



Glass-Based Anodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries

Yan, Jiajia

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.54337/aau499833222

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Yan, J. (2022). *Glass-Based Anodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries*. Aalborg Universitetsforlag. Ph.d.-serien for Det Ingeniør- og Naturvidenskabelige Fakultet, Aalborg Universitet https://doi.org/10.54337/aau499833222

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

GLASS-BASED ANODES FOR LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES

BY JIAJIA YAN

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED 2022



AALBORG UNIVERSITY DENMARK

GLASS-BASED ANODES FOR LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES

by

Jiajia Yan



Dissertation submitted 2022

Dissertation submitted:	September 2022
PhD supervisor:	Prof. Yuanzheng Yue, Aalborg University
PhD committee:	Associate Professor Morten Lykkegaard Christensen Aalborg University, Denmark (chair)
	Professor Poul Norby Technical University of Denmark, Denmark
	Associate Professor Elie-Elisee Georges Paillard Politecnico di Milano, Italy
PhD Series:	Faculty of Engineering and Science, Aalborg University
Department:	Department of Chemistry and Bioscience
ISSN (online): 2446-1636	

ISBN (online): 978-87-7573-820-5

Published by: Aalborg University Press Kroghstræde 3 DK – 9220 Aalborg Ø Phone: +45 99407140 aauf@forlag.aau.dk forlag.aau.dk

© Copyright: Jiajia Yan

Printed in Denmark by Stibo Complete, 2022



CV

Ms. Jiajia Yan was born in Mianyang, Sichuan, P. R. China in May 1991. She received her Bachelor's degree and Ph.D. degree in Material Science from Fuzhou University, P. R. China, in 2014 and 2022, respectively. She started her PhD study at Department of Chemistry and Bioscience in Aalborg University in October 2019, which is funded by China Scholarship Council (CSC). Her research focused on glass-based anode materials for lithium-ion batteries during her three-year PhD study at AAU.

ENGLISH SUMMARY

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are one of the most advanced energy storage devices in modern society due to their high energy density, long lifespan, and environmental friendliness, etc. However, the fast-growing large-scale smart grids and electric vehicle market urgently demand better performances with lower cost of LIBs. To meet the demands, new anode materials with higher capacity have been extensively investigated. Glass materials show great potentials as anode materials owing to their unique disordered open network, tunable composition, simple preparation process, low cost and excellent cycling stability, etc. Nonetheless, the specific capacities of glasses are still far from satisfactory for high energy density devices. To overcome this problem, in this thesis, we combined silicon (Si), which has high theoretical capacity but poor cycling stability due to volume changes, with glasses and fabricated Si-glass composite anodes. The structure and electrochemical performances of two types of glasses (vanadium-tellurite glass and metal-organic framework glass) based anodes have been investigated.

To find an effective strategy to combine Si with vanadium-tellurite glass (VT), two methods, i.e., traditional heat-treatment and hot-pressing methods, have been explored. The latter method allows Si to be embedded in the VT matrix, and thus the Si/VT composite produces a synergistic effect as the volume change of Si can be buffered by the VT matrix. The formation of V⁴⁺ arising from the redox reaction between Si and V⁵⁺ during heat-treatment increases the electronic conductivity. Moreover, the glass open network provides numerous channels for both Li⁺ storage and transport. Therefore, the Si/VT composite exhibits better electrochemical performances than pristine Si and VT.

In addition, by combining the reflux and melt-quenching methods, Si nanoparticles were wrapped into a subset of metal-organic framework (MOF) glass, i.e., cobalt-ZIF-62 glass, chemical formula Co(imidazole)_{1.75}(benzimidazole)_{0.25}. The ZIF glass not only possesses an open network structure beneficial for Li⁺ diffusion, but also buffers the volume change of Si during cycling. After 500 cycles, the lithiation/delithiation could induce pronounced structural changes of the ZIF, i.e., the distortion or breakage of Co-N, and aromatic rings, leading to an increase in structural defects and diffusion channels for the transport and storage of Li⁺. Furthermore, the increased channels lead to more Li⁺ ions going into ZIF and reacting with Si, and thereby achieving a strong synergistic effect and exhibiting excellent reversible capacity, rate capability and cycling stability.

DANSK RESUME

Litium-ion-batterier (LIB'er) er en af de mest avancerede energilagringsenheder i det moderne samfund på grund af deres høje energitæthed, lange levetid og miljøvenlighed osv. Men det hurtigt voksende storskala smarte net og elbilmarked kræver batterier med bedre ydeevne og levetid. For at imødekomme kravene er nye materialer til anoder med højere kapacitet blevet grundigt undersøgt. Glasmaterialer viser stort potentiale som et materiale til anoder, på grund af deres unikke uordnede åbne netværk, fleksibel sammensætning, enkle forberedelsesproces, lave omkostninger og fremragende levetid osv. Ikke desto mindre skal kapaciteten af glas materialerne stadig forbedres yderligere. For at løse dette problem har vi i dette speciale kombineret silicium, som har høj teoretisk kapacitet, men lav stabilitet på grund af volumenændringer, med glas og kompositanoder fremstillet af Si og glas. Strukturen og den elektrokemiske ydeevne af to typer glas (vanadium-tellurit-glas og metal-organiske netværker) baserede anoder er blevet undersøgt.

For at finde en effektiv strategi til at kombinere Si med vanadium-tellurit glas (VT), er to metoder, dvs. traditionel varmebehandling og varmpresningsmetoder, blevet forsøgt. Sidstnævnte metode tillader Si at blive del af VT-matricen, og således producerer Si/VT-kompositten en synergetisk effekt, da volumenændringen af Si kan hæmmes af VT-matricen. Dannelsen af V⁴⁺ som følge af redoxreaktionen mellem Si og V⁵⁺ under varmebehandling øger den elektroniske ledningsevne. Desuden giver det åbne glasnetværk adskillige kanaler til både Li⁺ opbevaring og transport. Derfor udviser Si/VT-kompositten bedre elektrokemisk ydeevne end uberørt Si og VT.

Ved at kombinere tilbagesvaling og smelte-køling metoder blev Si nanopartikler desuden pakket ind i en undergruppe af metal-organiske netværker (MOF) glas, dvs. Cobalt-ZIF-62 glas, med kemisk formel Co(imidazolate)_{1,75}(benzimidazolate)_{0,25}. ZIF-glasset har ikke kun en åben netværksstruktur, der er gavnlig for Li+-diffusion, men reducerer også volumenændringen af Si under cykling. Efter 500 cyklusser af lithiering/delithiering skete der strukurelle og kemiske ændringer af ZIF anoden, dvs. forvrængning eller brud af Co-N bindinger og aromatiske ringe, hvilket fører til en stigning i strukturelle defekter og diffusionskanaler til transport og opbevaring af Li⁺. Ydermere fører de øgede kanaler til, at flere Li⁺ ioner går ind i ZIF og reagerer med Si, og derved opnår en stærk synergetisk effekt og udviser fremragende reversibel kapacitet, god kinetik og cyklusstabilitet.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to a great number of people for their help during my Ph.D. study. Without their support, it would not be possible for me to accomplish PhD study and research. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Yuanzheng Yue. I met him on a glass conference in Kunming, China in 2018, when I was a Ph.D. student at Fuzhou University. I was thrilled by his extensive and deep scientific knowledge and his cautious attitude toward research work after getting to know him. It encouraged me to come to Denmark to learn from him as a guest PhD student in 2019 first and then he enrolled me as a full-time Ph.D. at Aalborg University (AAU). With his guidance and support, I feel comfortable to conduct my research independently. We had spent a lot of time together sharing research ideas, discussing experimental results, revising our papers as well as chatting about interesting things in life. I am very grateful to him for enrolling me as a full-time Ph.D. at AAU and for his dedicated supervision in my Ph.D. study.

Then, I would like to give my appreciation to my co-supervisor Professor Hongbing Zhan. When I was at Fuzhou University, she encouraged me to go to Denmark and learn from Professor Yuanzheng Yue. She recommended me to Yuanzheng and also guided my first Ph.D. project (sealing glass for solid oxide fuel cells). With her supervision, I got my Ph.D. degree from Fuzhou University in June 2022.

Next, I would like to thank Professor Zhang from Qilu University of Technology. Her research creativity, scientific insight and working efficiency inspired me. Discussions with her are always joyful and meaningful. Whenever she revised my academic manuscripts, her writing skills impressed me and stimulated me to improve my writing ability. With her kind help, I collected important battery data for my Ph.D. project at AAU.

It is a marvelous experience to work with all my colleagues in the chemistry section. They helped me so much in supporting my experiments and reviewing my paper, as well as other leisure activities, like cake sharing. I would like to thank my colleagues and collaborators: Assoc. Prof. Morten Lykkegaard Christensen, Assoc. Prof. Vittorio Boffa, Assoc. Prof. Donghong Yu, Prof. Morten M. Smedskjær, Assoc. Prof. Thorbjørn Nielsen, Assoc. Prof. Mads Jørgensen, Dr. Ang Qiao, Dr. Chengwei Gao, Dr. Zhencai Li, Dr. Rasmus R. Petersen, Dr. Linling Tan, Dr. Junwei Ding, Dr. Junjun Tan, Dr. Qi Zhang, Dr. Tao Du, Dr. Martin Østergaard, Dr. Theany To, Dr. Pengfei Liu, Dr. Fengchao Li, Rasmus Madsen, Wessel M.W. Winters, Søren Sørensen, Wei Fan, Xiangting Ren, Wei Xu, Johan Christensen, Xianzheng Ma, Xinxin Chen, Zhimin Chen, Daming Sun, Annemarie Davidsen, Anne Flensborg, Timo Kirwa, Michelle Hyldegård Mortensen, Mette Haferbier. During my external study at Wuhan University of Technology and Fuzhou University, I also had a great time because of many brilliant and supportive coworkers. I am very grateful to Prof. Haizheng Tao, Prof. Ang Qiao, Dr. Qidi Chen, Dr. Zhenhuan Zheng, Dr. Qingming Huang, Dr. Xiang Hu, Zijuan Du, Xuefei Ke, Qingshuang Zheng, Penghui Li, Meizi Xu, Xin Huang, Hemin Zhou, Feiyue Xia, Ban Fei, Junhao Dong, Yaxuan Zhuang, Mincai for the great help in both research and life when I study there. I really enjoy working together with them and I hope they will enjoy their academic life and have a bright future. The experiences are so memorable that I will never forget the fantastic moments there.

I also appreciate my collaborators for their scientific assistance and helpful discussions. They are Prof. Jinjun Ren, Dr. TongYao Zhao, Nianshi from Shanghai institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Assoc. Prof. Lars Jensen from Aalborg University, Dr. Zhaoyang Wang and Dr. Fangyu Xiong from Wuhan University of Technology, Zhengjing Jiang, Shibin Qi, Peixing Wang from Qilu University of Technology. Dr. Chengwei Gao from Ningbo University. It is my great lucky to work with them. I also would like to thank for the support from China Scholarship Council (CSC). It provides me a great opportunity to conduct my research with many talent researchers and scientists.

Furthermore, I would personally give my tremendous appreciation to my friends: Ning Xu, Juan Han, Miaomiao, Jinfeng, Xiaoguang, Luona, Hanyu, XiaoYue, Xiaohui, Taixiong, Lisa, Elisabeth, Cathrine, Sofie. It is lovely to have them around.

My great thanks go to my husband Jianxin, my parents, my brothers and sisters, and my parents-in-law. I could not imagine how I would be without their encouragement and love. I survived my Ph.D. study, and I really appreciate their support. I love all of you forever!

LIST OF ABBRIVATIONS

VT	Vanadium-tellurite glass
VTS	Composite composed of Si doped into vanadium-tellurite glass
10VTS	10 wt.%Si and 90 wt.% vanadium-tellurite glass composite
20VTS	20 wt.%Si and 80 wt.% vanadium-tellurite glass composite
30VTS	30 wt.% Si and 70 wt.% vanadium-tellurite glass composite
40VTS	40 wt.% Si and 60 wt.% vanadium-tellurite glass composite
HP	Hot-pressing method
MOF	Metal-organic frameworks
ZIF	Zeolitic imidazolate framework
Cobalt-ZIF-62	Co(imidazole) _{1.75} (benzimidazole) _{0.25}
Im	Imidazole
BIm	Benzimidazole
DMF	N,N-Dimethylformamide
Z	Zeolitic imidazolate framework crystal
ZG	Zeolitic imidazolate framework glass
SiZGC	Composite containing Si and ZIF-glass
SiZC	Composite containing Si and ZIF-crystal
XRD	X-ray diffraction
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
$T_{ m g}$	Glass transition Temperature
T_{c1}	Onset temperature of the first crystallization
T_{c2}	Onset temperature of the second crystallization
T_{c3}	Onset temperature of the third crystallization
T _m	Melting point
T _{max}	Maximum DSC scanning temperature
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
HRTEM	High-resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy
EDS	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer or spectra
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
FTIR	Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
GCD	Galvanostatic charge-discharge
CV	Cyclic voltammetry
EIS	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
Re	Ohmic resistance
$R_{\rm s}$	Solid electrolyte interface resistance
$R_{\rm ct}$	Charge transfer resistance
$C_{\rm PE}$	Capacitance
$Z_{\rm w}$	Diffusion impedance
σ	Warburg factor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Introduction1
1.1. Background and Challenges1
1.2. Objectives
1.3. Thesis Contents
Chapter 2. Experimental Sections
2.1. Synthesis
2.1.1. Vanadium-Tellurite Glasses (VT)
2.1.2. Si/VT Composites
2.1.3. Colbalt-ZIF-62 Crystals/Glasses
2.1.4. Si@ZIF-62 Composites
2.2. Characterization Methods
2.2.1. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)
2.2.2. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)7
2.2.3. Raman Spectroscopy7
2.2.4. Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR)
2.2.5. X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)7
2.2.6. Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)7
2.2.7. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)
2.2.8. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)
2.3. Electrochemical Methods
2.3.1. Cyclic Voltammetry
2.3.2. Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge
2.3.3. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy
Chapter 3. Structrue and Crystallization of VT and Si/VT-Glass Composites .11
3.1. Characterizations of VT and Si/VT Composites 11
3.1.1. Phase and Thermodynamic Analyses of VT and VTS 11
3.1.2. Structural Analyses of VT and VTS14
3.2. Electrochemical Performances of VT and VTS

3.3. Summary	21
Chapter 4. Optimizing Performances of Si/VT-Glass Composites by Hot I	Pressing
4.1. Characterations of Si/VT Composites	
4.1.1. Phase and Thermodynamic Analyses	23
4.1.2. Morphological and Structural Chracterizations	25
4.2. Electrochemical Performances	27
4.2.1. Cycling Performance	27
4.2.2. Rate Performance	28
4.2.3. Cyclic Voltammetry Curve	29
4.2.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectra	31
4.3. Proposed Mechanism	32
4.4. Summary	32
Chapter 5. Structure and Performances of Si@MOF-Glass Composites .	33
5.1. Characterization of Si@ZIF Composites	33
5.1.1. Phase and Thermodynamic Analyses	33
5.1.2. Morphological and Structural Chracterizations	35
5.2. Electrochemical Performances	36
5.2.1. Cycling Performance	36
5.2.2. Rate Performance	37
5.2.3. Cyclic Voltammetry Curve	38
5.2.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectra	39
5.3. Characterizations of Si@ZIF Composites after Charging/Discharging	Cycling 39
5.3.1. Structural and Morphorlogical Characterizations	39
5.3.2. Cyclic Voltammetry Curve	43
5.3.3 Electrochemical Impedance Spectra	43
5.4. Proposed Mechanism	44
5.5. Summary	45
Chapter 6. General Discussions	47
Chapter 7. Conclusions and Perspectives	49
7.1. Conclusions	49

7.2. Perspectives	50
Biography	53
List of Publications	61
Teaching Activities	61

TABLE OF FIGURES

Fig. 1-1 Schematic illustration of the Li-ion battery. The graphite anode and LiCoO₂ cathode are attached to copper and aluminum foils acting as the current collectors, respectively [4].....2 Fig. 3-1 DSC upscan curves of both VT and VTS, which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_g) and crystallization Fig. 3-2 DSC upscan curves of both VT and VTS, which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. (a) DSC upscan to 650K for three times. (b) DSC upscan to 650K for the first time and then to 725K for the second and third times. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_{g}) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1}, T_{c2}, T_{c3}) and Fig. 3-3 XRD patterns of (a) VT and (b) VTS after the first, second and third DSC Fig. 3-4 XRD patterns of VT and VTS after two DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. The first upscan was carried out to 650 K and the second one was to 725K, which are Fig. 3-5 Possible linkage modes between (a) VO_4 tetrahedra, (b) VO_5 trigonal Fig. 3-6 ⁵¹V NMR spectra of (a) VT and (b) VTS samples before and after two different DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. For VT/VTS-650-2, samples were upscanned to 650K twice, while for VT/VTS-650-725, samples were upscanned to 650K first and then to 725K. The deconvolution of ⁵¹V NMR spectra for VT/VTS (c), VT/VTS-650-2 (d), and VT/VTS-650-725 (e). Purple and green curves represent the Fig. 3-7. ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of (a) VT and (b) VTS samples before and after two different DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. For VT/VTS-650-2, samples were upscanned to 650K twice, while for VT/VTS-650-725, samples were upscanned to Fig. 3-8 XPS high-resolution of Si 2p(a) and V 2p (b) spectra for VTS and VTS-650. Fig. 3-9 (a) ²⁹Si NMR spectra of VTS upscanned in DSC to $T_g \sim 2.1T_g$ at 10 K/min in argon (b) ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VTS upscanned in DSC to $T_{g} \sim 1.4T_{g}$ at 10 K/min in Fig. 3-10 The dependences of both Si_(dec.)/(Si+SiO₂) and VO_{4(trans.)}/((VO₄+VO₅) on the DSC T_{max} for VTS samples. The T/T_g represents the ratio of the T_{max} to the glass Fig. 3-11. FTIR spectra of Si and VTS after upscanning in DSC to T_g , 1.1 T_g and 1.2 T_g Fig. 3-12. Schematic diagram of the reaction between Si and VT glass (a), and the evolution of [VO₄] to [VO₅] (b) when VTS was upscanned by DSC to 725K at 10

Fig. 3-13. The cycling performance of anodes based on the VTS, VTS-650-2, VTS-Fig. 4-1 XRD patterns of 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-Fig. 4-2 DSC upscan curves of both 10VTS and 10VTS-620-HP, which are obtained at the rate of 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_s) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} , T_{c2} , and T_{c3}) are denoted in Fig. 4-3 SEM images and EDS elemental mappings of Si, V and Te. (a) 10VTS-620, (b) 10VTS-620-HP, (c) 10VTS-670-HP, where the number, i.e., 10, represents the Fig. 4-4 FTIR spectra of Si, 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, where the number, Fig. 4-5 XPS high-resolution of Si 2p(a) and V 2p (b) spectra for 10VTS, 10VTS-620, Fig. 4-6 Cycling performances of anodes based on Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-HP, 10VTS-620, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-Fig. 4-7 The rate performances of anodes based on Si, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-VTS, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-Fig. 4-8 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of 10VTS-620-HP(a), 10VTS-670-HP(b), 30VTS-620-HP(c), 40VTS-620-HP(d) within the range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan Fig. 4-9 Nyquist plots of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP, which were obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to Fig. 4-10 The protecting role of VT glass matrix, which prolongs the cycling life of Fig. 5-1 XRD patterns of the ZIF-62 crystal (Z), ZIF-62 glass (ZG), 10Si@ZIF-62 composite (10SiZC), 10Si@ZIF-62-glass composite (10SiZGC), and the simulated ZIF-62 crystal, where the number, i.e., 10, represents that weight percent of Si Fig. 5-2 DSC upscan curves (a) and thermogravimetric curves (b) of the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC), and 10Si@ZIF-glass composite Fig. 5-3 (a) SEM images of the 10Si@cobalt-ZIF-62composite (10SiZC) (Inset: EDS elemental mappings of Co, N and Si for SZ); (b) SEM images of the 10Si@cobalt-Fig. 5-4 (a)FTIR spectra of Z, ZG, 10SiZC and 10SiZGC. (b) Raman spectra of Fig. 5-5 (a) The cycling performances of anodes based on the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 5Si@ZIF composite (5SiZC), 5Si@ZIF-glass composite (5SiZGC), 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC), 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC), 15Si@ZIF

composite (15SiZC), and 15Si@ZIF-glass composite (15SiZGC) at 1 A g⁻¹ for 500 Fig. 5-6 The rate performances of anodes based on the 5SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZC, 10SiZGC, 15SiZC, and 15SiZGC at current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 0.1A Fig. 5-7 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of pristine 10SiZGC within the range from Fig. 5-8 Nyquist plots of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 Fig. 5-9. XPS high-resolution spectra of Co 2p (a), N 1s (b), Si 2p (c) and C 1s (d) for Fig. 5-10 FTIR spectra (a) and XRD patterns (b) of the 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after Fig. 5-11 (a), (c) TEM images and EDS mappings of Si, N, Co for 10SiZGC and 10SiZC after cycling, respectively. (b), (d) HRTEM images and the corresponding Fig. 5-12 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of 10SiZGC after 500 cycles within the Fig. 5-13 (a) Nyquist plots of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after cycling obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz. (b) The dependence of Z' on $\omega^{-1/2}$ in the low-frequency region obtained from Fig. 5-14 The protecting role of ZIF glass matrix, which prolongs the cycling life of

TABLE OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. BACKGROUND AND CHALLENGES

With the continued development of society, the consumption of fossil fuels has increased dramatically, leading to global warming and environmental pollution. To achieve the goal of sustainable development, renewable energy technologies, such as wind energy and solar cells, are expanding rapidly. However, compared to traditional fossil fuels, these new energy sources are inherently intermittent, which results in an urgent need to develop large-scale and low-cost energy storage technologies to fully utilize renewable energy [1-3].

Batteries, which convert electrical energy into electrochemical energy or vice-versa, are one of the most important energy storage technologies in mobile devices. Among them, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have attracted worldwide attention due to their high energy density, long lifespan, and environmental friendliness. They are supporting modern society with lightweight power from portable electronics, such as smartphones, laptops, to electric vehicles (EVs). In 1970, Whittingham proposed the first LIB model, and then in 1981, Jhon Goodenough and Kaichi Mizushima, established a rechargeable cell by using lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO₂) and lithium metal as cathode and anode, respectively. However, the formation of lithium dendrites of the anode during charging hinders its application. It was not until 1990, Sony Corporation released the first commercial LIB with LiCoO₂ as cathode and graphite as anode [1, 2]. As shown in Fig. 1-1, besides the two electrodes, a practical LIB uses organic solvent containing Li⁺ (LiPF₆) as the electrolyte. The electrolyte acts as a guard, allowing only lithium ions to pass through. When connecting a power source, the positive side of the power source attracts electrons from the cathode. These electrons flow through the external circuit and reach the graphite layer as they cannot pass through the separator and electrolyte. Meanwhile, the lithium ions migrate from the cathode side through the electrolyte to the graphite layer space. Once the graphite is saturated with lithium ions, the cell is fully charged. As soon as the power source is disconnected, and a load is connected, the lithium ions travel back to intercalate into the favorable state as a part of the metal oxide cathode. When the lithium ions move through the electrolyte, the electrons are forced to move via the load. This process is called discharge, and it converts chemical energy to electrical energy, which powers the device [4].

As science and technology have advanced, the gravimetric specific energy density of LIBs increased from approximately 90 Wh kg⁻¹ in the 1990s to over 250 Wh kg⁻¹ today [5], mainly arising from the development of new cathode and anode materials. In detail, cathode materials such as LiCoO₂, LiFePO₄, LiMn₂O₄, LiNi_xCo_yMn_{1-x-y}O₂, and anode materials like carbonaceous materials, and Si/SiO-C composites appeared successively, improving the electrochemical performances of commercial LIBs [6-8].

However, the fast-growing large-scale smart grids and electric vehicle markets require increasingly better storage solutions. According to a report from World Energy Resource, the net capacity of batteries in electric vehicles is predicted to be 250 GW by 2030, raising the demand for safer and higher energy density along with longer cycle life and lower cost LIBs [1].



Fig. 1-1 Schematic illustration of the Li-ion battery. The graphite anode and LiCoO₂ cathode are attached to copper and aluminum foils acting as the current collectors, respectively [4].

Current efforts to improve the safety of batteries mainly focused on developing solidstate electrolytes to replace conventional organic liquid electrolytes. To date, inorganic glass-ceramic/glass-based solid electrolytes are one of the most promising candidates as they show the highest ionic conductivities with 10^{-2} ~ 10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ [9-12]. As for lifting energy density, new anode materials, such as Si, Si@C composites and metal oxides with delicate nanostructures have been extensively investigated. Nonetheless, due to the complicated synthesis procedures, high cost and huge capacity loss during charging and discharging, those anode materials are still far from practical applications [13-17].

Glass materials, as a new branch of electrode materials, have attracted increasing attention from scientists owing to their unique disordered open network structure, lack of grain boundaries, large free volume, etc. [18-20]. These features could provide better cycling stability and higher specific capacity than crystalline counterparts[18, 21]. For example, semiconducting vanadium-tellurite glasses (VT), which exhibit superior cycling stability, i.e., almost no reduction in capacity for 5000 cycles [19], have emerged as promising anode materials for LIBs. Besides the open network, VT

glasses exhibit a strong crystallization tendency upon discharging/charging, leading to the formation of electrochemically active γ -Li₃VO₄ nanocrystals. The in-situ growth of nanocrystals in glass not only toughens the glass matrix, thereby increasing the cycling stability, but also provides more space/channels between the crystals and glass matrix to facilitate the transportation of Li⁺.

Moreover, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are three-dimensional networks composed of metal nodes and organic ligands, have been regarded as one of the most potential candidates to replace the current graphite anode for LIBs. This potential is mainly due to their high specific surface area, abundant active sites, and high porosity, which are beneficial for lithium transport and storage. In 2006, MOF-177 was first reported as anode materials for LIBs, showing a capacity of 400 mA h g⁻¹ during the first cycle but a low capacity of 105 mA h g⁻¹ in the second cycle. When MOFs are coated with graphene to obtain the MOF/graphene composite anodes, they deliver a reversible capacity up to ~1075 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ and 400 mA h g⁻¹ at the current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ [22, 23]. In 2015, a new family of MOFs, i.e., MOF glass, was first discovered by Yue et al. A few years later, in 2022, MOF glass was first reported as an anode for LIBs, achieving a capacity of 306 mA h g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles at 2 A g⁻¹, twice that of the MOF crystal [20, 24-27].

In addition to displaying better electrochemical performances than their crystalline counterparts, glass materials pose many other advantages, i.e., tunable composition, simple preparation process, low cost, etc., which are expected to be mass-produced. Furthermore, considering that the best lithium solid-state electrolytes are glass/glass-ceramics, and the interfacial stability between the glassy solid-state electrolyte and the traditional electrode faces a big challenge due to poor compatibility at the interface [11]. It can be anticipated that a glassy electrode could provide better interfacial compatibility with the glassy electrolyte and thus minimize interfacial polarization of the cell.

However, glass anodes still face some challenges, including poor electronic conductivity and low reversible capacity. Furthermore, the lithium storage mechanism of glasses needs to be further understood, and the composition-structure-performance relationship of glasses is still unclear. Solving these problems will help design and develop appropriate glass-based anodes for better LIBs.

1.2. OBJECTIVES

The overall goal of this PhD project is to investigate the potential of glasses as anode materials for LIBs, from aspects of specific capacity, cycling stability and structure-performance relationship.

The specific capacity, which is proportional to energy density, can be determined by the amount of lithium that could be accommodated per unit mass/volume of active

material. The cycling stability is the capacity retention rate after a certain number of charge/discharge cycles. These two properties are vital criteria for evaluating the lithium storage performance

The details of this project are summarized below:

1. Explore a method to combine silicon (Si), which exhibits a high theoretical specific capacity (>4000 mA h g^{-1}), with inorganic glasses (VT glass) to improve the specific capacity of glasses for lithium storage.

2. Develop a facile method to combine silicon with MOF glasses to improve their capacities and cycling stabilities during charge-discharge processes.

3. Study the composition-structure-process-performance relations of Si-glass composite.

4. Investigate the lithiation/delithiation mechanism of batteries in glassy materials.

5. Develop the potential of glass-based composites as anode materials for LIBs.

1.3. THESIS CONTENT

The experiment works of this thesis were conducted at Aalborg University, Qilu University of Technology, Fuzhou University and Wuhan University of Technology. This thesis consists of an overview followed by three scientific papers. The three papers correspond to Chapters 3-5, constituted the main body of the thesis, and will be referred to by their roman numerals.

I. <u>Yan, J.</u>, Zhao, T., Shi, N., Zhan, H., Ren, J., Zhang, Y., & Yue, Y., Impact of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization of a vanadium-tellurite glass. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 589, 121651 (2022).

II. <u>Yan, J.</u>, Gao, C., Qi, S., Jiang, Z., Jensen, L. R., Zhuang, Y., Zhan, H., Zhang, Y., & Yue, Y., High-performance lithium-ion battery anode based on melt-quenched Si@ZIF composite. Nano Energy, 103, 107779 (2022).

III. <u>Yan, J.</u>, Li, X., Shi N., Ren X, Zhou, H., Tao, H., Ren, J., Qiao, A., Zhang, Y., & Yue, Y., Optimizing vanadium-tellurite glass/Si composite as a superior anode for Liion batteries by hot pressing (To be submitted).

CHAPTER 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTIONS

This chapter presents synthesis methods and characterization techniques of VT/MOF glasses and glass-based composites, i.e., Si/VT glass composites, and Si@MOF glass composites.

2.1. SYNTHESIS

All the chemical reagents used for the preparation/synthesis were purchased from the Aladdin or Sigma corporations and used without further modification unless specified. All samples were prepared by the authors in this thesis with assistance from other co-authors.

2.1.1. VANADIUM-TELLURITE GLASSES (VT)

The 50V₂O₅-50TeO₂ (in mol. %) glass sample was prepared via the melt-quenching method [18, 19]. In detail, the oxides (V₂O₅ and TeO₂) were mixed to get a homogenous batch. Then the batch was melted at 800°C for 0.5 h followed by casting onto a brass plate. After becoming solid, the glass was transferred into a furnace and annealed for 2 h at the glass-transition temperature (T_g). The 50V₂O₅-50TeO₂ glass powder (VT) with a narrow size distribution between 5 ~ 10 µm was obtained by crushing and sieving the annealing sample.

2.1.2. SI/VT COMPOSITES

As for the Si/VT composites, the preparation processes were as follows: commercial nano-silicon (Si) nanoparticles (about 20-60 nm) were doped in the VT glass powder, and then mixed evenly, which is referred to as VTS. In Chapter 3, VTSs with 10 wt.% Si were heat-treated by the conventional method, i.e., heating VTS at 10 K/min to different temperatures under argon followed by cooling to room temperature. In Chapter 4, VTSs with 10 wt.% Si were heated to different temperatures at 10 K/min in vacuum with a pressure of 100 MPa and kept for 30 minutes before cooling, which is called hot-pressing sintering. In addition, VTSs with different fraction of Si, i.e., 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, were prepared by hot pressing at one temperature (620K). For comparison, VTS containing 10 wt.% Si were prepared by the same heating process as the hot-pressing samples except that it was not pressurized.

2.1.3. COBALT-ZIF-62 CRYSTALS/GLASSES

Zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF) is a subset of MOF materials. Cobalt-ZIF-62 crystals with the composition of Co(imidazole)_{1.75}(benzimidazole)_{0.25} were synthesized based on a solvothermal method [27-29]. In detail, imidazole (Im, 11.55 mmol, 785.4 mg), benzimidazole (BIm, 1.66 mmol, 196 mg) and Cobalt acetate tetrahydrate (4 mmol, 996 mg) were dissolved in 90 mL N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and stirred for 0.5 h. Then, the solution was transferred into the autoclave and heated at 130°C for 48 h. After cooling, the purple product was separated by centrifugation and dried under vacuum at 120°C overnight. To obtain cobalt-ZIF-62 glass, the ZIF-62 crystals were heated at 10 °C min⁻¹ in argon to 450°C and calcined at this temperature for 5 minutes then cooled down naturally to room temperature.

