et al. In vitro toxic effects of reduced graphene oxide nanosheets on lung cancer cells.

 Nanotechnology. 2017;28 50:504001; doi: 10.1088/1361-6528/aa95a8.

 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29064374.
- 638 40. Nishida K, Yamaguchi O, Otsu K. Crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis in heart disease. Circulation research. 2008;103 4:343-51; doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.175448. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18703786.
- He T, Long J, Li J, Liu L, Cao Y. Toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles (NPs) to A549 cells and
 A549 epithelium in vitro: Interactions with dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC).
 Environmental toxicology and pharmacology. 2017;56:233-40; doi:
 10.1016/j.etap.2017.10.002. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29028602.
- 645 42. Martin A, Sarkar A. Overview on biological implications of metal oxide nanoparticle 646 exposure to human alveolar A549 cell line. Nanotoxicology. 2017;11 6:713-24; doi: 647 10.1080/17435390.2017.1366574. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28830283.
- 43. Yang Y, Han P, Xie X, Yin X, Duan G, Wen L. Protein corona reduced graphene oxide cytotoxicity by inhibiting endocytosis. Colloid and Interface Science Communications. 2021;45; doi: 10.1016/j.colcom.2021.100514.
- 651 44. Cummings BS, Schnellmann RG. Measurement of Cell Death in Mammalian Cells. Current Protocols in Pharmacology. 2004;25 1; doi: 10.1002/0471141755.ph1208s25.
- Vanden Berghe T, Grootjans S, Goossens V, Dondelinger Y, Krysko DV, Takahashi N, et al. Determination of apoptotic and necrotic cell death in vitro and in vivo. Methods. 2013;61 2:117-29; doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2013.02.011.
- 46. Jarosz A, Skoda M, Dudek I, Szukiewicz D. Oxidative Stress and Mitochondrial Activation
 as the Main Mechanisms Underlying Graphene Toxicity against Human Cancer Cells.
 Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity. 2016;2016:5851035; doi:
 10.1155/2016/5851035. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26649139.
- 660 47. Davis AS, Chertow DS, Moyer JE, Suzich J, Sandouk A, Dorward DW, et al. Validation of normal human bronchial epithelial cells as a model for influenza A infections in human 661 distal trachea. The journal of histochemistry and cytochemistry: official journal of the 662 663 Histochemistry Society. 2015;63 5:312-28; doi: 10.1369/0022155415570968. 664 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604814.
- Prytherch ZC, BeruBe KA. A normal and biotransforming model of the human bronchial epithelium for the toxicity testing of aerosols and solubilised substances. Alternatives to laboratory animals: ATLA. 2014;42 6:377-81; doi: 10.1177/026119291404200607. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25635646.
- Rayner RE, Makena P, Prasad GL, Cormet-Boyaka E. Optimization of Normal Human Bronchial Epithelial (NHBE) Cell 3D Cultures for in vitro Lung Model Studies. Scientific reports. 2019;9 1:500; doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36735-z. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30679531.
- 673 50. Mudusu D, Nandanapalli KR, Lee S, Hahn YB. Recent advances in graphene monolayers 674 growth and their biological applications: A review. Advances in colloid and interface 675 science. 2020;283:102225; doi: 10.1016/j.cis.2020.102225. 676 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32777519.
- 51. Song S, Shen H, Wang Y, Chu X, Xie J, Zhou N, et al. Biomedical application of graphene: From drug delivery, tumor therapy, to theranostics. Colloids and surfaces B, Biointerfaces. 2020;185:110596; doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2019.110596. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31707226.
- Ramaiah GB, Tegegne A, Melese B. Functionality of nanomaterials and its technological aspects Used in preventing, diagnosing and treating COVID-19. Materials Today: Proceedings. 2021; doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.306.
- 53. Tran TS, Dutta NK, Choudhury NR. Graphene inks for printed flexible electronics: Graphene dispersions, ink formulations, printing techniques and applications. Advances in colloid and interface science. 2018;261:41-61; doi: 10.1016/j.cis.2018.09.003.