2.1.4. SI@ZIF-62 COMPOSITES

Si@ZIF-62 composite (SiZC) was prepared via a reflux method. This method involves heating the chemical reaction for a period at a certain temperature, while continually cooling the solvent vapor back into liquid form. In detail, imidazole (Im, 11.55 mmol, 785.4 mg), benzimidazole (BIm, 1.66 mmol, 196 mg), $C_4H_6CoO_4\cdot 4H_2O$ (4 mmol, 996 mg), and Si nanoparticles with different amounts, i.e., 50-mg, 100-mg, 150-mg Si, account for about 5%, 10%, 15% of the final product by weight, respectively, were added into 90 mL N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). Then the solution was heated at 130°C for 48 h while refluxing and stirring. After cooling, the SiZC was obtained by centrifugation and vacuum drying. Then, the SiZC was melt-quenched at 450°C for 5 minutes in argon and thus Si@ZIF-62-glass composite (SiZGC) was obtained. The samples are named according to the mass percentage of Si in the composite. For example, 10SiZGC refers to the Si@ZIF-glass composite containing 10 wt.% Si.

2.2. CHARACTERIZATION METHODS

The author performed most of the experiments and analyzed almost all results in this thesis except part of the solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) results. A coauthor contribution is presented in the relevant sections if the experiments or characterizations were not conducted by the author independently.

2.2.1. X-RAY DIFFRACTION (XRD)

XRD patterns of fine-grinded samples were collected on a PANalytical diffractometer X-ray using Cu $K\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation during the 2 θ range of 5–70° with a step size of 0.013°. The simulated XRD patterns of MOF were obtained from Software Mercury 3.8 with CIF file of corresponding MOF. Crystalline phases were identified by using the X'pert HighScore Plus software.

2.2.2. DIFFERENTIAL SCANNING CALORIMETRY (DSC)

The characteristic temperatures of glassy materials, such as glass-transition temperatures, crystallization onset temperatures, melting temperatures, etc., were collected by the differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) (Jupiter 449C, Netzsch) in Aalborg University. Platinum crucibles were used for both samples and the references. The sample mass was usually about 20 mg. All samples were scanned from 40°C to target temperatures at 10 °C min⁻¹ in argon and then cooled down at the same rate. Before each test, baselines were collected with the same temperature range, scanning rates, and atmosphere. During the process of recording DSC curves, the thermogravimetric (TG) curves were collected simultaneously.

2.2.3. RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY

Raman spectra were obtained via Renishaw In-Via Raman microscopic with an Ar⁺ laser ($\lambda = 532$ nm) at 50 × aperture in Aalborg University. To avoid burning the sample, the power was limited to 0.1 W and was used to record the spectra within the range of 200-2000 cm⁻¹. All samples were tested three times for error reduction. Raman spectra were collected with the help of Dr. L.R. Jensen from the Department of Materials and Production, AAU.

2.2.4. ATTENUATED TOTAL REFLECTION FOURIER TRANSFORM INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY (ATR-FTIR)

Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra measurements were conducted on a Bruker TENSOR II FTIR spectrometer in Aalborg University with Platinum ATR Accessory at room temperature in the range of 400–2000 cm⁻¹. For each test, a baseline was measured first, and then sample powders were directly placed on the holder for data collection. All samples were tested three times to reduce error.

2.2.5. X-RAY PHOTOELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY (XPS)

To investigate the surface chemistry of samples, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using an ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) with nonmonochromatic Al Ka X-ray (1486.6 eV) at pass energy of 50 eV from Wuhan University of Technology with the help of Professor Ang Qiao. The peaks were deconvoluted using the software Avantage.

2.2.6. SOLID-STATE NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE (NMR)

Solid-state NMR measurement was conducted with the help of Professor Jinjun Ren, Dr. Tongyao Zhao, and Nian Shi from the Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. ⁵¹V, ¹²⁵Te, and ²⁹Si spectra of vanadium-

tellurite glasses (VT) and Si@vanadium-tellurite glass (VTS) were collected. ²⁹Si NMR spectra were measured at a spinning rate of 6.0 kHz with relaxation delays of 200 s. The chemical shift is referenced to Tetrakis (tetramethyl) silicate silane (= -9.7 ppm). ⁵¹V Hahn echo NMR experiments were performed at a pulse length of 2.4 μ s. ¹²⁵Te static wideband uniform-rate smooth truncation QCPMG (WURST-QCPMG) NMR spectra were obtained using the WURST-80 pulse sequence and an 8-step phase cycle with 50- μ s excitation and refocusing pulses.

2.2.7. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (SEM)

The morphologies and elements distributions of samples were analyzed using fieldemission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (Supra-55, Zeiss Inc.) along with X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) (X-Max, OXFORD Instruments Inc.) from Fuzhou University and Wuhan University of Technology. All samples were vacuum dried at 120°C and then pasted onto the conductive gel before testing

2.2.8. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM)

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images of samples were collected from a Tecnai Talos F200i and elemental mapping analysis was conducted via TEM energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy (HAADF-STEM-EDX) in Fuzhou University with the help of Yaxuan Zhuang and Dr. Zhenhuan Zheng. Powder samples were dispersed in ethanol and ultrasonicated for 30 min, and then drop cast onto copper mesh before TEM measurements.

2.3. ELECTROCHEMICAL METHODS

The electrochemical performances of the obtained samples were evaluated by coin cells using lithium foil (diameter of 10.0 mm) as the counter/reference electrode. A slurry consisting of 70 wt.% active materials (the glass-based composites), 20 wt.% acetylene black, and 10 wt.% polyvinylidene difluorides (PVDF) were mixed evenly in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) and then pasted onto a copper foil current collector and dried at 110°C in a vacuum oven for 12 h to get working electrodes. The loading amount of active materials was $1\sim2$ mg. The composition of electrolyte was: 1 M LiPF₆ dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1 vol%). The separator was a Celgard 2325 membrane (diameter of 19.0 mm). The cells were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox with both the moisture and the oxygen content below 0.1 ppm and then tested at 25° C. Some of the electrochemical measurements were conducted with the help of Zhenjing Jiang, Shibin Qi and Xiangyu Li from Qilu University of Technology. All electrochemical measurements were repeated with different batches of samples to confirm the reproducibility of their performances.

2.3.1. CYCLIC VOLTAMMETRY

Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of samples were obtained to study the lithium storage mechanism in active materials at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ in the voltage range from 0.01 to 3 V on a CHI 760e electrochemical working station. For Si@ZIF samples, both the first three cycles and three cycles after 500 charging/discharging cycles were recorded.

2.3.2. GALVANOSTATIC CHARGE-DISCHARGE

Galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) measurements were conducted to evaluate the specific capacity, cycling stability and rate capability of glass-based materials for LIBs. All samples were measured on a Land battery test system (CT2001A) within the voltage range of 0.01-3V with different current densities for different cycles. The specific capacities of materials were calculated based on their weight and the Coulombic efficiencies of charge-discharge processes were also collected. Besides, the GCD voltage profiles were also obtained to study the lithium insertion/extraction mechanism of electrode materials.

2.3.3. ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE SPECTROSCOPY

Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed on CHI 760e electrochemical workstation in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz–100 kHz with an amplitude of 10 mV. By constructing an equivalent circuit and fitting the impedance data, the resistance, i.e., ohmic resistance (R_e), solid electrolyte interface resistance (R_s) and charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}), capacitance (CPE) and diffusion impedance (Z_w) of the electrode systems could be obtained.

The line in the low-frequency region corresponds to the diffusion impedance (Z_w), which is associated with the diffusion coefficient of Li⁺ in the electrode material. Warburg factor (σ) can be determined from the linear relation between impedance Z' and the reciprocal square root of the angular frequency ω . A larger value of σ indicates a lower Li⁺ diffusion coefficient.

CHAPTER 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTIONS

CHAPTER 3. STRUCTRUE AND CRYSTALLIZATION OF VT GLASS AND SI/VT-GLASS COMPOSITES

Since the performances of materials rely on their compositions, structures, process etc., it is important to understand how these parameters affect the electrochemical performance of a material. In this Chapter (based on Paper I), silicon (Si) was added into a vanadium-tellurite glass (VT) and the mixture was heat-treated to different temperatures followed by cooling to room temperature to obtain Si/VT composites (VTSs), which are used as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. The effects of Si doping on the structure, crystallization, and electrochemical performances of VT glass were studied.

The reasons why chose Si as the element to combine with glasses are as follows: First, it has a high theoretical specific capacity (>4000 mA h g⁻¹). Second, Si is non-toxic and is the second most abundant element in the earth's crust, exhibiting low average discharge potential vs. Li⁺/Li [30]. However, pure Si anode exhibits very poor cycling stability, i.e., capacity retention is only about 50 mA h g⁻¹ within 100 cycles [31, 32], due to its enormous volume changes (>300%) and pulverization upon lithiation/delithiation [33]. On the contrary, VT glasses exhibit superior cycling stability due to their unique open structure (more details are shown in Section 1.1) but relatively low specific capacity compared to Si [18, 19]. In addition, glasses show viscous flow above the glass transition temperature (T_g) and can act as 'binder' for bonding Si. It is anticipated that the combination of Si and glasses through heat-treatment could pose synergetic effects to achieve better electrochemical performances.

3.1. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF VT AND SI/VT COMPOSITES

3.1.1. PHASE AND THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSES OF VT AND VTS

10 wt.% Si and 90 wt.% vanadium tellurite glass (VT) powders were mixed evenly, referred to as VTS in this Chapter. Fig. 3-1 shows the DSC upscan curves for both VT and VTS. For these samples, the glass transition temperature (T_g =509K) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} =563K, T_{c2} =602K) are the same, while crystallization temperature (T_{c3}) at 673K only shows in VT. In addition, the melting temperature (around 760K) of VT glass becomes weaker and shifts to a lower temperature when Si is introduced. These results indicate that doping Si into VT does not change the glass transition but suppresses the crystallization behavior of the glass. The latter phenomenon is anomalous, to the best of my knowledge, doping Si

generally provides more heteronuclear sites, thereby promoting the nucleation and crystallization of VTS compared with pristine VT glass. The opposite results prompted us to further investigate the crystallization behavior of VT and VTS.



Fig. 3-1 DSC upscan curves of both VT and VTS, which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_8) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} , T_{c2} , and T_{c3}) are denoted in the curves.

Since the crystallization behaviors of VT and VTS are almost the same up to 650K but very different in the temperature range of 673~725K, we conducted several DSC upscans on VT and VTS to 650K and 725K. Fig. 3-2a shows the DSC curves for VT and VTS upscanned to 650K for three times. Fig. 3-2b shows the curves for these samples upscanned to 650K for the first time followed by to 725K for the second and third times. In both Figs. 3-2a and b, the T_{gs} of both VT and VTS shift to higher temperatures from the first to the 2nd upscan due to the crystallization in the first upscan. In the third upscan, T_{gs} remain constant in Fig. 3-2a but disappear in Fig. 3-2b, suggesting that during the second DSC upscan to 725K, the glasses are fully transformed into crystal phase. In addition, for each upscan, VT and VTS show the same T_{g} value, indicating that the remaining glass phase in VT and VTS after crystallization might have the same composition. New crystallization peaks with onset temperatures of T_{c3} and T_{c4} are observed for VT but not for VTS in Fig. 3-2a. Moreover, T_{c3} (647K) for VT shifts to a higher temperature (662K) for VTS in Fig. 3b. These results verify that the crystallization in VT glass was suppressed by introducing nano-Si.

Figs. 3-3a, 3b show XRD patterns of VT and VTS after each DSC upscan to 650K. According to the number of the DSC upscans, samples are named as VT/VTS-650, VT/VTS-650-2, VT/VTS-650-3, respectively. Clearly, only V_2O_5 crystals were precipitated from both VT-650 and VTS-650 samples, as the crystalline Si already exists in VTS.


Fig. 3-2 DSC upscan curves of both VT and VTS, which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. (a) DSC upscan to 650K for three times. (b) DSC upscan to 650K for the first time and then to 725K for the second and third times. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_s) and crystallization onset temperatures $(T_{cl}, T_{c2}, T_{c3} \text{ and } T_{c4})$ are denoted.

However, samples VT-650-2 and VT-650-3 show sharp diffraction peaks corresponding to Te₂V₂O₉ crystals besides V₂O₅ peaks, while samples VTS-650-2 and VTS-650-3 still only exhibit peaks associated with V₂O₅ and Si. This suggests that by introducing nano-Si into VT glass, the crystallization of Te₂V₂O₉ has been suppressed. In addition, this finding also indicates that the compositions of the remaining glass matrices in VT and VTS are different after the 2nd and 3rd DSC upscan, even though these two samples have the same T_g in each upscan curve.



Fig. 3-3 XRD patterns of (a) VT and (b) VTS after the first, second and third DSC upscans to 650 K at 10 K/min in argon.

Fig. 3-4 shows the XRD patterns of VT and VTS after two DSC upscans, i.e., first to 650K and then to 725K, which are referred to as VT-650-725 and VTS-650-725, respectively. Clearly, VT-650-725 shows two kinds of crystalline phases, i.e., V_2O_5 and $Te_2V_2O_9$, while VTS-650-725 shows four types of crystals, i.e., V_2O_5 , $Te_2V_2O_9$,

TeVO₄, and Si. This indicates that although the crystallization of Te₂V₂O₉ in VTS is suppressed, a new crystalline phase (TeVO₄) is precipitated during heating VTS to 725K. The formation of TeVO₄ could arise from the reaction between VT glass and nano-Si.



Fig. 3-4 XRD patterns of VT and VTS after two DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. The first upscan was carried out to 650K and the second one was to 725K, which are referred to as VT-650-725 and VTS-650-725, respectively.



3.1.2. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF VT AND VTS

Fig. 3-5 Possible linkage modes between (a) VO4 tetrahedra, (b) VO5 trigonal bipyramids (tbps) with TeO3 or TeO4 in vanadium-tellurite glasses [34].

Unlike traditional inorganic glasses with 3D tetrahedral network, the structure of VT contains VO₄ tetrahedra and VO₅ trigonal bipyramids(tbps) [34]. Fig. 3-5 shows three possible tetrahedra of VO₄, including types of VO₄³⁻, V₂V₇⁴⁻, and (VO₃)_nⁿ⁻chain and two possible tbps of VO₅, i.e., $(VO_4)_n^{3n-}$ -chain and $(V_2O_8)_n$ -zigzag chain. Clearly, VO₄ and VO₅ units can be corner-sharing and edge-sharing with TeO₃ or TeO₄ units.



Fig. 3-6 ⁵¹V NMR spectra of (a) VT and (b) VTS samples before and after two different DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. For VT/VTS-650-2, samples were upscanned to 650K twice, while for VT/VTS-650-725, samples were upscanned to 650K first and then to 725K. The deconvolution of 51 V NMR spectra for VT/VTS (c), VT/VTS-650-2 (d), and VT/VTS-650-725 (e). Purple and green curves represent the VO₄ and VO₅ contributions, respectively.

To further verify the inhibition effect of crystallization in VTS and probe the structural evolution in VT and VTS samples upon different heating processes, NMR characterizations of VT and VTS were performed. Figs. 3-6a, b shows the ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VT, VTS, VT/VTS-650-2, and VT/VTS-650-725. The spectrum of each sample can be deconvoluted into two peaks (purple and green curves), corresponding to VO₄ and VO₅, respectively (Figs. 3-6c, d, e). For each sample, the fractions of VO₄ and VO₅ are shown in Table 3-1. Clearly, as the DSC maximum upscanning temperature (T_{max}) rises, the VO₅/VO₄ ratio increases for both VT and VTS. In addition, the VT-650-2 shows higher VO₅/VO₄ ratio than VTS-650-2. This is due to that the majority of vanadium of Te₂V₂O₉ and V₂O₅ crystals exist in VO₅ units [34-40] and both Te₂V₂O₉ and V₂O₅ are precipitated in VT-650-2, but only V₂O₅ forms in VTS-650-2. The lower VO₅/VO₄ ratio in VTS-650-2 compared with that in VT-650-

2 further confirms the inhibiting effects of Si on the crystallization of VT glass. When T_{max} reaches 725K, VT/VTS-650-725 exhibit the same VO₅/VO₄ ratio. This is because the two glass samples are fully transformed into crystals, verified by the DSC results in Fig. 3-2b. It is worth noting that although a new TeVO₄ phase is precipitated in VTS-650-725 besides V₂O₅ and Te₂V₂O₉, the majority of vanadium in TeVO₄ exists in VO₅ [35, 36, 38, 39].

spectra shown in Fig. 3-6. VO₅/VO₄ VO₅ VO_4 Samples VO₅ VO_4 Samples VO₅/VO₄ (%) (%) (%) (%) VТ 62.2 37.8 1.65 VTS 62.3 37.7 1.65 VT-650-2 80.9 4.24 VTS-650-2 75.8 24.2 19.1 3.13 VT-650-725 88.1 11.9 7.40 VTS-650-725 88.2 11.8 7.47

Table 3-1 The fraction of VO₅ and VO₄, which were obtained from the deconvoluted ⁵¹V NMR



Fig. 3-7. ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of (a) VT and (b) VTS samples before and after two different DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. For VT/VTS-650-2, samples were upscanned to 650K twice, while for VT/VTS-650-725, samples were upscanned to 650K first and then to 725K. Dashed lines: the fitting curves.

Fig. 3-7 shows the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of VT/VTS, VT/VTS-650-2, and VT/VTS-650-725. Each ¹²⁵Te spectrum can be fitted by one unsymmetric peak, ascribing to 3-coordinated Te. As T_{max} rises, the peak shape becomes sharper, indicating the glass-to-crystal transformation [34]. In addition, VT-650-2 shows a sharper peak than VTS-650-2, suggesting that crystallization of Te₂V₂O₉ has been suppressed in VTS.

To reveal the mechanism of the suppressing crystallization in VTS, XPS measurements were conducted on VTS and VTS-650. As shown in Fig. 3-8a, each Si 2p XPS curve can be deconvoluted into two peaks, i.e., 99.5 and 103.1 eV corresponding to Si and SiO₂, respectively [41]. More details are shown in Table 3-2. Clearly, the fractions of Si in VTS and VTS-650 are 61.1 and 55.6%, respectively,

implying the oxidation of Si during heat-treatment. Fig. 3-8b shows the V 2p spectra, in which VTS can be deconvoluted into four peaks while VTS-650 can be deconvoluted into five peaks. Peaks at 532.7, 530.6, 524.9, and 517.5eV are ascribed to V-OH, V-O, V $2p_{1/2}$, and V $2p_{3/2}$, respectively. For VTS, peak at 517.5 eV becomes wider after heat-treatment, and the wider peak can be deconvoluted into two peaks, i.e., 517.5 and 516.4 eV, corresponding to V⁵⁺ and V⁴⁺, respectively. This indicates a redox reaction occurred between Si and VT during the heating process [42, 43].



Fig. 3-8 XPS high-resolution of Si 2p(a) and V 2p (b) spectra for VTS and VTS-650.

Table 3-2 The fraction of Si, SiO₂ of VTS and VTS-650, which were obtained from the deconvoluted Si 2p XPS spectra shown in Fig. 3-8a.

Samples	Chemical state	Si (%)	SiO ₂ (%)	Ratio (Si/SiO ₂)
	VTS	61.1	38.9	1.6
V	/TS-650	55.6	44.4	1.2

To further study the reaction between Si and VT during heating, systematical NMR analyses were performed on the VTS with different T_{max} . As shown in Fig. 3-9a, for ²⁹Si NMR spectra, each spectrum can be deconvoluted into three peaks, i.e., at –110 ppm, –85 ppm, and ~ –80 ppm, corresponding to Q⁴ in amorphous SiO₂, amorphous Si (A-Si), and crystalline Si (C-Si) [44], respectively, where Q represents tetrahedron, and 4 is the number of bridging oxygen [45]. With an increase of the T_{max} , the peak at ~ –80 ppm becomes weaker, and the peak at ~ –85 ppm decreases and disappears at 1.6 T_g (815K). In contrast, the peak at ~ –110 ppm increases as the T_{max} rises. These imply the transformation of nano-Si into amorphous SiO₂ during the heating process. Fig. 3-9b shows the ⁵¹V spectrum of VTS after DSC scanning to different temperatures, i.e., T_g =509 K, 1.1 T_g =560 K, 1.2 T_g =610 K, 1.3 T_g ≈650 K, and 1.4 T_g ≈725 K. Fig. 3-9c shows the deconvolution results, and each spectrum can be deconvoluted into two components, i.e., the purple curve corresponds to [VO₄], while

the green curve is ascribed to $[VO_5]$. Obviously, as T_{max} rises, the fraction of $[VO_5]$ increases, while that of $[VO_4]$ decreases.



Fig. 3-9 (a) ²⁹Si NMR spectra of VTS upscanned in DSC to $T_g \sim 2.1T_g$ at 10 K/min in argon (b) ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VTS upscanned in DSC to $T_g \sim 1.4T_g$ at 10 K/min in argon (c) deconvolution of ⁵¹V NMR spectra shown in (b).



Fig. 3-10 The dependences of both $Si_{(dec.)}/(Si+SiO_2)$ and $VO_{4(trans.)}/((VO_4+VO_5))$ on the DSC T_{max} for VTS samples. The T/T_g represents the ratio of the T_{max} to the glass transition temperature of VTS.

Fig. 3-10 is a summary of the ²⁹Si and ⁵¹V NMR results (Fig. 3-9). By integrating the areas of deconvoluted peaks, the fractions of Si, SiO₂, VO₄, and VO₅ can be determined. Si_(dec.) and VO₄(trans.) refer to the fractions of Si and VO₄units, which have been oxidized to Si⁴⁺ or transformed into VO₅ during heating. Obviously, as the T_{max} increases, both the fractions of Si_(dec.) and VO₄(trans.) rise. In detail, when T_{max} is higher

than $1.4T_g$, the Si_(dec.)/(Si+SiO₂) increases dramatically, suggesting that a violent reaction occurs between Si and VT glass. In contrast, the VO_{4(trans.)}/(VO₄+VO₅) increases rapidly from $1.1T_g$ to $1.4T_g$. Since the coordination number of stable V⁴⁺ can only be 5 or 6 while that of stable V⁵⁺ can be 4, 5 and 6 [46], the increase of VO_{4(trans.)} in VTS- $1.1T_g$ compared to VTS- T_g could partially arise from the transition of V⁵⁺ to V⁴⁺. When the T_{max} is above $1.1T_g$, the transition of VO₄ to VO₅ units is ascribed to both the reduction of V⁵⁺ to V⁴⁺ and the crystallization of V₂O₅, Te₂V₂O₉ and TeVO₄ (the valence of vanadium is +4). The changes in both Si_(dec.) and VO_{4(trans.)} imply that nano-Si starts to react with VT glass at $1.1T_g$. Thus, the Si-to-SiO₂ conversion in VTS can be controlled by varying the heat-treatment temperature.



Fig. 3-11. FTIR spectra of Si and VTS after upscanning in DSC to T_g , $1.1T_g$ and $1.2T_g$ at 10 K/min in argon.

Fig. 3-11 shows the FTIR spectra of Si, VTS- T_g , VTS- $1.1T_g$, and VTS- $1.2T_g$. Peaks at 478cm⁻¹ and 1000~1250 cm⁻¹ correspond to Si–O vibrations [47-51], while peaks at 680, 985 and 1018 cm⁻¹ are assigned to O–Te–O or Te–O–Te vibrations [48], [VO₅] vibrations, and V=O vibrations in [VO₅], respectively [48, 50, 52-54]. Moreover, the broad peaks between 750 and 940 cm⁻¹ are mainly attributed to V–O–V, [VO₄], and [VO₅] vibrations[48, 50, 51]. A new peak at 530 cm⁻¹ associated with the V–O–V vibrations appears in spectra of VTS- $1.1T_g$ and $-1.2T_g$ samples [55-58]. Clearly, for the Si spectrum, a strong peak in the range of 1000~1250 cm⁻¹ is observed, suggesting the existence of SiO₂ in commercial nano-silicon particles. For the spectra of the other three samples, as the T_{max} increases, the intensities of peaks at 530, 985, 1018, and 1050~1250 cm⁻¹ rise, indicating increases in the numbers of V–O–V bonds, [VO₅] units, and Si–O bonds. This is consistent with the NMR results (Fig. 3-9).

The above results reveal that although SiO_2 already exists in the pristine commercial nano-silicon particles, during heating process, Si gradually transformed into amorphous SiO_2 , while V⁵⁺ converted to V⁴⁺, and hence a redox reaction should occur

between Si and VT. One possible reaction mechanism is shown in Fig. 3-12. In detail, during the heating process, Si-Si bonds break and each Si gains 4 oxygens from the $[VO_5]$ units in VT glass, consequently, forming the tetrahedral $[SiO_4]$ network and $[VO_4]$ units. Meanwhile, Si reduces V⁵⁺ in $[VO_5]$ to V⁴⁺ in $[VO_4]$ (Fig. 3-12a). However, the $[VO_4]$ units containing V⁴⁺ are not stable [46], and they will rearrange themselves to form $[VO_5]$. Thus, during the heating process to 725K, $[VO_x]$ units, which could be the $[VO_4]$ units containing V⁴⁺/V⁵⁺ and $[VO_5]$ units containing V⁵⁺, evolve into the ordered structure. Simultaneously, part of $[VO_4]$ units transform into $[VO_5]$ units by sharing oxygen with other $[VO_4]$ units [34] (Fig. 3-12b), generating new V–O–V bonds, verified by NMR and FTIR results.



Fig. 3-12. Schematic diagram of the reaction between Si and VT glass (a), and the evolution of [*VO*4] *to* [*VO*5] *(b) when VTS was upscanned by DSC to* 725*K at 10 K/min in argon.*

Combined with the XRD results (Fig. 3-4), the redox reaction between Si and VT glass can be written as:

$$\frac{1}{2}Si + Te_2V_2O_9(glass) \rightarrow 2TeVO_4(glass) + \frac{1}{2}SiO_2(amorphous)$$
(3-1)

For VTS, during the first DSC upscan to 650K, the thermal energy is mainly used to overcome the energy barrier for V_2O_5 formation. When the second DSC upscan to 650K, the thermal energy is preferentially consumed for the above reaction (3-1) but not enough for the precipitation of new crystals. However, when the T_{max} of the second upscan is increased to 725K, the thermal energy is sufficient to not only make this reaction occur but also overcome the energy barriers for both the precipitation of Te₂V₂O₉ and TeVO₄ crystals. This can be the origin of the suppression effects, i.e., crystallization was suppressed by doping Si in VT.

3.2. ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCES OF VT AND VTS



Fig. 3-13. The cycling performance of anodes based on the VTS, VTS-650-2, VTS-650-725, Nano Si, VT, VT-650-2, VT-650-725 at 0.1 A g^{-1} for 50 cycles.

Fig. 3-13 shows the cycling performances of VTS, VTS-650-2, VTS-650-725, nano Si, VT, VT-650-2, VT-650-725, which exhibit capacities of 291, 424, 350, 5, 269, 305, and 261 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, at a current density of 0.1A g⁻¹ after 50 cycles. Clearly, the capacities of VTS after heat-treatment are higher than those of VT after heat-treatment, pristine VT and Si, suggesting VTS-650-2/VTS-650-725 exhibits a synergistic effect of VT and Si to some extent. In addition, the higher capacity of VTS after heat-treatment than that of pristine VTS indicates that the heating process can combine Si with VT and hence leverage the strengths of both materials. Moreover, VTS-650-725 based anode exhibits lower capacity than VTS-650-2, in which the former is fully crystallized while the latter is partially crystallized, verified by the DSC results. This could be due to that on the one hand, VTS-650-2 has more channels for Li⁺ diffusion as it preserved both open networks of glass and space between crystals and glass matrix. On the other hand, the fraction of inactive SiO_2 in VTS-650-2 is lower than that of VTS-650-725, verified by the NMR results. Therefore, introducing Si into glass by heat-treatment and fabricating glass-ceramics, which contain both glass and crystals, could be an approach to enhance the capacity of both VT and VTS. However, the electrochemical performances of VTS need to be further improved. We will explore it further in the next chapter.

3.3. SUMMARY

In this chapter, we prepared a composite material composed of nano-Si and V_2O_5 -TeO₂ glass (VT), which could be potentially applied as the anode material for LIBs. The nano-Si particles were evenly mixed with the VT glass, and then the mixture was subjected to different dynamic heat-treatment in argon by DSC. The as-prepared VTS exhibits higher capacity than their VT counterparts. In addition, the crystallization of VTS was suppressed compared with VT during the heating process. We clarified the origin of the suppression effects by using XPS, solid-state NMR, and FTIR

techniques. It was found that the introduction of nano Si in VT glass suppressed the formation of Te₂V₂O₉ crystals during heating to 650K but induce the precipitation of TeVO₄ during heating to 725K. This can be explained by the redox reaction between nano-Si and the VT glass. That is, when the VTS was heated to 650K, some thermal energy was consumed to overcome the energy barrier for the redox reaction (3-1), thereby making the precipitation of Te₂V₂O₉ crystals more difficult. When VTS was heated to 725K, the energy was sufficient for the precipitation of both TeVO₄ and Te₂V₂O₉ phases. This fundamental research on the structure evolution and crystallization behaviors of VTS upon heating contributes to the design of Si-glass composites for high-performance LIBs.

CHAPTER 4. OPTIMIZING PERFORMANCES OF SI/VT-GLASS COMPOSITES BY HOT PRESSING

In Chapter 3, we have found that the Si/vanadium-tellurite glass composites (VTSs) can enhance the electrochemical performance compared with the pure Si and VT. However, the capacity of the VTS, which was obtained by the traditional heat treatment method (Chapter 3), still needs to be improved. Since the preparation process is one of the key factors affecting the material properties, in this chapter (based on Paper III), we fabricated Si/VT composites by the hot-pressing method (see Section 2.1.2 for details). The composition-process-structure-electrochemical performance relations were studied.

4.1. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SI/VT COMPOSITES



4.1.1. PHASE AND THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSES

Fig. 4-1 XRD patterns of 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, and 10VTS-770-HP.

Based on the DSC results of VTS in Fig. 3-1 (Chapter 3), the glass transition temperature (T_g), crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} and T_{c2}), and melting temperature (T_m) are observed at 509, 563, 602, and 750K, respectively. To find out a

proper hot-pressing process, we firstly hot pressed 10VTS samples in a vacuum furnace under 100 MPa and kept for 0.5 h at different temperatures, i.e., 550K (above T_g), 570K (above T_{c1}), 620K (above T_{c2}), 670K (below T_m), and 770K (above T_m), where the number-10 represents the introduction of 10 wt.% Si nanoparticles into VT. According to the hot-pressing temperature, samples are referred to as 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, and 10VTS-770-HP, respectively. For comparison, 10VTS was heated to 620K and kept for 0.5 h in the vacuum furnace without pressure, and the obtained sample is named as 10VTS-620.

Fig. 4-1 shows the XRD patterns of the 10VTS-550/570/620/670/770-HP samples. Clearly, in all the samples, Bragg diffraction peaks at $2\theta\approx 28$, 47, 56° are detected. These peaks are ascribed to Si crystals, suggesting the preservation of Si after heat-treatment. In addition, samples 10VTS-670-HP and 10VTS-770-HP show V₂O₅ and TeVO₄ crystals besides Si, while 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP, and 10VTS-620-HP samples only exhibit Si. This indicate that although 10VTS-570-HP and 10VTS-620-HP was heated above the first crystallization onset temperature T_{c1} (563K) shown in DSC, no detectable new crystals were formed in both samples. This could be due to the different heating conditions between the different devices (DSC and furnace).



Fig. 4-2 DSC upscan curves of both 10VTS and 10VTS-620-HP, which are obtained at the rate of 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_g) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} , T_{c2} , and T_{c3}) are denoted in the curves.

To study the effect of hot-pressing process on thermal properties of samples, we performed DSC measurements on both 10VTS and 10VTS-620-HP. As shown in Fig. 4-2, both 10VTS and 10VTS-620-HP show the same T_g , T_{c1} , and T_{c2} values. However, a new crystallization peak (T_{c3} =622K) appears in 10VTS-620-HP, indicating the different potential energy and/or structure between those two samples. This is reasonable considering that 10VTS-620-HP has undergone heat-treatment compared to 10VTS. During heating 10VTS to 620K by hot pressing, the thermal energy can be used to increase the potential energy of the composite and overcome energy barrier of

a redox reaction which may occur between Si and VT [59], as shown in Chapter 3. Therefore, 10VTS-620-HP is more likely to precipitate new crystals in the subsequent DSC scan compared to 10VTS.

4.1.2. MORPHOLOGICAL AND STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATIONS

Fig. 4-3 shows the morphologies and EDS elemental mappings of samples 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP. For 10VTS-620 (Fig. 4-3a), Si nano particles agglomerated and covered the surface of VT, verified by the SEM image and elemental mappings of Si, V, Te, suggesting that Si is only in physical contact with VT when no pressure is applied. In contrast, Si nano particles are embedded in the VT matrix and form Si/VT composites for 10VTS-620-HP (Fig.4-3b) and 10VTS-670-HP (Fig.4-3c). This indicate that compared with traditional heat-treatment, hot pressing method could be a more effective approach to combine Si with VT. Thereby, for hot-pressed samples, the VT is expected to buffer the volume change of Si during cycling.



Fig. 4-3 SEM images and EDS elemental mappings of Si, V and Te. (a) 10VTS-620, (b) 10VTS-620-HP, (c) 10VTS-670-HP, where the number, i.e., 10, represents the introduction of 10 wt.% Si nanoparticles into VT.

The FTIR spectra of Si, 10VTS, 10VTS-620, and 10VTS-620-HP are shown in Fig. 4-4. Peaks at 478, 530, 680, 982, and 1000~1250 cm⁻¹ correspond to Si–O, V–O–V, Te–O–Te or O–Te–O, [VO₅], and Si–O vibrations [47-49, 59], respectively. When compared to the pristine 10VTS, the peak intensities at 1000–1250 cm⁻¹ for samples 10VTS–620 and 10VTS–620–HP rise, implying that Si was oxidized after heat-treatment. This phenomenon can only be explained by that VT oxidized Si, as the heating process is carried out in a vacuum. Moreover, 10VTS-620 shows a sharp peak at 530 cm⁻¹, which is not observed in 10VTS-620-HP. Instead, sample 10VTS-620-HP exhibit sharp peaks at 644 cm⁻¹ and 435 cm⁻¹, which are attributed to V–O–V/Te–O–Te vibrations [60, 61]. The spectral differences between 10VTS-620 and 10VTS-620-HP suggest that the pressure applied affects the structural evolution of VTS during heating.



Fig. 4-4 FTIR spectra of Si, 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, where the number, i.e., 10, represents the introduction of 10 wt.% Si nanoparticles into VT.



Fig. 4-5 XPS high-resolution of Si 2p(a) and V 2p (b) spectra for 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP.

To further study the structural evolution upon heating, XPS measurements of 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP were carried out. Fig. 4-5a shows the Si 2p spectra of samples. Each spectrum can be deconvoluted into peaks at ~99.5 eV (Si) and ~103.1 eV (SiO₂) [41]. The deconvolution results are shown in table 4-1. Clearly, more Si converts into SiO₂ with the increase of heating temperature. In addition, VTS-620 and VTS-620-HP show the same fractions of Si (~54.5%), indicating that the pressure does not promote the Si-to-SiO₂ conversion. Fig. 4-5b shows he high-resolution spectra of V 2p of these four samples. Peaks at 532.7, 530.6, 524.9, and 517.5 eV are ascribed to V-OH, V-O, V 2p_{3/2}, and V 2p_{1/2}, respectively [42, 43]. For the spectra of samples after heat-treatment, the V 2p_{1/2} peak becomes wider than that of pristine 10VTS. The wider peak can be deconvoluted into two peaks, i.e., V^{5+} at ~517.5 eV and V⁴⁺ at 516.4 eV, suggesting a redox reaction occurred in 10VTS

during the heating process, i.e., V^{5+} oxidized Si and formed V^{4+} and SiO₂. The formation of V^{4+} could facilitate the electrical conductivity in VT glass. This is because during discharging processes, polarons formed due to the electron-phonon interaction, and thus, high electronic conductivity can be realized by polaron-hopping from V^{4+} to V^{5+} . However, the formation of SiO₂, which is an insulating material, can decrease the electrical conductivity of materials. Therefore, there should be a compromise ratio between V^{4+} and SiO₂ to achieve high electrical conductivity.

Table 4-1 The fraction of Si, SiO₂ of VTS, VTS-620, VTS-620-HP, VTS-670-HP, which were obtained from the deconvoluted Si 2p XPS spectra shown in Fig. 4-5.

<u></u>			
Chemical state	Si	SiO ₂	Ratio
Samples	(%)	(%)	(Si/SiO ₂)
VTS	61.1	38.9	1.6
VTS-620	54.5	45.5	1.2
VTS-620-HP	54.6	45.4	1.2
VTS-670-HP	52.2	47.8	1.1

4.2. ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCES



4.2.1. CYCLING PERFORMANCE

Fig. 4-6 Cycling performances of anodes based on Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-620, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP.

Fig. 4-6a shows the cycling performances of Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, and 10VTS-770-HP. Obviously, the capacities of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP reach 408 and 313 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹ up to 1000 cycles, respectively, while those of Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-770-HP are 28, 110, 136, 206, 205 mA h g⁻¹ respectively. The significant capacity enhancement of samples 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP compared with that of pure Si and VT verifies that the hot pressing is an effective

method to combine Si and VT and realize a good synergistic effect. Since 10VTS-620-HP shows higher capacity than the above-mentioned other samples, we increased the fraction of doping Si in VT, i.e., 20%, 30%, 40%, and hot pressed the mixture at the same condition as sample 10VTS-620-HP. According to the Si fraction, the asprepared samples are referred to as 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP, respectively. The cycling performances of these samples are shown in Fig. 4-6b. Clearly, as the Si fraction increases from 20% to 40%, the capacities of the corresponding samples are 216, 260, and 126 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. A higher fraction of Si in VTS leads to a lower capacity than 10VTS-620-HP, indicating that 10 wt.% Si is the optimal doping level.



4.2.2. RATE PERFORMANCE

Fig. 4-7 The rate performances of anodes based on Si, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-VTS, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP at current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2 A g^{-1} .

Fig. 4-7 shows the rate performances of Si, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-VTS, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP samples at the current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 A g⁻¹. In Fig. 4a, the capacity of Si drops dramatically from 2290 to 774 mA h g⁻¹ while capacities of 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, and 10VTS-770-HP decrease from ~450 to ~200 mA h g⁻¹ after 5 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹. In contrast, the capacities of samples 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP are more stable and deliver average charging/discharging capacities of 725 and 621 mA h g⁻¹ after 5 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹, respectively. With the stepwise increase in the current density, the average capacities of all samples decrease, and among them, 10VTS-620-HP exhibits the highest capacity retention after performing 5 cycles at 0.2 0.5, 1, and 2 A g⁻¹. When the current density was decreased back to 0.1 A g⁻¹, the capacities of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP recover to 710 and 532 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, and remain stable in the following cycles, while the capacity of Si reaches 568 mA h g⁻¹ but decreases rapidly to 67 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles in total. The significant capacity decrease of Si is due to its volume change and pulverization during cycling [31, 32]. The enhanced rate capacities in both 10VTS-

620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP compared with pure Si, further confirm the effectiveness of the hot-pressing strategy.

The rate performances of the 10VTS-620-HP, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP are shown in Fig. 4-7b. The average capacity of the 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP in the first five cycles is 808, 857, and 910 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, which is greater than that of the 10VTS-620-HP (725 mA h g⁻¹). However, these three samples are sensitive to current density, and the capacity retention decreases rapidly as the current density increases. When the current was decreased back to 0.1 A g⁻¹, the capacities of 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP samples are 636, 695, and 720 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, and after 100 cycles, the capacities of these three samples are 316, 281, and 189 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. This rapid capacity decline in 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP samples could be interpreted that although these three samples contain higher percentages of doped Si than the 10-VTS-620-HP, not all the Si nanoparticles are properly embedded into the VT glass matrix due to the limited storage capability of the glass for Si. A larger fraction of doping may cause more bare Si nanoparticles to aggregate on the surface of the VT particles as opposed to being embedded in the protective glass matrices, resulting in a higher percentage of Si undergoing volume changes and pulverization. Hence a rapid decline in capacity is observed.

4.2.3. CYCLIC VOLTAMMETRY CURVE

To study why the 10VTS-620-HP based anode shows higher capacity than other samples with higher Si fraction or higher temperature, Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) measurements of 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP were performed (Fig. 4-8). For 10VTS-620-HP (Fig. 4-8a), the reduction peaks at around 1.01 V, 0.66 V, and 0.02 V are observed, which can be attributed to the interaction between Li⁺ and VT, formation of solid electrolyte interface film (SEI), and the alloying of Li⁺ with Si, forming Li_xSi phase, respectively [62-64]. Peaks at around 0.34 V and 0.54 V in the delithiation process indicate the extraction of Li⁺ from VT glass matrix [18, 19]. In the subsequent two CV cycles, peaks at 1.01 and 0.66 V disappear and instead, a new strong peak located at ~0.85 V is observed, which arises from the insertion of Li⁺ into VT [19].

Fig. 4-8b shows the CV curves of 10VTS-670-HP, in which both glass and V/V-Te containing crystals (V_2O_5 and TeVO_4) are present. In the first cathodic scan, peaks at 1.83 V, 0.97 V, 0.63 and 0.02 V are observed. The former two peaks should correspond to the insertion of Li⁺ into VT glass-ceramic, while the latter two peaks are ascribed to the formation of SEI and the alloying of Li⁺ with Si, respectively. The anodic peak positions are similar to those of 10VTS-620-HP, except that the peaks shift from 1.26 and 2.08 V in 10VTS-620-HP to 1.31 and 2.21 V in 10VTS-670-HP.

These two peaks should be attributed to the Li⁺ extraction from VT glass-ceramic. In the second and third CV curves, new strong peaks at ~0.91 V appear due to the Li⁺ insertion into VT glass-ceramic. Obviously, peaks corresponding to Si insertion/extraction (0.02, 0.34, and 0.54 V) in 10VTS-670-HP are weaker than those in 10VTS-620-HP (Fig. 4-8a), indicating that the reversible reaction between Si and Li_xSi is reduced. This can be explained by the fact that more Si in the VTS is transformed into SiO₂ when the hot-pressing temperature rises, resulting in fewer Si active sites and hence decreased capacity.



Fig. 4-8 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of 10VTS-620-HP(a), 10VTS-670-HP(b), 30VTS-620-HP(c), 40VTS-620-HP(d) within the range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹.

The CV curves of the 30VTS-620-HP and 40VTS-620-HP are shown in Figs. 4-8c, d. Clearly, the cathodic and anodic peak positions of 30VTS-620-HP (Fig. 4-8c) are identical to those of 10VTS-620-HP (Fig. 4-8a), but peak intensities of the former are weaker. This suggests that in 30 VTS-620-HP, fewer Li⁺ inserted/extracted into/from both Si/Li_xSi and VT matrix. In contrast to 10VTS-620-HP, 40VTS-620-HP in Fig. 4-8d displays weaker peaks at 0.02, 0.34, and 0.54 eV and no anodic peak at 1.26 eV. Additionally, in 40VTS-620-HP, the peaks at 0.85 and 2.08 eV are essentially undetectable. These results imply that as the fraction of doping Si increases, the reversible reactions decrease, i.e., fewer Li⁺ inserted/extracted into/from Si or Li_xSi

and VT, resulting in a decrease of capacity. This is reasonable considering the limited capability of VT matrix to accommodate Si. When the amount of Si particles exceeds the limitation of the VT glass, the excess Si cannot be embedded into the VT matrix by hot pressing. Instead, Si particles aggregated and covered the surface of VT particles, preventing Li⁺ from entering the VT matrix. Furthermore, due to volume fluctuations during charge/discharge, the bare Si without the protection of the VT matrix is easily pulverized, resulting in a quick drop in capacity.

4.2.4. ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE SPECTRA

The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP is displayed in Fig. 4-9. One semicircle is present at high frequency on each EIS curve, while an inclined line is present at low frequency. The resistance parameters (table 4-2) were obtained from the inset equivalent circuit. Obviously, 10VTS-620-HP shows a smaller charge transfer resistance (R_{ct} =161.6 Ω) than 10VTS-670-HP (R_{ct} =354.8 Ω), indicating that the former exhibits a higher electrical conductivity, which leads to a better electrochemical performance in 10VTS-620-HP.



Fig. 4-9 Nyquist plots of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP, which were obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz.

Table 4-2 Calculated impedance (R_e , R_{ct}) of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP based on EIS equivalent circuit model.

	Impedance	$R_{ m e}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{ m ct}(\Omega)$
Samples			
10VTS-620-1	HP	4.4	161.6
10VTS-670-	HP	3.4	354.8



4.3. PROPOSED MECHANISM

Fig. 4-10 The protecting role of VT glass matrix, which prolongs the cycling life of Si.

The structural origin of the electrochemical performance enhancements of VTS after hot pressing is related to the synergistic effects of VT glass matrix and the embedded nano-Si particles in lithium storage. First, the open disordered network structure that VT glass demonstrates is advantageous for Li+ diffusion. Second, the Si was embedded into VT matrix, which confines the volume expansion and buffers pulverization of Si during the insertion of Li⁺ into the composite, leading to good cycling stability. Specifically, compared with bare Si without the protection of glass, fewer Li-ions reacting with the embedded Si due to that some Li⁺ ions diffuse and store in the VT glass matrix in the composite during discharging. That is, to some extent, the VT glass matrix suppress the alloying of Li⁺ with Si. Moreover, the volume expansion of the embedded Si during alloying can be confined by the glass matrix (as shown in Fig. 4-10), leading to a lower degree of volume change and pulverization of Si. Third, the electrical conductivity of VTS can be increased by the production of V⁴⁺ as a result of the redox reaction between Si and VT during heat-treatment. Therefore, the VTS-HP samples outperform pristine Si, VT, and VTS in terms of reversible capacity and rate capability.

4.4. SUMMARY

In this chapter, we used a hot-pressing technique to embed Si nanoparticles into the vanadium-tellurite glass (VT) matrix to create Si/VT composites (VTSs) for lithium storage. By optimizing the hot-pressing temperature and Si fraction, the VTS can yield a strong synergistic effect. The capacity of the anode made from 10VTS-620-HP after 1000 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹ is 408 mA h g⁻¹, which is 3 times that of VT and 14 times that of pure Si. The significant performance enhancement could be due to that the VT glass with an open disordered network can provide channels for lithium transfer, as well as the glass matrix buffers the volume change of Si. Additionally, the electron transfer in 10VTS-620-HP can be facilitated by the formation of V⁴⁺ arising from the redox interaction between Si and VT during heat treatment.

CHAPTER 5. STRUCTURE AND PERFORMANCES OF SI@MOF-GLASS COMPOSITES

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are constructed by metal ion nodes and organic ligands, have shown great potential to be applied in the fields of energy and environmental technology. This potential is mainly due to their great porosity, huge surface, abundant reaction sites and tunable structures [20]. In this regard, scientists are attempting to develop MOF-based electrodes for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). Despite some progress, there are still three major barriers for developing superior MOF-based anodes: 1) low capacity; 2) poor cycling stability; 3) limited electronic conductivity.

About 6 years ago, a new family of MOFs, i.e., the MOF glasses via melt-quenching, were discovered by Yue et al. [25]. In contrast to crystalline MOFs, glassy MOFs possess disordered network structures with higher potential energy, and hence, contain larger free volume and more channels for lithium-ion storage and diffusion. These characteristics enable MOF glasses to exhibit better electrochemical performances than their crystalline counterparts [20]. However, it is still challenging to further enhance the capacity of MOF glass anodes owing to the limited Li⁺ intercalation. Silicon is well known to have high theoretical capacity but poor cycling stability. This inspired us to fabricate composite by combing the advantages of MOF glass and high-capacity Si material to obtain high-performance anodes.

Zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF) is a subset of MOFs. In this Chapter (based on Paper II), Si nanoparticles were wrapped into cobalt-ZIF-62 by a reflux method. It should be mentioned that cobalt-ZIF-62 is a type of ZIF with the composition of Co(imidazole)_{1.75}(benzimidazole)_{0.25}. After melt-quenching the Si@ZIF composite (SiZC), the Si@ZIF-glass composite (SiZGC) was obtained (see 2.1.4 for details). We investigated the composition-structure-electrochemical performance relations of the SiZGC.

5.1. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SI@ZIF COMPOSITES

5.1.1. PHASE AND THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSES

Fig. 5-1 shows the XRD patterns of the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC), 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC), and the simulated ZIF-62 crystal, where the number, i.e.,10, represents that weight percent of Si nanoparticles introduced into ZIF-62. Samples Z, 10SiZC, and simulated ZIF-62 show

sharp diffraction peaks in the range of $10^{\circ} \sim 25^{\circ}$, indicating the existence of ZIF crystals in these samples [20]. In contrast, sample ZG and 10SiZGC show no diffraction peaks between $10^{\circ} \sim 25^{\circ}$, suggesting their amorphous nature. In addition, the Bragg diffraction peaks corresponding to Si crystals were observed in both 10SiZC and 10SiZGC, indicating the existence of Si particles in the composites. Thus, the melt-quenching method only changed the crystal structure of ZIF but did not affect the crystal structure of Si.



Fig. 5-1 XRD patterns of the ZIF-62 crystal (Z), ZIF-62 glass (ZG), 10Si@ZIF-62 composite (10SiZC), 10Si@ZIF-62-glass composite (10SiZGC), and the simulated ZIF-62 crystal, where the number, i.e., 10, represents that weight percent of Si nanoparticles introduced into ZIF-62.



Fig. 5-2 DSC upscan curves (a) and thermogravimetric curves (b) of the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC), and 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC), which were obtained at $10 \ \mbox{\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}}$ min⁻¹ in argon.

DSC upscan curves and thermogravimetric (TG) curves of Z, ZG, 10SiZC and 10SiZGC samples are shown in Fig. 5-2. For both Z and 10SiZC, the DSC curves (Fig. 5-2a) exhibit endothermic peaks at around 280°C and 420°C, which are due to the

release of solvent and the melting event, respectively. However, ZG and 10SiZGC samples show a different situation in which the glass transition is observed at ~330°C. This suggests that the vitrification of ZIF in 10SiZC is not affected by the introduction of Si. To evaluate the thermal stability, TG measurements of these four samples are conducted (Fig. 5-2b). Clearly, in the temperature range of 150~300°C, significant mass loss is observed in both Z and 10SiZC while no mass loss occurs in both ZG and 10SiZGC. This is because the solvent (DMF) is released from the former two samples during the heating process.

5.1.2. MORPHOLOGICAL AND STRUCTURAL CHRACTERIZATIONS

Fig. 5-3 shows the morphologies of samples 10SiZC and 10SiZGC. For 10SiZC (Fig. 5-3a), the SEM images and EDS elemental mappings of Co, N, and Si verify that Si nanoparticles are wrapped into ZIF layers with a size about 10 μ m. In Fig. 5-3b, the morphologies of 10SiZGC are similar to those of 10SiZC, suggesting that the ZIF architectures remain after melt-quenching. In addition, the enlarged image (Fig. 5-3b) indicates that small Si particles are wrapped by ZIF-62 glass. Thus, as expected, SiZC and SiZGC are obtained by the facile reflux and the combination of reflux and melt-quenching methods, respectively.



Fig. 5-3 (a) SEM images of the 10Si@cobalt-ZIF-62composite (10SiZC) (Inset: EDS elemental mappings of Co, N and Si for SZ); (b) SEM images of the 10Si@cobalt-ZIF-62-glass composite (10SiZGC).

To study the structure of the as-prepared samples, FTIR and Raman measurements were performed. Fig. 5-4a shows the FTIR spectra of Z, ZG, 10SiZC and 10SiZGC. Obviously, these four spectra show similar frequency bands corresponding to the intramolecular vibrations, suggesting that the integrity of organic linkers in ZIFs are preserved during melt-quenching [27]. Peaks at ~430, ~835, 668, and 1082 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to the Co-N bonds, in-plane bending of the aromatic ring [20, 65], the ring deformation out-of-plane-bending in the organic ligands, and the C-H bending vibrations, respectively [20]. Clearly, the peaks in the crystal at around 430 and 835cm⁻¹ shift to a lower wavenumber after vitrification. The intensity ratio between the 668 and 1082 cm⁻¹ peaks increases when the ZIF crystal transform into glass. These indicate that ZG and 10SiZGC exhibit weaker Co-N bonds, aromatic rings, and an increased structure distortion compared with Z and 10SiZC. In addition, peaks at

1383 and 1675 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the vibrational mode of C-H and the carbonyl groups of solvent molecules (DMF), respectively, are not observed in the glass-based samples [20, 66]. This indicates that the DMF molecules have escaped from Z or 10SiZC during melt-quenching process, agreeing with the DSC results. Since Si is FTIR-inactive, Si-Si bonds were not detected in FTIR spectra of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC. However, the Raman spectra of these two samples in Fig. 5-4b clearly show Si-Si bonds at ~517 cm⁻¹ [67], confirming the existence of Si in the target composites.



Fig. 5-4 (a)FTIR spectra of Z, ZG, 10SiZC and 10SiZGC. (b) Raman spectra of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC.

5.2. ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCES

5.2.1. CYCLING PERFORMANCE

The cycling performances of the Si, Z, ZG, 5SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZC, 10SiZG, 15SiZC, and 15SiZGC based anodes at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹ for 500 cycles were shown in Fig. 5-5a. Obviously, the Si-doped composite samples exhibit higher capacities than those of the pristine ZIF crystal/glass and Si. In addition, the capacities of 5SiZGC and 10SiZGC are higher than those of 5SiZC and 10SiZC. In detail, the capacities of 5SiZGC and 10SiZGC and 10SiZGC based anodes reach 550 and 650 mA h g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ after 500 cycles, respectively, while those of 5SiZC and 10SiZC are 380 and 600 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. Moreover, the capacity of 10SiZGC based anode is more than three times that of ZG (210 mA h g⁻¹), six times that of pristine ZIF crystal (108 mA h g⁻¹) and thirty times that of pure Si (20 mA h g⁻¹). The above results not only suggest that the Si@ZIF composite gives a synergistic effect (i.e., a combination of high capacity and high cycling stability) compared with pure Si and ZIFs, but also indicate the important role of ZIF glass in protecting Si in the composite. When 10SiZGC was subjected to longer cycling (Fig. 5-5b), the capacity of 10SiZGC peaked at 660 mA h g⁻¹ at 570 cycles and then declined to 450 mA h g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles. This could be

attributed to the structural evolution of ZIF and the pulverization of Si during lithiation/delithiation.



Fig. 5-5 (a) The cycling performances of anodes based on the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 5Si@ZIF composite (5SiZC), 5Si@ZIF-glass composite (5SiZGC), 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC), 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC), 15Si@ZIF composite (15SiZC), and 15Si@ZIF-glass composite (15SiZGC) at 1 A g⁻¹ for 500 cycles, and (b) cycling performance of 10SiZGC at 1 A g⁻¹ for 1000 cycles.

5.2.2. RATE PERFORMANCE

The rate capabilities of 5SiZC, 10SiZC, 15SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZGC, and 15SiZGC samples are shown in Fig. 5-6. Samples 15SiZGC, 10SiZGC, and 5SiZGC deliver the average capacities of 666, 516, and 482 mA h g⁻¹ within the first 10 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹ ¹, respectively, which are higher than their crystalline counterparts. This can be explained that the ZIF glass phase provides more Li-ion intercalation sites arising from increased distortion and defects compared with crystalline ZIF [20]. As the current density increases, the average capacities decrease for all the samples, among which 5SiZGC exhibits the highest capacity retention after cycles at 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 A g⁻¹. In contrast, both 15SiZGC and 10SiZGC are sensitive to the current density and show a rapid drop in capacity with an increase of the current density. When the current density was set back to 0.1 Å g⁻¹, the specific capacity of 5SiZGC recovers to 432 mÅ h g⁻¹ and remains stable in the following cycles, while that of 15SiZGC and 10SiZGC restores to 540 and 440 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, but decreases in the subsequent cycles. This could be explained by the fact that, although 15SiZGC and 10SiZGC contain more Si than 5SiZGC, not all the Si nanoparticles in the composite contributed to the capacity increase within the studied 80 cycles. When more Si nanoparticles are introduced into the composite, such as the 10SiZGC, not all Si can be encapsulated into glassy ZIF cages, as shown in Fig. 5-3a. Therefore, due to the absence of the ZIF protection layer, the excess Si particles would aggregate and collapse during lithiation/delithiation, resulting in a decrease in capacity.



Fig. 5-6 The rate performances of anodes based on the 5SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZC, 10SiZGC, 15SiZC, and 15SiZGC at current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 0.1A g^{-1} .

5.2.3. CYCLIC VOLTAMMETRY CURVE



Fig. 5-7 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of pristine 10SiZGC within the range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} .

Fig. 5-7 shows the CV curves of 10SiZGC at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. In the first cathodic scan, three reduction peaks at around 1.48 V, 0.32 V, and 0.02 V appear, attributing to the storage of Li⁺ within ZIF, formation of SEI and Li_xSi phase, respectively [62-64, 68]. Peaks at around 0.35 and 0.57 V in the anodic scan are ascribed to dealloying of Li_xSi to Si, while the peak at ~1.24 V arises from the extraction of Li⁺ from ZIF glass [20, 64]. In the subsequent two CV cycles, peaks at 1.48 and 0.32 V in the cathodic scan disappeared. Instead, a broad peak at 0.71 V and a small peak at 0.12 V are observed, which correspond to the insertion of Li⁺ into ZIF glass and the alloying of Li⁺ with Si, respectively [69].



5.2.4. ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE SPECTRA

Fig. 5-8 Nyquist plots of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz.

Fig. 5-8 shows the EIS of pristine10SiZC and 10SiZGC. For each sample, the depressed semicircle in the high-frequency region correspond to charger transfer resistance (R_{ct}) and interface capacitance (C_{PE}), while the inclined line in the low-frequency region is ascribed to diffusion impedance (Z_w). [20, 70]. According to fitting results of the equivalent circuit for the Nyquist plots (the inset), the ohmic resistance (R_e) of 10SiZC and 10 SiZGC are 2.1 and 1.3 Ω , while the R_{ct} of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC are 290.4 and 405.3 Ω , respectively. This suggests that for pristine composites, 10SiZC shows a higher electrical conductivity than 10SiZGC.

5.3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SI@ZIF COMPOSITES AFTER CHARGING/DISCHARGING CYCLING

5.3.1. STRUCTURAL AND MORPHORLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS

XPS measurements were conducted on both 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling (Fig. 5-9). The high-resolution spectra of Co 2p show two main peaks (Fig. 5-9a), i.e., peaks at 796.2 and 781.1eV attributed to the Co $2p_{1/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$, respectively, suggesting the existence of Co²⁺ in both pristine 10SiZC and 10SiZGC [20]. After cycling, the two peaks (796.2 and 781.1 eV) slightly shift to higher binding energy, implying that the chemical environment of cobalt in 10SiZC and 10SiZGC varies upon insertion/extraction of Li⁺. This could be due to the distortion and breakage of the Co-N [20]. In Fig. 5-9 b, each spectrum of N 1s can be deconvoluted into two peaks, i.e., 398.7 eV (C=N-C) and 400.4 eV (C-N-H) [20, 71]. According to the deconvolution results in Table 5-1, the fraction of the C=N-C bonds is the same (i.e., about 94%) in both pristine 10SiZC and 10SiZGC. However, the C=N-C bond





Fig. 5-9. XPS high-resolution spectra of Co 2p (a), N 1s (b), Si 2p (c) and C 1s (d) for 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling.

Bonds	C=N-C	C-N-H	Ratio
Samples	(%)	(%)	(C=N-C/C-N-H)
10SiZC	94.3	5.7	16.5
10SiZGC	93.1	6.9	13.5
10SiZC-after cycling	73.8	26.2	2.8
10SiZGC-after cycling	72.9	27.1	2.7

Table 5-1 The fraction of C=N-C, C-N-H of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling, which were obtained from the deconvoluted N 1s XPS spectra shown in Fig. 5-9b.

For both samples before and after cycling, the XPS spectra of Si (Fig. 5-9c) exhibit similar characteristics. Each spectrum can be deconvoluted into two peaks and the results are shown in Table 5-2. The high fraction of SiO_2 in the XPS of the four samples can be explained as follows: Since Si oxidizes easily when exposed to air,

SiO₂ forms even at room temperature [72]. In other words, SiO₂ is already existing in the as-purchased commercial Si nanoparticles, verified by the FTIR and XPS results in Chapters 3 and 4. However, considering the XPS detection depth limitation, SiO₂ is predominant only in the surface layer (< 5 nm). Overall, the fraction of SiO₂ in the as-purchased Si is still much smaller than that of Si. For the high-resolution XPS spectrum of C 1s (Fig. 5-9d), the peaks of pristine 10SiZC and 10SiZGC can be deconvoluted into two peaks, i.e., 284.8 eV (C-C/C=C/C-H) and 286 eV (N=C-N). After cycling, the C 1s spectra of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC can be deconvoluted into four peaks. i.e., 284.8, 286.6, 288.5, and 290.1 eV, which are related to C-C/C=C/C-H, C-O/N=C-N, O-C=O/C-F, and Li₂CO₃ or lithium alkyl carbonates, respectively. The new deconvoluted peaks arise from the addition of PVDF and the formation of SEI during cycling [20, 73-75].

Table 5-2 The fraction of Si, SiO₂ of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling, which were obtained from the deconvoluted Si2p XPS spectra shown in Fig. 5-9c.

Chemical state Samples	Si (%)	SiO ₂ (%)	Ratio (Si/SiO ₂)
10SiZC	15.0	85.0	0.18
10SiZGC	13.8	86.2	0.16
10SiZC-after cycling	10.0	90.0	0.11
10SiZGC-after cycling	10.2	89.8	0.11



Fig. 5-10 FTIR spectra (a) and XRD patterns (b) of the 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after cycling.

Fig. 5-10 shows the FTIR spectra and XRD patterns of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after cycling. In Fig. 5-10a, the FTIR spectra of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC are almost the same, suggesting that both samples have similar structural features after cycling. In addition, compared with peaks in FTIR spectra of pristine 10SiZC and 10SiZGC(Fig. 5-4a), many peaks disappeared for both samples after cycling, including peaks at around 835, 748, 668, and 430 cm⁻¹ attributed to the in-plane-blending of aromatic ring, the

benzene group of imidazole/benzimidazole, the ring deformation out-of-planebending in the organic ligands, and the Co-N bonds, respectively [20, 65, 76]. This further verifies breakages of the aromatic rings and Co-N bonds after cycling. The XRD patterns of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after 500 cycles are shown in Fig. 5-10b. Obviously, no sharp diffraction peak but a broad peak at $2\theta \approx 16^{\circ}$ is observed in each XRD pattern, indicating the transformation of crystalline Si in both samples and crystalline ZIF in 10SiZC sample into amorphous materials upon cycling.



Fig. 5-11 (a), (c) TEM images and EDS mappings of Si, N, Co for 10SiZGC and 10SiZC after cycling, respectively. (b), (d) HRTEM images and the corresponding SAED patterns of 10SiZGC and 10SiZC after cycling.

Fig. 5-11 shows the morphologies of 10SiZGC and 10SiZC after 500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹. From the TEM image, EDS mappings, and the upper right inset in Fig. 5-11a, we can see that the overall architecture of ZIF in 10SiZGC after cycling is preserved and Si particles are still encapsulated within ZIF glass. Fig. 5-11b exhibits the HRTEM images and the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of 10SiZGC after 500 cycles. Clearly, this sample demonstrates a large amount of amorphous phase and a few crystals with a size of 10~20 nm. The lattice fringes with an interplanar spacing of ~0.31 nm is attributed to Si. Figs. 5-11c, d show the TEM image, EDS mappings,

HRTEM image, and the SAED pattern of 10SiZC after 500 cycles. Obviously, the cycled 10SiZC exhibits a similar scenario as 10SiZGC, i.e., a large amount of amorphous phase and a few Si crystals. These results suggest that ZIF crystals in 10SiZC and the majority of Si in both samples are converted into amorphous states after 500 cycles due to the insertion/extraction of Li⁺.