- 54. Szunerits S, Boukherroub R. Graphene-based biosensors. Interface Focus. 2018;8
 3:20160132; doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2016.0132.
 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29696084.
- Gao X, Lowry GV. Progress towards standardized and validated characterizations for measuring physicochemical properties of manufactured nanomaterials relevant to nano health and safety risks. NanoImpact. 2018;9:14-30; doi: 10.1016/j.impact.2017.09.002.
- 693 56. Bellagamba I, Boccuni F, Ferrante R, Tombolini F, Marra F, Sarto MS, et al. Workers' 694 Exposure Assessment during the Production of Graphene Nanoplatelets in R&D Laboratory. Nanomaterials. 2020;10 8; doi: 10.3390/nano10081520.
- 57. Dong C, Wang Y, Gonzalez GX, Ma Y, Song Y, Wang S, et al. Intranasal vaccination with influenza HA/GO-PEI nanoparticles provides immune protection against homo- and heterologous strains. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2021;118 19; doi: 10.1073/pnas.2024998118.
- 700 58. Qu G, Wang X, Liu Q, Liu R, Yin N, Ma J, et al. The ex vivo and in vivo biological 701 performances of graphene oxide and the impact of surfactant on graphene oxide's 702 biocompatibility. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2013;25 5:873-81; doi: 10.1016/s1001-0742(12)60252-6.
- 59. Sasidharan A, Swaroop S, Koduri CK, Girish CM, Chandran P, Panchakarla LS, et al. Comparative in vivo toxicity, organ biodistribution and immune response of pristine, carboxylated and PEGylated few-layer graphene sheets in Swiss albino mice: A three month study. Carbon. 2015;95:511-24; doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2015.08.074.
- 708 60. Zhang D, Zhang Z, Liu Y, Chu M, Yang C, Li W, et al. The short- and long-term effects of orally administered high-dose reduced graphene oxide nanosheets on mouse behaviors.
 710 Biomaterials. 2015;68:100-13; doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.07.060.
- 711 61. El-Yamany NA, Mohamed FF, Salaheldin TA, Tohamy AA, Abd El-Mohsen WN, Amin AS. Graphene oxide nanosheets induced genotoxicity and pulmonary injury in mice.

 713 Experimental and toxicologic pathology: official journal of the Gesellschaft fur Toxikologische Pathologie. 2017;69 6:383-92; doi: 10.1016/j.etp.2017.03.002.

 715 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28359838.
- Amrollahi-Sharifabadi M, Koohi MK, Zayerzadeh E, Hablolvarid MH, Hassan J, Seifalian AM. In vivo toxicological evaluation of graphene oxide nanoplatelets for clinical application. International journal of nanomedicine. 2018;Volume 13:4757-69; doi: 10.2147/ijn.S168731.
- 720 63. Schinwald A, Murphy F, Askounis A, Koutsos V, Sefiane K, Donaldson K, et al. Minimal oxidation and inflammogenicity of pristine graphene with residence in the lung. Nanotoxicology. 2014;8 8:824-32; doi: 10.3109/17435390.2013.831502. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924429.
- 724 64. Kim YH, Jo MS, Kim JK, Shin JH, Baek JE, Park HS, et al. Short-term inhalation study of graphene oxide nanoplates. Nanotoxicology. 2018;12 3:224-38; doi: 10.1080/17435390.2018.1431318. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29385887.
- 727 65. Mittal S, Kumar V, Dhiman N, Chauhan LK, Pasricha R, Pandey AK. Physico-chemical 728 properties based differential toxicity of graphene oxide/reduced graphene oxide in human 729 lung cells mediated through oxidative stress. Scientific reports. 2016;6:39548; doi: 730 10.1038/srep39548. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28000740.
- Roberts JR, Mercer RR, Stefaniak AB, Seehra MS, Geddam UK, Chaudhuri IS, et al. Evaluation of pulmonary and systemic toxicity following lung exposure to graphite nanoplates: a member of the graphene-based nanomaterial family. Particle and fibre toxicology. 2016;13 1; doi: 10.1186/s12989-016-0145-5.
- 735 67. Poulsen SS, Bengtson S, Williams A, Jacobsen NR, Troelsen JT, Halappanavar S, et al.
 736 A transcriptomic overview of lung and liver changes one day after pulmonary exposure
 737 to graphene and graphene oxide. Toxicology and applied pharmacology. 2021;410; doi:
 738 10.1016/j.taap.2020.115343.
- Ryffel B, Bengtson S, Knudsen KB, Kyjovska ZO, Berthing T, Skaug V, et al. Differences in inflammation and acute phase response but similar genotoxicity in mice following pulmonary exposure to graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide. PloS one. 2017;12 6; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0178355.
- 743 69. Rodrigues AF, Newman L, Jasim D, Mukherjee SP, Wang J, Vacchi IA, et al. Size744 Dependent Pulmonary Impact of Thin Graphene Oxide Sheets in Mice: Toward Safe-by745 Design. Advanced Science. 2020;7 12; doi: 10.1002/advs.201903200.
 746 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/advs.201903200?download=true.