5.3.2. CYCLIC VOLTAMMETRY CURVE

The CV curves of 10SiZGC after 500 charging/discharging cycles are shown in Fig. 5-12. Compared with the redox peaks in the CV curves of pristine 10SiZGC (Fig. 5-7), a new pair of redox peaks, arising from the insertion/extraction of Li⁺ into/from ZIF glass [77], appear in the cycled sample, i.e., (1.69 vs 1.91 V). This indicates that as the charging/discharging cycle number increases, ZIF glass is gradually activated for lithium storage, contributing to the enhancement of the capacity of the composite. In addition, the peak at 0.12 V corresponding to the alloying of Li⁺ with Si in the second cathodic curve in pristine 10SiZGC (Fig. 5-7) is not observed in the cycled sample (Fig. 5-12). These results implies that the structure of both ZIF glass and Si changes with cycling.



Fig. 5-12 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of 10SiZGC after 500 cycles within the range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1mV s⁻¹.

5.3.3 ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE SPECTRA

Fig. 5-13a shows the EIS curves of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after 500 cycles. Clearly, different from the Nyquist plots of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before cycling (Fig. 5-8), two depressed semicircles arising from R_s along with C_{PE1} and R_{ct} with C_{PE2} , respectively, appear in the high-frequency region. The equivalent circuit for the Nyquist plots is given in the inset. The charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of 10SiZGC and 10SiZGC decreases from 405.3 and 290.4 Ω (Fig. 5-8) to 10.8 and 29.7 Ω after 500 cycles, respectively, suggesting the increased electrical conductivity. The diffusion impedance (Z_w) and Warburg factor (σ) can be determined from the inclined line in

the low-frequency region and the linear relation between impedance Z' and the reciprocal square root of the angular frequency ω , respectively. The σ values of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling are shown in Fig. 5-13b. Clearly, upon cycling, the σ values of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC drop from 191.78 and 320.88 to 32.36 and 14.16, respectively, suggesting an increased Li⁺ diffusion coefficient [20, 78]. The EIS results indicate that both the electron transfer rate and Li⁺ diffusion coefficient of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC are greatly enhanced by cycling.



Fig. 5-13 (a) Nyquist plots of 10SiZC and 10SiZCC after cycling obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz. (b) The dependence of Z' on $\omega^{-1/2}$ in the low-frequency region obtained from Nyquist plots in Figs. 5-8, 5-13a.

5.4. PROPOSED MECHANISM



Fig. 5-14 The protecting role of ZIF glass matrix, which prolongs the cycling life of Si.

Based on the above results, the enhanced capacity of the Si@ZIF-glass composite compared with pure Si, ZIF crystal/glass and Si@ZIF-crystal composite is associated with the synergistic effects of ZIF glass layer and the wrapped nano-Si particles in

lithium storage. In detail, compared to crystalline counterparts, cobalt-ZIF-62 glass has an open disordered network structure that offers more active sites and channels for Li⁺ storage and transfer. In addition, the volume changes of the encapsulated Si can be buffered by the ZIF glass layer during charging/discharging process. This is because that on the one hand, ZIF glass layer in the composite stores some Li⁺ itself, leading to fewer Li⁺ ions entering the inner surface of ZIF and reacting with wrapped Si, i.e., the alloying of Li⁺ with wrapped Si is suppressed to some extent. On the other hand, ZIF layer can geometrically confine the volume expansion of Si during alloving, and thereby reducing the degree of pulverization of Si in the composite. Even if the encapsulated Si is pulverized after cycling (as shown in 5-14), a large amount of Si is still attached to the inner surface of ZIF glass, and thus the composite structure can maintain good electrical contact with the copper current collector. Such good contact ensures electron transfer and hence the alloving between Li⁺ and Si. Moreover, the Li⁺ insertion/extraction could cause the distortion and breakage of aromatic rings and Co-N in ZIF, increasing structural defects and thus diffusion channels for Li⁺ [20, 79]. The increased channels lead to more Li⁺ ions going into ZIF and alloying with Si, thereby enhancing the reversible capacity and rate capability.

5.5. SUMMARY

In this chapter, we fabricated Si@ZIF-glass composites for lithium storage by combining the reflux and melt-quenching methods (more detail can be seen in Paper II). The composite based anode yields a strong synergistic effect and delivers a capacity up to 650 mA h g⁻¹ at the current density of 1 A g⁻¹ after 500 cycles. This capacity is more than three times that of ZIF glass, six times that of ZIF crystal, and thirty times that of pure Si. The structural origin of this enhanced electrochemical performance of composites has been revealed. In detail, first, the open disordered network structure of ZIF glass is beneficial for the transport and storage of Li⁺. Second, the existence of the ZIF glass layer buffers the volume expansion and pulverization of Si, so that Si can give full play to the advantages of high specific capacity. Third, the composite structure remains in good contact with the current collector, and thus ensures the transport of electrons and reversible reactions during the charge and discharge process. Our approach to constructing hierarchical Si@ZIF-glass composite could be further extended to other types of ZIF glasses and highly active materials for application in electrochemical energy storage.

CHAPTER 6. GENERAL DISCUSSIONS

Since the electrochemical performances of glass-based materials are associated with their composition, microscopic structure, prepare process, it is critical to understand composition-structure-process-performance relations for different glass systems. For both oxide glass and metal-organic framework (MOF) glass, a different fraction of doping Si affects the performances of Si/vanadium tellurite glass (VT) composites and Si@ZIF-glass (a subset of MOF) composites. By optimizing the Si fraction, i.e., 10 wt.%, Si-glass composite-based anodes exhibit superior capacity, cycling stability, and rate performance.

As one of the most important features of glasses, structural disorder plays a crucial role in their properties and functionalities. The structure of oxide glasses features short-range order, which involves polyhedral units such as VO₄, VO₅, TeO₃, etc., and medium-range order, but long-range disorder. In contrast, the short-range structure of MOF glasses is disordered, since it has a lower rigidity and larger size of the tetrahedral units in MOF compared [20, 26]. The long-range or short-range disordered structures exhibit open networks with larger free volumes than crystals, which are beneficial for lithium-ion diffusion and storage. Therefore Si@ZIF-glass composite (SiZGC) and VTS-620-HP anodes exhibit better electrochemical performances than Si@ZIF-crystal composite (SiZC) and VTS-670/770-HP anodes, respectively. In addition, for VT glass, when the glass was heat-treated above its T_g , which is related to glass network connectivity, bond strength etc., glass can act as a binder to combine with Si as it demonstrates viscous fluidity above T_g .

The preparation process can affect the composition and structure of materials and thus change the performances. For VT glass system, the introduction of Si and heating of the mixture (VTS) at different temperatures above T_g cause the redox reaction between Si and VT to form SiO₂ and V⁴⁺. The existence of V^{4+} could facilitate the electrical conductivity in VT glass. This is because during the discharging process, polarons formed due to the electron-phonon interaction, and thus efficient charge transfer can by polaron-hopping on medium-range $V^{4+}-O-V^{5+}$ be realized chains $(V^{4+}-O-V^{5+}\rightarrow V^{5+}-O-V^{4+})$ [59]. However, SiO₂ can decrease electrical conductivity as it is an insulating material. Therefore, to achieve high electrical conductivity of VTS, a compromise ratio between V⁴⁺ and SiO₂ should be considered. In addition, the redox reaction affects the crystallization of VTS. In detail, on the one hand, the reaction that occurred in VTS suppresses the precipitation of $Te_2V_2O_9$ crystals compared with VT owing to the energy consumed for the redox reaction. On the other hand, new TeVO₄ crystals appear due to the redox reaction between Si and VT, leading to compositional variations. The VTS sample with some crystals, namely glass-ceramic, contains both disordered and ordered domains. Although the formation of an ordered zone reduces the open networks of glass, new channels between glass and crystals can be generated for ion diffusion beneficial for electrochemical

performances. Moreover, when using the hot-pressing method to prepare samples, Si nanoparticles were embedded into the VT matrix, which could not be achieved by traditional heat treatment. The insertion of Si into the VT matrix stabilizes the Si particles during lithiation, and hence the VTS composites yield good synergistic effects (a combination of high capacity and good cycling stability).

As for Si@ZIF-glass system, Si nanoparticles were encapsulated into ZIF glass by combining the reflux and melt-quenching methods. As a result, a robust hierarchical structure was constructed, which demonstrates a strong synergistic effect. The unique architecture in ZIF glass prevents the aggregation of nano Si particles and buffers the volume changes of Si, hence promoting the transport kinetics for both electrons and lithium ions. In addition, ZIF glass itself also enhanced the electrochemical performance of Si@ZIF-glass based anode owing to its open network structure that facilitates the Li⁺ ion diffusion and storage, leading to better performances than pristine Si, ZIF glass, ZIF crystal and Si@ZIF-crystal counterparts.

In short, to obtain glass-based composite anodes with good electrochemical performances, the following aspects should be considered:

1) The glass system and the fraction of doping Si (composition). Choosing glass that is semi-conductive or conductive. This is because good electronic conductivity is essential for anode materials but most inorganic and ZIF glasses show low electronic conductivities. In addition, since both VT glass and ZIF glass show limited accommodation ability of Si, excessive Si can bring negative effects, e.g., extra Si not only cannot be protected by glass matrix but also hinder the transport of Li⁺ to glasses. Therefore, it is important to find the optimal fraction of doping Si.

2) SiO₂ vs. V⁴⁺, crystals vs. glass (composition and structure). For VTS system, a redox reaction occurred during heating, i.e., Si reduces V⁵⁺ and form SiO₂ and V⁴⁺. SiO₂ and V⁴⁺–O–V⁵⁺ chains demonstrate opposite effects on electrical conductivity. Thus, a compromise between SiO₂ and V⁴⁺ content is crucial. In addition, although glass-ceramics generated new channels between glass and crystals for ion diffusion, the glass anodes with disordered networks are superior to their crystal and glass-ceramic counterparts. This is verified by the excellent electrochemical performances of 10VTS-620-HP and 10SZGC, in which the matrices are only glass.

3) Whether Si volume change can be buffered by glass matrix (preparing process and architecture). For VT glass, the strategy to buffer Si volume change is to embed Si into VT matrix. When VTS composites are prepared by traditional heat-treatment, it is difficult to realize this goal. However, Si can be embedded into VT matrix by the hot-pressing method and achieve good performances. For ZIF glass, the approach to buffer Si volume fluctuation is to wrap Si into ZIF glass layers by combing reflux and melt-quenching methods. Using the facile method not only realized the goal but also doubled the yields of ZIF glass.
CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

7.1. CONCLUSIONS

Although glassy materials have received growing attention due to their compositional, structural and performance advantages compared with their crystalline counterparts, their application potential in energy storage, especially in LIBs, are still needed to be fulfilled. There are a few reports about using VT glasses and MOF glasses as anodes for LIBs, exhibiting excellent cycling stability. However, the capacities of these glass anodes need to be improved. To solve this problem, we combined glasses with Si, which has ultrahigh theoretical capacity but poor cycling stability due to the volume expansion during discharging and contraction during charging, to make full use of the advantages of both materials. Therefore, one of the main points of this thesis is to explore how they can combine effectively and yield synergistic effects. In detail, three synthesis methods for combining glasses (VT glass or MOF glass) with Si and forming Si-glass composites are investigated and explored: (1) the Si/VT composites obtained by the conventional heat-treatment method (Chapter 3); (2) the Si/VT composites prepared by hot pressing method (Chapter 4); (3) the Si@ZIF-glass composites synthesized by reflux and melt-quenching method (Chapter 5). Moreover, as another main point of this thesis, the composition-structure-process-performance relations are studied. Highlights are presented as follows:

For the VT glass system, we prepared Si/VT composites through two methods, i.e., conventional heat-treatment and hot-pressing methods. The hot-pressing is verified as an effective approach to combine Si and VT. We investigated the compositions, structures, morphologies, and electrochemical performances of the composites after different heating temperatures. It is found that 10VTS-620-HP sample, i.e., doping 10 wt.% Si into VT matrix and hot pressing at 620K, exhibits better electrochemical performances (408 mA h g⁻¹ at 1A g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles) than other samples with different Si fraction and hot-pressing temperatures. The origin of the high capacity of this sample was revealed. First, 10 wt.% of doping Si is an optimal fraction to embed into VT matrix as the glass has a limited ability to accommodate Si nanoparticles. Second, the hot-pressing temperature for VTS, i.e., 620K, not only preserves the disordered open network of VT glass, but also ensures a proper amount of V⁴⁺ generated by the redox reaction between Si and V^{5+} , resulting in both good Li-ion diffusion and electron transfer. Third, both the hot-pressing temperature and pressure, i.e., 620K and 100 MPa, guarantee enough viscous fluidity of the glass and sufficient driving force to embed Si into the glass matrix. Therefore, the volume expansion of Si can be buffered by the VT glass matrix during discharging. In summary, a synergistic effect can be achieved of Si and VT by hot-pressing method, leading to better electrochemical performances.

For the ZIF glass system, Si@ZIF-glass composites (SiZGCs) were synthesized by combining the reflux and melt-quenching methods. The 10SiZGC anode demonstrates a capacity of 650 mA h g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ after 500 cycles, which is higher than pure ZIF crystal, ZIF glass, Si, and Si@ZIF-crystal composites (SiZCs). The origin of high capacity of 10SiZGC was revealed by performing structural and morphological analyses before and after cycling. First, like VT glass, ZIF glass also has a limited capability to wrap Si, and 10 wt.% of doping Si is proved to be the optimal fraction. Second, ZIF glass possesses an open disordered network structure and weaker Co-N and aromatic rings, which involve more active sites and channels for both storage and transport of Li⁺ compared to their crystalline counterparts. Third, the volume changes of Si can be buffered by ZIF glass layers during the charging/discharging cycling. Fourth, the insertion/extraction of Li⁺ could result in the distortion or breakage of Co-N and aromatic rings in ZIF, leading to an increase in structural defects and diffusion channels for the transport and storage of Li⁺. Finally, the increased channels lead to more Li⁺ ions going into ZIF and reacting with Si, thereby achieving a strong synergistic effect, and exhibiting excellent reversible capacity, rate capability and cycling stability.

To sum up, we proposed and implemented the effective strategies of combining Si with oxide glass and MOF glass and thereby produced two promising glass-based LIB anode materials, which may have better compatibility with glass/glass-ceramic solid-state electrolytes than traditional anode materials. In addition, our approach could be further extended to other types of oxide and MOF glasses to combine with other highly active materials like Sn and SnO₂ for energy storage.

7.2. PERSPECTIVES

The outcomes of this thesis shed light on the development of glass-based materials for LIBs, while the following research topics are still in need of further investigation.

The lithium storage mechanism of VT glass is still not fully clarified. Future experiments and analyses are needed to elucidate the process. Some studies have reported the Li-ion storage mechanism of V_2O_5 crystals, i.e., Li ions intercalate into the layered space of the V_2O_5 crystalline structure forming $\text{Li}_xV_2O_5$ ($0<x\leq3$) and then de-intercalate [80, 81]. However, there is still no direct evidence to prove whether the layered space is preserved or partially preserved in vanadium-tellurite glass and the intercalation/deintercalation mechanism [18, 19]. Therefore, more advanced characterization technologies, such as *in-situ* XRD, *in-situ* NMR, etc., are urgently needed to explore the actual lithium storage mechanism in VT glass.

Similarly, the lithium storage mechanisms of MOF crystals and glasses are still uncertain. Scientists have proposed a possible mechanism for MOF crystals that carboxylate group from organic ligands could react with Li⁺ ions to give reversible capacity [82, 83]. However, there is still no direct evidence to prove this insertion

mechanism. In addition, for Si@MOF composites, it is still unknown the main insertion sites of Li⁺, i.e., at the interfaces of Si and MOF or Si within MOF layers. Therefore, further experiments and analyses are necessary.

Moreover, the reason why ZIF glass-based anodes exhibit gradually increasing capacity and experience order-disorder transition along with cycling is debatable. In addition, the origin of these growing capacities lacks solid evidence. Thus, the structure-performance relation of ZIFs should be further clarified.

Furthermore, our approaches to combining Si and VT/ZIF glass materials need to be expanded to other highly active materials and glass systems, thereby broadening the application fields of such composites. In addition, as all solid-state batteries are the development trend, it would be attractive to assemble glass-based electrodes with the currently best-performing solid-state electrolytes (glass/glass-ceramic) into all-solid-state batteries.

CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

BIOGRAPHY

[1] V. Shrivastav, S. Sundriyal, P. Goel, H. Kaur, S.K. Tuteja, K. Vikrant, K.H. Kim, U.K. Tiwari, A. Deep, Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and their composites as electrodes for lithium battery applications: Novel means for alternative energy storage, Coord. Chem. Rev. 393 (2019) 48-78.

[2] M.M. Kabir, D.E. Demirocak, Degradation mechanisms in Li-ion batteries: a state-of-the-art review, International Journal Of Energy Research 41(14) (2017) 1963-1986.

[3] S. Chae, S.H. Choi, N. Kim, J. Sung, J. Cho, Integration of graphite and silicon anodes for the commercialization of high-energy lithium-ion batteries, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 59(1) (2020) 110-135.

[4] J.B. Goodenough, K.-S. Park, The Li-ion rechargeable battery: a perspective, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135(4) (2013) 1167-1176.

[5] Y.S. Tian, G.B. Zeng, A. Rutt, T. Shi, H. Kim, J.Y. Wang, J. Koettgen, Y.Z. Sun, B. Ouyang, T.N. Chen, Z.Y. Lun, Z.Q. Rong, K. Persson, G. Ceder, Promises and challenges of next-generation "beyond Li-ion" batteries for electric vehicles and grid decarbonization, Chem. Rev. 121(3) (2021) 1623-1669.

[6] J. Lu, Z.W. Chen, F. Pan, Y. Cui, K. Amine, High-performance anode materials for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries, Electrochemical Energy Reviews 1(1) (2018) 35-53.

[7] J.B. Goodenough, Cathode materials: A personal perspective, J. Power Sources 174(2) (2007) 996-1000.

[8] Y. Ding, Z.P. Cano, A. Yu, J. Lu, Z. Chen, Automotive Li-ion batteries: current status and future perspectives, Electrochemical Energy Reviews 2(1) (2019) 1-28.

[9] W. Hou, X. Guo, X. Shen, K. Amine, H. Yu, J. Lu, Solid electrolytes and interfaces in all-solid-state sodium batteries: Progress and perspective, Nano Energy 52 (2018) 279-291.

[10] J. Souquet, Glasses as electrolytes and electrode materials in lithium batteries, J. Power Sources 26(1-2) (1989) 33-35.

[11] R. Chen, W. Qu, X. Guo, L. Li, F. Wu, The pursuit of solid-state electrolytes for lithium batteries: from comprehensive insight to emerging horizons, Materials Horizons 3(6) (2016) 487-516.

[12] K. Kaup, J.D. Bazak, S.H. Vajargah, X. Wu, J. Kulisch, G.R. Goward, L.F. Nazar, A lithium oxythioborosilicate solid electrolyte glass with superionic conductivity, Adv. Energy Mater. 10(8) (2020) 1902783.

[13] X. Wu, Z. Wang, L. Chen, X. Huang, Ag-enhanced SEI formation on Si particles for lithium batteries, Electrochem. Commun. 5(11) (2003) 935-939.

[14] Y. Huang, Y. Fang, X.F. Lu, D. Luan, X.W. Lou, Co₃O₄ hollow nanoparticles embedded in mesoporous walls of carbon nanoboxes for efficient lithium storage, Angew. Chem. 132(45) (2020) 20086-20090.

[15] Y. Idota, T. Kubota, A. Matsufuji, Y. Maekawa, T. Miyasaka, Tin-based amorphous oxide: a high-capacity lithium-ion-storage material, Science 276(5317) (1997) 1395-1397.

[16] S.-M. Paek, E. Yoo, I. Honma, Enhanced cyclic performance and lithium storage capacity of SnO2/graphene nanoporous electrodes with three-dimensionally delaminated flexible structure, Nano Lett. 9(1) (2009) 72-75.

[17] J. Liu, M. Cheng, T. Han, Q. Lu, H. Zhang, P. Zhou, Y. Ni, J. Li, A novel mechanically robust leaf-shaped tin dioxide Li-ion battery anode and its dynamic structural transformation and electron - transfer simulation, Energy Technology 8(5) (2020) 1901149.

[18] Y. Zhang, P. Wang, T. Zheng, D. Li, G. Li, Y. Yue, Enhancing Li-ion battery anode performances via disorder/order engineering, Nano Energy 49 (2018) 596-602.

[19] Y.F. Zhang, P.X. Wang, G.D. Li, J.H. Fan, C.W. Gao, Z.Y. Wang, Y.Z. Yue, Clarifying the charging induced nucleation in glass anode of Li-ion batteries and its enhanced performances, Nano Energy 57 (2019) 592-599.

[20] C. Gao, Z. Jiang, S. Qi, P. Wang, L.R. Jensen, M. Johansen, C.K. Christensen, Y. Zhang, D.B. Ravnsbæk, Y. Yue, Metal-organic framework glass anode with an exceptional cycling-induced capacity enhancement for lithium - ion batteries, Adv. Mater. 34(10) (2022) 2110048.

[21] Y. Jiang, D. Zhang, Y. Li, T. Yuan, N. Bahlawane, C. Liang, W. Sun, Y. Lu, M. Yan, Amorphous Fe₂O₃ as a high-capacity, high-rate and long-life anode material for lithium ion batteries, Nano Energy 4 (2014) 23-30.

[22] C. Gao, P. Wang, Z. Wang, S.K. Kær, Y. Zhang, Y. Yue, The disorderingenhanced performances of the Al-MOF/graphene composite anodes for lithium ion batteries, Nano Energy 65 (2019) 104032. [23] T. Wei, M. Zhang, P. Wu, Y.-J. Tang, S.-L. Li, F.-C. Shen, X.-L. Wang, X.-P. Zhou, Y.-Q. Lan, POM-based metal-organic framework/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites with hybrid behavior of battery-supercapacitor for superior lithium storage, Nano Energy 34 (2017) 205-214.

[24] X. Li, F. Cheng, S. Zhang, J. Chen, Shape-controlled synthesis and lithiumstorage study of metal-organic frameworks Zn_4O (1,3,5-benzenetribenzoate)₂, J. Power Sources 160(1) (2006) 542-547.

[25] T.D. Bennett, J.-C. Tan, Y. Yue, E. Baxter, C. Ducati, N.J. Terrill, H.H.-M. Yeung, Z. Zhou, W. Chen, S. Henke, Hybrid glasses from strong and fragile metalorganic framework liquids, Nature Communications 6(1) (2015) 1-7.

[26] R.S. Madsen, A. Qiao, J. Sen, I. Hung, K. Chen, Z. Gan, S. Sen, Y. Yue, Ultrahigh-field ⁶⁷Zn NMR reveals short-range disorder in zeolitic imidazolate framework glasses, Science 367(6485) (2020) 1473-1476.

[27] A. Qiao, T.D. Bennett, H. Tao, A. Krajnc, G. Mali, C.M. Doherty, A.W. Thornton, J.C. Mauro, G.N. Greaves, Y. Yue, A metal-organic framework with ultrahigh glass-forming ability, Science advances 4(3) (2018) eaao6827.

[28] L. Frentzel-Beyme, M. Kloß, R. Pallach, S. Salamon, H. Moldenhauer, J. Landers, H. Wende, J. Debus, S. Henke, Porous purple glass–a cobalt imidazolate glass with accessible porosity from a meltable cobalt imidazolate framework, J. Mater. Chem. A 7(3) (2019) 985-990.

[29] R. Banerjee, A. Phan, B. Wang, C. Knobler, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe, O.M. Yaghi, High-throughput synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks and application to CO₂ capture, Science 319(5865) (2008) 939-943.

[30] D.H.S. Tan, Y.-T. Chen, H. Yang, W. Bao, B. Sreenarayanan, J.-M. Doux, W. Li, B. Lu, S.-Y. Ham, B. Sayahpour, J. Scharf, E. A.Wu, G. Deysher, H.E. Han, H.J. Hah, H. Jeong, J.B. Lee, Z. Chen, Y.S. Meng, Carbon-free high-loading silicon anodes enabled by sulfide solid electrolytes, Science 373 (2021) 1494-1499.

[31] Y. Zhang, J. Ren, T. Xu, A. Feng, K. Hu, N. Yu, Y. Xia, Y. Zhu, Z. Huang, G. Wu, Covalent bonding of Si nanoparticles on graphite nanosheets as anodes for lithium-ion batteries using diazonium chemistry, Nanomaterials 9(12) (2019) 1741.

[32] Y. Xu, Y. Zhu, C. Wang, Mesoporous carbon/silicon composite anodes with enhanced performance for lithium-ion batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 2(25) (2014) 9751-9757.

[33] D.H. Tan, Y.-T. Chen, H. Yang, W. Bao, B. Sreenarayanan, J.-M. Doux, W. Li, B. Lu, S.-Y. Ham, B. Sayahpour, Carbon-free high-loading silicon anodes enabled by sulfide solid electrolytes, Science 373(6562) (2021) 1494-1499.

[34] S. Sakida, S. Hayakawa, T. Yoko, ¹²⁵Te and ⁵¹V static NMR study of V₂O₅-TeO₂ glasses, Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter 12(12) (2000) 2579-2595.

[35] M.I. Aroyo, J.M. Perez-Mato, C. Capillas, E. Kroumova, S. Ivantchev, G. Madariaga, A. Kirov, H. Wondratschek, Bilbao crystallographic server: I. Databases and crystallographic computing programs, Zeitschrift Fur Kristallographie 221(1) (2006) 15-27.

[36] M.I. Aroyo, A. Kirov, C. Capillas, J. Perez-Mato, H. Wondratschek, Bilbao Crystallographic Server. II. Representations of crystallographic point groups and space groups, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 62(2) (2006) 115-128.

[37] C.J. Fontenot, J.W. Wiench, G.L. Schrader, M. Pruski, ¹⁷O MAS and 3QMAS NMR investigation of crystalline V_2O_5 and Layered $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ Gels, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124(28) (2002) 8435-8444.

[38] M. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, C. Felser, N. Regnault, B.A. Bernevig, Z. Wang, A complete catalogue of high-quality topological materials, Nature 566(7745) (2019) 480-485.

[39] M.I. Aroyo, J. Perez-Mato, D. Orobengoa, E. Tasci, G. de la Flor, A. Kirov, Crystallography online: Bilbao crystallographic server, Bulg. Chem. Commun 43(2) (2011) 183-197.

[40] B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. Aroyo, B.A. Bernevig, Topological quantum chemistry, Nature 547(7663) (2017) 298-305.

[41] D.S. Jensen, S.S. Kanyal, N. Madaan, M.A. Vail, A.E. Dadson, M.H. Engelhard, M.R. Linford, Silicon (100)/SiO₂ by XPS, Surf. Sci. Spectra 20(1) (2013) 36-42.

[42] G. Silversmit, D. Depla, H. Poelman, G.B. Marin, R. De Gryse, An XPS study on the surface reduction of V_2O_5 (0 0 1) induced by Ar⁺ ion bombardment, Surf. Sci. 600(17) (2006) 3512-3517.

[43] M. Taha, S. Walia, T. Ahmed, D. Headland, W. Withayachumnankul, S. Sriram, M. Bhaskaran, Insulator–metal transition in substrate-independent VO₂ thin film for phase-change devices, Scientific reports 7(1) (2017) 1-10.

[44] P. Pena, J.R. Mercury, A. De Aza, X. Turrillas, I. Sobrados, J. Sanz, Solid-state ²⁷Al and ²⁹Si NMR characterization of hydrates formed in calcium aluminate–silica fume mixtures, J. Solid State Chem. 181(8) (2008) 1744-1752.

[45] J.G. Xu, X.M. Wang, H. Fu, C.M. Brown, X.P. Jing, F.H. Liao, F.Q. Lu, X.H. Li, X.J. Kuang, M.M. Wu, Solid-state ²⁹Si NMR and Neutron-diffraction studies of $Sr_{0.7}K_{0.3}SiO_{2.85}$ oxide ion conductors, Inorg. Chem. 53(13) (2014) 6962-6968.

[46] E. Boivin, J.-N. Chotard, C. Masquelier, L. Croguennec, Towards reversible high-voltage multi-electron reactions in alkali-ion batteries using vanadium phosphate positive electrode materials, Molecules 26(5) (2021) 1428.

[47] I. Joni, L. Nulhakim, M. Vanitha, C. Panatarani, Characteristics of crystalline silica (SiO₂) particles prepared by simple solution method using sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) precursor, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, IOP Publishing, 2018, p. 012006.

[48] S. Rada, E. Culea, V. Rus, M. Pica, M. Culea, The local structure of gadolinium vanado-tellurite glasses, J. Mater. Sci. 43(10) (2008) 3713-3716.

[49] W. Yang, W. Huang, Q. Zheng, W. Huang, Z. Chen, High efficiency preparation, structure and properties of silicon nano-crystals by induction plasma method, NanoWorld J 2(3) (2016) 63-68.

[50] Y. Saddeek, I. Yahia, W. Dobrowolski, L. Kilanski, N. Romcevic, M. Arciszewska, Infrared, Raman spectroscopy and ac magnetic susceptibility of Gd_2O_3 -TeO₂-V₂O₅ glasses, optoelectronics and advanced materials-rapid communications 3 (2009) 559-564.

[51] K. Shafeeq, V. Athira, C.R. Kishor, P. Aneesh, Structural and optical properties of V_2O_5 nanostructures grown by thermal decomposition technique, Appl. Phys. A 126(8) (2020) 1-6.

[52] A. Rahim, A. Arof, Spectroscopic studies of V₂O₅–Bi₂O₃–TeO₂ glasses, Optical and Quantum Electronics 48(4) (2016) 238.

[53] T. Srikumar, C.S. Rao, Y. Gandhi, N. Venkatramaiah, V. Ravikumar, N. Veeraiah, Microstructural, dielectric and spectroscopic properties of $Li_2O-Nb_2O_5-$ ZrO₂–SiO₂ glass system crystallized with V₂O₅, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 72(3) (2011) 190-200.

[54] V. Murgia, E.M.F. Torres, J.C. Gottifredi, E.L. Sham, Sol–gel synthesis of V_2O_5 –SiO₂ catalyst in the oxidative dehydrogenation of n-butane, Applied Catalysis A: General 312 (2006) 134-143.

[55] W. Chen, J. Peng, L. Mai, H. Yu, Y. Qi, Synthesis and characterization of novel vanadium dioxide nanorods, Solid State Commun. 132(8) (2004) 513-516.

[56] M.M. Islam, M.A. Rashid, M.P. Ahamed, M.E. Hossain, M.R. Ahsan, M.G. Mortuza, M.H.K. Rubel, Morphogenesis of silicovanadate glasses: investigation of physical properties, Journal of Engineering Advancements (2021) 78-86.

[57] H. Ji, D. Liu, H. Cheng, C. Zhang, L. Yang, D. Ren, Infrared thermochromic properties of monoclinic VO₂ nanopowders using a malic acid-assisted hydrothermal method for adaptive camouflage, RSC advances 7(9) (2017) 5189-5194.

[58] X. Wu, Z. Wu, C. Ji, H. Feng, X. Ma, Y. Su, Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Y. Jiang, Influence of infrared optical properties by transformation of the crystal structure in Al-doped vanadium dioxide films, Optical Materials Express 6(11) (2016) 3500-3506.

[59] J. Yan, T. Zhao, N. Shi, H. Zhan, J. Ren, Y. Zhang, Y. Yue, Impact of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization of a vanadium-tellurite glass, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 589 (2022) 121651.

[60] C. O'Dwyer, V. Lavayen, S.B. Newcomb, M.A. Santa Ana, E. Benavente, G. Gonzalez, C.S. Torres, Vanadate conformation variations in vanadium pentoxide nanostructures, J. Electrochem. Soc. 154(8) (2007) K29.

[61] M.D. Kaya, B.C. Sertel, N.A. Sonmez, M. Cakmak, S. Ozcelik, Thickness-dependent physical properties of sputtered V_2O_5 films and Ti/V₂O₅/n-Si Schottky barrier diode, Appl. Phys. A 126(11) (2020) 1-11.

[62] S. Ashraf, R. Mehek, N. Iqbal, T. Noor, G. Ali, A. Wahab, A.A. Qayyum, A. Ahmad, ZIF 67 derived Co–Sn composites with N-doped nanoporous carbon as anode material for Li-ion batteries, Mater. Chem. Phys. 270 (2021) 124824.

[63] Y. Liu, X. Que, X. Wu, Q. Yuan, H. Wang, J. Wu, Y. Gui, W. Gan, ZIF-67 derived carbon wrapped discontinuous Co_xP nanotube as anode material in high-performance Li-ion battery, Materials Today Chemistry 17 (2020) 100284.

[64] B. Li, F. Yao, J.J. Bae, J. Chang, M.R. Zamfir, D.T. Le, D.T. Pham, H. Yue, Y.H. Lee, Hollow carbon nanospheres/silicon/alumina core-shell film as an anode for lithium-ion batteries, Sci Rep 5 (2015) 7659.

[65] A. Ulu, Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs): a novel support platform for ASNase immobilization, J. Mater. Sci. 55(14) (2020) 6130-6144.

[66] M. Mubashir, R. Ashena, A. Bokhari, A. Mukhtar, S. Saqib, A. Ali, R. Saidur, K.S. Khoo, H.S. Ng, F. Karimi, Effect of process parameters over carbon-based ZIF-

62 nano-rooted membrane for environmental pollutants separation, Chemosphere 291 (2022) 133006.

[67] S. Mala, L. Tsybeskov, D. Lockwood, X. Wu, J.-M. Baribeau, Raman scattering in Si/SiGe nanostructures: Revealing chemical composition, strain, intermixing, and heat dissipation, J. Appl. Phys. 116(1) (2014) 014305.

[68] C. Li, X. Lou, Q. Yang, Y. Zou, B. Hu, Remarkable improvement in the lithium storage property of Co2 (OH) 2BDC MOF by covalent stitching to graphene and the redox chemistry boosted by delocalized electron spins, Chem. Eng. J. 326 (2017) 1000-1008.

[69] W. An, B. Gao, S. Mei, B. Xiang, J. Fu, L. Wang, Q. Zhang, P.K. Chu, K. Huo, Scalable synthesis of ant-nest-like bulk porous silicon for high-performance lithiumion battery anodes, Nature communications 10(1) (2019) 1-11.

[70] X. Hu, J. Jia, G. Wang, J. Chen, H. Zhan, Z. Wen, Reliable and General Route to Inverse Opal Structured Nanohybrids of Carbon - Confined Transition Metal Sulfides Quantum Dots for High - Performance Sodium Storage, Adv. Energy Mater. 8(25) (2018) 1801452.

[71] C. Hu, Y.-C. Huang, A.-L. Chang, M. Nomura, Amine functionalized ZIF-8 as a visible-light-driven photocatalyst for Cr (VI) reduction, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 553 (2019) 372-381.

[72] M. Morita, T. Ohmi, E. Hasegawa, M. Kawakami, M. Ohwada, Growth of native oxide on a silicon surface, J. Appl. Phys. 68(3) (1990) 1272-1281.

[73] I. Muzammil, Y. Li, M. Lei, Tunable wettability and pH-responsiveness of plasma copolymers of acrylic acid and octafluorocyclobutane, Plasma Processes and Polymers 14(10) (2017) 1700053.

[74] X. Chen, X. Wang, D. Fang, A review on C1s XPS-spectra for some kinds of carbon materials, Fullerenes, Nanotubes and Carbon Nanostructures 28(12) (2020) 1048-1058.

[75] S. Jurng, Z.L. Brown, J. Kim, B.L. Lucht, Effect of electrolyte on the nanostructure of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) and performance of lithium metal anodes, Energy & Environmental Science 11(9) (2018) 2600-2608.

[76] G. Khandelwal, N.P.M.J. Raj, S.-J. Kim, ZIF-62: a mixed linker metal–organic framework for triboelectric nanogenerators, J. Mater. Chem. A 8(34) (2020) 17817-17825.

[77] Y. Yu, C. Yue, X. Lin, S. Sun, J. Gu, X. He, C. Zhang, W. Lin, D. Lin, X. Liao, ZIF-8 cooperating in TiN/Ti/Si nanorods as efficient anodes in micro-lithium-ionbatteries, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 8(6) (2016) 3992-3999.

[78] X.L. Wu, Y.G. Guo, J. Su, J.W. Xiong, Y.L. Zhang, L.J. Wan, Carbon-nanotubedecorated nano-LiFePO₄@C cathode material with superior high-rate and lowtemperature performances for lithium - ion batteries, Adv. Energy Mater. 3(9) (2013) 1155-1160.

[79] Y. Lin, Q. Zhang, C. Zhao, H. Li, C. Kong, C. Shen, L. Chen, An exceptionally stable functionalized metal–organic framework for lithium storage, Chem. Commun. 51(4) (2015) 697-699.

[80] N. Wu, W. Du, G. Liu, Z. Zhou, H.-R. Fu, Q. Tang, X. Liu, Y.-B. He, Synthesis of hierarchical sisal-like V_2O_5 with exposed stable {001} facets as long life cathode materials for advanced lithium-ion batteries, ACS applied materials & interfaces 9(50) (2017) 43681-43687.

[81] L. Mai, Q. An, Q. Wei, J. Fei, P. Zhang, X. Xu, Y. Zhao, M. Yan, W. Wen, L. Xu, Nanoflakes-assembled three-dimensional hollow-porous V_2O_5 as lithium storage cathodes with high-rate capacity, Small 10(15) (2014) 3032-3037.

[82] M. Armand, S. Grugeon, H. Vezin, S. Laruelle, P. Ribière, P. Poizot, J.-M. Tarascon, Conjugated dicarboxylate anodes for Li-ion batteries, Nature materials 8(2) (2009) 120-125.

[83] S. Maiti, A. Pramanik, U. Manju, S. Mahanty, Reversible lithium storage in manganese 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate metal–organic framework with high capacity and rate performance, ACS applied materials & interfaces 7(30) (2015) 16357-16363.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

PUBLICATIONS IN PEER-REVIEW JOURNALS

(1) <u>Yan J</u>, Zhang T, Tao H, Zhan H, Yue Y. Structure, crystallization, and performances of alkaline-earth boroaluminosilicate sealing glasses for SOFCs. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 2021, 104: 2560–2570.

(2) <u>Yan J</u>, Zhao T, Shi N, Zhan H, Ren J, Zhang Y, Yue Y. Impact of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization of a vanadium-tellurite glass. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 2022, 589: 121651

(3) <u>Yan J</u>, Gao C, Qi S, Jiang Z, Jensen LR, Zhan H, Zhang Y, Yue Y. Encapsulation of nano-Si into MOF glass to enhance lithium-ion battery anode performances. *Nano Energy*, 2022, 103: 107779.

(4) <u>Yan J</u>, Li X, Shi N, Ren X, Zhou H, Tao H, Ren J, Qiao A, Zhang Y, Yue Y. Optimizing vanadium-tellurite glass/Si composite as a superior anode for Li-ion batteries by hot pressing (to be submitted).

ORAL AND POSTER PRESENTATIONS AT CONFERENCES

(1) <u>Yan J</u>, Yue Y. Impact of Silicon Doping on the Structure and Crystallization of a Vanadium-Tellurite Glass. **Poster**: The International Year of Glass Symposium, Aalborg, Denmark (2022).

(2) <u>Yan J</u>, Yue Y. Structure and Performances of Boroaluminosilicate Sealing Glasses for Solid Oxide Fuel Cells. **Oral**: 26th International Congress on Glass (26 ICG), Berlin, Germany (2022).

(3) <u>Yan J</u>, Gao C, Zhang Y, Yue Y. Nano-Si@MOF glass composite for lithium-ion batteries. **Poster**: European Materials Research Society, Warsaw, Poland (2022).

TEACHING ACTIVITIES

(1) Yue Y, Smedskjær M M, Yu D, <u>Yan J</u>. Course: Physical Chemistry of Materials (2021, 5 ECTS). Yan J gave three lectures accounting for 25% of the total class hours.

Paper I



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jnoncrysol

Impact of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization of a vanadium-tellurite glass

Check for updates

Jiajia Yan^a, Tongyao Zhao^b, Nian Shi^b, Hongbing Zhan^c, Jinjun Ren^b, Yanfei Zhang^{d,*}, Yuanzheng Yue^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, Fredrik Bajers Vej 7H, Aalborg DK-9220, Denmark

^b Key Laboratory of Materials for High Power Laser, Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China

^c College of Materials Science and Engineering, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China

^d School of Materials Science and Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, China

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T Keywords: We studied the effect of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization behavior of 50V₂O₅-50TeO₂ (mol%) V₂O₅-TeO₂ glass We studied the effect of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization behavior of 50V₂O₅-50TeO₂ (mol%) Nano-silicon (VT) glass by performing solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy Heat treatment (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). We observed two interesting phenomena. First, when the mixture of glass and Si is heated in argon to 560 K (1.1T_g), Si starts to react with VT glass and is oxidized to amorphous silica, being confirmed by NMR and FTIR. Second, Si suppresses the formation

1. Introduction

Crystallization

It is known that silicon (Si) has high initial theoretical capacity (>4000 mA h/g) as anode material in Li-ion batteries (LIBs), but in reality, has not been applied since it undergoes a severe volume change during lithiation/delithiation, leading to a drastic decay of the capacity [1]. It is also known that vanadium-tellurite (VT) glasses are a promising anode material with higher cycling stability for LIBs, although it has lower capacity than Si [2,3]. The reason for the high cycling stability of VT glasses lies in their unique structure feature (e.g., not purely 3D tetrahedral network) that exhibits higher liquid fragility, semiconducting and stronger crystallization tendency upon heating compared to most of the conventional oxide glasses with tetrahedral network. During discharging/charging process, electrons can cause formation of polarons, and thus, high electronic conductivity can be realized by polaron-hopping in $V^{4+}-O-V^{5+}$ chains $(V^{4+}-O-V^{5+}\rightarrow V^{5+}-O-V^{4+})$ [2,4]. The cycling ability of VT glass $V^{4+}-O-V^{5+}$ anode has been attributed to formation of the electrochemically active γ-Li₃VO₄ nanocrystals induced by insertion/extraction of Li ions. The nanocrystals lead to toughening of glass matrix and thereby increase the

cycling stability. Thus, it is anticipated that the incorporation of nano Si into VT glass could lead to the synergetic effect, i.e., to both enhanced capacity and increased cycling stability of the anode for LIBs.

of the Te₂V₂O₉ phase in VT glass during heating process up to 650 K (about $1.3T_g$), whereas it leads to the

formation of the new crystalline phase TeVO₄ during heating to 725 K (1.4Tg). We discuss the origin of these two

phenomena by considering the changes both in glass structure and in the redox reaction.

Here, the key question is whether the VT glass can buffer the volume change of Si during lithiation/delithiation and thereby suppress the decay of the anode performance if the two types of materials are properly combined. To answer this question, we need to investigate how they can be bonded together physically or chemically during heating process, and to find out how the Si-doping affects the crystallization behavior of VT glasses. To the best of our knowledge, such studies have not been reported in literature.

To conduct the above-mentioned investigations, we prepared the mixture of 10 wt% Si and 90 wt% VT glass using the physical mixing and subsequently sintering method. As is known, the heterogeneous nucleation in glass is easier to occur than the homogenous nucleation as the former has a lower nucleation energy barrier [5]. When nano-silicon powder is introduced into glass, the chemical heterogeneity and interfaces are created, and hence, the crystallization tendency is expected to be enhanced. The question is whether this common knowledge also applies to the impact of Si doping on the crystallization of VT glass. To

* Corresponding author.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: zhang-yanfei@hotmail.com (Y. Zhang), yy@bio.aau.dk (Y. Yue).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2022.121651

Received 19 February 2022; Received in revised form 14 April 2022; Accepted 15 April 2022 Available online 21 April 2022

0022-3093/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Table 1

The detailed heat-treatment procedures of $50V_2O_5$ - $50TeO_2$ glass powder (VT) and $50V_2O_5$ - $50TeO_2$ glass doped with Si powder (VTS).

Sample Name	Heat-Treatme 1 st DSC upscan	ent Procedures 2 nd DSC upscan	3 rd DSC upscan
VT-650 or VTS-650 VT-650-2 or VTS-650-2 VT-650-3 or VTS-650-3 VT-650-725 or VTS-650-725 VT-650-725-2 or VTS-650- 725-2	To 650 K To 650 K To 650 K To 650 K To 650 K	/ To 650 K To 650 K To 725 K To 725 K	/ / To 650 K / To 725 K

do so, the mixed powder samples were heat-treated to different temperatures in argon (see Experimental section). The crystallization behavior is characterized by using the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). The nature of bonding between Si nano powder and VT glass, as well as the structure changes of VT glass and Si upon crystallization were analyzed by performing the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). We discussed the mechanism of the crystallization in the Si doped VT glass.

2. Experimental

The $50V_2O_5$ -50TeO₂ (in mol %) glass prepared via the meltquenching method [2] is chosen as the basic glass to composite with nano-Si. The $50V_2O_5$ -50TeO₂ glass powder (VT) with a narrow size distribution between 5 and 10 µm was obtained by crushing and sieving the bulk sample. 100 mg commercial nano-silicon powder (about 20-60 nm particle size) was added into 0.9 g glass powder and then mixed thoroughly, which is referred to as VTS.

We conducted dynamic heat-treatment on both VT and VTS samples by the differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) (Jupiter 449C, Netzsch). Specifically, the VT and VTS were firstly subjected to a DSC upscan to 775 K at 10 K/min, respectively, to detect their thermal events, e.g., the glass transition temperature (T_g) and the crystallization onset temperature (T_c) (see Fig. S1). Based on this result, the new VT and VTS samples are upscanned to 545 K (a temperature just over the glass transition peak) and then downscanned at 10 K/min in argon to eliminate the glass

thermal history. After that, different DSC scanning procedures were performed at the same heating and cooling rates of 10 K/min. VTS samples were upscanning to T_g (509 K), $1.1T_g$ (560 K), $1.2T_g$ (610 K), $1.3T_{g} (\approx 650 \text{ K}), 1.4T_{g} (\approx 725 \text{ K}), 1.6T_{g} (815 \text{ K}), 1.8T_{g} (916 \text{ K}), 2T_{g} (1018 \text{ K}))$ K), and $2.1T_g$ (1067 K), in order to study the temperature at which Si starts to react with VT glass. VT and VTS samples after upscanning to 650 K (a temperature between T_{c2} and T_{c3} , which is denoted in Fig. S1), referred to as VT-650 and VTS-650, respectively, underwent the second and third DSC upscanning to investigate their crystallization behaviors. In detail, some VT-650 and VTS-650 samples were heat-treated to 650 K for the second and third upscans, others were upscanned to 725 K (a temperature between T_{c3} and the melting peak, which is denoted in Fig. S1) for the second and third times, which are referred to as VT-650-2, VTS-650-2, VT-650-3, VTS-650-3, VT-650-725, VTS-650-725, VT-650-725-2, and VTS-650-725-2, respectively, according to the upscanning temperatures and times. The detailed heat-treatment procedure is given in Table 1.

The crystal phases in VT and VTS after various heat treatment were identified on a PANalytical X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation. NMR measurement for VT, VTS were performed on a Bruker Avance III HD 500 M spectrometer (11.7 T). We detected ⁵¹V and ¹²⁵Te spectra for VT and VTS, and ²⁹Si spectra for VTS. The ²⁹Si, ⁵¹V and $^{125}\mathrm{Te}$ NMR measurements were conducted at the resonance frequencies of 99.4, 131.7 and 159.1 MHz, respectively. ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra were measured at a spinning rate of 6.0 kHz. Relaxation delays of 200 s were used, and chemical shift is referenced to Tetrakis (tetramethyl) silicate silane (= -9.7 ppm). ⁵¹V Hahn echo NMR experiments were operated at a spinning rate of 0 kHz with the pulse length of 2.4 $\mu s.$ ^{125}Te static wideband uniform-rate smooth truncation OCPMG (WURST-OCPMG) NMR spectra were obtained using the WURST-80 pulse sequence and an 8-step phase cycle, employing 50-µs excitation and refocusing pulses (liquid nutation frequency 8.1 kHz). FTIR spectra of Si and VTS were obtained by a Bruker Invenio S infrared spectrometer in the wavenumber range of 400 to 1400 cm⁻¹ at room temperature with a resolution of 4 $\rm cm^{-1}$.

3. Results

To investigate how and at which temperature Si and VT glass were



Fig. 1. ²⁹Si and ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VTS upscanned in DSC to different temperatures at 10 K/min in argon. (a) ²⁹Si NMR spectra of VTS upscanned to $T_g \sim 2.1T_g$, (b) ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VTS upscanned to $T_g \sim 1.4T_g$, (c) deconvolution of ⁵¹V NMR spectra shown in (b). Purple and green curves represent the VO₄ and VO₅ contributions, respectively.



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of Si and VTS after upscanning in DSC to $T_{\rm g}$, $1.1T_{\rm g}$ and $1.2T_{\rm g}$ at 10 K/min in argon.

bonded together during heating process, NMR analysis was performed on the VTS after upscanning to different temperatures in DSC to probe their local structural changes. Fig. 1a shows the ²⁹Si spectra of VTS after upscanning to 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2 and 2.1Tg, respectively, by DSC in argon. Each spectrum can be deconvoluted into three peaks, i.e., at ~ -80 ppm, -85 ppm, and -110 ppm. These three peaks are attributed to crystalline Si (C-Si), amorphous Si (A-Si), and Q⁴ in amorphous SiO₂ [6], respectively, where Q represents tetrahedron, and 4 is the number of bridging oxygen [7]. It can be seen that the peak at \sim -80 ppm becomes broader and weaker with an increase of the maximum upscanning temperature (T_{max}). The peak at ~ -85 ppm decreases and disappears at $1.6T_g$ while the peak at ~ -110 ppm increases gradually. This implies that the nano-Si (both C-Si and A-Si) transformed into amorphous SiO₂ during the heating process. Fig. 1b shows the ⁵¹V spectrum of VTS after scanning to different temperatures. The spectrum of each sample is deconvoluted into two components, i.e., purple and green curves, which are ascribed to [VO₄] and [VO₅], respectively, where 4 or 5 are the numbers of oxygen coordinated to each vanadium (in Fig. 1c). For VTS, as T_{max} increases, the fraction of [VO₅] increases, while that of [VO₄] decreases.

To further explore the reaction between Si and VT glass, FTIR spectra for Si, VTS- T_g , $1.1T_g$, $1.2T_g$ were obtained and normalized by the strongest peak, as shown in Fig. 2. For the Si spectrum (black dash line), the peaks at 478cm^{-1} and at around $1000 \sim 1250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ correspond to Si-O vibrations [8,9]. For the spectra of VTS- T_g , -1.1 T_g , and -1.2 T_g samples, peaks at 680 cm⁻¹ are assigned to O-Te-O or Te-O-Te vibrations [10]. The peaks at around 985cm⁻¹ are due to the vibrations of $[VO_5]$ while the peak at 1018 cm⁻¹ arises from V=O vibrations in $[VO_5]$ [10-14]. In addition, the broad peaks locating between 750 and 940 cm^{-1} are mainly assigned to V–O–V, [VO₄], and [VO₅] vibrations and the peaks at around $1050 \sim 1250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ arise from Si–O vibrations [9,10, 12,15]. It should be noted that a new peak at 530 cm^{-1} is associated with the V–O–V vibration in each spectrum of VTS-1.1 T_g and -1.2 T_g samples, respectively [16-19]. Obviously, the intensities of peaks at 530 cm^{-1} , 985 cm^{-1} , 1018 cm^{-1} , and 1050~1250 cm^{-1} increase with increasing T_{max}, indicating an increase in the numbers of V–O–V bonds, [VO₅] units and the Si-O bonds. This is consistent with the NMR results (Fig. 1).

Based on the NMR results in Fig. 1, the fractions of Si, SiO₂, VO₄, and VO₅ are determined by their respective deconvoluted peak areas obtained by integrating the signal intensity over the chemical shift. The fractions of both the decreased Si (i.e., $Si_{(dec.)}/(Si+SiO_2)$) and the [VO₄]



Fig. 3. The dependences of both Si_(dec.)/(Si+SiO₂) and VO_{4(trans.)}/((VO₄+VO₅) on the maximum DSC upscanning temperatures for VTS samples. The T/T_g represents the ratio of the DSC upscanning temperature to the glass transition temperature of VTS.



Fig. 4. DSC upscan curves of both VT (the solid line) and VTS (the dotted line), which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as T_g , T_{c1} , T_{c2} , T_{c3} , and T_{c4} for VT and VTS are denoted.

transformed into [VO₅] (i.e., $VO_{4(trans.)}/(VO_4+VO_5)$), are used to assess the critical temperature, at which Si starts to react with VT glass. Specifically, Si(dec.) refers to the fraction of Si, which has been oxidized to Si⁴⁺ during heating. It is obtained by subtracting the fraction of Si in VTS heated to a temperature above T_g from that in VTS heated to T_g . VO₄ (trans.) refers to the fraction of VO₄ units that have been transformed into VO₅ during heating, which is determined by subtracting VO₄ fraction in VTS heated to a temperature above T_g from that in VTS heated to T_g . The dependences of both Si(dec.)/(Si+SiO2) and VO4(trans.)/((VO4+VO5) on the T_{max}s are shown in Fig. 3. Obviously, Si_(dec.) rises with increasing the T_{max} , interestingly, it increases drastically when T_{max} is higher than $1.4T_{g}$, suggesting that a violent reaction occurs between Si and VT glass. In contrast, $VO_{4(trans.)}$ increases dramatically from $1.1T_g$ to $1.4T_g$. The changes in both Si(dec.) and VO4(trans.) imply that nano-Si starts to react with VT glass at $1.1T_g$. This means that the nano Si-to-SiO₂ conversion in VT glass can be controlled by varying the heat-treatment temperature.



Fig. 5. XRD patterns of (a) VT and (b) VTS after the first, second and third DSC upscans to 650 K at 10 K/min in argon.



Fig. 6. DSC upscan curves of both VT (the solid line) and VTS (the dotted line), which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. The 1st DSC upscan was performed to 650 K, and the 2nd and 3rd upscans were performed to 725 K. The characteristic temperatures such as T_g , T_{c1} , T_{c2} , and T_{c3} for VT and VTS are denoted.

Since Si can react with VT glass during the heating process, it is important to find out whether the Si-doping affects the crystallization behavior of VT glass. Fig. 4 shows the DSC upscan curves of the VT and the VTS to 650 K for three times at 10 K/min, and according to the number of the upscans, the samples are denominated as VT-650, VTS-650, VT-650-2, VTS-650-2, VT-650-3, and VTS-650-3, respectively. The $T_{\rm g}$ of both VT and VTS shifts to a higher temperature from the first to the 2^{nd} upscan due to the crystallization in the first upscan. Then T_{gs} remain constant in the subsequent upscans. In addition, the T_g of VT is the same as that of VTS at each upscan, implying that the remaining glass phase in VT and VTS after crystallization might have the same composition. The two exothermic peaks with onset temperatures of T_{c1} and T_{c2} (see the first DSC upscans), respectively, do not appear in the 2nd and 3rd DSC upscan curves. However, new crystallization peaks with onset temperatures of T_{c3} and T_{c4} occur for VT but not for VTS, suggesting that the crystallization in VT glass was suppressed by introducing nano-Si.



Fig. 7. XRD patterns of VT and VTS after two DSC upscans at 10 K/min in argon. The first upscan was performed to 650 K and then the second one was to 725 K, which are referred to as VT-650-725 and VTS-650-725, respectively.

Fig. 5 shows the XRD patterns of VT and VTS after the first, second and third DSC upscans to 650 K. The VT-650 sample shares the same XRD pattern as that of VTS-650, from which the V₂O₅ crystals can be identified. The precipitation of V₂O₅ increases the connectivity of the structural network according to a previous study [20], and thereby increasing T_g . However, Te₂V₂O₉ crystals are found in VT-650-2 and VT-650-3, but not in the corresponding VTS samples. This implies that the crystallization of Te₂V₂O₉ has been suppressed by introducing nano-Si into VT glass. In addition, this finding suggests that although the VT and VTS samples have the same T_g for both the 2nd and 3rd upscan curves, the compositions of the remaining glass matrices are different between the two samples.

To further explore the effect of nano-Si on the crystallization of VT



Fig. 8. ⁵¹V NMR spectra of (a) VT and (b) VTS samples before and after two different DSC upscan protocols at 10 K/min in argon. One is to upscan VT and VTS to 650 K twice (VT-650-2, VTS-650-2), the other is to upscan VT and VTS to 650 K first and then to 725 K (VT-650-725, VTS-650-725).

Table 2 The fraction of VO₅ and VO₄, which were obtained from the deconvoluted 51 V NMR spectra shown in Fig. S2.

Sample Name	VO ₅ (%)	VO ₄ (%)	VO ₅ / VO ₄	Sample Name	VO ₅ (%)	VO ₄ (%)	VO ₅ / VO ₄
VT VT-650-2	62.2 80.9	37.8 19.1	1.65 4.24	VTS VTS-650- 2	62.3 75.8	37.7 24.2	1.65 3.13
VT-650- 725	88.1	11.9	7.40	VTS-650- 725	88.2	11.8	7.47

glass, VT and VTS were upscanned to 650 K firstly and then to 725 K for the 2nd and 3rd times as shown in Fig. 6. Like the results in Fig. 4, the T_{gs} of both VT and VTS increase from the first to the second DSC upscan. However, the T_{c3} (662 K) of VTS-650-725 sample is higher than that (647 K) of VT-650-725, further confirming that the crystallization corresponding to T_{c3} is suppressed by introducing nano-Si into VT glass. In addition, the glass transitions do not occur in both VT-650-725-2 and VTS-650-725-2, implying that the glasses are fully transformed into crystal phase during the second DSC upscan.

Fig. 7 shows the XRD patterns of VT-650-725 and VTS-650-725, respectively. The Bragg peaks in the XRD pattern of VT-650-725 are attributed to V_2O_5 and $Te_2V_2O_9$. Besides these two crystalline phases, another crystalline phase, i.e., TeVO₄ is identified in the VTS-650-725.

In other words, although the crystallization of $Te_2V_2O_9$ in VT glass is suppressed to some extent by introducing nano-Si, a new crystalline phase (TeVO₄) is precipitated during this process. The formation of TeVO₄ could be caused by the reaction of VT glass and nano-Si.

To probe the microstructural evolution in VT and VTS samples after different DSC scans, ⁵¹V and ¹²⁵Te NMR measurements were performed. The deconvolutions of 51 V and 125 Te spectra were conducted based on chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) model [21]. Fig. 8 shows the ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VT, VTS, VT-650-2, VTS-650-2, VT-650-725, and VTS-650-725, respectively. The spectrum of each sample is deconvoluted into two components (purple and green curves), which are ascribed to VO₄ and VO₅, respectively (Fig. S2). The fractions of VO₄ and VO_5 in each sample are shown in Table 2. As T_{max} increases, the VO₅/VO₄ ratio increases for both VT and VTS. Moreover, the VO₅/VO₄ ratio is higher in VT-650-2 than that in VTS-650-2. This is due to the fact that both Te₂V₂O₉ and V₂O₅ crystals, in which the majority of vanadium exist in VO₅ [22–28], are precipitated in VT-650-2, while only V₂O₅ forms in VTS-650-2. The lower VO₅/VO₄ ratio in VTS-650-2 further confirms the inhibiting effects of Si on the crystallization of VT glass when the sample was heat-treated to 650 K ($\approx 1.3T_g$). When T_{max} reaches 725 K (\approx 1.4Tg), the VO₅/VO₄ ratio in VTS-650-725 is almost the same as that in VT-650-725. This is because the two glass samples are fully transformed into crystalline phase, as verified in Fig. 6. Although a new TeVO₄ phase is precipitated in VTS-650-725 besides V₂O₅ and Te₂V₂O₉, the majority of vanadium in TeVO₄ exists in VO₅ [24–27].



Fig. 9. ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of (a) VT and (b) VTS samples before and after two different DSC upscan protocols at 10 K/min in argon. One is to upscan VT and VTS to 650 K twice (VT-650-2, VTS-650-2), the other is to upscan VT and VTS to 650 K first and then to 725 K (VT-650-725, VTS-650-725). Dashed lines: the fitting curves.



Fig. 10. Schematic diagram of the reaction between Si and VT glass (a), and the evolution of $[VO_4]$ to $[VO_5]$ (b) when VTS was upscanned by DSC above its T_g at 10 K/min in argon.

Fig. 9 shows the ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of VT, VTS, VT-650-2, VTS-650-2, VT-650-725, and VTS-650-725. Each ¹²⁵Te spectrum can be represented by one unsymmetric peak, which should be ascribed to 3-coordinated Te, considering the chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) values (approximately 640-820) and the asymmetry parameters (η_{CS}) (0.1-0.2) (see Table S1) [29,30]. The peak shape of ¹²⁵Te spectrum becomes sharper as T_{max} rises, suggesting the transformation of glass into Te-containing crystals [23]. The sharper peak in VT-650-2 compared to that in VTS-650-2 also indicates that crystallization of Te₂V₂O₉ has been suppressed in VTS.

4. Discussion

As shown above, an increase of T_{max} leads to a pronounced increase both in the Si_(dec.)/(Si+SiO₂), VO_{4(trans)}/(VO₄+VO₅) ratios and in the number of V–O–V bonds and this indicates that the doped Si does react with VT glass. It should be mentioned that two exothermic peaks (with onset temperatures, T_{c1} and T_{c2} , respectively) consecutively occur in the 1st DSC upscan curve of VTS when heating to 650 K (Fig. 4), but only one crystalline phase (V_2O_5) is identified from the XRD pattern (Fig. 5). This could be explained by the possible scenario where the first and the second peak could be caused by the surface crystallization and the bulk crystallization (i.e., V2O5 formation), respectively. In addition, as shown in Fig. 6, a new crystallization peak with the onset temperature (T_{c3}) of 662 K for VTS appears, which is much larger than that for VT. This can be ascribed to the precipitation of two crystal phases Te₂V₂O₉ and TeVO₄, while only Te₂V₂O₉ precipitated in VT sample, as evidenced by the XRD patterns in Fig. 7. This means that two phases (V₂O₅ and Te₂V₂O₉) exist in VT-650-725, whereas, besides Si, three phases (V₂O₅, Te₂V₂O₉, and TeVO₄) occur in VTS-650-725 (Fig. 7).

Based on the NMR results in Fig. 1, the reaction of Si with oxygen leads to formation of amorphous SiO₂, where Si is tetrahedrally bonded to 4 bridging oxygen, during heating the VTS sample. One possible reaction mechanism is that Si bonds to 4 oxygens and each oxygen is provided by one $[VO_5]$ unit in VT glass, consequently, the tetrahedral [SiO₄] network locally forms and $[VO_4]$ units appear. Meanwhile, Si reduces V^{5+} to V^{4+} . Then, the remaining $[VO_x]$ units rearrange themselves to the ordered structure, i.e., crystals form during the heating process to a temperature of 662 K, and simultaneously part of $[VO_4]$ units are transformed into $[VO_5]$ units by sharing oxygen with other $[VO_4]$ units. Thus. the chain-like $[VO_4]$ structure are converted into zigzag $[VO_5]$ structure (Fig. 10) [23], generating new V–O–V bonds as verified by NMR and FTIR results shown in Fig. 2.