- 747 70. Hussain SM, Warheit DB, Ng SP, Comfort KK, Grabinski CM, Braydich-Stolle LK. At the
 748 Crossroads of Nanotoxicology in vitro: Past Achievements and Current Challenges.
 749 Toxicological sciences: an official journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2015;147 1:5-16;
 750 doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfv106. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26310852.
- 75. Pastor DM, Poritz LS, Olson TL, Kline CL, Harris LR, Koltun WA, et al. Primary cell lines: false representation or model system? a comparison of four human colorectal tumors and their coordinately established cell lines. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2010;3 1:69-83. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20369042.
- 75. Platel A, Privat K, Talahari S, Delobel A, Dourdin G, Gateau E, et al. Study of in vitro and in vivo genotoxic effects of air pollution fine (PM2.5-0.18) and quasi-ultrafine (PM0.18) particles on lung models. The Science of the total environment. 2020;711:134666; doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134666. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31812380.
- 759 73. Nurunnabi M, Khatun Z, Huh KM, Park SY, Lee DY, Cho KJ, et al. In Vivo Biodistribution and Toxicology of Carboxylated Graphene Quantum Dots. ACS nano. 2013;7 8:6858-67; doi: 10.1021/nn402043c.
- 74. Mittal S, Sharma PK, Tiwari R, Rayavarapu RG, Shankar J, Chauhan LKS, et al. Impaired lysosomal activity mediated autophagic flux disruption by graphite carbon nanofibers induce apoptosis in human lung epithelial cells through oxidative stress and energetic impairment. Particle and fibre toxicology. 2017;14 1; doi: 10.1186/s12989-017-0194-4.
- 75. Chng ELK, Pumera M. The Toxicity of Graphene Oxides: Dependence on the Oxidative Methods Used. Chemistry A European Journal. 2013;19 25:8227-35; doi: 10.1002/chem.201300824.
- 76. Barahuie F, Saifullah B, Dorniani D, Fakurazi S, Karthivashan G, Hussein MZ, et al.
 770 Graphene oxide as a nanocarrier for controlled release and targeted delivery of an
 771 anticancer active agent, chlorogenic acid. Materials Science and Engineering: C.
 772 2017;74:177-85; doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2016.11.114.
- 77. Gupta N, Jangid AK, Singh M, Pooja D, Kulhari H. Designing Two-Dimensional Nanosheets for Improving Drug Delivery to Fucose-Receptor-Overexpressing Cancer Cells. ChemMedChem. 2018;13 24:2644-52; doi: 10.1002/cmdc.201800575.
- 78. Silva MM, Rocha CRR, Kinker GS, Pelegrini AL, Menck CFM. The balance between NRF2/GSH antioxidant mediated pathway and DNA repair modulates cisplatin resistance in lung cancer cells. Scientific reports. 2019;9 1:17639; doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-54065-6. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31776385.
- 79. Losacco C, Perillo A. Particulate matter air pollution and respiratory impact on humans and animals. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2018;25 34:33901-10; doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-3344-9.
- 783 80. Fiorito S, Mastrofrancesco A, Cardinali G, Rosato E, Salsano F, Su DS, et al. Effects of carbonaceous nanoparticles from low-emission and older diesel engines on human skin cells. Carbon. 2011;49 15:5038-48; doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2011.07.022.
- 786 81. Matsuo M, Shimada T, Uenishi R, Sasaki N, Sagai M. Diesel exhaust particle-induced cell death of cultured normal human bronchial epithelial cells. Biological & pharmaceutical bulletin. 2003;26 4:438-47; doi: 10.1248/bpb.26.438. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12673022.
- 790 82. Vattanasit U, Navasumrit P, Khadka MB, Kanitwithayanun J, Promvijit J, Autrup H, et al.
 791 Oxidative DNA damage and inflammatory responses in cultured human cells and in
 792 humans exposed to traffic-related particles. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2014;217 1:23-33;
 793 doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.03.002. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23567252.
- 794 83. González-Domínguez JM, León V, Lucío MI, Prato M, Vázquez E. Production of ready-795 to-use few-layer graphene in aqueous suspensions. Nature protocols. 2018;13 3:495-796 506; doi: 10.1038/nprot.2017.142.
- 797 84. González VJ, Rodríguez AM, León V, Frontiñán-Rubio J, Fierro JLG, Durán-Prado M, et 798 al. Sweet graphene: exfoliation of graphite and preparation of glucose-graphene 799 cocrystals through mechanochemical treatments. Green Chemistry. 2018;20 15:3581-92; 800 doi: 10.1039/c8gc01162a.
- 801 85. León V, González-Domínguez JM, Fierro JLG, Prato M, Vázquez E. Production and stability of mechanochemically exfoliated graphene in water and culture media. Nanoscale. 2016;8 30:14548-55; doi: 10.1039/c6nr03246j.
- 804 86. Duran-Prado M, Frontinan J, Santiago-Mora R, Peinado JR, Parrado-Fernandez C, Gomez-Almagro MV, et al. Coenzyme Q10 protects human endothelial cells from beta-