In addition, introducing nano-Si into VT glass has only a minor effect on formation of V_2O_5 , but can suppress the crystallization of $Te_2V_2O_9$ during the first and second DSC upscans to 650 K. When the T_{max} is increased to 725 K, both $Te_2V_2O_9$ and $TeVO_4$ crystals formed for VTS (Fig. 7). However, the onset temperature (T_{c3}) of the crystallization peak for VTS glass is higher than that of VT glass (see Fig. 6). This means that the nano-Si has the suppressing effect on crystallization of VT glass. To uncover the crystallization-suppressing mechanism of Si on VT glass, it is important to clarify the redox reaction between Si and VT glass. In this regard, we need to derive the redox reaction equation for *n* moles of VT glass. During the first upscan to 650 K, since only a small amount of Si particles has reacted with VT glass, the moles of the original glass can be approximately considered to be *n* moles. After the first upscan to 650 K, k moles V₂O₅ crystals are assumed to precipitate from the glass matrix. Thus, the chemical formula of the remaining glass (R-glass) is expressed as $\text{Te}_{n}V_{2(n-k)}O_{7n-5k}$ (n>k, n>0, k>0). Assuming that the molar amounts of the reacted Si and R-glass are x and y, respectively, during the second DSC scan, then the reaction can be written as:

$$xSi + yTe_nV_{2(n-k)}O_{7n-5k} \rightarrow yTe_nV_{2(n-k)}O_{7n-5k-2x} + xSiO_2(amorphous)$$
(1)

Among the four types of elements in the VTS sample, only vanadium is polyvalent, since it can exist as V^{5+} and V^{4+} . Therefore, considering the charge balance of the reaction, Si reduces V^{5+} to V^{4+} . Thus, we get the equations: 4x = 2y(n - k), then $x = \frac{y(n-k)}{2}$. In addition, owing to the conservation of oxygen ions, the relation y(7n - 5k) = y(7n - 5k - 2x) + 2x should be valid, from which y is found to be 1. Since the new crystal (TeVO₄) is precipitated from VTS-650-725, the values of *n* and *k* can be 2 and 1, respectively. Hence, reaction (1) can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{2}Si + Te_2V_2O_9(glass) \rightarrow Te_2V_2O_8(2TeVO_4)(glass) + \frac{1}{2}SiO_2(amorphous)$$
(2)

During the first and second DSC upscan to 650 K, in addition to the energy used to overcome the energy barrier for V_2O_5 formation, the remaining energy is preferentially consumed for the reaction (2). However, when increasing T_{max} of the second upscan to 725 K, the thermal energy is sufficient for overcoming the energy barrier for both the precipitation of Te₂V₂O₉ and TeVO₄ crystals and that for reaction (2).

5. Conclusions

We prepared a composite material that is composed of nano-Si and V_2O_5 -TeO₂ glass (VT) to be potentially applied as the anode material for advanced LIBs. The nano-Si is physically mixed with the VT glass, and then the mixture was subjected to different dynamic heat-treatment in argon. By using both solid-state NMR and FTIR techniques, we clarified

both the reaction mechanism of nano-Si with VT glass and their crystallization behavior. The nano-Si reacted with VT glass at $\geq 1.1T_g$ (560 K), leading to formation of amorphous SiO₂. The nano Si-to-SiO₂ conversion in VTS glass can be controlled by designing the heating protocol.

Moreover, it was found that introducing nano Si into VT glass could suppress the formation of Te₂V₂O₉ crystals during heating to 650 K (1.3 T_g) but induce the formation of TeVO₄ during heating to a higher temperature 725 K (1.4 T_g). This was explained by the redox reaction between nano-Si and the remaining glass after V₂O₅ precipitation. That is, during the heating of VTS sample, V₂O₅ was precipitated. After that, nano-Si reacted with Te₂V₂O₉ glass phase, leading to formation of amorphous SiO₂ and TeVO₄ glass phase. Differing from the crystallization in VT glass under the same heating condition, the crystallization in VTS glass requires more thermal energy to overcome the energy barrier for redox reaction (2), thereby making the formation of Te₂V₂O₉ crystals more difficult. When VTS was heated to a higher temperature 725 K (1.4 T_g), the energy is sufficient for formation of both TeVO₄ and Te₂V₂O₉ phases. This work helps design Si-oxide glass composites for a specific application, e.g., in high performance LIBs.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jiajia Yan: Investigation, Methodology, Data curation, Writing – original draft. Tongyao Zhao: Investigation, Data curation. Nian Shi: Investigation, Data curation. Hongbing Zhan: Writing – review & editing. Jinjun Ren: Methodology, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Yanfei Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Yuanzheng Yue: Supervision, Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge support by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. U1732155, 51672045, 51772223), China Scholarship Council (201906650022), Taishan Youth Scholar Project of Shandong Province (tsqn202103098), and Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2020ME025).

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2022.121651.

References

- D.H. Tan, Y.T. Chen, H. Yang, W. Bao, B. Sreenarayanan, J.M. Doux, W. Li, B. Lu, S. Y. Ham, B. Sayahpour, Carbon-free high-loading silicon anodes enabled by sulfide solid electrolytes, Science 373 (2021) 1494–1499.
- [2] Y.F. Zhang, P. Wang, T. Zheng, D. Li, G. Li, Y. Yue, Enhancing Li-ion battery anode performances via disorder/order engineering, Nano Energy 49 (2018) 596–602.
- [3] Y.F. Zhang, P.X. Wang, G.D. Li, J.H. Fan, C.W. Gao, Z.Y. Wang, Y.Z. Yue, Clarifying the charging induced nucleation in glass anode of Li-ion batteries and its enhanced performances, Nano Energy 57 (2019) 592–599.

- [4] J. Kjeldsen, Y. Yue, C.B. Bragatto, A.C. Rodrigues, Electronic conductivity of
- vanadium-tellurite glass-ceramics, J. Non Cryst. Solids 378 (2013) 196–200. [5] X. Liu, Heterogeneous nucleation or homogeneous nucleation? J. Chem. Phys. 112
- (2000) 9949–9955.
 P. Pena, J.R. Mercury, A. De Aza, X. Turrillas, I. Sobrados, J. Sanz, Solid-state ²⁷Al and ²⁹Si NMR characterization of hydrates formed in calcium aluminate-silica fume mixtures, J. Solid State Chem. 181 (2008) 1744–1752.
- [7] J. Xu, X. Wang, H. Fu, C.M. Brown, X. Jing, F. Liao, F. Lu, X. Li, X. Kuang, M. Wu, Solid-state ²⁹Si NMR and Neutron-diffraction studies of Sr_{0.7}K_{0.3}SiO_{2.85} oxide ion conductors, Inorg. Chem. 53 (2014) 6962–6968.
- [8] I. Joni, L. Nulhakim, M. Vanitha, C. Panatarani, Characteristics of crystalline silica (SiO₂) particles prepared by simple solution method using sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) precursor, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1080 (2018), 012006.
- [9] W. Yang, W. Huang, Q. Zheng, W. Huang, Z. Chen, High efficiency preparation, structure and properties of silicon nano-crystals by induction plasma method, NanoWorld J. 2 (2016) 63–68.
- [10] S. Rada, E. Culea, V. Rus, M. Pica, M. Culea, The local structure of gadolinium vanado-tellurite glasses, J. Mater. Sci. 43 (2008) 3713–3716.
- [11] A. Rahim, A. Arof, Spectroscopic studies of V₂O₅-Bi₂O₃-TeO₂ glasses, Opt. Quantum Electron. 48 (2016) 238.
- [12] Y. Saddeek, I. Yahia, W. Dobrowolski, L. Kilanski, N. Romcevic, M. Arciszewska, Infrared, Raman spectroscopy and ac magnetic susceptibility of Gd₂O₃-TeO₂-V₂O₅ glasses, Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. Rapid Commun. 3 (2009) 559–564.
- [13] V. Murgia, E.M.F. Torres, J.C. Gottifredi, E.L. Sham, Sol gel synthesis of V₂O₅–SiO₂ catalyst in the oxidative dehydrogenation of n-butane, Appl. Catal. A Gen. 312 (2006) 134–143.
- [14] T. Srikumar, C.S. Rao, Y. Gandhi, N. Venkatramaiah, V. Ravikumar, N. Veeraiah, Microstructural, dielectric and spectroscopic properties of Li₂O–Nb₂O₅–ZrO₂–SiO₂ glass system crystallized with V₂O₅, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 72 (2011) 190–200.
- [15] K. Shafeeq, V. Athira, C.R. Kishor, P. Aneesh, Structural and optical properties of V₂O₅ nanostructures grown by thermal decomposition technique, Appl. Phys. A 126 (2020) 1–6.
- [16] W. Chen, J. Peng, L. Mai, H. Yu, Y. Qi, Synthesis and characterization of novel vanadium dioxide nanorods, Solid State Commun. 132 (2004) 513–516.
- [17] M.M. Islam, M.A. Rashid, M.P. Ahamed, M.E. Hossain, M.R. Ahsan, M.G. Mortuza, M.H.K. Rubel, Morphogenesis of silicovanadate glasses: investigation of physical properties, J. Eng. Adv. (2021) 78–86.
- [18] H. Ji, D. Liu, H. Cheng, C. Zhang, L. Yang, D. Ren, Infrared thermochromic properties of monoclinic VO₂ nanopowders using a malic acid-assisted hydrothermal method for adaptive camouflage, RSC Adv. 7 (2017) 5189–5194.
- [19] X. Wu, Z. Wu, C. Ji, H. Feng, X. Ma, Y. Su, Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Y. Jiang, Influence of infrared optical properties by transformation of the crystal structure in Al-doped vanadium dioxide films, Opt. Mater. Express 6 (2016) 3500–3506.
- [20] S. Chakraborty, P. Boolchand, M. Malki, M. Micoulaut, Designing heavy metal oxide glasses with threshold properties from network rigidity, J. Chem. Phys. 140 (2014), 014503.
- M. Bak, J.T. Rasmussen, N.C. Nielsen, SIMPSON: a general simulation program for solid-state NMR spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson. 213 (2011) 366–400.
 C.J. Fontenot, J.W. Wiench, G.L. Schrader, M. Pruski, ¹⁷O MAS and 3QMAS NMR
- [22] C.J. Fontenot, J.W. Wiench, G.L. Schrader, M. Pruski, ¹⁷O MAS and 3QMAS NMR investigation of crystalline V₂O₅ and layered V₂O₅-nH₂O Gels, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 8435–8444.
- [23] S. Sakida, S. Hayakawa, T. Yoko, ¹²⁵Te and ⁵¹V static NMR study of V₂O₅-TeO₂ glasses, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 12 (2000) 2579.
- [24] M.I. Aroyo, A. Kirov, C. Capillas, J. Perez-Mato, H. Wondratschek, Bilbao Crystallographic Server. II. Representations of crystallographic point groups and space groups, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Crystallogr. 62 (2006) 115–128.
- [25] M.I. Aroyo, J.M. Perez-Mato, C. Capillas, E. Kroumova, S. Ivantchev, G. Madariaga, A. Kirov, H. Wondratschek, Bilbao crystallographic server: I. Databases and crystallographic computing programs, Z. Kristallogr. 221 (2006) 15–27.
- [26] M. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, C. Felser, N. Regnault, B.A. Bernevig, Z. Wang, A complete catalogue of high-quality topological materials, Nature 566 (2019) 480–485.
- [27] M.I. Aroyo, J. Perez-Mato, D. Orobengoa, E. Tasci, G. de la Flor, A. Kirov, Crystallography online: bilbao crystallographic server, Bulg. Chem. Commun. 43 (2011) 183–197.
- [28] B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. Aroyo, B. A. Bernevig, Topological quantum chemistry, Nature 547 (2017) 298–305.
- [29] S. Sakida, S. Hayakawa, T. Yoko, Part 2¹²⁵Te NMR study of M₂O–TeO₂ (M= Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) glasses, J. Non Cryst. Solids 243 (1999) 13–25.
- [30] Z. Jiang, T. Zhao, J. Ren, Y. Zhang, Y. Yue, NMR evidence for the charge-discharge induced structural evolution in a Li-ion battery glass anode and its impact on the electrochemical performances, Nano Energy 80 (2021), 105589.

Supplementary Materials for

Impact of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization of a vanadiumtellurite glass

Jiajia Yan^a, Tongyao Zhao^b, Nian Shi^b, Jinjun Ren^b, Hongbing Zhan^c, Yanfei Zhang^d*, Yuanzheng Yue^a*

This file includes:

Figs. S1, S2

Table S1



Fig. S1. DSC upscan curves of both VT (the dark line) and VTS (the red line), which are obtained at the rate of 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_g) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} , T_{c2} , and T_{c3}) are denoted in the curves.



Fig. S2 ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VT and VTS before and after DSC upscans to different temperatures at the rate of 10 K/min in argon. (a) ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VT and VTS without DSC scans. (b) ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VT and VTS after DSC upscans to 650 K twice. (c) ⁵¹V NMR spectra of VT and VTS after the first DSC upscans to 650 K and the second upscans to 725 K. Purple and green curves represent the VO₄ and VO₅ contributions, respectively.

Table S1 Position, chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) and asymmetry parameter (η_{CS}) of ¹²⁵Te NMR spectra of VT and VTS before and after two different DSC upscan protocols at the rate of 10 K/min in argon. One is to upscan VT/VTS to 650 K twice (VT/VTS-650-2), the other is to upscan VT/VTS to 650 K first and then to 725 K (VT/VTS-650-725).

Sample Name	Position (ppm)	CSA	ηcs
VT	2739.3	-720.9	0.20
VT-650-2	2739.3	-700.9	0.20
VT-650-725	2739.3	-640.8	0.10
VTS	2721.0	-820.7	0.20
VTS-650-2	2739.9	-757.6	0.20
VTS-650-725	2759.3	-640.8	0.20

Paper II

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nano Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nanoen

Encapsulation of nano-Si into MOF glass to enhance lithium-ion battery anode performances

Jiajia Yan^{a,1}, Chengwei Gao^{b,1}, Shibin Qi^c, Zhenjing Jiang^{c,d}, Lars Rosgaard Jensen^e, Hongbing Zhan^f, Yanfei Zhang^{c,*}, Yuanzheng Yue^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, DK-9220 Aalborg, Denmark

^b Laboratory of Infrared Material and Devices, Advanced Technology Research Institute, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China

^c School of Materials Science and Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, China

^d SEU-FEI Nano-Pico Center, Key Laboratory of MEMS of Ministry of Education, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

^e Department of Materials and Production, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark

^f College of Materials Science and Engineering, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Metal-organic framework glass Silicon Anode Lithium-ion batteries

ABSTRACT

Although metal-organic framework (MOF) glasses have exhibited high potential to be applied as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), their electrochemical performances still need to be greatly improved to match the rapid development of green energy technologies. Silicon is a promising candidate for the next generation of LIB anode but suffers from vast volume fluctuations upon lithiation/delithiation. Here, we present a strategy to in situ grow a kind of MOF, namely, cobalt-ZIF-62 (Co(imidazole)_{1.75}(benzimidazole)_{0.25}) on the surface of Si nano particles, and then to transform the thus-derived material into Si@ZIF-glass composite (SiZGC) through melt-quenching. The robust hierarchical structure of the SiZGC based anode exhibits the specific capacity of ~650 mA h g⁻¹, which is about three times that of pure ZIF glass and about six times that of pristine ZIF crystal at 1 A g⁻¹ after 500 cycles. The origin of this huge enhancement is revealed by performing structural analyses. The ZIF glass phase can not only contribute to lithium storage, but also buffer the volume changes and prevent the aggregation of Si nano particles during lithiation/delithiation processes.

1. Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are still the main energy storage devices to meet the demand for clean and sustainable energy. The anode material in commercial LIBs is primarily graphite, which can accommodate lithium ions and exhibit a theoretical gravimetric capacity of 372 mA h g⁻¹ [1]. However, the capacity of graphite cannot meet the market demand for large-scale applications with high energy/power density and operation reliability. Therefore, it is vital to develop new anode materials with high capacity, low charging/discharging potential, and low production cost.

Concerning the specific capacity, silicon (Si) is potentially the best alternative anode material for LIBs, as it has a theoretical specific capacity of > 4000 mA h g⁻¹. In addition, Si is non-toxic and is the second most abundant element in earth crust, exhibiting low average discharge potential vs. Li⁺/Li. However, pure Si anode exhibits very poor cycling

stability, i.e., capacity retention is only about 50 mA h g⁻¹ within 100 cycles [2,3] due to the enormous volumetric expansion (> 300%) upon lithiation [4]. Furthermore, the low intrinsic electronic conductivity of Si leads to the sluggish electrochemical kinetics and causes severe polarization, limiting the utilization of Si anodes. To solve the aforementioned critical problems, significant efforts have been devoted to modifying Si morphology in nanoscale [5] and compositing Si with conducting and/or stress-relief buffer matrix to accommodate volume expansion [5–7]. For example, Cui et al. reported a silicon-nanolayer-embedded graphite anode for LIBs [8]. Thus, a delicate structure design based on Si for anode material is necessary to achieve outstanding battery performances.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are three-dimensional networks constructed by metal nodes and organic ligands, have been receiving much attention owing to their promising applications, e.g., in catalyst, drug delivery, energy harvest, conversion and storage.

* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107779

Received 11 August 2022; Received in revised form 5 September 2022; Accepted 6 September 2022 Available online 8 September 2022

2211-2855/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







E-mail addresses: zhang-yanfei@hotmail.com (Y. Zhang), yy@bio.aau.dk (Y. Yue).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work

Considering the ultrahigh specific surface area for contacting with collector, abundant active sites for Li⁺ ions storage, as well as the tunable pores for migration of the Li⁺ ions, MOFs are regarded as one of the most potential candidates to replace current graphite anode for LIBs [9,10]. Crystalline MOF-177, first used as anode materials by Chen et al. shows a high irreversible initial capacity of 400 mA h g⁻¹ but low capacity of 100 mA h g⁻¹ after 2 discharging/charging cycles [10]. To tackle the problem of poor electronic conductivity of MOF anodes, MOFs are coated with graphene to obtain the MOF/graphene composite anode, and thereby deliver a reversible capacity up to ~1075 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ [11] and 400 mA h g⁻¹ at the current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ [9]. However, their reversible capacities at higher current densities still need further improvement to meet the soaring demands from modern electronic devices and electric vehicles.

As a promising method for improving the performances of anodes, vitrification of MOFs provides additional channels for Li^+ ion diffusion and storage due to the increased distortion and local breakage of the Co-N coordination bonds. Note that zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) are a subset of MOFs. It was recently reported that the anode based on cobalt-ZIF-62 glass exhibits high lithium storage capacity, i.e., 306 mA h g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles at 2 A g⁻¹, high cycling stability, and superior rate performance compared with both crystalline and amorphous ones (prepared by high-energy ball-milling) [12]. It should be mentioned that cobalt-ZIF-62 is a type of ZIF with the composition of Co(imidazo-le)_{1.75}(benzimidazole)_{0.25}. However, the ZIF glass anode still faces a main challenge, e.g., the limited reversible capacity. This inspired us to fabricate composite by combing the advantages of MOF glass and high-capacity Si materials to obtain high-performance anodes.

Recent progress in developing Si@MOF composite has dramatically enlarged the design space for anode materials [13-16]. Although crystalline MOFs have been utilized in fabricating the Si@MOF composites, the glassy MOF/Si composites have not been reported to the best of our knowledge [13,14]. In this work, we first applied a reflux technique (see Experimental section) to prepare Si nano particles@cobalt-ZIF-62 composites. Then we heated them to 450 °C, at which cobalt-ZIF-62 was melted and subsequently quenched to glass state, and thus the Si@calbolt-ZIF-62-glass composites (SiZGC) were obtained. This fabrication process involves wrapping Si nano particles into ZIF-62 and melt quenching treatment. We chose ZIF-62 as the precursor since it can be easily melt-quenched to glass state with a disordered open network, benefiting to the Li-ion storage and transfer [12,17,18]. Si nano-particles increased the yield of ZIF-62 by providing heterogeneous nucleation sites for ZIF crystal formation. Thus, a synergistic effect was achieved for the composite-based anode, which led to a rather high reversible capacity (650 mA h g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ after 500 cycles). This capacity is about 3 times higher than that of ZIF glass, 6 times that of ZIF crystal, and 30 times that of pure Si-based anodes. The strategy used in this work not only buffered the volume expansion and the aggregation of Si nano particles during cycling, but also made full use of ZIF-62 glass for lithium storage. We clarified the structural origin of the enhancement of the capacity in the derived composite-based anodes.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Synthesis of samples

Cobalt-ZIF-62 crystal (Z) was synthesized by conventional solvothermal method [18–20]. The cobalt-ZIF-62 glass (ZG) was obtained by heating the Z at 10 °C·min⁻¹ in argon to 450 °C and calcined at this temperature for 5 mins followed by cooling naturally to room temperature [12]. Instead of using the solvothermal method, Si@cobalt-ZIF-62 composite (SiZC) was prepared via a reflux method, which involves: 1) heating the chemically reacting solution for a period at a certain temperature; 2) condensing the vapor to liquid state via a pipe. In detail, imidazole (Im, 11.55 mmol, 785.4 mg), benzimidazole (BIm, 1.66 mmol, 196 mg), $C_4H_6CoO_4\bullet H_2O$ (4 mmol, 996 mg), and Si nanoparticles with different amounts (50, 100, 150 mg, accounting for about 5%, 10%, 15 wt% of the final product, respectively), were added to 90 mL *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF). Then the solution was heated at 130 °C for 48 hrs while refluxing and stirring. After cooling naturally to room temperature, the SiZC was obtained by centrifugation and vacuum drying. The SiZC was melt-quenched using the same procedure as that vitrifying the Z to obtain Si@cobalt-ZIF-62-glass composite (SiZGC), in which Si remains crystalline. The samples are named according to the weight percentage of Si in composite. For example, 5SiZGC refers to the SiZGC containing 5 wt% Si. The detailed preparation procedures are given in Table 1.

2.2. Materials characterizations

The phases of the samples were identified on a PANalytical X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation during the 2 θ range of $5-70^{\circ}$ with a step size of 0.013° . To investigate the characteristic temperature of glassy material, i.e., glass-transition temperature, the differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) (Jupiter 449 C, Netzsch) measurements were conducted at 10 °C·min⁻¹ in argon to 450 °C. The Raman spectroscopy measurements were conducted via Renishaw In-Via Raman microscopic with an Ar⁺ laser ($\lambda = 532$ nm). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker TENSOR II FTIR spectrometer with Platinum ATR Accessory at room temperature in the range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. To study the chemical environment of Co, Si, C, N, O in anodes before and after discharging/charging cycling, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed using ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) with nonmonochromatic Al Ka X-ray (1486.6 eV) at pass energy of 50 eV. The morphologies and element distributions of samples were analyzed using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (Supra-55, Zeiss Inc.), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEOL Ltd., Japan) and Xray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) (X-Max, OXFORD Instruments Inc.).

2.3. Cell assembly and electrochemical evaluation

The electrochemical performances of the obtained samples were evaluated by using CR2032 coin cells with lithium foil (diameter of 10.0 mm) as the counter/reference electrode. A uniform slurry consisting of 70 wt% active materials (the as-prepared ZIFs or Si@ZIFs), 20 wt% acetylene black, and 10 wt% polyvinylidene difluorides (PVDF) were prepared in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) and then pasted onto a copper foil substrate via a doctor blade and dried at 110 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h to obtain working electrodes. The loading amount of active materials was 1~2 mg. 1 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1 vol%) and a Celgard 2325 membrane (diameter of 19.0 mm) were used as the electrolyte and separator, respectively. The cells were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox with both the moisture and the oxygen content lower than 0.1 ppm and then the cells were tested at 25 °C. The galvanostatic charging/discharging tests of the samples were conducted on a Land battery test system (CT2001A) within the voltage range 0.01-3 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were obtained in the voltage range of 0.01-3 V

Table 1

The preparation procedures of the cobalt-ZIF-62 crystal (Z), cobalt-ZIF-62 glass (ZG), Si@cobalt-ZIF-62 composite (SiZC), and Si@cobalt-ZIF-62-glass composite (SiZGC).

Sample Names	Preparation Solvotherm	Melt-Quenching	
Z ZG SiZC SiZGC	√ √ _	- - - - -	_ √ _ √

at the scanning rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. EIS spectra were recorded in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz–100 kHz on CHI 760e electrochemical workstation. All electrochemical measurements were repeated with different batches of samples to confirm the reproducibility of their performances.

3. Results and discussion

Scheme 1 illustrates the fabrication process of the Si@cobalt-ZIF-62glass composite (SiZGC). First, the raw materials including organic ligand, metal ions, and Si nano-powder, were dispersed in the DMF, and then reflux at 130 °C for 2 days to precipitate the Si@ZIF-62 composite (SiZC) (see Experimental section). The Si nanoparticles act as heteronucleation sites for growing ZIF layer. Second, the obtained SiZC was heated to 450 °C at 10 °C·min⁻¹ in argon and then cooled down naturally, leading to the SiZGC formation. Finally, Si nanoparticles with a size distribution of 20~60 nm were encapsulated in ZIF glass matrix, resulting in a robust structure that buffers the volume changes of Si during lithiation/delithiation processes.

The morphologies and microstructures of samples 10SiZC and 10SiZGC were examined using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), as shown in Fig. 1. For 10SiZC (Fig. 1a), ZIF particles with a size of \sim 10 µm are observed, in which Si nanoparticles are wrapped, as verified by EDS elemental mappings of Co, N, and Si. Thus, SiZC was obtained by the facile reflux method. The SEM image of 10SiZGC (Fig. 1b) reveals that the ZIF cages remain owing to the high viscosity of ZIF melt, and hence, the morphologies of 10SiZGC are similar to those of 10SiZC. In addition, the enlarged image (Fig. 1b) indicates that small Si particles are covered by ZIF-62 glass.

To obtain the crystallographic information on the studied samples, Xray diffraction (XRD) measurements were conducted (Fig. 2a). In the XRD patterns of Z and 10SiZC, sharp diffraction peaks can be seen in the range of $10^{\circ} \sim 25^{\circ}$, coinciding with those in the simulated ZIF-62 [12]. Moreover, the Bragg diffraction peaks associated with Si were also observed in 10SiZC, further confirming the wrapping of nano Si particles by crystalline ZIF-62. In contrast, the XRD patterns of sample ZG show no diffraction peaks, indicating its amorphous nature. While the diffraction peaks for Si crystals also appear in the XRD pattern of 10SiZGC sample. Thus, ZIF-62 becomes amorphous while Si remains crystalline in 10SiZGC upon melt-quenching. That is, the melt-quenching method did not affect the crystal structure of Si.

Fig. 2b shows the DSC upscan curves of Z, ZG, 10SiZC and 10SiZGC samples obtained at 10 °C min⁻¹ in argon. For both Z and 10SiZC, the DSC curve of each sample exhibits an endothermic peak at around 280 °C due to the release of solvent, followed by another endothermic peak at 420 °C associated with the melting event. However, a different scenario is observed for both ZG and 10SiZGC samples, i.e., the glass transition occurs at ~330 °C, implying that the vitrification of ZIF in

10SiZC is not affected by introducing Si. To evaluate the thermal stability, thermogravimetry (TG) curves of Z, ZG, 10SiZC, and 10SiZGC were collected. As shown in Fig. S1, significant mass loss occurs in both Z and 10SiZC in the temperature range of 150–300 °C owing to the solvent (DMF) release, while no mass loss is seen in both ZG and 10SiZGC as the solvent was already released during the glass preparation process.

The FTIR spectra of Z, ZG, 10SiZC and 10SiZGC in Fig. 2c show the similar frequencies of intramolecular vibrations, indicating that the integrity of organic linkers in ZIFs are preserved during melt-quenching [19]. However, structural changes take place during transformation of crystal into glass (Fig. 2c). First, the peaks at around 430 and 835 cm⁻¹ correspond to Co-N bonds and the in-plane bending of aromatic rings, respectively [12,21], and both peaks shift to a lower wavenumber after vitrification, suggesting that both Co-N bonds and aromatic rings become weaker in ZG and 10SiZGC. Second, the intensity ratio between the 668 and 1082 cm⁻¹ peaks increases and the two peaks are attributed to the ring deformation out-of-plane-bending in the organic ligands and the C-H bending vibrations, respectively [12]. This implies an increased distortion in glass samples. Third, the peaks at 1383 and 1675 cm⁻¹ arising from vibrational mode of C-H and the carbonyl groups of solvent molecules (DMF) disappear upon vitrification [12,22]. This indicates that the DMF molecules have escaped from Z or 10SiZC during melt-quenching, agreeing with the DSC results. It is worth noting that the Si-Si bonds were not detected in FTIR spectra for 10SiZC and 10SiZGC since Si is FTIR-inactive. However, the strong peak at ~517 cm⁻¹ assigned to Si-Si bonds [23] was observed in Raman spectra (Fig. 2d) for those two samples, verifying the existence of Si in the target composites.

To evaluate the anode performances of the Si@ZIF composites, the cycling experiments of the commercial nano-Si, Z, ZG, 5SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZC, 10SiZGC, 15SiZC, and 15SiZGC based anodes were performed at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹ (Fig. 3a). The specific capacity of almost every sample decays distinctly within about 50 cycles and then increases dramatically, except for the Si and Z based anodes which deliver rather low capacities. Specifically, the Si-doped composite samples exhibit remarkably higher capacities than those of the corresponding pure ZIFcrystal/glass and Si. This implies that the co-existence of ZIF layer and Si leads to a synergistic effect that benefits both Li-ion storage and transport. Specifically, the ZIF layer can protect Si from pulverization by buffering the volume changes during charging/discharging cycles, while Si provides large specific capacity. In addition, the ZIF glass-containing composites show higher capacities than the samples with ZIF crystal, except for the samples doped with 15 wt% Si. The capacity of 650 mA h g⁻¹ of 10SiZGC based anode can be reached at 1 A g⁻¹ after 500 cycles, i.e., it is more than three times that of ZG (210 mA h g⁻¹), six times that of pristine ZIF crystal (108 mA h g⁻¹), and 30 times that of pure Si (20 mA h g⁻¹). This is a remarkable enhancement, considering that the specific capacities are calculated based on the mass of the total



Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of the Si@cobalt-ZIF-62-glass composite (SiZGC) and the protecting role of ZIF glass matrix, which prolongs the cycling life of Si.



Fig. 1. Microscopic characterizations. (a) SEM images of the 10Si@cobalt-ZIF-62 composite (10SiZC) (Inset: EDS elemental mappings of Co, N and Si for SZ), where the number, i.e., 10, represents the introduction of 10 wt% Si nanoparticles into ZIF-62; (b) SEM images of the 10Si@cobalt-ZIF-62-glass composite (10SiZGC).



Fig. 2. Phase, thermal and structure analyses of the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC), and 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC). (a) XRD patterns along with that of simulated ZIF-62. (b) DSC upscan curves which were obtained at 10 °C min⁻¹ in argon. (c) FTIR spectra. (d) Raman spectra of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC.

active material - Si@ZIF composite, and only ~10 wt% of Si exists in the active material for 10SiZGC. This means that 10 wt%Si contributes around 400 mA h g⁻¹ to the total capacity, which almost reaches the theoretical capacity of 10%Si as that of pure Si is ~4000 mA h g⁻¹. In addition, 10SiZC and 5SiZGC also show high capacities, reaching 600 and 550 mA h g⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹, respectively. All these results not only suggest that the Si@ZIF composite exhibits a synergistic effect (i.e., a combination of high capacity and high cycling stability) compared with pure Si and ZIFs [2,12], but also indicate the important role of ZIF glass in protecting Si in the composite. Fig. S2 shows the cycling performance of 10SiZGC after 1000 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹. Apparently, the capacity of 10SiZGC did not rise all the way but peaked at 660 mA h g⁻¹ after 570 cycles and then declined to 450 mA h g⁻¹ after

1000 cycles. This could be attributed to structural evolution of ZIF and the pulverization of Si during lithiation/delithiation.

To probe the electrochemical performances of 5SiZC, 10SiZC, 15SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZGC, and 15SiZGC samples, we also investigated their rate capabilities (Fig. 3b). The results suggest the excellent kinetics of the SiZGC-based anodes at different current densities up to 5 A g⁻¹. As expected, 15SiZGC, 10SiZGC, and 5SiZGC manifested outstanding high-rate capability compared with other samples, delivering the favorable average capacities of 666, 516, and 482 mA h g⁻¹ after 10 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹, respectively. This can be explained in terms of the amounts of doping Si and the effects of ZIF glass. The glass phase provides more Liion intercalation sites arising from increased distortion and defects compared with crystalline ZIF [12]. With a stepwise increase in the



Fig. 3. Electrochemical Performances. (a) The cycling performance of anodes based on the ZIF crystal (Z), ZIF glass (ZG), 5Si@ZIF composite (5SiZC), 5Si@ZIF-glass compos-(5SiZGC). 10Si@ZIF ite composite (10SiZC), 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC), 15Si@ZIF composite (15SiZC), 15Si@ZIF-glass composite (15SiZGC). where the numbers, i.e., 5, 10, 15, represent that weight percent of Si nanoparticles introduced into ZIF-62, respectively, at 1 A g⁻¹ for 500 cycles. (b) Rate performance of 5SiZC, 5SiZGC, 10SiZC, 10SiZGC, 15SiZC, and 15SiZGC at current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 0.1 A g⁻¹. (c) Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of pristine 10SiZGC within the range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. (d) Galvanostatic charge-discharge voltage profiles of 10SiZGC for three cycles at the current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹. (e) Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves of 10SiZGC after 500 cycles within the range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. (f) Nyquist plots of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz.

current density, the average capacity decreases for all the samples, among which 5SiZGC exhibits the highest capacity retention after cycles at 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 A g⁻¹. In addition, when the current density was set back to 0.1 A g⁻¹, the specific capacity of 5SiZGC can recover to 432 mA h g⁻¹ and remains stable in the following cycles, indicating a superior rate performance. In contrast, both 15SiZGC and 10SiZGC are sensitive to the current density and show a rapid decline in capacity retention rate with an increase of the current density. When the current density was switched back to 0.1 A g⁻¹, the capacities of 15SiZGC and 10SiZGC restore to 540 and 440 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, and decrease slowly in the following cycles. This could be explained as follows. Although the Si content in 15SiZGC and 10SiZGC is higher than that in 5SiZGC, not all the Si nanoparticles in the composite contributed to the capacity increase within the studied 80 cycles in the test of rate capability. When introducing more Si into the composite, e.g., 10SiZGC, the glassy ZIF cages simply cannot accommodate all Si, as shown in Fig. 1a. The additional Si particles will aggregate and collapse during lithiation/delithiation because no ZIF protection layer exists, resulting in a capacity decline.

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements of 10SiZGC at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ were carried out to investigate the electrochemical reaction, as shown in Fig. 3c. In the first cathodic scan, there are three

distinct reduction peaks at around 1.48, 0.32, and 0.02 V, which are attributed to the storage of Li⁺ within ZIF, formation of solid electrolyte interface film (SEI), and formation of Li_xSi phase, respectively [24–27]. During the anodic reaction, the peaks at around 0.35 and 0.57 V are ascribed to dealloying of $\mathrm{Li}_x\mathrm{Si}$ to Si, while the peak at ${\sim}1.23$ V comes from the extraction of Li⁺ from ZIF glass [12,27]. In the subsequent two CV curves, the pair of redox peaks (~0.71 versus ~1.24 V) arises from reversible insertion or extraction of Li⁺ into/from ZIF glass, whereas both the 0.12 vs 0.57 V pair and the 0.02 vs 0.35 V pair are associated with the alloying-dealloying processes of Si/Li_xSi [28]. Fig. 3d shows the typical galvanostatic discharge/charge voltage profiles in the first three cycles of 10SiZGC anode at 0.1 A g⁻¹. The observed plateau voltage in the profiles is consistent with the peak voltage in the CV curves. The initial discharge and charge capacities were 1010 and 627 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, giving the first Coulombic efficiency of 62%, and the irreversible capacity loss may be due to the formation of the SEI layer and other irreversible reactions [29].

To clarify why the capacity of 10SiZGC continuously increases during 500 cycles, three CV cycling of 10SiZGC after 500 charging/discharging cycles were performed (Fig. 3e). Remarkably, compared with the redox peaks in the CV profiles of the fresh battery (Fig. 3c), a new couple of redox peaks appear, i.e., (1.69 vs 1.91 V), which can be ascribed to the reversible insertion/extraction of Li⁺ into/from ZIF glass [30]. This indicates that the ZIF glass is gradually activated for lithium storage as a result of the charging/discharging cycling, which contributed to the enhancement of the capacity. Moreover, the redox peaks located at ~0.71 and ~1.24 V in Fig. 3c shift to higher voltages, i.e., ~0.94 and ~1.41 V (Fig. 3e). The peak at 0.12 V in the second cathodic curve in Fig. 3c does not appear in Fig. 3e, implying the gradual structural changes in both ZIF glass and Si with cycling. In addition, the overlapping of three CV curves in Fig. 3e indicates the reversibility of 10SiZGC after 500 cycles.

To understand the origin of the electrochemical performance enhancement in 10SiZGC upon cycling, the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after 500 cycles were performed (Fig. 3 f). All the Nyquist plots consist of one or two depressed semicircles in the high frequency region and an inclined line in the low frequency region. The equivalent circuit for the Nyquist plots is given in the inset of Fig. 3 f, where R_{e} , R_{s} , R_{ct} , C_{PE} and Z_w represent the ohmic resistance, SEI resistance, charge transfer resistance, interface capacitance and diffusion impedance of the half-cell systems, respectively [12,29]. The fitted parameters are shown in Table S1. It is seen that the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC decreases from 290.4 and 405.3 Ω to 29.7 and 10.8 Ω after 500 cycles, respectively, indicating the increased electrical conductivity. In addition, the depressed semicircle in each EIS curve of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC splits into two consecutive ones after cycling. The semicircle in the higher frequency region arises from the SEI impedance (R_s and C_{PE1}). The line inclined at approximately 45° in the low frequency region corresponds to the Warburg impedance (W), which is associated with the diffusion coefficient of Li⁺ in the electrode material. Warburg factor (σ) can be determined from the linear relation between impedance Z' and the reciprocal square root of the angular frequency ω (Fig. S3). As shown in Fig. S3, the σ values of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling are 191.78, 320.88, 32.36, and 14.16, respectively. The declined σ value after cycles suggests a larger Li⁺ diffusion coefficient [12,31].



Fig. 4. Structure and phase analyses. (a) FTIR spectra of the 10Si@ZIF composite (10SiZC) and 10Si@ZIF-glass composite (10SiZGC) after cycling, where the number (10) represents that 100-mg Si nanoparticles were added into ZIF-62, accounting for about 10% of the final product by weight. (b)~(e) XPS survey spectra and high-resolution core level of Co 2p, N 1 s, Si 2p spectra for 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling, respectively. (f) XRD patterns of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after 500 cycles.

The above EIS results indicate that both the electron transfer rate and Li^+ diffusion coefficient of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC are greatly enhanced by cycling.

To investigate the structural evolutions of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after cycling, FTIR spectra were collected. As shown in Fig. 4a, 10SiZC exhibits similar FTIR spectrum to that of 10SiZGC, indicating that both samples have similar structural features after cycling. It is known that the peaks at around 430, 668, 748 and 835 cm⁻¹ for the pristine 10SiZC and 10SiZGC (Fig. 2c) are attributed to the Co-N bonds, the ring deformation out-of-plane-bending in the organic ligands, the benzene group of imidazole/benzimidazole, and the in-plane-blending of aromatic ring, respectively [12,21,32]. However, these peaks disappeared in the spectra of the two samples after 500 cycles. This suggests the breakages of the Co-N bonds and rings in the organic ligand after cycling. In addition, the peaks at 471, 860, and 1418 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to aromatic C-H bonds, isolated aromatic H vibrations, and ring stretch of organic ligands, respectively [21,33-35], while the peaks at 1487 and 1619 cm⁻¹ are attributed to stretching of C-C in the benzimidazole aromatic ring (Fig. 4a) [36].

The surface elemental analyses of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling are carried out by the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey spectrum (Fig. 4b), and thereby the existence of Co, N, Si, C and O elements is confirmed, agreeing with the EDS results (Fig. 1). It is seen that the O 1 s signal of the samples after cycling become stronger, and this mainly originate from the SEI (products from electrolyte decomposition). Fig. 4c exhibits the high-resolution spectrum of Co 2p, in which the Co $2p_{1/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$ peaks of both 10SiZC and 10SiZGc samples are located at 796.2 and 781.1 eV with two satellite peaks at 802.1 and 786.0 eV, suggesting the existence of Co^{2+} in both samples. After cycling, these two peaks (796.2 and 781.1 eV) slightly shift to higher binding energy compared with those of pristine samples, implying that the chemical environment of cobalt in 10SiZC and 10SiZGC varies upon insertion/extraction of Li⁺ and this could be due to the distortion and local breakage of the Co-N [12]. In Fig. 4d, the peak of N 1 s in the XPS spectrum is deconvoluted into two peaks at 398.7 and 400.4 eV, which are attributed to C=N-C and C-N-H bonds, respectively [12,37]. The fractions of the two bonds are shown in Table S2. 10SiZC shares a similar fraction of the C=N-C bonds (i.e., about 94%) with that in 10SiZGC before cycling. However, the C=N-C bond fractions in both samples dramatically decrease to around 73% after cycling, implying the breakage of C=N-C upon insertion/extraction of Li⁺. This agrees well with the FTIR results (Fig. 4a). For the high-resolution XPS spectrum of C1 s (Fig. S4), the peaks of pristine 10SiZC and 10SiZGC can be deconvoluted into two peaks assigned to C-C/C=C/C-H at 284.8 eV and N=C-N at 286 eV, respectively. In contrast, the C 1 s spectra of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC vary significantly upon cycling, which can be deconvoluted into four peaks. Besides the peak at 284.8 eV, three new peaks appear at 286.6, 288.5, and 290.1 eV, which are related to C-O/N=C-N, O-C=O/C-F, and Li₂CO₃ or lithium alkyl carbonates, respectively, as consequences of both the addition of PVDF as anode binder and the formation of SEI during cycling [12,38-40]. Fig. 4e shows the core level spectra of Si 2p in 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycles, which exhibit similar characteristics. The peak deconvolution results are shown in Table S3. The XPS peak associated with SiO₂ can be observed in all the composite samples, implying the existence of SiO₂. However, SiO_2 is predominant only in the surface layer (< 5 nm) considering the detection depth limit of XPS. The formation of the thin SiO₂ layer is due to the high tendency of Si to be oxidized when exposed to air, even at room temperature [41]. The existence of SiO₂ was also verified by the FTIR results (Fig. S5). Overall, the fraction of SiO₂ in the as-purchased Si is still much smaller than that of Si. Fig. 4f shows the XRD patterns of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC after 500 cycles. A broad peak at $2\theta \approx 16^{\circ}$ appears in each pattern, implying the transformation of crystalline ZIF in 10SiZC sample and crystalline Si in both samples into amorphous materials upon cycling.

To detect the morphologies of 10SiZGC and 10SiZC after 500 cycles

at 1 A g⁻¹, SEM and HRTEM measurements were conducted (Fig. 5 and Fig. S6). The overall skeleton of ZIF in 10SiZGC after cycling is preserved and Si particles are still wrapped within ZIF glass, and this is confirmed by the upper right inset, TEM image and EDS mappings in Fig. 5a. The HRTEM image and the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns demonstrate the existence of a large amount of amorphous phase and few crystals with a size of $10 \sim 20$ nm in 10SiZGC after cycling, as shown in Fig. 5b. The lattice fringes with an interatomic spacing of ~ 0.31 nm is attributed to Si, implying that the insertion/extraction of Li⁺ ions results in the majority of Si in 10SiZGC converting to an amorphous state after 500 cycles. In addition, Fig. S6 shows that the cycled 10SiZC exhibits a similar scenario as 10SiZGC, i.e., a large amount of amorphous phase and few Si crystals, suggesting that not only Si crystals, but also ZIF crystals in 10SiZC transform into amorphous phases upon cycling.

The above results clearly demonstrate the enhanced capacity and rate performance of SiZGC as an anode material for lithium storage [9, 12], and this is achieved by designing and fabricating the Si@ZIF-62 glass composite, in which nano Si is wrapped and protected by porous ZIF glass phase. First, cobalt-ZIF-62 glass possesses an open disordered network structure, which provides more active sites and channels for both storage and transport of Li⁺ compared to their crystalline counterparts. Second, the ZIF glass encapsulates Si nano particles to buffer the volume fluctuations of Si during the charging/discharging cycling, thereby realizing excellent cycling performances. In detail, the ZIF glass layer not only stores Li⁺ ions, but also suppresses the alloying of Li⁺ with wrapped Si to some extent, and also reduces volume change of Si via confinement, and thereby lowers the degree of pulverization of Si in the composite. Even if the wrapped Si nanoparticles are pulverized after cycling (as shown in Scheme 1), a substantial amount of Si is still attached to the inner surface of ZIF glass, and thus the composite structure can remain in a good electrical contact with the copper current collector. Such good contact can facilitate the electron transfer to ensure the alloying process between Li⁺ and Si. Third, the insertion/extraction of Li⁺ could result in the distortion or breakage of Co-N, and aromatic rings in ZIF, leading to an increase in structural defects and diffusion channels for the transport and storage of Li⁺ [12,42]. Moreover, the increased channels lead to more Li⁺ ions going into ZIF and reacting with Si, thereby highly enhancing the reversible capacity and rate capability.

4. Conclusions

We designed and fabricated the Si@ZIF-glass composite compromising interior high-capacity Si nano particles and exterior ZIF glass phase for lithium storage, which yields a strong synergistic effect. Such a unique architecture in ZIF glass buffers the volume changes of Si and thus prevents its pulverization, and hence promotes the transport kinetics for both electrons and lithium ions. In addition, ZIF glass itself also enhanced the electrochemical performance of Si@ZIF-glass based anode owing to its open network structure that facilitates the Li⁺ ion diffusion and storage. As a consequence, 10SiZGC based anode exhibits the capacity of 650 mA h g⁻¹, which is about three times and six times as high as that of ZIF glass and ZIF crystal at the current density of 1 A $\rm g^{-1}$ after 500 cycles, respectively. Our findings paved a new avenue for developing anode material for the next generation of high-performance LIBs. Moreover, our approach to construct hierarchical Si@ZIF-glass composite could be further extended to other types of ZIF glasses to combine with highly active materials like Sn and SnO₂ for application in electrochemical energy storage.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jiajia Yan: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft. Chengwei Gao: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Shibin Qi: Data curation, Validation. Zhenjing Jiang: Data curation. Lars



Fig. 5. Microscopic characterization. (a) SEM image (the upper right inset), TEM image and EDS mappings of Si, N, Co for 10SiZGC after cycling. (b) HRTEM image and the corresponding SAED patterns of 10SiZGC after cycling.

Rosgaard Jensen: Data curation. Hongbing Zhan: Data curation. Yanfei Zhang: Data curation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Yuanzheng Yue: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge support by China Scholarship Council (201906650022). Y.F.Z. would like to acknowledge support by Taishan Youth Scholar Project of Shandong Province (tsqn202103098) and the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2020ME025). Authors would also like to thank Prof. H.Z. Tao and Prof. A. Qiao for their help with SEM and XPS tests, and Dr. Z.H. Zheng and Y.X. Zhuang for their help with TEM measurements.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107779.

References

- S. Chae, S.H. Choi, N. Kim, J. Sung, J. Cho, Integration of graphite and silicon anodes for the commercialization of high-energy lithium-ion batteries, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 59 (1) (2020) 110–135. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201902085.
- [2] Y. Zhang, J. Ren, T. Xu, A. Feng, K. Hu, N. Yu, Y. Xia, Y. Zhu, Z. Huang, G. Wu, Covalent bonding of Si nanoparticles on graphite nanosheets as anodes for lithiumion batteries using diazonium chemistry, Nanomaterials 9 (12) (2019) 1741, https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9121741.
- [3] Y. Xu, Y. Zhu, C. Wang, Mesoporous carbon/silicon composite anodes with enhanced performance for lithium-ion batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 2 (25) (2014) 9751–9757, https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta01691b.
- [4] D.H.S. Tan, Y.-T. Chen, H. Yang, W. Bao, B. Sreenarayanan, J.-M. Doux, W. Li, B. Lu, S.-Y. Ham, B. Sayahpour, J. Scharf, E. A.Wu, G. Deysher, H.E. Han, H.J. Hah, H. Jeong, J.B. Lee, Z. Chen, Y.S. Meng, Carbon-free high-loading silicon anodes

enabled by sulfide solid electrolytes, Science 373 (2021) 1494–1499, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg7217.

- [5] Y. Ding, Z.P. Cano, A. Yu, J. Lu, Z. Chen, Automotive Li-ion batteries: current status and future perspectives, Electrochem. Energy Rev. 2 (1) (2019) 1–28, https://doi. org/10.1007/s41918-018-0022-z.
- [6] F. Chen, J. Han, D. Kong, Y. Yuan, J. Xiao, S. Wu, D.-M. Tang, Y. Deng, W. Lv, J. Lu, F. Kang, Q.-H. Yang, 1000 Wh L–1 lithium-ion batteries enabled by crosslinkshrunk tough carbon encapsulated silicon microparticle anodes, Natl. Sci. Rev. 8 (9) (2021), https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwab012.
- [7] A. Magasinski, P. Dixon, B. Hertzberg, A. Kvit, J. Ayala, G. Yushin, Highperformance lithium-ion anodes using a hierarchical bottom-up approach, Nat. Mater. 9 (4) (2010) 353–358, https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2725.
- [8] M. Ko, S. Chae, J. Ma, N. Kim, H.-W. Lee, Y. Cui, J. Cho, Scalable synthesis of silicon-nanolayer-embedded graphite for high-energy lithium-ion batteries, Nat. Energy 1 (9) (2016) 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.113.
- [9] C. Gao, P. Wang, Z. Wang, S.K. Kær, Y. Zhang, Y. Yue, The disordering-enhanced performances of the Al-MOF/graphene composite anodes for lithium ion batteries, Nano Energy 65 (2019), 104032, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104032.
- [10] X. Li, F. Cheng, S. Zhang, J. Chen, Shape-controlled synthesis and lithium-storage study of metal-organic frameworks Zn₄O (1, 3, 5-benzenetribenzoate) 2, J. Power Sources 160 (1) (2006) 542–547, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpowsour.2006.01.015.
- [11] T. Wei, M. Zhang, P. Wu, Y.-J. Tang, S.-L. Li, F.-C. Shen, X.-L. Wang, X.-P. Zhou, Y.-Q. Lan, POM-based metal-organic framework/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites with hybrid behavior of battery-supercapacitor for superior lithium storage, Nano Energy 34 (2017) 205–214, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2017.02.028.
- [12] C. Gao, Z. Jiang, S. Qi, P. Wang, L.R. Jensen, M. Johansen, C.K. Christensen, Y. Zhang, D.B. Ravnsbæk, Y. Yue, Metal-organic framework glass anode with an exceptional cycling-induced capacity enhancement for lithium-ion batteries, Adv. Mater. 34 (10) (2022), 2110048, https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202110048.
- [13] Y. Han, P. Qi, X. Feng, S. Li, X. Fu, H. Li, Y. Chen, J. Zhou, X. Li, B. Wang, In situ growth of MOFs on the surface of si nanoparticles for highly efficient lithium storage: Si@MOF nanocomposites as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7 (4) (2015) 2178–2182, https://doi.org/10.1021/ am5081937.
- [14] Y. Bai, M. Zeng, X. Wu, Y.Q. Zhang, J.W. Wen, J. Li, Three-dimensional cage-like Si@ZIF-67 core-shell composites for high-performance lithium storage, Appl. Surf. Sci. 510 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.145477.
- [15] Q. Wei, Y.M. Chen, X.J. Hong, C.L. Song, Y. Yang, L.P. Si, M. Zhang, Y.P. Cai, Saclike-silicon nanoparticles anchored in ZIF-8 derived spongy matrix as highperformance anode for lithium-ion batteries, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 565 (2020) 315–325, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.01.050.
- [16] Q. Wei, Y.M. Chen, X.J. Hong, C.L. Song, Y. Yang, L.P. Si, M. Zhang, Y.P. Cai, Novel bread-like nitrogen-doped carbon anchored nano-silicon as high-stable anode for lithium-ion batteries, Appl. Surf. Sci. 511 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apsusc.2020.145609.
- [17] L. Longley, S.M. Collins, C. Zhou, G.J. Smales, S.E. Norman, N.J. Brownbill, C. W. Ashling, P.A. Chater, R. Tovey, C.-B. Schönlieb, Liquid phase blending of metalorganic frameworks, Nat. Commun. 9 (1) (2018) 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-04553-6.
- [18] L. Frentzel-Beyme, M. Kloß, R. Pallach, S. Salamon, H. Moldenhauer, J. Landers, H. Wende, J. Debus, S. Henke, Porous purple glass – a cobalt imidazolate glass with accessible porosity from a meltable cobalt imidazolate framework, J. Mater. Chem. A 7 (3) (2019) 985–990, https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ta08016j.

- [19] A. Qiao, T.D. Bennett, H. Tao, A. Krajnc, G. Mali, C.M. Doherty, A.W. Thornton, J. C. Mauro, G.N. Greaves, Y. Yue, A metal-organic framework with ultrahigh glass-forming ability, Sci. Adv. 4 (3) (2018) eaao6827, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv. aao6827.
- [20] R. Banerjee, A. Phan, B. Wang, C. Knobler, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe, O.M. Yaghi, High-throughput synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks and application to CO₂ capture, Science 319 (5865) (2008) 939–943, https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1152516.
- [21] A. Ulu, Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs): a novel support platform for ASNase immobilization, J. Mater. Sci. 55 (14) (2020) 6130–6144, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10853-020-04452-6.
- [22] M. Mubashir, R. Ashena, A. Bokhari, A. Mukhtar, S. Saqib, A. Ali, R. Saidur, K. S. Khoo, H.S. Ng, F. Karimi, Effect of process parameters over carbon-based ZIF-62 nano-rooted membrane for environmental pollutants separation, Chemosphere 291 (2022), 133006, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.133006.
- [23] S. Mala, L. Tsybeskov, D. Lockwood, X. Wu, J.-M. Baribeau, Raman scattering in Si/SiGe nanostructures: revealing chemical composition, strain, intermixing, and heat dissipation, J. Appl. Phys. 116 (1) (2014), 014305, https://doi.org/10.1063/ 1.4886598.
- [24] S. Ashraf, R. Mehek, N. Iqbal, T. Noor, G. Ali, A. Wahab, A.A. Qayyum, A. Ahmad, ZIF 67 derived Co–Sn composites with N-doped nanoporous carbon as anode material for Li-ion batteries, Mater. Chem. Phys. 270 (2021), 124824, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2021.124824.
- [25] Y. Liu, X. Que, X. Wu, Q. Yuan, H. Wang, J. Wu, Y. Gui, W. Gan, ZIF-67 derived carbon wrapped discontinuous CoxP nanotube as anode material in highperformance Li-ion battery, Mater. Today Chem. 17 (2020), 100284, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2020.100284.
- [26] C. Li, X. Lou, Q. Yang, Y. Zou, B. Hu, Remarkable improvement in the lithium storage property of Co₂ (OH)₂BDC MOF by covalent stitching to graphene and the redox chemistry boosted by delocalized electron spins, Chem. Eng. J. 326 (2017) 1000–1008, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.06.048.
- [27] B. Li, F. Yao, J.J. Bae, J. Chang, M.R. Zamfir, D.T. Le, D.T. Pham, H. Yue, Y.H. Lee, Hollow carbon nanospheres/silicon/alumina core-shell film as an anode for lithium-ion batteries, Sci. Rep. 5 (2015) 7659, https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep07659.
- [28] W. An, B. Gao, S. Mei, B. Xiang, J. Fu, L. Wang, Q. Zhang, P.K. Chu, K. Huo, Scalable synthesis of ant-nest-like bulk porous silicon for high-performance lithium-ion battery anodes, Nat. Commun. 10 (1) (2019) 1–11, https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-019-09510-5.
- [29] X. Hu, J. Jia, G. Wang, J. Chen, H. Zhan, Z. Wen, Reliable and general route to inverse opal structured nanohybrids of carbon-confined transition metal sulfides quantum dots for high-performance sodium storage, Adv. Energy Mater. 8 (25) (2018), 1801452, https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201801452.
- [30] Y. Yu, C. Yue, X. Lin, S. Sun, J. Gu, X. He, C. Zhang, W. Lin, D. Lin, X. Liao, ZIF-8 cooperating in TiN/Ti/Si nanorods as efficient anodes in micro-lithium-ion-

batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8 (6) (2016) 3992–3999, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acsami.5b11287.

- [31] X.L. Wu, Y.G. Guo, J. Su, J.W. Xiong, Y.L. Zhang, L.J. Wan, Carbon-nanotubedecorated nano-LiFePO4@C cathode material with superior high-rate and lowtemperature performances for lithium-ion batteries, Adv. Energy Mater. 3 (9) (2013) 1155–1160, https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201300159.
- [32] G. Khandelwal, N.P.M.J. Raj, S.-J. Kim, ZIF-62: a mixed linker metal-organic framework for triboelectric nanogenerators, J. Mater. Chem. A 8 (34) (2020) 17817–17825, https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TA05067A.
- [33] Y. Chen, C. Zou, M. Mastalerz, S. Hu, C. Gasaway, X. Tao, Applications of microfourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) in the geological sciences—a review, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16 (12) (2015) 30223–30250, https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms161226227.
- [34] M. Mubashir, Y.F. Yeong, K.K. Lau, T.L. Chew, J. Norwahyu, Efficient CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/CH₄ separation using NH₂-MIL-53 (Al)/cellulose acetate (CA) mixed matrix membranes, Sep. Purif. Technol. 199 (2018) 140–151, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. seppur.2018.01.038.
- [35] D. Tuncel, A. Ökte, Improved adsorption capacity and photoactivity of ZnO-ZIF-8 nanocomposites, Catal. Today 361 (2021) 191–197, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cattod.2020.04.014.
- [36] J. Cheng, D. Ma, S. Li, W. Qu, D. Wang, Preparation of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks and their application as flame retardant and smoke suppression agent for rigid polyurethane foams, Polymers 12 (2) (2020) 347, https://doi.org/ 10.3390/polym12020347.
- [37] C. Hu, Y.-C. Huang, A.-L. Chang, M. Nomura, Amine functionalized ZIF-8 as a visible-light-driven photocatalyst for Cr (VI) reduction, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 553 (2019) 372–381, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2019.06.040.
- [38] I. Muzammil, Y. Li, M. Lei, Tunable wettability and pH-responsiveness of plasma copolymers of acrylic acid and octafluorocyclobutane, Plasma Process. Polym. 14 (10) (2017), 1700053, https://doi.org/10.1002/ppap.201700053.
- [39] X. Chen, X. Wang, D. Fang, A review on C1s XPS-spectra for some kinds of carbon materials, Fuller., Nanotub. Carbon Nanostruct. 28 (12) (2020) 1048–1058, https://doi.org/10.1080/1536383X.2020.1794851.
- [40] S. Jurng, Z.L. Brown, J. Kim, B.L. Lucht, Effect of electrolyte on the nanostructure of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) and performance of lithium metal anodes, Energy Environ. Sci. 11 (9) (2018) 2600–2608, https://doi.org/10.1039/ C8EE00364E.
- [41] M. Morita, T. Ohmi, E. Hasegawa, M. Kawakami, M. Ohwadab, Growth of native oxide on a silicon surface, J. Appl. Phys. 68 (3) (1990) 1272–1281, https://doi. org/10.1063/1.347181.
- [42] Y. Lin, Q. Zhang, C. Zhao, H. Li, C. Kong, C. Shen, L. Chen, An exceptionally stable functionalized metal–organic framework for lithium storage, Chem. Commun. 51 (4) (2015) 697–699, https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CC07149B.

Supplementary Materials

Encapsulation of nano-Si into MOF glass to enhance lithium-ion battery anode

performances

Jiajia Yan^{a,1}, Chengwei Gao^{b,1}, Shibin Qi^c, Zhenjing Jiang^{c,d}, Lars Rosgaard Jensen^e, Hongbing Zhan^f, Yanfei Zhang^{c*}, Yuanzheng Yue^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, DK-9220 Aalborg, Denmark
 ^bLaboratory of Infrared Material and Devices, Advanced Technology Research Institute, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China.
 ^cSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, China.
 ^dSEU-FEI Nano-Pico Center, Key Laboratory of MEMS of Ministry of Education, Southeast University, Nanjing, 210096, China.
 ^eDepartment of Materials and Production, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark
 ^fCollege of Materials Science and Engineering, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China
 ¹ These authors contributed equally to this work
 *Corresponding authors. zhang-yanfei@hotmail.com (Y.F. Zhang); yy@bio.aau.dk (Y.Z. Yue).

This file includes:

Figs. S1-S6

Tables S1-S3



Fig. S1 Thermogravimetric curves of Z, ZG, 10SiZC, and 10SiZGC.



Fig. S2. The cycling performance of anode made from 10SiZGC at 1 A g^{-1} for 1000 cycles.

Table S1 Calculated impedance (R_e , R_s , R_{ct}) of 10SiZC, 10SiZGC before and after 500 cycles based on EIS equivalent circuit model. R_e stands for the resistance of the bulk electrode, electrolyte, and the separator, while R_s and R_{ct} are related to the resistance of SEI resistance and that of charge transfer, respectively.

Impedance	$R_{\rm e}(\Omega)$	$R_{ m s}(\Omega)$	$R_{ m ct}(\Omega)$
Samples			
10SiZC	2.1	/	290.4
10SiZGC	1.3	/	405.3
10SiZC-after 500 cycles	2.9	14.9	29.7
10SiZGC-after 500 cycles	2.5	17.7	10.8



Fig. S3. The dependence of Z' on $\omega^{-1/2}$ in the low frequency region obtained from Nyquist plots in Fig. 3f.

Table S2 The fraction of C=N-C, C-N-H of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling, which were obtained from the deconvoluted N 1s XPS spectra shown in Fig. 4d.

Bonds Samples	C=N-C (%)	C-N-H (%)	Ratio (C=N-C/C-N-H)
10SiZC	94.3	5.7	16.5
10SiZGC	93.1	6.9	13.5
10SiZC-after cycling	73.8	26.2	2.8
10SiZGC-after cycling	72.9	27.1	2.7


Fig. S4 High-resolution of C 1s XPS spectra for 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling.

Table S3 The fraction of Si, SiO_2 of 10SiZC and 10SiZGC before and after cycling, which were obtained from the deconvoluted Si2p XPS spectra shown in Fig. 4e.

Chemical state Samples	Si (%)	SiO ₂ (%)	Ratio (Si/SiO ₂)
10SiZC	15.0	85.0	0.18
10SiZGC	13.8	86.2	0.16
10SiZC-after cycling	10.0	90.0	0.11
10SiZGC-after cycling	10.2	89.8	0.11



Fig. S5 FTIR spectrum of commercial nano-Si, in which Si-O bonds are already present, indicating the existence of SiO_2 in the commercial nano-Si.



Fig. S6. Microscopic characterization. (a) TEM image and EDS mappings of Si, N, Co for 10SiZC after cycling. (b) HRTEM image and the corresponding SAED patterns of 10SiZC after cycling.

Paper III

Optimizing Si/vanadium-tellurite glass composites as superior anodes for Li-ion

batteries by hot pressing

Jiajia Yan^a, Xiangyu Li^b, Nian Shi^c, Xianglong Ren^d, Hemin Zhou^d, Haizheng Tao^d, Jinjun Ren^c, Ang Qiao^d, Yanfei Zhang^b*, Yuanzheng Yue^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark ^bSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, China. ^cKey Laboratory of Materials for High Power Laser, Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China.

^dState Key Laboratory of Silicate Materials for Architectures, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China

*Corresponding authors. zhang-yanfei@hotmail.com (Y.F. Zhang); yy@bio.aau.dk (Y.Z. Yue).

Abstract

Vanadium-tellurite glasses (VT) have emerged as promising anode materials for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) due to their unique disordered open network for Li⁺ diffusion and high cycling stability. However, the capacity of VT still needs to be greatly improved. To tackle this problem, combining VT with high active elements is a bright way. Silicon (Si), which exhibits ultrahigh theoretical capacity (>4000 mA h g⁻¹) but suffers from rapid capacity decline due to vast volume changes upon lithiation/delithiation. This inspired us to combine VT with Si to take advantage of both materials. Here, we embedded Si nanoparticles into VT glass matrix and obtained Si/VT composite (VTS) by hot pressing method. The obtained VTS yields a synergistic effect, exhibiting a capacity of 408 mA h g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles, which is more than three times that of VT and fourteen times that of pure Si. The origin of this huge enhancement is revealed by performing structural analyses. The VT matrix with open network not only can contribute to lithium storage, but also buffer the volume changes and prevent the aggregation of Si nano particles during lithiation/delithiation processes.