806		amyloid uptake and oxidative stress-induced injury. PloS one. 2014;9 10:e109223; doi:
807		10.1371/journal.pone.0109223. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25272163.
808	87.	Frontiñan-Rubio J, Gomez MV, González VJ, Durán-Prado M, Vázquez E. Sublethal
809		exposure of small few-layer graphene promotes metabolic alterations in human skin cells.
810		Scientific reports. 2020;10 1; doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-75448-0.
811		

- 812 Figure legends
- Figure 1. Characterization of GO, FLG, and sFLG: (A) HRTEM Image (GO scale bar:
- 200nm; FLG scale bar: 100 nm; sFLG scale bar: 20 nm); (B) lateral size distribution of
- flakes; (C) lateral size distribution of sFLG; (D) TGA results in nitrogen atmosphere; (E)
- 816 Raman spectra; and (F) elemental analysis of nanomaterials.
- 817 Figure 2. Effect of GO, FLG, and sFLG on NHBE cell necrosis: percentage of necrosis
- in NHBE cells treated with increasing concentrations of GO, FLG, or sFLG for 6 hours
- 819 (A), 24 hours (B), and 7 days (C). Data are shown as percentage ± SEM (*p<0.05;
- 820 **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001; n=4).
- Figure 3. Effect of GO, FLG, and sFLG on A549 cell necrosis and apoptosis: percentage
- of necrotic (A) or apoptotic cells (B) in cells treated with GO, FLG, or sFLG for 24 hours.
- 823 Gray bars represent the levels of necrosis or apoptosis in NHBE. Data are shown as
- 824 percentage \pm SEM (*p<0.05; **p<0.01; n=3).
- Figure 4. Effect of GO, FLG, and sFLG on cell viability. Percentage of viable NHBE and
- 826 A549 cells treated with GO, FLG, or sFLG for 24 hours (A) and 7 days (B). Data are
- shown as percentage ± SEM (*p<0.05; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001; n=3).
- Figure 5. Effect of GO, FLG, and sFLG on Ca²⁺ homeostasis and ROS levels in NHBE
- and A549 cells: cytosolic (A), mitochondrial (B) Ca²⁺ ratio, H₂O₂ (C) and O₂⁻ (D) in NHBE
- or A549 cells treated with 5 µg/mL GO, FLG, or sFLG for 24 hours. Data are shown as
- 831 mean \pm SEM (*p<0.05; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; n=3).
- Figure 6. Effects of different compounds on NHBE cell death. The graph displays the
- 833 cell death values of: cigarette mainstream smoke (CMS) [23], E-liquid [23], GO with
- chitosan (CHI) and hyaluronic acid (HA) [24], cigarette smoke extract [25], diesel exhaust
- particles (DEPs) [26], Aspergillus fumigatus [27], and particle matter (PM) (2.50-0.18
- nm) [72]. Blue, red, or green lines represent the toxicity induced by GO, FLG, and sFLG.