Keywords: Vanadium-tellurite glass; Silicon; Anode; Lithium-ion batteries

1. Introduction

With high energy density, long lifespan, and environmental friendliness, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) represent one of the most attractive portable energy storage devices. However, the current LIBs cannot satisfy the demands for safer and higher energy density batteries used in electric vehicles and large-scale energy storages [1]. To tackle these problems, many efforts have been made. From the aspect of safety, all-solid-state batteries have been widely studied, that is, replacing conventional organic liquid electrolytes with solid electrolytes, of which inorganic glass-ceramic/glass-based solid electrolytes are one of the most promising candidates as they show the highest ionic conductivities with $10^{-2} \sim 10^{-3}$ S cm⁻¹[2-5]. In terms of high energy density (proportional to specific capacity), one of the main attempts is to use silicon (Si), which has a theoretical capacity exceeding 4000 mA h g⁻¹, to replace current graphite anodes [1, 6]. Nonetheless, the drastic volume fluctuation of Si (~400%) during lithiation/de-lithiation [1] leads to a dramatic decay of specific capacity, i.e., capacity retention is about 50 mA h g⁻¹ within 100 cycles [7, 8]. To buffer the volume expansion of Si, some specific structures, such as hollow core-shell, york-shell, porous structures, in combination with carbon have been constructed mostly by sol-gel method, solvothermal method, chemical vapor deposition method et.al. [9-12]. However, the complex synthesis processes, low yield and high cost [2] hinder their practical applications.

Vanadium-tellurite glasses (VT), which exhibit a superior cycling stability, i.e., almost no capacity fading for 5000 cycles [13, 14], have emerged as promising anode material for LIBs. The reason for the high cycling stability of VT glasses lies in their unique structure feature, i.e., not purely 3D tetrahedral network, that exhibits higher liquid fragility and stronger crystallization tendency upon heating compared to most the conventional oxide glasses with the tetrahedral network. During discharging/charging process, electrochemically active γ -Li₃VO₄ nanocrystals are formed in VT glass. The in-situ growth of nanocrystals from glass not only toughening the glass matrix, and thereby

increasing the cycling stability, but also providing more space/channels between the crystals and glass matrix to facilitate the transportation of Li^+ [13-16]. However, the capacities of VT glasses are around 120 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹ [13, 14] and still need to be improved. Thus, combing Si with VT glass could be a promising strategy to achieve the synergetic effect, i.e., to both enhanced capacity and increased cycling stability of the anode for LIBs.

In our previous study [15], we have investigated how Si-doping affects the structure and crystallization behavior of VT glasses during heating process. Based on these results, in this work, we focused on how Si and VT glass can be properly combined by comparing traditional heating process and hot-pressing process and studied the electrochemical performance of the composites. The reason we chose heat-treatment to conduct the combination of Si and glass is that glass exhibits viscous flow above T_g and thus can act as 'binder' for bonding Si. It is found that the Si@VT composite after hot pressing exhibited a reversible specific capacity of 408 mA h g⁻¹, which is more than three times that of VT and fourteen times that of pure Si at the current density of 1 A g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles, resulting from the synergy effects between Si and VT glass. Furthermore, considering that the best lithium solid-state electrolytes are inorganic glass/glass-ceramics, the interfacial stability between the glassy solid-state electrolyte and the traditional electrode faces a big challenge due to the mismatch of expansion coefficient and poor compatibility at the interface [4]. Thus, it can be anticipated that glassy electrolyte and glassy electrode could provide good expansion coefficient matching and interfacial compatibility and thus a minimal interfacial polarization of the cell [6]. In addition, glass materials pose many other advantages, i.e., tunable composition, simple preparation process, low cost, etc. All of these suggest that the composites incorporating high-capacity elements into glasses are promising anode materials for the next generation of high-performance LIB (all-solidstate LIB). This work not only proved that combining Si with VT glass through hot pressing is an effective strategy to obtain high-performance anode materials for LIBs, but also paves a new path for preparation glass-based functional materials.

2. Experimental section

2.1 sample preparation

The 50V₂O₅-50TeO₂ (in mol %) glass (VT) was prepared via the melt-quenching method [13, 14], followed by crushing and sieving to obtain glass power with a size distribution between 10 and 20 μm. 100-mg, 200-mg, 300-mg, 400-mg commercial nano-silicon powder (about 20-60 nm particle size) were separately added into 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6g glass powder, and then mixed thoroughly, which are referred to as 10VTS, 20VTS, 30VTS, 40VTS, according to the fraction of Si by weight, respectively. To find out a proper hot pressing process, we firstly fixed the fraction of Si and hot pressed 10VTS samples in a vacuum furnace under 100 MPa and kept for 0.5 h at different temperatures. The temperatures selected were based on the differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) result of 10VTS (Fig. S1), which shows characteristic temperatures, i.e., glass transition temperature $(T_g=509 \text{ K})$, onset crystallization temperatures $(T_{c1}=563 \text{ K}, T_{c2}=602 \text{ K})$, melting temperature $(T_m=755 \text{ K})$ K). Specifically, 10VTS samples were hot-pressed at 550 K (above T_g), 570 K (above T_{c1}), 620 K (above T_{c2}), 670 K (below T_m), and 770 K (above T_m), respectively. These samples are referred to as 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, and 10VTS-770-HP, respectively, according to the hot pressing temperature. For comparison, 10VTS was heated to 620 K and kept for 0.5 h in the vacuum furnace without pressure (traditional heating process), and the obtained sample is named as 10VTS-620. In addition, to find the optimal fraction of Si, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, 40VTS-620-HP samples were also obtained.

2.2 Materials characterizations

The crystal phases of samples after heat-treatment were identified on a PANalytical X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation during the 20 range of 5~70° with a step size of 0.013°. The thermal events of samples, such as the glass transition temperature (T_g) and the crystallization onset temperature et. al, were detected by the differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) (Jupiter 449C, Netzsch) in argon to 500°C at 10 K/min. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were conducted on a Bruker TENSOR II FTIR spectrometer with Platinum ATR Accessory at room temperature in the range of 400-4000 cm⁻¹. To study the chemical environment of V, Si, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed by using ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) with nonmonochromatic Al Ka X-ray (1486.6 eV) at pass energy of 50 eV. The morphologies and distribution of Si in samples were analyzed using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) (Supra-55, Zeiss Inc.), and X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) (X-Max, OXFORD Instruments Inc.).

2.3 Cell assembly and Electrochemical Characterizations

The electrochemical performance of VTS after heat-treatment were evaluated by using CR2032 coin cells with lithium foil as the reference electrode. The as-prepared VTS (70 wt%), acetylene black (20 wt%), and polyvinylidene difluorides (PVDF, 10 wt%) were mixed evenly in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) and then pasted onto a copper foil substrate and dried at 110 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h to get working electrodes. 1 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1 vol%) was used as the electrolyte and the Celgard 2325 membrane (diameter of 19.0 mm) was used as the separator. Cells were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox with both the moisture and the oxygen content below 0.1 ppm and then tested at 25 °C. The galvanostatic charging/discharging tests of the samples were conducted on a Land battery test system (CT2001A) with the voltage range 0.01-3V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were obtained in the voltage range of 0.01–3 V at the scanning rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. EIS spectra were recorded in the frequency range of

0.1 Hz–100 kHz on CHI 760e electrochemical workstation. All electrochemical measurements were repeated with different batches of samples to confirm the reproducibility of their performances.

3. Results and Discussions

Fig. 1a shows the XRD patterns of the as-prepared hot-pressing samples. Clearly, sharp diffraction peaks at $2\theta \approx 28$, 47, 56° corresponding to Si are detected in all the samples, indicating that Si is preserved after heat-treatment. In addition, V₂O₅ and TeVO₄ crystals appeared in 10VTS-670-HP and 10VTS-770-HP but were not detected in 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP and 10VTS-620-HP samples. The reason why samples 10VTS-570-HP and 10VTS-620-HP heated above T_{c1} (563K) did not show other crystals besides Si could be due to the different heating conditions between the different devices (DSC and furnace).



Fig. 1 Phase and thermodynamic analyses. (a) XRD patterns of 10VTS-550-HP, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, and 10VTS-770-HP. (b) DSC upscan curves of both 10VTS (the red line) and 10VTS-620-HP (the blue line), which are obtained at 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_g) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} , T_{c2} , and T_{c3}) are denoted in the curves.

To study whether the hot-pressing sintering process leads to the viration of thermal properties, DSC measurements of 10VTS and 10VTS-620-HP were conducted. As shown in Fig. 1b, the T_g , T_{c1} , T_{c2}

of both samples are the same, while a new crystallization peak appears in 10VTS-620-HP (T_{c3} =622 K). This is understandable when considering that that during heating process, the thermal energy can be used to increase the potential energy of VTS and overcome energy barrier of a redox reaction which may occur between Si and VT [15]. Therefore, 10VTS-620-HP is more likely to precipitate new crystals in the subsequent DSC scan compared to 10VTS.



Fig. 2 Morphological Characterization. SEM images and EDS elemental mappings of Si, N and Te. (a) 10VTS-620, (b) 10VTS-620-HP, (c) 10VTS-670-HP.

The morphologies and microstructures of 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP were measured using SEM, as shown in Fig. 2. For 10VTS-620 (Fig. 2a), VT with a particle size of 10~20 µm was observed. Clearly, Si nano particles agglomerated and covered the surface of VT, suggesting that Si is only physically contact with VT when no pressure is applied. For 10VTS-620-HP (Fig. 2b) and 10VTS-670-HP (Fig. 2c) samples, numerous Si nano particles are embedded into VT matrix and formed Si/VT composites. The composites fabricated by hot pressing may lead to a synergistic effect and enhance the electrochemical performances of composite-based anodes because VT matrices are expected to buffer the volume change of Si.

To investigate the structural evolution upon heat-treatment, FTIR and XPS measurements were performed. Fig. 3a show the FTIR spectra of Si, 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP. Peaks at around 478 cm⁻¹ and 1000~1250 cm⁻¹ correspond to Si-O vibrations [17, 18], which are clearly shown

in sample Si suggesting that Si-O already exists in commercial Si particles. Peaks at 680 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to Te–O–Te or O–Te–O [19], while peaks at around 982cm⁻¹ are attributed to $[VO_5]$ [15, 19]. In addition, the broad peaks locating between 750 and 940 cm⁻¹ are mainly due to V–O–V, $[VO_4]$, and $[VO_5]$ vibrations. Clearly, the intensity of the peak at 1000~1250 cm⁻¹ increases for both 10VTS-620 and 10VTS-620-HP compared to that of the pristine 10VTS, implying the oxidation of Si upon heat-treatment. Considering that the heating process is carried out in a vacuum, it can only be explained that VT oxidized Si. Moreover, a sharp peak at 530 cm⁻¹ corresponding to V–O–V vibrations [15] was observed in 10VTS-620 but not in 10VTS-620-HP. Instead, sharp peaks at 644 cm⁻¹ and 435 cm⁻¹ arising from V–O–V/Te–O–Te vibrations were detected in 10VTS-620-HP [20, 21]. The spectral differences between 10VTS-620 and 10VTS-620-HP suggest that the pressure applied affects the structural evolution of VTS during heating.



Fig. 3 Structure Characterizations. (a) FTIR spectra of Si, 10VTS, 10VTS-620, and 10VTS-620-HP, where the number, i.e., 10, represents the introduction of 10 wt% Si nanoparticles into VT. XPS high-resolution of Si 2p(b) and V 2p (c) spectra for 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP.

Fig. 3a shows the XPS high-resolution of Si 2p spectra for 10VTS, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP samples. Each spectrum can be deconvoluted into peaks at ~99.5 eV and ~103.1 eV, which are assigned to Si and SiO₂, respectively [22]. The deconvolution results are shown in table 1. Clearly, the fraction of Si that converts into SiO₂ increases as the heating temperature rises. In addition, the same fractions of Si in VTS-620 and VTS-620-HP (~54.5%) indicate that the pressure does not

promote the Si-to-SiO₂ conversion. The high-resolution spectra of V 2p for these samples are shown in Fig. 3c. the V 2p_{1/2} and V 2p_{3/2} peaks are located at 517.5 and 524.9 eV. Peaks at 532.7 and 530.6 are ascribed to V-OH, and V-O, respectively. [23, 24]. For 10VTS subjected to heat-treatment, i.e., samples 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, and 10VTS-670-HP, as the V 2p_{1/2} peak becomes wider compared with that of pristine 10VTS, it can be deconvoluted into two peaks, i.e., ~517.5 and 516.4 eV arising from V⁵⁺ and V⁴⁺, respectively [23, 24]. These results suggest a redox reaction occurred in 10VTS during heating process. Moreover, the formation of V⁴⁺ could facilitate the electrical conductivity in VT glass. This is because that during discharging/charging process, electrons can cause formation of polarons, and thus, high electronic conductivity can be realized by polaronhopping in V⁴⁺–O–V⁵⁺ chains (V⁴⁺–O–V⁵⁺→V⁵⁺–O–V⁴⁺) [15]. However, another product of redox reaction between Si and VT, i.e., SiO₂, can decrease the electrical conductivity of materials as it is an insulating material. Therefore, there should be a compromise ratio between V⁴⁺ and SiO₂ to achieve high electrical conductivity.

Table 1 The fraction of Si, SiO ₂ of VTS, V	'TS-620,	VTS-620-HP,	VTS-670-HP,	which were	obtained	from
the deconvoluted Si 2p XPS spectra shown i	in Fig. 3t).				

Chemical state Samples	Si (%)	SiO ₂ (%)	Ratio (Si/SiO ₂)
VTS	61.1	38.9	1.6
VTS-620	54.5	45.5	1.2
VTS-620-HP	54.6	45.4	1.2
VTS-670-HP	52.2	47.8	1.1

To explore whether the combination of Si and VT glass by traditional heat-treatment or hot-pressing sintering poses a synergistic effect, the cycling performances of Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-HP, and10VTS-620 based anodes were measured (Fig. 4a). The capacities of Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-770-HP are 28, 110, 136, 206, 205 mA h g^{-1} at a current density of 1 A g^{-1} up to 1000 cycles, respectively, while those of 10VTS-620-HP

and 10VTS-670-HP reaches 408 and 313 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. The significant capacity enhancement of the latter two samples verifies that the hot pressing is an effective method to combine Si and VT, and hence, realizing a synergistic effect. As 10VTS-620-HP shows the highest capacity among the above-mentioned samples, we increased the fraction of doping Si in VT, i.e., 20%, 30%, 40%, and hot pressed the mixture with the same process as that of 10VTS-620-HP. The as-prepared samples are referred to as 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, 40VTS-620-HP, respectively. Fig. 4b shows the cycling performances of these samples. Clearly, the capacity of the three samples is 216, 260, and 126 mA h g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles, respectively, which is much lower than that of 10VTS-620-HP. The increased Si fractions in VT leads to lower capacities compared to 10VTS-620-HP, indicating that 10% Si is the optimal doping level in our study.

The rate performances of the above-mentioned samples at the current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 A g^{-1} are shown in Figs. 4c, d. In Fig. 4c, the average specific capacity of samples 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP are 725 and 621 mA h g^{-1} after 5 cycles at 0.1 A g^{-1} , respectively, which are the top two among the samples. The capacity of Si drops dramatically from 2290 to 774 mA h g^{-1} after the first 5 cycles. The capacities of 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, and 10VTS-770-HP decrease from ~450 to ~200 mA h g^{-1} after 5 cycles at 0.1 A g^{-1} . With the increase in the current density, the average capacities of all samples decrease, among which 10VTS-620-HP exhibits the highest capacity retention after cycles at 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 A g^{-1} . When the current density was set back to 0.1 A g^{-1} , the capacities of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP recover to 710 and 532 mA h g^{-1} , respectively, and remain stable in the following cycles, indicating a superior rate performance. In contrast, the capacity of Si reaches 568 mA h g^{-1} when the current density was switched back to 0.1 A g^{-1} , but decreases rapidly to 67 mA h g^{-1} after 100 cycles. This significant capacity decrease of Si is due to its volume expansion and pulverization [25].



Fig. 4 Cycling and rate performances. (a), (b) Cycling performances of anodes based on Si, VT, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-HP, 10VTS-620, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP at 1 A g⁻¹ after 1000 cycles; (c), (d) The rate performances of anodes based on Si, 10VTS-570-HP, 10VTS-620, 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 10VTS-770-VTS, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP at current densities of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2 and 0.1 A g⁻¹.

Fig. 4d exhibits the rate performances of 10VTS-620-HP, 20VTS-620-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP. In the first 5 cycles, the average capacity of the latter three samples is 808, 857, and 910 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, which is higher than that of 10VTS-620-HP (725 mA h g⁻¹). However, those three samples are sensitive to the current density and a show rapid decline with the increase of the current density. When the current was back to 0.1 A g⁻¹, the capacity of the three samples is 636, 695, and 720 mA h g⁻¹, respectively, and decrease fast to 316, 281, and 189 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles. This could be interpreted that although the fraction of doping Si in 20VTS-620-HP, 30-VTS-620HP, 40-VTS-620-HP is higher than that in 10-VTS-620-HP, not all the Si nanoparticles can be embedded into the VT glass matrices due to their limited ability to accommodate Si. A higher fraction of doping

Si can lead to more amount of bare Si aggregating on the surface of VT particles rather than embedding into glass protection matrices, resulting in an increase of volume expansion and pulverization of Si and hence rapid capacity decline.



Fig. 5 Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) curves. (a) 10VTS-620-HP, (b) 10VTS-670-HP, (c) 30VTS-620-HP, (d) 40VTS-620-HP. The curves are obtained in the voltage range from 0.01 to 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹.

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements of 10VTS-620-HP, 10VTS-670-HP, 30VTS-620-HP, and 40VTS-620-HP were carried out to study why the 10VTS-620-HP based anode shows higher capacity than other as-prepared samples and investigate the electrochemical reaction involved, as shown in Fig. 5. In the first lithiation process of 10VTS-620-HP (Fig. 5a), the reduction peaks at around 1.01 V, 0.66 V, and 0.02 V attribute to the interaction between Li⁺ and VT, formation of solid

electrolyte interface film (SEI), and the insertion of Li^+ into Si, forming Li_xSi phase, respectively [13, 26]. The anodic peaks at around 0.34 V and 0.54 V are ascribed to the extraction of Li^+ from Li_xSi , while the peaks at ~1.26 and ~2.08 come from the extraction of Li^+ from VT glass matrix [13, 14]. In the subsequent two CV cycles, peaks at 1.01 and 0.66 V disappear and instead, a new strong peak located at ~0.85 V arising from the insertion of Li^+ into VT appears.

Fig. 5b shows the CV curves of 10VTS-670-HP. This sample contains both glass and V/V-Te crystals (V₂O₅, and TeVO₄), namely glass-ceramic. In the first cathodic scan, we observed peaks at 1.83 V and 0.97 V corresponding to the insertion of Li⁺ into VT glass-ceramic and 0.63 and 0.02 V, which arise from the formation of SEI and the insertion of Li⁺ into Si, respectively. The anodic peaks are at 1.31 and 2.21 V, attributing to the Li⁺ extraction from VT glass-ceramic [13, 14]. In the second and third CV curves, new strong peaks at ~0.91 V appear in the cathodic scan due to the Li⁺ insertion into VT glass-ceramic. In addition, peaks at 0.02, 0.34, and 0.54 V in 10VTS-670-HP are weaker than those in 10VTS-620-HP, indicating that the reversible reaction between Si and Li_xSi is reduced. This phenomenon could be explained that as the hot pressing temperature increases, more Si in VTS is converted into SiO₂ verified by the FTIR and XPS results (Fig. 3), leading to fewer Si active sites and hence fewer reversible reaction.

The CV curves of 30VTS-620-HP (Fig. 5c) show the same cathodic and anodic peak positions as those of 10VTS-620-HP (Fig. 5a), but peak intensities of the former sample are weaker. This suggests fewer Li⁺ insertion/extraction into/from both Si/Li_xSi and VT matrix in 30VTS-620-HP. In Fig. 5d, 40VTS-620-HP shows no anodic peak at 1.26 eV, and weaker peaks at 0.02, 0.34, and 0.54 eV compared with those in 10VTS-620-HP. In addition, peaks at 0.85 and 2.08 eV are almost invisible in 40VTS-620-HP, indicating nearly no Li⁺ insertion/extraction into/from VT matrix. These results imply that as the fraction of doping Si increases, the reversible reactions decrease, results in the

decrease in capacity. This is reasonable considering the limited ability of VT matrix to accommodate Si. When the amount of doping Si particles exceeds the accommodating limit of VT, the excess Si cannot be embedded into VT matrix by the hot pressing. Instead, bare Si particles aggregated and covered the surface of VT particles, causing the inability of Li⁺ to go into VT matrix. Moreover, the bare Si without protection of VT matrix is easily pulverized due to volume expansion during charge/discharge, resulting in a rapid decrease in capacity.



Fig. 6 Nyquist plots of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP obtained from electrochemical impedance spectra within frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100 kHz.

Fig. 6 shows the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP. Each EIS curve contains one semicircle at high frequency and an inclined line at the low frequency region. The resistance parameters (table 4-2) were obtained from the inset equivalent circuit, where R_e , R_{ct} , C_{PE1} and Z_w represent the ohmic resistance, charge transfer resistance, interface capacitance and diffusion impedance of the half-cell systems, respectively [27, 28]. The charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) of 10VTS-620-HP (161.6 Ω) is much smaller than that of 10VTS-670-HP (354.8 Ω), indicating that the former exhibits a higher electrical conductivity, which can lead to a better electrochemical performance in 10VTS-620-HP.

Samples	Impedance	$R_{\rm e}(\Omega)$	$R_{ m ct}(\Omega)$
10VT	S-620-HP	4.4	161.6
10VTS-670-HP		3.4	354.8

Table 4-2 Calculated impedance (R_e , R_{ct}) of 10VTS-620-HP and 10VTS-670-HP based on EIS equivalent circuit model.



Fig. 7 The protecting role of VT glass matrix which prolongs the cycling life of Si.

As shown in Fig. 6, the possible mechanism of the electrochemical performance enhancements of VTS after hot pressing is related to VT matrix that protect Si from pulverization during charging and discharging process. First, VT glass exhibits an open disordered network structure, beneficial for Li⁺ diffusion. Second, the Si was embedded into VT matrix by hot pressing and VT constrains the volume expansion and buffers pulverization of Si to some extend during the insertion of Li⁺ into the composite, thereby realizing good cycling performances. Third, the formation of V⁴⁺ arising from redox reaction between Si and VT increases the electrical conductivity of VT. Therefore, the VTS-HP samples yield a synergistic effect and exhibit better reversible capacity and rate capability than pristine Si and VT.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we embedded Si nanoparticles into vanadium-tellurite glass (VT) matrix by hot pressing method to obtain Si/VT composite (VTS) for lithium storage. By optimizing the hot-pressing temperature and Si fraction, the VTS can yield a strong synergistic effect. Specifically, 10VTS-620-HP based anode exhibits a capacity of 408 mA h g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} after 1000 cycles, which is more than

three times that of VT and fourteen times that of pure Si. The significant performance enhancement could be due to that VT glass matrix with open disordered network not only can provide channels for lithium transfer but also accommodate Si and buffer its volume change, and hence make full use of both VT glass and Si for lithium storage. In addition, during heat-treatment, the formation of V⁴⁺ arising from redox reaction between Si and VT, facilitates the electron transfer in 10VTS-620-HP. Our strategy to construct Si/VT-glass composite could be further extended to other types of oxide glasses to combine with highly active materials like SnO₂ and Sn for application in electrochemical energy storage.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to acknowledge support by China Scholarship Council (201906650022). Y.F.Z. would like to acknowledge support by Taishan Youth Scholar Project of Shandong Province (tsqn202103098) and the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2020ME025).

References

[1] Z.H. Liu, Q. Yu, Y.L. Zhao, R.H. He, M. Xu, S.H. Feng, S.D. Li, L. Zhou, L.Q. Mai, Silicon oxides: a promising family of anode materials for lithium-ion batteries, Chem. Soc. Rev. 48(1) (2019) 285-309.
[2] W. Hou, X. Guo, X. Shen, K. Amine, H. Yu, J. Lu, Solid electrolytes and interfaces in all-solid-state sodium batteries: Progress and perspective, Nano Energy 52 (2018) 279-291.

[3] J. Souquet, Glasses as electrolytes and electrode materials in lithium batteries, J. Power Sources 26(1-2) (1989) 33-35.

[4] R. Chen, W. Qu, X. Guo, L. Li, F. Wu, The pursuit of solid-state electrolytes for lithium batteries: from comprehensive insight to emerging horizons, Materials Horizons 3(6) (2016) 487-516.

[5] K. Kaup, J.D. Bazak, S.H. Vajargah, X. Wu, J. Kulisch, G.R. Goward, L.F. Nazar, A lithium oxythioborosilicate solid electrolyte glass with superionic conductivity, Adv. Energy Mater. 10(8) (2020) 1902783.

[6] D.H.S. Tan, Y.-T. Chen, H. Yang, W. Bao, B. Sreenarayanan, J.-M. Doux, W. Li, B. Lu, S.-Y. Ham, B. Sayahpour, J. Scharf, E. A.Wu, G. Deysher, H.E. Han, H.J. Hah, H. Jeong, J.B. Lee, Z. Chen, Y.S. Meng, Carbon-free high-loading silicon anodes enabled by sulfide solid electrolytes, Science 373 (2021) 1494-1499.
[7] Y. Zhang, J. Ren, T. Xu, A. Feng, K. Hu, N. Yu, Y. Xia, Y. Zhu, Z. Huang, G. Wu, Covalent bonding of Si nanoparticles on graphite nanosheets as anodes for lithium-ion batteries using diazonium chemistry, Nanomaterials 9(12) (2019) 1741.

[8] Y. Xu, Y. Zhu, C. Wang, Mesoporous carbon/silicon composite anodes with enhanced performance for lithium-ion batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 2(25) (2014) 9751-9757.

[9] J. Liu, P. Kopold, P.A. van Aken, J. Maier, Y. Yu, Energy storage materials from nature through nanotechnology: a sustainable route from reed plants to a silicon anode for lithium-ion batteries, Angew. Chem. 127(33) (2015) 9768-9772.

[10] X. Li, M. Zhang, S. Yuan, C. Lu, Research progress of silicon/carbon anode materials for lithium-ion batteries: structure design and synthesis method, ChemElectroChem 7(21) (2020) 4289-4302.

[11] W. Zhang, J. Li, P. Guan, C. Lv, C. Yang, N. Han, X. Wang, G. Song, Z. Peng, One-pot sol-gel synthesis of Si/C yolk-shell anodes for high performance lithium-ion batteries, J. Alloys Compd. 835 (2020) 155135.

[12] Z. Hou, X. Zhang, J. Liang, X. Lia, X. Yan, Y. Zhu, Y. Qian, Synchronously synthesized Si@C composites through solvothermal oxidation of Mg₂Si as lithium ion battery anode, RSC advances 5(87) (2015) 71355-71359.

[13] Y. Zhang, P. Wang, T. Zheng, D. Li, G. Li, Y. Yue, Enhancing Li-ion battery anode performances via disorder/order engineering, Nano Energy 49 (2018) 596-602.

[14] Y.F. Zhang, P.X. Wang, G.D. Li, J.H. Fan, C.W. Gao, Z.Y. Wang, Y.Z. Yue, Clarifying the charging induced nucleation in glass anode of Li-ion batteries and its enhanced performances, Nano Energy 57 (2019) 592-599.

[15] J. Yan, T. Zhao, N. Shi, H. Zhan, J. Ren, Y. Zhang, Y. Yue, Impact of silicon doping on the structure and crystallization of a vanadium-tellurite glass, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 589 (2022) 121651.

[16] J. Kjeldsen, Y. Yue, C.B. Bragatto, A.C. Rodrigues, Electronic conductivity of vanadium-tellurite glassceramics, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 378 (2013) 196-200.

[17] I. Joni, L. Nulhakim, M. Vanitha, C. Panatarani, Characteristics of crystalline silica (SiO₂) particles prepared by simple solution method using sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) precursor, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, IOP Publishing, 2018, p. 012006.

[18] W. Yang, W. Huang, Q. Zheng, W. Huang, Z. Chen, High Efficiency Preparation, Structure and Properties of Silicon Nano-Crystals by Induction Plasma Method, NanoWorld J 2(3) (2016) 63-68.

[19] S. Rada, E. Culea, V. Rus, M. Pica, M. Culea, The local structure of gadolinium vanado-tellurite glasses,J. Mater. Sci. 43(10) (2008) 3713-3716.

[20] C. O'Dwyer, V. Lavayen, S.B. Newcomb, M.A. Santa Ana, E. Benavente, G. Gonzalez, C.S. Torres, Vanadate conformation variations in vanadium pentoxide nanostructures, J. Electrochem. Soc. 154(8) (2007) K29.

[21] M.D. Kaya, B.C. Sertel, N.A. Sonmez, M. Cakmak, S. Ozcelik, Thickness-dependent physical properties of sputtered V₂O₅ films and Ti/V₂O₅/n-Si Schottky barrier diode, Appl. Phys. A 126(11) (2020) 1-11.

[22] D.S. Jensen, S.S. Kanyal, N. Madaan, M.A. Vail, A.E. Dadson, M.H. Engelhard, M.R. Linford, Silicon (100)/SiO₂ by XPS, Surf. Sci. Spectra 20(1) (2013) 36-42.

[23] G. Silversmit, D. Depla, H. Poelman, G.B. Marin, R. De Gryse, An XPS study on the surface reduction of V_2O_5 (0 0 1) induced by Ar+ ion bombardment, Surf. Sci. 600(17) (2006) 3512-3517.

[24] M. Taha, S. Walia, T. Ahmed, D. Headland, W. Withayachumnankul, S. Sriram, M. Bhaskaran, Insulatormetal transition in substrate-independent VO_2 thin film for phase-change devices, Scientific reports 7(1) (2017) 1-10.

[25] Y. Xu, Y. Zhu, C. Wang, Mesoporous carbon/silicon composite anodes with enhanced performance for lithium-ion batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 2(25) (2014) 9751-9757.

[26] S. Ashraf, R. Mehek, N. Iqbal, T. Noor, G. Ali, A. Wahab, A.A. Qayyum, A. Ahmad, ZIF 67 derived Co-Sn composites with N-doped nanoporous carbon as anode material for Li-ion batteries, Mater. Chem. Phys. 270 (2021) 124824.

[27] C. Gao, Z. Jiang, S. Qi, P. Wang, L.R. Jensen, M. Johansen, C.K. Christensen, Y. Zhang, D.B. Ravnsbæk,
Y. Yue, Metal-Organic Framework Glass Anode with an Exceptional Cycling-Induced Capacity Enhancement
for Lithium-Ion Batteries, Adv. Mater. 34(10) (2022) 2110048.

[28] X. Hu, J. Jia, G. Wang, J. Chen, H. Zhan, Z. Wen, Reliable and General Route to Inverse Opal Structured Nanohybrids of Carbon-Confined Transition Metal Sulfides Quantum Dots for High-Performance Sodium Storage, Adv. Energy Mater. 8(25) (2018) 1801452.

Supplementary Materials

Optimizing Si/vanadium-tellurite glass composites as superior anodes for Li-ion

batteries by hot pressing

Jiajia Yan^a, Xiangyu Li^b, Nian Shi^c, Xianglong Ren^d, Hemin Zhou^d, Haizheng Tao^d, Jinjun Ren^c, Ang Qiao^d, Yanfei Zhang^{b*}, Yuanzheng Yue^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark ^bSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, China. ^cKey Laboratory of Materials for High Power Laser, Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China.

^dState Key Laboratory of Silicate Materials for Architectures, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China

*Corresponding authors. zhang-yanfei@hotmail.com (Y.F. Zhang); yy@bio.aau.dk (Y.Z. Yue).

This file includes:

Fig. S1



Fig. S1 DSC upscan curve of 10VTS, which is obtained at the rate of 10 K/min in argon. The characteristic temperatures such as glass transition (T_g) and crystallization onset temperatures (T_{c1} , T_{c2}) are denoted in the curve.

ISSN (online): 2446-1636 ISBN (online): 978-87-7573-820-5

AALBORG UNIVERSITY PRESS